EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSRS

June 02, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file

811-21142

number

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02109 (Zip code)

Alan R. Dynner The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 482-8260

Date of fiscal year September 30

end:

Date of reporting period: March 31, 2006

| Item 1. Reports to Stockholders | Item | 1. | Reports | to | Stock | chol | ders |
|---------------------------------|------|----|---------|----|-------|------|------|
|---------------------------------|------|----|---------|----|-------|------|------|

Semiannual Report March 31, 2006

EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUNDS

| CLOSED-END FUNDS: | |
|--------------------|--|
| Insured Municipal | |
| Insured California | |
| Insured New York | |

IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS AND PROXY VOTING

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ("Privacy Policy") with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy only applies to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e. fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and it's underlying Portfolio (if applicable) will file a schedule of its portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Investment Update | 2 |
|---|-------------|
| Performance Information and Portfolio Composition | |
| Insured Municipal Bond Fund Insured California Municipal Bond Fund Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund | 3 4 5 |
| Financial Statements | 15 |
| Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 30 |
| Board of Trustees Annual Approval of Investment Advisory Agreements | 32 |
| Management and Organization | 35 |
| 1 | |

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2006

INVESTMENT UPDATE

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds (the Funds) are designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, federal alternative minimum tax and, in state specific funds, state personal income taxes. The Funds invest primarily in high-grade municipal securities that are insured as to the timely payment of principal and interest.

Economic and Market Conditions

The economy expanded at a 4.8% pace in the first quarter of 2006, an increase from the 1.7% rate in the fourth quarter. Even with a cooling housing market, the economy generated respectable growth in 2005 and early 2006. Despite high energy prices, rising mortgage rates and a persistent tightening by the Federal Reserve (the Fed), the economy continued to create jobs 211,000 in March 2006. The economy appeared to be sustaining growth in both the manufacturing and service sectors, with moderate signs of inflationary pressures.

Investor sentiment regarding the Fed s monetary policy appears to have stabilized in recent months as investors have begun to anticipate the end of the Fed s series of interest rate hikes (which began in June 2004). The Fed has raised rates at all 15 of the last Open Market Committee meetings, with the current Federal Funds rate standing at 4.75%.

Boosted by lower-than-anticipated long-term interest rates, the municipal market saw record supply in 2005, more than \$400 billion in new issuance. However, supply has lagged thus far in 2006, contributing to municipal bond outperformance. At March 31, 2006, long-term AAA-rated insured municipal bonds yielded 93% of U.S. Treasury bonds with similar maturities.*

For the six months ended March 31, 2006, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index (the Index), a broadbased, unmanaged municipal market index, posted a modest gain of 0.98%. For information about each Fund s performance and the performance of funds in the same Lipper Classification, see the Performance Information and Portfolio Composition pages that follow.

Management Discussion

The Funds invest primarily in bonds with maturities of 10 years or longer, as longer-maturity bonds historically have provided greater tax-exempt income for investors than shorter-maturity bonds. Given the flattening of the yield curve for fixed-income securities over the past 18 months with shorter-maturity yields rising more than longer-maturity yields the long end of the curve was a relatively attractive place to be positioned. However, given the leveraged nature of the Funds, rising short term rates have increased the borrowing costs associated with the leverage. As borrowing costs have risen, the income generated by the Funds has declined. Please see the Performance Information and Portfolio

Compostion pages that follow for a description of each Fund s leverage as of March 31, 2006.

During the six months ended March 31, 2006, the Fed raised short-term interest rates at regular intervals, and commodities prices rose significantly. However, the economy grew at a solid pace, with moderate inflation. In this climate, Fund management continued to maintain a somewhat cautious outlook on interest rates and positioned the Funds—durations accordingly. Duration measures a bond fund—s sensitivity to changes in interest rates.

During the past year, management invested in bonds with attractive coupons and long call protection. These strategies contributed positively to the Funds performances over the 6-month period.

Management continued to focus on finding relative value within the marketplace in issuer names, coupons, maturities and sectors. Relative value trading, which seeks to capitalize on undervalued securities, has enhanced the Funds returns during the year. Finally, management continued to monitor closely call protection in the Funds. Call protection remains an important strategic consideration for municipal bond investors, especially because refinancing activity has increased over the past six months.

* Source: Bloomberg L.P. Yields are a compilation of a representative variety of general obligations and are not necessarily representative of a Fund s yield.

It is not possible to invest directly in an Index or Lipper Classification. The Index s total return does not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund.

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Fund Performance as of 3/31/06(1)

 Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)
 1.69%

 Six Months
 1.3.95

 One Year
 13.95

 Life of Fund (8/30/02)
 7.57

 Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)
 3.96%

 Six Months
 3.96%

 One Year
 10.22

 Life of Fund (8/30/02)
 8.77

Index Performance(2)

| Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|---|-------|
| Six Months | 0.98% |
| One Year | 3.81 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.40 |
| | |
| Lipper Averages(3) | |
| | |
| Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification - Average Annual Total Returns | |
| Six Months | 1.16% |
| One Year | 4.79 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.23 |
| | |
| Market Yields | |
| Market Yield(4) | 5.41% |
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(5) | 8.32 |
| | |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

⁽¹⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

| Portfolio Manager: Robert B. MacIntosh, CFA | Portfolio | Manager: | Robert B. | MacIntosh, | CFA |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----|
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----|

Rating Distribution(6), (7)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(7)

| Number of Issues: | 123 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Average Maturity: | 28.3 years |
| Effective Maturity: | 13.0 years |
| Average Rating: | AA+ |
| Average Call: | 10.0 years |
| Average Dollar Price: | \$ 89.90 |
| Leverage:* | 37% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund's total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income, but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

⁽²⁾ It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only. (3) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged

funds. The Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification contained 26, 26 and 23 funds for the 6-month, 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only. (4) The Fund's market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the semiannual period by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result. (5) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. (6) As of 3/31/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. (7) Fund information may not be representative of the Fund's current or future investments and may change due to active management.

3

Fund Performance as of 3/31/06(1)

| 4.50% |
|-------|
| 11.24 |
| 5.96 |
| |
| |
| 4.27% |
| 9.64 |
| 7.50 |
| |

⁽¹⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

Index Performance(2)

Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - Average Annual Total Returns

| Six Months | 0.98% |
|------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 3.81 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.40 |

Lipper Averages(3)

Lipper California Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification - Average Annual Total Returns

| Six Months | 1.55% |
|------------------------|-------|
| One Year | 5.53 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.28 |
| | |
| N.C. 4 37* 11. | |

| Market Yields | |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Market Yield(4) | 5.47% |
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(5) | 9.28 |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Portfolio Manager: Cynthia J. Clemson

Rating Distribution(6), (7)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(7)

| Number of Issues: | 90 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Average Maturity: | 26.6 years |
| Effective Maturity: | 9.9 years |
| Average Rating: | AAA |
| Average Call: | 8.1 years |
| Average Dollar Price: | \$ 94.66 |
| Leverage:* | 38% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund's total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income, but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

⁽²⁾ It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only. (3) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper California Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification contained 13, 13 and 10 funds for the 6-month, 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only. (4) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the semiannual period by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result. (5) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 41.05% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. (6) As of 3/31/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. (7) Fund information may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Fund Performance as of 3/31/05(1)

| Six Months 5.47% One Year 11.49 Life of Fund (8/30/02) 5.65 Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) |
|--|
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) 5.65 |
| Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value) |
| • |
| |
| Six Months 3.26% |
| One Year 7.59 |
| Life of Fund (8/30/02) 7.22 |

