

URANIUM ENERGY CORP
Form 10-Q
June 09, 2014

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended **April 30, 2014**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: **001-33706**

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEVADA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of
organization)

98-0399476

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1111 West Hastings Street, Suite 320, Vancouver,
B.C.**

(Address of principal executive offices)

V6E 2J3

(Zip Code)

(604) 682-9775

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer
]

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check
]
if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 90,519,098 shares of common stock outstanding as of June 6, 2014.

2

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | <u>4</u> |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>4</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>27</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>37</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>39</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>48</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>49</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>49</u> |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>49</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>50</u> |

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED APRIL 30, 2014

(Unaudited)

5

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

| | Notes | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|--|-------|----------------|---------------|
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | \$ 13,306,310 | \$ 14,171,807 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | 4,795 | 18,350 |
| Accounts and interest receivable | | 53,672 | 45,584 |
| Inventories | 3 | 1,815,953 | 975,719 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | | 811,795 | 1,098,026 |
| Current portion of deferred financing costs | | 83,811 | 218,336 |
| Total Current Assets | | 16,076,336 | 16,527,822 |
| DEFERRED FINANCING COSTS | | 188,574 | 200,141 |
| MINERAL RIGHTS AND PROPERTIES | 4 | 40,001,698 | 41,785,852 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 5 | 8,188,291 | 8,695,277 |
| RECLAMATION DEPOSITS | 6 | 6,042,061 | 6,040,909 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | | \$ 70,496,960 | \$ 73,250,001 |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | \$ 2,079,530 | \$ 3,978,867 |
| Due to related parties | 7 | 8,703 | 9,571 |
| Variable share forward contract | 8 | 557,037 | - |
| Current portion of asset retirement obligations | 9 | - | 836,073 |
| Total Current Liabilities | | 2,645,270 | 4,824,511 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAX LIABILITIES | | 781,186 | 781,186 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 8 | 18,413,837 | 6,957,219 |
| ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS | 9 | 3,889,637 | 3,242,007 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | | 25,729,930 | 15,804,923 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Capital stock | | | |
| Common stock \$0.001 par value: 750,000,000 shares authorized, 90,467,764 shares issued and outstanding (July 31, 2013 - 86,032,285) | 10 | 90,472 | 86,036 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 207,133,087 | 200,046,081 |
| Accumulated deficit | | (162,442,974) | (142,687,039) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (13,555) | - |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | 44,767,030 | 57,445,078 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | \$ 70,496,960 | \$ 73,250,001 |

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

14

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(Unaudited)

| | Notes | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|---|---------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| SALES | | \$ - | \$ 2,789,325 | \$ - | \$ 7,046,325 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | - | 2,271,267 | - | 6,225,661 |
| Inventory write-down | 3 | 338,101 | - | 730,250 | - |
| Mineral property expenditures | 4 | 2,739,349 | 1,827,147 | 6,911,512 | 8,109,696 |
| General and administrative | 7, 10 | 1,808,395 | 2,244,682 | 7,196,664 | 8,277,283 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 4, 5, 9 | 577,045 | 344,980 | 1,766,005 | 1,216,563 |
| Impairment loss on mineral properties | 4 | 428,164 | - | 457,055 | - |
| | | 5,891,054 | 6,688,076 | 17,061,486 | 23,829,203 |
| LOSS FROM OPERATIONS | | (5,891,054) | (3,898,751) | (17,061,486) | (16,782,878) |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES) | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 7,317 | 6,318 | 20,647 | 30,550 |
| Interest and finance costs | 8 | (535,802) | (5,020) | (2,165,337) | (16,319) |
| Gain (loss) on disposition of assets | | - | (714) | (2,553) | 4,249 |
| Loss on fair value of variable share forward contract | 8 | (288,436) | - | (557,037) | - |
| Gain on settlement of accounts payable | | - | - | - | 10,909 |
| Gain (loss) on settlement of asset retirement obligations | 9 | 10,868 | (1,878) | 9,831 | (32,389) |
| | | (806,053) | (1,294) | (2,694,449) | (3,000) |
| NET LOSS FOR THE PERIOD | | (6,697,107) | (3,900,045) | (19,755,935) | (16,785,878) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS, NET OF INCOME TAXES | | | | | |
| | | (7,228) | (234) | (13,555) | (4,393) |
| | | \$ (6,704,335) | \$ (3,900,279) | \$ (19,769,490) | \$ (16,790,271) |

**TOTAL
COMPREHENSIVE
LOSS FOR THE
PERIOD**

**NET LOSS PER
SHARE, BASIC AND
DILUTED**

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|
| \$ | (0.07) | \$ | (0.05) | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.20) |
|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|

**WEIGHTED
AVERAGE NUMBER
OF SHARES**

**OUTSTANDING,
BASIC AND DILUTED**

| | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| 89,924,411 | 85,496,171 | 88,666,564 | 85,318,871 |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| | Notes | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|---|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 |
| CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY: | | | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net loss for the period | | \$ (19,755,935) | \$ (16,785,878) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 10 | 984,841 | 809,627 |
| Depletion, depreciation, amortization and accretion | | 3,093,795 | 2,064,954 |
| Impairment loss on mineral properties | 4 | 457,055 | - |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets | | 2,553 | (4,249) |
| Loss on fair value of variable share forward contract | 8 | 557,037 | - |
| Gain on settlement of accounts payable | | - | (10,909) |
| (Gain) loss on settlement of asset retirement obligations | | (9,831) | 32,389 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | |
| Accounts and interest receivable | | (8,088) | 204,329 |
| Inventories | 3 | (630,548) | 638,939 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | | 112,525 | (172,454) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | | (1,530,860) | (1,800,132) |
| Settlement of asset retirement obligations | 9 | (13,551) | (112,388) |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | (16,741,007) | (15,135,772) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Shares issuance for cash, net of issuance costs | 10 | 6,562,076 | 43,564 |
| Net proceeds from debt financing | 13 | 9,554,467 | - |
| Due to related parties | | (868) | (22,151) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | 16,115,675 | 21,413 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Investment in mineral rights and properties | 4 | (161,800) | (271,833) |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | | (77,813) | (156,432) |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | | 600 | 5,000 |
| Reclamation deposits | | (1,152) | (497,119) |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | (240,165) | (920,384) |
| NET CASH FLOWS | | (865,497) | (16,034,743) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | | 14,171,807 | 25,015,284 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | | \$ 13,306,310 | \$ 8,980,541 |

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION 13

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in | Accumulated | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Stockholders' |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Deficit | Loss | Equity |
| Balance, July 31, 2013 | 86,032,285 | \$ 86,036 | \$ 200,046,081 | \$ (142,687,039) | - | \$ 57,445,078 |
| Common stock | | | | | | |
| Issued for equity financing | 3,380,954 | 3,381 | 5,905,670 | - | - | 5,909,051 |
| Issued for debt financing | 100,000 | 100 | 174,247 | - | - | 174,347 |
| Issued for exercise of stock options | 455,645 | 456 | 22,045 | - | - | 22,501 |
| Issued for advance royalty payment | 30,304 | 30 | 34,820 | - | - | 34,850 |
| Issued for bonuses | 30,386 | 30 | 54,970 | - | - | 55,000 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | |
| Common stock issued for consulting services | 438,190 | 439 | 773,119 | - | - | 773,558 |
| Options issued for consulting services | - | - | 64,529 | - | - | 64,529 |
| Options issued for employee benefits | - | - | 94,591 | - | - | 94,591 |
| Warrants issued for equity financing | - | - | 580,525 | - | - | 580,525 |
| Amendment of debt related instruments (note 8) | | | (617,510) | | | (617,510) |
| Net loss for the period | - | - | - | (19,755,935) | - | (19,755,935) |
| Other comprehensive | - | - | - | - | (13,555) | (13,555) |

loss

Balance, April

30, 2014 **90,467,764 \$** **90,472 \$** **207,133,087 \$** **(162,442,974) \$** **(13,555) \$** **44,767,030**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1: NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Uranium Energy Corp. was incorporated in the State of Nevada on May 16, 2003. Uranium Energy Corp. and its subsidiary companies and a controlled partnership (collectively, the Company) are engaged in uranium mining and related activities, including exploration, pre-extraction, extraction and processing of uranium concentrates, on projects located in the United States and Paraguay.

Although planned principal operations have commenced from which significant gross revenues from uranium sales were realized for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2013 (Fiscal 2013) and 2012 (Fiscal 2012), the Company has yet to achieve profitability and has had a history of operating losses and significant negative cash flow since inception. Historically, the Company has been reliant primarily on equity financings from the sale of its common stock and, more recently, on debt financing to fund ongoing operations. The Company has also relied on cash flows generated from its mining activities during Fiscal 2013 and 2012. The Company's reliance on equity and debt financings is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. Existing cash resources and available sources of financing are expected to provide sufficient funds to carry out the Company's plan of operations for the next twelve months. The Company's continuation as a going concern for a period beyond twelve months will be dependent upon its ability to obtain adequate additional financing, as the Company's operations are capital intensive and future capital expenditures are expected to be substantial. The continued operations of the Company, including the recoverability of the carrying values of its assets, are dependent ultimately on the Company's ability to achieve and maintain profitability and positive cash flow from its operations. At April 30, 2014, the Company had working capital of \$13.4 million and an accumulated deficit of \$162.4 million.

NOTE 2: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars and have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required under U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013. In the opinion of management, all adjustments of a normal recurring nature and considered necessary for a fair presentation have been made. Operating results for the nine months ended April 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending July 31, 2014.

Exploration Stage

The Company has established the existence of mineralized materials for certain uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. The Company has not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a final or bankable feasibility study for any of its uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. Furthermore, the Company has no plans to establish proven or probable reserves for any of its uranium projects for which the Company plans on utilizing in-situ recovery (ISR) mining, such as the Palangana Mine. As a result, and despite the fact that the Company commenced extraction of mineralized materials at the Palangana Mine in November 2010, the Company remains in the Exploration Stage as defined under Industry Guide 7, and will continue to remain in the Exploration Stage until such time proven or probable reserves have been established.

Since the Company commenced extraction of mineralized materials at the Palangana Mine without having established proven and probable reserves, any mineralized materials established or extracted from the Palangana Mine should not be in any way associated with having established or produced from proven or probable reserves.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, expenditures relating to the acquisition of mineral rights are initially capitalized as incurred while exploration and pre-extraction expenditures are expensed as incurred until such time the Company exits the Exploration Stage by establishing proven or probable reserves. Expenditures relating to exploration activities such as drill programs to search for additional mineralized materials are expensed as incurred.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

Expenditures relating to pre-extraction activities such as the construction of mine wellfields, ion exchange facilities and disposal wells are expensed as incurred until such time proven or probable reserves are established for that uranium project, after which subsequent expenditures relating to mine development activities for that particular project are capitalized as incurred.

Companies in the Production Stage as defined under Industry Guide 7, having established proven and probable reserves and exited the Exploration Stage, typically capitalize expenditures relating to ongoing development activities, with corresponding depletion calculated over proven and probable reserves using the units-of-production method and allocated to future reporting periods to inventory and, as that inventory is sold, to cost of goods sold. The Company is in the Exploration Stage which has resulted in the Company reporting larger losses than if it had been in the Production Stage due to the expensing, instead of capitalizing, of expenditures relating to ongoing mill and mine development activities. Additionally, there would be no corresponding amortization allocated to future reporting periods of the Company since those costs would have been expensed previously, resulting in both lower inventory costs and cost of goods sold and results of operations with higher gross profits and lower losses than if the Company had been in the Production Stage. Any capitalized costs, such as expenditures relating to the acquisition of mineral rights, are depleted over the estimated extraction life using the straight-line method. As a result, the Company's consolidated financial statements may not be directly comparable to the financial statements of companies in the Production Stage.

NOTE 3: INVENTORIES

In November 2010, the Company commenced uranium extraction at its Palangana Mine and processing of uranium concentrates at its Hobson Processing Facility. The Company's inventories consist of the following:

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Supplies | \$ 27,015 | \$ 63,859 |
| Work-in-progress | 69,884 | 72,272 |
| Finished goods - uranium concentrates | 1,719,054 | 839,588 |
| | \$ 1,815,953 | \$ 975,719 |

At April 30, 2014, the total non-cash component of inventory was \$358,408 (July 31, 2013: \$148,722). During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company recorded an inventory write-down to net realizable value totaling \$338,101 and \$730,250, respectively. No inventory write-down was recorded for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

NOTE 4: MINERAL RIGHTS AND PROPERTIES**Mineral Rights**

At April 30, 2014, the Company had mineral rights in the States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming and Paraguay. These mineral rights were acquired through staking and purchase, lease or option agreements and are subject to varying royalty interests, some of which are indexed to the sale price of uranium. At April 30, 2014, annual maintenance payments of approximately \$1,336,000 are required to maintain these mineral rights.

Mineral rights and property acquisition costs consist of the following:

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Mineral Rights and Properties, Unproven | | |
| Palangana Mine | \$ 6,664,260 | \$ 7,045,457 |
| Goliad Project | 8,689,127 | 8,689,127 |
| Burke Hollow Project | 1,313,250 | 1,313,250 |
| Channen Project | - | 428,164 |
| Salvo Project | 364,710 | 363,645 |
| Nichols Project | 154,774 | 154,774 |
| Anderson Project | 9,154,268 | 9,154,268 |
| Workman Creek Project | 1,372,008 | 1,287,158 |
| Los Cuatros Project | 257,250 | 257,250 |
| Slick Rock Project | 171,271 | 163,213 |
| Todilto Project | 166,720 | 166,720 |
| Yuty Project | 11,947,144 | 11,947,144 |
| Coronel Oviedo Project | 1,133,412 | 1,133,412 |
| Other Property Acquisitions | 1,080,934 | 1,067,148 |
| | 42,469,128 | 43,170,730 |
| Accumulated Depletion | (3,209,016) | (2,278,053) |
| | 39,260,112 | 40,892,677 |
| Databases | 2,405,038 | 2,345,038 |
| Accumulated Amortization | (1,867,341) | (1,685,011) |
| | 537,697 | 660,027 |
| Land Use Agreements | 390,155 | 390,155 |
| Accumulated Amortization | (186,266) | (157,007) |
| | 203,889 | 233,148 |
| | \$ 40,001,698 | \$ 41,785,852 |

During the three months ended April 30, 2014, the Company abandoned the Channen Project located in Texas with acquisition costs of \$428,164, resulting in the recognition of an impairment loss of \$428,164. During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company abandoned a mineral property located in Colorado with acquisition costs of \$28,891, resulting in the recognition of total impairment loss of \$457,055. No impairment loss was recorded for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013.

