

CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST  
Form 10-Q  
July 31, 2009  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark one)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from                      to**

**Commission file number 1-14023**

## **Corporate Office Properties Trust**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

**Maryland**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**23-2947217**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300, Columbia, MD**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**21046**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(443) 285-5400**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)  Yes  No

As of July 22, 2009, 58,016,093 of the Company's Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**FORM 10-Q**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b><u>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1:</u>	
	<u>Financial Statements:</u>
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (unaudited)</u> 3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u> 4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u> 5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u> 6
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> 7
<u>Item 2:</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 24
<u>Item 3:</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 35
<u>Item 4:</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 36
<b><u>PART II: OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1:</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 36
<u>Item 1A:</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u> 36
<u>Item 2:</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 37
<u>Item 3:</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 37
<u>Item 4:</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> 37
<u>Item 5:</u>	<u>Other Information</u> 38
<u>Item 6:</u>	<u>Exhibits</u> 38
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	39

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>Assets</b>		
Properties, net:		
Operating properties, net	\$ 2,340,574	\$ 2,283,870
Projects under construction or development	513,562	494,596
Total properties, net	2,854,136	2,778,466
Cash and cash equivalents	11,931	6,775
Restricted cash	17,879	13,745
Accounts receivable, net	13,776	13,684
Deferred rent receivable	67,137	64,131
Intangible assets on real estate acquisitions, net	81,090	91,848
Deferred charges, net	48,812	51,801
Prepaid expenses and other assets	103,914	93,789
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,198,675</b>	<b>\$ 3,114,239</b>
<b>Liabilities and equity</b>		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage and other loans payable	\$ 1,677,351	\$ 1,704,123
3.5% Exchangeable Senior Notes, net	154,362	152,628
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	142,734	93,625
Rents received in advance and security deposits	29,936	30,464
Dividends and distributions payable	27,057	25,794
Deferred revenue associated with acquired operating leases	8,926	10,816
Distributions in excess of investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture	4,873	4,770
Other liabilities	7,029	9,596
Total liabilities	2,052,268	2,031,816
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Equity:		
Corporate Office Properties Trust's shareholders' equity:		
Preferred Shares of beneficial interest with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$216,333 (\$0.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized and 8,121,667 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008)	81	81
Common Shares of beneficial interest (\$0.01 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized, shares issued and outstanding of 58,016,683 at June 30, 2009 and 51,790,442 at December 31, 2008)	580	518
Additional paid-in capital	1,229,931	1,112,734
Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	(179,698)	(162,572)

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,176)	(4,749)
Total Corporate Office Properties Trust s shareholders equity	1,049,718	946,012
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries:		
Common units in the Operating Partnership	76,873	117,356
Preferred units in the Operating Partnership	8,800	8,800
Other consolidated real estate joint ventures	11,016	10,255
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	96,689	136,411
Total equity	1,146,407	1,082,423
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 3,198,675</b>	<b>\$ 3,114,239</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Revenues</b>				
Rental revenue	\$ 88,326	\$ 83,154	\$ 177,848	\$ 164,864
Tenant recoveries and other real estate operations revenue	17,392	14,792	34,714	30,084
Construction contract revenues	102,753	21,899	177,292	32,035
Other service operations revenues	571	525	921	1,003
Total revenues	209,042	120,370	390,775	227,986
<b>Expenses</b>				
Property operating expenses	37,162	33,957	76,195	68,499
Depreciation and other amortization associated with real estate operations	28,708	24,955	55,199	49,847
Construction contract expenses	100,647	21,472	173,545	31,377
Other service operations expenses	514	454	939	1,056
General and administrative expenses	5,834	5,934	11,377	11,704
Business development expenses	446	102	1,092	265
Total operating expenses	173,311	86,874	318,347	162,748
Operating income	35,731	33,496	72,428	65,238
Interest expense	(18,678)	(21,162)	(38,102)	(43,077)
Interest and other income	1,252	170	2,330	365
Income from continuing operations before equity in loss of unconsolidated entities and income taxes	18,305	12,504	36,656	22,526
Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities	(202)	(56)	(317)	(110)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(52)	107	(122)	(5)
Income from continuing operations	18,051	12,555	36,217	22,411
Discontinued operations		1,314		2,580
Income before gain on sales of real estate	18,051	13,869	36,217	24,991
Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes		41		1,100
Net income	18,051	13,910	36,217	26,091
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests:				
Common units in the Operating Partnership	(1,272)	(1,461)	(3,076)	(2,663)
Preferred units in the Operating Partnership	(165)	(165)	(330)	(330)
Other	25	(122)	(25)	(222)
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust	16,639	12,162	32,786	22,876
Preferred share dividends	(4,026)	(4,026)	(8,051)	(8,051)
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust common shareholders	\$ 12,613	\$ 8,136	\$ 24,735	\$ 14,825
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 16,639	\$ 11,047	\$ 32,786	\$ 20,689
Discontinued operations		1,115		2,187
Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust	\$ 16,639	\$ 12,162	\$ 32,786	\$ 22,876

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per common share (1)					
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.22	\$	0.15	\$ 0.45 \$ 0.26
Discontinued operations				0.02	0.05
Net income	\$	0.22	\$	0.17	\$ 0.45 \$ 0.31
Diluted earnings per common share (1)					
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.22	\$	0.15	\$ 0.44 \$ 0.26
Discontinued operations				0.02	0.04
Net income	\$	0.22	\$	0.17	\$ 0.44 \$ 0.30
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.3725	\$	0.3400	\$ 0.7450 \$ 0.6800

---

(1) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common shareholders of Corporate Office Properties Trust.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Equity

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Preferred Shares	Common Shares	Additional Paid-in Capital	Cumulative Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008 (51,790,442 common shares outstanding)	\$ 81	\$ 518	\$ 1,112,734	\$ (162,572)	\$ (4,749)	\$ 136,411	\$ 1,082,423
Conversion of common units to common shares (2,824,000 shares)		28	61,368			(61,396)	
Common shares issued to the public (2,990,000 shares)		30	71,795				71,825
Exercise of share options (153,177 shares)		2	1,855				1,857
Share-based compensation		2	5,248				5,250
Restricted common share redemptions (71,267 shares)			(1,752)				(1,752)
Adjustments to noncontrolling interests resulting from changes in ownership of Operating Partnership by COPT			(21,165)			21,165	
Increase in fair value of derivatives					3,573	650	4,223
Decrease in tax benefit from share-based compensation			(152)				(152)
Net income				32,786		3,431	36,217
Dividends				(49,912)			(49,912)
Distributions to owners of common and preferred units in the Operating Partnership						(4,308)	(4,308)
Net contributions and distributions to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated real estate joint ventures						736	736
Balance at June 30, 2009 (58,016,683 common shares outstanding)	\$ 81	\$ 580	\$ 1,229,931	\$ (179,698)	\$ (1,176)	\$ 96,689	\$ 1,146,407
Balance at December 31, 2007 (47,366,475 common shares outstanding)	\$ 81	\$ 474	\$ 971,459	\$ (129,599)	\$ (2,372)	\$ 129,437	\$ 969,480
Conversion of common units to common shares (15,242 shares)		1	419			(420)	
Exercise of share options (90,209 shares)		1	1,346				1,347
Share-based compensation		1	4,555				4,556

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Restricted common share redemptions (40,892 shares)			(1,304)					(1,304)						
Decrease in fair value of derivatives				(243)		(41)		(284)						
Increase in tax benefit from share-based compensation			1,053					1,053						
Net income				22,876		3,215		26,091						
Dividends				(40,422)				(40,422)						
Distributions to owners of common and preferred units in the Operating Partnership						(5,872)		(5,872)						
Net contributions and distributions to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated real estate joint ventures							2,868	2,868						
Balance at June 30, 2008 (47,701,812 common shares outstanding)	\$	81	\$	477	\$	977,528	\$	(147,145)	\$	(2,615)	\$	129,187	\$	957,513

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 36,217	\$ 26,091
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and other amortization	56,311	50,676
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2,033	1,661
Amortization of deferred market rental revenue	(997)	(903)
Amortization of net debt discounts	1,663	1,936
Gain on sales of real estate		(4,204)
Share-based compensation	5,250	4,556
Excess income tax shortfall (benefit) from share-based compensation	152	(1,053)
Other	(1,946)	402
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Increase in deferred rent receivable	(3,006)	(5,701)
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(92)	1,379
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash and prepaid expenses and other assets	(4,681)	3,380
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	38,055	4,406
(Decrease) increase in rents received in advance and security deposits	(528)	1,335
Net cash provided by operating activities	128,431	83,961
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Purchases of and additions to properties	(101,650)	(149,699)
Proceeds from sales of properties	65	28,304
Leasing costs paid	(6,282)	(2,383)
Other	(4,636)	(2,504)
Net cash used in investing activities	(112,503)	(126,282)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Proceeds from mortgage and other loans payable	314,147	227,932
Repayments of mortgage and other loans payable	(340,848)	(149,374)
Deferred financing costs paid	(202)	(2,250)
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares	73,682	1,350
Dividends paid	(47,596)	(40,309)
Distributions paid	(5,361)	(5,878)
Excess income tax (shortfall) benefit from share-based compensation	(152)	1,053
Restricted share redemptions	(1,752)	(1,304)
Other	(2,690)	(680)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(10,772)	30,540
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,156	(11,781)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents</b>		
Beginning of period	6,775	24,638
End of period	\$ 11,931	\$ 12,857

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

**(unaudited)**

**1. Organization**

Corporate Office Properties Trust ( COPT ) and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company , we or us ) is a fully-integrated and self-managed real estate investment trust ( REIT ) that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of June 30, 2009, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 243 wholly owned operating properties totaling 18.7 million square feet;
- 16 wholly owned properties under construction or development that we estimate will total approximately 1.8 million square feet upon completion;
- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,530 acres that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 13.1 million square feet; and
- partial ownership interests in a number of other real estate projects in operation, under development or redevelopment or held for future development.

