

3M CO
Form 10-Q
May 01, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

Commission file number: **1-3285**

3M COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota
(Address of principal executive offices)

41-0417775

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

55144

(Zip Code)

(651) 733-1110

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at March 31, 2009
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	694,383,904 shares

This document (excluding exhibits) contains 54 pages.

The table of contents is set forth on page 2.

The exhibit index begins on page 52.

Table of Contents

3M COMPANY

Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	BEGINNING PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>
	Index to Financial Statements:
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u> 3
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u> 4
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u> 5
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Note 1. Basis of Presentation</u> 6
	<u>Note 2. Acquisitions</u> 9
	<u>Note 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u> 9
	<u>Note 4. Restructuring Actions and Exit Activities</u> 11
	<u>Note 5. Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information</u> 13
	<u>Note 6. Income Taxes</u> 15
	<u>Note 7. Marketable Securities</u> 15
	<u>Note 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans</u> 17
	<u>Note 9. Derivatives</u> 17
	<u>Note 10. Fair Value Measurements</u> 22
	<u>Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies</u> 24
	<u>Note 12. Stock-Based Compensation</u> 30
	<u>Note 13. Business Segments</u> 32
	<u>Note 14. Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> 33
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> 34
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
	Index to Management's Discussion and Analysis:
	<u>Overview</u> 35
	<u>Results of Operations</u> 37
	<u>Performance by Business Segment</u> 39
	<u>Financial Condition and Liquidity</u> 45
	<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> 48
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 49
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 49
<u>PART II</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 50
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u> 50
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 51
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 51

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	51
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	51
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	52

Table of Contents

3M COMPANY

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2009

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements.**3M Company and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statement of Income****(Unaudited)**

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended		
	2009	March 31	2008
Net sales	\$	5,089	\$ 6,463
Operating expenses			
Cost of sales		2,772	3,336
Selling, general and administrative expenses		1,191	1,275
Research, development and related expenses		323	351
Total operating expenses		4,286	4,962
Operating income		803	1,501
Interest expense and income			
Interest expense		55	55
Interest income		(11)	(30)
Total interest expense (income)		44	25
Income before income taxes		759	1,476
Provision for income taxes		229	470
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$	530	\$ 1,006
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest		12	18
Net income attributable to 3M	\$	518	\$ 988
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding - basic		693.5	706.5
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders - basic	\$	0.75	\$ 1.40
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding - diluted		695.9	717.2
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders - diluted	\$	0.74	\$ 1.38
Cash dividends paid per 3M common share	\$	0.51	\$ 0.50

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

Table of Contents**3M Company and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheet****(Unaudited)**

(Dollars in millions, except per share amount)	Mar. 31 2009	Dec. 31 2008
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,632	\$ 1,849
Marketable securities - current	247	373
Accounts receivable - net	3,099	3,195
Inventories		
Finished goods	1,315	1,505
Work in process	772	851
Raw materials and supplies	573	657
Total inventories	2,660	3,013
Other current assets	1,009	1,168
Total current assets	8,647	9,598
Marketable securities - non-current		
	253	352
Investments		
	105	111
Property, plant and equipment	18,562	18,812
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(11,818)	(11,926)
Property, plant and equipment - net	6,744	6,886
Goodwill	5,533	5,753
Intangible assets - net	1,402	1,398
Prepaid pension benefits	38	36
Other assets	1,616	1,659
Total assets	\$ 24,338	\$ 25,793
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 946	\$ 1,552
Accounts payable	1,124	1,301
Accrued payroll	564	644
Accrued income taxes	314	350
Other current liabilities	1,780	1,992
Total current liabilities	4,728	5,839
Long-term debt		
	5,088	5,166
Pension and postretirement benefits	2,811	2,847
Other liabilities	1,570	1,637
Total liabilities	\$ 14,197	\$ 15,489
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Equity		
3M Company shareholders' equity:		
Common stock par value, \$.01 par value, 944,033,056 shares issued	\$ 9	\$ 9
Additional paid-in capital	3,086	3,006
Retained earnings	22,369	22,227

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, at cost; 249,649,152 shares at Mar. 31, 2009; 250,489,769 shares at Dec. 31, 2008		(11,618)		(11,676)
Unearned compensation		(18)		(40)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(4,091)		(3,646)
Total 3M Company shareholders' equity		9,737		9,880
Noncontrolling interest		404		424
Total equity		10,141		10,304
Total liabilities and equity	\$	24,338	\$	25,793

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

Table of Contents**3M Company and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

(Dollars in millions)	Three months ended	
	2009	March 31 2008
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 530	\$ 1,006
Adjustments to reconcile net income including noncontrolling interest to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	271	268
Company pension and postretirement contributions	(123)	(49)
Company pension and postretirement expense	42	28
Stock-based compensation expense	83	43
Deferred income taxes	46	3
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		(5)
Changes in assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	8	(264)
Inventories	288	(86)
Accounts payable	(165)	20
Accrued income taxes	89	70
Product and other insurance receivables and claims	7	25
Other - net	(381)	(62)
Net cash provided by operating activities	695	997
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	(244)	(298)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets	15	4
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(9)	(16)
Purchases of marketable securities and investments	(124)	(622)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities and investments	241	250
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	103	218
Net cash used in investing activities	(18)	(464)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Change in short-term debt - net	(512)	1,211
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)	(86)	(89)
Purchases of treasury stock		(510)
Reissuances of treasury stock	34	79
Dividends paid to stockholders	(354)	(353)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest		(12)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		5
Other - net	11	(16)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(907)	315
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	13	(17)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(217)	831
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,849	1,896
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,632	\$ 2,727

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

Table of Contents

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. Basis of Presentation

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These adjustments consist of normal, recurring items. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The interim consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by the requirements for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Certain amounts presented for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. As discussed later in Note 1, effective January 1, 2009, 3M adopted SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51, and FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement). These accounting pronouncements, which related to noncontrolling interest and convertible debt instruments, respectively, both required retrospective application. In addition, 3M reclassified balance sheet amounts related to life insurance policies from investments to other assets; reclassified current and non-current balance sheet amounts related to income taxes between deferred income taxes and accrued income taxes; and reclassified amounts between unearned compensation and additional paid-in capital, both of which are within stockholders equity.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes included in its 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. However, as described in Note 13, during the first quarter of 2009, the Company effected certain business segment realignments, which included both product moves between business segments and reporting changes related to revised U.S. performance measures. The Company has begun to report comparative results under the new business segment structure with the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company plans to revise its business segment disclosures in its 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K via a Form 8-K to reflect these impacts.

Significant Accounting Policies

Earnings per share: The difference in the weighted average 3M shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders is a result of the dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans. Certain Management Stock Ownership Program (MSOP) options outstanding were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders because they would not have had a dilutive effect (71.9 million average options for the three months ended March 31, 2009; 28.1 million average options for the three months ended March 31, 2008). The conditions for conversion related to the Company's Convertible Notes were not met (refer to 3M's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, for more detail). If the conditions for conversion are met, 3M may choose to pay in cash and/or common stock; however, if this occurs, the Company has the intent and ability to settle this debt security in cash. Accordingly, there was no impact on diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

Earnings Per Share Computations

(Amounts in millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended	
	March 31	
	2009	2008
Numerator:		
Net income attributable to 3M	\$ 518	\$ 988
Denominator:		
	693.5	706.5

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Denominator for weighted average 3M common shares outstanding - basic			
Dilution associated with the Company's stock-based compensation plans		2.4	10.7
Denominator for weighted average 3M common shares outstanding - diluted		695.9	717.2
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders - basic	\$	0.75	\$ 1.40
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders - diluted		0.74	1.38

Table of Contents

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 established a single definition of fair value and a framework for measuring fair value, set out a fair value hierarchy to be used to classify the source of information used in fair value measurements, and required disclosures of assets and liabilities measured at fair value based on their level in the hierarchy. This statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. As disclosed in the notes included in its 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, 3M adopted SFAS No. 157, as amended by associated FASB Staff Positions (FSPs), beginning January 1, 2008 on a prospective basis. One of these FSPs, FSP No. 157-2, deferred the effective date for one year relative to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. This deferral applied to such items as nonfinancial assets and liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination (but not measured at fair value in subsequent periods) or nonfinancial long-lived asset groups measured at fair value for an impairment assessment. These remaining aspects of SFAS No. 157 were adopted by the Company prospectively beginning January 1, 2009 and did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition. Refer to Note 10 for additional disclosures of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of this adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations, which changed the accounting for business acquisitions. SFAS No. 141R, as amended by FSP No. 141-1 issued in April 2009, requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all (and only) the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction and establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Certain provisions of this standard impact the determination of acquisition-date fair value of consideration paid in a business combination (including contingent consideration); exclude transaction costs from acquisition accounting; and change accounting practices for acquisition-related restructuring costs, in-process research and development, indemnification assets, and tax benefits. For 3M, SFAS No. 141R, as amended, was effective for business combinations and adjustments to an acquired entity's deferred tax asset and liability balances occurring after December 31, 2008. This standard had no immediate impact upon adoption by 3M, and was applied to the business combinations disclosed in Note 2 that were completed post-2008 and to applicable adjustments to acquired entity deferred tax items occurring after December 31, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51, which established new standards governing the accounting for and reporting of noncontrolling interests (NCIs) in partially owned consolidated subsidiaries and the loss of control of subsidiaries. Certain provisions of this standard indicate, among other things, that NCIs (previously referred to as minority interests) be treated as a separate component of equity, not as a liability (as was previously the case); that increases and decreases in the parent's ownership interest that leave control intact be treated as equity transactions, rather than as step acquisitions or dilution gains or losses; and that losses of a partially owned consolidated subsidiary be allocated to the NCI even when such allocation might result in a deficit balance. This standard also required changes to certain presentation and disclosure requirements. For 3M, SFAS No. 160 was effective beginning January 1, 2009. The provisions of the standard were applied to all NCIs prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which were applied retrospectively to all periods presented. As a result, upon adoption, 3M retroactively reclassified the Minority interest in subsidiaries balance previously included in the Other liabilities section of the consolidated balance sheet to a new component of equity with respect to NCIs in consolidated subsidiaries. The adoption also impacted certain captions previously used on the consolidated statement of income, largely identifying net income including NCI and net income attributable to 3M. Additional disclosures required by this standard are also included in Note 5. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-1, Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements that discusses how parties to a collaborative arrangement (which does not establish a legal entity within such arrangement) should account for various activities. The consensus indicates that costs incurred and revenues generated from transactions with third parties (i.e. parties outside of the collaborative arrangement) should be reported by the collaborators on the respective line items in their income statements pursuant to EITF Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal Versus Net as an Agent. Additionally, the consensus provides that income statement characterization of payments between the participants in a collaborative arrangement should be based upon existing authoritative

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

pronouncements; analogy to such pronouncements if not within their scope; or a reasonable, rational, and consistently applied accounting policy election. EITF Issue No. 07-1 was effective for 3M beginning January 1, 2009 and applied retrospectively to all periods presented for collaborative arrangements existing as of the date of adoption. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 07-1 did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, which requires additional disclosures about an entity's strategies and objectives for using derivative instruments; the