⁽¹⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. The Fund s performance at market share price will differ from its results at NAV. Although share price performance generally reflects investment results over time, during shorter periods, returns at share price can also be affected by factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the Fund s shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

Index Performance(2)

| Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - Average Annual Total Returns | |
|--|-------|
| Six Months | 0.98% |
| One Year | 3.81 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 4.40 |
| | |
| Lipper Averages(3) | |
| | |
| Lipper New York Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification - Average Annual Total Returns | S |
| Six Months | 0.85% |
| One Year | 4.65 |
| Life of Fund (8/31/02) | 5.34 |
| | |
| Market Yields | |
| Market Yield(4) | 5.46% |
| Taxable Equivalent Market Yield(5) | 9.10 |

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Portfolio Manager: Craig R. Brandon, CFA

Rating Distribution(6), (7)

By total investments

Fund Statistics(7)

| Number of Issues: | 65 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Average Maturity: | 26.6 years |
| Effective Maturity: | 9.7 years |
| Average Rating: | AAA |
| Average Call: | 9.0 years |
| Average Dollar Price: | \$ 95.45 |
| Leverage:* | 38% |

^{*} The leverage amount is a percentage of the Fund's total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income, but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

⁽²⁾ It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index. Index performance is available as of month-end only. (3) The Lipper Averages are the average total returns at net asset value of the funds that are in the same Lipper Classification as the Fund. It is not possible to invest in a Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. The Lipper New York Insured Municipal Debt Funds Classification contained 12, 12 and 9 funds for the 6-month, 1-year and Life-Of-Fund time periods, respectively. Lipper Averages are available as of month-end only. (4) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend per share of the semiannual period by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result. (5) Taxable-equivalent figure assumes a maximum 40.01% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. (6) As of 3/31/06. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund. (7) Fund information may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

| Tax-Exempt Investments 1 | 159.5% | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|---------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Electric Utilities 2.5% | | Security | , and |
| | | Long Island Power Authority, NY, | |
| \$ | 14,500 | Electric System Revenue, 4.50%, 12/1/24 | \$ 14,256,400 |
| | · | Sabine River Authority, TX, (TXU Energy Co. LLC), | |
| | 10,300 | Variable Rate, 5.20%, 5/1/28 | 10,549,466 |
| | | | \$ 24,805,866 |
| General Obligations 6.8% | | | |
| \$ | 12,500 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/30 | \$ 13,044,875 |
| | 3,750 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/34 | 3,946,200 |
| | 13,250 | California, 5.50%, 11/1/33 | 14,399,437 |
| | 19,500 | New York City, NY, 5.25%, 1/15/33 | 20,433,270 |
| | 17,500 | Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Commonwealth | 20,433,270 |
| | 15,000 | Guaranteed, 5.25%, 7/1/29 | 15,714,450 |
| | | | \$ 67,538,232 |
| Hospital 6.4% | | | |
| · | | Brevard County, FL, Health Facilities | |
| ф | 8 000 | Authority, | ¢ 9.125.440 |
| \$ | 8,000 | (Health First, Inc.), 5.00%, 4/1/36 California Health Facilities Financing | \$ 8,135,440 |
| | | Authority, | |
| | 44.000 | (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), | 44.460.400 |
| | 11,000 | 5.00%, 11/15/34 Camden County, NJ, Improvement | 11,163,130 |
| | | Authority, | |
| | | (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, | |
| | 1,225 | 2/15/25 Camden County, NJ, Improvement | 1,231,468 |
| | | Authority, | |
| | | (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, | |
| | 2,610 | 2/15/35 | 2,581,916 |
| | | Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, | |
| | | (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, | |
| | 2,500 | 2/15/27 | 2,543,300 |
| | | Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, | |
| | | (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, | |
| | 6,200 | 2/15/34 | 6,498,964 |
| | | Cuyahoga County, OH, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), | |
| | 2,600 | 5.50%, 1/1/29 | 2,755,818 |
| | _,,,,, | Hawaii Department of Budget and | _,,,,, |
| | | Finance, | |
| | 3,900 | (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33 | 4,035,954 |
| | 3,200 | Highlands County, FL, Health | 1,000,707 |
| | | Facilities Authority, | |
| | 5,525 | (Adventist Health System), 5.375%, 11/15/35 | 5,713,347 |
| | 2,223 | Indiana HEFA, (Clarian Health | 5,/15,54/ |
| | 5,880 | Partners), 4.75%, 2/15/34 | 5,697,720 |
| | | | |

| | | Indiana HEFA, (Clarian Health | |
|--|--------|--|----------------|
| | 2,625 | Partners), 5.00%, 2/15/36 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose | 2,627,887 |
| | | Authority, | |
| | 8,500 | (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 | 8,696,265 |
| | 0,000 | South Miami, FL, Health Facility | 0,000,200 |
| | 2,500 | Authority, (Baptist Health), 5.25%, 11/15/33 | 2,580,150 |
| | 2,500 | 3.23 %, 11/13/33 | \$ 64,261,359 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities 16.5% | | | + 01,-01,000 |
| | | Burlington, KS, PCR, (Kansas Gas & | |
| \$ | 13,000 | Electric Co.), (MBIA), 5.30%, 6/1/31 | \$ 13,804,700 |
| Ψ | 13,000 | Chelan County, WA, Public Utility | Ψ 13,001,700 |
| | | District No. 1, (Columbia River), (MBIA), 0.00%, | |
| | 21,355 | 6/1/27 (WB1A), 0.00%, | 7,782,830 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Electric Utilities (continued) | | Security | Varue |
| , , , | | Hamilton, OH, Electric, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 4,975 | 4.70%, 10/15/25 Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, | \$ 5,038,182 |
| | | Electric System Revenue, | |
| | 9,000 | (FSA), 4.75%, 10/1/34 | 9,071,730 |
| | 19,045 | Lincoln NE, Electric System, (FSA), 4.75%, 9/1/35 | 19,137,749 |
| | | Municipal Energy Agency, NE, | |
| | 2,625 | (Power Supply System), (FSA), 5.00%, 4/1/36 | 2,708,632 |
| | , | Nebraska, NE, Public Power District, | , , |
| | 18,240 | (FGIC), 4.75%, 1/1/35 | 18,416,016 |
| | | Omaha Public, NE, Power District, | |
| | 22,150 | (FGIC), 4.25%, 2/1/35 South Carolina Public Service | 20,659,748 |
| | | Authority, (FSA), | |
| | 60,755 | 5.125%, 1/1/37 Southern Minnesota Municipal | 62,656,024 |
| | | Power Agency, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,650 | 0.00%, 1/1/22 | 5,219,245 |
| | | | \$ 164,494,856 |
| Insured-General Obligations 23.5% | | Alvin, TX, Independent School | |
| | | District, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 3,975 | 3.25%, 2/15/27 | \$ 3,183,975 |
| | 60,000 | California, (XLCA), 5.00%, 10/1/28 Chicago, IL, Board of Education, | 61,930,200 |
| | | (Chicago School Reform), | |
| | 15,530 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/30 | 4,854,833 |
| | | Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (Chicago School Reform), | |
| | 41,300 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/21 | 20,130,446 |
| | 10,000 | Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/31 | 2,952,400 |
| | | Chicago, IL, Board of Education, | |
| | 10,500 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/29 Clarkston, MI, Community Schools, | 3,401,370 |
| | 4,920 | (MBIA), 4.00%, 5/1/26 | 4,502,292 |
| | 2 975 | Clarkston, MI, Community Schools, (MBIA), 4.00%, 5/1/29 | 2 402 720 |
| | 3,875 | Frisco, TX, Independent School | 3,493,739 |
| | 11 100 | District, (MBIA), | 0.707.467 |
| | 11,190 | 4.00%, 7/15/36 | 9,727,467 |