We conduct almost all of our operations through our operating partnership, Corporate Office Properties, L.P. (the Operating Partnership ), for which we are the managing general partner. The Operating Partnership owns real estate both directly and through subsidiary partnerships and limited liability companies ( LLCs ). A summary of our Operating Partnership s forms of ownership and the percentage of those securities owned by COPT as of June 30, 2009 follows:

Common Units	92%
Series G Preferred Units	100%
Series H Preferred Units	100%
Series I Preferred Units	0%
Series J Preferred Units	100%
Series K Preferred Units	100%

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Three of our trustees also controlled, either directly or through ownership by other entities or family members, 7% of the Operating Partnership's common units at that date.

In addition to owning interests in real estate, the Operating Partnership also owns 100% of a number of entities that provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development and heating and air conditioning services primarily for our properties but also for third parties.

### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of COPT, the Operating Partnership, their subsidiaries and other entities in which we have a majority voting interest and control. We also consolidate certain entities when control of such entities can be achieved through means other than voting rights ( variable interest entities or VIEs ) if we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary of such entities. We eliminate all significant intercompany balances and transactions in consolidation. We use the equity method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and can exert significant influence over the entity's operations but cannot control the entity's operations. We use the cost method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and cannot exert significant influence over its operations.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, we evaluated subsequent events occurring through July 31, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued.

Table of Contents

These interim financial statements should be read together with the financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments which are necessary, in the opinion of management, to fairly present our financial position and results of operations. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the accounting policies described in the financial statements included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009.

We reclassified certain amounts from the prior periods to conform to the current period presentation of our Consolidated Financial Statements with no effect on previously reported net income or equity.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements Resulting in Adjustments**

As discussed further in our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 2, 2009, we retrospectively adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements ( SFAS 160 ), FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) ( FSP APB 14-1 ) and EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities (EITF 03-6-1 ). This resulted in the recording of certain adjustments to amounts previously reported in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, including changes that affected our previously reported net income attributable to our common shareholders and earnings per common share. Our Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 2, 2009 updated our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the effect of these adjustments.

**Other Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( SFAS 167 ), which amends FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) to address the elimination of the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. SFAS 167 also replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity. Additionally, SFAS 167 provides more timely and useful information about an enterprise's involvement with a variable interest entity. SFAS 167 will become effective on January 1, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (as amended) ( SFAS 168 ), which establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles for nongovernmental entities. SFAS 168 explicitly recognizes rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) under federal securities laws as authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. SFAS 168 became effective on July 1, 2009 and is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS 157 ), fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. SFAS 157 also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of us.

Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect our assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based upon the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy of these inputs is broken down into three levels: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 inputs include (1) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, (2) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active and (3) inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.



Table of Contents

Categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The assets held in connection with our non-qualified elective deferred compensation plan and the corresponding liability to the participants are measured at fair value on a recurring basis on our consolidated balance sheet using quoted market prices. The assets are treated as trading securities for accounting purposes and included in restricted cash on our consolidated balance sheet. The offsetting liability is adjusted to fair value at the end of each accounting period based on the fair value of the plan assets and reported in other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet. The assets and corresponding liability of our non-qualified elective deferred compensation plan are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The valuation of our derivatives is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate market data and implied volatilities in such interest rates. While we determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS 157, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives also utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default. However, as of June 30, 2009, we assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivatives and determined that these adjustments are not significant. As a result, we determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The table below sets forth our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

Description	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
<b>Assets:</b>				
Deferred compensation plan assets (1)	\$ 5,520	\$	\$	\$ 5,520
Interest rate swap contracts (2)		789		789
<b>Assets</b>	<b>\$ 5,520</b>	<b>\$ 789</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 6,309</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Deferred compensation plan liability (3)	\$ 5,520	\$	\$	\$ 5,520
Interest rate swap contracts (3)		1,666		1,666
<b>Liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 5,520</b>	<b>\$ 1,666</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 7,186</b>

(1) Included in the line entitled restricted cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(2) Included in the line entitled prepaid and other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(3) Included in the line entitled other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivables, other assets (excluding mortgage loans receivable) and accounts payable and accrued expenses are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short maturities of these instruments. We estimated the fair values of our mortgage loans receivable by using discounted cash flow analyses based on an appropriate market rate for a

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

similar type of instrument. We estimated fair values of our debt based on quoted market prices for publicly-traded debt and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made for other debt; the discount rates used approximate current market rates for loans, or groups of loans, with similar maturities and credit quality, and the estimated future payments include scheduled principal and interest payments. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Settlement of such fair value amounts may not be possible and may not be a prudent management decision.

Mortgage loans receivable are included in the line entitled prepaid and other assets on our consolidated balance sheets. The following table sets forth information pertaining to the fair value of our mortgage loans receivable:

Table of Contents

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
Carrying Amount	\$	31,119	\$	29,380
Estimated Fair Value		30,039		28,951

For additional fair value information, please refer to Note 6 for debt and Note 7 for derivatives.

### 3. Earnings Per Share ( EPS )

We compute basic EPS by dividing net income available to common shareholders allocable to unrestricted common shares under the two-class method by the weighted average number of unrestricted common shares of beneficial interest ( common shares ) outstanding during the period. Our computation of diluted EPS is similar except that:

- the denominator is increased to include: (1) the weighted average number of potential additional common shares that would have been outstanding if securities that are convertible into our common shares were converted; and (2) the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period attributable to share-based compensation using the treasury stock method; and
- the numerator is adjusted to add back any changes in income or loss that would result from the assumed conversion into common shares that we added to the denominator.

Summaries of the numerator and denominator for purposes of basic and diluted EPS calculations are set forth below (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2009	2008	2009	2008				
<b>Numerator:</b>								
Income from continuing operations	\$	18,051	\$	12,555	\$	36,217	\$	22,411
Add: Gain on sales of real estate, net				41				1,100
Less: Preferred share dividends		(4,026)		(4,026)		(8,051)		(8,051)
Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1,412)		(1,549)		(3,431)		(2,822)
Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to restricted shares		(242)		(166)		(510)		(336)
Numerator for basic and diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders		12,371		6,855		24,225		12,302
Add: Income from discontinued operations				1,314				2,580
Less: Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests				(199)				(393)
Numerator for basic and diluted EPS on net income attributable to COPT common shareholders	\$	12,371	\$	7,970	\$	24,225	\$	14,489
<b>Denominator (all weighted averages):</b>								
Denominator for basic EPS (common shares)		56,637		47,110		54,296		47,055
Dilutive effect of stock option awards		546		790		522		746

### 3. Earnings Per Share ( EPS )

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Denominator for diluted EPS		57,183		47,900		54,818		47,801
Basic EPS:								
Income from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders	\$	0.22	\$	0.15	\$	0.45	\$	0.26
Income from discontinued operations attributable to COPT common shareholders				0.02				0.05
Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders	\$	0.22	\$	0.17	\$	0.45	\$	0.31
Diluted EPS:								
Income from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders	\$	0.22	\$	0.15	\$	0.44	\$	0.26
Income from discontinued operations attributable to COPT common shareholders				0.02				0.04
Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders	\$	0.22	\$	0.17	\$	0.44	\$	0.30

Table of Contents

Our diluted EPS computations do not include the effects of the following securities since the conversions of such securities would increase diluted EPS for the respective periods:

	Weighted Average Shares			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Conversion of common units	5,483	8,151	6,363	8,153
Conversion of convertible preferred units	176	176	176	176
Conversion of convertible preferred shares	434	434	434	434
Anti-dilutive share-based compensation awards	524	348	641	468

The 3.5% Exchangeable Senior Notes did not affect our diluted EPS reported above since the weighted average closing price of our common shares during each of the periods was less than the exchange price per common share applicable for such periods.

#### 4. Properties, net

Operating properties consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Land	\$ 429,064	\$ 423,985
Buildings and improvements	2,293,895	2,202,995
	2,722,959	2,626,980
Less: accumulated depreciation	(382,385)	(343,110)
	\$ 2,340,574	\$ 2,283,870

Projects we had under construction or development consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Land	\$ 219,775	\$ 220,863
Construction in progress	293,787	273,733
	\$ 513,562	\$ 494,596

**2009 Construction, Development and Redevelopment Activities**

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we had three properties (one each located in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor, Suburban Maryland and Colorado Springs, Colorado ( Colorado Springs )) totaling 301,000 square feet become fully operational (85,000 of these square feet were placed into service in 2008). We also placed into service 42,000 square feet in two partially operational properties (one each located in Colorado Springs and the Baltimore/Washington Corridor).

**As of June 30, 2009, we had construction activities underway on four properties in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor (including one through a consolidated joint venture), three in Colorado Springs, two in San Antonio, Texas ( San Antonio ) and one each in Suburban Baltimore and Suburban Maryland (including one through a consolidated joint venture). We also had development activities underway on four office properties in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor, two in Suburban Baltimore and one in San Antonio. In addition, we had redevelopment underway on one property located in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor owned through a consolidated joint venture.**











Table of Contents**5. Real Estate Joint Ventures**

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we had an investment in one unconsolidated real estate joint venture accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Information pertaining to this joint venture investment is set forth below.