Table of Contents

location and amounts of derivative instruments in an entity's financial statements; how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities; and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Certain disclosures are also required with respect to derivative features that are credit-risk-related. SFAS No. 161 was effective for 3M beginning January 1, 2009 on a prospective basis. The additional disclosures required by this standard are included in Note 9.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets, which amended the list of factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible assets under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This guidance applies to (1) intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets and (2) intangible assets acquired in both business combinations and asset acquisitions. Under FSP No. FAS 142-3, entities estimating the useful life of a recognized intangible asset must consider their historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements or, in the absence of historical experience, must consider assumptions that market participants would use about renewal or extension. For 3M, this FSP required certain additional disclosures beginning January 1, 2009 (which are included in Notes 2 and 3) and application to useful life estimates prospectively for intangible assets acquired after December 31, 2008. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement). This FSP applies to convertible debt securities that, upon conversion by the holder, may be settled by the issuer fully or partially in cash (rather than settled fully in shares) and specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when related interest cost is recognized. This FSP was effective for 3M beginning January 1, 2009 with retrospective application to all periods presented. This standard impacted the Company's Convertible Notes (refer to Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in ~~3M~~ 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for more detail), and required that additional interest expense essentially equivalent to the portion of issuance proceeds be retroactively allocated to the instrument's equity component and be recognized over the period from the Convertible Notes' issuance on November 15, 2002 through November 15, 2005 (the first date holders of these Notes had the ability to put them back to 3M). 3M adopted this standard in January 2009. Its retrospective application had no impact on results of operations for periods following 2005, but on post-2005 consolidated balance sheets, it resulted in an increase of approximately \$22 million in previously reported opening additional paid in capital and a corresponding decrease in previously reported opening retained earnings.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified the EITF consensus on Issue No. 08-6, Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations (EITF 08-6) which addresses certain effects of SFAS Nos. 141R and 160 on an entity's accounting for equity-method investments. The consensus indicates, among other things, that transaction costs for an investment should be included in the cost of the equity-method investment (and not expensed) and shares subsequently issued by the equity-method investee that reduce the investor's ownership percentage should be accounted for as if the investor had sold a proportionate share of its investment, with gains or losses recorded through earnings. For 3M, EITF 08-6 was effective for transactions occurring after December 31, 2008. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified the EITF consensus on Issue No. 08-7, Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets (EITF 08-7). The consensus addresses the accounting for an intangible asset acquired in a business combination or asset acquisition that an entity does not intend to use or intends to hold to prevent others from obtaining access (a defensive intangible asset). Under EITF 08-7, a defensive intangible asset needs to be accounted as a separate unit of accounting and would be assigned a useful life based on the period over which the asset diminishes in value. For 3M, EITF 08-7 was effective for transactions occurring after December 31, 2008. The Company considered this standard in terms of intangible assets acquired in business combinations or asset acquisitions that closed after December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*. This FSP requires additional disclosures about plan assets for sponsors of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans including expanded information regarding investment strategies, major categories of plan assets, and concentrations of risk within plan assets. Additionally, this FSP requires disclosures similar to those required under SFAS No. 157 with respect to the fair value of plan assets such as the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and information with respect to classification of plan assets in terms of the hierarchy of the source of information used to determine their value (see Note 10). The disclosures under this FSP are required for annual periods ending after December 15, 2009. 3M is currently evaluating the requirements of these additional disclosures.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Values When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*. This FSP

Table of Contents

provides guidance on (1) estimating the fair value of an asset or liability when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly declined and (2) identifying transactions that are not orderly. The FSP also amends certain disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 157 to require, among other things, disclosures in interim periods of the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. For 3M, this FSP is effective prospectively beginning April 1, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, but would not expect it to have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP 115-2). This FSP modifies the requirements for recognizing other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities and changes the existing impairment model for such securities. The FSP also requires additional disclosures for both annual and interim periods with respect to both debt and equity securities. Under the FSP, impairment of debt securities will be considered other-than-temporary if an entity (1) intends to sell the security, (2) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (3) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell). The FSP further indicates that, depending on which of the above factor(s) causes the impairment to be considered other-than-temporary, (1) the entire shortfall of the security's fair value versus its amortized cost basis or (2) only the credit loss portion would be recognized in earnings while the remaining shortfall (if any) would be recorded in other comprehensive income. FSP 115-2 requires entities to initially apply the provisions of the standard to previously other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities existing as of the date of initial adoption by making a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. The cumulative-effect adjustment potentially reclassifies the noncredit portion of a previously other-than-temporarily impaired debt security held as of the date of initial adoption from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. For 3M, this FSP is effective April 1, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard, but would not expect it to have a material impact on 3M's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP essentially expands the disclosure about fair value of financial instruments that were previously required only annually to also be required for interim period reporting. In addition, the FSP requires certain additional disclosures regarding the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. For 3M, these additional disclosures will be required beginning with the quarter ending June 30, 2009. 3M is currently evaluating the requirements of these additional disclosures.

NOTE 2. Acquisitions

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, 3M completed two business combinations. The purchase price paid for these business combinations (net of cash acquired) and certain acquisition costs paid for pre-2009 business combinations during the three months ended March 31, 2009 aggregated to \$9 million.

(1) In January 2009, 3M (Safety, Security and Protection Services Business) purchased 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Alltech Solutions, a provider of water pipe rehabilitation services based in Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada.

(2) In February 2009, 3M (Industrial and Transportation Business) purchased the assets of Compac Corp.'s pressure sensitive adhesive tape business, a global leader in providing custom solutions in coating, laminating and converting flexible substrates headquartered in Hackettstown, N.J.

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Purchased identifiable intangible assets totaled \$6 million and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average life of 6 years (lives ranging from 1 to 19 years). Acquired identifiable intangible assets for which significant assumed renewals or extensions of underlying arrangements impacted the determination of their useful lives were not material. Pro forma information related to the above acquisitions is not included because the impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations is not considered to be material.

In addition to business combinations, 3M periodically acquires certain tangible and/or intangible assets and purchases interests in certain enterprises that do not otherwise qualify for accounting as business combinations. These transactions are largely reflected as additional asset purchase and investment activity.

NOTE 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

As discussed in Note 13, 3M made certain changes to its business segments effective in the first quarter of 2009, which resulted in no material changes to the goodwill balances by business segment. For those changes that resulted in reporting unit changes, the Company applied the relative fair value method to determine the impact to reporting units. SFAS No. 142 requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at least annually and when reporting units are changed. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company completed its assessment of any potential goodwill impairment for reporting units impacted by this new structure and determined that no impairment existed.

Table of Contents

Purchased goodwill related to the two acquisitions which closed in the first three months of 2009 totaled \$3 million, \$1 million of which is deductible for tax purposes. The acquisition activity in the following table also includes the impacts of adjustments to the preliminary allocation of purchase price and certain acquisition costs for pre-2009 acquisitions, which reduced goodwill by \$55 million. The amounts in the Translation and other column in the following table primarily relate to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The goodwill balance by business segment as of December 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009, follow:

Goodwill

(Millions)	Dec. 31, 2008 Balance	Acquisition activity	Translation and other	Mar. 31, 2009 Balance
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,692	\$ (5)	\$ (46)	\$ 1,641
Health Care	988	(4)	(40)	944
Consumer and Office	155	1	(21)	135
Safety, Security and Protection Services	1,202	6	(16)	1,192
Display and Graphics	1,042	(48)	(19)	975
Electro and Communications	674	(2)	(26)	646
Total Company	\$ 5,753	\$ (52)	\$ (168)	\$ 5,533

Acquired Intangible Assets

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, acquired intangible asset activity through business combinations increased balances by \$71 million, of which approximately \$65 million relates to adjustments to preliminary allocations of purchase price on pre-2009 acquisitions. The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of acquired intangible assets as of March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, follow:

(Millions)	Mar. 31 2009	Dec. 31 2008
Patents	\$ 454	\$ 475
Other amortizable intangible assets (primarily tradenames and customer related intangibles)	1,444	1,381
Non-amortizable intangible assets (tradenames)	131	130
Total gross carrying amount	\$ 2,029	\$ 1,986
Accumulated amortization patents	(318)	(318)
Accumulated amortization other	(309)	(270)
Total accumulated amortization	(627)	(588)
Total intangible assets net	\$ 1,402	\$ 1,398

Amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for the three-months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 follows:

(Millions)	Three months ended Mar. 31	
	2009	2008
Amortization expense	\$ 39	\$ 24

The table below shows expected amortization expense for acquired intangible assets recorded as of March 31, 2009:

(Millions)	Last 3 Quarters 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	After 2013
Amortization expense	\$ 112	\$ 141	\$ 133	\$ 126	\$ 121	\$ 638

The expected amortization expense is an estimate. Actual amounts of amortization expense may differ from estimated amounts due to additional intangible asset acquisitions, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, impairment of intangible assets, accelerated amortization of intangible assets and other events. 3M expenses the costs incurred to renew or extend the term of intangible assets.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4. Restructuring Actions and Exit Activities

Restructuring actions and exit activities generally include significant actions involving employee-related severance charges, contract termination costs, and impairment of assets associated with such actions.

Employee-related severance charges are largely based upon distributed employment policies and substantive severance plans and are reflected in the quarter in which management approves the associated actions. Severance amounts for which affected employees were required to render service in order to receive benefits at their termination dates were measured at the date such benefits were communicated to the applicable employees and recognized as expense over the employees' remaining service periods.

Contract termination and other charges primarily reflect costs to terminate a contract before the end of its term (measured at fair value at the time the Company provided notice to the counterparty) or costs that will continue to be incurred under the contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the Company. Asset impairment charges related to intangible assets and property, plant and equipment reflect the excess of the assets' carrying values over their fair values.

The following provides information concerning the Company's first-quarter 2009 restructuring actions and fourth-quarter 2008 restructuring actions.

2008 and 2009 Restructuring Actions:

During the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, management approved and committed to undertake certain restructuring actions. Due to the rapid decline in global business activity in the fourth quarter of 2008 and into the first quarter of 2009, 3M aggressively reduced its cost structure and rationalized several facilities, including manufacturing, technical and office facilities. These actions included all geographies, with particular attention in the developed areas of the world that have and are experiencing large declines in business activity, and included the following:

- During the fourth quarter of 2008, 3M announced the elimination of more than 2,400 positions. Of these employment reductions, about 31 percent were in the United States, 29 percent in Europe, 24 percent in Latin America and Canada, and 16 percent in the Asia Pacific area. These restructuring actions resulted in a fourth-quarter 2008 pre-tax charge of \$229 million, with \$186 million for employee-related items/benefits and other, and \$43 million related to fixed asset impairments. The preceding charges were recorded in cost of sales (\$84 million), selling, general and administrative expenses (\$135 million), and research, development and related expenses (\$10 million). Cash payments in 2008 related to this restructuring were not material. Refer to 3M's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Note 4, for additional information on these 2008 restructuring actions.
- During the first quarter of 2009, 3M announced the elimination of approximately 1,200 positions. Of these employment reductions, about 43 percent were in the United States, 36 percent in Latin America, 16 percent in Europe and 5 percent in the Asia Pacific area. These

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

restructuring actions resulted in a first-quarter 2009 pre-tax charge of \$67 million, with \$61 million for employee-related items/benefits and \$6 million related to fixed asset impairments. The preceding charges were recorded in cost of sales (\$17 million), selling, general and administrative expenses (\$47 million), and research, development and related expenses (\$3 million).