| | 13,180 | Georgia, (MBIA), 2.00%, 9/1/24 | 8,999,304 |
|----------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | | Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, | |
| | | IL, School District No. 46, | |
| | 20,425 | (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/21 | 10,293,587 |
| | | Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, | |
| | | IL, School District No. 46, | |
| | 50,650 | (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22 | 24,330,234 |
| | | Philadelphia, PA, School District, | |
| | 13,000 | (FGIC), 5.25%, 6/1/34 | 13,736,710 |
| | | Phoenix, AZ, (AMBAC), 3.00%, | |
| | 8,525 | 7/1/28 | 6,589,399 |
| | | Pima County, AZ, (FSA), 3.50%, | |
| | 2,700 | 7/1/19 | 2,454,030 |
| | | Schaumburg, IL, (FGIC), 5.00%, | |
| | 20,750 | 12/1/38 | 21,284,313 |
| | | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), | |
| | 21,300 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/25 | 8,645,670 |
| | | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), | |
| | 21,125 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/26 | 8,164,601 |
| | 4 | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), | |
| | 21,070 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/27 | 7,736,272 |
| | 21.510 | Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), | 7.516.454 |
| | 21,510 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/28 | 7,516,454 |
| | | | \$ 233,927,296 |
| nsured-Hospital 1.0% | | | |
| | | Connecticut Health and Educational | |
| | | Facilities Authority, | |
| | | (Danbury Hospital), (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 11,190 | 4.25%, 7/1/36 | \$ 10,396,070 |
| | | | \$ 10,396,070 |
| | | | T,-,-,-, |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount | | 9 | 77.1 |
|---|--------|--|---|
| (000's omitted) Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | Security | Value |
| Participation 5.6% | | | |
| | | Anaheim, CA, Public Financing | |
| \$ | 12,010 | Authority Lease Revenue, (FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37 | \$ 12,193,153 |
| ¥ | 12,010 | San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, | Ψ 12,173,133 |
| | 10.505 | (Civic Center), (AMBAC), | 42.002.424 |
| | 42,795 | 5.00%, 6/1/37 | 43,882,421 |
| | | | \$ 56,075,574 |
| Insured-Other Revenue 3.3% | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization | |
| | | Corp., CA, (AGC), | |
| \$ | 28,675 | 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ 29,254,235 |
| | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization | |
| | 4,000 | Corp., CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/38 | 4,110,800 |
| | ,,,,,, | 0.00,7,0.00 | \$ 33,365,035 |
| Insured-Private Education 1.1% | | | Ψ 22,233,033 |
| Titute Education 1.170 | | Massachusetts Development Finance | |
| | | Agency, | |
| \$ | 10,000 | (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33 | \$ 10,499,900 |
| Ψ | 10,000 | 3.2370, 11133 | \$ 10,499,900 |
| Insured-Public Education 5.7% | | | Ψ 10,422,200 |
| insured-1 ubite Education 3.7 % | | New Jersey Educational Facilities | |
| | | Authority, | |
| \$ | 9,610 | (Kean University), (MBIA), 4.50%, 7/1/37 | \$ 9,273,266 |
| Ψ | 9,010 | University of California, (FGIC), | φ 9,273,200 |
| | 14,215 | 4.75%, 5/15/37 | 14,300,006 |
| | 7,700 | University of California, (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/37 | 7,746,046 |
| | 7,700 | University of Massachusetts Building | 7,740,040 |
| | | Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 12,500 | 5.25%, 11/1/29 University of Vermont and State | 13,349,000 |
| | | Agricultural College, (MBIA), | |
| | 13,470 | 4.00%, 10/1/35 | 11,969,442 |
| | | | \$ 56,637,760 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue 3.7% | | | |
| | | Chicago, IL, Wastewater | |
| \$ | 13,670 | Transmission, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/1/23 | \$ 6,257,579 |
| | · | King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, | |
| | 11,075 | (FGIC), 4.50%, 1/1/31 | 10,760,138 |
| | 19,000 | King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, (FGIC), 5.00%, 1/1/31 | 19,451,060 |
| | . , | | \$ 36,468,777 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 6.6% | | | , |
| | | Grand Forks, ND, Sales Tax | |
| ¢. | 10.000 | Revenue, (Alerus Project), | ¢ 0.024.700 |
| \$ | 10,000 | (MBIA), 4.50%, 12/15/29 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, | \$ 9,834,700 |
| | | (AMBAC), | |
| | 18,980 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 8,009,370 |

| | | Metropolitan Transportation | |
|---|--------|---|---------------|
| | 10,000 | Authority, NY, Dedicated Tax Fund, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/15/30 | 10,326,500 |
| | | New York Convention Center | |
| | 17,200 | Development Corp., (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45 | 17,213,588 |
| | 17,200 | | 17,215,500 |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue (continued) | | Phoenix, AZ, Civic Improvement | |
| | | Corp., (Civic Plaza | |
| \$ | 6,000 | Expansion Project), (FGIC), 4.25%, 7/1/30 | \$ 5,632,020 |
| \$ | 0,000 | Puerto Rico Convention Center | \$ 3,032,020 |
| | 4.000 | District Authority, Hotel | 2.004.000 |
| | 4,000 | Occupancy, (CIFG), 4.50%, 7/1/36 Reno, NV, Sales and Room Tax, | 3,906,000 |
| | 10,500 | (AMBAC), 5.125%, 6/1/37 | 10,815,105 |
| | | | \$ 65,737,283 |
| Insured-Transportation 43.4% | | | |
| | | California Infrastructure and Economic Development, | |
| | | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 15,600 | 5.00%, 7/1/33 Central, TX, Regional Mobility | \$ 16,120,728 |
| | | Authority, (FGIC), | |
| | 6,000 | 5.00%, 1/1/45 | 6,121,020 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 4,219,900 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 17,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/25 | 6,819,720 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 10,200 | 0.00%, 9/1/21 | 4,998,816 |
| | | E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA), | |
| | 20,000 | 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 8,409,600 |
| | | Florida Department of Transportation, (Turnpike Revenue), | |
| | 5,240 | (FSA), 4.50%, 7/1/34 | 5,132,894 |
| | | Massachusetts Bay Transportation | |
| | 20,450 | Authority, Revenue Assessment, (MBIA), 4.00%, 7/1/33 | 18,138,332 |
| | , | Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, | . , |
| | 34,915 | Metropolitan Highway System, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/39 | 35,316,523 |
| | , . | Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, | , ,,, |
| | 8,985 | Metropolitan Highway System, (MBIA), 5.125%, 1/1/37 | 9,221,665 |
| | | Nevada Department of Business and | >,==1,000 |
| | | Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), | |
| | 20,000 | 5.375%, 1/1/40 | 20,820,200 |
| | | Nevada Department of Business and | |
| | 10,070 | Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23 | 4,560,099 |
| | , | Nevada Department of Business and | · , |
| | 3,100 | Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28 | 1,090,890 |
| | · | New York Thruway Authority, | |
| | 16,200 | (FSA), 4.75%, 1/1/30 Newark, NJ, Housing Authority, | 16,435,224 |
| | | (Newark Marine Terminal), | |
| | 13,700 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 14,156,621 |
| | | | |