	Investment Balance at June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Date Acquired	Ownership	Nature of Activity	Maximum Exposure to Loss (1)
\$	(4,873)(2)	\$ (4,770)(2)	9/29/2005	20%	Operates 16 buildings	\$

(1) Derived from the sum of our investment balance and maximum additional unilateral capital contributions or loans required from us. Not reported above are additional amounts that we and our partner are required to fund when needed by this joint venture; these funding requirements are proportional to our respective ownership percentages. Also not reported above are additional unilateral contributions or loans from us, the amounts of which are uncertain, which we would be required to make if certain contingent events occur (see Note 15).

(2) The carrying amount of our investment in this joint venture was lower than our share of the equity in the joint venture by \$5,196 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 due to our deferral of gain on the contribution by us of real estate into the joint venture upon its formation. A difference will continue to exist to the extent the nature of our continuing involvement in the joint venture remains the same.

The following table sets forth condensed balance sheets for this unconsolidated joint venture:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Properties, net	\$ 61,742	\$ 62,308
Other assets	7,331	7,530
Total assets	\$ 69,073	\$ 69,838
Liabilities (primarily debt)	\$ 67,473	\$ 67,725
Owners' equity	1,600	2,113
Total liabilities and owners' equity	\$ 69,073	\$ 69,838

The following table sets forth condensed statements of operations for this unconsolidated joint venture:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 2,313	\$ 2,413	\$ 4,733	\$ 4,796
Property operating expenses	(836)	(876)	(1,671)	(1,701)

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Interest expense	(980)	(980)	(1,949)	(1,960)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(816)	(830)	(1,627)	(1,660)
Net loss	\$ (319)	\$ (273)	\$ (514)	\$ (525)

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth information pertaining to our investments in consolidated joint ventures at June 30, 2009:

	Date Acquired	Ownership % at 6/30/2009	Nature of Activity	Total Assets at 6/30/2009	Pledged Assets at 6/30/2009
M Square Associates, LLC	6/26/2007	45.0%	Developing land parcels (1)	\$ 48,944	\$
Arundel Preserve #5, LLC	7/2/2007	50.0%	Developing land parcel (2)	29,204	28,475
COPT Opportunity Invest I, LLC	12/20/2005	92.5%	Redeveloping one property (3)	28,600	
COPT-FD Indian Head, LLC	10/23/2006	75.0%	Developing land parcel (4)	6,919	
MOR Forbes 2 LLC	12/24/2002	50.0%	Operates one building (5)	4,653	
				\$ 118,320	\$ 28,475

- (1) This joint venture is developing land parcels located in College Park, Maryland. We own a 90% interest in Enterprise Campus Developer, LLC, which in turn owns a 50% interest in M Square.
- (2) This joint venture is developing a land parcel located in Hanover, Maryland.
- (3) This joint venture owns a property in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor region.
- (4) This joint venture's property is located in Charles County, Maryland (located in our Other business segment).
- (5) This joint venture's property is located in Lanham, Maryland (located in the Suburban Maryland region).

Our commitments and contingencies pertaining to our real estate joint ventures are disclosed in Note 15.

**6. Debt**

Our debt consisted of the following:

	Maximum Principal Amount at June 30, 2009	Carrying Value at June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Stated Interest Rates at June 30, 2009	Scheduled Maturity Dates at June 30, 2009
<b>Mortgage and other loans payable:</b>					
Revolving Credit Facility	\$ 600,000	\$ 357,000	\$ 392,500	LIBOR + 0.75% to 1.25% (1)	September 30, 2011 (2)
<b>Mortgage and Other Secured Loans</b>					
Fixed rate mortgage loans (3)	N/A	932,287	967,617	5.20% - 7.94% (4)	2009 - 2034 (5)
Revolving Construction Facility	225,000	99,161	81,267	LIBOR + 1.60% to 2.00% (6)	May 2, 2011 (2)
Other variable rate secured loans	N/A	271,400	221,400	LIBOR + 2.25% to 3.00% (7)	2012-2014 (2)
Other construction loan facilities	23,400	16,753	40,589	LIBOR + 2.75% (8)	2011 (2)
Total mortgage and other secured loans		1,319,601	1,310,873		
<b>Note payable</b>					
Unsecured seller note	N/A	750	750	5.95%	2016
Total mortgage and other loans payable		1,677,351	1,704,123		

**6. Debt**

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

3.5% Exchangeable Senior Notes	N/A	154,362	152,628	3.50%	September 2026 (9)
Total debt	\$	1,831,713	\$	1,856,751	

- 
- (1) The interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.11% at June 30, 2009.
  - (2) Includes loans that may be extended for a one-year period at our option, subject to certain conditions.
  - (3) Several of the fixed rate mortgages carry interest rates that were above or below market rates upon assumption and therefore were recorded at their fair value based on applicable effective interest rates. The carrying values of these loans reflect unamortized premiums totaling \$430 at June 30, 2009 and \$501 at December 31, 2008.
  - (4) The weighted average interest rate on these loans was 5.68% at June 30, 2009.
  - (5) A loan with a balance of \$4,701 at June 30, 2009 that matures in 2034 may be repaid in March 2014, subject to certain conditions.
  - (6) The weighted average interest rate on this loan was 2.00% at June 30, 2009.
  - (7) The loans in this category at June 30, 2009 are subject to floor interest rates ranging from 4.25% to 5.5%.
  - (8) The interest rate on this loan was 3.07% at June 30, 2009.
  - (9) As described further in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the notes have an exchange settlement feature that provides that they may, under certain circumstances, be exchangeable for cash (up to the principal amount of the notes) and, with respect to any excess exchange value, may be exchangeable into (at our option) cash, our common shares or a combination of cash and our common shares at an exchange rate (subject to adjustment) of 18.8266 shares per one thousand dollar principal amount of the notes (exchange rate is as of June 30, 2009 and is equivalent to an exchange price of \$53.12 per common share). The carrying value of these notes included a principal amount of \$162,500 and an unamortized discount totaling \$8,138 at June 30, 2009 and \$9,872 at December 31, 2008. The

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

effective interest rate under the notes, including amortization of the discount, was 5.97%. The table below sets forth interest expense recognized on these notes before deductions for amounts capitalized:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Interest expense at stated interest rate	\$ 1,422	\$ 1,750	\$ 2,844	\$ 3,500
Interest expense associated with amortization of discount	874	1,013	1,734	2,011
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,296</b>	<b>\$ 2,763</b>	<b>\$ 4,578</b>	<b>\$ 5,511</b>

We capitalized interest costs of \$3,985 in the three months ended June 30, 2009, \$4,533 in the three months ended June 30, 2008, \$8,484 in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$9,298 in the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The following table sets forth information pertaining to the fair value of our debt:

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Fixed-rate debt	\$ 1,087,399	\$ 998,101	\$ 1,120,995	\$ 1,010,127
Variable-rate debt	744,314	710,239	735,756	702,092
	<b>\$ 1,831,713</b>	<b>\$ 1,708,340</b>	<b>\$ 1,856,751</b>	<b>\$ 1,712,219</b>

### **7. Derivatives**

We are exposed to certain risks arising from changes in market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely affect our financial performance. We use derivative financial instruments to assist in managing our exposure to these changes in market conditions. Specifically, we enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. Our derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of our known or expected cash payments related to our borrowings.

Our primary objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for our making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. During 2009, these derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with both existing and future variable-rate debt. We defer the effective portion of the changes in fair value of the designated cash flow hedges to accumulated other comprehensive loss ( AOCL ) and reclassify such deferrals to interest expense as interest expense is recognized on the hedged forecasted transactions. We recognize directly in interest expense the ineffective portion of the change in fair value of interest rate derivatives. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and do not have any derivatives that are not designated as hedges as of June 30, 2009.



## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2009, we had four outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk with an aggregate notional value of \$370,000. All four derivative instruments were interest rate swaps. Under one of these interest rate derivatives, we are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions over the period ending January 1, 2010. The table below sets forth the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2009:

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments Under SFAS 133	June 30, 2009	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Interest Rate Swaps	Prepaid and other assets	\$ 789
Interest Rate Swaps	Other liabilities	(1,666)

Table of Contents

The table below presents the effect of our interest rate swaps on our Consolidated Statements of Operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009</b>	<b>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009</b>
Amount of gain recognized in AOCL (effective portion)	1,658	277
Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL into interest expense (effective portion)	(1,647)	(3,946)
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in interest expense (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing)	51	(228)

Over the next 12 months, we estimate that approximately \$3,304 will be reclassified from AOCL as an increase to interest expense.

We have agreements with each of our derivative counterparties that contain provisions under which if we default or are capable of being declared in default on any of our indebtedness, we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations.

We have agreements with our derivative counterparties that incorporate the loan covenant provisions of our indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparties. Failure to comply with the loan covenant provisions would result in our being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreements.

As of June 30, 2009, the fair value of derivatives in a liability position related to these agreements was \$1,307, excluding the effects of accrued interest. As of June 30, 2009, we had not posted any collateral related to these agreements. We are not in default with any of these provisions. If we breached any of these provisions, we would be required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$1,682.

## 8. Shareholders Equity

### Common Shares

In April 2009, we issued 2.99 million common shares in an underwritten public offering made in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index effective April 1, 2009. The shares were issued at a public offering price of \$24.35 per share for net proceeds of \$72,078 after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we converted 2,824,000 common units in our Operating Partnership into common shares on the basis of one common share for each common unit.

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

See Note 12 for disclosure of common share activity pertaining to our share-based compensation plans.

### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The table below sets forth activity in the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity:

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$ (4,749)	\$ (2,372)
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in AOCL (effective portion)	277	(1,450)
Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL to income	3,946	1,166
Adjustment to AOCL attributable to noncontrolling interests	(650)	41
Ending balance	\$ (1,176)	\$ (2,615)

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth total comprehensive income and total comprehensive income attributable to COPT:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 18,051	\$ 13,910	\$ 36,217	\$ 26,091
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in AOCL (effective portion)	1,658	1,230	277	(1,450)
Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL to income	1,647	838	3,946	1,166
Total comprehensive income	21,356	15,978	40,440	25,807
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,412)	(1,748)	(3,431)	(3,215)
Other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(300)	(315)	(416)	44
Total comprehensive income attributable to COPT	\$ 19,644	\$ 13,915	\$ 36,593	\$ 22,636

## 9. Dividends and Distributions

The following table summarizes our dividends and distributions when either the payable dates or record dates occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Record Date	Payable Date	Dividend/ Distribution Per Share/Unit
<b>Series G Preferred Shares:</b>			
Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$ 0.5000
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.5000
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$ 0.5000
<b>Series H Preferred Shares:</b>			
Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$ 0.4688
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.4688
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$ 0.4688
<b>Series J Preferred Shares:</b>			
Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$ 0.4766
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.4766
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$ 0.4766
<b>Series K Preferred Shares:</b>			
Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$ 0.7000
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.7000
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$ 0.7000
<b>Common Shares:</b>			
Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$ 0.3725
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$ 0.3725
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$ 0.3725

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Series I Preferred Units:

Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$	0.4688
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$	0.4688
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$	0.4688

Common Units:

Fourth Quarter 2008	December 31, 2008	January 15, 2009	\$	0.3725
First Quarter 2009	March 31, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$	0.3725
Second Quarter 2009	June 30, 2009	July 15, 2009	\$	0.3725

Table of Contents**10. Supplemental Information to Statements of Cash Flows**

	<b>For the Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>June 30,</b> <b>2008</b>
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Increase in accrued capital improvements, leasing, and acquisition costs	\$ 11,971	\$ 2,176
Consolidation of real estate joint venture:		
Real estate assets	\$	\$ 14,208
Prepaid and other assets		(10,859)
Noncontrolling interests		(3,349)
Net adjustment	\$	\$
Proceeds from sale of property invested in restricted cash	\$	\$ 5,103
Increase (decrease) in fair value of derivatives applied to AOCL and noncontrolling interests	\$ 4,225	\$ (315)
Dividends/distribution payable	\$ 27,057	\$ 22,548

Table of Contents

**11. Information by Business Segment**

As of June 30, 2009, we had nine primary office property segments: Baltimore/Washington Corridor; Northern Virginia; Suburban Baltimore; Colorado Springs; Suburban Maryland; Greater Philadelphia; St. Mary's and King George Counties; San Antonio; and Central New Jersey.

The table below reports segment financial information. Our segment entitled "Other" includes assets and operations not specifically associated with the other defined segments, including corporate assets and investments in unconsolidated entities. We measure the performance of our segments based on total revenues less property operating expenses, a measure we define as net operating income (NOI). We believe that NOI is an important supplemental measure of operating performance for a REIT's operating real estate because it provides a measure of the core operations that is unaffected by depreciation, amortization, financing and general and administrative expenses; this measure is particularly useful in our opinion in evaluating the performance of geographic segments, same-office property groupings and individual properties.

	Baltimore/ Washington Corridor	Northern Virginia	Suburban Baltimore	Colorado Springs	Suburban Maryland	Greater Philadelphia	St. Mary's & King George Counties	San Antonio	Central New Jersey	Other	Intersegment Elimination	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009												
Revenues	\$ 49,531	\$ 19,211	\$ 13,793	\$ 5,803	\$ 5,179	\$ 2,507	\$ 3,478	\$ 3,547	\$ 601	\$ 2,998	\$ (930)	\$ 105,718
Property operating expenses	17,494	7,510	5,818	1,718	2,030	1	792	963	47	864	(75)	37,162
NOI	\$ 32,037	\$ 11,701	\$ 7,975	\$ 4,085	\$ 3,149	\$ 2,506	\$ 2,686	\$ 2,584	\$ 554	\$ 2,134	\$ (855)	\$ 68,556
Additions to properties, net	\$ 15,642	\$ 2,299	\$ 5,592	\$ 12,870	\$ 13,102	\$ 2,098	\$ 178	\$ 11,998	\$ 9	\$ 1,075	\$ (7)	\$ 64,856
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008												
Revenues	\$ 46,426	\$ 18,927	\$ 13,502	\$ 4,691	\$ 4,907	\$ 2,506	\$ 3,134	\$ 1,999	\$ 586	\$ 2,256	\$ (903)	\$ 98,031
Property operating expenses	15,686	7,255	5,691	1,738	1,587	40	747	443	38	1,062	(319)	33,968
NOI	\$ 30,740	\$ 11,672	\$ 7,811	\$ 2,953	\$ 3,320	\$ 2,466	\$ 2,387	\$ 1,556	\$ 548	\$ 1,194	\$ (584)	\$ 64,063
Additions to properties, net	\$ 40,092	\$ 803	\$ 4,309	\$ 41,510	\$ 1,238	\$ 384	\$ 674	\$ 18,738	\$ 22	\$ 968	\$ (29)	\$ 108,709
Six Months Ended June 30,												

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

2009																								
Revenues	\$	99,123	\$	41,570	\$	27,621	\$	10,681	\$	10,216	\$	5,013	\$	6,888	\$	6,492	\$	1,222	\$	5,597	\$	(1,861)	\$	212,562
Property operating expenses		36,136		15,372		12,520		3,031		4,089		99		1,664		1,800		83		1,666		(265)		76,195
NOI	\$	62,987	\$	26,198	\$	15,101	\$	7,650	\$	6,127	\$	4,914	\$	5,224	\$	4,692	\$	1,139	\$	3,931	\$	(1,596)	\$	136,367
Additions to properties, net	\$	34,987	\$	2,368	\$	8,903	\$	18,067	\$	17,759	\$	4,411	\$	525	\$	19,377	\$	11	\$	8,616	\$	(14)	\$	115,010
Segment assets at June 30, 2009	\$	1,277,271	\$	455,539	\$	436,220	\$	268,667	\$	167,227	\$	97,618	\$	94,398	\$	116,590	\$	20,768	\$	265,372	\$	(995)	\$	3,198,675
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008																								
Revenues	\$	92,003	\$	37,931	\$	27,412	\$	8,863	\$	9,491	\$	5,012	\$	6,294	\$	3,907	\$	1,338	\$	4,833	\$	(1,781)	\$	195,303
Property operating expenses		31,901		14,239		12,014		3,320		3,251		104		1,489		876		247		1,813		(557)		68,697
NOI	\$	60,102	\$	23,692	\$	15,398	\$	5,543	\$	6,240	\$	4,908	\$	4,805	\$	3,031	\$	1,091	\$	3,020	\$	(1,224)	\$	126,606
Additions to properties, net	\$	54,281	\$	1,729	\$	7,737	\$	53,531	\$	22,096	\$	612	\$	1,236	\$	18,248	\$	43	\$	2,275	\$	(29)	\$	161,759
Segment assets at June 30, 2008	\$	1,248,815	\$	469,146	\$	438,548	\$	235,765	\$	139,787	\$	95,123	\$	94,798	\$	84,359	\$	22,155	\$	183,862	\$	(984)	\$	3,011,374



Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our segment revenues to total revenues as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Segment revenues	\$ 105,718	\$ 98,031	\$ 212,562	\$ 195,303
Construction contract revenues	102,753	21,899	177,292	32,035
Other service operations revenues	571	525	921	1,003
Less: Revenues from discontinued real estate operations (Note 14)		(85)		(355)
Total revenues	\$ 209,042	\$ 120,370	\$ 390,775	\$ 227,986

The following table reconciles our segment property operating expenses to property operating expenses as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Segment property operating expenses	\$ 37,162	\$ 33,968	\$ 76,195	\$ 68,697
Less: Property operating expenses from discontinued real estate operations (Note 14)		(11)		(198)
Total property operating expenses	\$ 37,162	\$ 33,957	\$ 76,195	\$ 68,499

As previously discussed, we own 100% of a number of entities that provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development and heating and air conditioning services primarily for our properties but also for third parties. The revenues and costs associated with these services include subcontracted costs that are reimbursed to us by the customer at no mark up. As a result, the operating margins from these operations are small relative to the revenue. We use the net of such revenues and expenses to evaluate the performance of our service operations since we view such service operations to be an ancillary component of our overall operations that we expect to continue to be a small contributor to our operating income relative to our real estate operations. The table below sets forth the computation of our income from service operations:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Construction contract revenues	\$ 102,753	\$ 21,899	\$ 177,292	\$ 32,035
Other service operations revenues	571	525	921	1,003
Construction contract expenses	(100,647)	(21,472)	(173,545)	(31,377)
Other service operations expenses	(514)	(454)	(939)	(1,056)
Income from service operations	\$ 2,163	\$ 498	\$ 3,729	\$ 605

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our NOI for reportable segments to income from continuing operations as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
NOI for reportable segments	\$ 68,556	\$ 64,063	\$ 136,367	\$ 126,606
Income from service operations	2,163	498	3,729	605
Interest and other income	1,252	170	2,330	365
Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities	(202)	(56)	(317)	(110)
Income tax expense	(52)	107	(122)	(5)
Other adjustments:				
Depreciation and other amortization associated with real estate operations	(28,708)	(24,955)	(55,199)	(49,847)
General and administrative expenses	(5,834)	(5,934)	(11,377)	(11,704)
Business development expenses	(446)	(102)	(1,092)	(265)
Interest expense on continuing operations	(18,678)	(21,162)	(38,102)	(43,077)
Net operating income from discontinued operations		(74)		(157)
Income from continuing operations	\$ 18,051	\$ 12,555	\$ 36,217	\$ 22,411

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those previously disclosed for Corporate Office Properties Trust and subsidiaries, where applicable. We did not allocate interest expense and depreciation and other amortization to segments since they are not included in the measure of segment profit reviewed by management. We also did not allocate construction contract revenues, other service operations revenues, construction contract expenses, other service operations expenses, equity in loss of unconsolidated entities, general and administrative expenses, business development expenses, interest and other income and income taxes because these items represent general corporate items not attributable to segments.