Table of Contents

Components of these restructuring actions and a roll-forward of associated balances are summarized as follows:

(Millions)	Employee- Related Items/ Benefits	Asset Impairments	Total
Expense incurred in fourth quarter 2008	\$ 186	\$ 43	\$ 229
Non-cash charges in 2008	\$	\$ (43)	\$ (43)
Expense incurred in first quarter 2009:			
Industrial and Transportation	22	1	23
Health Care	4		4
Consumer and Office	2		2
Safety, Security and Protection Services	4		4
Display and Graphics	1	5	6
Electro and Communications	3		3
Corporate and Unallocated	25		25
First quarter 2009 expense	\$ 61	\$ 6	\$ 67
Non-cash charges in 2009	\$	\$ (6)	\$ (6)
Cash payments	\$ (107)	\$	\$ (107)
Accrued liability balance at Mar. 31, 2009	\$ 140	\$	\$ 140

The majority of the remaining employee related items and benefits associated with these actions are expected to be paid out in cash through the remainder of 2009.

Table of Contents**NOTE 5. Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information****Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity****3M Company and Subsidiaries****Three months ended Mar. 31, 2009**

(Millions)	3M Company Shareholders						
	Total	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Unearned Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non- controlling Interest
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 10,304	\$ 3,015	\$ 22,227	\$ (11,676)	\$ (40)	\$ (3,646)	\$ 424
Net income including noncontrolling interest	530		518				12
Cumulative translation adjustment	(452)					(420)	(32)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	(21)					(21)	
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain (loss)	1					1	
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized gain (loss)	(5)					(5)	
Total comprehensive income	53						
Dividends paid	(354)		(354)				
Amortization of unearned compensation	22				22		
Stock-based compensation, net of tax impacts	80	80					
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	36		(22)	58			
Balance at March 31, 2009	\$ 10,141	\$ 3,095	\$ 22,369	\$ (11,618)	\$ (18)	\$ (4,091)	\$ 404

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity**3M Company and Subsidiaries****Three months ended Mar. 31, 2008**

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

3M Company Shareholders

(Millions)	Total	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Unearned Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Non- controlling Interest
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 12,072	\$ 2,798	\$ 20,295	\$ (10,520)	\$ (79)	\$ (747)	\$ 325
Net income including noncontrolling interest	1,006		988				18
Cumulative translation adjustment	387					361	26
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	31					31	
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain (loss)	4					4	
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized gain (loss)	(4)					(4)	
Total comprehensive income	1,424						
Dividends paid	(365)		(353)				(12)
Amortization of unearned compensation	21				21		
Stock-based compensation, net of tax impacts	42	42					
Reacquired stock	(496)			(496)			
Issuances pursuant to stock option and benefit plans	94		(22)	116			
Balance at March 31, 2008	\$ 12,792	\$ 2,840	\$ 20,908	\$ (10,900)	\$ (58)	\$ (355)	\$ 357

Table of Contents**Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

(Millions)	Three months ended March 31	
	2009	2008
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 530	\$ 1,006
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:		
Cumulative translation adjustment	(452)	387
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	(21)	31
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss)	1	4
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss)	(5)	(4)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(477)	418
Comprehensive income (loss) including noncontrolling interest	53	1,424
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	20	(44)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to 3M	\$ 73	\$ 1,380

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to 3M

(Millions)	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,
	2009	2008
Cumulative translation adjustment	\$ (566)	\$ (146)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	(3,546)	(3,525)
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss)	(18)	(19)
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss)	39	44
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (4,091)	\$ (3,646)

Components of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to 3M

(Millions)	Three-months ended Mar. 31,	
	2009	2008
Net income attributable to 3M	\$ 518	\$ 988
Cumulative translation	(363)	282
Tax effect	(57)	79
Cumulative translation - net of tax	(420)	361
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	(40)	49
Tax effect	19	(18)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment - net of tax	(21)	31
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss)	2	7
Tax effect	(1)	(3)
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss) - net of tax	1	4
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss)	(11)	(6)
Tax effect	6	2
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss) - net of tax	(5)	(4)

Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to 3M	\$	73	\$	1,380
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	--------------

Reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting in comprehensive income items that are also recorded as part of net income. 3M had no reclassification adjustments attributable to noncontrolling interest. As disclosed in Note 8, for the three months ended March 31, 2009, \$36 million pre-tax (\$23 million after tax) was reclassified to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income attributable to 3M to pension and postretirement expense in the income statement. These pension and postretirement expense amounts are shown in the table in Note 8 as amortization of transition (asset) obligation, amortization of prior service cost (benefit) and amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss. Reclassifications to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income for debt and equity securities, which primarily include marketable securities, were not material for the three-months ended March 31, 2009. Refer to Note 9 for a table that recaps pre-tax cash flow hedging instruments reclassifications. Income taxes are not provided for foreign translation relating to permanent investments in international subsidiaries, but tax effects within cumulative translation do include impacts from items such as net investment hedge transactions.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6. Income Taxes**

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2002.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its examination of the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2002 through 2004 in the first quarter of 2008. The outcome of the 2002 through 2004 audit cycle impacted the 2001 tax year, which was settled in the second quarter of 2008. Currently, the Company is under examination by the IRS for its U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2005 through 2008. It is anticipated that the IRS will complete its examination of the Company for these years by the end of the first quarter of 2010. As of March 31, 2009, the IRS has not proposed any significant adjustments to the Company's tax positions. Currently, the Company is not able to reasonably estimate the amount by which the liability for unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease during the next 12 months as a result of the ongoing IRS audit. However, the Company does not anticipate any adjustments that would result in a material change to its financial position. Payments relating to any proposed assessments arising from the 2005 through 2007 audit may not be made until a final agreement is reached between the Company and the IRS on such assessments or upon a final resolution resulting from the administrative appeals process or judicial action. In addition to the U.S. federal examination, there is also limited audit activity in several U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, are \$343 million and \$334 million.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. The Company recognized in the consolidated statement of income on a gross basis approximately \$3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, and for the three months ended March 31, 2008 the amount was not material. At March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, accrued interest and penalties in the consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis were \$50 million and \$47 million, respectively. Included in these interest and penalty amounts are interest and penalties related to tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

NOTE 7. Marketable Securities

The Company invests in agency securities, corporate securities, asset-backed securities, treasury securities and other securities. The following is a summary of amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet for marketable securities (current and non-current).

(Millions)	March 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
Agency securities	\$ 105	\$ 180
Corporate securities	98	145
Asset-backed securities:		
Credit cards related	19	
Automobile loans related	3	24
Other	9	11
Asset-backed securities total	31	35

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Other securities		13		13
Current marketable securities	\$	247	\$	373
Agency securities	\$	92	\$	200
Corporate securities		56		62
Treasury securities		53		12
Asset-backed securities:				
Automobile loans related		25		25
Credit cards related		17		40
Other		10		11
Asset-backed securities total		52		76
Auction rate and other securities				2
Non-current marketable securities	\$	253	\$	352
Total marketable securities	\$	500	\$	725

Table of Contents

Classification of marketable securities as current or non-current is dependent upon management's intended holding period, the security's maturity date and liquidity considerations based on market conditions. If management intends to hold the securities for longer than one year as of the balance sheet date, they are classified as non-current. At March 31, 2009, gross unrealized losses totaled approximately \$26 million (pre-tax), while gross unrealized gains totaled approximately \$4 million (pre-tax). At December 31, 2008, gross unrealized losses totaled approximately \$30 million (pre-tax), while gross unrealized gains were not material. Gross unrealized losses related to auction rate securities totaled \$17 million and \$16 million (pre-tax) as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Gross realized gains and losses on sales or maturities of marketable securities for the first three months of 2009 and 2008 were not material. Cost of securities sold or reclassified use the first in, first out (FIFO) method. Since these marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities, changes in fair value will flow through other comprehensive income, with amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income into earnings upon sale or other-than-temporary impairment.

3M has a diversified marketable securities portfolio of \$500 million as of March 31, 2009. Within this portfolio, current and long-term asset-backed securities (estimated fair value of \$83 million) are primarily comprised of interests in automobile loans and credit cards. At March 31, 2009, the asset-backed securities credit ratings were AAA or A-1+, with the exception of one security rated BBB with a fair market value of less than \$2 million. Historically, 3M's marketable securities portfolio included auction rate securities that represented interests in investment grade credit default swaps, however, these have been written down to zero as of March 31, 2009. Since the second half of 2007, these auction rate securities failed to auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. Liquidity for these auction-rate securities is typically provided by an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28, 35, or 90 days. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs or a buyer is found outside of the auction process. Based upon an analysis of temporary and other-than-temporary impairment factors, auction rate securities with an original par value of approximately \$34 million were written-down to an estimated fair value of \$1 million as of December 31, 2008 and zero as of March 31, 2009. There are \$17 million (pre-tax) of temporary impairments associated with auction rate securities at March 31, 2009, which were recorded as unrealized losses within other comprehensive income. As of March 31, 2009, these investments have been in a loss position for approximately 18 months. 3M recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges that reduced pre-tax income by approximately \$1 million in the first quarter of 2008. Refer to Note 10 for a table that reconciles the beginning and ending balances of auction rate securities.

3M reviews impairments associated with the above in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-1 and FSP SFAS 115-1 and 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, as well as EITF 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets, and related interpretations to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income component of equity. Such an unrealized loss does not reduce net income for the applicable accounting period because the loss is not viewed as other-than-temporary. The Company believes that a portion of the impairment of its auction rate securities investments is temporary and a portion is other-than-temporary. The factors evaluated to differentiate between temporary and other-than-temporary include the projected future cash flows, credit ratings actions, and assessment of the credit quality of the underlying collateral.

The balances at March 31, 2009 for marketable securities by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

(Millions)	March 31, 2009
Due in one year or less	\$ 176
Due after one year through three years	214
Due after three years through five years	97
Due after five years	13

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Total marketable securities	\$	500
-----------------------------	----	-----

Table of Contents

NOTE 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Components of net periodic benefit cost and other supplemental information for the three months ended March 31 follow:

Benefit Plan Information

(Millions)	Three months ended March 31							
	Qualified and Non-qualified Pension Benefits				Postretirement Benefits			
	United States		International		2009		2008	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 46	\$ 48	\$ 24	\$ 31	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 13
Interest cost	155	149	56	66	24	24	24	26
Expected return on plan assets	(227)	(222)	(62)	(80)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(26)
Amortization of transition (asset) obligation			1	1				
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)	4	4	(1)	(1)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(21)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	25	14	10	10	17	17	17	16
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ 3	\$ (7)	\$ 28	\$ 27	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ 8
Settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits								
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit) after settlements, curtailments and special termination benefits	\$ 3	\$ (7)	\$ 28	\$ 27	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ 8

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, contributions totaling \$111 million were made to the Company's U.S. and international pension plans and \$12 million to its postretirement plans. In 2009, the Company expects to contribute up to \$850 million to its U.S. and international pension plans and \$130 million to its postretirement plans. The Company does not have a required minimum pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2009. Therefore, the amount of the anticipated discretionary pension contribution could vary significantly depending on the U.S. plans' funding status as of the 2009 measurement date and the anticipated tax deductibility of the contribution. The Company would consider whether to make these pension contributions to its U.S. plans either in cash or shares of its common stock, subject to applicable requirements. 3M's annual measurement date for pension and postretirement assets and liabilities is December 31 each year, which is also the date used for the related annual measurement assumptions.

In April 2009, the Company offered a voluntary early retirement incentive program to certain eligible participants of its U.S. pension plans who meet age and years of pension service requirements. The eligible participants who accept the offer and retire by June 1, 2009 will receive an enhanced pension benefit. Pension benefits will be enhanced by adding one additional year of pension service and one additional year of age for certain benefit calculations.