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the asset retirement obligations (ARO) of the Palangana Mine were revised due to changes in the estimated timing of restoration and reclamation of the Palangana Mine. As a result, ARO liabilities and the corresponding mineral rights and properties associated with the Palangana Mine were reduced by \$381,197.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The Company has not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by the SEC under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a final or bankable feasibility study for any of its mineral projects. The Company has established the existence of mineralized materials for certain uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. Since the Company commenced uranium extraction at the Palangana Mine without having established proven or probable reserves, there may be greater inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated.

No revenues were generated from the sale of U₃O₈ during the nine months ended April 30, 2014. The Palangana Mine has been the Company's sole source for the U₃O₈ sold to generate its revenues during Fiscal 2013 and 2012, with no revenues generated prior to Fiscal 2012. The economic viability of the Company's mining activities, including the expected duration and profitability of the Palangana Mine and of any future satellite ISR mines, such as the Goliad Project, located within the South Texas Uranium Belt, has many risks and uncertainties. These include, but are not limited to: (i) a significant, prolonged decrease in the market price of uranium; (ii) difficulty in marketing and/or selling uranium concentrates; (iii) significantly higher than expected capital costs to construct the mine and/or processing plant; (iv) significantly higher than expected extraction costs; (v) significantly lower than expected uranium extraction; (vi) significant delays, reductions or stoppages of uranium extraction activities; and (vii) the introduction of significantly more stringent regulatory laws and regulations. The Company's mining activities may change as a result of any one or more of these risks and uncertainties and there is no assurance that any ore body that we extract mineralized materials from will result in profitable mining activities.

Mineral property expenditures incurred by major projects are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Mineral Property Expenditures | | | | |
| Palangana Mine | \$ 668,307 | \$ 756,414 | \$ 1,975,766 | \$ 3,724,668 |
| Goliad Project | 419,278 | 145,390 | 1,591,309 | 369,375 |
| Burke Hollow Project | 750,907 | 572,578 | 1,348,976 | 1,894,411 |
| Channen Project | 219 | 29,983 | 728 | 805,791 |
| Anderson Project | 45,290 | - | 172,975 | 74,924 |
| Workman Creek Project | 1,440 | - | 31,651 | 32,640 |
| Slick Rock Project | 10,309 | 29,910 | 61,320 | 116,762 |
| Yuty Project | 148,248 | 26,831 | 228,997 | 134,791 |
| Coronel Oviedo Project | 457,527 | 88,210 | 601,723 | 433,802 |
| Other Mineral Property Expenditures | 237,824 | 177,831 | 898,067 | 522,532 |
| | \$ 2,739,349 | \$ 1,827,147 | \$ 6,911,512 | \$ 8,109,696 |

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

NOTE 5: PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

| | April 30, 2014 | | | July 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | Net Book Value | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | Net Book Value |
| Hobson Processing Facility | \$ 7,107,717 | \$ (550,477) | \$ 6,557,240 | \$ 7,107,717 | \$ (416,403) | \$ 6,691,314 |
| Mining Equipment | 2,530,183 | (1,562,347) | 967,836 | 2,333,572 | (1,227,989) | 1,105,583 |
| Vehicles | 1,855,451 | (1,499,832) | 355,619 | 1,852,764 | (1,334,418) | 518,346 |
| Computer Equipment | 651,293 | (546,205) | 105,088 | 648,567 | (495,455) | 153,112 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 182,568 | (155,783) | 26,785 | 193,013 | (142,001) | 51,012 |
| Land | 175,144 | - | 175,144 | 175,144 | - | 175,144 |
| Leasehold Improvements | 1,242 | (663) | 579 | 9,970 | (9,204) | 766 |
| | \$ 12,503,598 | \$ (4,315,307) | \$ 8,188,291 | \$ 12,320,747 | \$ (3,625,470) | \$ 8,695,277 |

Hobson Processing Facility

The Company acquired the Hobson Processing Facility (Hobson) as part of the acquisition of South Texas Mining Venture, L.L.P. in December 2009 and commenced processing uranium-loaded resins from the Palangana Mine in November 2010, at which point the Company began depreciating the capitalized costs of Hobson on a straight-line basis over an expected useful life of ten years. Upon further review of the Company's anticipated satellite mining activities in Texas, the expected useful life of Hobson was determined to have an estimated 12 years remaining from August 1, 2013. Accordingly, the Company began depreciating the remaining undepreciated capitalized costs of Hobson on a straight-line basis over an expected useful life of 12 years effective August 1, 2013.

NOTE 6: RECLAMATION DEPOSITS

Reclamation deposits include interest and non-interest bearing deposits issued in the States of Arizona, Texas and Wyoming relating to exploration, pre-extraction, extraction and processing activities in the respective states. Reclamation deposits consist of the following:

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Palangana Mine | \$ 3,692,076 | \$ 3,627,562 |
| Hobson Processing Facility | 1,927,218 | 1,910,494 |
| Mount Lucas | 391,585 | 472,823 |
| Arizona | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Wyoming | 815 | 814 |
| | 6,026,694 | 6,026,693 |
| Interest | 15,367 | 14,216 |
| | \$ 6,042,061 | \$ 6,040,909 |

NOTE 7: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company had transactions with certain officers and directors of the Company as follows:

incurred \$37,176 and \$110,815 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$42,409 and \$126,323) in general and administrative costs paid to a company controlled by a direct family member of a director and officer; and

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

incurred \$9,000 and \$27,000 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$9,000 and \$27,000) in consulting fees paid to a company controlled by a director of the Company.
 At April 30, 2014, amounts due to related parties totaled \$8,703 (July 31, 2013: \$9,571). These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand.

NOTE 8: LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-Term Debt

Pursuant to an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated and effective March 13, 2014 (the Amended Credit Facility), which supersedes in its entirety the Credit Agreement dated and effective July 30, 2013 (the Credit Facility), the Company entered into a \$20,000,000 senior secured credit facility with Sprott Resource Lending Partnership and CEF (Capital Markets) Limited, under which:

initial funding of \$10,000,000 was received by the Company upon closing of the Credit Facility on July 30, 2013; and
 additional funding of \$10,000,000 was received by the Company upon closing of the Amended Credit Facility on March 13, 2014.

The Amended Credit Facility provides for certain amendments to the Credit Facility, which include:

a two-year extension of the total term from two years to four years by amendment of the maturity date from July 31, 2015 to July 31, 2017; and
 a two-year extension of the commencement of monthly principal repayments from July 31, 2014 to July 31, 2016.

The Amended Credit Facility provides for certain additional consideration, or modifications to the consideration required under the Credit Facility, required by the Company, as follows:

a one-time extension fee payable by the issuance of 100,000 shares of common stock of the Company;
 an annual extension fee of \$50,000 payable in cash and due on July 31, 2015 and 2016, provided a principal balance remains outstanding, if any, on such dates;
 issuance of annual bonus shares payable in shares of common stock of the Company and due on August 1, 2015 and 2016, calculated at 4.5% of the principal balance outstanding, if any, on such dates. Price per share will be determined on a basis of a 10% discount to the five trading-day, volume-weighted average closing price of the Company's shares at that time; and
 a two-year extension from three to five years of the bonus warrants previously issued under the Credit Facility comprised of 2,600,000 share purchase warrants (the Bonus Warrants), each warrant exercisable for one share of common stock of the Company at a price of \$2.50 per share until expiry on July 30, 2016, extended to July 30, 2018. These bonus warrants remain subject to accelerated expiry whereby, upon notification by the Company, the warrant holders will have 30 days to exercise should the 30 trading-day, volume-weighted average closing price of the Company's shares equal or exceed \$5.00.

The incremental value associated with the two-year extension of the bonus warrants was valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Expected Life in Years | 4.38 |
| Expected Annual Volatility | 63.98% |
| Expected Risk Free Interest Rate | 1.285% |

Expected Dividend Yield

0.00%

15

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The long-term debt components of the original Credit Facility and the subsequent Amended Credit Facility consist of the following:

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Principal amount | \$ 20,000,000 | \$ 10,000,000 |
| Discount on long-term debt - cash | | |
| Payments to lenders for fees and expenses | (621,110) | (594,054) |
| Net proceeds received | 19,378,890 | 9,405,946 |
| Discount on long-term debt - non-cash | | |
| Allocated fair value of bonus shares | (749,473) | (1,065,400) |
| Allocated fair value of bonus warrants | (936,327) | (1,237,910) |
| Allocated fair value of extension fee shares | (174,347) | - |
| Accrued annual fees | (150,000) | (150,000) |
| | (2,010,147) | (2,453,310) |
| Discount on long-term debt | (2,631,257) | (3,047,364) |
| Long-term debt, net of discount | 17,368,743 | 6,952,636 |
| Accumulated amortization of debt discount | 1,045,094 | 4,583 |
| | \$ 18,413,837 | \$ 6,957,219 |

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, the amortization of debt discount totaled \$143,856 and \$1,040,511, respectively, which were recorded as interest expense and included in the consolidated statements of operations.

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt based on principal amounts outstanding at April 30, 2014 are as follows:

| | |
|-------------|---------------|
| Fiscal 2014 | \$ - |
| Fiscal 2015 | - |
| Fiscal 2016 | 1,666,667 |
| Fiscal 2017 | 18,333,333 |
| Total | \$ 20,000,000 |

Variable Share Forward Contract

Pursuant to the Credit Facility, the Company issued bonus shares with a total value of \$900,000, comprised initially of 407,239 shares of the Company issued at a price of \$2.21 per share (the Bonus Shares). The Bonus Shares are held and will remain in escrow until the final number of shares are determined on July 30, 2014 (the Settlement Date) based on a 10% discount to the five trading-day, volume-weighted average closing price of the Company's shares as calculated on the Settlement Date (the Final Share Price).

Depending on the Final Share Price, the Company may be required to issue additional shares above the initial 407,239 shares issued as the Bonus Shares, or some of the initial 407,239 shares issued as Bonus Shares may be required to be returned to the Company for cancellation. This feature of adjusting the number of shares for issuance at a future date was identified as a freestanding financial instrument, variable share forward contract. In accordance with ASC 480 - Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity, this variable share forward contract was classified as a liability upon initial recognition on July 30, 2013 with a nominal value, subject to subsequent fair value measurement.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

Assuming a Settlement Date of April 30, 2014, the adjustment in the number of shares issuable and the resulting fair value of the variable share forward contract is presented below:

July 31, 2013

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Number of shares issued | 407,239 |
| Variable share forward contract | \$ - |

April 30, 2014

| | |
|---|------------|
| Number of shares issuable | 927,835 |
| Adjustment in number of shares issuable | 520,596 |
| Share price at April 30, 2014 | \$ 1.07 |
| Variable share forward contract | \$ 557,037 |

As a result, the Company recorded a loss on fair value of variable share forward contract of \$288,436 and \$557,037 in its consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014.

NOTE 9: ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The Company's asset retirement obligations (ARO) relate to site restoration for the Hobson Processing Facility, Palangana Mine and Mt. Lucas assumed as part of the STMV Acquisition.

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| Opening balance | \$ 4,078,080 | \$ 3,112,374 |
| Revision in estimate of asset retirement obligations | (381,197) | 435,758 |
| Additions of asset retirement obligations | - | 435,004 |
| Liabilities settled with cash | (23,382) | (109,916) |
| Accretion | 216,136 | 204,860 |
| | 3,889,637 | 4,078,080 |
| Less: current portion of asset retirement obligations | - | (836,073) |
| Long-term asset retirement obligations | \$ 3,889,637 | \$ 3,242,007 |

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Undiscounted amount of estimated cash flows | \$ 6,382,549 | \$ 5,975,265 |
| Payable in years | 2.5 to 12 | 2.5 to 12 |
| Inflation rate | 1.56% to 2.43% | 1.56% to 2.43% |
| Discount rate | 5.00% to 10.50% | 5.00% to 10.50% |

On May 2, 2014, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, upon receiving concurrence from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, approved the Company's application for release of the Mt. Lucas site to unrestricted use, thereby officially completing the Mt. Lucas reclamation project. As a result, the Company derecognized the remaining ARO liabilities of \$11,236 associated with Mt. Lucas and recorded a gain on settlement of ARO on the consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended April 30, 2014.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The undiscounted amounts of estimated cash flows for the next five years and beyond are as follows:

Undiscounted estimated cash flow for the next five years

| | | |
|-------------------|----|-----------|
| July 31, 2014 | \$ | - |
| July 31, 2015 | | 681,655 |
| July 31, 2016 | | - |
| July 31, 2017 | | 940,161 |
| July 31, 2018 | | 635,932 |
| Remaining balance | | 4,124,801 |
| | \$ | 6,382,549 |

NOTE 10: CAPITAL STOCK

Capital Stock

At April 30, 2014, the Company's capital stock was 750,000,000 authorized shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share.

Equity Financing

On October 23, 2013, the Company completed a public offering of 3,380,954 units at a price of \$2.10 per unit for gross proceeds of \$7,100,003 pursuant to a prospectus supplement to the Company's effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 previously filed with the SEC. Each unit is comprised of one share of common stock of the Company and 0.55 of one share purchase warrant, each whole warrant exercisable at a price of \$2.60 for a three year period to purchase one share of common stock of the Company.