### 12. Share-Based Compensation

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we granted 40,000 options to purchase common shares ( options ) to members of our Board of Trustees with an exercise price of \$29.98 per share. These options vest on the first anniversary of the grant date provided that the Trustees remain in their positions. These option grants expire ten years after the grant date. We computed share-based compensation expense for these options under the fair value method using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model; the assumptions we used in that model are set forth below:

Fair value of grants on grant date	\$ 9.62
Risk-free interest rate	2.08%
Expected life (in years)	5.31
Expected volatility	47.60%
Expected annual dividend yield	3.73%

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, 153,177 options to purchase common shares were exercised. The weighted average exercise price of these options was \$12.12 per share, and the total intrinsic value of the options exercised was \$2,785.

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, certain employees were granted a total of 332,060 restricted shares with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$25.05 per share; these shares are subject to forfeiture restrictions that lapse in equal increments annually over periods of three to five years, beginning on or about the first anniversary of the grant date, provided that the employees remain employed

Table of Contents

by us. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, forfeiture restrictions lapsed on 207,855 common shares previously issued to employees; these shares had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$35.85 per share, and the total fair value of the shares on the vesting dates was \$5,146.

We realized a windfall tax shortfall of \$152 in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and windfall tax benefit of \$1,053 in the six months ended June 30, 2008 on options exercised and vesting restricted shares in connection with employees of our subsidiaries that are subject to income tax.

**13. Income Taxes**

We own a taxable REIT subsidiary ( TRS ) that is subject to Federal and state income taxes. Our TRS provision for income tax consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Deferred				
Federal	\$ (46)	\$ 53	\$ (100)	\$ (303)
State	(10)	8	(22)	(71)
	(56)	61	(122)	(374)
Current				
Federal	3	34		(171)
State	1	7		(38)
	4	41		(209)
Total income tax (expense) benefit	\$ (52)	\$ 102	\$ (122)	\$ (583)
Reported on line entitled income tax (expense) benefit	\$ (52)	\$ 107	\$ (122)	\$ (5)
Reported on line entitled gain on sale of real estate, net		(5)		(578)
Total income tax (expense) benefit	\$ (52)	\$ 102	\$ (122)	\$ (583)

Items in our TRS contributing to temporary differences that lead to deferred taxes include depreciation and amortization, share-based compensation, certain accrued compensation and compensation paid in the form of contributions to a deferred nonqualified compensation plan.

Our TRS combined Federal and state effective tax rate was 39% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

**14. Discontinued Operations**

Income from discontinued operations primarily includes revenues and expenses associated with the following:

- 429 Ridge Road property located in the Central New Jersey region that was sold on January 31, 2008;
- 47 Commerce Drive property located in the Central New Jersey region that was sold on April 1, 2008; and
- 7253 Ambassador Road property located in the Suburban Baltimore region that was sold on June 2, 2008.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the components of income from discontinued operations:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008</b>	<b>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008</b>
Revenue from real estate operations	\$ 85	\$ 355
Expenses from real estate operations:		
Property operating expenses	11	198
Depreciation and amortization		52
Interest expense	10	51
Expenses from real estate operations	21	301
Income from discontinued operations before gain on sales of real estate	64	54
Gain on sales of real estate	1,250	2,526
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 1,314	\$ 2,580

**15. Commitments and Contingencies**

In the normal course of business, we are involved in legal actions arising from our ownership and administration of properties. We establish reserves for specific legal proceedings when we determine that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not anticipate that any liabilities that may result from such proceedings will have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, operations or liquidity. Our assessment of the potential outcomes of these matters involves significant judgment and is subject to change based on future developments.

We are subject to various Federal, state and local environmental regulations related to our property ownership and operation. We have performed environmental assessments of our properties, the results of which have not revealed any environmental liability that we believe would have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, operations or liquidity.

**Joint Ventures**

In connection with our 2005 contribution of properties to an unconsolidated partnership in which we hold a limited partnership interest, we entered into standard nonrecourse loan guarantees (environmental indemnifications and guarantees against fraud and misrepresentation, including springing guarantees of partnership debt in the event of a voluntary bankruptcy of the partnership). The maximum amount we could be required to pay under the guarantees is approximately \$67 million. So long as we continue to be the property manager for the properties, 20% of any amounts paid under the guarantees are recoverable from an affiliate of the general partner pursuant to an indemnity agreement. In the event that we no longer manage the properties, the percentage recoverable under the indemnity agreement is increased to 80%. Management estimates that the aggregate fair value of the guarantees is not material and would not exceed the amounts included in distributions in excess of investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture reported on the consolidated balance sheets.

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

We are party to a contribution agreement that formed a joint venture relationship with a limited partnership to develop up to 1.8 million square feet of office space on 63 acres of land located in Hanover, Maryland. Under the contribution agreement, we agreed to fund up to \$2,200 in pre-construction costs associated with the property. As we and the joint venture partner agree to proceed with the construction of buildings in the future, our joint venture partner would contribute land into newly-formed entities and we would make additional cash capital contributions into such entities to fund development and construction activities for which financing is not obtained. We owned a 50% interest in one such joint venture as of June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

We may be required to make our pro rata share of additional investments in our real estate joint ventures (generally based on our percentage ownership) in the event that additional funds are needed. In the event that the other members of these joint ventures do not pay their share of investments when additional funds are needed, we may then deem it appropriate to make even larger investments in these joint ventures.

**Environmental Indemnity Agreement**

We agreed to provide certain environmental indemnifications in connection with a lease of three properties in our New Jersey region. The prior owner of the properties, a Fortune 100 company that is responsible for groundwater contamination at such properties, previously agreed to indemnify us for (1) direct losses incurred in connection with the contamination and (2) its failure to perform remediation activities required by the State of New Jersey, up to the point that the state declares the remediation to be complete. Under the lease agreement, we agreed to the following:

- to indemnify the tenant against losses covered under the prior owner's indemnity agreement if the prior owner fails to indemnify the tenant for such losses. This indemnification is capped at \$5,000 in perpetuity after the State of New Jersey declares the remediation to be complete;
- to indemnify the tenant for consequential damages (e.g., business interruption) at one of the buildings in perpetuity and another of the buildings for 15 years after the tenant's acquisition of the property from us. This indemnification is capped at \$12,500; and
- to pay 50% of additional costs related to construction and environmental regulatory activities incurred by the tenant as a result of the indemnified environmental condition of the properties. This indemnification is capped at \$300 annually and \$1,500 in the aggregate.



Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**Overview**

We are a specialty office real estate investment trust ( REIT ) that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of June 30, 2009, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 243 wholly owned operating properties totaling 18.7 million square feet;
- 16 wholly owned properties under construction or development that we estimate will total approximately 1.8 million square feet upon completion;
- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,530 acres that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 13.1 million square feet; and
- partial ownership interests in a number of other real estate projects in operation, under development or redevelopment or held for future development.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we:

- experienced significant growth in our revenues from real estate operations in total by amounts that exceeded the growth in our property operating expenses compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008. This increase is attributable to both the growth of our portfolio from property additions and the performance of properties that were owned and 100% operational since January 1, 2008;
- finished the period with occupancy of our wholly owned portfolio of properties at 92.3%;
- placed into service an aggregate of 258,000 square feet in newly constructed space located in five properties; and
- issued 2.99 million common shares in an underwritten public offering made in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index effective April 1, 2009. The shares were issued at a public offering price of \$24.35 per share for net proceeds of \$72.1 million after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses.

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

As discussed in greater detail in our 2008 Annual Report Form 10-K, the United States and world economies are in the midst of a significant recession that has had devastating effects on the capital markets, reducing stock prices and limiting credit availability. We believe that for much of the office real estate sector, since the core operations tend to be structured as long-term leases and since revenue streams generally remain in place until leases expire or tenants fail to satisfy lease terms, the effect of the changes in the overall economy on our operations has not been fully felt to date. As a result, we do not believe that the economic downturn has significantly affected the operations of our real estate properties yet but do expect the effects to become increasingly evident over the remainder of 2009 and 2010, and perhaps beyond. We continue to see signs of increased competition for tenants and downward pressure on rental rates in most of our regions, which we expect, along with an anticipated increased tendency by certain tenants to reduce costs through job cuts and associated space reductions, could adversely affect our occupancy and renewal rates. In addition, we may also experience higher bad debt expense should tenants be unable to pay their rents. However, we believe that our future real estate operations may be affected to a lesser degree than many of our peers for the following reasons:

- our expectation of continued strength in demand from our customers in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors; and
- our high concentration of large, high-quality tenants with a relatively small concentration of revenue from the financial services sector.

In this section, we discuss our financial condition and results of operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. This section includes discussions on, among other things:

Table of Contents

- our results of operations and why various components of our Consolidated Statements of Operations changed for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008;
- our cash flows;
- how we expect to generate cash for short and long-term capital needs;
- our commitments and contingencies at June 30, 2009; and
- the computation of our Funds from Operations.

You should refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements as you read this section.

This section contains forward-looking statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, should, expect, estimate or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Future events and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that may affect these expectations, estimates and projections include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to borrow on favorable terms;
- general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates and financing availability;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;
- risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development and operating costs may be greater than anticipated;
- risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;
- our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under Federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;
- governmental actions and initiatives; and

- environmental requirements.