3M was informed during the first quarter of 2009 that the general partners of WG Trading Company, in which 3M's benefit plans hold limited partnership interests, are the subject of a criminal investigation as well as civil proceedings by the SEC and CFTC (Commodity Futures Trading Commission). As of December 31, 2008 those limited partnership interests represented less than two percent of 3M's fair value of total plan assets. The court appointed receiver has taken control of WG Trading Company and other entities controlled by its general partners, and further redemptions of limited partnership interests are restricted pending court proceedings. 3M currently believes that the resolution of these events will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company. The Company has insurance that it believes, based on what is currently known, is applicable to this potential loss.

NOTE 9. Derivatives

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, commodity price swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity price fluctuations. The information that follows explains the various types of derivatives and financial instruments used by 3M, how and why 3M uses such instruments, how such instruments are accounted for, and how such instruments impact 3M's financial position and performance.

Additional information with respect to the impacts on other comprehensive income of nonderivative hedging and derivative instruments is included in Note 5. Additional information with respect to the fair value of derivative instruments is included in Note 10. References to information regarding derivatives and/or hedging instruments associated with the Company's long-term debt are also made in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Types of Derivatives/Hedging Instruments and Inclusion in Income/Other Comprehensive Income

Cash Flow Hedges:

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Cash Flow Hedging – Foreign Currency Forward and Option Contracts: The Company enters into foreign exchange forward and option contracts to hedge against the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash flows denominated in foreign currencies and certain intercompany financing transactions. These transactions are designated as cash flow hedges. The settlement or extension of these derivatives will result in reclassifications (from accumulated other comprehensive income) to earnings in the period during which the hedged transactions affect earnings. Generally, 3M dedesignates these cash flow hedge relationships in advance of the occurrence of the forecasted transaction. The portion of gains or losses on the derivative instrument previously accumulated in other comprehensive income for dedesignated hedges remains in accumulated other comprehensive income until the forecasted transaction occurs. Changes in the value of derivative instruments after dedesignation are recorded in earnings and are included in the Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments section below. Hedge ineffectiveness and the amount excluded from effectiveness testing recognized in income on cash flow hedges were not material for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The maximum length of time over which 3M hedges its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for a majority of the forecasted transactions is 12 months and, accordingly, at March 31, 2009, the majority of the Company's open foreign exchange forward and option contracts had maturities of one year or less. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward and option contracts designated as cash flow hedges at March 31, 2009 was approximately \$2.5 billion.

Cash Flow Hedging – Commodity Price Management: The Company manages commodity price risks through negotiated supply contracts, price protection agreements and forward physical contracts. The Company uses commodity price swaps relative to natural gas as cash flow hedges of forecasted transactions to manage price volatility. The related mark-to-market gain or loss on qualifying hedges is included in other comprehensive income to the extent effective, and reclassified into cost of sales in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. 3M has hedged its exposure to the variability of future cash flows for certain forecasted transactions through 2009. No significant commodity cash flow hedges were discontinued and hedge ineffectiveness was not material for the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of the Company's natural gas commodity price swaps designated as cash flow hedges at March 31, 2009 was \$56 million.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are as follows. Reclassifications of amounts from accumulated other comprehensive income into income include accumulated gains (losses) on dedesignated hedges at the time earnings are impacted by the forecasted transaction.

Three months ended Mar. 31, 2009 (Millions)	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Effective Portion of Derivative	Pretax Gain (Loss) on Effective Portion of Derivative Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Income		Ineffective Portion of Gain (Loss) on Derivative and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Recognized in Income	
	Amount	Location	Amount	Location	Amount

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging

Relationships

Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$	33	Cost of sales	\$	(44)	Cost of sales	\$
Foreign currency forward contracts		9	Interest expense		(3)	Interest expense	
Commodity price swap contracts		(15)	Cost of sales		9	Cost of sales	
Total	\$	27		\$	(38)		\$

As of March 31, 2009, the Company had a balance of \$39 million associated with the after tax net unrealized gain associated with cash flow hedging instruments recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. 3M expects to reclassify to earnings over the next 12 months a majority of this balance (with the impact offset by cash flows from underlying hedged items).

Table of ContentsFair Value Hedges:

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivatives as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings.

Fair Value Hedging - Interest Rate Swaps: The Company manages interest expense using a mix of fixed and floating rate debt. To help manage borrowing costs, the Company may enter into interest rate swaps. Under these arrangements, the Company agrees to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed and floating interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of the Company's interest rate swaps at March 31, 2009 was \$952 million.

At March 31, 2009, the Company had interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges of underlying fixed rate obligations. In November 2006, the Company entered into a \$400 million fixed-to-floating interest rate swap concurrent with the issuance of the three-year medium-term note due in 2009. Also, in July 2007, in connection with the issuance of a seven-year Eurobond for an amount of 750 million Euros, the Company completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of 400 million Euros as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate Eurobond obligation. The mark-to-market of these fair value hedges is recorded as gains or losses in interest expense and is offset by the gain or loss on the underlying debt instrument, which also is recorded in interest expense. These fair value hedges are 100 percent effective and, thus, there is no impact on earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness.

Fair Value Hedging - Foreign Currency: In November 2008, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts to purchase Japanese Yen, Pound Sterling, and Euros with a notional amount of \$255 million at the contract rates. These contracts were designated as fair value hedges of a U.S. dollar tax obligation. These fair value hedges matured in early January 2009. The mark-to-market of these forward contracts was recorded as gains or losses in tax expense and was offset by the gain or loss on the underlying tax obligation, which also was recorded in tax expense. The fair value of these contracts as of December 31, 2008 was \$25 million. Changes in the value of these contracts in 2009 through their maturity were not material.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges and similar information relative to the hedged items are as follows:

Three months ended Mar. 31, 2009 (Millions) Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Income		Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Income	
	Location	Amount	Location	Amount
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$ 14	Interest expense	\$ (14)
Total		\$ 14		\$ (14)

Net Investment Hedges:

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

As circumstances warrant, the Company uses cross currency swaps, forwards and foreign currency denominated debt to hedge portions of the Company's net investments in foreign operations. For hedges that meet the effectiveness requirements, the net gains or losses attributable to changes in spot exchange rates are recorded in cumulative translation within other comprehensive income. The remainder of the change in value of such instruments is recorded in earnings. Recognition in earnings of amounts previously recorded in cumulative translation is limited to circumstances such as complete or substantially complete liquidation of the net investment in the hedged foreign operation. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of the Company's cross currency swaps and foreign currency forward contracts designated as net investment hedges at March 31, 2009 was \$500 million.

In November 2006, the Company entered into a three-year floating-to-floating cross currency swap with a notional amount of \$200 million. This transaction is a partial hedge of the Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries. This swap converts U.S. dollar-based variable interest payments to Euro-based variable interest payments associated with the notional amount.

In September 2006, the Company entered into a three-year floating-to-floating cross currency swap with a notional amount of \$300 million. This transaction is a partial hedge of the Company's net investment in its Japanese subsidiaries. This swap converts U.S. dollar-based variable interest payments to yen-based variable interest payments associated with the notional amount.

In addition to the derivative instruments used as hedging instruments in net investment hedges, 3M also uses foreign currency denominated debt as nonderivative hedging instruments in certain net investment hedges. In July and December 2007, the Company issued seven-year fixed rate Eurobond securities for amounts of 750 million Euros and

Table of Contents

275 million Euros, respectively. 3M designated each of these Eurobond issuances as hedging instruments of the Company's net investment in its European subsidiaries.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative and nonderivative instruments designated as net investment hedges are as follows. There were no reclassifications of the effective portion of net investment hedges out of accumulated other comprehensive income into income for the period presented in the table below.

Three months ended Mar. 31, 2009

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized as Cumulative Translation within Other Comprehensive Income on Effective Portion of Instrument		Ineffective Portion of Gain (Loss) on Instrument and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Recognized in Income	
	Amount	Location	Amount	Location
Derivative and Nonderivative Instruments in Net Investment Hedging Relationships				
Cross currency swap contracts	\$	38	Interest expense	\$ 31
Foreign currency denominated debt		95	N/A	
Total	\$	133		\$ 31

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments include dedesignated foreign currency forward and option contracts that formerly were designated in cash flow hedging relationships (as referenced in the Cash Flow Hedges section above). In addition, 3M enters into foreign currency forward contracts and commodity price swaps to offset, in part, the impacts of certain intercompany activities (primarily associated with intercompany licensing arrangements) and fluctuations in costs associated with the use of certain precious metals, respectively. These derivative instruments are not designated in hedging relationships; therefore, fair value gains and losses on these contracts are recorded in earnings. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of these forward, option and swap contracts not designated as hedging instruments totaled \$732 million as of March 31, 2009. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments are as follows:

Three months ended Mar. 31, 2009 (Millions)	Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Income	
	Location	Amount
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Cost of sales	\$ 13
Commodity price swap contracts	Cost of sales	(1)
Total		\$ 12

Table of Contents*Location and Fair Value Amount of Derivative Instruments*

The following table summarizes the fair value of 3M's derivative instruments, excluding nonderivative instruments used as hedging instruments, and their location in the consolidated balance sheet.

March 31, 2009 (Millions)		Assets		Liabilities	
Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	Location	Amount	Location	Amount	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments					
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Other current assets	\$ 132	Other current liabilities	\$ 77	
Commodity price swap contracts	Other current assets	1	Other current liabilities	22	
Interest rate swap contracts	Other current assets	6	Other current liabilities		
Interest rate swap contracts	Other assets	53	Other liabilities		
Cross currency swap contracts	Other current assets		Other current liabilities	62	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 192		\$ 161	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments					
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Other current assets	\$ 16	Other current liabilities	\$ 1	
Commodity price swap contracts	Other current assets		Other current liabilities		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 16		\$ 1	
Total derivative instruments		\$ 208		\$ 162	

Additional information with respect to the fair value of derivative instruments is included in Note 10.

Currency Effects and Credit Risk

Currency Effects: 3M estimates that year-on-year currency effects, including hedging impacts, decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$20 million for the for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and increased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$50 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This estimate includes the effect of translating profits from local currencies into U.S. dollars; the impact of currency fluctuations on the transfer of goods between 3M operations in the United States and abroad; and transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks and the negative impact of swapping Venezuelan bolivars into U.S. dollars. However, this estimate does not reflect the higher commodity prices 3M is currently experiencing related to dollar-denominated commodities, which would mute this impact somewhat. 3M estimates that year-on-year derivative and other transaction gains and losses increased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$65 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$15 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Credit risk: The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties in interest rate swaps, currency swaps, commodity price swaps, and forward and option contracts. However, the Company's risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties. The Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a credit support agreement with one of its primary derivatives counterparties. Under this agreement either party is required to post eligible collateral when the market value of transactions covered by the agreement exceeds specified thresholds, thus limiting credit exposure for both parties.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10. Fair Value Measurements**

As discussed in Note 1, 3M adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (as amended by associated FSP s), prospectively effective January 1, 2008, with respect to fair value measurements of (a) nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Company s financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) and (b) all financial assets and liabilities. 3M adopted the remaining aspects of SFAS No. 157 relative to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, prospectively effective January 1, 2009.