| | North Texas Tollway Authority, | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 6,500 | (FSA), 4.50%, 1/1/38 | 6,219,135 |
| | Northwest Parkway Public Highway | |
| | Authority, CO, (FSA), | |
| 24,665 | 5.25%, 6/15/41 | 25,794,164 |
| | Pima County, AZ, (MBIA), 3.50%, | |
| 3,170 | 7/1/19 | 2,881,213 |
| | Puerto Rico Highway and | |
| | Transportation Authority, (CIFG), | |
| 15,795 | 5.25%, 7/1/41 | 17,472,903 |
| | San Joaquin Hills, CA, | |
| | Transportation Corridor Agency, | |
| 75,000 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/31 | 22,975,500 |
| | San Joaquin Hills, CA, | |
| | Transportation Corridor Agency, | |
| 45,020 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/26 | 17,691,960 |
| | San Joaquin Hills, CA, | |
| | Transportation Corridor Agency, | |
| 119,000 | (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/34 | 31,249,400 |
| | San Joaquin Hills, CA, | |
| | Transportation Corridor Agency, | |
| | (Toll Road Bonds), (MBIA), 0.00%, | |
| 87,045 | 1/15/25 | 35,833,815 |

See notes to financial statements

7

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|------------------------------------|--------|--|----------------|
| Insured-Transportation (continued) | | | |
| | | South Jersey, NJ, Transportation Authority, (FGIC), | |
| \$ | 4,820 | 4.50%, 11/1/35 | \$ 4,700,464 |
| | 10,410 | Tampa-Hillsborough County, FL, Expressway Authority, (AMBAC), 4.00%, 7/1/34 | 9,276,351 |
| | ., | Texas Turnpike Authority, | . , , . |
| | 40,165 | (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20 | 20,716,705 |
| | 64,900 | Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42 | 66,125,961 |
| | | | \$ 432,499,803 |
| Insured-Utilities 7.9% | | | |
| | | Illinois Development Finance Authority, (Peoples Gas, Light and Coke), (AMBAC), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 5,000 | 2/1/33 | \$ 5,123,200 |
| | | Los Angeles, CA, Department of Water and Power, (FGIC), | |
| | 61,585 | 5.00%, 7/1/43 | 63,181,283 |
| | 22,000 | West Palm Beach, FL, Utility | 30,203,200 |
| | | System, (FGIC), | |
| | 10,000 | 5.00%, 10/1/34 | 10,376,400 |
| | | | \$ 78,680,883 |
| Insured-Water and Sewer 10.1% | | | |
| ф | 25.005 | Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), | © 26.476.212 |
| \$ | 25,885 | 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and | \$ 26,476,213 |
| | | Sewer Board, (MBIA), | |
| | 20,935 | 5.00%, 1/1/37 | 21,543,162 |
| | | New York City, NY, Municipal | |
| | | Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), | |
| | 8,675 | (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 | 8,569,772 |
| | , | New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, | , , |
| | 075 | (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), | 064.206 |
| | 875 | 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal | 864,386 |
| | | Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (MBIA), | |
| | 8,500 | 5.125%, 6/15/34 | 8,799,200 |
| | | New York, NY, City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | |
| | 25,000 | Water and Sewer, (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/36 | 24,333,750 |
| | 9,500 | Palm Coast, FL, Utility System, (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/33 | 9,808,655 |
| | . ,2 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | \$ 100,395,138 |
| Insured-Water Revenue 6.2% | | | ψ 100,575,150 |
| moured-water revenue 0.270 | | Albany, OR, Water, (FGIC), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 8,930 | 8/1/33 | \$ 9,225,404 |
| | 3,250 | Baltimore, MD, (Water Projects), (FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/42 | 3,365,440 |
| | 1,000 | Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (FGIC), 4.50%, 7/1/31 | 972,710 |

| | | Massachusetts Water Resource | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| | 41 105 | Authority, (AMBAC), | 25 642 150 |
| | 41,195 | 4.00%, 8/1/40 Metropolitan Water District, CA, | 35,643,150 |
| | 5,000 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/33 | 5,175,350 |
| | 6,000 | Metropolitan Water District, CA, (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36 | 6,206,580 |
| | 700 | Metropolitan Water District, CA, (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/37 | 712,509 |
| | | , , , | \$ 61,301,143 |
| Other Revenue 3.9% | | | |
| | | Golden State Tobacco Securitization | |
| \$ | 38,175 | Corp., CA, 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ 38,832,374 |
| Ť | 20,272 | | \$ 38,832,374 |
| | | | + |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Private Education 0.3% | | | |
| | | Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, | |
| \$ | 3,100 | (Loyola University), 5.125%, 10/1/45 | \$ 3,180,197 |
| • | 2,100 | 10,1,10 | \$ 3,180,197 |
| Special Tax Revenue 1.5% | | | φ υ,100,177 |
| | | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), | |
| \$ | 4,600 | 5.50%, 6/15/24 New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), | \$ 4,769,418 |
| | 1,750 | 5.50%, 6/15/31 | 1,800,453 |
| | 2,405 | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/29 | 2,532,441 |
| | £ 110 | New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), | 5 262 201 |
| | 5,110 | 5.75%, 6/15/34 | 5,363,201 \$ 14,465,513 |
| Transportation 2.0% | | | \$ 14,465,513 |
| Transportation 2.0 % | | Puerto Rico Highway and | |
| • | 20.000 | Transportation Authority, | ф. 20.252.000 |
| \$ | 20,000 | 5.125%, 7/1/43 | \$ 20,353,800 |
| Water and Cower 150 | | | \$ 20,353,800 |
| Water and Sewer 1.5% | | New York, NY, City Municipal | |
| | | Water Finance Authority, | |
| \$ | 15,000 | Water and Sewer, 4.75%, 6/15/33 | \$ 15,101,550 |
| | | Total Tax-Exempt Investments | \$ 15,101,550 |
| | | 159.5% | |
| | | (identified cost \$1,526,400,897) | \$ 1,589,018,409 |
| | Liabilities (0.0)% | | \$ (311,089) |
| Auction Preferred Share Unpaid D | oividends (59.5)% | | \$ (592,575,865) |
| Net As | ssets Applicable to | | |
| Commo | on Shares 100.0% | | \$ 996,131,455 |

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

CIFG - CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty North America, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2006, 84.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.3% to 24.7% of total investments.