The shares were valued at the Company's closing price of \$1.89 at October 23, 2013, and the share purchase warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Expected Risk Free Interest Rate | 0.60% |
| Expected Annual Volatility | 66.34% |
| Expected Contractual Life in Years | 3.00 |
| Expected Annual Dividend Yield | 0% |

The estimated net proceeds from the equity financing were allocated to the fair values of the shares and share purchase warrants. The relative fair values of the shares and share purchase warrants calculated from the allocation is presented below:

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| Fair Value of Shares | \$ | 6,390,003 |
| Fair Value of Share Purchase Warrants | | 627,775 |
| Total Fair Value Before Allocation to Net Proceeds | | 7,017,778 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Gross Proceeds | 7,100,003 |
| Share Issuance Costs | (610,427) |
| Estimated Net Proceeds Received | 6,489,576 |

Relative Fair Value Allocation to:

| | |
|--------|-----------|
| Shares | 5,909,051 |
|--------|-----------|

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Share Purchase Warrants | 580,525 |
| | \$ 6,489,576 |

18

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The Company previously filed a Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective September 2, 2011 (the Shelf) providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at its discretion, up to an aggregate amount of \$50 million. A total of \$34.4 million of the Shelf was utilized through public offers and sales of shares and units (\$22.5 million in gross proceeds through an offering of the Company's shares on April 10, 2012, \$7.1 million in gross proceeds through an offering of units consisting of the Company's shares and share purchase warrants on October 23, 2013, and \$4.8 million representing the aggregate exercise price of those share purchase warrants should they be exercised in full). The Company filed a further registration statement effective December 31, 2013 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company representing an additional 20%, or \$3.1 million, of the then remaining \$15.6 million available under the Shelf, which increased the remaining amount available under the Shelf to \$18.7 million.

On December 31, 2013, the Company filed a prospectus supplement providing for the public offer and sale of the Company's shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$18.7 million through one or more at-the-market offerings pursuant to a Controlled Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement effective December 31, 2013 between Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., as sales agent, and the Company (the Sales Agreement). At April 30, 2014, no public offer or sale of the Company's shares was completed under the Sales Agreement.

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company filed a second Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective January 10, 2014 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at its discretion, up to an aggregate offering of \$100 million.

Share Transactions

| Period / Description | Number of Shares | Value per Share | | Issuance Value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------|
| | | Low | High | |
| Balance, July 31, 2013 | 86,032,285 | | | |
| Equity Financing | 3,380,954 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.75 | 5,909,051 |
| Options Exercised | 67,803 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 22,501 |
| Service Agreements | 130,239 | 2.10 | 2.41 | 290,552 |
| Balance, October 31, 2013 | 89,611,281 | | | |
| Service Agreements | 151,060 | 1.69 | 1.88 | 266,366 |
| Share Bonuses | 30,386 | 1.81 | 1.81 | 55,000 |
| Balance, January 31, 2014 | 89,792,727 | | | |
| Service Agreements | 156,891 | 1.06 | 1.88 | 216,640 |
| Extension Fees for Debt Amendment | 100,000 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 174,347 |
| Advance Royalty for Workman Creek | 30,304 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 34,850 |
| Options Exercised ⁽¹⁾ | 387,842 | 0.33 | 0.45 | - |
| Balance, April 30, 2014 | 90,467,764 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ 631,250 options were exercised on a cashless basis resulting in 387,842 net shares issued.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

Share Purchase Warrants

During the three months ended April 30, 2014, in accordance with the terms of the Amended Credit Facility, the expiry date of the Bonus Warrants was extended by two years from July 30, 2016 to July 30, 2018. The Bonus Warrants are comprised of 2,600,000 share purchase warrants, each warrant exercisable for one share of common stock of the Company at a price of \$2.50 per share. Refer to Note 8: Long-Term Debt.

A summary of warrants outstanding and exercisable at April 30, 2014 is presented below:

| Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Warrants Outstanding | Expiry Date | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| \$ 1.00 | 500,000 | March 1, 2016 | 1.84 |
| 1.95 | 50,000 | July 23, 2014 | 0.23 |
| 2.50 | 2,600,000 | July 30, 2018 | 4.25 |
| 2.60 | 1,859,524 | October 23, 2016 | 2.48 |
| \$ 2.38 | 5,009,524 | | 3.31 |

Stock Options

At April 30, 2014, the Company has one stock option plan, the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2013 Plan). The 2013 Plan includes 8,905,128 shares that may be issued pursuant to options previously granted under the Company's prior stock option plans and 2,000,000 additional shares that may be issued pursuant to awards that may be granted under the 2013 Plan. The 2013 Plan supersedes and replaces each of the Company's prior stock option plans, being the 2006 Stock Option Plan and the 2009 Stock Option Plan, such that no further shares are issuable under either of such plans.

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company did not grant any stock options under the 2013 Plan.

A continuity schedule of outstanding stock options for the underlying common shares at April 30, 2014, and the changes during the period, is presented below:

| | Number of Stock Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Balance, July 31, 2013 | 8,966,189 | \$ 2.02 | 5.72 |
| Exercised | (67,803) | 0.33 | 1.19 |
| Expired | (3,900) | 10.26 | - |
| Forfeited | (75,000) | 3.12 | 2.17 |
| Balance, October 31, 2013 | 8,819,486 | 2.02 | 5.53 |
| Expired | (30,850) | 4.11 | - |
| Balance, January 31, 2014 | 8,788,636 | 2.01 | 5.32 |
| Exercised | (631,250) | 0.42 | 2.95 |
| Forfeited | (97,250) | 3.95 | 6.73 |
| Balance, April 30, 2014 | 8,060,136 | \$ 2.11 | 5.23 |

In Fiscal 2012, as a result of the acquisition of Cue Resources Ltd. (Cue) effective March 30, 2012, the Company issued the following options, which were not issued pursuant to, and are not subject to the terms and conditions of, the Company's Stock Option Plans, in exchange for Cue stock options and broker options:

stock options to purchase 48,748 shares of the Company exercisable at prices ranging from \$5.13 to \$23.08 per share with expiration date from July 15, 2013 to August 3, 2016. As at April 30, 2014, stock options to purchase 13,650 shares of the Company expired unexercised, and stock options to purchase 35,098 shares of the Company remained outstanding and are included in the above continuity schedule of outstanding stock options; and

broker options to purchase 38,773 shares of the Company exercisable at a price of \$3.59 per share, which expired on November 10, 2012.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The aggregate intrinsic value under the provisions of ASC 718 of all outstanding options at April 30, 2014 was estimated at \$1,345,966 (vested: \$1,345,966 and unvested: \$Nil).

At April 30, 2014, unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Company's 2013 Plan was \$50,205, which is expected to be recognized over 1.08 years.

A summary of options outstanding and exercisable at April 30, 2014 is presented below:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | Options Exercisable | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Outstanding at April 30, 2014 | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Exercisable at April 30, 2014 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$0.33 to \$0.70 | 2,016,038 | \$ 0.41 | 2,016,038 | \$ 0.41 |
| \$0.71 to \$2.45 | 3,809,000 | 2.37 | 3,730,250 | 2.37 |
| \$2.46 to \$6.05 ⁽²⁾ | 2,235,098 | 3.21 | 2,134,267 | 3.21 |
| | 8,060,136 | \$ 2.11 | 7,880,555 | \$ 2.10 |

⁽²⁾ Options include 35,098 options issued in connection with the acquisition of Cue, which were not issued pursuant to, and are not subject to the terms and conditions of, the Company's 2013 Plan.

Stock-Based Compensation

A summary of stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and 2013 is presented below:

| | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Stock-Based Consulting Fees | | | | |
| Common stock issued for consulting services | \$ 216,640 | \$ - | \$ 773,558 | \$ 190,500 |
| Options issued to consultants | (9,189) | (12,379) | 64,529 | 258,109 |
| | 207,451 | (12,379) | 838,087 | 448,609 |
| Stock-Based Management Fees | | | | |
| Common stock issued for bonuses | - | - | 55,000 | - |
| | - | - | 55,000 | - |
| Stock-Based Wages and Benefits | | | | |
| Options issued to employees | 26,018 | 92,566 | 94,591 | 361,018 |
| | 26,018 | 92,566 | 94,591 | 361,018 |
| Stock-based compensation charged to inventory | (1,596) | (10,229) | (4,239) | (52,318) |
| | \$ 231,873 | \$ 69,958 | \$ 983,439 | \$ 757,309 |

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11: LOSS PER SHARE

The following table reconciles weighted average number of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and 2013:

| | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Numerator | | | | |
| Net Loss for the Period | \$ (6,697,107) | \$ (3,900,045) | \$ (19,755,935) | \$ (16,785,878) |
| Denominator | | | | |
| Basic Weighted Average Number of Shares | 89,924,411 | 85,496,171 | 88,666,564 | 85,318,871 |
| Dilutive Stock Options and Warrants | - | - | - | - |
| Diluted Weighted Average Number of Shares | 89,924,411 | 85,496,171 | 88,666,564 | 85,318,871 |
| Net Loss per Share, Basic and Diluted | | | | |
| | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.20) |

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and 2013, all outstanding options and share purchase warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share since losses were reported by the Company during these periods and their effects would be anti-dilutive.

NOTE 12: SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company currently operates in a single reportable segment and is focused on uranium mining and related activities, including exploration, pre-extraction, extraction and processing of uranium concentrates.

As at April 30, 2014, long-term assets located in the U.S. totaled \$41,295,155, or 76% of the Company's total long-term assets of \$54,420,624.

The table below provides a breakdown of the Company's long-term assets by geographic segments:

| Balance Sheet Items | April 30, 2014 | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Texas | United States | | Canada | Paraguay | |
| | | Arizona | Other States | | | |
| Deferred Financing Costs | \$ 188,574 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 188,574 |
| Mineral Rights and Properties | 15,060,958 | 10,796,902 | 1,063,283 | - | 13,080,555 | 40,001,698 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 8,142,634 | - | 743 | 16,736 | 28,178 | 8,188,291 |
| Reclamation Deposits | 6,026,246 | 15,000 | 815 | - | - | 6,042,061 |
| Total Long-Term Assets | \$ 29,418,412 | \$ 10,811,902 | \$ 1,064,841 | \$ 16,736 | \$ 13,108,733 | \$ 54,420,624 |

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| Balance Sheet Items | July 31, 2013 | | | | | Total |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| | Texas | United States Arizona | Other States | Canada | Paraguay | |
| Deferred Financing Costs | \$ 200,141 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,141 |
| Mineral Rights and Properties | 16,911,826 | 10,712,052 | 1,081,419 | - | 13,080,555 | 41,785,852 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 8,597,774 | 4,297 | 24,459 | 34,840 | 33,907 | 8,695,277 |
| Reclamation Deposits | 6,025,095 | 15,000 | 814 | - | - | 6,040,909 |
| Total Long-Term Assets | \$ 31,734,836 | \$ 10,731,349 | \$ 1,106,692 | \$ 34,840 | \$ 13,114,462 | \$ 56,722,179 |

22

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

The tables below provide a breakdown of the Company's operating results by geographic segments. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

| Statement of Operations | Three Months Ended April 30, 2014 | | | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | United States | | | Canada | Paraguay | | |
| | Texas | Arizona | Other States | | | | |
| Sales | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Inventory write-down | 338,101 | - | - | - | - | - | 338,101 |
| Mineral property expenditures | 2,060,823 | 49,218 | 23,533 | - | 605,775 | - | 2,739,349 |
| General and administrative | 993,390 | 37,501 | 12,751 | 776,584 | (11,831) | - | 1,808,395 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 568,521 | - | 780 | 4,713 | 3,031 | - | 577,045 |
| Impairment loss on mineral property | 428,164 | - | - | - | - | - | 428,164 |
| Loss from operations | (4,388,999) | (86,719) | (37,064) | (781,297) | (596,975) | (5,891,054) | (5,891,054) |
| Other (income) and expenses | 801,086 | 5,020 | - | (36) | (17) | - | 806,053 |
| Net loss for the period | \$ (5,190,085) | \$ (91,739) | \$ (37,064) | \$ (781,261) | \$ (596,958) | \$ (6,697,107) | \$ (6,697,107) |

| Statement of Operations | Three Months Ended April 30, 2013 | | | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | United States | | | Canada | Paraguay | | |
| | Texas | Arizona | Other States | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 2,789,325 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 2,789,325 |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 2,271,267 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,271,267 |
| Mineral property expenditures | 1,595,302 | 505 | 116,299 | - | 115,041 | - | 1,827,147 |
| General and administrative | 1,160,988 | 31,478 | 38,742 | 1,015,870 | (2,396) | - | 2,244,682 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 324,844 | 758 | 6,950 | 9,458 | 2,970 | - | 344,980 |
| Loss from operations | (2,563,076) | (32,741) | (161,991) | (1,025,328) | (115,615) | (3,898,751) | (3,898,751) |
| Other (income) and expenses | (3,642) | 5,020 | - | (80) | (4) | - | 1,294 |

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net loss for the period \$ (2,559,434) \$ (37,761) \$ (161,991) \$ (1,025,248) \$ (115,611) \$ (3,900,045)
23

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

| Statement of Operations | Nine Months Ended April 30, 2014 | | | | | | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|------|-----------------|
| | United States | | | Canada | Paraguay | | |
| | Texas | Arizona | Other States | | | | |
| Sales | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | - |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Inventory write-down | 730,250 | - | - | - | - | - | 730,250 |
| Mineral property expenditures | 5,676,286 | 230,749 | 173,757 | - | 830,720 | - | 6,911,512 |
| General and administrative | 4,165,060 | 182,147 | 77,714 | 2,766,689 | 5,054 | - | 7,196,664 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 1,729,640 | 758 | 6,690 | 19,944 | 8,973 | - | 1,766,005 |
| Impairment loss on mineral property | 428,164 | - | 28,891 | - | - | - | 457,055 |
| | 12,729,400 | 413,654 | 287,052 | 2,786,633 | 844,747 | - | 17,061,486 |
| Loss from operations | (12,729,400) | (413,654) | (287,052) | (2,786,633) | (844,747) | - | (17,061,486) |
| Other (income) and expenses | 2,676,947 | 15,398 | - | 2,154 | (50) | - | 2,694,449 |
| Net loss for the period | \$ (15,406,347) | \$ (429,052) | \$ (287,052) | \$ (2,788,787) | \$ (844,697) | - | \$ (19,755,935) |

| Statement of Operations | Nine Months Ended April 30, 2013 | | | | | | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|------|-----------------|
| | United States | | | Canada | Paraguay | | |
| | Texas | Arizona | Other States | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 7,046,325 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 7,046,325 |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 6,225,661 | - | - | - | - | - | 6,225,661 |
| Mineral property expenditures | 7,049,924 | 126,655 | 364,524 | - | 568,593 | - | 8,109,696 |
| General and administrative | 4,610,551 | 106,266 | 127,265 | 3,432,314 | 887 | - | 8,277,283 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 1,146,764 | 2,275 | 26,819 | 31,820 | 8,885 | - | 1,216,563 |
| | 19,032,900 | 235,196 | 518,608 | 3,464,134 | 578,365 | - | 23,829,203 |
| Loss from operations | (11,986,575) | (235,196) | (518,608) | (3,464,134) | (578,365) | - | (16,782,878) |
| Other (income) and expenses | (2,352) | 16,319 | - | (43) | (10,924) | - | 3,000 |
| Net loss for the period | \$ (11,984,223) | \$ (251,515) | \$ (518,608) | \$ (3,464,091) | \$ (567,441) | - | \$ (16,785,878) |

NOTE 13: SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company issued 438,190 restricted shares with a fair value of \$773,558 for various consulting services.

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company issued 30,386 restricted shares with a fair value of \$55,000 to two of the Company's directors as bonuses.

In connection with the debt financing in July 2013 and the first draw-down of \$10 million in gross proceeds, the Company incurred debt financing costs of \$418,477 which were included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities at July 31, 2013 and subsequently paid during the nine months ended April 30, 2014.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

NOTE 14: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is renting or leasing various office or storage space located in the United States, Canada and Paraguay with total monthly payments of \$20,448. Office lease agreements expire between August 2014 to January 2016 for the United States and Canada.

The aggregate minimum payments over the next five years are as follows:

| | | |
|---------------|----|---------|
| July 31, 2014 | \$ | 61,347 |
| July 31, 2015 | | 230,876 |
| July 31, 2016 | | 38,798 |
| | \$ | 331,021 |

The Company is committed to pay its key executives a total of \$510,547 per year for management services.

The Company entered into a multi-year uranium sales contract in June 2011, as amended in January 2012, requiring the delivery of a total 320,000 pounds of U₃O₈ by the Company over a three-year period starting in August 2011. The sales price will be based on published market price indicators at the time of delivery. During Fiscal 2012, the Company fulfilled its first-year delivery obligations under this contract. During Fiscal 2013, the Company fulfilled its second-year delivery obligation in full and third-year delivery obligation in part under this contract. During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the remaining delivery commitment of 30,000 pounds under this contract was cancelled at no cost to the Company.

On or about March 9, 2011, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the TCEQ) granted the Company's applications for a Class III injection well, Production Area Authorization and Aquifer Exemption permits for its Goliad Project. On or about December 4, 2012, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) concurred with the TCEQ issuance of the Aquifer Exemption permit (the AE). With the receipt of this concurrence, the final authorization required for uranium extraction, the Goliad Project achieved fully-permitted status. On or about May 24, 2011, a group of petitioners, inclusive of Goliad County, appealed the TCEQ action to the 250th District Court in Travis County, Texas. The petitioners' appeal lay dormant until on or about June 14, 2013, when the petitioners filed their initial brief in support of their position. The Company has, since inception, successfully intervened as a party. On or about January 18, 2013, a different group of petitioners, exclusive of Goliad County, filed a petition for review with the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in the United States (the Fifth Circuit) to appeal the EPA's decision. On or about March 5, 2013, a motion filed by the Company to intervene in this matter was granted by the court. The parties are attempting to resolve both appeals and, to facilitate discussions and to avoid further legal costs, the parties have jointly agreed, through mediation which was initially conducted through the Fifth Circuit on or about August 8, 2013, to abate the proceedings in the State District Court; which was approved by the State District Court on or about August 21, 2013. The EPA filed a motion to remand without vacatur with the Fifth Circuit wherein the EPA's stated purpose was to elicit additional public input and further explain its rationale for the approval. In requesting the remand without vacatur, which would allow the AE to remain in place during the review period, the EPA denied the existence of legal error and stated that it was unaware of any additional information that would merit reversal of the AE. The Company and the TCEQ filed a request to the Fifth Circuit for the motion to remand without vacatur, if granted, to be limited to a 60-day review period. On December 9, 2013, by way of a procedural order from a three-judge panel of the Fifth Circuit, the Court granted the remand without vacatur and initially limited the review period to 60 days. In March of 2014, at the EPA's request, the Fifth Circuit extended the EPA's time period for review, and, in addition, during that same time period, the Company conducted a joint groundwater survey of the site, the result of which reaffirm the Company's previously filed groundwater direction studies. The Company continues to believe that the

pending appeals are without merit and is continuing forward as planned towards uranium extraction at its fully-permitted Goliad Project.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
April 30, 2014
(Unaudited)

On or about April 3, 2012, the Company received notification of a lawsuit filed in the State of Arizona, in the Superior Court for the County of Yavapai, by certain Petitioners (the Plaintiffs) against a group of defendants, including the Company and former management and board members of Concentric. The lawsuit asserts certain claims relating to the Plaintiffs' equity investments in Concentric, including allegations that the former management and board members of Concentric engaged in various wrongful acts prior to and/or in conjunction with the merger of Concentric. The lawsuit further alleges that the Company is contractually liable for liquidated damages arising from a pre-merger transaction which the Company previously acknowledged and recorded as an accrued liability. In August 2012, the Company paid the liquidated damages portion of the lawsuit in full by a cash payment of \$149,194 to the Plaintiffs. The court dismissed several claims set forth in the Plaintiffs' initial complaint, but granted the Plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. The court denied a subsequent motion to dismiss the complaint, finding that the pleading met the minimal pleading requirements under the applicable rules. In October 2013, the Company filed a formal response denying liability for any of the Plaintiffs' remaining claims and intends to vigorously defend against any and all remaining claims asserted under this lawsuit. The parties have exchanged preliminary disclosure statements, and formal discovery has commenced. The Company continues to believe that this lawsuit is without merit.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of the Company (MD&A) contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions including, among others, statements regarding our capital needs, business plans and expectations. In evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors including the risks, uncertainties and assumptions set forth in the reports and other documents we have filed with or furnished to the SEC, including, without limitation, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013 including the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained therein. These factors, or any one of them, may cause our actual results or actions in the future to differ materially from any forward-looking statement made in this document. Refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors under Part II - Other Information.

INTRODUCTION

This MD&A is focused on material changes in our financial condition from July 31, 2013, our most recent year-end, to April 30, 2014 and our results of operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and 2013, and should be read in conjunction with the MD&A contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013.

BUSINESS

We operate in a single reportable segment and since 2004, as more fully described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013, we have been engaged in uranium mining and related activities, including exploration, pre-extraction, extraction and processing, on uranium projects located in the United States and Paraguay.

We utilize in-situ recovery (ISR) mining where possible which we believe, when compared to conventional open pit or underground mining, requires lower capital and operating expenditures with a shorter lead time to extraction and a reduced impact on the environment. We have one uranium mine located in the State of Texas, the Palangana Mine, which utilizes ISR mining and commenced extraction of triuranium octoxide (U_3O_8), or yellowcake, in November 2010. We have one uranium processing facility or mill located in the State of Texas, the Hobson Processing Facility, which processes material from the Palangana Mine into drums of U_3O_8 , our only sales product and source of revenue, for shipping to a third-party storage and sales facility.

The Hobson Processing Facility has a physical capacity to process two million pounds of U_3O_8 annually and is licensed to process up to one million pounds of U_3O_8 annually, providing for the capacity to process material from a number of ISR mines. We utilize a hub-and-spoke strategy in South Texas whereby the Hobson Processing Facility acts as our central uranium processing site (the hub) for the Palangana Mine and for future satellite ISR mines, such as our Goliad Project, (the spokes) located within the South Texas Uranium Belt.

We also hold certain mineral exploration interests in various stages in the States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming and in Paraguay, many of which are located in historically successful mining areas and have been the subject of past exploration activities by other mining companies. We do not expect, however, to utilize ISR mining for all of these mineral exploration interests in which case we would expect to rely on conventional open pit and/or underground mining techniques.

Our operating and strategic framework is based on expanding our uranium extraction activities, which includes advancing certain uranium projects with established mineralized materials towards uranium extraction, and establishing additional mineralized materials on our existing uranium projects or through acquisition of additional uranium projects.

On September 5, 2013, we announced our plan to align our operations to adapt to the existing uranium market in a challenging post-Fukushima environment, most notably the uranium spot price being at a seven-year low. As a result, uranium extraction at PAA-1, 2 and 3 of the Palangana Mine operated at a reduced pace during the nine months ended April 30, 2014, while any further pre-extraction expenditures were deferred, to maintain operational readiness to ramp-up output in anticipation of a recovery in uranium spot prices.

MINERAL RIGHTS AND PROPERTIES

The following is a summary of significant activities by project for the nine months ended April 30, 2014:

Texas: Palangana Mine

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, as a result of our plan to align our operations to adapt to the existing uranium market in a challenging post-Fukushima environment, uranium extraction at PAA-1, 2 and 3 of the Palangana Mine and uranium processing at the Hobson Processing Facility began to operate at a reduced pace, while any further pre-extraction expenditures relating to existing wellfields were deferred, in order to maintain operational readiness to ramp-up output in anticipation of a recovery in uranium spot prices.

The Mine Permit and Aquifer Exemption amendment applications for future wellfields PAA-4, 5 and 6 are in the final stages of technical review by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). The Radioactive Material License amendment application for PAA-4 and 6 is also in the final stages of technical review by the TCEQ. Preparation of Production Area Authorization applications for PAA-5 and 6 are expected to begin upon completion of these amendment applications.

At PAA-4, all requests for additional information by the TCEQ have been submitted for the Production Area Authorization permit and the technical review is complete.

Texas: Goliad Project

A three-phase electrical power system for the fully-permitted Goliad Project and a large caliche site pad for the main plant complex and disposal well have been constructed. During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, long-lead items including processing equipment and supplies for the construction of the satellite facility and wellfield were received.

Texas: Burke Hollow Project

During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, 101 exploration holes totaling 48,385 feet were drilled at the Burke Hollow Project to depths ranging from a minimum 220 feet to a maximum 1,100 feet, with an average depth of 479 feet. At April 30, 2014, a total of 395 exploration holes totaling 188,065 feet have been drilled to depths ranging from a minimum 160 feet to a maximum 1,100 feet, with an average depth of 476 feet.

At April 30, 2014, a total of 30 regional baseline monitor wells have been installed in order to establish baseline water quality in both the Goliad Lower A and Goliad Lower B sands. Six new regional baseline monitor wells were installed to complete the preoperational groundwater characterization program, with no additional monitor wells expected for this project. A waste disposal well feasibility study was completed for this project and two disposal well applications are being prepared for filing with the TCEQ. The Mine Area application was submitted to the TCEQ in April 2014 and is currently under administrative review. The Radioactive Material License application continues to be developed and is expected to be submitted in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014.

Arizona: Anderson Project

Historic and recent drilling results are being reviewed for future exploration/delineation activities in the Anderson Project. Permitting plans and a Preliminary Economic Assessment are also being developed.

Colorado: Slick Rock Project

A Preliminary Economic Assessment for the Slick Rock Project was completed in April 2014 prepared in accordance with the provisions of National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Rights of the Canadian

Securities Administrators (NI 43-101) and based on mineralized materials identified in an NI 43-101 Technical Report completed in February 2013.

Paraguay: Yuty and Coronel Oviedo Projects

Historic and recent drilling results are being reviewed for future exploration/delineation drilling at the Yuty Project. In February 2014, a radon extraction survey was completed at the Coronel Oviedo Project along the western basin margins, following up on historic airborne radiometric anomalies and outcrop sampling results that indicate a potential for shallow uranium mineralization.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, we recorded a net loss of \$6,697,107 (\$0.07 per share) and \$19,755,935 (\$0.22 per share), respectively. Costs and expenses during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 were \$5,891,054, and \$17,061,486, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, we recorded a net loss of \$3,900,045 (\$0.05 per share) and \$16,785,878 (\$0.20 per share), respectively. Costs and expenses during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013 were \$6,688,076 and \$23,829,203, respectively.

Uranium Extraction Activities

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, there were no sales of U_3O_8 completed. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, sales of uranium concentrates totaled 70,000 and 170,000 pounds generating revenue of \$2,789,325 and \$7,046,325, respectively. Corresponding cost of sales were \$2,271,267 and \$6,225,661, respectively.

Cost of sales for U_3O_8 is determined using the average cost per pound in inventory at the end of the month prior to the month in which the sale occurs, plus royalty obligations and other direct selling costs.

On September 5, 2013, we announced our plan to align our operations to adapt to the existing uranium market in a challenging post-Fukushima environment. During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, uranium extraction at PAA-1, 2 and 3 of the Palangana Mine and uranium processing at the Hobson Facility began to operate at a reduced pace, while any further pre-extraction expenditures relating to existing wellfields were deferred, in order to maintain operational readiness to ramp-up output in anticipation of a recovery in uranium spot prices. While we remain in this state of operational readiness, uranium extraction expenditures directly related to regulatory/mine permit compliance, lease maintenance obligations and maintaining a minimum labor force will be charged to our consolidated statement of operations.

As a result of the above, pounds of U_3O_8 extracted from the Palangana Mine and processed at the Hobson Facility have both decreased significantly. During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Palangana Mine extracted 9,000 and 36,000 pounds of U_3O_8 , respectively, while the Hobson Facility processed 9,000 and 37,000 pounds of U_3O_8 , respectively. During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, the Palangana Mine extracted 69,000 and 146,000 pounds of U_3O_8 , respectively, while the Hobson Facility processed 71,000 and 154,000 pounds of U_3O_8 , respectively.

At April 30, 2014, we had a total of 64,000 pounds of U_3O_8 available for sale in inventory. The total value of inventories was \$1,815,953, of which \$1,719,054 (95%) represents the value of finished goods of U_3O_8 , \$69,884 (4%) represents the value of work-in-progress and \$27,015 (1%) represents the value of supplies. The cash component of the total value of inventories was \$1,457,545 and the non-cash component of the total value of inventory was \$358,408. At October 31, 2013, a write-down of inventory of \$392,149 was recorded to adjust the U_3O_8 inventory balance in finished goods and work-in-progress to net realizable value to reflect the market price of U_3O_8 of \$34 per pound at October 31, 2013, less estimated royalties. At April 30, 2014, a further write-down of inventory of \$338,101 was recorded to adjust the U_3O_8 inventory balance in finished goods and work-in-progress to net realizable value to reflect the market price of U_3O_8 of \$30 per pound at April 30, 2014, less estimated royalties.

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

At July 31, 2013, we had a total of 27,000 pounds of U_3O_8 available for sale in inventory. The total value of inventories was \$975,719, of which \$839,588 (86%) represents the value of finished goods of U_3O_8 , \$72,272 (7%) represents the value of work-in-progress and \$63,859 (7%) represents the value of supplies. The cash component of the total value of inventories was \$826,997 and the non-cash component of the total value of inventory was \$148,722. At July 31, 2013, a write-down of inventory of \$425,208 was recorded to adjust the U_3O_8 inventory balance in finished goods and work-in-progress to net realizable value to reflect the market price of U_3O_8 of \$35 per pound at July 31, 2013, less estimated royalties.

Cash and non-cash components of the total value of inventories represent non-GAAP financial measures which we believe are important in evaluating our operating results not only for management but for our investors. We use these measures to compare our performance with other mining companies and rely upon them as part of management's decision-making process.

Costs and Expenses

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, costs and expenses totaled \$5,891,054 and \$17,061,486, respectively, comprised of inventory write-down of \$338,101 and \$730,250, mineral property expenditures of \$2,739,349 and \$6,911,512, general and administrative of \$1,808,395 and \$7,196,664, depreciation, amortization and accretion of \$577,045 and \$1,766,005 and impairment loss on mineral properties of \$428,164 and \$457,055, respectively. During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, no sales of U₃O₈ were generated therefore no corresponding cost of sales were recorded.

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, costs and expenses totaled \$6,688,076 and \$23,829,203, respectively, comprised of cost of sales of \$2,271,267 and \$6,225,661, mineral property expenditures of \$1,827,147 and \$8,109,696, general and administrative of \$2,244,682 and \$8,277,283 and depreciation, amortization and accretion of \$344,980 and \$1,216,563, respectively. During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, no inventory write-down or impairment loss was recorded.

Mineral Property Expenditures

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, mineral property expenditures totaled \$2,739,349 and \$6,911,512, respectively. These amounts include expenditures relating to permitting, property maintenance, exploration, pre-extraction and other related activities on the Company's uranium projects. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, these amounts include uranium extraction expenditures directly related to maintaining operational readiness of \$725,588 and \$2,006,954, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, mineral property expenditures totaled \$1,827,147 and \$8,109,696, respectively. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, no uranium extraction expenditures directly related to maintaining operational readiness were included in mineral property expenditures.

The following table is a summary of the mineral property expenditures incurred on the Company's uranium projects during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 and 2013:

| | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Mineral Property Expenditures | | | | |
| Palangana Mine | \$ 668,307 | \$ 756,414 | \$ 1,975,766 | \$ 3,724,668 |
| Goliad Project | 419,278 | 145,390 | 1,591,309 | 369,375 |
| Burke Hollow Project | 750,907 | 572,578 | 1,348,976 | 1,894,411 |
| Channen Project | 219 | 29,983 | 728 | 805,791 |
| Anderson Project | 45,290 | - | 172,975 | 74,924 |
| Workman Creek Project | 1,440 | - | 31,651 | 32,640 |
| Slick Rock Project | 10,309 | 29,910 | 61,320 | 116,762 |
| Yuty Project | 148,248 | 26,831 | 228,997 | 134,791 |
| Coronel Oviedo Project | 457,527 | 88,210 | 601,723 | 433,802 |
| Other Mineral Property Expenditures | 237,824 | 177,831 | 898,067 | 522,532 |
| | \$ 2,739,349 | \$ 1,827,147 | \$ 6,911,512 | \$ 8,109,696 |

General and Administrative

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, general and administrative expenses totaled \$1,808,395 and \$7,196,664 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$2,244,682 and \$8,277,283), respectively.

The following summary provides a discussion of the major expense categories, including salaries, management and consulting fees; office, investor relations, communications and travel; professional fees; and stock-based compensation, including analyses of the factors that caused any significant variances compared to the same period last year:

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, salaries, management and consulting fees totaled \$527,554 and \$2,748,764 which decreased by \$262,644 and \$338,061, respectively, compared with \$790,198 and \$3,086,825, respectively, for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013. These decreases were primarily the result of changes in the Company's personnel including a reduction in its labor force;

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, office, investor relations, communication and travel expenses totaled \$733,072 and \$2,316,398 which decreased by \$342,356 and \$1,186,656, respectively, compared with \$1,075,428 and \$3,503,054, respectively, for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013. These decreases were primarily the result of our continuing efforts to control and reduce our costs overall;

For the three months ended April 30, 2014, professional fees totaled \$315,897 which was consistent with \$309,098 for the three months ended April 30, 2013. For the nine months ended April 30, 2014, professional fees totaled \$1,148,064, which increased by \$217,969 compared with \$930,095 for the nine months ended April 30, 2013. This increase was primarily the result of increased professional fees relating to our regulatory filings and existing legal proceedings; and

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, stock-based compensation totaled \$231,872 and \$983,438 which increased by \$161,914 and \$226,129, respectively, compared with \$69,958 and \$757,309, respectively, for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013. These increases were primarily the result of an increase in common stock issued for consulting services as a result of our continuing efforts to control and reduce our cash outlay, partially offset by a decrease in the number of stock options granted to employees and consultants.

Depreciation, Amortization and Accretion

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, depreciation, amortization and accretion totaled \$577,045 and \$1,766,005 which increased by \$232,065 and \$549,442, respectively, compared with \$344,980 and \$1,216,563, respectively, for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013. These increases were primarily the result of recording depreciation, amortization and accretion directly related to maintaining operational readiness. Depreciation, amortization and accretion include depreciation and amortization of long-term assets acquired in the normal course of operations and accretion of asset retirement obligations.

Impairment Loss on Mineral Properties

During the three months ended April 30, 2014, the Company abandoned the Channen Project located in Texas with acquisition costs of \$428,164, resulting in the recognition of an impairment loss of \$428,164. During the nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company abandoned a mineral property located in Colorado with acquisition costs of \$28,891, resulting in the recognition of total impairment loss of \$457,055. No impairment loss was recorded for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013.

Other Income (Expenses)

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, other expenses totaled \$806,053 and \$2,694,449 which increased by \$804,759 and \$2,691,449, respectively, compared with \$1,294 and \$3,000, respectively, during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013.

Interest and Finance Costs

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, interest and finance costs totaled \$535,802 and \$2,165,337 which increased by \$530,782 and \$2,149,018, respectively, compared with \$5,020 and \$16,319, respectively, for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013. For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, interest and finance costs were comprised of, respectively, amortization of deferred financing costs of \$36,927 and \$146,095, amortization of debt discount of \$143,856 and \$1,040,511, interest and standby fees of \$350,000 and \$963,333 paid on long-term debt, and accrued interest of \$5,019 and \$15,398 on liquidated damages relating to an existing lawsuit.

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2013, interest and finance costs of \$5,020 and \$16,319, respectively, were comprised of accrued interest on liquidated damages relating to an existing lawsuit.

Loss on Fair Value of Variable Share Forward Contract

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, loss on fair value of variable share forward contract totaled \$288,436 and \$557,037 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$Nil and \$Nil). Refer to Note 8: Long-Term Debt to the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014 for further details.

Gain on Settlement of Asset Retirement Obligations

On May 2, 2014, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, upon receiving concurrence from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, approved the Company's application for release of the Mt. Lucas site to unrestricted use thereby officially completing the Mt. Lucas reclamation project. As a result, the Company derecognized the remaining ARO liabilities of \$11,236 associated with Mt. Lucas and recorded a gain on settlement of ARO on the consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended April 30, 2014.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

| | For the Quarters Ended | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Apr 30, 2014 | Jan 31, 2014 | Oct 31, 2013 | Jul 31, 2013 |
| Sales | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,980,000 |
| Net loss | (6,697,107) | (7,178,894) | (5,879,934) | (5,077,213) |
| Total comprehensive loss | (6,704,335) | (7,182,920) | (5,882,235) | (5,050,693) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | (0.07) | (0.08) | (0.07) | (0.06) |
| Total assets | 70,496,960 | 67,320,964 | 73,692,104 | 73,250,001 |

| | For the Quarters Ended | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Apr 30, 2013 | Jan 31, 2013 | Oct 31, 2012 | Jul 31, 2012 |
| Sales | \$ 2,789,325 | \$ 2,103,750 | \$ 2,153,250 | \$ 7,517,400 |
| Net loss | (3,900,045) | (5,588,210) | (7,297,623) | (4,753,814) |
| Total comprehensive loss | (3,900,279) | (5,591,888) | (7,298,104) | (4,791,400) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.09) | (0.06) |

Edgar Filing: URANIUM ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total assets | 67,927,245 | 72,188,198 | 76,682,464 | 85,143,395 |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

| | April 30, 2014 | July 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 13,306,310 | \$ 14,171,807 |
| Current assets | 16,076,336 | 16,527,822 |
| Current liabilities | 2,645,270 | 4,824,511 |
| Working capital | 13,431,066 | 11,703,311 |

At April 30, 2014, we had working capital of \$13,431,066, an increase of \$1,727,755 from our working capital of \$11,703,311 at July 31, 2013. At April 30, 2014, we had \$13,306,310 (July 31, 2013: \$14,171,807) in cash and cash equivalents, which represented the largest component of our working capital balance. As a result, our working capital balance will fluctuate significantly as we utilize our cash and cash equivalents to fund our operations including exploration and pre-extraction activities.

Debt Financing

Pursuant to an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated and effective March 13, 2014 (the Amended Credit Facility), which supersedes in its entirety the Credit Agreement dated and effective July 30, 2013 (the Credit Facility), the Company entered into a \$20,000,000 senior secured credit facility with Sprott Resource Lending Partnership and CEF (Capital Markets) Limited, under which initial funding of \$10,000,000 was received by the Company upon closing of the Credit Facility on July 30, 2013 and additional funding of \$10,000,000 was received by the Company upon closing of the Amended Credit Facility on March 13, 2014.

The Company will use the proceeds of the Credit Facility for the development, operation and maintenance of the Hobson Processing Facility, the Goliad Project and the Palangana Mine and for working capital purpose.

Equity Financing

We previously filed a Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective September 2, 2011 (the Shelf) providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate amount of \$50 million.

On October 23, 2013, we completed a public offering of 3,380,954 units at a price of \$2.10 per unit for gross proceeds of \$7.1 million pursuant to a prospectus supplement to the Shelf. Each unit is comprised of one share of common stock of the Company and 0.55 of one share purchase warrant, each whole warrant exercisable at a price of \$2.60 for a three year period to purchase one share of common stock of the Company. The net proceeds from this financing will be used to fund exploration and pre-extraction expenditures at our South Texas projects including the Burke Hollow Project and for general corporate and working capital purposes.

A total of \$34.4 million of the Shelf was utilized through public offers and sales of shares and units (\$22.5 million in gross proceeds through an offering of the Company's shares on April 10, 2012, \$7.1 million in gross proceeds through an offering of units consisting of the Company's shares and share purchase warrants on October 23, 2013, and \$4.8 million representing the aggregate exercise price of those share purchase warrants should they be exercised in full). We filed a further registration statement effective December 31, 2013 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company representing an additional 20%, or \$3.1 million, of the then remaining \$15.6 million available under the Shelf, which increased the remaining amount available under the Shelf to \$18.7 million.

On December 31, 2013, we filed a prospectus supplement to the Shelf providing for the public offer and sale of the Company's shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$18.7 million through one or more at-the-market offerings pursuant to a Controlled Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement effective December 31, 2013 between Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., as sales agent, and the Company (the Sales Agreement). At April 30, 2014, no public offer or sale of the Company's shares was completed under the Sales Agreement.

We filed a second Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective January 10, 2014 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate offering of \$100 million.

Historically, we have been reliant primarily on equity financings from the sale of our common stock and, more recently, on debt financing to fund our operations. We have also relied on cash flows generated from our mining activities during Fiscal 2013 and 2012. Our reliance on equity and debt financings is expected to continue for the foreseeable future, and their availability whenever such additional financing is required, will be dependent on many factors, including but not limited to those factors beyond our control such as volatility in the global financial markets affecting our stock price, the status of the worldwide economy and the market price of uranium, any one of which may cause significant challenges in our ability to access additional financing, including access to the equity and credit markets. We may also be required to seek other forms of financing, such as joint venture arrangements to continue advancing our uranium projects which would depend entirely on finding a suitable third party willing to enter into such an arrangement, typically involving an assignment of a percentage interest in the mineral project. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful in securing any form of additional financing when required and on terms favorable to us.

Our operations are capital intensive, and we will require significant additional financing to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with our exploration and pre-extraction activities. In the absence of such additional financing, we would not be able to fund our operations, including continuing with our exploration and pre-extraction activities, which may result in delay, curtailment or abandonment of any one or all of our uranium projects.

On September 5, 2013, we announced our plan to align our operations to adapt to the existing uranium market in a challenging post-Fukushima environment, most notably the uranium spot price being at a seven-year low. As a result, uranium extraction at PAA-1, 2 and 3 of the Palangana Mine operated at a reduced pace during the nine months ended April 30, 2014, while any further pre-extraction expenditures were deferred, to maintain operational readiness to ramp-up output in anticipation of a recovery in uranium spot prices. We do not expect to rely on cash flows generated from our mining activities for Fiscal 2014 to the extent relied upon during Fiscal 2013 and 2012.

Existing cash resources and available sources of financing are expected to provide sufficient funds to meet our obligations and carry out our plan of operations for the next twelve months.

Our anticipated exploration and pre-extraction activities, however, will be dependent on and may change as a result of our financial position, the market price of uranium and other considerations, and such change may include accelerating the pace of reducing our operations as originally announced on September 5, 2013, as well as broadening the scope of these reductions. Our ability to secure funding for these activities will be impacted by our operating performance, other uses of cash, the market price of uranium, the market price of our common stock, our ability to secure adequate financing and other factors which may be beyond our control. Specific examples of such factors include, but are not limited to:

- if the weakness in the market price of uranium experienced in Fiscal 2013 continues or weakens further during Fiscal 2014, it may have a negative effect on our decision to continue with our exploration and pre-extraction activities; and

- if the weakness in the market price of our common stock experienced in Fiscal 2013 continues or weakens further for Fiscal 2014, it would affect our ability to secure adequate financing to continue with our exploration and pre-extraction activities.

Our continuation as a going concern for a period longer than twelve months will be dependent upon our ability to obtain adequate additional financing, as future capital expenditures are expected to be substantial.

Our long-term success, including the recoverability of the carrying values of our assets and our ability to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with exploration and pre-extraction activities and mining activities on our existing uranium projects, will depend ultimately on our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and to develop positive cash flow from our operations by establishing ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium and to develop these into profitable mining activities. The economic viability of our mining activities, including the expected duration and profitability of the Palangana Mine and of any future satellite ISR mines, such as the Goliad

Project, located within the South Texas Uranium Belt, has many risks and uncertainties. These include, but are not limited to: (i) a significant, pro-longed decrease in the market price of uranium; (ii) difficulty in marketing and/or selling uranium concentrates; (iii) significantly higher than expected capital costs to construct the mine and/or processing plant; (iv) significantly higher than expected extraction costs; (v) significantly lower than expected uranium extraction; (vi) significant delays, reductions or stoppages of uranium extraction activities; and (vii) the introduction of significantly more stringent regulatory laws and regulations. Our mining activities may change as a result of any one or more of these risks and uncertainties and there is no assurance that any ore body that we extract mineralized materials from will result in profitable mining activities.

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended April 30, 2014 was \$16,741,007 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$15,135,772). Significant operating expenditures included uranium extraction costs, mineral property expenditures and general and administrative expenses.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended April 30, 2014 was \$16,115,675 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$21,413) resulting from net cash of \$6,539,575 received from the issuance of common shares from the equity financing and \$22,501 received from the exercise of stock options (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$43,564 from exercise of stock options), net cash of \$9,554,467 in additional funding received from the Amended Credit Facility (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$Nil) and increase in amounts due to related parties of \$868 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$22,151).

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended April 30, 2014 was \$240,165 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$920,384), resulting primarily from the acquisition of mineral rights and properties of \$161,800 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$271,833), purchase of property, plant and equipment of \$77,813 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$156,432) and an increase of reclamation deposits of \$1,152 (nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$497,119).

Stock Options and Warrants

At April 30, 2014, the Company had stock options outstanding to purchase 8,060,136 shares and share purchase warrants outstanding to purchase 5,009,524 shares. The outstanding stock options have a weighted average exercise price of \$2.11 per share and the outstanding warrants have a weighted average exercise price of \$2.38 per share. At April 30, 2014, outstanding stock options and warrants totaled 13,069,660 shares issuable for gross proceeds of approximately \$28,961,000 should these options and warrants be exercised in full. At April 30, 2014, outstanding in-the-money stock options and warrants totaled 2,566,038 shares issuable for gross proceeds of approximately \$1,365,000 should these options and warrants be exercised in full. The exercise of these stock options and warrants is at the discretion of the respective holders and there is no assurance that any of these stock options or warrants will be exercised in the future.

TRANSACTIONS WITH DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

During the three and nine months ended April 30, 2014, the Company had transactions with certain officers and directors of the Company as follows:

incurred \$37,176 and \$110,815 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$42,409 and \$126,323) in general and administrative costs paid to a company controlled by a direct family member of a director and officer; and

incurred \$9,000 and \$27,000 (three and nine months ended April 30, 2013: \$9,000 and \$27,000) in consulting fees paid to a company controlled by a director of the Company.

At April 30, 2014, amounts due to related parties totaled \$8,703 (July 31, 2013: \$9,571). These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand.

MATERIAL COMMITMENTS

Effective October 31, 2013, a delivery commitment of 30,000 pounds of U_3O_8 remaining under an existing offtake agreement for completion no later than July 31, 2014 was cancelled by the Company without penalty.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013.

Refer to Critical Accounting Policies under Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Refer to Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

It should be noted that any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions designed to obtain reasonable (and not absolute) assurance as to its effectiveness, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals.

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As of the date of this Quarterly Report, other than as disclosed below, there are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to our business, to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is subject, and no director, officer, affiliate or record or beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock, or any associate or any such director, officer, affiliate or security holder, is (i) a party adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries in any legal proceeding or (ii) has an adverse interest to us or any of our subsidiaries in any legal proceeding. Other than as disclosed below, management is not aware of any other material legal proceedings pending or that have been threatened against us or our properties.

On or about March 9, 2011, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the TCEQ) granted the Company's applications for a Class III injection well, Production Area Authorization and Aquifer Exemption permits for its Goliad Project. On or about December 4, 2012, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) concurred with the TCEQ issuance of the Aquifer Exemption permit (the AE). With the receipt of this concurrence, the final authorization required for uranium extraction, the Goliad Project achieved fully-permitted status. On or about May 24, 2011, a group of petitioners, inclusive of Goliad County, appealed the TCEQ action to the 250th District Court in Travis County, Texas. The petitioners' appeal lay dormant until on or about June 14, 2013, when the petitioners filed their initial brief in support of their position. The Company has, since inception, successfully intervened as a party. On or about January 18, 2013, a different group of petitioners, exclusive of Goliad County, filed a petition for review with the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in the United States (the Fifth Circuit) to appeal the EPA's decision. On or about March 5, 2013, a motion filed by the Company to intervene in this matter was granted by the court. The parties are attempting to resolve both appeals and, to facilitate discussions and to avoid further legal costs, the parties have jointly agreed, through mediation which was initially conducted through the Fifth Circuit on or about August 8, 2013, to abate the proceedings in the State District Court, which was approved by the State District Court on or about August 21, 2013. The EPA filed a motion to remand without vacatur with the Fifth Circuit wherein the EPA's stated purpose was to elicit additional public input and further explain its rationale for the approval. In requesting the remand without vacatur, which would allow the AE to remain in place during the review period, the EPA denied the existence of legal error and stated that it was unaware of any additional information that would merit reversal of the AE. The Company and the TCEQ filed a request to the Fifth Circuit for the motion to remand without vacatur, if granted, to be limited to a 60-day review period. On December 9, 2013, by way of a procedural order from a three-judge panel of the Fifth Circuit, the Court granted the remand without vacatur and initially limited the review period to 60 days. In March of 2014, at the EPA's request, the Fifth Circuit extended the EPA's time period for review, and, in addition, during that same time period, the Company conducted a joint groundwater survey of the site, the result of which reaffirm the Company's previously filed groundwater direction studies. The Company continues to believe that the pending appeals are without merit and is continuing forward as planned towards uranium extraction at its fully-permitted Goliad Project.

On or about April 3, 2012, the Company received notification of a lawsuit filed in the State of Arizona, in the Superior Court for the County of Yavapai, by certain Petitioners (the Plaintiffs) against a group of defendants, including the Company and former management and board members of Concentric. The lawsuit asserts certain claims relating to the Plaintiffs' equity investments in Concentric, including allegations that the former management and board members of Concentric engaged in various wrongful acts prior to and/or in conjunction with the merger of Concentric. The lawsuit further alleges that the Company is contractually liable for liquidated damages arising from a pre-merger transaction which the Company previously acknowledged and recorded as an accrued liability. In August 2012, the Company paid the liquidated damages portion of the lawsuit in full by a cash payment of \$149,194 to the Plaintiffs. The court dismissed several claims set forth in the Plaintiffs' initial complaint, but granted the Plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint. The court denied a subsequent motion to dismiss the complaint, finding that the pleading met the minimal pleading requirements under the applicable rules. In October 2013, the Company filed a formal response denying liability for any of the Plaintiffs' remaining claims and intends to vigorously defend against any and all remaining

claims asserted under this lawsuit. The parties have exchanged preliminary disclosure statements, and formal discovery has commenced. The Company continues to believe that this lawsuit is without merit.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the information contained in our Form 10-K Annual Report for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013 and this Form 10-Q Quarterly Report, the following list of material risks and uncertainties should be carefully reviewed by our stockholders and any potential investors in evaluating our Company, our business and the market value of our common stock. Any one of these risks and uncertainties has the potential to cause material adverse effects on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results which could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements expressed by us and a significant decrease in the market price of our common stock. Refer to Forward-Looking Statements as disclosed in our Form 10-K Annual Report for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013.

There is no assurance that we will be successful in preventing the material adverse effects that any of the following risks and uncertainties may cause, or that these potential risks and uncertainties are a complete list of the risks and uncertainties facing us. Furthermore, there may be additional risks and uncertainties that we are presently unaware of, or presently consider immaterial, that may become material in the future and have a material adverse effect on us. You could lose all or a significant portion of your investment due to any of these risks and uncertainties.

Risks Related to Our Company and Business

Evaluating our future performance may be difficult since we have a limited financial and operating history, with significant negative cash flow and net losses to date. Furthermore, our long-term success will depend ultimately on our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and to develop positive cash flow from our mining activities.

Uranium Energy Corp. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on May 16, 2003 and since 2004, we have been engaged in uranium mining and related activities, including exploration, pre-extraction, extraction and processing on projects located in the United States and Paraguay. In November 2010, we commenced uranium extraction utilizing in-situ recovery for the first time at the Palangana Mine and processed those materials at the Hobson Facility into drums of U₃O₈, our only sales product and source of revenue. We generated revenues from sales of U₃O₈ during Fiscal 2013 and 2012, with no revenues generated prior to Fiscal 2012. We also hold uranium projects in various stages of exploration and pre-extraction in the States of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming and in Paraguay.

We have a history of significant negative cash flow and accumulated deficit since inception to April 30, 2014 of \$162.4 million, incurring a net loss of \$19.8 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2014. For Fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011, we incurred net losses of \$21.9 million, \$25.1 million and \$27.4 million, respectively. Although we generated revenues from sales of uranium concentrates during Fiscal 2013 and 2012 of \$9.0 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, we have yet to achieve profitability or develop positive cash flow from operations. Furthermore, we do not expect to achieve profitability or develop positive cash flow from operations in the near term, which may never happen. Historically, we have been reliant primarily on equity financings and, more recently, on debt financing to fund our operations and we expect this reliance to continue for the foreseeable future. As a result of our limited financial and operating history, including our significant negative cash flow and net losses to date, it may be difficult to evaluate our future performance.

Our long-term success, including the recoverability of the carrying values of our assets and our ability to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with exploration, pre-extraction and mining activities on our existing uranium projects, will depend ultimately on our ability to achieve and maintain profitability and to develop positive cash flow from our operations by establishing ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium and to develop these into profitable mining activities. The economic viability of our mining activities has many risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to: (i) a significant, prolonged decrease in the market price of uranium; (ii) difficulty in marketing and/or selling uranium concentrates; (iii) significantly higher than expected capital costs to

construct the mine and/or processing plant; (iv) significantly higher than expected extraction costs; (v) significantly lower than expected uranium extraction; (vi) significant delays, reductions or stoppages of uranium extraction activities; and (vi) the introduction of significantly more stringent regulatory laws and regulations. Our mining activities may change as a result of any one or more of these risks and uncertainties and there is no assurance that any ore body that we extract mineralized materials from will result in profitable mining activities.

Our operations are capital intensive, and we will require significant additional financing to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with our exploration and pre-extraction activities on our existing uranium projects. There is no assurance, however, that we will be successful in securing any form of additional financing when required and on terms favorable to us.

Our operations are capital intensive, and we will require significant additional financing to acquire additional uranium projects and continue with our exploration and pre-extraction activities which include assaying, drilling, geological and geochemical analysis and mine construction costs. In the absence of such additional financing, we would not be able to fund our operations, including continuing with our exploration and pre-extraction activities, which may result in delay, curtailment or abandonment of any one or all of our uranium projects.

Historically, we have been reliant primarily on equity financings from the sale of our common stock and, more recently, on debt financing to fund our operations.

We previously filed a Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective September 2, 2011 (the Shelf) providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate amount of \$50 million. A total of \$34.4 million of the Shelf was utilized through public offers and sales of shares and units (\$22.5 million in gross proceeds through an offering of the Company's shares on April 10, 2012, \$7.1 million in gross proceeds through an offering of units consisting of the Company's shares and share purchase warrants on October 23, 2013, and \$4.8 million representing the aggregate exercise price of those share purchase warrants should they be exercised in full). We filed a further registration statement effective December 31, 2013 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company representing an additional 20%, or \$3.1 million, of the then remaining \$15.6 million available under the Shelf, which increased the remaining amount available under the Shelf to \$18.7 million.

On December 31, 2013, we filed a prospectus supplement under the Shelf providing for the public offer and sale of the Company's shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$18.7 million through one or more at-the-market offerings pursuant to a Controlled Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement effective December 31, 2013 between Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., as sales agent, and the Company (the Sales Agreement). At April 30, 2014, no public offer or sale of the Company's shares was completed under the Sales Agreement.

Additionally, we filed a second Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective January 10, 2014 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate offering of \$100 million.

We also entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated and effective March 13, 2014, which supersedes in its entirety the Credit Agreement dated and effective July 30, 2013, which provides for a \$20 million secured credit facility, pursuant to which we had drawn down \$20 million in principal as of April 30, 2014.

Although we have also generated cash flow from our mining activities during Fiscal 2013 and 2012, our reliance on equity and debt financings is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. We caution that the availability of future financing will be dependent on many factors, including but not limited to general market conditions and the market value of our common stock. We may also be required to seek other forms of financing, such as joint venture arrangements, to continue advancing our uranium projects. A joint venture would depend entirely on our ability to find one or more suitable third parties willing to enter into such an arrangement, and would typically require us to assign a percentage interest in the mineral project to the joint venture participants.

Factors beyond our control such as, but not limited to, volatility in the global financial markets affecting our stock price, the status of the worldwide economy and the market price of uranium may pose significant challenges to our ability to access additional financing, including access to the equity and credit markets. There is no assurance that we will be successful in securing any form of additional financing when required and on terms favorable to us.

Restrictive covenants in the credit agreement governing our indebtedness may restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies.

Our \$20 million secured credit facility, pursuant to which we had drawn down \$20 million in principal as of April 30, 2014, includes restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to sell the assets securing our indebtedness or to incur additional indebtedness other than permitted indebtedness, which may restrict our ability to pursue certain business strategies from time to time. If we do not comply with these covenants, we could be in default which, if not addressed or waived, could require accelerated repayment of our indebtedness.

If we are unable to service our indebtedness, we could lose the assets securing our indebtedness.

Our ability to make scheduled payments depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which are subject to prevailing economic, competitive, legislative and regulatory conditions beyond our control. We may be unable to generate a level of cash flow from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, interest and standby fees on our indebtedness.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default which, if not addressed or waived, could require accelerated repayment of our indebtedness and the enforcement by the lenders against the assets securing our indebtedness. Our credit facility is secured by our Hobson Processing Facility and the Goliad mineral leases. These are key assets on which our business is substantially dependent and as such, the enforcement against any one or all of these assets would have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

Our uranium extraction and sales history is limited, with our uranium extraction originating from a single uranium mine. Our ability to continue generating revenue is subject to a number of factors, any one or more of which may adversely affect our revenues, results of operations and financial condition.

We have a limited history of uranium extraction and generating revenue. In November 2010, we commenced uranium extraction at a single uranium mine, the Palangana Mine, which has been our sole source for the uranium concentrates sold to generate our revenues during Fiscal 2013 and 2012, with no revenues generated prior to Fiscal 2012. Our ability to continue generating revenue from the Palangana Mine is subject to a number of factors which include, but are not limited to, (i) a significant, prolonged decrease in the market price of uranium; (ii) difficulty in marketing and/or selling uranium concentrates; (iii) significantly higher than expected capital costs to construct the mine and/or processing plant; (iv) significantly higher than expected extraction costs; (v) significantly lower than expected uranium extraction; (vi) significant delays, reductions or stoppages of uranium extraction activities; and (vii) the introduction of significantly more stringent regulatory laws and regulations. Furthermore, continued mining activities at the Palangana Mine will eventually deplete the Palangana Mine or become uneconomical, and if we are unable to directly acquire or develop our existing uranium projects into additional uranium mines from which we can commence uranium extraction, it will negatively impact our ability to continue generating revenues. Any one or more of these occurrences may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are inherently subject to numerous significant risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ significantly from expectations or anticipated amounts. Furthermore, exploration programs conducted on our uranium projects may not result in the establishment of ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are inherently subject to numerous significant risks and uncertainties, many beyond our control, including, but not limited to: (i) unanticipated ground and water conditions and adverse claims to water rights; (ii) unusual or unexpected geological formations; (iii) metallurgical and other processing problems; (iv) the occurrence of unusual weather or operating conditions and other force majeure events; (v) lower than expected ore grades; (vi) industrial accidents; (vii) delays in the receipt of or failure to receive necessary government permits; (viii) delays in transportation; (ix) availability of contractors and labor; (x) government permit restrictions and regulation restrictions; (xi) unavailability of materials and equipment; and (xii) the failure of equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications or expectations. These risks and uncertainties could result in: delays, reductions or stoppages in our mining activities; increased capital and/or extraction costs; damage to, or destruction of, our mineral projects, extraction facilities or other properties; personal injuries; environmental damage; monetary losses; and legal claims.

Success in uranium exploration is dependent on many factors, including, without limitation, the experience and capabilities of a company's management, the availability of geological expertise and the availability of sufficient funds to conduct the exploration program. Even if an exploration program is successful and commercially recoverable

uranium is established, it may take a number of years from the initial phases of drilling and identification of the mineralization until extraction is possible, during which time the economic feasibility of extraction may change such that the uranium ceases to be economically recoverable. Uranium exploration is frequently non-productive due, for example, to poor exploration results or the inability to establish ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium, in which case the uranium project may be abandoned and written-off. Furthermore, we will not be able to benefit from our exploration efforts and recover the expenditures that we incur on our exploration programs if we do not establish ore bodies that contain commercially recoverable uranium and develop these uranium projects into profitable mining activities, and there is no assurance that we will be successful in doing so for any of our uranium projects.

Whether an ore body contains commercially recoverable uranium depends on many factors including, without limitation: (i) the particular attributes, including material changes to those attributes, of the deposit such as size, grade, recovery rates and proximity to infrastructure; (ii) the market price of uranium, which may be volatile; and (iii) government regulations and regulatory requirements including, without limitation, those relating to environmental protection, permitting and land use, taxes, land tenure and transportation.

We have not established proven or probable reserves through the completion of a final or bankable feasibility study for any of our uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. Furthermore, we have no plans to establish proven or probable reserves for any of our uranium projects for which we plan on utilizing in-situ recovery (ISR) mining, such as the Palangana Mine. Since we commenced extraction of mineralized materials at the Palangana Mine without having established proven and probable reserves, it may result in our mining activities at the Palangana Mine, and at any future uranium projects for which proven and probable reserves are not established, being inherently riskier than other mining activities for which proven and probable reserves have been established.

We have established the existence of mineralized materials for certain uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. We have not established proven or probable reserves, as defined by the SEC under Industry Guide 7, through the completion of a final or bankable feasibility study for any of our uranium projects, including the Palangana Mine. Furthermore, we have no plans to establish proven or probable reserves for any of our uranium projects for which we plan on utilizing ISR mining, such as the Palangana Mine. Since we commenced uranium extraction at the Palangana Mine without having established proven or probable reserves, there may be greater inherent uncertainty as to whether or not any mineralized material can be economically extracted as originally planned and anticipated. Any mineralized materials established or extracted from the Palangana Mine should not be in any way associated with having established or produced from proven or probable reserves.

Since we are in the Exploration Stage, pre-production expenditures including those related to pre-extraction activities are expensed as incurred, the effects of which may result in our consolidated financial statements not being directly comparable to the financial statements of companies in the Production Stage.

Despite the fact that we commenced uranium extraction at the Palangana Mine in November 2010, we remain in the Exploration Stage as defined under Industry Guide 7, and will continue to remain in the Exploration Stage until such time proven or probable reserves have been established, which may never occur. We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) under which acquisition costs of mineral rights are initially capitalized as incurred while pre-production expenditures are expensed as incurred until such time we exit the Exploration Stage. Expenditures relating to exploration activities are expensed as incurred and expenditures relating to pre-extraction activities are expensed as incurred until such time proven or probable reserves are established for that uranium project, after which subsequent expenditures relating to mine development activities for that particular project are capitalized as incurred.

We have neither established nor have any plans to establish proven or probable reserves for our uranium projects for which we plan on utilizing ISR mining, such as the Palangana Mine. Companies in the Production Stage as defined by the SEC under Industry Guide 7, having established proven and probable reserves and exited the Exploration Stage, typically capitalize expenditures relating to ongoing development activities, with corresponding depletion calculated over proven and probable reserves using the units-of-production method and allocated to future reporting periods to inventory and, as that inventory is sold, to cost of goods sold. As we are in the Exploration Stage, it has resulted in us reporting larger losses than if we had been in the Production Stage due to the expensing, instead of capitalizing, of expenditures relating to ongoing mill and mine pre-extraction activities. Additionally, there would be no corresponding amortization allocated to our future reporting periods since those costs would have been expensed previously, resulting in both lower inventory costs and cost of goods sold and results of operations with higher gross profits and lower losses than if we had been in the Production Stage. Any capitalized costs, such as acquisition costs of mineral rights, are depleted over the estimated extraction life using the straight-line method. As a result, our consolidated financial statements may not be directly comparable to the financial statements of companies in the

Production Stage.

We have recorded estimated reclamation obligations relating to our uranium projects which may be exceeded by the actual reclamation costs when incurred in the future.

We are responsible for certain reclamation obligations in the future, primarily for the Hobson Facility and the Palangana Mine, and have recorded a liability on our balance sheet to recognize such estimated reclamation costs. There is a risk, however, that the actual reclamation costs when incurred in the future will exceed the estimated amounts recorded, which will adversely affect our results of operations and financial performance.

We do not insure against all of the risks we face in our operations.

In general, where coverage is available and not prohibitively expensive relative to the perceived risk, we will maintain insurance against such risk, subject to exclusions and limitations. We currently maintain insurance against general commercial liability claims and certain physical assets used in our operations, subject to exclusions and limitations, however, we do not maintain insurance to cover all of the potential risks and hazards associated with our operations. We may be subject to liability for environmental, pollution or other hazards associated with our exploration, pre-extraction and extraction activities, which risks may not be insured against, which may exceed the limits of our insurance coverage or which we may elect not to insure against because of high premiums or other reasons. Furthermore, we cannot provide assurance that any insurance coverage we currently have will continue to be available at reasonable premiums or that such insurance will adequately cover any resulting liability.

Acquisitions that we may make from time to time could have an adverse impact on us.

From time to time, we examine opportunities to acquire additional mining assets and businesses. Any acquisition that we may choose to complete may be of a significant size, may change the scale of our business and operations, and may expose us to new geographic, political, operating, financial and geological risks. Our success in our acquisition activities depends on our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, negotiate acceptable terms for any such acquisition, and integrate the acquired operations successfully with those of our Company. Any acquisitions would be accompanied by risks which could have a material adverse effect on our business. For example, there may be a significant change in commodity prices after we have committed to complete the transaction and established the purchase price or exchange ratio; a material ore body may prove to be below expectations; we may have difficulty integrating and assimilating the operations and personnel of any acquired companies, realizing anticipated synergies and maximizing the financial and strategic position of the combined enterprise, and maintaining uniform standards, policies and controls across the organization; the integration of the acquired business or assets may disrupt our ongoing business and our relationships with employees, customers, suppliers and contractors; and the acquired business or assets may have unknown liabilities which may be significant. In the event that we choose to raise debt capital to finance any such acquisition, our leverage will be increased. If we choose to use equity as consideration for such acquisition, existing shareholders may suffer dilution. Alternatively, we may choose to finance any such acquisition with our existing resources. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with such acquisitions.

The uranium industry is subject to numerous stringent laws, regulations and standards, including environmental protection laws and regulations. If any changes occur that would make these laws, regulations and standards more stringent, it may require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated or cause substantial delays, which would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are subject to numerous stringent laws, regulations and standards at the federal, state, and local levels governing permitting, pre-extraction, extraction, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection and reclamation of the environment, protection of endangered and protected species, mine safety, hazardous substances and other matters. Our compliance with these requirements requires significant financial and personnel resources.

The laws, regulations, policies or current administrative practices of any government body, organization or regulatory agency in the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction, may change or be applied or interpreted in a manner which may also have a material adverse effect on our operations. The actions, policies or regulations, or changes thereto, of any government body or regulatory agency or special interest group, may also have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Uranium exploration and pre-extraction programs and mining activities are subject to stringent environmental protection laws and regulations at the federal, state, and local levels. These laws and regulations, which include permitting and reclamation requirements, regulate emissions, water storage and discharges and disposal of hazardous wastes. Uranium mining activities are also subject to laws and regulations which seek to maintain health and safety standards by regulating the design and use of mining methods. Various permits from governmental and regulatory bodies are required for mining to commence or continue, and no assurance can be provided that required permits will be received in a timely manner.

Our compliance costs including the posting of surety bonds associated with environmental protection laws and regulations and health and safety standards have been significant to date, and are expected to increase in scale and scope as we expand our operations in the future. Furthermore, environmental protection laws and regulations may become more stringent in the future, and compliance with such changes may require capital outlays in excess of those anticipated or cause substantial delays, which would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

To the best of our knowledge, our operations are in compliance, in all material respects, with all applicable laws, regulations and standards. If we become subject to liability for any violations, we may not be able or may elect not to insure against such risk due to high insurance premiums or other reasons. Where coverage is available and not prohibitively expensive relative to the perceived risk, we will maintain insurance against such risk, subject to exclusions and limitations. However, we cannot provide any assurance that such insurance will continue to be available at reasonable premiums or that such insurance will be adequate to cover any resulting liability.

We may not be able to obtain or maintain necessary licenses.

Our exploration and mining activities are dependent upon the grant of appropriate authorizations, licences, permits and consents, as well as continuation of these authorizations, licences, permits and consents already granted, which may be granted for a defined period of time, or may not be granted or may be withdrawn or made subject to limitations. There can be no assurance that all necessary authorizations, licences, permits and consents will be granted to us, or that authorizations, licences, permits and consents already granted will not be withdrawn or made subject to limitations.

Major nuclear incidents may have adverse effects on the nuclear and uranium industries.

The nuclear incident that occurred in Fukushima, Japan in March 2011 had significant and adverse effects on both the nuclear and uranium industries. If another nuclear incident were to occur, it may have further adverse effects for both industries. Public opinion of nuclear power as a source of electricity generation may be adversely affected, which may cause governments of certain countries to further increase regulation for the nuclear industry, reduce or abandon current reliance on nuclear power or reduce or abandon existing plans for nuclear power expansion. Any one of these occurrences has the potential to reduce current and/or future demand for nuclear power, resulting in lower demand for uranium and lower market prices for uranium, adversely affecting the Company's operations and prospects. Furthermore, the growth of the nuclear and uranium industries is dependent on continuing and growing public support of nuclear power as a source of electricity generation.

The marketability of uranium concentrates will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control which may result in our inability to receive an adequate return on our invested capital.

The marketability of uranium concentrates extracted by us will be affected by numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include macroeconomic factors, fluctuations in the market price of uranium, governmental regulations, significant dispositions of uranium inventories by third-parties, land tenure and use, regulations concerning the importing and exporting of uranium and environmental protection regulations. The future effects of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but any one or a combination of these factors may result in our inability to receive an adequate return on our invested capital.

The uranium industry is highly competitive and we may not be successful in acquiring additional projects.

The uranium industry is highly competitive, and our competition includes larger, more established companies with longer operating histories that not only explore for and produce uranium, but also market uranium and other products on a regional, national or worldwide basis. Due to their greater financial and technical resources, we may not be able to acquire additional uranium projects in a competitive bidding process involving such companies. Additionally, these larger companies have greater resources to continue with their operations during periods of depressed market conditions.

We hold mineral rights in foreign jurisdictions which could be subject to additional risks due to political, taxation, economic and cultural factors.

We hold certain mineral rights located in Paraguay through the acquisition of Piedra Rica Mining S.A. and Transandes Paraguay S.A., both companies incorporated in Paraguay. Operations in foreign jurisdictions outside of the U.S. and Canada, especially in developing countries, may be subject to additional risks as they may have different political, regulatory, taxation, economic and cultural environments that may adversely affect the value or continued viability of our rights. These additional risks include, but are not limited to: (i) changes in governments or senior government officials; (ii) changes to existing laws or policies on foreign investments, environmental protection, mining and ownership of mineral interests; (iii) renegotiation, cancellation, expropriation and nationalization of existing permits or contracts; (iv) foreign currency controls and fluctuations; and (v) civil disturbances, terrorism and war.

In the event of a dispute arising at our foreign operations in Paraguay, we may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of the courts in the United States or Canada. We may also be hindered or prevented from enforcing our rights with respect to a government entity or instrumentality because of the doctrine of sovereign immunity. Any adverse or arbitrary decision of a foreign court may have a material and adverse impact on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

There is no guarantee that title to our mineral property interests will not be challenged.

Although we have taken reasonable measures to ensure proper title to our interests in mineral properties and other assets, there is no guarantee that the title to any such interests will not be challenged. No assurance can be given that we will be able to secure the grant or the renewal of existing mineral rights and tenures on terms satisfactory to us, or that governments in the jurisdictions in which we operate will not revoke or significantly alter such rights or tenures or that such rights or tenures will not be challenged or impugned by third parties, including local governments, aboriginal peoples or other claimants. Our mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. A successful challenge to the precise area and location of our claims could result in us being unable to operate on our properties as permitted or being unable to enforce our rights with respect to our properties.

Due to the nature of our business, we may be subject to legal proceedings which may divert management's time and attention from our business and result in substantial damage awards.

Due to the nature of our business, we may be subject to numerous regulatory investigations, civil claims, lawsuits and other proceedings in the ordinary course of our business including those described in our most recent annual report on Form 10-K, under Item 3. Legal Proceedings, and in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, under Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings. No reserves have been established for any potential liability relating to these lawsuits. The outcome of these lawsuits is uncertain and subject to inherent uncertainties, and the actual costs to be incurred will depend upon many unknown factors. We may be forced to expend significant resources in the defense of these suits, and we may not prevail. Defending against these and other lawsuits in the future may not only require us to incur significant legal fees and expenses, but may become time-consuming for us and detract from our ability to fully focus our internal resources on our business activities. The results of any legal proceeding cannot be predicted with certainty due to the

uncertainty inherent in litigation, the difficulty of predicting decisions of regulators, judges and juries and the possibility that decisions may be reversed on appeal. There can be no assurances that these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position.

We depend on certain key personnel, and our success will depend on our continued ability to retain and attract such qualified personnel.

Our success is dependent on the efforts, abilities and continued service of certain senior officers and key employees and consultants. A number of our key employees and consultants have significant experience in the uranium industry. A loss of service from any one of these individuals may adversely affect our operations, and we may have difficulty or may not be able to locate and hire a suitable replacement.

Certain directors and officers may be subject to conflicts of interest.

The majority of our directors and officers are involved in other business ventures including similar capacities with other private or publicly-traded companies. Such individuals may have significant responsibilities to these other business ventures, including consulting relationships, which may require significant amounts of their available time. Conflicts of interest may include decisions on how much time to devote to our business affairs and what business opportunities should be presented to us. Our Code of Business Conduct for Directors, Officers and Employees provides for guidance on conflicts of interest.

The laws of the State of Nevada and our Articles of Incorporation may protect our directors and officers from certain types of lawsuits.

The laws of the State of Nevada provide that our directors and officers will not be liable to the Company or its stockholders for monetary damages for all but certain types of conduct as directors and officers of the Company. Our Bylaws provide for broad indemnification powers to all persons against all damages incurred in connection with our business to the fullest extent provided or allowed by law. These indemnification provisions may require us to use our limited assets to defend our directors and officers against claims, and may have the effect of preventing stockholders from recovering damages against our directors and officers caused by their negligence, poor judgment or other circumstances.

Several of our directors and officers are residents outside of the U.S., and it may be difficult for stockholders to enforce within the U.S. any judgments obtained against such directors or officers.

Several of our directors and officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the U.S., and all or a substantial portion of such persons' assets are located outside of the U.S. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process on such directors and officers, or enforce within the U.S. any judgments obtained against such directors and officers, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the U.S. or any state thereof. Consequently, stockholders may be effectively prevented from pursuing remedies against such directors and officers under U.S. federal securities laws. In addition, stockholders may not be able to commence an action in a Canadian court predicated upon the civil liability provisions under U.S. federal securities laws. The foregoing risks also apply to those experts identified in this document that are not residents of the U.S.

Disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed and operated, are designed to obtain reasonable, and not absolute, assurance as to its reliability and effectiveness.

Management's evaluation on the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures is designed to ensure that information required for disclosure in our public filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis to our senior management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use and transactions are properly recorded and reported. Any system of controls, no matter how well designed and operated, is based in part upon certain assumptions designed to obtain reasonable, and not absolute, assurance as to its reliability and effectiveness.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Historically, the market price of our common stock has been and may continue to fluctuate significantly.

On September 28, 2007, our common stock commenced trading on the NYSE MKT (formerly known as the American Stock Exchange and the NYSE Amex Equities Exchange) and prior to that, traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

The global markets have experienced significant and increased volatility in the past, resulting in liquidity problems of the asset-backed commercial paper market and a number of large financial institutions requiring government bailouts or filing for bankruptcy. The effects of these past events and any similar events in the future may continue to or further affect the global markets, which may directly affect the market price of our common stock and our accessibility for additional financing. Although this volatility may be unrelated to specific company performance, it can have an adverse effect on the market price of our shares which, historically, has fluctuated significantly and may continue to do so in the future.

In addition to the volatility associated with general economic trends and market conditions, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly due to the impact of any one or more events, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) volatility in the uranium market; (ii) occurrence of a major nuclear incident such as the events in Fukushima, Japan in March 2011; (iii) changes in the outlook for the nuclear power and uranium industries; (iv) failure to meet market expectations on our exploration, pre-extraction or extraction activities, including abandonment of key uranium projects; (v) sales of a large number of our shares held by certain stockholders including institutions and insiders; (vi) downward revisions to previous estimates on us by analysts; (vii) removal from market indices; (viii) legal claims brought forth against us; and (ix) introduction of technological innovations by competitors or in competing technologies.

A prolonged decline in the market price of our common stock could affect our ability to obtain additional financing which would adversely affect our operations.

Historically, we have relied on equity financing and more recently, on debt financing, as primary sources of financing. A prolonged decline in the market price of our common stock or a reduction in our accessibility to the global markets may result in our inability to secure additional financing which would have an adverse effect on our operations.

Additional issuances of our common stock may result in significant dilution to our existing shareholders and reduce the market value of their investment.

We are authorized to issue 750,000,000 shares of common stock of which 90,467,764 shares were issued and outstanding as of April 30, 2014. Future issuances for financings, mergers and acquisitions, exercise of stock options and share purchase warrants and for other reasons may result in significant dilution to and be issued at prices substantially below the price paid for our shares held by our existing stockholders. Significant dilution would reduce the proportionate ownership and voting power held by our existing stockholders, and may result in a decrease in the market price of our shares.

We previously filed a Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective September 2, 2011 (the Shelf) providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate amount of \$50 million. A total of \$34.4 million of the Shelf was utilized through public offers and sales of shares and units (\$22.5 million in gross proceeds through an offering of the Company's shares on April 10, 2012, \$7.1 million in gross proceeds through an offering of units consisting of the Company's shares and share purchase warrants on October 23, 2013, and \$4.8 million representing the aggregate exercise price of those share purchase warrants should they be exercised in full). We filed a further registration statement effective December 31, 2013 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company representing an additional 20%, or \$3.1 million, of the then remaining \$15.6 million available under the Shelf, which increased the remaining amount available under the Shelf to

\$18.7 million.

On December 31, 2013, we filed a prospectus supplement under the Shelf providing for the public offer and sale of the Company's shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$18.7 million through one or more at-the-market offerings pursuant to a Controlled Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement effective December 31, 2013 between Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., as sales agent, and the Company (the Sales Agreement). At April 30, 2014, no public offer or sale of the Company's shares was completed under the Sales Agreement.

Additionally, we filed a second Form S-3 Shelf Registration Statement effective January 10, 2014 providing for the public offer and sale of certain securities of the Company from time to time, at our discretion, up to an aggregate offering of \$100 million.

We are subject to the Continued Listing Criteria of the NYSE MKT and our failure to satisfy these criteria may result in delisting of our common stock.

Our common stock is currently listed on the NYSE MKT. In order to maintain this listing, we must maintain certain share prices, financial and share distribution targets, including maintaining a minimum amount of shareholders' equity and a minimum number of public shareholders. In addition to these objective standards, the NYSE MKT may delist the securities of any issuer if, in its opinion, the issuer's financial condition and/or operating results appear unsatisfactory; if it appears that the extent of public distribution or the aggregate market value of the security has become so reduced as to make continued listing on the NYSE MKT inadvisable; if the issuer sells or disposes of principal operating assets or ceases to be an operating company; if an issuer fails to comply with the NYSE MKT's listing requirements; if an issuer's common stock sells at what the NYSE MKT considers a low selling price and the issuer fails to correct this via a reverse split of shares after notification by the NYSE MKT; or if any other event occurs or any condition exists which makes continued listing on the NYSE MKT, in its opinion, inadvisable.

If the NYSE MKT delists our common stock, investors may face material adverse consequences, including, but not limited to, a lack of trading market for our securities, reduced liquidity, decreased analyst coverage of our securities, and an inability for us to obtain additional financing to fund our operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During our fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2014, we issued the following securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the U.S. Securities Act):

On February 13, 2014, we issued 10,000 shares of restricted common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.82 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On February 27, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 17,582 shares of restricted common stock to two consultants at a deemed issuance price of \$1.82 per share in consideration for services under consulting agreements. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares. On the same date, we issued a further 8,000 shares of restricted common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.75 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On March 6, 2014, we issued 20,000 shares of restricted common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.88 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On March 13, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 100,000 shares of restricted common stock to three lenders at a deemed issuance price of \$1.74 per share pursuant to the terms of an amended and restated credit agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) for two of the lenders and by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) of the U.S. Securities Act with respect to the other lender.

On March 27, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 17,582 shares of restricted common stock to two consultants at a deemed issuance price of \$1.82 per share in consideration for services under consulting agreements. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares. On the same date, we issued a further 8,000 shares of restricted

common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.38 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On April 22, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 30,304 shares of restricted common stock to two individuals at a deemed issuance price of \$1.65 per share pursuant to a settlement agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) of the U.S. Securities Act with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On April 24, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 47,727 shares of restricted common stock to two consultants at a deemed issuance price of \$1.10 per share in consideration for services under consulting agreements. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) for one of the consultants and by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) of the U.S. Securities Act with respect to the other consultant. On the same date, we issued a further 20,000 shares of restricted common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.25 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Regulation S and/or Section 4(a)(2) with respect to the issuance of these shares.

On April 28, 2014, we issued 8,000 shares of restricted common stock to a consultant at a deemed issuance price of \$1.06 per share in consideration for services under a consulting agreement. We relied on exemptions from registration under the U.S. Securities Act provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D and/or Section 4(a)(2) of the U.S. Securities Act with respect to the issuance of these shares.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), issuers that are operators, or that have a subsidiary that is an operator, of a coal or other mine in the United States, and that is subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Safety Act), are required to disclose in their periodic reports filed with the SEC information regarding specified health and safety violations, orders and citations, related assessments and legal actions, and mining-related fatalities. During the quarter ended April 30, 2014, the Company's Palangana Mine was not subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Mine Safety Act.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are included with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit Description of Exhibit

| | |
|-------------|---|
| <u>31.1</u> | <u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a).</u> |
| <u>31.2</u> | <u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a).</u> |
| <u>32.1</u> | <u>Certifications pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u> |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

URANIUM ENERGY CORP.

/s/ Amir Adnani

Amir Adnani

President, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

and Director

Date: June 6, 2014

/s/ Mark Katsumata

Mark Katsumata

Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: June 6, 2014