Under SFAS No. 157, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company s assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based upon the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

At 3M, effective January 1, 2008, fair value under SFAS No. 157 (as impacted by FSP Nos. 157-1 and 157-2) principally applied to financial asset and liabilities such as available-for-sale marketable securities, available-for-sale investments (included as part of investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheet) and certain derivative instruments. Derivatives include cash flow hedges, interest rate swaps and most net investment hedges. These items were previously and will continue to be marked-to-market at each reporting period; however, the definition of fair value used for these mark-to-markets is now applied using SFAS No. 157. The information in the following paragraphs and tables primarily addresses matters relative to these financial assets and liabilities. The information incorporates guidance of FSP No. 157-3, Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active, which was effective for 3M beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2008. Separately, there were no material fair value measurements with respect to nonfinancial assets or liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Company s financial statements on a recurring basis subsequent to the effective date of SFAS No. 157 (as impacted by associated FSP s).

3M uses various valuation techniques, which are primarily based upon the market and income approaches, with respect to financial assets and liabilities. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for the respective financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

Available-for-sale marketable securities except auction rate securities:

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Marketable securities, except auction rate securities, are valued utilizing multiple sources. A weighted average price is used for these securities. Market prices are obtained for these securities from a variety of industry standard data providers, security master files from large financial institutions, and other third-party sources. These multiple prices are used as inputs into a distribution-curve-based algorithm to determine the daily fair value to be used. 3M classifies treasury securities as level 1, while all other marketable securities (excluding auction rate securities) are classified as level 2. Marketable securities are discussed further in Note 7.

Available-for-sale marketable securities auction rate securities only:

As discussed in Note 7, auction rate securities held by 3M failed to auction since the second half of 2007. As a result, investments in auction rate securities are valued utilizing broker-dealer valuation models and third-party indicative bid levels in markets that are not active. 3M classifies these securities as level 3.

Available-for-sale investments:

Investments include equity securities that are traded in an active market. Closing stock prices are readily available from active markets and are used as being representative of fair value. 3M classifies these securities as level 1.

Table of Contents

Derivative instruments:

Derivative assets and liabilities within the scope of SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, are required to be recorded at fair value. The Company's derivatives that are impacted by SFAS No. 157 include foreign currency forward and option contracts, commodity price swaps, interest rate swaps, and net investment hedges where the hedging instrument is recorded at fair value. Net investment hedges that use foreign currency denominated debt to hedge 3M's net investment are not impacted by SFAS No. 157 as the debt used as the hedging instrument is marked to a value with respect to changes in spot foreign currency exchange rates and not with respect to other factors that may impact fair value.

3M has determined that foreign currency forwards and commodity price swaps will be considered level 1 measurements as these are traded in active markets which have identical asset or liabilities, while currency swaps, foreign currency options, interest rate swaps and cross-currency swaps will be considered level 2. For level 2 derivatives, 3M uses inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset. These inputs include foreign currency exchange rates, volatilities, and interest rates. The level 2 derivative positions are primarily valued using standard calculations/models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters. Industry standard data providers are 3M's primary source for forward and spot rate information for both interest rates and currency rates, with resulting valuations periodically validated through third-party or counterparty quotes and a net present value stream of cash flows model.

The following table provides information by level for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, as defined by SFAS No. 157, on a recurring basis.

(Millions) Description	Fair Value at Mar. 31, 2009	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Available-for-sale:				
Marketable securities - except auction rate securities	\$ 500	\$ 53	\$ 447	\$
Marketable securities - auction rate securities only				
Investments	5	5		
Derivative instruments - assets	208	149	59	
Liabilities:				
Derivative instruments - liabilities	162	101	61	

(Millions) Description	Fair Value at Dec. 31, 2008	Fair Value Measurements Using Inputs Considered as		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Available-for-sale:				
Marketable securities - except auction rate securities	\$ 724	\$ 14	\$ 710	\$
Marketable securities - auction rate securities only	1			1
Investments	5	5		
Derivative instruments - assets	279	221	58	
Liabilities:				

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Derivative instruments - liabilities	212	99	113
--------------------------------------	-----	----	-----

23

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the table above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

(Millions)	Three months ended	
Marketable securities - auction rate securities only	Mar. 31, 2009	Mar. 31, 2008
Beginning balance	\$ 1	\$ 16
Total gains or losses:		
Included in earnings		(1)
Included in other comprehensive income	(1)	(4)
Purchases, issuances, and settlements		
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		
Ending balance (March 31)	\$	11
Additional losses included in earnings due to reclassifications from other comprehensive income for securities still held at March 31	\$	

In addition, the plan assets of 3M's pension and postretirement benefit plans are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually).

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis:

Disclosures for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, are required prospectively beginning January 1, 2009. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, such measurements of fair value related primarily to the nonfinancial assets and liabilities with respect to the business combinations in 2009 as discussed in Note 2 and the portion of 2009 restructuring actions related to fixed asset impairments as discussed in Note 4. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities (excluding goodwill) for business combinations totaled approximately \$7 million. For business combinations, 3M uses inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, such as interest rates, cost of capital, and market comparable royalty rates, which are applied to income and market valuation approaches. 3M considers these level 2 inputs. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, fixed asset impairments totaled approximately \$6 million pre-tax, with the complete carrying amount written off and included in operating income results. 3M considers these level 2 inputs. Refer to Note 1 (Property, plant and equipment) in 3M's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of accounting policies related to fixed asset impairments.

The following table provides information by level for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value during the first quarter of 2009, as defined by SFAS No. 157, on a nonrecurring basis.

(Millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using				
	Fair value Three-months ended Mar. 31, 2009	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
Long-lived assets held and used	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (6)
Business combinations	7		7		
Total					\$ (6)

NOTE 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings:

The Company and some of its subsidiaries are involved in numerous claims and lawsuits, principally in the United States, and regulatory proceedings worldwide. These include various products liability (involving products that the Company now or formerly manufactured and sold), intellectual property, and commercial claims and lawsuits, including those brought under the antitrust laws, and environmental proceedings. The following sections first describe the significant legal proceedings in which the Company is involved, and then describe the liabilities and associated insurance receivables the Company has accrued relating to its significant legal proceedings. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is vigorously defending all such litigation. Additional information can be found in Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, including information about the Company's process for establishing and disclosing accruals and insurance receivables.

Table of Contents

Shareholder Derivative Litigation

As previously reported, in July 2007, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware against the Company as nominal defendant and against each then current member of the Board of Directors and the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table of the 2007 Proxy Statement. The suit alleges that the Company's 2007 Proxy Statement contained false and misleading statements concerning the tax deductibility of compensation payable under the Executive Annual Incentive Plan (Plan) and the standards for determining the amounts payable under the Plan. The lawsuit seeks a declaration voiding shareholder approval of the Plan, termination of the Plan, voiding the elections of directors, equitable accounting, and awarding costs, including attorneys' fees.

In May 2008, the Company and the individual defendants agreed to settle the litigation without admitting any liability or wrongdoing of any kind. The settlement agreement, which is subject to court approval, calls for the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors to adopt a resolution formally stating its interpretation of certain aspects of the Plan, and the Company to issue a press release to the same effect, and to pay up to \$600,000 in attorney's fees to the plaintiff's counsel. On December 30, 2008, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving the settlement agreement. As a result, the Company notified all stockholders of the proposed settlement and its terms and their right to object to the terms of the settlement. The Court also scheduled a final hearing for May 21, 2009 for the purpose of finally determining whether the proposed settlement agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate. The settlement will be consummated after a final order approving the settlement.

French Competition Council Investigation

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

On December 4, 2008, the Company's subsidiary in France received a Statement of Objections from the French Competition Council alleging an abuse of a dominant position regarding the supply of retro-reflective films for vertical signing applications in France and of participation in a concerted practice with the major French manufacturers of vertical signs. The Statement of Objections is an intermediate stage in the proceedings and no final determination regarding an infringement of French competition rules has been made. 3M has filed its response denying that the Statement of Objections states a valid claim against 3M. It is difficult to predict the final outcome of the investigation at this time.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2009, the Company is a named defendant, with multiple co-defendants, in numerous lawsuits in various courts that purport to represent approximately 2,680 individual claimants, similar to the number of individual claimants with actions pending at December 31, 2008.

The vast majority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company allege use of some of the Company's mask and respirator products and seek damages from the Company and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. A minority of claimants generally allege personal injury from occupational exposure to asbestos from products previously manufactured by the Company, which are often unspecified, as well as products manufactured by other defendants, or occasionally at Company premises.

Since approximately 2006, the Company has experienced a significant decline in the number of new claims filed annually by apparently unimpaired claimants. The Company attributes this decline to several factors, including certain changes enacted in several states in recent years of the law governing asbestos-related claims, and the highly-publicized decision in mid-2005 of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas that identified and criticized abuses by certain attorneys, doctors and x-ray screening companies on behalf of primarily unimpaired claimants, many of whom were recruited by plaintiffs' lawyers through mass chest x-ray screenings. The Company expects the filing of claims by unimpaired claimants in the future to continue at much lower levels than in the past. The Company believes that due to this change in the type and volume of incoming claims, it is likely that the number of claims alleging more serious injuries, including mesothelioma and other malignancies, while remaining relatively constant, will represent a greater percentage of total claims than in the past. The Company has demonstrated in past trial proceedings that its respiratory protection products are effective as claimed when used in the intended manner and in the intended circumstances. Consequently the Company believes that claimants are unable to establish that their medical conditions, even if significant, are attributable to the Company's respiratory protection products. Nonetheless the Company's litigation experience indicates that claims of persons with malignant conditions are costlier to resolve than the claims of unimpaired persons, and it therefore anticipates an increase in the average cost of resolving pending and future claims on a per-claim basis than it experienced in prior periods when the vast majority of claims were asserted by the unimpaired.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation - Aearo Technologies

On April 1, 2008, a subsidiary of the Company purchased the stock of Aearo Holding Corp., the parent of Aearo Technologies (Aearo). Aearo manufactures and sells various products, including personal protection equipment, such as eye, ear, head, face, fall and respiratory protection products.

As of March 31, 2009, Aearo and/or other companies that previously owned and operated Aearo's respirator business (American Optical Corporation, Warner-Lambert LLC, AO Corp. and Cabot Corporation (Cabot)) are named defendants, with multiple co-defendants, including the Company, in numerous lawsuits in various courts in which plaintiffs allege use of

Table of Contents

mask and respirator products and seek damages from Aearo and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica-related, or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace.

As of March 31, 2009, the Company, through its Aearo subsidiary, has recorded \$35 million as an estimate of the probable liabilities for product liabilities and defense costs related to current and future Aearo-related asbestos and silica-related claims. Responsibility for legal costs, as well as for settlements and judgments, is currently shared in an informal arrangement among Aearo, Cabot, American Optical Corporation and a subsidiary of Warner Lambert and their insurers (the Payor Group). Liability is allocated among the parties based on the number of years each company sold respiratory products under the AO Safety brand and/or owned the AO Safety Division of American Optical Corporation and the alleged years of exposure of the individual plaintiff. Aearo's share of the contingent liability is further limited by an agreement entered into between Aearo and Cabot on July 11, 1995. This agreement provides that, so long as Aearo pays to Cabot an annual fee of \$400,000, Cabot will retain responsibility and liability for, and indemnify Aearo against, asbestos and silica-related product liability claims for respirators manufactured prior to July 11, 1995. Because the date of manufacture for a particular respirator allegedly used in the past is often difficult to determine, Aearo and Cabot have applied the agreement to claims arising out of the use of respirators while exposed to asbestos or silica or products containing asbestos or silica prior to January 1, 1997. With these arrangements in place, Aearo's potential liability is limited to exposures alleged to have arisen from the use of respirators while exposed to asbestos, silica or other occupational dusts on or after January 1, 1997.