We undertake no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**Occupancy and Leasing

The table below sets forth leasing information pertaining to our portfolio of wholly owned operating properties:

	<b>June 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Occupancy rates		
Total	92.3%	93.2%
Baltimore/Washington Corridor	93.0%	93.4%
Northern Virginia	94.7%	97.4%
Suburban Baltimore	82.1%	83.1%
Colorado Springs	93.0%	94.3%
Suburban Maryland	92.8%	97.7%
St. Mary's and King George Counties	97.5%	95.2%
Greater Philadelphia	100.0%	100.0%
San Antonio	100.0%	100.0%
Central New Jersey	100.0%	100.0%
Other	99.3%	100.0%
Average contractual annual rental rate per square foot at period end (1)	\$ 23.12	\$ 22.40

(1) Includes estimated expense reimbursements.

We renewed 74.3% of the square footage scheduled to expire in the six months ended June 30, 2009 (including the effects of early renewals and leases terminated less than one year prior to the scheduled lease expiration date).

As discussed in greater detail in our 2008 Annual Report Form 10-K, we expect that the effects of the global downturn in the economy on our real estate operations will make our leasing activities increasingly challenging during the remainder of 2009, 2010 and perhaps beyond. As a result, we expect that we may find it increasingly difficult to maintain high levels of occupancy and tenant retention. We believe that the immediacy of our exposure to the increased challenges in the leasing environment is lessened to a certain extent by the generally long-term nature of our leases and our operating strategy of monitoring concentrations of lease expirations occurring in any one year. Our weighted average lease term for wholly owned properties at June 30, 2009 was approximately five years, and no more than 15% of our annualized rental revenues at June 30, 2009 were scheduled to expire in any one calendar year between 2010 and 2013 (8.5% of our annualized rental revenues at June 30, 2009 were scheduled to expire during the six months ended December 31, 2009).

Annualized rental revenue is a measure that we use to evaluate the source of our rental revenue as of a point in time. It is computed by multiplying by 12 the sum of monthly contractual base rents and estimated monthly expense reimbursements under active leases as of a point in time. We consider annualized rental revenue to be a useful measure for analyzing revenue sources because, since it is point-in-time based, it does not contain increases and decreases in revenue associated with periods in which lease terms were not in effect; historical revenue under GAAP does contain such fluctuations. We find the measure particularly useful for leasing, tenant, segment and industry analysis. Most of the

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

leases with our largest tenant, the United States Government, provide for consecutive one-year terms or provide for early termination rights; all of the leasing statistics set forth above assume that the United States Government will remain in the space that they lease through the end of the respective arrangements, without ending consecutive one-year leases prematurely or exercising early termination rights. We report the statistics in this manner since we manage our leasing activities using these assumptions and believe them to be probable.

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

The table below sets forth occupancy information pertaining to operating properties in which we have a partial ownership interest:

Geographic Region	Ownership Interest	Occupancy Rates at	
		June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Greater Harrisburg (1)	20.0%	86.2%	89.4%
Suburban Maryland (2)	(2)	65.6%	94.8%
Baltimore/Washington Corridor (3)	50.0%	100.0%	N/A

(1) Includes 16 properties totaling 672,000 square feet.

(2) Includes two properties totaling with 172,000 operational square feet at June 30, 2009 (we had a 50% ownership interest in 56,000 square feet and a 45% ownership interest in 116,000 square feet). Includes two properties totaling 97,000 operational square feet at December 31, 2008 (we had a 50% interest in 56,000 square feet and a 45% interest in 41,000 square feet).

(3) Includes one property with 9,000 operational square feet at June 30, 2009.

### Revenues from real estate operations and property operating expenses

We typically view our changes in revenues from real estate operations and property operating expenses as being comprised of the following components:

- changes attributable to the operations of properties owned and 100% operational throughout the two periods being compared. We define these as changes from Same-Office Properties; and
- changes attributable to operating properties acquired during the two periods being compared and newly-constructed properties that were placed into service and not 100% operational throughout the two periods being compared. We define these as changes from Property Additions.

The tables included in this section set forth the components of our changes in revenues from real estate operations and property operating expenses (dollars in thousands). The tables, and the discussion that follows, include results and information pertaining to properties included in continuing operations.

	Changes From the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009				Total Dollar Change
	Property Additions Dollar Change (1)	Same-Office Properties Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Other Dollar Change (2)	
Revenues from real estate operations					

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Rental revenue	\$	2,717	\$	2,469	3.0%	\$	(14)	\$	5,172
Tenant recoveries and other real estate operations revenue		833		1,629	11.6%		138		2,600
Total	\$	3,550	\$	4,098	4.3%	\$	124	\$	7,772
Property operating expenses	\$	1,162	\$	1,906	5.9%	\$	137	\$	3,205
Number of operating properties included in component category		18		228	N/A				246

(1) Includes 13 newly-constructed properties and one redeveloped property placed into service and four acquired properties.

(2) Includes, among other things, the effects of amounts eliminated in consolidation. Certain amounts eliminated in consolidation are attributable to the Property Additions and Same-Office Properties.



Table of Contents

The increase in rental revenue for the Same-Office Properties for the three month periods included the following:

- an increase of \$2.0 million attributable primarily to changes in occupancy and rental rates between the two periods; and
- an increase of \$514,000 in net revenue from the early termination of leases.

Tenant recoveries and other revenue for the Same-Office Properties for the three month periods increased due primarily to the increase in property operating expenses described below. While we have some lease structures under which tenants pay for 100% of properties' operating expenses, our most prevalent lease structure is for tenants to pay for a portion of property operating expenses to the extent that such expenses exceed amounts established in their respective leases that are based on historical expense levels. As a result, while there is an inherent direct relationship between our tenant recoveries and property operating expenses, this relationship does not result in a dollar for dollar increase in tenant recoveries as property operating expenses increase.

The increase in the Same-Office Properties' property operating expenses for the three month periods included the following:

- an increase of \$812,000 in electric utilities expense, which included the effects of: (1) increased usage at certain properties due to increased occupancy at such properties; and (2) rate increases; and
- an increase of \$477,000 in bad debt expense due to additional reserves on tenant receivables.

	Changes From the Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 to 2009				
	Property Additions Dollar Change (1)	Same-Office Properties Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Other Dollar Change (2)	Total Dollar Change
Revenues from real estate operations					
Rental revenue	\$ 6,133	\$ 6,872	4.3%	\$ (21)	\$ 12,984
Tenant recoveries and other real estate operations revenue	1,430	3,282	11.5%	(82)	4,630
Total	\$ 7,563	\$ 10,154	5.4%	\$ (103)	\$ 17,614
Property operating expenses	\$ 2,452	\$ 4,957	7.6%	\$ 287	\$ 7,696
Number of operating properties included in component category	23	223	N/A		246

(1) Includes 17 newly-constructed properties and two redeveloped properties placed into service and four acquired properties.

(2) Includes, among other things, the effects of amounts eliminated in consolidation. Certain amounts eliminated in consolidation are attributable to the Property Additions and Same-Office Properties.

The increase in rental revenue for the Same-Office Properties for the six month periods included the following:

- an increase of \$3.5 million in net revenue from the early termination of leases, most of which was due to the early termination of one lease at a property in Northern Virginia; and
- an increase of \$3.3 million attributable primarily to changes in occupancy and rental rates between the two periods.

Tenant recoveries and other revenue for the Same-Office Properties for the six month periods increased due primarily to the increase in property operating expenses described below.

Table of Contents

The increase in Same-Office Properties property operating expenses for the six month periods included the following:

- an increase of \$1.6 million in electric utilities expense due primarily to the reasons discussed above for the variance in the three month periods;
- an increase of \$1.0 million in bad debt expense due to additional reserves on tenant receivables;
- an increase of \$892,000 in snow removal due primarily to increased snow and ice in most of our regions; and
- an increase of \$499,000 in cleaning services and related supplies due in large part to increased contract rates and increased occupancy at certain properties.

Construction contract revenues and expenses

Construction contract revenues and expenses consist primarily of subcontracted costs that are reimbursed to us by the customer along with a construction management fee. As a result, the operating margins from these operations are small relative to the revenue. We use the net of these revenues and expenses to evaluate performance. The increase in the net of these revenues and expenses for the three and six month periods was due primarily to a high volume of construction activity in the current periods in connection with one large construction contract.

Interest expense

Our interest expense included in continuing operations decreased by \$2.5 million for the three month periods and \$5.0 million for the six month periods due primarily to a decrease in the weighted average interest rates of our debt from 5.1% to 4.7% for the three month periods and 5.3% to 4.8% for the six month periods. The events in the economy have contributed towards significant reductions in interest rates on our variable rate debt. We expect interest expense in the second half of 2009 to increase somewhat from the first half of 2009 as we repay a certain amount of our variable rate debt using proceeds from newly obtained fixed rate debt bearing higher interest rates.

Interest and other income

Our interest and other income increased \$1.1 million for the three month periods and \$2.0 million for the six month periods due primarily to interest income earned in the current periods associated with a mortgage loan receivable which we funded in August 2008.

Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Discontinued operations represents income from properties sold in 2008, and is comprised primarily of gain from the sales of such properties occurring during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008. We had no discontinued operations in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

### Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes

Gain on sales of real estate was recognized on the sale of six office condominiums located in Northern Virginia during the six months ended June 30, 2008. No similar sales occurred in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary cash requirements are for operating expenses, debt service, development of new properties, improvements to existing properties and acquisitions. While we may experience increasing challenges discussed elsewhere herein and in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K due to the current economic environment, we believe that our liquidity and capital resources are adequate for our near-term and longer-term requirements. We maintain sufficient cash and cash equivalents to meet our operating cash requirements and short term investing and financing cash requirements. When we determine that the

Table of Contents

amount of cash and cash equivalents on hand is more than we need to meet such requirements, we may pay down our Revolving Credit Facility (defined below) or forgo borrowing under construction loan credit facilities to fund development activities.