(1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

8

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

| Tax-Exempt Investments 158.6% | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---|---------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.5% | | | |
| | | Foothill/Eastern, Transportation | |
| \$ | 5,110 | Corridor Agency, Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/30 | \$ 1,683,541 |
| Ψ | 5,110 | Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/30 | \$ 1,683,541 |
| General Obligations 9.7% | | | Ψ 1,005,541 |
| \$ | 10,000 | California, 4.75%, 6/1/35 | \$ 9,960,200 |
| Ф | 6,750 | California, 4.75%, 0/1/35 | 7,044,233 |
| | · | | |
| | 3,250 | California, 5.25%, 4/1/34 | 3,420,040 |
| | 9,975 | California, 5.50%, 11/1/33 | 10,840,331 |
| | | | \$ 31,264,804 |
| Hospital 9.2% | | California Health Facilities Financing | |
| | | Authority, | |
| | | (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, | |
| \$ | 2,000 | 7/1/23 California Health Facilities Financing | \$ 2,097,360 |
| | | Authority, | |
| | | (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), | |
| | 5,575 | 5.00%, 11/15/34 | 5,657,677 |
| | | California Statewide Communities Development Authority, | |
| | | (Huntington Memorial Hospital), | |
| | 10,900 | 5.00%, 7/1/35 | 11,113,531 |
| | | Torrance Hospital, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), | |
| | 4,000 | 5.50%, 6/1/31 | 4,174,760 |
| | | Turlock, (Emanuel Medical Center, | |
| | 3,360 | Inc.), 5.375%, 10/15/34 | 3,450,149 |
| | | Washington Township Health Care | |
| | 3,005 | District, 5.25%, 7/1/29 | 3,083,100 |
| | | | \$ 29,576,577 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities 3.0% | | 0 | |
| | | Sacramento, Municipal Electric Utility District, (FSA), | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.00%, 8/15/28 | \$ 4,124,040 |
| | | Sacramento, Municipal Electric | |
| | 5,380 | Utility District, (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/15/28 | 5,561,521 |
| | 2,300 | 0.0070, 0.10,20 | \$ 9,685,561 |
| Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.2% | | | Ψ >,003,301 |
| msured-Escrowed / Freterunded 1.270 | | Foothill/Eastern, Transportation | |
| | | Corridor Agency, (FSA), | A 205-115 |
| \$ | 7,540 | Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/21 | \$ 3,877,445 |
| | | | \$ 3,877,445 |
| Insured-General Obligations 27.7% | | Azusa Unified School District, | |
| \$ | 2,840 | (FSA), 0.00%, 7/1/25 | \$ 1,145,883 |
| · | · | Azusa Unified School District, | |
| | 3,290 | (FSA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 | 1,203,745 |
| | 6,030 | Burbank Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/21 | 2,957,715 |
| | -,0 | (/, | _,, _ ,, _ 0 |

| | | Buttonwillow Union School District, | |
|---|--------|--|--------------|
| | 1,835 | (Election of 2002), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 11/1/27 | 2,161,190 |
| | 2,180 | Ceres Unified School District, | |
| | 2,180 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/25 Chino Valley Unified School District, | 877,951 |
| | 3,000 | (FSA), 5.00%, 8/1/26 | 3,114,450 |
| | | | |
| Principal Amount | | | |
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | Foothill-De Anza Community | |
| | | College District, (Election of 1999), (FGIC), 0.00%, | |
| \$ | 6,555 | 8/1/28 | \$ 2,277,469 |
| | | Foothill-De Anza Community College District, | |
| | | (Election of 1999), (FGIC), 0.00%, | |
| | 8,500 | 8/1/29 Foothill-De Anza Community | 2,803,895 |
| | | College District, | |
| | 8,865 | (Election of 1999), (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/30 | 2 701 026 |
| | 8,803 | Huntington Beach City School | 2,781,926 |
| | 2,300 | District, (Election of 2004), (MBIA), 4.50%, 8/1/29 | 2,270,744 |
| | 2,300 | Huntington Beach City School | 2,270,744 |
| | 1.025 | District, (FGIC), | 776,002 |
| | 1,835 | 0.00%, 8/1/24 Huntington Beach City School | 776,003 |
| | 2.060 | District, (FGIC), | 920 (24 |
| | 2,060 | 0.00%, 8/1/25 Huntington Beach City School | 829,624 |
| | 2.140 | District, (FGIC), | 022 221 |
| | 2,140 | 0.00%, 8/1/26 Jurupa Unified School District, | 822,231 |
| | 2,000 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/23 | 889,740 |
| | 2,000 | Jurupa Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/26 | 768,440 |
| | | Kings Canyon Joint Unified School | |
| | 2,235 | District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/25 | 897,397 |
| | | Los Angeles Unified School District, | |
| | 10,000 | (Election of 1997), (MBIA), 5.125%, 1/1/27 | 10,474,600 |
| | 2.000 | Los Angeles Unified School District, | 2.106.560 |
| | 2,000 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/22 Modesto High School District, | 2,106,560 |
| | 2 225 | Stanislaus County, (FGIC), | 1 264 520 |
| | 3,225 | 0.00%, 8/1/24 Riverside Unified School District, | 1,364,530 |
| | 5,000 | (FGIC), 5.00%, 2/1/27 | 5,176,600 |
| | 6,135 | Salinas Union High School District, (MBIA), 5.00%, 6/1/27 | 6,360,707 |
| | 10,000 | San Diego Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/22 | 4 602 100 |
| | 10,000 | San Diego Unified School District, | 4,693,100 |
| | 10,000 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 7/1/23 | 4,457,000 |
| | 8,000 | San Juan Unified School District, (FSA), 0.00%, 8/1/21 | 3,924,000 |
| | | San Mateo County Community | |
| | 5,000 | College District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 9/1/22 | 2,328,750 |
| | | San Mateo County Community | · |
| | 4,365 | College District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 9/1/23 | 1,931,643 |
| | 3,955 | San Mateo County Community | 1,585,678 |
| | | College District, (FGIC), | |

| | | 0.00%, 9/1/25 | |
|-----------------------|--------|--|---------------|
| | | San Mateo Union High School | |
| | | District, (FGIC), | |
| | 5,240 | 0.00%, 9/1/21 | 2,560,316 |
| | | Santa Ana Unified School District, | |
| | 2,740 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/32 | 2,831,845 |
| | | Santa Barbara High School District, | |
| | | (Election of 2000), | |
| | 2,500 | (FSA), 4.50%, 8/1/25 | 2,488,675 |
| | | Santa Clara Unified School District, | |
| | | (Election of 2004), | |
| | 5,915 | (FSA), 4.375%, 7/1/30 | 5,704,604 |
| | | Union Elementary School District, | |
| | 3,825 | (FGIC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 | 1,611,205 |
| | | Ventura County Community College | |
| | | District, (MBIA), | |
| | 3,000 | 5.00%, 8/1/27 | 3,112,560 |
| | | | \$ 89,290,776 |
| Insured-Hospital 6.6% | | | |
| · | | California Health Facilities Financing | |
| | | Authority, | |
| | | (Sutter Health), (MBIA), 5.00%, | |
| \$ | 20,860 | 8/15/38 | \$ 21,306,821 |
| | | | \$ 21,306,821 |
| | | | |

See notes to financial statements

9

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount | | G | V/ 1 |
|---|--------|---|---------------|
| (000's omitted) Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of | | Security | Value |
| Participation 21.3% | | | |
| | | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority | |
| \$ | 2,000 | Lease Revenue, (FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/30 | \$ 625,740 |
| | | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority | |
| | 5,000 | Lease Revenue, (FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/35 | 1,216,900 |
| | 3,000 | Anaheim, Public Financing Authority | 1,210,900 |
| | | Lease Revenue, | |
| | 8,545 | (FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/29 Anaheim, Public Financing Authority | 2,810,194 |
| | | Lease Revenue, | |
| | 30,000 | (FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37 | 30,457,500 |
| | | California Public Works Board Lease Revenue. | |
| | | (California Community College), | |
| | 12,265 | (FGIC), 4.00%, 10/1/30 | 11,114,788 |
| | | California Public Works Board Lease Revenue, (Department | |
| | | of General Services), (AMBAC), | |
| | 1,000 | 5.00%, 12/1/27 | 1,032,240 |
| | | San Jose Financing Authority, (Civic Center), (AMBAC), | |
| | 15,000 | 5.00%, 6/1/37 | 15,381,150 |
| | | Shasta Joint Powers Financing | |
| | | Authority, (County Administration Building), (MBIA), | |
| | 5,850 | 5.00%, 4/1/29 | 6,047,028 |
| | | | \$ 68,685,540 |
| Insured-Other Revenue 4.7% | | | |
| | | Golden Tobacco Securitization Corp., | |
| \$ | 11,900 | (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/45 | \$ 12,140,380 |
| Ψ | 11,500 | Golden Tobacco Securitization Corp., | Ψ 12,110,300 |
| | 2.000 | (FGIC), | 2.002.100 |
| | 3,000 | 5.00%, 6/1/38 | 3,083,100 |
| 1 1 Di 1 Di 1 0 50 | | | \$ 15,223,480 |
| Insured-Private Education 0.5% | | California Educational Facilities | |
| | | Authority, (St. Mary's College | |
| ď. | 1.500 | of California), (MBIA), 5.125%, | ф. 1.627.172 |
| \$ | 1,560 | 10/1/26 | \$ 1,637,173 |
| I I D I I' FI I I O O O | | | \$ 1,637,173 |
| Insured-Public Education 9.8% | | California State University, | |
| \$ | 1,000 | (AMBAC), 5.125%, 11/1/26 | \$ 1,042,460 |
| | 15,000 | University of California, (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/15/37 | 15 000 700 |
| | 15,000 | 4.75%, 5/15/57 University of California, (FGIC), | 15,089,700 |
| | 15,000 | 5.125%, 9/1/30 | 15,472,650 |
| | | | \$ 31,604,810 |
| Insured-Sewer Revenue 5.9% | | | |
| | | Livermore-Amador Valley Water Management Agency, | |
| \$ | 18,350 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/31 | \$ 18,836,459 |
| | | | \$ 18,836,459 |
| | | | , |

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|
| Insured-Special Assessment Revenue | 7.4% | Murrieta Redevelopment Agency | |
| \$ | 1,800 | Tax, (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/32 | \$ 1,862,244 |
| | 7,000 | Pomona Public Financing Authority, (MBIA), 5.00%, 2/1/33 | 7,148,540 |
| | | Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency Tax, (MBIA), | |
| | 11,110 3,000 | 5.00%, 9/1/35 Tustin Unified School District, (FSA), 5.00%, 9/1/38 | 11,571,176 3,075,120 |
| | 3,000 | (F3A), 3.00%, 9/1/36 | \$ 23,657,080 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 8.0% | | | , , |
| \$ | 2,500 | North City, School Facility Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/26 | \$ 945,425 |
| Ť | 2,000 | San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, | Ç 7.65,1 <u>2</u> 6 |
| | 13,630 | 7/1/31 San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid | 13,986,288 |
| | 3,500 | Transportation District, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 | 3,616,130 |
| | 7,000 | San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, (AMBAC), 5.125%, 7/1/36 | 7,223,510 |
| | 7,000 | (AMBAC), 3.123 %, 7/1/30 | \$ 25,771,353 |
| Insured-Transportation 16.1% | | California Infrastructure and | |
| \$ | 15,150 | Economic Development, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/33 | \$ 15,655,707 |
| 3 | 13,130 | California Infrastructure and Economic Development, | \$ 13,033,707 |
| | 7,250 | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 California Infrastructure and Economic Development, | 7,492,005 |
| | 1,000 | (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/29 | 1,037,150 |
| | | Los Angeles County, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, | |
| | 5,000 | (AMBAC), 4.50%, 7/1/32 Sacramento County, Airport System, | 4,890,350 |
| | 13,940 | (FSA), 5.00%, 7/1/27 San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (MBIA), | 14,364,891 |
| | 3,445 | 0.00%, 1/15/30 San Joaquin Hills, Transportation | 1,107,946 |
| | 5,000 | Corridor Agency, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/31 | 1,531,700 |
| | 15,000 | San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency, (MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/26 | 5,894,700 |
| | , | , | \$ 51,974,449 |
| Insured-Utilities 7.6% | | Los Angeles Denortes est of Weter | |
| \$ | 9,000 | Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/43 | \$ 9,233,280 |
| | 14,750 | Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (MBIA), | 15,143,825 |
| | 14,730 | 5.125%, 7/1/41 | 13,143,623 |

\$ 24,377,105

See notes to financial statements

10

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------|
| Insured-Water Revenue 11.89 | % | | |
| \$ | 8,180 | California Water Resource, (Central Valley), (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 8,462,783 |
| | 1,250 | Contra Costa Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, 10/1/27 | 1,238,950 |
| | 5,500 | Contra Costa Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, 10/1/31 East Bay Municipal Utility District | 5,397,700 |
| | 2,000 | Water System, (MBIA), 5.00%, 6/1/26 | 2,066,180 |
| | 10,000 | Metropolitan Water District, (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36 | 10,344,300 |
| | 1,750 | San Diego, (Water Utility Fund), (FGIC), 4.75%, 8/1/28 | 1,758,138 |
| | 9,355 | San Francisco City and County Water Revenue, (FSA), 4.25%, 11/1/33 | 8,766,758 |
| | | | \$ 38,034,809 |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of | Participation 0.9% | | |
| \$ | 2,570 | Sacramento Financing Authority, 5.40%, 11/1/20 | \$ 2,788,861 |
| | | | \$ 2,788,861 |
| Water Revenue 6.7% | | | |
| \$ | 21,180 | Southern California Metropolitan Water District, 5.00%, 7/1/37 | \$ 21,536,671 |
| | | | \$ 21,536,671 |
| Total | Tax-Exempt Investments 158.6% (identified cost \$492,925,282) | | \$ 510,813,315 |
| | Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.9% Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | \$ 6,195,126 |
| Auction | Unpaid Dividends (60.5)% | | \$ (195,030,464) |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 321,977,977 |

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2006, 83.0% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.4% to 23.5% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited)

| Tax-Exempt Investments 159.4% | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--|---------------|
| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Electric Utilities 2.9% | | | |
| | | Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue, | |
| \$ | 3,750 | 4.50%, 12/1/24 Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue, | \$ 3,687,000 |
| | 1,950 | 5.00%, 9/1/27 | 2,004,015 |
| | 1,000 | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31 | 1,040,240 |
| | 1,000 | Addionty, 5.25%, 11151 | \$ 6,731,255 |
| General Obligations 4.6% | | | Ψ 0,731,233 |
| \$ | 1,500 | New York, 5.25%, 1/15/28 | \$ 1,574,475 |
| · | 3,500 | New York City, 5.25%, 8/15/26 | 3,712,590 |
| | 3,075 | New York City, 5.25%, 6/1/27 | 3,220,540 |
| | 2,000 | New York City, 5.25%, 1/15/33 | 2,095,720 |
| | ,,,,, | | \$ 10,603,325 |
| Hospital 1.0% | | | , ,,,,,,, |
| \$ | 640 | New York Dormitory Authority Revenue, (Lenox Hill Hospital), 5.50%, 7/1/30 | \$ 634,438 |
| Ψ | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | |
| | 1,750 | Cancer Center), 5.00%, 7/1/34 | 1,794,782 |
| Housing 0.5% | | | \$ 2,429,220 |
| Housing 0.5 % | | New York City Housing | |
| • | 4.250 | Development Corp., (Multi-Family | h 1056655 |
| \$ | 1,250 | Housing), 4.65%, 5/1/26 | \$ 1,256,675 |
| Industrial Davidonment Davanus 200/ | | | \$ 1,256,675 |
| Industrial Development Revenue 2.9% | | New York City Industrial | |
| | | Development Agency, | |
| Φ. | 6 000 | (Liberty-IAC/Interactive Corp.), | Ф. С.050.524 |
| \$ | 6,800 | 5.00%, 9/1/35 | \$ 6,850,524 |
| Incomed Electric Heilities 4 10/ | | | \$ 6,850,524 |
| Insured-Electric Utilities 4.1% | | Long Island Power Authority, | |
| \$ | 7,500 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/1/34 | \$ 7,774,950 |
| | 4,785 | Long Island Power Authority, (FSA), 0.00%, 6/1/28 | 1,765,091 |
| | 4,703 | 0.00 %, 0/1/20 | \$ 9,540,041 |
| Insured-General Obligations 2.9% | | | Ψ 2,5 10,0 τ1 |
| 20000 | | New York Dormitory Authority, (School Districts Financing | |
| \$ | 1,750 | Program), (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/1/30 | \$ 1,806,297 |
| | 2,700 | Sachem Central School District, Holbrook, (MBIA), 5.00%, 10/15/26 | 2,814,372 |
| | 2,700 | 5.00 %, 10/15/20 | 2,017,372 |

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|---|--------|--|-------------------|
| Insured-General Obligations (continued) | | · · | |
| | | Sachem Central School District, Holbrook, (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 2,085 | 5.00%, 10/15/28 | \$ 2,170,589 |
| | | | \$ 6,791,258 |
| Insured-Health Care Miscellaneous 0.8% | | | |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | |
| | | (American National Red Cross), (AMBAC), | |
| \$ | 1,900 | 4.50%, 2/1/30 | \$ 1,857,763 |
| | | | \$ 1,857,763 |
| Insured-Hospital 22.6% | | | |
| | | New York City Health and Hospital Corp., (Health Systems), | |
| \$ | 15,500 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 2/15/23 | \$ 16,147,280 |
| | 40.000 | New York Dormitory Authority, (Hospital Surgery), | 10.177.100 |
| | 10,000 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 2/1/38 New York Dormitory Authority, | 10,175,400 |
| | | (Maimonides Medical Center), | |
| | 6,800 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/33 | 7,050,444 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | |
| | 3,050 | Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 | 1,233,725 |
| | ., | New York Dormitory Authority, | , , |
| | | (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, | |
| | 23,835 | 7/1/28 | 8,710,024 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering | |
| | 26,070 | Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/29 | 9,066,103 |
| | · | | \$ 52,382,976 |
| Insured-Other Revenue 3.3% | | | , , , , , , , , , |
| | | New York City Cultural Resource, (American Museum of | |
| r. | 5 525 | Natural History), (MBIA), 5.00%, | ¢ 5 (07 450 |
| \$ | 5,535 | 7/1/44 New York City Cultural Resource, | \$ 5,697,452 |
| | | (Wildlife Conservation | |
| | 2,000 | Society), (FGIC), 5.00%, 2/1/34 | 2,072,360 |
| | | | \$ 7,769,812 |
| Insured-Private Education 27.4% | | Madison County, IDA, (Colgate | |
| | | University), (MBIA), | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.00%, 7/1/39 | \$ 4,132,960 |
| | | New York City Industrial Development Agency, | |
| | | (New York University), (AMBAC), | |
| | 16,500 | 5.00%, 7/1/41 New York Dormitory Authority, | 16,830,495 |
| | 11,500 | (Brooklyn Law School), (XLCA), 5.125%, 7/1/30 | 11,972,075 |
| | 11,500 | New York Dormitory Authority, (FIT | 11,2,2,013 |
| | 2.225 | Student Housing Corp.), | 0.040.505 |
| | 2,225 | (FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, | 2,342,525 |
| | | (New York University), | |
| | 4,250 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31 | 4,361,095 |
| | 5,000 | | 5,100,150 |
| | | | |

New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41

See notes to financial statements

12

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--|---------------|
| Insured-Private Education (continued) | | | |
| \$ | 3,000 | New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/40 | \$ 3,536,010 |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), (AMBAC), 5.25%, | |
| | 13,585 | 7/1/32 New York Dormitory Authority, | 14,214,393 |
| | 1,220 | (Rockefeller University), (MBIA), 4.75%, 7/1/37 | 1,227,613 |
| | | , | \$ 63,717,316 |
| Insured-Public Education 4.6% | | | ,, |
| | | New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing | |
| \$ | 1,000 | Services), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/25 New York Dormitory Authority, | \$ 1,104,710 |
| | | (University Educational | |
| | 9,500 | Facility), (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/25 | 9,553,770 |
| 10 11 11 11 11 11 | | | \$ 10,658,480 |
| Insured-Solid Waste 1.9% | | Ulster County Resource Recovery | |
| | | Agency, Solid Waste | |
| \$ | 1,790 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21 | \$ 929,744 |
| | | Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste | |
| | 1,240 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/22 | 616,404 |
| | | Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste | |
| | 1,090 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23 Ulster County Resource Recovery | 516,845 |
| | 1,490 | Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/24 | 673,659 |
| | | Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste | |
| | 3,735 | System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25 | 1,609,524 |
| | | | \$ 4,346,176 |
| Insured-Special Tax Revenue 18.4% | | Matanalitan Tray | |
| \$ | 15,560 | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Petroleum Tax Fund, (FSA), 5.00%, 11/15/32 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 16,049,984 |
| | 7,250 | New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (Future Tax), (MBIA), 5.00%, 5/1/31 | 7,481,420 |
| | .,200 | New York Convention Center | 7, .01, 120 |
| | 4,000 | Development Corp., (AMBAC), 4.75%, 11/15/45 | 4,003,160 |
| | | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| | 3,000 | 0.00%, 7/1/36 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing | 710,670 |
| | 7,960 | Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/28 | 2,802,000 |
| | · | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), | . , |
| | 4,430 | 0.00%, 7/1/37 | 998,478 |

| | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | Authority, (AMBAC), | |
| 35,000 | 0.00%, 7/1/44 | 5,527,200 |
| | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing | |
| | Authority, (FGIC), | |
| 18,305 | 0.00%, 7/1/33 | 5,075,793 |
| | | \$ 42.648.705 |