To date, Aearo has elected to pay the annual fee. Aearo could potentially be exposed to additional claims for some part of the pre-July 11, 1995 period covered by its agreement with Cabot if Aearo elects to discontinue its participation in this arrangement, or if Cabot is no longer able to meet its obligations in these matters.

Developments may occur that could affect the estimate of Aearo's liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to: (i) significant changes in the number of future claims, (ii) significant changes in the average cost of resolving claims, (iii) significant changes in the legal costs of defending these claims, (iv) significant changes in the mix and nature of claims received, (v) trial and appellate outcomes, (vi) significant changes in the law and procedure applicable to these claims, (vii) significant changes in the liability allocation among the co-defendants, (viii) the financial viability of members of the Payor Group including exhaustion of available coverage limits, (ix) the outcome of pending insurance coverage litigation among certain other members of the Payor Group and their respective insurers, and/or (x) a determination that the interpretation of the contractual obligations on which Aearo has estimated its share of liability is inaccurate. The Company cannot determine the impact of these potential developments on its current estimate of Aearo's share of liability for these existing and future claims. If any of the developments described above were to occur, the actual amount of these liabilities for existing and future claims could be significantly larger than the reserved amount.

Employment Litigation

As previously reported, one current and one former employee of the Company filed a purported class action in the District Court of Ramsey County, Minnesota, in December 2004, seeking to represent a class of all current and certain former salaried employees employed by the Company in Minnesota below a certain salary grade who were age 46 or older at any time during the applicable period to be determined by the Court (the Whitaker lawsuit). The complaint alleges the plaintiffs suffered various forms of employment discrimination on the basis of age in violation of the Minnesota Human Rights Act and seeks injunctive relief, unspecified compensatory damages (which they seek to treble under the statute), including back and front pay, punitive damages (limited by statute to \$8,500 per claimant) and attorneys' fees. In January 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion to join four additional named plaintiffs. This motion was unopposed by the Company and the four plaintiffs were joined in the case, although one claim has been dismissed following an individual settlement. The class certification hearing was held in December 2007. On April 11, 2008, the Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to certify the case as a class action and defined the class as all persons who were 46 or older when employed by 3M in Minnesota in a salaried exempt position below a certain salary grade at any time on or

after May 10, 2003, and who did not sign a document on their last day of employment purporting to release claims arising out of their employment with 3M. On June 25, 2008, the Minnesota Court of Appeals granted the Company's petition for interlocutory review of the District Court's decision granting class certification in the case. On April 28, 2009, the Court of Appeals issued its decision, reversing the District Court's class certification decision. The Court of Appeals found that the District Court had not required plaintiffs to meet the proper legal standards for certification of a class under Minnesota law and had deferred resolving certain factual disputes that were relevant to the class certification requirements. The Court of Appeals remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings in line with the evidentiary standards defined in its opinion. No trial date or calendar of pretrial proceedings has been set at this time.

A similar age discrimination lawsuit by a single former employee was filed in the District Court of Ramsey County, Minnesota. As in the Whitaker lawsuit, the plaintiff claims he was subject to age discrimination in violation of the Minnesota Human Rights Act (MHRA). He is also claiming he experienced gender discrimination in violation of the MHRA. The plaintiff seeks unspecified monetary damages, including an award equal to three times his lost salary and benefits, damages for emotional and mental distress, punitive damages (limited by statute to \$8,500) and attorneys' fees. He also seeks injunctive relief. This lawsuit was finally resolved by an individual settlement in February 2009.

Table of Contents

In addition, three former employees filed age discrimination charges against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agencies in Minnesota, Texas and California during 2005. Such filings include allegations that the release of claims signed by certain former employees in the purported class defined in the charges is invalid for various reasons and assert age discrimination claims on behalf of certain current and former salaried employees in states other than Minnesota and New Jersey. In 2006, one current employee filed an age discrimination charge against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agency in Missouri, asserting claims on behalf of a class of all current and certain former salaried employees who worked in Missouri and other states other than Minnesota and New Jersey. In 2007, a former employee filed an age discrimination charge against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agency in California, asserting claims on behalf of a class of all current and certain former salaried employees who worked in California. In January 2009, two former employees filed age discrimination charges against the Company with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the pertinent state agency in Minnesota. The filings include allegations that the release of claims signed by certain former employees in the purported class defined in the charges is invalid for various reasons and assert age discrimination claims on behalf of certain current and former salaried employees in states other than Minnesota. The same law firm represents the plaintiffs in the Whitaker lawsuit as well as the claimants in each of these EEOC proceedings.

Environmental Matters and Litigation

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

The Company's operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations including those pertaining to air emissions, wastewater discharges, toxic substances, and the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes enforceable by national, state, and local authorities around the world, and private parties in the United States and abroad. These laws and regulations provide, under certain circumstances, a basis for the remediation of contamination and for personal injury and property damage claims. The Company has incurred, and will continue to incur, costs and capital expenditures in complying with these laws and regulations, defending personal injury and property damage claims, and modifying its business operations in light of its environmental responsibilities. In its effort to satisfy its environmental responsibilities and comply with environmental laws and regulations, the Company has established, and periodically updates, policies relating to environmental standards of performance for its operations worldwide.

Remediation: Under certain environmental laws, including the United States Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state laws, the Company may be jointly and severally liable, typically with other companies, for the costs of environmental contamination at current or former facilities and at off-site locations. The Company has identified numerous locations, most of which are in the United States, at which it may have some liability. Please refer to the "Environmental remediation liabilities" in the table in the following section, "Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings," for information on the amount of the reserve.

Regulatory Activities: As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with ongoing reviews by local, state, national (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies of possible environmental and health effects of perfluorooctanyl compounds (perfluorooctanoic acid or PFOA and perfluorooctane sulfonate or PFOS) and related compounds. As a result of its phase-out decision in May 2000, the Company no longer manufactures perfluorooctanyl compounds, except that a subsidiary recovers and recycles PFOA in Gendorf, Germany, for internal use in production processes and has agreed to a product stewardship initiative with the EPA to end its use of PFOA by 2015.

Regulatory activities concerning PFOA and/or PFOS continue in Europe and elsewhere, and before certain international bodies. These activities include gathering of exposure and use information, risk assessment, and consideration of regulatory approaches.

In late 2008 and early 2009, the EPA implemented testing of private wells and soils at certain agricultural sites in Alabama where sewage sludge was applied from a local wastewater treatment plant that received wastewater from numerous industrial sources. The EPA also tested public drinking water in Lawrence and Morgan Counties and concluded that the levels of PFOA and PFOS are lower than 0.04 part per billion (ppb). The EPA currently believes that these levels are not of concern and is working with local industry to continue testing private wells in the area. The EPA also issued provisional health advisory values (above which action should be taken to reduce exposure to these compounds in drinking water) for PFOA of 0.4 ppb and PFOS of 0.2 ppb.

As previously reported, the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) detected low levels of another perfluorinated compound called perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) in municipal wells (and in private wells as announced by the MDH in June 2007) in six nearby communities (Woodbury, Cottage Grove, Newport, St. Paul Park, South St. Paul, and Hastings, all communities located southeast of St. Paul), some of which slightly exceeded the MDH's interim advisory level for PFBA of 1 ppb. In February 2008, the MDH established a health-based value (HBV) for PFBA of 7 ppb based on a clearer understanding of PFBA through the results of three major studies and sampling more than 1,000 private wells. An HBV is the amount of a chemical in drinking water considered by the MDH staff to be safe for people to drink for a lifetime. As a result of this new HBV for PFBA, well advisories will no longer be required for certain wells in the Minnesota communities of Lake Elmo, Oakdale and Cottage Grove. Residents in the affected communities where the levels of PFBA in private wells exceed the HBV either have been provided water treatment systems or connected to a city water system. As part of

Table of Contents

legislation passed during the 2007 Minnesota legislative session directing the MDH to develop and implement a statewide Environmental Health Tracking and Biomonitoring program, the MDH announced in July 2008 that it will measure the amount of PFCs in the blood of 200 adults who live in the Minnesota communities of Oakdale, Lake Elmo and Cottage Grove.

The Company continues to work with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) pursuant to the terms of the previously disclosed May 2007 Settlement Agreement and Consent Order to address the presence of perfluorinated compounds in the soil and groundwater at former disposal sites in Washington County Minnesota and at the Company's manufacturing facility at Cottage Grove Minnesota. Under this agreement, the Company's principal obligations include (i) evaluation of releases of perfluorinated compounds from these sites and propose response actions; (ii) providing alternative drinking water if and when an HBV or Health Risk Limit (HRL) (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water determined by the MDH to be safe for people to drink for a lifetime) is exceeded for any perfluorinated compounds as a result of contamination from these sites; (iii) remediation of any source of PFBA and provide alternative drinking water if and when levels are found above an HBV or HRL; and (iv) sharing information with the MPCA about perfluorinated compounds. During 2008, the MPCA issued formal decisions adopting remedial options for the former disposal sites in Washington County Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury). Those options were among the options recommended by the company. The MPCA is in the process of selecting a remedy for the Company's manufacturing facility at Cottage Grove.

As previously reported, the Company entered into a voluntary remedial action agreement with the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) to address the presence of PFCs in the soil on the Company's manufacturing facility in Decatur, Alabama. For approximately twenty years, the Company incorporated wastewater treatment plant sludge containing PFCs in fields surrounding its Decatur facility pursuant to a permit issued by ADEM. After a review of the available options to address the presence of PFCs in the soil, ADEM agreed that the preferred remediation option is to use a multilayer cap over the former sludge incorporation areas on the manufacturing site with groundwater migration controls and treatment.

Please refer to the "Other environmental liabilities" in the table in the following section, *Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings* for information on the balance of the reserve established to implement the Settlement Agreement and Consent Order with the MPCA, the remedial action agreement with ADEM, and to address trace amounts of perfluorinated compounds in drinking water sources in the City of Oakdale and Lake Elmo, Minnesota, as well as presence in the soil and groundwater at the Company's manufacturing facilities in Decatur, Alabama, and Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and at two former disposal sites in Minnesota.

The Company cannot predict what regulatory actions arising from the foregoing proceedings and activities, if any, may be taken regarding such compounds or the consequences of any such actions.

Litigation: As previously reported, a former employee filed a purported class action lawsuit in 2002 in the Circuit Court of Morgan County, Alabama, involving perfluorooctanyl chemistry, alleging that the plaintiffs suffered fear, increased risk, subclinical injuries, and property damage from exposure to perfluorooctanyl chemistry at or near the Company's Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility. The Circuit Court in 2005 granted the Company's motion to dismiss the named plaintiff's personal injury-related claims on the basis that such claims are barred by the exclusivity provisions of the state's Workers Compensation Act. The plaintiffs' counsel filed an amended complaint in November 2006, limiting the case to property damage claims on behalf of a purported class of residents and property owners in the vicinity of the Decatur plant. Also in 2005, the judge in a second purported class action lawsuit (filed by three residents of Morgan County, Alabama, seeking unstated compensatory and punitive damages involving alleged damage to their property from emissions of perfluorooctanyl compounds from the Company's Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility that formerly manufactured those compounds) granted the Company's motion to abate the case, effectively putting the case on hold pending the resolution of class certification issues in the action described above filed in the same court in 2002. Despite the stay, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking damages for alleged personal injuries and property damage on behalf of the named plaintiffs and the members of a purported class. No further action in the case is expected unless and until the stay is lifted.