We rely primarily on fixed-rate, non-recourse mortgage loans from banks and institutional lenders to finance most of our operating properties. We have also made use of the public equity and debt markets to meet our capital needs, principally to repay or refinance corporate and property secured debt and to provide funds for property development and acquisition costs. We have an unsecured revolving credit facility (the Revolving Credit Facility ) with a group of lenders that provides for borrowings of up to \$600.0 million, \$243.0 million of which was available at June 30, 2009; this facility is available through September 2011 and may be extended by one year at our option, subject to certain conditions. In addition, we have a Revolving Construction Facility, which provides for borrowings of up to \$225.0 million, \$125.8 million of which was available at June 30, 2009 to fund future construction costs; this facility is available until May 2011 and may be extended by one year at our option, subject to certain conditions. Selective dispositions of operating and other properties may also provide capital resources for the remainder of 2009 and in future years. We are continually evaluating sources of capital and believe that there are satisfactory sources available for meeting our capital requirements without necessitating property sales.

We believe that we have sufficient capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility to satisfy our debt maturities occurring through 2010. We also believe that we have sufficient capacity under our Revolving Construction Facility to fund the construction of properties that were under construction at June 30, 2009, as well as projects expected to be started during the remainder of 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we closed on a \$50.0 million secured loan with a five-year term and a \$23.4 million construction loan with a two-year term and the right to extend for an additional year, subject to certain conditions. In addition, we closed on a \$90.0 million secured loan with a five-year term in July 2009. We expect to pursue a certain amount of additional new medium or long-term debt during the remainder of 2009; if we are successful in obtaining this debt, we expect to use the proceeds to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility to create additional borrowing capacity to enable us to fund future investment opportunities.

In our discussions of liquidity and capital resources herein and in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009, we describe certain of the risks and uncertainties relating to our business. Additional risks are described in Item 1A of our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Operating Activities

Our cash flow from operations increased \$44.5 million, or 53.0%, when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008; this increase is attributable in large part to: (1) the timing of cash flow associated with third-party construction projects in the current period; and (2) the additional cash flow from operations generated by our property additions. We expect to continue to use cash flow provided by operations to meet our short-term capital needs, including all property operating expenses, general and administrative expenses, interest expense, scheduled principal amortization on debt, dividends to our shareholders, distributions to our noncontrolling interest holders of preferred and common units in the Operating Partnership and capital improvements and leasing costs. We do not anticipate borrowing to meet these requirements.

Investing and Financing Activities During the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

We had three newly-constructed properties totaling 301,000 square feet (one each located in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor, Suburban Maryland and Colorado Springs) become fully operational during the six months ended June 30, 2009 (85,000 of these square feet were placed

## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

into service in 2008). These properties were 80% leased or committed as of June 30, 2009. Costs incurred on these properties through June 30, 2009 totaled \$59.4 million, of which \$5.2 million was incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, we had construction activities underway on 11 office properties totaling 1.3 million square feet that were 24% leased, or considered committed to lease (including two properties

Table of Contents

owned through joint ventures). Costs incurred on these properties through June 30, 2009 totaled approximately \$187.5 million, of which approximately \$57.5 million was incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We estimate that remaining costs to be incurred through 2011 will total approximately \$99.6 million upon completion of these properties. We expect to fund these costs using primarily borrowings from our Revolving Construction Facility and Revolving Credit Facility.

As of June 30, 2009, we had development activities underway on seven office properties estimated to total 739,000 square feet. Costs incurred on these properties through June 30, 2009 totaled \$19.4 million, of which \$8.2 million was incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We estimate the remaining costs to be incurred will total approximately \$148.7 million; we expect to incur these costs through 2012. We expect to fund most of these costs using primarily borrowings from our Revolving Construction Facility.

We had redevelopment activities underway on two properties at June 30, 2009 for which we estimate remaining costs to be incurred of approximately \$45 million. We expect to fund most of the costs for these redevelopment projects using borrowings from our Revolving Credit Facility.

The table below sets forth the major components of our additions to the line entitled Total Properties, net on our Consolidated Balance Sheet for the six months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

Construction, development and redevelopment	\$	91,885
Acquisitions		11,255
Tenant improvements on operating properties		8,002(1)
Capital improvements on operating properties		3,868
	\$	115,010

(1) Tenant improvement costs incurred on newly-constructed properties are classified in this table as construction, development and redevelopment.

As discussed above, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we closed on a \$50.0 million secured loan with a five-year term and a \$23.4 million construction loan with a two-year term and the right to extend for an additional year, subject to certain conditions; most of the proceeds from these borrowings were used to repay debt maturities and pay down our Revolving Credit Facility.

In April 2009, we issued 2.99 million common shares in an underwritten public offering made in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index effective April 1, 2009. The shares were issued at a public offering price of \$24.35 per share for net proceeds of \$72.1 million after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses. The net proceeds were used to pay down our Revolving Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes.

Certain of our mortgage loans require that we comply with a number of restrictive financial covenants, including leverage ratio, minimum net worth, minimum fixed charge coverage, minimum debt service and maximum secured indebtedness. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with these financial covenants.

Analysis of Cash Flow Associated with Investing and Financing Activities

Our net cash flow used in investing activities decreased \$13.8 million when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 due primarily to the net effect of a \$48.0 million decrease in property additions and a \$28.2 million decrease in proceeds from sales of properties. Our cash flow provided by financing activities decreased \$41.3 million when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 due primarily to an increase in debt repayments (net of proceeds from new debt) during the current period, offset in part by \$72.3 million in additional proceeds from common share issuances due to our underwritten public offering in April 2009.



Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In connection with our 2005 contribution of properties to an unconsolidated partnership in which we hold a limited partnership interest, we entered into standard nonrecourse loan guarantees (environmental indemnifications and guarantees against fraud and misrepresentation, including springing guarantees of partnership debt in the event of a voluntary bankruptcy of the partnership). The maximum amount we could be required to pay under the guarantees is approximately \$67 million. So long as we continue to be the property manager for the properties, 20% of any amounts paid under the guarantees are recoverable from an affiliate of the general partner pursuant to an indemnity agreement. In the event that we no longer manage the properties, the percentage recoverable under the indemnity agreement is increased to 80%. Management estimates that the aggregate fair value of the guarantees is not material and would not exceed the amounts included in distributions in excess of investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture reported on the consolidated balance sheets.

We had no significant changes in our off-balance sheet arrangements from those described in the section entitled "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2009.

**Funds From Operations**

**Funds from operations ( FFO ) is defined as net income computed using GAAP, excluding gains (or losses) from sales of operating properties, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization. Gains from sales of newly-developed properties less accumulated depreciation, if any, required under GAAP are included in FFO on the basis that development services are the primary revenue generating activity; we believe that inclusion of these development gains is in accordance with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ( NAREIT ) definition of FFO, although others may interpret the definition differently.**

Accounting for real estate assets using historical cost accounting under GAAP assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. NAREIT stated in its April 2002 White Paper on Funds from Operations that "since real estate asset values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentations of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. As a result, the concept of FFO was created by NAREIT for the REIT industry to address this problem. We agree with the concept of FFO and believe that FFO is useful to management and investors as a supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains and losses related to sales of previously depreciated operating real estate properties and excluding real estate-related depreciation and amortization, FFO can help one compare our operating performance between periods. In addition, since most equity REITs provide FFO information to the investment community, we believe that FFO is useful to investors as a supplemental measure for comparing our results to those of other equity REITs. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO.

Since FFO excludes certain items includable in net income, reliance on the measure has limitations; management compensates for these limitations by using the measure simply as a supplemental measure that is weighed in the balance with other GAAP and non GAAP measures. FFO is not necessarily an indication of our cash flow available to fund cash needs. Additionally, it should not be used as an alternative to net income when evaluating our financial performance or to cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities when evaluating our liquidity or ability to make cash distributions or pay debt service. The FFO we present may not be comparable to the FFO presented by other REITs since they may interpret the current NAREIT definition of FFO differently or they may not use the

**current NAREIT definition of FFO.**

Basic FFO available to common share and common unit holders ( Basic FFO ) is FFO adjusted to subtract (1) preferred share dividends, (2) income attributable to noncontrolling interests through ownership of preferred units in the Operating Partnership or interests in other consolidated entities not owned by us, (3) depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities and (4) Basic FFO allocable to restricted shares. With these adjustments, Basic FFO represents FFO available to

Table of Contents

common shareholders and common unitholders. Common units in the Operating Partnership are substantially similar to our common shares and are exchangeable into common shares, subject to certain conditions. We believe that Basic FFO is useful to investors due to the close correlation of common units to common shares. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Basic FFO. Basic FFO has essentially the same limitations as FFO; management compensates for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for FFO.