| rincipal Amount 000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
|--|--------|--|---------------|
| sured-Transportation 30.6% | | | |
| | | Metropolitan Transportation | |
| \$ | 32,500 | Authority, (FSA), 5.00%, 11/15/30 | \$ 33,708,350 |
| · | , | Puerto Rico Highway and | 7 22,123,223 |
| | 11.500 | Transportation Authority, (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/33 | 11,912,735 |
| | 11,500 | Triborough Bridge and Tunnel | 11,912,733 |
| | | Authority, (MBIA), | |
| | 24,600 | 5.00%, 11/15/32 | 25,374,654 |
| | | | \$ 70,995,739 |
| sured-Water and Sewer 10.9% | | Novy Vouls City Municipal Woton | |
| | | New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | |
| \$ | 7,000 | (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/15/38 | \$ 7,206,360 |
| | | New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | |
| | | Water and Sewer, (MBIA), 5.125%, | |
| | 10,000 | 6/15/34 Niagara Falls Public Water Authority | 10,352,000 |
| | | and Sewer System, | |
| | 7,500 | (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/15/34 | 7,743,975 |
| | | | \$ 25,302,335 |
| sured-Water Revenue 3.0% | | | |
| | | New York State Environmental | |
| \$ | 2,410 | Facilities Corp. (MBIA), 4.25%, 6/15/30 | \$ 2,299,333 |
| Ψ | 2,410 | New York State Environmental | Ψ 2,277,333 |
| | | Facilities Corp., (MBIA), | |
| | 4,900 | 4.25%, 6/15/31 | 4,655,882 |
| | | | \$ 6,955,215 |
| ease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.2 | 2% | | |
| | | Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Lease Contract, | |
| \$ | 4,000 | 5.125%, 1/1/29 | \$ 4,188,720 |
| * | .,000 | New York Dormitory Authority, | \$ 1,100,720 |
| | 10.000 | (North General Hospital), | 10 207 100 |
| | 10,000 | 5.00%, 2/15/25 | 10,307,100 |
| | | | \$ 14,495,820 |
| ivate Education 2.6% | | Hempstead Industrial Development | |
| | | Agency, (Adelphi | |
| \$ | 1,055 | University), 4.50%, 10/1/24 | \$ 1,033,584 |
| | | Hempstead Industrial Development Agency, (Adelphi | |
| | 150 | University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 | 153,474 |
| | | Madison County Industrial Development Agency, | |
| | 1,630 | (Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/33 | 1,675,265 |
| | 3,065 | Rensselaer County Industrial Development Agency, | 3,148,491 |

| | | (Rensselaer Polytech Institute), 5.125%, 8/1/27 | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | | \$ 6,010,814 | | | |
| Transportation 8.2% | | | | | | |
| \$ | 14,500 | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 9/1/38 | \$ 14,955,445 | | | |
| | See notes to | financial statements | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of March 31, 2006

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

| Principal Amount | | | |
|--|-------|---|------------------|
| (000's omitted) | | Security | Value |
| Transportation (continued) | | · · | |
| | | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, | |
| \$ | 3,990 | 5.125%, 7/1/43 | \$ 4,060,583 |
| | | | \$ 19,016,028 |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments (identified cost \$356,273,398) | | | \$ 370,359,477 |
| Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.9% | | | \$ 4,448,028 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative | | | |
| Unpaid Dividends (61.3)% | | | \$ (142,533,440) |
| Net Assets Applicable to | | | |
| Common Shares 100.0% | | | \$ 232,274,065 |

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2006, 81.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.6% to 36.0% of total investments.

(1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of March 31, 2006

| | Insur | ed Municipal Fund | Insur | ed California Fund | Insure | d New York Fund |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Investments | | | | | | |
| Identified cost | \$ | 1,526,400,897 | \$ | 492,925,282 | \$ | 356,273,398 |
| Unrealized appreciation | | 62,617,512 | | 17,888,033 | | 14,086,079 |
| Investments, at value | \$ | 1,589,018,409 | \$ | 510,813,315 | \$ | 370,359,477 |
| Cash | \$ | | \$ | 693,280 | \$ | 455,376 |
| Receivable for investments sold | | 23,883,146 | | | | |
| Interest receivable | | 17,239,449 | | 5,699,760 | | 4,159,741 |
| Receivable for daily variation margin on open financial futures contracts | | 120,937 | | 35,312 | | 22,687 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,630,261,941 | \$ | 517,241,667 | \$ | 374,997,281 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Due to custodian | \$ | 40,868,780 | \$ | | \$ | |
| Payable to affiliate for investment advisory fees | | 448,630 | | 145,527 | | 105,759 |
| Accrued expenses | | 237,211 | | 87,699 | | 84,017 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 41,554,621 | \$ | 233,226 | \$ | 189,776 |
| Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends | | 592,575,865 | | 195,030,464 | | 142,533,440 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ | 996,131,455 | \$ | 321,977,977 | \$ | 232,274,065 |
| Sources of Net Assets | | | | | | |
| Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized | \$ | 646,382 | \$ | 216,282 | \$ | 156,981 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 912,453,277 | | 305,163,036 | | 221,346,825 |
| Accumulated net realized gain (loss) computed on the basis of identified cost) | | 4,558,440 | | (6,155,905) | | (6,537,321) |
| Undistributed net investment income | | 2,892,260 | | 793,039 | | 422,679 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (computed on the basis of identified cost) | | 75,581,096 | | 21,961,525 | | 16,884,901 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ | 996,131,455 | \$ | 321,977,977 | \$ | 232,274,065 |
| Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding | | | | | | |
| Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | | | | | | |
| | | 23,700 | | 7,800 | | 5,700 |
| Common Shares Outstanding | | | | | | |
| | | 64,638,238 | | 21,628,202 | | 15,698,145 |
| Net Asset Value Per Common Share Net assets applicable to common shares - common shares issued and outstanding | \$ | 15.41 | \$ | 14.89 | \$ | 14.80 |

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of March 31, 2006

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) CONT'D

Statements of Operations

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2006

| | Insured Municipal Fund | | Inst | Insured California Fund | | Insured New York Fund | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--|
| Investment Income | | | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 37,978,001 | \$ | 12,273,663 | \$ | 8,916,601 | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 37,978,001 | \$ | 12,273,663 | \$ | 8,916,601 | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | |
| Investment adviser fee | \$ | 5,122,374 | \$ | 1,665,337 | \$ | 1,209,7054 | |
| Trustees' fees and expenses | | 12,349 | | 9,184 | | 7,007 | |
| Legal and accounting services | | 41,925 | | 56,509 | | 55,983 | |
| Printing and postage | | 59,794 | | 19,271 | | 21,800 | |
| Custodian fee | | 286,129 | | 118,093 | | 105,166 | |
| Transfer and dividend disbursing agent | | 37,530 | | 34,874 | | 34,365 | |
| Preferred shares remarketing agent fee | | 738,592 | | 243,083 | | 177,637 | |
| Miscellaneous | | 111,726 | | 43,103 | | 30,659 | |
| Total expenses | \$ | 6,410,419 | \$ | 2,189,454 | \$ | 1,642,371 | |
| Deduct | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of custodian fee Reduction of investment adviser | \$ | 26,390 | \$ | 11,441 | \$ | 4,164 | |
| fee | | 2,521,784 | | 819,808 | 1 | 595,571 | |
| Total expense reductions | \$ | 2,548,174 | \$ | 831,249 | \$ | 599,735 | |
| Net expenses | \$ | 3,862,245 | \$ | 1,358,205 | \$ | 1,042,636 | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 34,115,756 | \$ | 10,915,458 | \$ | 7,873,965 | |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | | | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) Investment transactions | | | | | | | |
| (identified cost basis) | \$ | 8,644,316 | \$ | 2,876,782 | \$ | 485,884 | |
| Financial futures contracts | | 16,503,979 | | 4,924,546 | | 2,683,626 | |
| Net realized gain | \$ | 25,148,295 | \$ | 7,801,328 | \$ | 3,169,510 | |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (deprec Investments (identified cost basis) | iation) \$ | (16,251,636) | \$ | (4,315,092 | \$ | (2,895,600) | |
| Financial futures contracts | Ψ | (10,231,030) | ψ | (7,313,092 | <i>.,</i> | (2,075,000) | |