In February 2009, a resident of Franklin County, Alabama, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Franklin County seeking compensatory damages and injunctive relief based on the application by the Decatur wastewater treatment plant of municipal sewage sludge to farmland and grasslands in the state that allegedly contain PFOA, PFOS and other perfluorochemicals. The named defendants in the case include 3M, Dyneon LLC, Daikin America, Inc., Synagro-WWT, Inc., Synagro South, LLC and Biological Processors of America. The named plaintiff seeks to represent a class of all persons within the State of Alabama, Inc. who, within the past six years, have had PFOA, PFOS and other perfluorochemicals released or dumped onto their property by the defendants.

As previously reported, two residents of Washington County, Minnesota, filed in October 2004 a purported class action in the District Court of Washington County on behalf of Washington County residents who have allegedly suffered personal injuries and property damage from alleged emissions from the former perfluorooctanyl production facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and from historic waste disposal sites in the vicinity of that facility. After the District Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the claims for medical monitoring and public nuisance in April 2005, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding additional allegations involving other perfluorinated compounds manufactured by the

Table of Contents

Company, alleging additional legal theories in support of their claims, adding four plaintiffs, and seeking relief based on alleged contamination of the City of Oakdale municipal water supply and certain private wells in the vicinity of Lake Elmo, Minnesota. In April 2006, the plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint adding two additional plaintiffs. The two original plaintiffs thereafter dismissed their claims against the Company. On June 19, 2007 the Court denied the plaintiffs' motion to certify the litigation as a class action. Thereafter, two of the remaining named plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims. In December 2008 and January 2009 the Court granted the Company's summary judgment motions dismissing all of the plaintiffs' claims under the Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act and all claims for personal injury and emotional distress, but allowed the plaintiffs to add a claim for punitive damages with respect to their property damage claims. In March 2009, the Court granted the Company's summary judgment motions seeking dismissal of the plaintiffs' private nuisance and trespass to blood claims, but denied the Company's summary judgment motion with respect to the plaintiffs' negligence and trespass to soil and water claims, and denied the Company's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' claim for punitive damages. Subsequent rulings by the Court in April 2009 have limited the plaintiffs to property damage claims based on negligence and trespass, and punitive damages if plaintiffs prove their trespass claim. Trial is scheduled to begin on May 4, 2009.

Accrued Liabilities and Insurance Receivables Related to Legal Proceedings

The following table shows the major categories of on-going litigation, environmental remediation and other environmental liabilities for which the Company has been able to estimate its probable liability and for which the Company has taken reserves and the related insurance receivables:

Liability and Receivable Balances (Millions)	Mar. 31 2009	Dec. 31 2008
Breast implant liabilities	\$ 3	\$ 5
Breast implant insurance receivables	6	6
Respirator mask/asbestos liabilities	\$ 133	\$ 140
Respirator mask/asbestos insurance receivables	180	193
Environmental remediation liabilities	\$ 31	\$ 31
Environmental remediation insurance receivables	15	15
Other environmental liabilities	\$ 134	\$ 137

For those significant pending legal proceedings that do not appear in the table and that are not the subject of pending settlement agreements, the Company has determined that liability is not probable or the amount of the liability is not estimable, or both, and the Company is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss at this time. The amounts in the preceding table with respect to breast implant and environmental remediation represent the Company's best estimate of the respective liabilities. The Company does not believe that there is any single best estimate of the respirator/mask/asbestos liability or the other environmental liabilities shown above, nor that it can reliably estimate the amount or range of amounts by which those liabilities may exceed the reserves the Company has established.

On January 5, 2007 the Company was served with a declaratory judgment action filed on behalf of two of its insurers (Continental Casualty and Continental Insurance Co. both part of the Continental Casualty Group) disclaiming coverage for respirator mask/asbestos claims. These insurers represent approximately \$14 million of the \$180 million insurance recovery receivable referenced in the above table. The action seeks declaratory judgment regarding the allocation of covered costs among the policies issued by the various insurers. It was filed in Hennepin County, Minnesota and names, in addition to the Company, over 60 of the Company's insurers. This action is similar in nature to an action filed in 1994 with respect to breast implant coverage, which ultimately resulted in the Minnesota Supreme Court's ruling of 2003 that was largely in the Company's favor. At the Company's request, the case was transferred to Ramsey County, over the objections of the insurers. The Minnesota

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Supreme Court heard oral argument of the insurers' appeal of that decision in March 2008 and ruled in May 2008 that the proper venue of that case is Ramsey County. The case has been assigned to a judge in Ramsey County District Court. The plaintiff insurers have served an amended complaint that names some additional insurers and deletes others. The case remains in its early stages.

As a result of settlements reached with its insurers, the Company was paid \$13 million in the first quarter of 2009 and the Company currently has an agreement in place to receive another \$5 million in payments in the second quarter of 2009 in connection with the respirator mask/asbestos receivable.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12. Stock-Based Compensation**

In 2009, 3M changed its annual stock option and restricted stock unit grant date to more closely align the award with the timing of the Company's performance review process. In 2009 and forward, under the annual grant, 3M will grant shares in February instead of May as in previous years. Accounting rules require recognition of expense under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. 3M employees in the United States are eligible to retire at age 55 and after having completed five years of service. Approximately 25 percent of the stock-based compensation award expense dollars are for this retiree-eligible population. Therefore, in 2009 the retiree-eligible impact shifted stock-based compensation expense to the first quarter, whereas in 2008 and prior this impact was recognized in the second quarter (because of the May grant date).

The income tax benefits shown in the following table can fluctuate by period due to the amount of Incentive Stock Options (ISO) exercised since the Company receives the ISO tax benefit upon exercise. The Company last granted ISO in 2002. Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to stock-based compensation programs, which include stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and the General Employees' Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP), are as follows:

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended		
	2009	March 31	2008
Cost of sales	\$	15	\$ 9
Selling, general and administrative expenses		53	26
Research, development and related expenses		15	8
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(83)	\$ (43)
Income tax benefits	\$	28	\$ 17
Net Income (Loss) attributable to 3M	\$	(55)	\$ (26)
Earnings per share impact attributable to 3M common shareholders - diluted	\$	(0.08)	\$ (0.04)

In May 2008, shareholders approved 35 million shares for issuance under the 3M 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan, which replaced and succeeded the 2005 Management Stock Ownership Program (MSOP), the 3M Performance Unit Plan, and the 1992 Directors Stock Ownership Program. Shares under this plan may be issued in the form of Incentive Stock Options, Nonqualified Stock Options, Progressive Stock Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, Other Stock Awards, and Performance Units and Performance Shares. Awards denominated in shares of common stock other than options and Stock Appreciation Rights, per the 2008 Plan, will be counted against the 35 million share limit as 3.38 shares for every one share covered by such award. The remaining total shares available for grant under the 2008 Long Term Incentive Plan Program are 14,879,618 as of March 31, 2009. In 2008 and prior, the Company issued options to eligible employees annually in May using the closing stock price on the grant date, which was the date of the Annual Stockholders' Meeting. In addition to these annual grants, the Company makes other minor grants of stock options, restricted stock units and other stock-based grants. The Company issues cash settled Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights in certain countries. These grants do not result in the issuance of Common Stock and are considered immaterial by the Company.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Stock Options	Number of Options	Exercise Price*	Remaining Contractual Life* (months)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (millions)
Under option -				
January 1	75,452,722	\$ 71.96		
Granted				
Annual	6,649,672	53.93		
Progressive (Reload)	238	42.84		
Exercised	(224,643)	47.14		
Canceled	(231,173)	78.51		
March 31	81,646,816	\$ 70.54	63	\$ 36
Options exercisable				
March 31	62,985,507	\$ 70.08	50	\$ 35

* Weighted average

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2009, there was \$113 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested stock option based awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining vesting period with a weighted-average life of 2.2 years. The total intrinsic values of stock options exercised during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$1 million and \$25 million, respectively. Cash received from options exercised was \$11 million and \$45 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company's actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the exercise of employee stock options were \$1 million and \$7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Capitalized stock-based compensation amounts were not material at March 31, 2009.

For the 2009 annual stock option grant, the weighted average fair value at the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the assumptions that follow.

Stock Option Assumptions	Annual 2009
Exercise price	\$ 54.11
Risk-free interest rate	2.2%
Dividend yield	2.3%
Expected volatility	30.3%
Expected life (months)	71
Black-Scholes fair value	\$ 13.00

Expected volatility is a statistical measure of the amount by which a stock price is expected to fluctuate during a period. For the 2009 annual grant date, the Company estimated the expected volatility based upon the average of the most recent one year volatility, the median of the term of the expected life rolling volatility, the median of the most recent term of the expected life volatility of 3M stock, and the implied volatility on the grant date. The expected term assumption is based on the weighted average of historical grants.

Restricted stock unit grants generally vest at the end of three years. The one-time buyout restricted stock unit grant in 2007 vests at the end of five years. The following table summarizes restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity during the three months ended March 31, 2009:

Restricted Stock and

Restricted Stock Units	Number of Awards	Grant Date Fair Value*
Nonvested balance -		
As of January 1	2,957,538	\$ 77.41
Granted		
Annual	1,151,093	53.89
Other	498,105	53.98
Vested	(53,686)	63.29
Forfeited	(57,699)	74.86
As of March 31	4,495,351	\$ 68.99

* Weighted average

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2009, there was \$159 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock units. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining vesting period with a weighted-average life of 2.3 years. The total fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units that vested during the three-month period ended March 31, 2009 was \$3 million and for the three-month period ended March 31, 2008 was not material.

Restricted stock units granted under the 3M 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan vest three years following the grant date assuming continued employment. Beginning in 2009, dividend equivalents equal to the dividends payable on the same number of shares of 3M common stock accrue on these restricted stock units during the vesting period, although no dividend equivalents are paid on any of these restricted stock units that are forfeited prior to the vesting date. Since the rights to dividends are forfeitable, there is no impact on basic earnings per share calculations. Weighted average restricted stock unit shares outstanding are included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

In addition, the Company issues cash settled Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights in certain countries. These grants do not result in the issuance of Common Stock and are considered immaterial by the Company.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13. Business Segments

Effective in the first quarter of 2009, 3M made certain changes to its business segments in its continuing effort to drive growth by aligning businesses around markets and customers. The most significant of these changes are summarized as follows:

- Certain 3M window films, such as 3M Scotchtint Window Film for buildings and 3M Ultra Safety and Security Window Film for property and personal protection during destructive weather conditions, were previously part of the Building and Commercial Services Division within the Safety, Security and Protection Services business segment. These window films were transferred to the newly created Renewable Energy Division, which is part of the Industrial and Transportation business segment. The Renewable Energy Division consists of current 3M solar energy creation and management products and solutions, as well as products focused on the renewable energy markets. Renewable Energy's portfolio includes various 3M products for solar energy production and solar energy management (such as window films) and also includes responsibility for wind, geothermal and biofuel oriented products. The preceding product moves resulted in an increase in net sales for total year 2008 of \$152 million for Industrial and Transportation, which was offset by a corresponding decrease in net sales for Safety, Security and Protection Services.

- 3M acquired Aearo Holding Corp., the parent company of Aearo Technologies Inc. (hereafter referred to as Aearo), in April 2008. Aearo manufactures and sells personal protection and energy absorbing products through both the Industrial and Consumer retail channels. The consumer retail portion of Aearo's business manufactures and markets personal safety products, including safety glasses and hearing protectors, among other products, to the do-it-yourself consumer retail markets. The do-it-yourself retail market portion of 3M's Aearo business (previously in the Occupational Health and Environmental Safety Division within the Safety, Security and Protection Services business segment) was transferred to the Construction and Home Improvement Division within the Consumer and Office business segment. The preceding product moves resulted in an increase in net sales for total year 2008 of \$49 million for Consumer and Office, which was offset by a corresponding decrease in net sales for Safety, Security and Protection Services.

Also, during the first quarter of 2009, 3M changed its segment reporting measures to include dual credit to business segments for certain U.S. sales and related operating income. Management now evaluates each of its six operating business segments based on net sales and operating income performance, including dual credit U.S. reporting. This change was made to further incentivize U.S. sales growth. As a result, 3M now provides additional (dual) credit to those business segments selling products in the U.S. to an external customer when that segment is not the primary seller of the product. For example, certain respirators are primarily sold by the Occupational Health and Environmental Safety Division within the Safety, Security and Protection Services business segment; however, the Industrial and Transportation business segment also sells this product to certain customers in its U.S. markets. In this example, the non-primary selling segment (Industrial and Transportation) would also receive credit for the associated net sales it initiated and the related approximate operating income. The assigned operating income related to dual credit activity may differ from operating income that would result from actual costs associated with such sales. The offset to the dual credit business segment reporting is reflected as a reconciling item entitled Elimination of Dual Credit, such that sales and operating income for the U.S. in total are unchanged.

3M's businesses are organized, managed and internally grouped into segments based on differences in products, technologies and services. 3M continues to manage its operations in six operating business segments: Industrial and Transportation; Health Care; Consumer and Office; Safety, Security and Protection Services; Display and Graphics; and Electro and Communications. 3M's six business segments bring together common or related 3M technologies, enhancing the development of innovative products and services and providing for efficient sharing of business resources. These segments have worldwide responsibility for virtually all 3M product lines. 3M is not dependent on any single product/service or market. Transactions among reportable segments are recorded at cost. 3M is an integrated enterprise characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations and inventory transfers. Therefore, management does not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating income information shown.

Table of Contents

The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of all of the preceding segment structure changes for all periods presented.

Business Segment Information (Millions)	Three months ended March 31	
	2009	2008
Net Sales		
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,581	\$ 2,182
Health Care	997	1,080
Consumer and Office	795	855
Safety, Security and Protection Services	694	821
Display and Graphics	611	875
Electro and Communications	480	735
Corporate and Unallocated	4	6
Elimination of Dual Credit	(73)	(91)
Total Company	\$ 5,089	\$ 6,463
Operating Income		
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 174	\$ 492
Health Care	307	322
Consumer and Office	165	170
Safety, Security and Protection Services	125	196
Display and Graphics	60	188
Electro and Communications	21	149
Corporate and Unallocated	(33)	4
Elimination of Dual Credit	(16)	(20)
Total Company	\$ 803	\$ 1,501

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, results included pre tax charges of \$67 million related to restructuring actions. Refer to Note 4 (Restructuring Actions and Exit Activities) for more detail. Corporate and unallocated operating income includes a variety of miscellaneous items, such as corporate investment gains and losses, certain derivative gains and losses, insurance-related gains and losses, certain litigation and environmental expenses, corporate restructuring charges and certain under- or over-absorbed costs (e.g. pension) that the Company may choose not to allocate directly to its business segments. Because this category includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

NOTE 14. Review Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has performed reviews of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included herein, and their review report thereon accompanies this filing. Pursuant to Rule 436(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 (Act) their report on these reviews should not be considered a report within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act and the independent registered public accounting firm liability under Section 11 does not extend to it.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of 3M Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of 3M Company and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income and of cash flows for each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, of changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 10, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. As discussed in Note 1 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries and certain convertible debt instruments. The accompanying December 31, 2008 consolidated balance sheet reflects these changes.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota

April 29, 2009

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of 3M's financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of management. 3M's MD&A is presented in five sections:

- Overview
- Results of Operations
- Performance by Business Segment
- Financial Condition and Liquidity
- Forward-Looking Statements

OVERVIEW

3M is a diversified global manufacturer, technology innovator and marketer of a wide variety of products and services. 3M manages its operations in six operating business segments: Industrial and Transportation, Health Care, Consumer and Office, Safety, Security and Protection Services, Display and Graphics and Electro and Communications. As discussed in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, effective in the first quarter of 2009, 3M made certain changes to its business segments. The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of these business segment changes for all periods presented.

3M experienced a challenging first quarter as the global economic slowdown dramatically affected its businesses. Substantial end-market declines and continued inventory takedowns in major industries, including automotive, consumer electronics and general industrial manufacturing, resulted in significantly lower sales and income. Accordingly, 3M aggressively reduced its cost structure, lowered manufacturing output and intensified its attention to operational improvement. The combination of these actions drove strong operating income margins. First-quarter sales totaled \$5.1 billion, a decrease of 21.3 percent from the first quarter of 2008. Net income attributable to 3M was \$518 million, or \$0.74 per diluted share, versus \$988 million, or \$1.38 per diluted share in the corresponding period last year. The first quarter of 2009 included restructuring actions that reduced net income attributable to 3M by \$45 million, or \$0.07 per diluted share. These first quarter 2009 restructuring actions are expected to save 3M approximately \$40 million in 2009, with estimated annualized savings of approximately \$70 million. Refer to "2009 special items" at the end of this overview section for more detail.

The following table summarizes sales and operating income results by business segment.

Three months ended March 31		% change
2009	2008	

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

(Millions)	Net Sales	Oper. Income	Net Sales	Oper. Income	Net Sales	Oper. Income
Industrial and Transportation	\$ 1,581	\$ 174	\$ 2,182	\$ 492	(27.5)%	(64.6)%
Health Care	997	307	1,080	322	(7.7)%	(4.7)%
Consumer and Office	795	165	855	170	(7.1)%	(2.7)%
Safety, Security and Protection Services	694	125	821	196	(15.4)%	(36.3)%
Display and Graphics	611	60	875	188	(30.2)%	(68.3)%
Electro and Communications	480	21	735	149	(34.8)%	(85.7)%
Corporate and Unallocated	4	(33)	6	4		
Elimination of Dual Credit	(73)	(16)	(91)	(20)		
Total Company	\$ 5,089	\$ 803	\$ 6,463	\$ 1,501	(21.3)%	(46.5)%

First-quarter worldwide sales totaled \$5.1 billion, a decrease of 21.3 percent versus last year. Local-currency sales (which includes volume, selling price and acquisition impacts, but excludes divestiture and translation impacts) decreased 13.9 percent, and foreign exchange impacts reduced sales by an additional 7.1 points in the quarter. Local-currency sales increased 1.9 percent in Health Care, but declined in the remaining segments with Consumer and Office down 0.1 percent, Safety, Security and Protection Services down 3.6 percent, Industrial and Transportation down 20.7 percent, Display and Graphics down 26.6 percent and Electro and Communications down 29.8 percent. Refer to the Performance by Business Segment section for a more detailed discussion of the results of the respective segments.

Due to the significant sales decline in the first quarter of 2009, operating income decreased 46.5 percent year-on-year, which included a 4.5 percentage point penalty from the impact of restructuring actions discussed in 2009 special items below. Operating income margins for the three months ended March 31, 2009 were 15.8 percent, including a 1.3 percentage point penalty related to restructuring actions. 3M generated \$695 million of operating cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$302 million compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008. Refer to the section entitled Cash Flows from Operating Activities later in the MD&A for a discussion of items impacting cash flows.

Table of Contents

In February 2007, 3M's Board of Directors authorized a two-year share repurchase of up to \$7.0 billion for the period from February 12, 2007 to February 28, 2009. In February 2009, 3M's Board of Directors extended this share repurchase authorization until the remaining amount is fully utilized. As of March 31, 2009, approximately \$2.6 billion remained available for repurchase. With the Company's current emphasis on maintaining ample liquidity and enhancing balance sheet strength, share repurchase activity has been suspended. However, extension of this program will provide flexibility to resume repurchase activity when business conditions permit. In February 2009, 3M's Board of Directors authorized a dividend increase of 2 percent for 2009, marking the 51st consecutive year of dividend increases for 3M. 3M's debt to total capital ratio (total capital defined as debt plus equity) at March 31, 2009 was 37 percent, compared to 39 percent at December 31, 2008. A portion of the increase in debt at year-end 2008 was the result of a strategy to build and maintain a cash buffer in the U.S. in the current market environment. In the first quarter of 2009, Standard & Poor's changed its rating on 3M from an AA credit rating with a stable outlook to an AA- credit rating with a stable outlook. On May 1, 2009, Moody's Investors Service changed its rating on 3M from an Aa1 credit rating with a negative outlook to an Aa2 credit rating with a stable outlook. The Company has sufficient access to capital markets to meet currently anticipated growth and acquisition investment funding needs.

In 2009, 3M changed its annual stock option and restricted stock unit grant date to more closely align the award with the timing of the Company's performance review process. In 2009 and forward, under the annual grant, 3M will grant shares in February instead of May as in previous years. Accounting rules require recognition of expense under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. 3M employees in the United States are eligible to retire at age 55 and after having completed five years of service. Approximately 25 percent of the stock-based compensation award expense dollars are for this retiree-eligible population. Therefore, in 2006, 2007 and 2008 the second quarter of each year (because of the May grant date) reflected higher stock-based compensation expense than the other quarters. In 2009, the retiree-eligible impact shifted to the first quarter. In addition, the second quarter of 2009 will reflect accelerated stock-based compensation expense related to the earlier February grant date. These and other factors resulted in a first quarter 2009 expense of \$0.08 per diluted share for stock-based compensation expense compared to \$0.04 in the first quarter of 2008. In the second quarter of 2009 estimated stock-based compensation is estimated at \$0.04 per diluted share compared to \$0.06 in the second quarter of 2008. Refer to Note 12 for additional discussion of the Company's stock-based compensation programs.

As discussed in Note 8 (Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans), in April 2009 3M offered a voluntary early retirement incentive program to certain eligible participants of its U.S. pension plans who meet age and years of pension service requirements. In the second quarter of 2009, 3M also expects some further restructuring actions, principally in Asia and Western Europe.

2009 special items:

In the first quarter of 2009, management approved and committed to undertake certain restructuring activities, which resulted in charges (\$67 million pre-tax, \$45 million after-tax, or \$0.07 per diluted share) due to employee-related liabilities and fixed asset impairments. These items are discussed in more detail in Note 4 (Restructuring Actions and Exit Activities).

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Percent change information compares the first three months of 2009 with the same period last year, unless otherwise indicated.

Net Sales:

	Three months ended March 31, 2009				
	United States	Europe	Asia-Pacific	Latin America/ Canada	Worldwide
Net sales (millions)	\$ 1,955	\$ 1,346	\$ 1,255	\$ 533	\$ 5,089
% of worldwide sales	38.4%	26.4%	24.7%	10.5%	100%
Components of net sales change:					
Volume organic	(19.6)%	(16.7)%	(24.1)%	(14.4)%	(19.5)%
Price	3.5	1.9	(1.5)%	8.7	2.2
Organic local-currency sales	(16.1)	(14.8)	(25.6)	(5.7)	(17.3)
Acquisitions	4.6	4.5	0.8	2.9	3.4
Local-currency sales					