Diluted FFO available to common share and common unit holders ( Diluted FFO ) is Basic FFO adjusted to add back any changes in Basic FFO that would result from the assumed conversion of securities that are convertible or exchangeable into common shares. However, the computation of Diluted FFO does not assume conversion of securities other than common units in the Operating Partnership that are convertible into common shares if the conversion of those securities would increase Diluted FFO per share in a given period. We believe that Diluted FFO is useful to investors because it is the numerator used to compute Diluted FFO per share, discussed below. In addition, since most equity REITs provide Diluted FFO information to the investment community, we believe Diluted FFO is a useful supplemental measure for comparing us to other equity REITs. We believe that the numerator for diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Diluted FFO. Since Diluted FFO excludes certain items includable in the numerator to diluted EPS, reliance on the measure has limitations; management compensates for these limitations by using the measure simply as a supplemental measure that is weighed in the balance with other GAAP and non-GAAP measures. Diluted FFO is not necessarily an indication of our cash flow available to fund cash needs. Additionally, it should not be used as an alternative to net income when evaluating our financial performance or to cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities when evaluating our liquidity or ability to make cash distributions or pay debt service. The Diluted FFO that we present may not be comparable to the Diluted FFO presented by other REITs.

Diluted FFO per share is (1) Diluted FFO divided by (2) the sum of the (a) weighted average common shares outstanding during a period, (b) weighted average common units outstanding during a period and (c) weighted average number of potential additional common shares that would have been outstanding during a period if other securities that are convertible or exchangeable into common shares were converted or exchanged. However, the computation of Diluted FFO per share does not assume conversion of securities other than common units in the Operating Partnership that are convertible into common shares if the conversion of those securities would increase Diluted FFO per share in a given period. We believe that Diluted FFO per share is useful to investors because it provides investors with a further context for evaluating our FFO results in the same manner that investors use earnings per share ( EPS ) in evaluating net income available to common shareholders. In addition, since most equity REITs provide Diluted FFO per share information to the investment community, we believe that Diluted FFO per share is a useful supplemental measure for comparing us to other equity REITs. We believe that diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Diluted FFO per share. Diluted FFO per share has most of the same limitations as Diluted FFO (described above); management compensates for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for Diluted FFO.

Our Basic FFO, Diluted FFO and Diluted FFO per share for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and reconciliations of (1) net income to FFO, (2) the numerator for diluted EPS to diluted FFO and (3) the denominator for diluted EPS to the denominator for diluted FFO per share are set forth in the following table (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data):

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 18,051	\$ 13,910	\$ 36,217	\$ 26,091
Add: Real estate-related depreciation and amortization	28,708	24,955	55,199	49,899
Add: Depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated real estate entities	161	163	321	327
Less: Gain on sales of operating properties, net of income taxes		(1,250)		(2,630)
Funds from operations ( FFO )	46,920	37,778	91,737	73,687
Less: Noncontrolling interests-preferred units in the Operating Partnership	(165)	(165)	(330)	(330)
Less: Noncontrolling interests-other consolidated entities	25	(122)	(25)	(222)
Less: Preferred share dividends	(4,026)	(4,026)	(8,051)	(8,051)
Less: Depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities	(107)	(75)	(160)	(124)
Less: basic and diluted FFO allocable to restricted shares	(450)	(308)	(903)	(582)
Funds from Operations - basic and diluted ( Basic and Diluted FFO )	\$ 42,197	\$ 33,082	\$ 82,268	\$ 64,378
Weighted average common shares	56,637	47,110	54,296	47,055
Conversion of weighted average common units	5,483	8,151	6,363	8,153
Weighted average common shares/units - Basic FFO	62,120	55,261	60,659	55,208
Dilutive effect of share-based compensation awards	546	790	522	746
Weighted average common shares/units - Diluted FFO	62,666	56,051	61,181	55,954
Diluted FFO per common share	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.15
Numerator for diluted EPS	\$ 12,371	\$ 7,970	\$ 24,225	\$ 14,489
Add: Income allocable to noncontrolling interests-common units in the Operating Partnership	1,272	1,461	3,076	2,663
Add: Real estate-related depreciation and amortization	28,708	24,955	55,199	49,899
Add: Depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated real estate entities	161	163	321	327
Add: Numerator for diluted EPS allocable to restricted shares	242	166	510	336
Less: Depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities	(107)	(75)	(160)	(124)
Less: Basic and diluted FFO allocable to restricted shares	(450)	(308)	(903)	(582)
Less: Gain on sales of operating properties, net of income taxes		(1,250)		(2,630)
Diluted FFO	\$ 42,197	\$ 33,082	\$ 82,268	\$ 64,378
Denominator for diluted EPS	57,183	47,900	54,818	47,801
Weighted average common units	5,483	8,151	6,363	8,153
Denominator for Diluted FFO per share	62,666	56,051	61,181	55,954

Our computation of FFO and the other measures described above changed as a result of our adoption on January 1, 2009 of SFAS 160, FSP APB 14-1 and FSP EITF 03-6-1, all of which were applied respectively to prior periods. We discuss SFAS 160, FSP APB 14-1 and FSP EITF 03-6-1 in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements.

**Inflation**

Most of our tenants are obligated to pay their share of a building's operating expenses to the extent such expenses exceed amounts established in their leases, based on historical expense levels. Some of our tenants are obligated to pay their full share of a building's operating expenses. These arrangements somewhat reduce our exposure to increases in such costs resulting from inflation. In addition, since our average lease life is approximately five years, we generally expect to be able to compensate for increased operating expenses through increased rental rates upon lease renewal or expiration.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

We are exposed to certain market risks, the most predominant of which is change in interest rates. Increases in interest rates can result in increased interest expense under our Revolving Credit Facility and our other debt carrying variable interest rate terms. Increases in interest rates can also result in increased interest expense when our debt carrying fixed interest rate terms mature and need to be refinanced. Our capital strategy favors long-term, fixed-rate, secured debt over variable-rate debt to minimize the risk of short-term increases in interest rates. As of June 30, 2009, 90.7% of our fixed-rate debt was scheduled to mature after 2010. As of June 30, 2009, 25.9% of our total debt had variable interest rates, including the effect of interest rate swaps, and the percentage of variable-rate debt, including the effect of interest rate swaps, relative to total assets was 14.8%.

The following table sets forth as of June 30, 2009 our long-term debt obligations and weighted average interest rates for fixed rate debt by expected maturity date (dollars in thousands):

	2009	2010	For the Periods Ending December 31,			2013	Thereafter	Total
			2011 (1)	2012				
Long term debt:								
Fixed rate (2)	\$ 28,134	\$ 74,033	\$ 272,314	\$ 42,200	\$ 137,718	\$ 540,708	\$ 1,095,107	
Weighted average interest rate	6.92%	5.98%	4.30%	6.32%	5.57%	5.58%	5.35%	
Variable rate	\$ 254	\$ 526	\$ 473,478	\$ 222,005	\$ 649	\$ 47,402	\$ 744,314	

(1) Includes amounts outstanding at June 30, 2009 of \$357.0 million under our Revolving Credit Facility and \$99.2 million under our Revolving Construction Facility that may be extended for a one-year period, subject to certain conditions.

(2) Represents principal maturities only and therefore excludes net discounts of \$7.7 million.

The fair market value of our debt was \$1.71 billion at June 30, 2009. If interest rates on our fixed-rate debt had been 1% lower, the fair value of this debt would have increased by \$55.9 million at June 30, 2009.

The following table sets forth information pertaining to interest rate swap contracts in place as of June 30, 2009, and their respective fair values (dollars in thousands):

Notional Amount	One-Month LIBOR base	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Fair Value at June 30, 2009
\$ 50,000	4.3300%	10/23/2007	10/23/2009	\$ (623)
100,000	2.5100%	11/3/2008	12/31/2009	(1,043)
120,000	1.7600%	1/2/2009	5/1/2012	359
100,000	1.9750%	1/1/2010	5/1/2012	430

\$ (877)

Based on our variable-rate debt balances, including the effect of interest rate swaps, our interest expense would have increased by \$1.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 if short-term interest rates were 1% higher.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures





## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2009. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2009 were functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting



## Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### **PART II**

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are not aware of any material developments during the most recent fiscal quarter regarding the litigation described in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We are not currently involved in any other material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation currently threatened against the Company (other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, substantially all of which is expected to be covered by liability insurance).

#### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes to the risk factors included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

(a) During the three months ended June 30, 2009, 514,000 of the Operating Partnership's common units were exchanged for 514,000 common shares in accordance with the Operating Partnership's Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement, as amended. The issuance of these common shares was effected in reliance upon the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(b) Not applicable

(c) Not applicable

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

(a) Not applicable

(b) Not applicable

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

**On May 14, 2009, we held our annual meeting of shareholders. At the annual meeting, the shareholders voted on the election of nine trustees, each for a one-year term and the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year. The voting results at the annual meeting were as follows:**

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Proposal 1:

Name of Nominee	Votes Received	Votes Withheld
Jay H. Shidler	42,796,369	6,539,840
Clay W. Hamlin, III	42,928,522	6,407,687
Thomas F. Brady	43,193,451	6,142,758
Robert L. Denton	42,935,129	6,401,080
Douglas M. Firstenberg	43,192,103	6,144,106
Randall M. Griffin	43,060,816	6,275,393
Steven D. Kesler	43,060,098	6,276,111
Kenneth S. Sweet, Jr.	42,886,674	6,449,535
Kenneth D. Wethe	43,059,608	6,276,601

Proposal 2:

	Votes Cast			Broker Non-votes
	For	Against	Abstain	
Ratification of the Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Current Fiscal Year	49,066,362	158,545	111,301	

**Table of Contents**

**Item 5. Other Information**

Not applicable

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) Exhibits:

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Corporate Office Properties Trust required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Corporate Office Properties Trust required by Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Corporate Office Properties Trust required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. (This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.) (Furnished herewith.)
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Corporate Office Properties Trust required by Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. (This exhibit shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.) (Furnished herewith.)



**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST

Date: July 31, 2009

By: */s/* Randall M. Griffin  
Randall M. Griffin  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 31, 2009

By: */s/* Stephen E. Riffie  
Stephen E. Riffie  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer