

MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC.  
Form N-CSR  
March 08, 2010

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED  
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number 811-08238

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY  
(Address of principal executive offices)

10036  
(Zip code)

Randy Takian

522 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10036  
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 1-800-231-2608

Date of fiscal year 12/31  
end:

Date of reporting period: 12/31/09

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. Section 3507.



ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

The Fund's annual report transmitted to shareholders pursuant to Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 is as follows:

---

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT  
Morgan Stanley  
India Investment Fund, Inc.  
(IIF)

**Annual Report**

December 31, 2009

Morgan Stanley  
Investment Management Inc.  
Investment Adviser

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

Overview (unaudited)

## Letter to Stockholders

### Performance

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc. (the Fund) had total returns of 81.50%, based on net asset value, and 80.88% based on market value per share (including reinvestment of distributions), compared to its benchmark, the U.S. dollar adjusted Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) 100 Index (formerly known as the BSE National Index), which returned 93.73%. On December 31, 2009, the closing price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange was \$22.61, representing a 4.8% discount to the Fund's net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Please keep in mind that high double-digit returns are highly unusual and cannot be sustained.

### Factors Affecting Performance

- The Fund underperformed the BSE 100 over the 12 months ending December 31, 2009. Overall, both sector allocation and bottom-up stock selection detracted from performance.
- From a top-down perspective, the Fund's relative underweight exposure to health care, utilities and telecommunications services together with overweight sector positions in consumer discretionary and industrials contributed to performance. But the Fund's underweight bias to materials and energy, and overweight exposure to consumer staples hurt performance. Cash was also a drag on performance as the BSE 100 rose 93.7% over this period.
- On a bottom-up basis, stock selection in materials and consumer discretionary detracted from performance, but our active positions in consumer staples and information technology were positive contributors.

### Management Strategies

## Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

- The Indian economy, after being resilient through most of 2008 and 2009, showed signs of faster growth with an annual GDP growth number of 7.9% for the quarter ended September 2009. Other indicators such as industrial production have improved and the rate of decline in exports has declined. This, however, makes us slightly cautious in the near term, as normalizing in all these indicators would force the central bank to normalize interest rates as well. Though that in itself would not be a headwind in the long term, we fear that the markets will go through an air-pocket as this rate adjustment happens. High inflation, albeit largely supply-side driven, is also likely to make the markets uneasy.
- We go into 2010 with overweights in consumer staples, information technology and health care and continue to remain underweight materials and energy in the portfolio. We have increased the portfolio's overweight in industrials as we expect credit growth to pick up and a new capital spending cycle to begin this year.

Sincerely,

Randy Takian  
Director, President and Principal Executive Officer

January 2010

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Portfolio of Investments

	Shares	Value (000)
<b>COMMON STOCKS (95.2%)</b>		
<b>Auto Components (0.0%)</b>		
Apollo Tyres Ltd.	18,750	\$ 20
<b>Automobiles (4.5%)</b>		
Hero Honda Motors Ltd.	652,496	23,931
Patheja Forgings & Auto Parts Manufacturers Ltd. (a)(b)(c)	450,000	23,931
<b>Chemicals (0.1%)</b>		
ICI India Ltd.	25,000	348
<b>Commercial Banks (17.0%)</b>		
Bank of Baroda	846,893	9,262
HDFC Bank Ltd.	1,283,069	46,682
ICICI Bank Ltd.	712,600	13,287
IndusInd Bank Ltd.	3,308,700	10,173
Yes Bank Ltd. (c)	1,884,500	10,689
		90,093
<b>Construction &amp; Engineering (6.2%)</b>		
Gammon India Ltd.	2,017,300	9,767
Hindustan Construction Co.	4,443,700	13,862
Larsen & Toubro Ltd.	264,308	9,463
		33,092
<b>Containers &amp; Packaging (0.8%)</b>		
Ess Dee Aluminum Ltd.	549,519	4,410
<b>Diversified Financial Services (0.0%)</b>		
Indiabulls Financial Services Ltd.	54,009	145
<b>Electric Utilities (4.5%)</b>		
KSK Energy Ventures Ltd. (c)	2,948,000	12,685
NHPC Ltd. (c)	7,732,620	5,619
Torrent Power Ltd.	774,500	5,343
		23,647
<b>Electrical Equipment (3.9%)</b>		
Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd.	397,628	20,446
<b>Food Products (8.2%)</b>		
Balrampur Chini Mills Ltd.	2,277,000	6,437
McLeod Russel India Ltd.	1,447,000	8,097
Nestle India Ltd.	308,184	16,896
Shree Renuka Sugars Ltd.	2,565,200	12,181
		43,611
<b>Household Products (2.9%)</b>		
Godrej Consumer Products Ltd.	1,005,565	5,630
Hindustan Unilever Ltd.	1,718,219	9,719

		15,349
<b>Independent Power Producers &amp; Energy Traders (0.7%)</b>		
GVK Power & Infrastructure Ltd. (c)	3,648,415	3,617
<b>Industrial Conglomerates (2.7%)</b>		
Jaiprakash Associates Ltd.	4,643,850	14,520
<b>Information Technology Services (12.4%)</b>		
Infosys Technologies Ltd.	773,121	43,026
Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.	1,021,000	16,391
Wipro Ltd.	434,000	6,320
		65,737
<b>Machinery (4.2%)</b>		
Ashok Leyland Ltd.	5,849,784	6,194
Tata Motors Ltd.	943,000	15,943
		22,137
<b>Media (5.7%)</b>		
Deccan Chronicle Holdings Ltd.	4,252,924	15,034
Sun TV Network Ltd.	2,070,340	15,162
		30,196
<b>Metals &amp; Mining (5.0%)</b>		
Hindalco Industries Ltd.	3,680,450	12,554
Hindustan Zinc Ltd.	432,360	11,171
Tata Steel Ltd.	225,000	2,958
		26,683
<b>Oil, Gas &amp; Consumable Fuels (5.5%)</b>		
Hindustan Petroleum Corp. Ltd.	561,540	4,714
Reliance Industries Ltd.	1,046,510	24,351
		29,065
<b>Pharmaceuticals (6.9%)</b>		
Aurobindo Pharma Ltd.	452,755	8,863
Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd.	607,727	14,913
Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	2,195,100	12,988
		36,764
<b>Real Estate Management &amp; Development (0.9%)</b>		
Phoenix Mills Ltd.	1,112,831	4,717
<b>Tobacco (2.1%)</b>		
ITC Ltd.	2,037,700	10,911

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

3



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Portfolio of Investments (cont d)

	Shares	Value (000)
<b>Transportation Infrastructure (1.0%)</b>		
Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Ltd.	446,642	\$ 5,285
<b>TOTAL COMMON STOCKS</b> (Cost \$400,662)		504,724
<b>SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT (0.4%)</b>		
<b>Investment Company (0.4%)</b>		
Morgan Stanley Institutional		
 Liquidity Funds Money Market		
 Portfolio Institutional Class (d)		
(Cost \$2,296)	2,295,980	2,296
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENTS (95.6%)</b> (Cost \$402,958) (e)		507,020
<b>OTHER ASSETS IN EXCESS OF LIABILITIES (4.4%)</b>		23,095
<b>NET ASSETS (100%)</b>		\$530,115

(a) Security has been deemed illiquid at December 31, 2009.

(b) At December 31, 2009, the Fund held less than \$500 of fair valued securities, representing less than 0.05% of net assets. These securities have been fair valued as determined in good faith under procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Fund's Directors.

(c) Non-income producing security.

(d) See Note H within the Notes to Financial Statements regarding investment in Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Funds Money Market Portfolio Institutional Class.

(e) The approximate market value and percentage of total investments, \$504,724,000 and 99.5%, respectively, represent the securities that have been fair valued under the fair valuation policy for international investments as described in Note A-1 within the Notes to Financial Statements.

### Fair Value Measurement Information:

## Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

The following is a summary of the inputs used to value the Fund's net assets as of December 31, 2009. (See Note A-5 to the financial statements for further information regarding fair value measurement.)

<b>Investment Type</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
	Quoted prices (000)	Other significant observable inputs (000)	Significant unobservable inputs (000)	(000)
<b>Assets:</b>				
<b>Common Stocks</b>				
Auto Components	\$	\$ 20	\$	\$ 20
Automobiles		23,931	**	23,931
Chemicals		348		348
Commercial Banks		90,093		90,093
Construction & Engineering		33,092		33,092
Containers & Packaging		4,410		4,410
Diversified Financial Services		145		145
Electric Utilities		23,647		23,647
Electrical Equipment		20,446		20,446
Food Products		43,611		43,611
Household Products		15,349		15,349
Independent Power Producers & Energy Traders		3,617		3,617
Industrial Conglomerates		14,520		14,520
Information Technology Services		65,737		65,737
Machinery		22,137		22,137
Media		30,196		30,196
Metals & Mining		26,683		26,683
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels		29,065		29,065
Pharmaceuticals		36,764		36,764
Real Estate Management & Development		4,717		4,717

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Portfolio of Investments (cont d)

Investment Type	Level 1 Quoted prices (000)	Level 2 Other significant observable inputs (000)	Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs (000)	Total (000)
<b>Assets:</b>				
<b>Common Stocks (cont d)</b>				
Tobacco	\$	\$ 10,911	\$	\$ 10,911
Transportation Infrastructure		5,285		5,285
<b>Total Common Stocks</b>		<b>504,724</b>	**	<b>504,724</b>
<b>Short-Term Investments</b>				
Investment Company	2,296			2,296
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>2,296</b>	<b>504,724</b>	**	<b>507,020</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,296</b>	<b>\$ 504,724</b>	\$ **	<b>\$ 507,020</b>

The following is a reconciliation of investments in which significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were used in determining value:

	Common Stock
<b>Balance as of 12/31/08</b>	\$ **
Accrued discounts/premiums	
Realized gain (loss)	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	
Net purchases (sales)	
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3	
<b>Balance as of 12/31/09</b>	\$ **
The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets and liabilities still held at Level 3 at 12/31/09.	\$

\*\* Includes a security which is valued at zero.

## Portfolio Composition

<b>Classification</b>	<b>Percentage of Total Investments</b>
Commercial Banks	17.8%
Information Technology Services	13.0
Food Products	8.6
Pharmaceuticals	7.2
Construction & Engineering	6.5
Media	6.0
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	5.7
Metals & Mining	5.3
Other*	29.4
Short-Term Investment	0.5
Total Investments	100.0%

\* Industries representing less than 5% of total investments.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

5

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Financial Statements

### Statement of Assets and Liabilities

**December 31, 2009**  
**(000)**

**Assets:**

Investments in Securities of Unaffiliated Issuers, at Value (Cost \$400,662)	\$504,724
Investment in Security of Affiliated Issuer, at Value (Cost \$2,296)	2,296
Total Investments in Securities, at Value (Cost \$402,958)	507,020
Foreign Currency, at Value (Cost \$23,822)	23,951
Receivable for Investments Sold	1,070
Capital Gain Country Tax Receivable	248
Dividends Receivable	1
Receivable from Affiliate	@
Other Assets	11
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>532,301</b>

**Liabilities:**

Payable For:	
Investments Purchased	1,308
Investment Advisory Fees	489
Custodian Fees	129
Directors Fees and Expenses	124
Professional Fees	46
Administration Fees	17
Other Liabilities	73
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>2,186</b>

**Net Assets**

Applicable to 22,330,895 Issued and Outstanding \$0.01 Par Value Shares (100,000,000 Shares Authorized)	\$530,115
---	-----------

<b>Net Asset Value Per Share</b>	<b>\$ 23.74</b>
----------------------------------	-----------------

**Net Assets Consist of:**

Common Stock	\$ 223
Paid-in Capital	455,434
Accumulated Net Investment Loss	(106)
Accumulated Net Realized Loss	(29,199)
Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Investments and Foreign Currency Translations	103,763
<b>Net Assets</b>	<b>\$530,115</b>

@ Amount is less than \$500.

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Financial Statements (cont d)

	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009 (000)</b>
<b>Statement of Operations</b>	
<b>Investment Income:</b>	
Dividends from Securities of Unaffiliated Issuers	\$ 4,534
Dividends from Security of Affiliated Issuer	13
<b>Total Investment Income</b>	<b>4,547</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>	
Investment Advisory Fees (Note B)	4,132
Custodian Fees (Note D)	523
Administration Fees (Note C)	329
Professional Fees	270
Directors Fees and Expenses	157
Stockholder Reporting Expenses	64
Stockholder Servicing Agent Fees	12
Other Expenses	27
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<b>5,514</b>
Waiver of Administration Fees (Note C)	(184)
Rebate from Morgan Stanley Affiliates (Note H)	(8)
<b>Net Expenses</b>	<b>5,322</b>
<b>Net Investment Loss</b>	<b>(775)</b>
<b>Net Realized Gain (Loss) on:</b>	
Investments	15,312
Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts	(54)
Foreign Currency Transactions	543
<b>Net Realized Gain</b>	<b>15,801</b>
<b>Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:</b>	
Investments	207,153
Foreign Currency Translations	(104)
<b>Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)</b>	<b>207,049</b>
<b>Net Realized Gain (loss) and Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)</b>	<b>222,850</b>
<b>Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations</b>	<b>\$222,075</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

7

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Financial Statements (cont d)

	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2009 (000)</b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2008 (000)</b>
<b>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</b>		
<b>Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets</b>		
Operations:		
Net Investment Loss	\$ (775)	\$ (3,098)
Net Realized Gain (Loss)	15,801	(11,022)
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	207,049	(636,716)
<b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations</b>	<b>222,075</b>	<b>(650,836)</b>
Distributions from and/or in Excess of:		
Net Investment Income		(3,988)
Net Realized Gain		(198,905)
<b>Total Distributions</b>		<b>(202,893)</b>
Capital Share Transactions:		
Common Stock Issued Through Rights Offering (2,674,213 shares, net of expenses of \$275,000)	50,856	
Reinvestment of Distributions (90,570 and 56,548 shares)	1,163	1,420
Repurchase of Shares (0 and 2,000 shares)		(89)
<b>Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Capital Share Transactions</b>	<b>52,019</b>	<b>1,331</b>
<b>Total Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>274,094</b>	<b>(852,398)</b>
<b>Net Assets:</b>		
Beginning of Period	256,021	1,108,419
<b>End of Period (Including Accumulated Net Investment Loss of \$(106) and \$(166))</b>	<b>\$530,115</b>	<b>\$ 256,021</b>

8

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Financial Highlights

*Selected Per Share Data and Ratios*

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	
<b>Net Asset Value, Beginning of Period</b>	\$ 13.08	\$ 56.81	\$ 46.29	\$ 37.33	\$ 29.09	
Net Investment Income (Loss)	(0.04)	(0.16)	(0.18)	(0.06)	0.06	
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments	10.90	(33.18)	27.38	14.32	12.18	
Total from Investment Operations	10.86	(33.34)	27.20	14.26	12.24	
Distributions from and/or in excess of:						
Net Investment Income		(0.20)	(0.16)		(0.28)	
Net Realized Gain		(10.19)	(16.64)	(5.30)	(3.60)	
Total Distributions		(10.39)	(16.80)	(5.30)	(3.88)	
Dilutive Effect of Shares Issued through Rights Offering and Offering Costs	(0.20)				(0.12)	
Anti-Dilutive Effect of Share Repurchase Program		0.00	0.12			
<b>Net Asset Value, End of Period</b>	\$ 23.74	\$ 13.08	\$ 56.81	\$ 46.29	\$ 37.33	
<b>Per Share Market Value, End of Period</b>	\$ 22.61	\$ 12.50	\$ 54.89	\$ 50.82	\$ 37.35	
<b>TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN:</b>						
Market Value	80.88%	(64.72)%	45.29%	51.73%	32.57%	
Net Asset Value(1)	81.50%	(64.33)%	65.09%	38.28%	41.02%	
<b>RATIOS, SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</b>						
<b>Net Assets, End of Period (Thousands)</b>	\$530,115	\$256,021	\$1,108,419	\$920,926	\$740,050	
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets(2)	1.42%+	1.46%+	1.33%+	1.35%	1.38%	
Ratio of Net Investment Income (Loss) to Average Net Assets(2)	(0.21)%+	(0.51)%+	(0.33)%+	(0.13)%	0.17%	
Ratio of Rebate from Morgan Stanley Affiliates to Average Net Assets	0.00%§	0.00%§	0.00%§	N/A	N/A	
Portfolio Turnover Rate	91%	60%	60%	34%	32%	
<b>(2) Supplemental Information on the Ratios to Average Net Assets:</b>						
Ratios Before Expenses Waived by Administrator:						
Ratio of Expenses to Average Net Assets	1.47%+	1.51%+	1.39%+	1.40%	1.43%	
Ratio of Net Investment Income (Loss) to Average Net Assets	(0.26)%+	(0.56)%+	(0.39)%+	(0.18)%	0.12%	



Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

(1) Total investment return based on net asset value per share reflects the effects of changes in net asset value on the performance of the Fund during each period, and assumes dividends and distributions, if any, were reinvested. This percentage is not an indication of the performance of a stockholder's investment in the Fund based on market value due to differences between the market price of the stock and the net asset value per share of the Fund.

Per share amount is based on average shares outstanding.

Amount is less than \$0.005 per share.

§ Amount is less than 0.005%

+ The Ratios of Expenses and Net Investment Income reflect the rebate of certain Fund expenses in connection with the investments in Morgan Stanley affiliates during the period. The effect of the rebate on the ratios is disclosed in the above table as Ratio of Rebate from Morgan Stanley Affiliates to Average Net Assets .

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

9

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements

The Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc. (the Fund) was incorporated in Maryland on December 22, 1993, and is registered as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The Fund's investment objective is long-term capital appreciation through investments primarily in equity securities of Indian Issuers.

**A. Significant Accounting Policies:** The following significant accounting policies are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Such policies are consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

**1. Security Valuation:** Securities listed on a foreign exchange are valued at their closing price except as noted below. Unlisted securities and listed securities not traded on the valuation date for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the mean between the current bid and asked prices obtained from reputable brokers. Equity securities listed on a U.S. exchange are valued at the latest quoted sales price on the valuation date. Equity securities listed or traded on NASDAQ, for which market quotations are available, are valued at the NASDAQ Official Closing Price. Debt securities purchased with remaining maturities of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, unless the Board of Directors (the Directors) determine such valuation does not reflect the securities' market value, in which case these securities will be valued at their fair value as determined by the Directors.

All other securities and investments for which market values are not readily available, including restricted securities, and those securities for which it is inappropriate to determine prices in accordance with the aforementioned procedures, are valued at fair value as determined in good faith under procedures adopted by the Directors, although the actual calculations may be done by others. Factors considered in making this determination may include, but are not limited to, information obtained by contacting the issuer, analysts, or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), analysis of the issuer's financial statements or other available documents and, if necessary, available information concerning other securities in similar circumstances.

Most foreign markets close before the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). Occasionally, developments that could affect the closing prices of securities and other assets may occur between the times at which valuations of such securities are determined (that is, close of the foreign market on which the securities trade) and the close of business on the NYSE. If these developments are expected to materially affect the value of the securities, the valuations may be adjusted to reflect the estimated fair value as of the close of the NYSE, as determined in good faith under procedures established by the Directors.

**2. Foreign Currency Translation:** The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Amounts denominated in Indian rupees are translated into U.S. dollars at the mean of the bid and asked prices of such currency against U.S. dollars last quoted by a major bank as follows:

investments, other assets and liabilities at the prevailing rates of exchange on the valuation date;

investment transactions and investment income at the prevailing rates of exchange on the dates of such transactions.

Although the net assets of the Fund are presented at the foreign exchange rate and market values at the close of the period, the Fund does not isolate that portion of the results of operations arising as a result of changes in the foreign

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

exchange rate from the fluctuations arising from changes in the market prices of the securities held at period end. Similarly, the Fund does not isolate the effect of changes in the foreign exchange rate from the fluctuations arising from changes in the market prices of securities sold during the period. Accordingly, realized and unrealized foreign currency gains (losses) on investments in securities are included in the reported net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investment transactions and balances.

Net realized gains (losses) on foreign currency transactions represent net foreign exchange gains (losses) from sales and maturities of foreign currency exchange contracts, disposition of foreign currency, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amount of investment income and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized currency gains (losses) from valuing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities at period end exchange rates are reflected as a component of unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and foreign currency translations in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The change in unrealized currency gains (losses) on foreign currency translations for the period is reflected in the Statement of Operations.

A significant portion of the Fund's net assets consist of Indian securities which involve certain considerations and risks not typically associated with investments in the United States. In addition to its smaller size, less liquidity and greater volatility, the Indian securities market is less developed than the U.S. securities market and there is often substantially less publicly available information about Indian issuers than there is about U.S. issuers. Settlement mechanisms are also less developed and are accomplished, in certain cases, only through physical delivery, which may cause the Fund to experience delays or other difficulties in effecting transactions.

**3. Derivatives:** The Fund may use derivative instruments for a variety of purposes, including hedging, risk management, portfolio management or to earn income. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is based on the value of another underlying asset, interest rate, index or financial instrument. A derivative instrument often has risks similar to its underlying instrument and may have additional risks, including imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative and the underlying instrument, risks of default by the other party to certain transactions, magnification of losses incurred due to changes in the market value of the securities, instruments, indices or interest rates to which they relate, and risks that the transactions may not be liquid. The use of derivatives involves risks that are different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with other portfolio investments. Derivatives may involve the use of highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with other portfolio investments. All of the Fund's portfolio holdings, including derivative instruments, are marked to market each day with the change in value reflected in unrealized appreciation (depreciation). Upon disposition, a realized gain or loss is generally recognized.

Certain derivative transactions may give rise to a form of leverage. Leverage associated with derivative transactions may cause the Fund to liquidate portfolio positions when it may not be advantageous to do so to satisfy its obligations or to meet earmarking or segregation

requirements, pursuant to applicable SEC rules and regulations, or may cause the Fund to be more volatile than if the Fund had not been leveraged. Although the Investment Adviser

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

and/or Sub-Adviser seek to use derivatives to further the Fund's investment objectives, there is no assurance that the use of derivatives will achieve this result.

Following is a description of the derivative instruments and techniques that the Fund may use and their associated risks:

**Futures:** In respect to futures, the Fund is subject to equity risk, interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. A futures contract is a standardized agreement between two parties to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying instrument at a specific price at a specific future time. The value of a futures contract tends to increase and decrease in tandem with the value of the underlying instrument. Futures contracts are bilateral agreements, with both the purchaser and the seller equally obligated to complete the transaction. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, futures contracts are settled through either physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date. During the period the futures contract is open, payments are received from or made to the broker based upon changes in the value of the contract (the variation margin). The risk of loss associated with a futures contract is in excess of the variation margin reflected as part of "Due from (to) Broker" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. A decision as to whether, when and how to use futures involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well conceived futures transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. In addition to the derivatives risks discussed above, the prices of futures can be highly volatile, using futures can lower total return, and the potential loss from futures can exceed the Fund's initial investment in such contracts.

**P-Notes:** P-notes are participation interest notes that are issued by banks or broker-dealers and are designed to offer a return linked to a particular underlying equity, debt, currency or market. When the P-note matures, the issuer will pay to, or receive from, the purchaser the difference between the nominal value of the underlying instrument at the time of purchase and that instrument's value at maturity. Investments in P-notes involve the same risks associated with a direct investment in the underlying foreign companies or foreign securities markets that they seek to replicate. In addition, there can be no assurance that the trading price of P-notes will equal the underlying value of the foreign companies or foreign securities markets that they seek to replicate. There is also counterparty risk associated with these investments because the Fund is relying on the creditworthiness of such counterparty and has no rights under a participation note against the issuer of the underlying security.

**Foreign Currency Forward Contracts:** In connection with its investments in foreign securities, the Fund also may enter into contracts with banks, brokers or dealers to purchase or sell securities or foreign currencies at a future date ("forward contracts"). A foreign currency forward contract is a negotiated agreement between the contracting parties to exchange a specified amount of currency at a specified future time at a specified rate. The rate can be higher or lower than the spot rate between the currencies that are the subject of the contract. Forward foreign currency exchange contracts may be used to protect against uncertainty in the level of future foreign currency exchange rates or to gain or modify exposure to a particular currency. In addition, the Fund may use cross currency hedging or proxy hedging with respect to currencies in which the Fund has or expects to have portfolio or currency exposure. Cross currency hedges involve the sale of one currency against the

positive exposure to a different currency and may be used for hedging purposes or to establish an active exposure to the exchange rate between any two currencies. A currency

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

exchange contract is marked-to-market daily and the change in market value is recorded by the Fund as unrealized gain or loss. The Fund records realized gains (losses) when the contract is closed equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed. Hedging the Fund's currency risks involves the risk of mismatching the Fund's objectives under a forward or futures contract with the value of securities denominated in a particular currency. Furthermore, such transactions reduce or preclude the opportunity for gain if the value of the currency should move in the direction opposite to the position taken. There is an additional risk to the effect that currency contracts create exposure to currencies in which the Fund's securities are not denominated. Unanticipated changes in currency prices may result in poorer overall performance for the Fund than if it had not entered into such contracts.

**Over-the-Counter Trading:** Securities and other derivative instruments that may be purchased or sold by the Fund are expected to regularly consist of instruments not traded on an exchange. The risk of non-performance by the obligor on such an instrument may be greater, and the ease with which the Fund can dispose of or enter into closing transactions with respect to such an instrument may be less than in the case of an exchange-traded instrument. In addition, significant disparities may exist between bid and ask prices for derivative instruments that are not traded on an exchange. Derivative instruments not traded on exchanges are also not subject to the same type of government regulation as exchange traded instruments, and many of the protections afforded to participants in a regulated environment may not be available in connection with such transactions.

The Fund adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging: Overall (ASC 815) (formerly known as SFAS 161), effective December 31, 2008. ASC 815 is intended to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand how and why the Fund uses derivative instruments, how these derivative instruments are accounted for and their effects on the Fund's financial position and results of operations.

The following table set forth by primary risk exposure the Fund's realized gains (losses) by type of derivative contract for the year ended December 31, 2009 in accordance with ASC 815.

<b>Primary Risk Exposure</b>	<b>Realized Gain (Loss)</b>	<b>Derivative Type</b>	<b>Value (000)</b>
Foreign Currency		Foreign Currency	
Contracts Risk		Exchange Contracts	\$(54)
Total			\$(54)



All open derivative positions at period end are reflected on the Fund's Portfolio of Investments and the volume of these open positions relative to the net assets of the Fund is generally representative of open positions throughout the reporting period.

**4. Restricted Securities:** The Fund may invest in unregistered or otherwise restricted securities. The term restricted securities refers to securities that are unregistered or are held by control persons of the issuer and securities that are subject to contractual restrictions on their resale. As a result, restricted securities may be more difficult to value and the Fund may have difficulty disposing of such assets either in a timely manner or for a reasonable price. In order to dispose of an unregistered security, the Fund, where it has contractual rights to do so, may have to cause such security to be registered. A considerable period may elapse between the time the decision is made to sell the security and the time the security is registered so that the Fund

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

could sell it. Contractual restrictions on the resale of securities vary in length and scope and are generally the result of a negotiation between the issuer and acquirer of the securities. The Fund would, in either case, bear market risks during that period.

**5. Fair Value Measurement:** In accordance with FASB ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure (ASC 820) (formerly known as SFAS 157) fair value is defined as the price that the Fund would receive to sell an investment or pay to transfer a liability in a timely transaction with an independent buyer in the principal market, or in the absence of a principal market the most advantageous market for the investment or liability. ASC 820 establishes a three-tier hierarchy to distinguish between (1) inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in valuing an asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs) and (2) inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in valuing an asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs) and to establish classification of fair value measurements for disclosure purposes. Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments. The inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical securities
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

**6. Other:** Security transactions are accounted for on the date the securities are purchased or sold. Investments in new Indian securities are made by making applications in the public offerings. The issue price, or a portion thereof, is paid at the time of application and reflected as share application money on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Upon allotment of the securities, this amount plus any remaining amount of issue price is recorded as cost of investments. Realized gains (losses) on the sale of investment securities are determined on the specific identified cost basis. Interest income is recognized on an accrual basis. Dividend income and distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date (except certain

dividends which may be recorded as soon as the Fund is informed of such dividends) net of applicable withholding taxes, if any.

**B. Investment Advisory Fees:** Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. (the U.S. Adviser or MS Investment Management ) provides investment advisory services to the Fund under the terms of an Investment Advisory and Management Agreement (the Agreement ). Under the Agreement, the Adviser is paid a fee computed weekly and payable monthly at an annual rate of 1.10% of the Fund's average weekly net assets.

The U.S. Adviser has entered into Sub-Advisory Agreement with Morgan Stanley Investment Management Ltd. (the Sub-Adviser ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. The Sub-Adviser provides the Fund with investment advisory services subject to the overall supervision of the U.S. Adviser and the Fund's Officers and Directors. The Adviser pays the Sub-Adviser on a monthly basis a portion of the net advisory fees the U.S. Adviser receives from the Fund.

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

**C. Administration Fees:** MS Investment Management also serves as Administrator to the Fund pursuant to an Administration Agreement. Under the Administration Agreement, the administration fee is 0.08% of the Fund's average weekly net assets. MS Investment Management has agreed to limit the administration fee through a waiver so that it will be no greater than the previous administration fee of 0.02435% of the Fund's average weekly net assets plus \$24,000 per annum. This waiver is voluntary and may be terminated at any time. For the year ended December 31, 2009, approximately \$184,000 of administration fees were waived pursuant to this arrangement. Under a sub-administration agreement between the Administrator and JPMorgan Investor Services Co. ( JPMIS ), a corporate affiliate of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., JPMIS provides certain administrative services to the Fund. For such services, the Administrator pays JPMIS a portion of the fee the Administrator receives from the Fund. Administration costs (including out-of-pocket expenses) incurred in the ordinary course of providing services under the administration agreement, except pricing services and extraordinary expenses, are covered under the administration fee.

Multiconsult, Ltd., whose registered office is in Mauritius, provides sub-administrative services to the Fund, including maintaining certain Fund records and preparing certain periodic filings, under an agreement whereby Multiconsult is paid a fee of \$22,000 per annum.

**D. Custodian Fees:** JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the Custodian ) and its affiliates serve as Custodian for the Fund. The Custodian holds cash, securities, and other assets of the Fund as required by the 1940 Act. Custody fees are payable monthly based on assets held in custody, investment purchases and sales activity and account maintenance fees, plus reimbursement for certain out-of-pocket expenses.

The Fund has entered into an arrangement with its Custodian whereby credits realized on uninvested cash balances were used to offset a portion of the Fund's expenses. If applicable, these custodian credits are shown as Expense Offset in the Statement of Operations.

**E. Directors Fees and Expenses:** The Fund pays each of its Directors an annual fee of \$15,000. Effective June 15, 2009, each non-Mauritian Independent Director will receive a fee of \$10,000 for each meeting such Director attends in Mauritius.

**F. Federal Income Taxes:** It is the Fund's intention to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company and distribute all of its taxable income. Accordingly, no provision for Federal income taxes is required in the financial statements. Dividend income and distributions to stockholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

## Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Effective October 1, 2004 there is no capital gains tax in India for long-term investments in specified securities executed on a recognized stock exchange on which securities transaction tax is paid. The current rate of capital gains tax for short-term investments is 15.836% for transactions conducted through a recognized stock exchange and on which securities transaction tax is paid. The Fund invests in India through a registered branch office established in Mauritius and, as a result, obtains the benefits under the double taxation treaty between Mauritius and India ( Treaty ). To obtain benefits under the Treaty, the Fund must meet certain tests and conditions, including the establishment of Mauritius tax residence and related requirements. The Fund has obtained a tax residence certification from the Mauritian authorities and believes such certification is determinative of its resident status for Treaty purposes. A fund which is a tax resident in Mauritius under the Treaty but has no branch or permanent establishment in India will not be subject to capital gains tax in India on the sale of securities. The dividend income from Indian companies are exempt from Indian income

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

tax. The Fund currently is subject to and accrues Indian tax on interest earned on Indian securities at 21.115%. The Treaty benefits accorded to foreign investors were challenged by a non-governmental organization and the matter was litigated before India's Supreme Court (the highest court in India). In October 2003, India's Supreme Court upheld the validity of Treaty benefits accorded to foreign investors on the basis of a certificate of residence issued by Mauritian authorities (such as the one obtained by the Fund).

FASB ASC 740-10 Income Taxes Overall (formerly known as FIN48) sets forth a minimum threshold for financial statement recognition of the benefit of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Management has concluded there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. If applicable, the Fund recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in Interest Expense and penalties in Other expenses on the Statement of Operations. The Fund files tax returns with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, New York and various states. Generally, each of the tax years in the four year period ended December 31, 2009, remains subject to examination by taxing authorities.

The tax character of distributions paid may differ from the character of distributions shown on the Statements of Changes in Net Assets due to short-term capital gains being treated as ordinary income for tax purposes. The tax character of distributions paid during fiscal 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

<b>2009 Distributions</b>		<b>2008 Distributions</b>	
<b>Paid From:</b>		<b>Paid From:</b>	
<b>(000)</b>		<b>(000)</b>	
<b>Ordinary Income</b>	<b>Long-term Capital Gain</b>	<b>Ordinary Income</b>	<b>Long-term Capital Gain</b>
\$	\$	\$21,864	\$181,029

The amount and character of income and capital gain distributions to be paid by the Fund are determined in accordance with Federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The book/tax differences are considered either temporary or permanent in nature.

Temporary differences are generally due to differing book and tax treatments for the timing of the recognition of gains (losses) on certain investment transactions and the timing of the deductibility of certain expenses.

Permanent differences, primarily due to differing treatments of gains (losses) related to foreign currency transactions and net operating loss, resulted in the following reclassifications among the components of net assets at December 31, 2009:

Increase (Decrease)			
Undistributed (Distributions in Excess of) Net Investment Income (Loss) (000)		Accumulated Net Realized Gain (Loss) (000)	Paid-in Capital (000)
\$835		\$(489)	\$(346)

At December 31, 2009, the Fund had no distributable earnings on a tax basis.

At December 31, 2009, the U.S. Federal income tax cost basis of investments was approximately \$409,165,000 and, accordingly, net unrealized appreciation for U.S. Federal income tax purposes was \$97,855,000 of which \$110,790,000 related to appreciated securities and \$12,935,000 related to depreciated securities.

At December 31, 2009, the Fund had a capital loss carryforward for U.S. Federal income tax purposes of approximately \$22,992,000 to offset against future capital gains which will expire on December 31, 2017.

To the extent that capital loss carryforwards are used to offset any future capital gains realized during the carryover period as

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

provided by U.S. Federal income tax regulations, no capital gains tax liability will be incurred by the Fund for gains realized and not distributed. To the extent that capital gains are offset, such gains will not be distributed to the stockholders.

**G. Contractual Obligations:** The Fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Fund has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expects the risk of loss to be remote.

**H. Security Transactions and Transactions with Affiliates:** The Fund invests in the Institutional Class of the Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Funds Money Market Portfolio (the Liquidity Funds), an open-end management investment company managed by the Adviser. Investment Advisory fees paid by the Fund are reduced by an amount equal to its pro-rata share of advisory and administration fees paid by the Fund due to its investments in the Liquidity Funds. For the year ended December 31, 2009, advisory fees paid were reduced by approximately \$8,000 relating to the Fund's investment in the Liquidity Funds.

A summary of the Fund's transactions in shares of the Liquidity Funds during the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

<b>Market Value December 31, 2008 (000)</b>	<b>Purchases at Cost (000)</b>	<b>Sales Proceeds (000)</b>	<b>Dividend Income (000)</b>	<b>Market Value December 31, 2009 (000)</b>
\$35,812	\$47,524	\$81,040	\$13	\$2,296

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Fund made purchases and sales totaling approximately \$365,279,000 and \$323,535,000, respectively, of investment securities other than long-term U.S. Government securities and short-term investments. There were no purchases or sales of long-term U.S. Government securities.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Fund incurred approximately \$118,000 in brokerage commissions with Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, an affiliated broker/dealer.



**I. Other:** Future economic and political developments in India could adversely affect the liquidity or value, or both, of securities in which the Fund is invested. In addition, the Fund's ability to hedge its currency risk is limited and accordingly, the Fund may be exposed to currency devaluation and other exchange rate fluctuations.

On July 6, 2009, the Fund commenced a rights offering and issued to stockholders as of June 30, 2009 one right for each share of common stock held. The rights were not transferable and, consequently, were not listed on any exchange. Four rights entitled the stockholder to purchase one share of common stock at the subscription price. The rights entitled stockholders to subscribe for an aggregate of 4,914,170 shares of the Fund's common stock. In addition, the Fund had the option of issuing additional shares in an amount up to 25% of the shares that were available in the primary offering, or 1,228,542 shares, for an aggregate total of 6,142,712 shares. The offer expired on July 22, 2009. The Fund sold 2,674,213 shares at the subscription price per share of \$19.12 (representing the Fund's net asset value per share on the expiration date of the offer). The total proceeds of the rights offering were \$51,130,953 and the Fund incurred costs of approximately \$275,000.

On August 10, 1998, the Fund commenced a share repurchase program for purposes of enhancing stockholder value and reducing the discount at which the Fund's shares trade from their net asset value. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Fund did not repurchase any of its shares. Since the inception of the program, the Fund has repurchased 8,941,882 of its shares at an average discount of 26.84% from net asset value per share. The Fund expects to continue to repurchase its outstanding shares at such time and in such amounts as it believes will further the accomplishment of the foregoing objectives, subject to review by the Directors.

**J. Supplemental Proxy Information (unaudited):** On June 17, 2009, an annual meeting of the Fund's stockholders was

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

held for the purpose of voting on the following matter, the results of which were as follows:

Election of Directors by all stockholders:

	<b>For</b>	<b>Withheld</b>
Gaetan Bouic	14,204,512	429,749
M.J. Marcel Vivian Descroizilles	14,246,423	387,838
Ravindranath Santosh Kumar Hazareesing	14,238,105	396,156
Joseph J. Kearns	14,220,301	413,960
Randy Takian	14,239,279	394,982

**K. Subsequent Events:** In accordance with the provisions set forth in FASB ASC 855 Subsequent Events (formerly known as SFAS 165), adopted by the Fund as of June 30, 2009, management has evaluated the possibility of subsequent events existing in the Fund's financial statements through February 23, 2010.

On January 8, 2010, the Directors of the Fund approved the conversion for Fund Accounting, Custody, Fund Administration and Securities Lending services from JPMorgan Investor Services Co. to State Street Bank and Trust Company. The conversion is expected to be completed in or about the second quarter of 2010.

### Federal Income Tax Information (unaudited)

For Federal income tax purposes, the following information is furnished with respect to the Fund's earnings for its taxable year ended December 31, 2009.

For non-U.S. residents, the Fund may designate up to a maximum of approximately \$11,000 as interest-related dividends.

In January, the Fund provides tax information to stockholders for the preceding year.

18

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Notes to Financial Statements (cont d)

### **For More Information About Portfolio Holdings (unaudited)**

The Fund provides a complete schedule of portfolio holdings in its semi-annual and annual reports within 60 days of the end of the Fund's second and fourth fiscal quarters. The semi-annual reports and the annual reports are filed electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form N-CSRS and Form N-CSR, respectively. Morgan Stanley also delivers the semi-annual and annual reports to Fund stockholders and makes these reports available on its public website, [www.morganstanley.com/im](http://www.morganstanley.com/im). Each Morgan Stanley fund also files a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the Fund's first and third fiscal quarters on Form N-Q. Morgan Stanley does not deliver the reports for the first and third fiscal quarters to stockholders, nor are the reports posted to the Morgan Stanley public website. You may, however, obtain the Form N-Q filings (as well as the Form N-CSR and N-CSRS filings) by accessing the SEC's website, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). You may also review and copy them at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC toll free at 1-(800) SEC-0330. You can also request copies of these materials, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the SEC's e-mail address ([publicinfo@sec.gov](mailto:publicinfo@sec.gov)) or by writing the public reference section of the SEC, Washington, DC 20549-0102.

In addition to filing a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC each fiscal quarter, the Fund makes portfolio holdings information available by periodically providing the information on its public website, [www.morganstanley.com/im](http://www.morganstanley.com/im).

The Fund provides a complete schedule of portfolio holdings on the public website on a calendar-quarter basis approximately 31 calendar days after the close of the calendar quarter. The Fund also provides Top 10 holdings information on the public website approximately 15 business days following the end of each month. You may obtain copies of the Fund's monthly or calendar-quarter website postings, by calling toll free 1-(800) 231-2608.

### **Proxy Voting Policy and Procedures and Proxy Voting Record (unaudited)**

A copy of (1) the Fund's policies and procedures with respect to the voting of proxies relating to the Fund's portfolio securities; and (2) how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, is available without charge, upon request, by calling toll free 1-(800) 548-7786 or by visiting our website at [www.morganstanley.com/im](http://www.morganstanley.com/im). This information is also available on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

**To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of  
Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.**

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc. (the Fund ), including the portfolio of investments, as of December 31, 2009, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of December 31, 2009, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc. at December 31, 2009, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Boston, Massachusetts

February 23, 2010

20

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Portfolio Management

The Fund is managed within the Emerging Markets Equity team. The team consists of portfolio managers and analysts. Current members of the team jointly and primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's Portfolio are James Cheng, a Managing Director of the Sub-Adviser, and Ruchir Sharma, a Managing Director of the U.S. Adviser.

Mr. Cheng has been associated with the Sub-Adviser in an investment management capacity since July 2006 and began managing the Fund in February 2009. Prior to July 2006, Mr. Cheng worked in an investment management capacity at Invesco Asia Limited, Asia Strategic Investment Management Limited and Munich Re Asia Capital Management. Mr. Sharma has been associated with the U.S. Adviser in an investment management capacity since 1996 and began managing the Fund in January 2001.



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Revised Investment Policy

The Fund has amended and restated its policy on derivatives to permit it to invest in the derivative investments discussed below.

The Fund may use derivative instruments for a variety of purposes, including hedging, risk management, portfolio management or to earn income. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is based on the value of another underlying asset, interest rate, index or financial instrument. A derivative instrument often has risks similar to its underlying instrument and may have additional risks, including imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative and the underlying instrument, risks of default by the other party to certain transactions, magnification of losses incurred due to changes in the market value of the securities, instruments, indices or interest rates to which they relate, and risks that the transactions may not be liquid. The use of derivatives involves risks that are different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with other portfolio investments. Derivatives may involve the use of highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with other portfolio investments. Certain derivative transactions may give rise to a form of leverage. Leverage associated with derivative transactions may cause the Fund to liquidate portfolio positions when it may not be advantageous to do so to satisfy its obligations or to meet earmarking or segregation requirements, pursuant to applicable SEC rules and regulations, or may cause the Fund to be more volatile than if the Fund had not been leveraged. Although the Investment Adviser and/or Sub-Adviser seek to use derivatives to further the Fund's investment objective, there is no assurance that the use of derivatives will achieve this result.

Following is a description of the derivative instruments and techniques that the Fund may use and their associated risks:

*Futures.* A futures contract is a standardized agreement between two parties to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying instrument at a specific price at a specific future time. The value of a futures contract tends to increase and decrease in tandem with the value of the underlying instrument. Futures contracts are bilateral agreements, with both the purchaser and the seller equally obligated to complete the transaction. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, futures contracts are settled through either physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date. A decision as to whether, when and how to use futures involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well conceived futures transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. In addition to the derivatives risks discussed above, the prices of futures can be highly volatile, using futures can lower total return, and the potential loss from futures can exceed the Fund's initial investment in such contracts.

*P-Notes.* P-notes are participation interest notes that are issued by banks or broker-dealers and are designed to offer a return linked to a particular underlying equity, debt, currency or market. When the P-note matures, the issuer will pay to, or receive from, the purchaser the difference between the nominal value of the underlying instrument at the time of purchase and that instrument's value at maturity. Investments in P-notes involve the same risks associated with a direct investment in the underlying foreign companies or foreign securities markets that they seek to replicate. In addition, there can be no assurance that the trading price of P-notes will equal the underlying value of the foreign companies or foreign securities markets that they seek to replicate. There is also counterparty risk associated with these investments because the Fund is relying on the creditworthiness of such counterparty and has no rights under a participation note against the issuer of the underlying security.



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Revised Investment Policy (cont d)

*Foreign Currency Forward Contracts.* In connection with its investments in foreign securities, the Fund also may enter into contracts with banks, brokers or dealers to purchase or sell securities or foreign currencies at a future date ( forward contracts ). A foreign currency forward contract is a negotiated agreement between the contracting parties to exchange a specified amount of currency at a specified future time at a specified rate. The rate can be higher or lower than the spot rate between the currencies that are the subject of the contract. Forward foreign currency exchange contracts may be used to protect against uncertainty in the level of future foreign currency exchange rates or to gain or modify exposure to a particular currency. In addition, the Fund may use cross currency hedging or proxy hedging with respect to currencies in which the Fund has or expects to have portfolio or currency exposure. Cross currency hedges involve the sale of one currency against the positive exposure to a different currency and may be used for hedging purposes or to establish an active exposure to the exchange rate between any two currencies. Hedging the Fund's currency risks involves the risk of mismatching the Fund's objectives under a forward or futures contract with the value of securities denominated in a particular currency. Furthermore, such transactions reduce or preclude the opportunity for gain if the value of the currency should move in the direction opposite to the position taken. There is an additional risk to the effect that currency contracts create exposure to currencies in which the Fund's securities are not denominated. Unanticipated changes in currency prices may result in poorer overall performance for the Fund than if it had not entered into such contracts.

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan

Pursuant to the Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the Plan), each stockholder will be deemed to have elected, unless Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the Plan Agent) is otherwise instructed by the stockholder in writing, to have all distributions automatically reinvested in Fund shares. Participants in the Plan have the option of making additional voluntary cash payments to the Plan Agent, annually, in any amount from \$100 to \$3,000, for investment in Fund shares.

Dividend and capital gain distributions (Distributions) will be reinvested on the reinvestment date in full and fractional shares. If the market price per share equals or exceeds net asset value per share on the reinvestment date, the Fund will issue shares to participants at net asset value or, if net asset value is less than 95% of the market price on the reinvestment date, shares will be issued at 95% of the market price. If net asset value exceeds the market price on the reinvestment date, participants will receive shares valued at market price. The Fund may purchase shares of its Common Stock in the open market in connection with dividend reinvestment requirements at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Should the Fund declare a Distribution payable only in cash, the Plan Agent will purchase Fund shares for participants in the open market as agent for the participants.

The Plan Agent's fees for the reinvestment of a Distribution will be paid by the Fund. However, each participant's account will be charged a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred on any open market purchases effected on such participant's behalf. A participant will also pay brokerage commissions incurred on purchases made by voluntary cash payments. Although stockholders in the Plan may receive no cash distributions, participation in the Plan will not relieve participants of any income tax which may be payable on such dividends or distributions.

In the case of stockholders, such as banks, brokers or nominees, that hold shares for others who are the beneficial owners, the Plan Agent will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of shares certified from time to time by the stockholder as representing the total amount registered in the stockholder's name and held for the account of beneficial owners who are participating in the Plan.

Stockholders who do not wish to have distributions automatically reinvested should notify the Plan Agent in writing. There is no penalty for non-participation or withdrawal from the Plan, and stockholders who have previously withdrawn from the Plan may rejoin at any time. Requests for additional information or any correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at:

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.  
Computershare Trust Company, N.A.  
P.O. Box 43078  
Providence, Rhode Island 02940-3078

1-(800) 231-2608

24

---

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## U.S. Privacy Policy

### **An Important Notice Concerning Our U.S. Privacy Policy**

We are required by federal law to provide you with a copy of our privacy policy ( Policy ) annually.

This Policy applies to current and former individual clients of certain Morgan Stanley closed-end funds and related companies.

This Policy is not applicable to partnerships, corporations, trusts or other non-individual clients or account holders, nor is this Policy applicable to individuals who are either beneficiaries of a trust for which we serve as trustee or participants in an employee benefit plan administered or advised by us. This Policy is, however, applicable to individuals who select us to be a custodian of securities or assets in individual retirement accounts, 401(k) accounts, 529 Educational Savings Accounts, accounts subject to the Uniform Gifts to Minors Act, or similar accounts. We may amend this Policy at any time, and will inform you of any changes to this Policy as required by law.

### **We Respect Your Privacy**

We appreciate that you have provided us with your personal financial information and understand your concerns about safeguarding such information. We strive to maintain the privacy of such information while we help you achieve your financial objectives. This Policy describes what nonpublic personal information we collect about you, how we collect it, when we may share it with others, and how others may use it. It discusses the steps you may take to limit our sharing of information about you with affiliated Morgan Stanley companies ( affiliated companies ). It also discloses how you may limit our affiliates' use of shared information for marketing purposes. Throughout this Policy, we refer to the nonpublic information that personally identifies you or your accounts as personal information.

#### **1. What Personal Information Do We Collect About You?**

To better serve you and manage our business, it is important that we collect and maintain accurate information about you. We obtain this information from applications and other forms you submit to us, from your dealings with us, from consumer reporting agencies, from our websites and from third parties and other sources. For example:

- We collect information such as your name, address, e-mail address, telephone/fax numbers, assets, income and investment objectives through application forms you submit to us.

- We may obtain information about account balances, your use of account(s) and the types of products and services you prefer to receive from us through your dealings and transactions with us and other sources.
- We may obtain information about your creditworthiness and credit history from consumer reporting agencies.
- We may collect background information from and through third-party vendors to verify representations you have made and to comply with various regulatory requirements.
- If you interact with us through our public and private Web sites, we may collect information that you provide directly through online communications (such as an e-mail address). We may also collect information about your Internet service provider, your

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## U.S. Privacy Policy (cont'd)

domain name, your computer's operating system and Web browser, your use of our Web sites and your product and service preferences, through the use of cookies. Cookies recognize your computer each time you return to one of our sites, and help to improve our sites' content and personalize your experience on our sites by, for example, suggesting offerings that may interest you. Please consult the Terms of Use of these sites for more details on our use of cookies.

### 2. When Do We Disclose Personal Information We Collect About You?

To provide you with the products and services you request, to better serve you, to manage our business and as otherwise required or permitted by law, we may disclose personal information we collect about you to other affiliated companies and to nonaffiliated third parties.

**A. Information We Disclose to Our Affiliated Companies.** In order to manage your account(s) effectively, including servicing and processing your transactions, to let you know about products and services offered by us and affiliated companies, to manage our business, and as otherwise required or permitted by law, we may disclose personal information about you to other affiliated companies. Offers for products and services from affiliated companies are developed under conditions designed to safeguard your personal information.

**B. Information We Disclose to Third Parties.** We do not disclose personal information that we collect about you to nonaffiliated third parties except to enable them to provide marketing services on our behalf, to perform joint marketing agreements with other financial institutions, and as otherwise required or permitted by law. For example, some instances where we may disclose information about you to third parties include: for servicing and processing transactions, to offer our own products and services, to protect against fraud, for institutional risk control, to respond to judicial process or to perform services on our behalf. When we share personal information with a nonaffiliated third party, they are required to limit their use of personal information about you to the particular purpose for which it was shared and they are not allowed to share personal information about you with others except to fulfill that limited purpose or as may be required by law.

### 3. How Do We Protect the Security and Confidentiality of Personal Information We Collect About You?

We maintain physical, electronic and procedural security measures to help safeguard the personal information we collect about you. We have internal policies governing the proper handling of client information. Third parties that provide support or marketing services on our behalf may also receive personal information about you, and we require them to adhere to confidentiality standards with respect to such information.



**4. How Can You Limit Our Sharing of Certain Personal Information About You With Our Affiliated Companies for Eligibility Determination?**

We respect your privacy and offer you choices as to whether we share with our affiliated companies personal information that was collected to determine your eligibility for products and services such as credit reports and other information that you have provided to us or that we may obtain from third parties ( eligibility information ). Please note that, even if you direct us not to share certain eligibility information with our affiliated companies, we may still share your personal information, including eligibility information, with those companies under circumstances that are permitted under applicable law, such as to process transactions or to service your

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## U.S. Privacy Policy (cont d)

account. We may also share certain other types of personal information with affiliated companies such as your name, address, telephone number, e-mail address and account number(s), and information about your transactions and experiences with us.

### **5. How Can You Limit the Use of Certain Personal Information About You by Our Affiliated Companies for Marketing?**

You may limit our affiliated companies from using certain personal information about you that we may share with them for marketing their products or services to you. This information includes our transactions and other experiences with you such as your assets and account history. Please note that, even if you choose to limit our affiliated companies from using certain personal information about you that we may share with them for marketing their products and services to you, we may still share such personal information about you with them, including our transactions and experiences with you, for other purposes as permitted under applicable law.

### **6. How Can You Send Us an Opt-Out Instruction?**

If you wish to limit our sharing of certain personal information about you with our affiliated companies for eligibility purposes and for our affiliated companies use in marketing products and services to you as described in this notice, you may do so by:

- Calling us at (800) 231-2608  
Monday - Friday between 9a.m. and 6p.m. (EST)
- Writing to us at the following address:

Morgan Stanley Closed-End Privacy Department  
Harborside Financial Center, Plaza Two, 3rd Floor  
Jersey City, NJ 07311

If you choose to write to us, your written request should include: your name, address, telephone number and account number(s) to which the opt-out applies and should not be sent with any other correspondence. In order to process your request, we require that the request be provided by you directly and not through a third party. Once you have informed us about your privacy preferences, your opt-out preference will remain in

## Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

effect with respect to this Policy (as it may be amended) until you notify us otherwise. If you are a joint account owner, we will accept instructions from any one of you and apply those instructions to the entire account. Please allow approximately 30 days from our receipt of your opt-out for your instructions to become effective.

Please understand that if you opt-out, you and any joint account holders may not receive certain Morgan Stanley or our affiliated companies products and services that could help you manage your financial resources and achieve your investment objectives.

If you have more than one account with us or our affiliates, you may receive multiple privacy policies from us, and would need to follow the directions stated in each particular policy for each account you have with us.

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## U.S. Privacy Policy (cont d)

### **SPECIAL NOTICE TO RESIDENTS OF VERMONT**

**This section supplements our Policy with respect to our individual clients who have a Vermont address and supersedes anything to the contrary in the above Policy with respect to those clients only.**

The State of Vermont requires financial institutions to obtain your consent prior to sharing personal information that they collect about you with affiliated companies and nonaffiliated third parties other than in certain limited circumstances. Except as permitted by law, we will not share personal information we collect about you with nonaffiliated third parties or other affiliated companies unless you provide us with your written consent to share such information ( opt-in ).

If you wish to receive offers for investment products and services offered by or through other affiliated companies, please notify us in writing at the following address:

Morgan Stanley Closed-End Privacy Department  
Harborside Financial Center, Plaza Two, 3rd Floor  
Jersey City, NJ 07311

Your authorization should include: your name, address, telephone number and account number(s) to which the opt-in applies and should not be sent with any other correspondence. In order to process your authorization, we require that the authorization be provided by you directly and not through a third-party.

©2010 Morgan Stanley

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Director and Officer Information

### Independent Directors:

Name, Age and Address of Independent Director	Position(s) Held with Registrant	Length of Time Served*	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Independent Director**	Other Directorships Held by Directors***
Gaetan Bouic (75) Poste Lafayette, Poste de Flacq, Mauritius	Director	Since 2001	Non-executive independent director of a number of private companies registered in Mauritius.	1	Formerly, independent director of the following public companies: Harel Mallac & Co. Ltd., Harel Freres Ltd., Mauritian Eagle Insurance Co. Ltd. and Mauritian Eagle Leasing Co. Ltd.
M.J. Marcel Vivian Descroizilles (61) Coast Rd, Pointe-aux-Canonniers, Mauritius	Director	Since 2006	Business Consultant since 2006; formerly, Managing Director of Société du Port (May-November 2006); Consultant, Total Outre Mer SA Paris (January-May 2006); Managing Director and General Manager of Esso Mauritius Ltd., a wholly-owned affiliate of ExxonMobil Corp. (February 1996 - December 2005).	1	Independent director of a number of companies in Mauritius, including publicly quoted Rogers & Co. Ltd.
Joseph J. Kearns (67) c/o Kearns & Associates LLC PMB754 23852 Pacific Coast Highway Malibu, CA 90265	Director	Since August 1994	President, Kearns & Associates LLC (investment consulting); Chairperson of the Audit Committee (since October 2006) and Director or Trustee of the Retail Funds (since July 2003) and Institutional Funds (since August 1994); formerly Deputy Chairperson of the Audit Committee (July 2003-September 2006) and Chairperson of the Audit Committee of the Institutional Funds (October 2001- July 2003); CFO of the J. Paul Getty Trust.	165	Director of Electro Rent Corporation (equipment leasing) and The Ford Family Foundation.
Ravindranath Santosh Kumar Hazareesing (60) Morcellement St Andrews, Rose Hill, Mauritius	Director	Since 2003	Self-employed Management Consultant.	1	None.
Fergus Reid (77) c/o Joe Pietryka, Inc. 85 Charles Coleman Blvd.	Director	Since June 1992	Chairman, Joe Pietryka, Inc.; Chairperson of the Governance Committee and Director or Trustee of the Retail Funds (since July 2003) and	165	Trustee and Director of certain investment companies in the JPMorgan

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Pawling, NY 12564

Institutional Funds (since June 1992).

Funds complex managed by  
JP Morgan Investment  
Management Inc

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Director and Officer Information (cont d)

Interested Director:

Name, Age and Address of Interested Director	Position(s) Held with Registrant and Principal Executive Officer	Term of Office and Length of Time Served* Since September 2008	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Interested Director**	Other Directorships Held by Interested Director***
Randy Takian (35) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	President and Principal Executive Officer	September 2008	President and Principal Executive Officer (since September 2008) of funds in the Fund Complex; President and Chief Executive Officer of Morgan Stanley Services Company Inc. (since September 2008). President of Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. (since July 2008). Head of the Retail and Intermediary business within Morgan Stanley Investment Management Head of Liquidity and Bank Trust business (since July 2008) and the Latin American franchise (since July 2008) at Morgan Stanley Investment Management. Managing Director, Director and/or Officer of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser. Formerly, Head of Strategy and Product Development for the Alternatives Group and Senior Loan Investment Management. Formerly with Bank of America (July 1996-March 2006), most recently as Head of the Strategy, Mergers and Acquisitions team for Global Wealth and Investment Management.	1	None.

\* This is the earliest date the Director began serving the Retail Funds or Institutional Funds. Each Director serves an indefinite term, until his or her successor is elected.

\*\* The Fund Complex includes all funds advised by Morgan Stanley Investment Management that have an investment advisor that is an affiliated entity of MSIM (including but not limited to, Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. ( MSIA ) and Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP). The Retail Funds are those funds advised by MSIA. The Institutional Funds are certain U.S. registered funds advised by MS

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Investment Management and Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP.

\*\*\* This includes any directorships at public companies and registered investment companies held by the Directors at any time during the past five years.

30

---



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Director and Officer Information (cont d)

### Executive Officers:

Name, Age and Address of Executive Officer	Position(s) Held with Registrant	Term of Office and Length of Time Served*	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years
Randy Takian (35) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	President and Principal Executive Officer	Since September 2008	President and Principal Executive Officer (since September 2008) of funds in the Fund Complex; President and Chief Executive Officer of Morgan Stanley Services Company Inc. (since September 2008). President of Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. (since July 2008). Head of the Retail and Intermediary business within Morgan Stanley Investment Management (since July 2008). Head of Liquidity and Bank Trust business (since July 2008) and the Latin American franchise (since July 2008) at Morgan Stanley Investment Management. Managing Director, Director and/or Officer of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser. Formerly, Head of Strategy and Product Development for the Alternatives Group and Senior Loan Investment Management. Formerly with Bank of America (July 1996-March 2006), most recently as Head of the Strategy, Mergers and Acquisitions team for Global Wealth and Investment Management.
Kevin Klingert (47) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Vice President	Since June 2008	Head, Chief Operating Officer and acting Chief Investment Officer of the Global Fixed Income Group of the Adviser and Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. (since April 2008). Head of Global Liquidity Portfolio Management and co-Head of Liquidity Credit Research of Morgan Stanley Investment Management (since December 2007). Managing Director of the Adviser and Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. (since December 2007). Previously, Managing Director on the Management Committee and head of Municipal Portfolio Management and Liquidity at BlackRock (October 1991 to January 2007).
Carsten Otto (46) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Chief Compliance Officer	Since October 2004	Managing Director and Global Head of Compliance for Morgan Stanley Investment Management (since April 2007) and Chief Compliance Officer of the Retail Funds and Institutional Funds (since October 2004). Formerly, U.S. Director of Compliance (October 2004 - April 2007) and Assistant Secretary and Assistant General Counsel of the Retail Funds.
Stefanie V. Chang Yu (43) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Vice President	Since December 1997	Managing Director and Secretary of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Vice President of the Retail Funds (since July 2002) and Institutional Funds (since December 1997).



Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

## Director and Officer Information (cont d)

Executive Officers (cont d):

Name, Age and Address of Executive Officer	Position(s) Held with Registrant	Term of Office and Length of Time Served*	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years
Mary E. Mullin (42) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Secretary	Since June 1999	Executive Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Secretary of the Retail Funds (since July 2003) and Institutional Funds (since June 1999).
James W. Garrett (41) Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer	Treasurer since February 2002 and Chief Financial Officer since July 2003	Head of Global Fund Administration for Morgan Stanley Investment Management; Managing Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of the Institutional Funds.

\* This is the earliest date the Officer began serving the Retail Funds or Institutional Funds. Each Officer serves an indefinite term, until his or her successor is elected.

In accordance with Section 303A. 12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual, the Fund's Annual CEO Certification certifying as to compliance with NYSE's Corporate Governance Listing Standards was submitted to the Exchange on July 14, 2009.

The Fund's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Certifications required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 were filed with the Fund's N-CSR and are available on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

**Directors**

Gaetan Bouic

M.J. Marcel Vivian  
Descroizilles

Joseph J. Kearns

Ravindranath Santosh  
Kumar Hazareesing

Fergus Reid

Randy Takian  
*Director, President and Principal Executive Officer*

**Officers**

Kevin Klingert  
*Vice President*

Stefanie V. Chang Yu  
*Vice President*

James W. Garrett  
*Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer*

Carsten Otto  
*Chief Compliance Officer*

Mary E. Mullin  
*Secretary*

**Investment Adviser and Administrator**

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

522 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

**Custodian**

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

270 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10017

**Stockholder Servicing Agent**

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

250 Royall Street

Canton, Massachusetts 02021

**Legal Counsel**

Dechert LLP

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

**Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Ernst & Young LLP

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

For additional Fund information, including the Fund's net asset value per share and information regarding the investments comprising the Fund's portfolio, please call toll free 1(800) 231-2608 or visit our website at [www.morganstanley.com/im](http://www.morganstanley.com/im). All investments involve risks, including the possible loss of principal.

© 2010 Morgan Stanley

CEIIFANN IU10-00513P-Y12/09

---

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

(a) The Fund has adopted a code of ethics (the Code of Ethics ) that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the Fund or a third party.

(b) No information need be disclosed pursuant to this paragraph.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

(e) Not applicable.

(f)

(1) The Fund's Code of Ethics is attached hereto as Exhibit 12 A.

(2) Not applicable.

(3) Not applicable.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

The Fund's Board of Trustees has determined that Joseph J. Kearns, an independent Trustee, is an audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee. Under applicable securities laws, a person who is determined to be an audit committee financial expert will not be deemed an expert for any purpose, including without limitation for the purposes of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as a result of being designated or identified as an audit committee financial expert. The designation or identification of a person as an audit committee financial expert does not impose on such person any duties, obligations, or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations, and liabilities imposed on such person as a member of the audit committee and Board of Trustees in the absence of such designation or identification.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a)(b)(c)(d) and (g). Based on fees billed for the periods shown:

2009

	Registrant	Covered Entities(1)
<b>Audit Fees</b>	\$ 106,900	N/A
<b>Non-Audit Fees</b>		
<b>Audit-Related Fees</b>		
<b>Tax Fees</b>	\$ 3,380(3)	\$ 109,924(4)
<b>All Other Fees</b>		\$ 208,088(5)
<b>Total Non-Audit Fees</b>	\$ 3,380	\$ 318,012
<b>Total</b>	\$ 110,280	\$ 318,012

2008

	Registrant	Covered Entities(1)
<b>Audit Fees</b>	\$ 106,900	N/A
<b>Non-Audit Fees</b>		
<b>Audit-Related Fees</b>		
<b>Tax Fees</b>	\$ 3,380(3)	\$ 742,276(2)
<b>All Other Fees</b>		\$ 246,887(5)
<b>Total Non-Audit Fees</b>	\$ 3,380	\$ 1,088,685
<b>Total</b>	\$ 110,280	\$ 1,088,685

N/A- Not applicable, as not required by Item 4.

(1) Covered Entities include the Adviser (excluding sub-advisors) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Adviser that provides ongoing services to the Registrant.

(2) Audit-Related Fees represent assurance and related services provided that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the financial statements of the Covered Entities and funds advised by the Adviser or its affiliates, specifically attestation services provided in connection with a SAS 70 Report and advisory consulting work.

(3) Tax Fees represent tax advice and compliance services provided in connection with the review of the Registrant's tax returns.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

(4) Tax Fees represent tax advice services provided to Covered Entities, including research and identification of PFIC entities.

(5) All Other Fees represent attestation services provided in connection with performance presentation standards and a compliance review project performed

---



(e)(1) The audit committee's pre-approval policies and procedures are as follows:

**APPENDIX A**

**AUDIT COMMITTEE**  
**AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES**  
**PRE-APPROVAL POLICY AND PROCEDURES**  
**OF THE**  
**MORGAN STANLEY RETAIL AND INSTITUTIONAL FUNDS**  
  
**AS ADOPTED AND AMENDED JULY 23, 2004,(1)**

**1. Statement of Principles**

The Audit Committee of the Board is required to review and, in its sole discretion, pre-approve all Covered Services to be provided by the Independent Auditors to the Fund and Covered Entities in order to assure that services performed by the Independent Auditors do not impair the auditor's independence from the Fund.

The SEC has issued rules specifying the types of services that an independent auditor may not provide to its audit client, as well as the audit committee's administration of the engagement of the independent auditor. The SEC's rules establish two different approaches to pre-approving services, which the SEC considers to be equally valid. Proposed services either: may be pre-approved without consideration of specific case-by-case services by the Audit Committee (general pre-approval); or require the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee or its delegate (specific pre-approval). The Audit Committee believes that the combination of these two approaches in this Policy will result in an effective and efficient procedure to pre-approve services performed by the Independent Auditors. As set forth in this Policy, unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval authority has been delegated) if it is to be provided by the Independent Auditors. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts will also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee.

The appendices to this Policy describe the Audit, Audit-related, Tax and All Other services that have the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The term of any general pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee considers and provides a different period and states otherwise. The Audit Committee will annually review and pre-approve the services that may be provided by the Independent Auditors without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit

(1) This Audit Committee Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures (the Policy ), adopted as of the date above, supersedes and replaces all prior versions that may have been adopted from time to time.

---

Committee. The Audit Committee will add to or subtract from the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations.

The purpose of this Policy is to set forth the policy and procedures by which the Audit Committee intends to fulfill its responsibilities. It does not delegate the Audit Committee's responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the Independent Auditors to management.

The Fund's Independent Auditors have reviewed this Policy and believes that implementation of the Policy will not adversely affect the Independent Auditors' independence.

## **2. Delegation**

As provided in the Act and the SEC's rules, the Audit Committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

## **3. Audit Services**

The annual Audit services engagement terms and fees are subject to the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. Audit services include the annual financial statement audit and other procedures required to be performed by the Independent Auditors to be able to form an opinion on the Fund's financial statements. These other procedures include information systems and procedural reviews and testing performed in order to understand and place reliance on the systems of internal control, and consultations relating to the audit. The Audit Committee will approve, if necessary, any changes in terms, conditions and fees resulting from changes in audit scope, Fund structure or other items.

In addition to the annual Audit services engagement approved by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee may grant general pre-approval to other Audit services, which are those services that only the Independent Auditors reasonably can provide. Other Audit services may include statutory audits and services associated with SEC registration statements (on Forms N-1A, N-2, N-3, N-4, etc.), periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the Audit services in Appendix B.1. All other Audit services not listed in Appendix B.1 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

## **4. Audit-related Services**

## Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Fund's financial statements and, to the extent they are Covered Services, the Covered Entities or that are traditionally performed by the Independent Auditors. Because the Audit Committee believes that the provision of Audit-related services does not impair the independence of the auditor and is consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence, the Audit Committee may grant general

---

pre-approval to Audit-related services. Audit-related services include, among others, accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters not classified as Audit services ; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities; agreed-upon or expanded audit procedures related to accounting and/or billing records required to respond to or comply with financial, accounting or regulatory reporting matters; and assistance with internal control reporting requirements under Forms N-SAR and/or N-CSR.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the Audit-related services in Appendix B.2. All other Audit-related services not listed in Appendix B.2 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

#### **5. Tax Services**

The Audit Committee believes that the Independent Auditors can provide Tax services to the Fund and, to the extent they are Covered Services, the Covered Entities, such as tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice without impairing the auditor's independence, and the SEC has stated that the Independent Auditors may provide such services.

Pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the Audit Committee has pre-approved the Tax Services in Appendix B.3. All Tax services in Appendix B.3 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

#### **6. All Other Services**

The Audit Committee believes, based on the SEC's rules prohibiting the Independent Auditors from providing specific non-audit services, that other types of non-audit services are permitted. Accordingly, the Audit Committee believes it may grant general pre-approval to those permissible non-audit services classified as All Other services that it believes are routine and recurring services, would not impair the independence of the auditor and are consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the All Other services in Appendix B.4. Permissible All Other services not listed in Appendix B.4 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

#### **7. Pre-Approval Fee Levels or Budgeted Amounts**

Pre-approval fee levels or budgeted amounts for all services to be provided by the Independent Auditors will be established annually by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding these levels or amounts will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is mindful of the overall relationship of fees for audit and non-audit services in determining whether to pre-approve any such services.



**8. Procedures**

All requests or applications for services to be provided by the Independent Auditors that do not require specific approval by the Audit Committee will be submitted to the Fund's Chief Financial Officer and must include a detailed description of the services to be rendered. The Fund's Chief Financial Officer will determine whether such services are included within the list of services that have received the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee will be informed on a timely basis of any such services rendered by the Independent Auditors. Requests or applications to provide services that require specific approval by the Audit Committee will be submitted to the Audit Committee by both the Independent Auditors and the Fund's Chief Financial Officer, and must include a joint statement as to whether, in their view, the request or application is consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has designated the Fund's Chief Financial Officer to monitor the performance of all services provided by the Independent Auditors and to determine whether such services are in compliance with this Policy. The Fund's Chief Financial Officer will report to the Audit Committee on a periodic basis on the results of its monitoring. Both the Fund's Chief Financial Officer and management will immediately report to the chairman of the Audit Committee any breach of this Policy that comes to the attention of the Fund's Chief Financial Officer or any member of management.

**9. Additional Requirements**

The Audit Committee has determined to take additional measures on an annual basis to meet its responsibility to oversee the work of the Independent Auditors and to assure the auditor's independence from the Fund, such as reviewing a formal written statement from the Independent Auditors delineating all relationships between the Independent Auditors and the Fund, consistent with Independence Standards Board No. 1, and discussing with the Independent Auditors its methods and procedures for ensuring independence.

**10. Covered Entities**

Covered Entities include the Fund's investment adviser(s) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Fund's investment adviser(s) that provides ongoing services to the Fund(s). Beginning with non-audit service contracts entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the Fund's audit committee must pre-approve non-audit services provided not only to the Fund but also to the Covered Entities if the engagements relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund. This list of Covered Entities would include:

Morgan Stanley Retail Funds

Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc.

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated

Morgan Stanley DW Inc.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

Edgar Filing: MORGAN STANLEY INDIA INVESTMENT FUND, INC. - Form N-CSR

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited

Morgan Stanley Asset & Investment Trust Management Co., Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company

Van Kampen Asset Management

---



Morgan Stanley Services Company, Inc.

Morgan Stanley Distributors Inc.

Morgan Stanley Trust FSB

Morgan Stanley Institutional Funds

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited

Morgan Stanley Asset & Investment Trust Management Co., Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated

Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc.

Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP

Morgan Stanley Alternative Investment Partners LP

(e)(2) Beginning with non-audit service contracts entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the audit committee also is required to pre-approve services to Covered Entities to the extent that the services are determined to have a direct impact on the operations or financial reporting of the Registrant. 100% of such services were pre-approved by the audit committee pursuant to the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures (attached hereto).

(f) Not applicable.

(g) See table above.

(h) The audit committee of the Board of Trustees has considered whether the provision of services other than audit services performed by the auditors to the Registrant and Covered Entities is compatible with maintaining the auditors' independence in performing audit services.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

(a) The Fund has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act whose members are: Joseph Kearns, Michael Nugent and Allen Reed.

(b) Not applicable.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

(a) Refer to Item 1.

(b) Not applicable.

---

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

February 27, 2009

## MORGAN STANLEY INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

### PROXY VOTING POLICY AND PROCEDURES

#### I. POLICY STATEMENT

Morgan Stanley Investment Management's (MSIM) policy and procedures for voting proxies (Policy) with respect to securities held in the accounts of clients applies to those MSIM entities that provide discretionary investment management services and for which an MSIM entity has authority to vote proxies. This Policy is reviewed and updated as necessary to address new and evolving proxy voting issues and standards.

The MSIM entities covered by this Policy currently include the following: Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc., Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc., Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company, Morgan Stanley Asset & Investment Trust Management Co., Limited, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited, Van Kampen Asset Management, and Van Kampen Advisors Inc. (each an MSIM Affiliate and collectively referred to as the MSIM Affiliates or as we below).

Each MSIM Affiliate will use its best efforts to vote proxies as part of its authority to manage, acquire and dispose of account assets. With respect to the MSIM registered management investment companies (Van Kampen, Institutional and Advisor Funds collectively referred to herein as the MSIM Funds), each MSIM Affiliate will vote proxies under this Policy pursuant to authority granted under its applicable investment advisory agreement or, in the absence of such authority, as authorized by the Board of Directors/Trustees of the MSIM Funds. An MSIM Affiliate will not vote proxies if the named fiduciary for an ERISA account has reserved the authority for itself, or in the case of an account not governed by ERISA, the investment management or investment advisory agreement does not authorize the MSIM Affiliate to vote proxies. MSIM Affiliates will vote proxies in a prudent and diligent manner and in the best interests of clients, including beneficiaries of and participants in a client's benefit plan(s) for which the MSIM Affiliates manage assets, consistent with the objective of maximizing long-term investment returns (Client Proxy Standard). In certain situations, a client or its fiduciary may provide an MSIM Affiliate with a proxy voting policy. In these situations, the MSIM Affiliate will comply with the client's policy.

Proxy Research Services - RiskMetrics Group ISS Governance Services (ISS) and Glass Lewis (together with other proxy research providers as we may retain from time to time, the Research Providers) are independent advisers that specialize in providing a variety of fiduciary-level proxy-related services to institutional investment managers, plan sponsors, custodians, consultants, and other institutional investors. The services provided include in-depth research, global issuer analysis, and voting recommendations. While we may review and utilize the recommendations of the Research Providers in making proxy voting decisions, we are in no way obligated to follow such

recommendations. In addition to research, ISS provides vote execution, reporting, and recordkeeping services.

Voting Proxies for Certain Non-U.S. Companies - Voting proxies of companies located in some jurisdictions, particularly emerging markets, may involve several problems that can restrict or prevent the ability to vote such proxies or entail significant costs. These problems include, but are not limited to: (i) proxy statements and ballots being written in a language other than English; (ii) untimely and/or inadequate notice of shareholder meetings; (iii) restrictions on the ability of holders outside the issuer's jurisdiction of organization to exercise votes; (iv) requirements to vote proxies in person; (v) the imposition of restrictions on the sale of the securities for a period of time in proximity to the shareholder meeting; and (vi) requirements to provide local agents with power of attorney to facilitate our voting instructions. As a result, we vote clients' non-U.S. proxies on a best efforts basis only, after weighing the costs and benefits of voting such proxies, consistent with the Client Proxy Standard. ISS has been retained to provide assistance in connection with voting non-U.S. proxies.

## **II. GENERAL PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES**

To promote consistency in voting proxies on behalf of its clients, we follow this Policy (subject to any exception set forth herein). The Policy addresses a broad range of issues, and provides general voting parameters on proposals that arise most frequently. However, details of specific proposals vary, and those details affect particular voting decisions, as do factors specific to a given company. Pursuant to the procedures set forth herein, we may vote in a manner that is not in accordance with the following general guidelines, provided the vote is approved by the Proxy Review Committee (see Section III for description) and is consistent with the Client Proxy Standard. Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP will follow the procedures as described in Appendix A.

We endeavor to integrate governance and proxy voting policy with investment goals, using the vote to encourage portfolio companies to enhance long-term shareholder value and to provide a high standard of transparency such that equity markets can value corporate assets appropriately.

We seek to follow the Client Proxy Standard for each client. At times, this may result in split votes, for example when different clients have varying economic interests in the outcome of a particular voting matter (such as a case in which varied ownership interests in two companies involved in a merger result in different stakes in the outcome). We also may split votes at times based on differing views of portfolio managers.

We may abstain on matters for which disclosure is inadequate.

**A. Routine Matters.** We generally support routine management proposals. The following are examples of routine management proposals:

- Approval of financial statements and auditor reports if delivered with an unqualified auditor's opinion.
- General updating/corrective amendments to the charter, articles of association or bylaws, unless we believe that such amendments would diminish shareholder rights.
- Most proposals related to the conduct of the annual meeting, with the following exceptions. We generally oppose proposals that relate to the transaction of such other business which may come before the meeting, and open-ended requests for adjournment. However, where management specifically states the reason for requesting an adjournment and the requested adjournment would facilitate passage of a proposal that would otherwise be supported under this Policy (i.e. an uncontested corporate transaction), the adjournment request will be supported.

We generally support shareholder proposals advocating confidential voting procedures and independent tabulation of voting results.

**B. Board of Directors.**

1. Election of directors: Votes on board nominees can involve balancing a variety of considerations. In balancing various factors in uncontested elections, we may take into consideration whether the company has a majority voting policy in place that we believe makes the director vote more meaningful. In the absence of a proxy contest, we generally support the board's nominees for director except as follows:

a. We consider withholding support from or voting against interested directors if the company's board does not meet market standards for director independence, or if otherwise we believe board independence is insufficient. We refer to prevalent market standards as promulgated by a stock exchange or other authority within a given market (e.g., New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq rules for most U.S. companies, and The Combined Code on Corporate Governance in the United Kingdom). Thus, for an NYSE company with no controlling shareholder, we would expect that at a minimum a majority of directors should be independent as defined by NYSE. Where we view market standards as inadequate, we may withhold votes based on stronger independence standards. Market standards notwithstanding, we generally do not view long board tenure alone as a basis to classify a director as non-independent, although lack of board turnover and fresh perspective can be a negative factor in voting on directors.

i. At a company with a shareholder or group that controls the company by virtue of a majority economic interest in the company, we have a reduced expectation for board independence, although we believe the presence of independent directors can be helpful,

particularly in staffing the audit committee, and at times we may withhold support from or vote against a nominee on the view the board or its committees are not sufficiently independent.

ii. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee if he or she is affiliated with a major shareholder that has representation on a board disproportionate to its economic interest.

b. Depending on market standards, we consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who is interested and who is standing for election as a member of the company's compensation, nominating or audit committee.

c. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee if we believe a direct conflict exists between the interests of the nominee and the public shareholders, including failure to meet fiduciary standards of care and/or loyalty. We may oppose directors where we conclude that actions of directors are unlawful, unethical or negligent. We consider opposing individual board members or an entire slate if we believe the board is entrenched and/or dealing inadequately with performance problems, and/or acting with insufficient independence between the board and management.

d. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee standing for election if the board has not taken action to implement generally accepted governance practices for which there is a bright line test. For example, in the context of the U.S. market, failure to eliminate a dead hand or slow hand poison pill would be seen as a basis for opposing one or more incumbent nominees.

e. In markets that encourage designated audit committee financial experts, we consider voting against members of an audit committee if no members are designated as such. We also may not support the audit committee members if the company has faced financial reporting issues and/or does not put the auditor up for ratification by shareholders.

f. We believe investors should have the ability to vote on individual nominees, and may abstain or vote against a slate of nominees where we are not given the opportunity to vote on individual nominees.

g. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who has failed to attend at least 75% of the nominee's board and board committee meetings within a given year without a reasonable excuse. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does not meet market standards for disclosure on attendance.

h. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who appears overcommitted, particularly through service on an excessive number of boards. Market expectations are incorporated into this analysis; for U.S. boards, we generally oppose election of a nominee who serves on more than six public company boards (excluding investment companies).

2. Discharge of directors' duties: In markets where an annual discharge of directors' responsibility is a routine agenda item, we generally support such discharge. However, we may vote against discharge or abstain from voting where there are serious findings of fraud or other unethical behavior for which the individual bears responsibility. The annual discharge of responsibility represents shareholder approval of actions taken by the board during the year and may make future shareholder action against the board difficult to pursue.

3. Board independence: We generally support U.S. shareholder proposals requiring that a certain percentage (up to 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>%) of the company's board members be independent directors, and promoting all-independent audit, compensation and nominating/governance committees.

4. Board diversity: We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals urging diversity of board membership with respect to social, religious or ethnic group.

5. Majority voting: We generally support proposals requesting or requiring majority voting policies in election of directors, so long as there is a carve-out for plurality voting in the case of contested elections.

6. Proxy access: We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals to provide procedures for inclusion of shareholder nominees in company proxy statements.

7. Proposals to elect all directors annually: We generally support proposals to elect all directors annually at public companies (to declassify the Board of Directors) where such action is supported by the board, and otherwise consider the issue on a case-by-case basis based in part on overall takeover defenses at a company.

8. Cumulative voting: We generally support proposals to eliminate cumulative voting in the U.S. market context. (Cumulative voting provides that shareholders may concentrate their votes for one or a handful of candidates, a system that can enable a minority bloc to place representation on a board.) U.S. proposals to establish cumulative voting in the election of directors generally will not be supported.

9. Separation of Chairman and CEO positions: We vote on shareholder proposals to separate the Chairman and CEO positions and/or to appoint a non-executive Chairman based in part on prevailing practice in particular markets, since the

context for such a practice varies. In many non-U.S. markets, we view separation of the roles as a market standard practice, and support division of the roles in that context.

10. Director retirement age and term limits: Proposals recommending set director retirement ages or director term limits are voted on a case-by-case basis.

11. Proposals to limit directors liability and/or broaden indemnification of officers and directors. Generally, we will support such proposals provided that an individual is eligible only if he or she has not acted in bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of their duties.

**C. Statutory auditor boards.** The statutory auditor board, which is separate from the main board of directors, plays a role in corporate governance in several markets. These boards are elected by shareholders to provide assurance on compliance with legal and accounting standards and the company's articles of association. We generally vote for statutory auditor nominees if they meet independence standards. In markets that require disclosure on attendance by internal statutory auditors, however, we consider voting against nominees for these positions who failed to attend at least 75% of meetings in the previous year. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does not meet market standards for disclosure on attendance.

**D. Corporate transactions and proxy fights.** We examine proposals relating to mergers, acquisitions and other special corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis in the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the Research Providers usually are supported if there is no portfolio manager objection. We also analyze proxy contests on a case-by-case basis.

**E. Changes in capital structure.**

1. We generally support the following:

- Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of shares we hold.

- Management proposals to increase the authorization of existing classes of common stock (or securities convertible into common stock) if: (i) a clear business purpose is stated that we can support and the number of shares requested is reasonable in relation to the purpose for which authorization is requested; and/or (ii) the authorization does not exceed 100% of shares currently authorized and at least 30% of the total new authorization will be outstanding. (We consider proposals that do not meet these criteria on a case-by-case basis.)



- Management proposals to create a new class of preferred stock or for issuances of preferred stock up to 50% of issued capital, unless we have concerns about use of the authority for anti-takeover purposes.
  
  - Management proposals to authorize share repurchase plans, except in some cases in which we believe there are insufficient protections against use of an authorization for anti-takeover purposes.
  
  - Management proposals to reduce the number of authorized shares of common or preferred stock, or to eliminate classes of preferred stock.
  
  - Management proposals to effect stock splits.
  
  - Management proposals to effect reverse stock splits if management proportionately reduces the authorized share amount set forth in the corporate charter. Reverse stock splits that do not adjust proportionately to the authorized share amount generally will be approved if the resulting increase in authorized shares coincides with the proxy guidelines set forth above for common stock increases.
  
  - Management dividend payout proposals, except where we perceive company payouts to shareholders as inadequate.
2. We generally oppose the following (notwithstanding management support):
- Proposals to add classes of stock that would substantially dilute the voting interests of existing shareholders.
  
  - Proposals to increase the authorized or issued number of shares of existing classes of stock that are unreasonably dilutive, particularly if there are no preemptive rights for existing shareholders. However, depending on market practices, we consider voting for proposals giving general authorization for issuance of shares not subject to pre-emptive rights if the authority is limited.
  
  - Proposals that authorize share issuance at a discount to market rates, except where authority for such issuance is de minimis, or if there is a special situation that we believe justifies such authorization (as may be the case, for example, at a company under severe stress and risk of bankruptcy).
  
  - Proposals relating to changes in capitalization by 100% or more.

We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals to increase dividend payout ratios, in light of market practice and perceived market weaknesses, as well as individual

---

company payout history and current circumstances. For example, currently we perceive low payouts to shareholders as a concern at some Japanese companies, but may deem a low payout ratio as appropriate for a growth company making good use of its cash, notwithstanding the broader market concern.

**F. Takeover Defenses and Shareholder Rights.**

1. Shareholder rights plans: We generally support proposals to require shareholder approval or ratification of shareholder rights plans (poison pills). In voting on rights plans or similar takeover defenses, we consider on a case-by-case basis whether the company has demonstrated a need for the defense in the context of promoting long-term share value; whether provisions of the defense are in line with generally accepted governance principles in the market (and specifically the presence of an adequate qualified offer provision that would exempt offers meeting certain conditions from the pill); and the specific context if the proposal is made in the midst of a takeover bid or contest for control.

2. Supermajority voting requirements: We generally oppose requirements for supermajority votes to amend the charter or bylaws, unless the provisions protect minority shareholders where there is a large shareholder. In line with this view, in the absence of a large shareholder we support reasonable shareholder proposals to limit such supermajority voting requirements.

3. Shareholder rights to call meetings: We consider proposals to enhance shareholder rights to call meetings on a case-by-case basis.

4. Reincorporation: We consider management and shareholder proposals to reincorporate to a different jurisdiction on a case-by-case basis. We oppose such proposals if we believe the main purpose is to take advantage of laws or judicial precedents that reduce shareholder rights.

5. Anti-greenmail provisions: Proposals relating to the adoption of anti-greenmail provisions will be supported, provided that the proposal: (i) defines greenmail; (ii) prohibits buyback offers to large block holders (holders of at least 1% of the outstanding shares and in certain cases, a greater amount, as determined by the Proxy Review Committee) not made to all shareholders or not approved by disinterested shareholders; and (iii) contains no anti-takeover measures or other provisions restricting the rights of shareholders.

6. Bundled proposals: We may consider opposing or abstaining on proposals if disparate issues are bundled and presented for a single vote.

**G. Auditors.** We generally support management proposals for selection or ratification of independent auditors. However, we may consider opposing such proposals with reference to incumbent audit firms if the company has suffered from serious accounting irregularities and we believe rotation of the audit firm is appropriate, or if fees

paid to the auditor for non-audit-related services are excessive. Generally, to determine if non-audit fees are excessive, a 50% test will be applied (i.e., non-audit-related fees should be less than 50% of the total fees paid to the auditor). We generally vote against proposals to indemnify auditors.

**H. Executive and Director Remuneration.**

1. We generally support the following:

- Proposals for employee equity compensation plans and other employee ownership plans, provided that our research does not indicate that approval of the plan would be against shareholder interest. Such approval may be against shareholder interest if it authorizes excessive dilution and shareholder cost, particularly in the context of high usage ( run rate ) of equity compensation in the recent past; or if there are objectionable plan design and provisions.
- Proposals relating to fees to outside directors, provided the amounts are not excessive relative to other companies in the country or industry, and provided that the structure is appropriate within the market context. While stock-based compensation to outside directors is positive if moderate and appropriately structured, we are wary of significant stock option awards or other performance-based awards for outside directors, as well as provisions that could result in significant forfeiture of value on a director's decision to resign from a board (such forfeiture can undercut director independence).
- Proposals for employee stock purchase plans that permit discounts up to 15%, but only for grants that are part of a broad-based employee plan, including all non-executive employees.
- Proposals for the establishment of employee retirement and severance plans, provided that our research does not indicate that approval of the plan would be against shareholder interest.

2. We generally oppose retirement plans and bonuses for non-executive directors and independent statutory auditors.

3. Shareholder proposals requiring shareholder approval of all severance agreements will not be supported, but proposals that require shareholder approval for agreements in excess of three times the annual compensation (salary and bonus) generally will be supported. We generally oppose shareholder proposals that would establish arbitrary caps on pay. We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals that seek to limit Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs), but support such proposals where we consider SERPs to be excessive.

4. Shareholder proposals advocating stronger and/or particular pay-for-performance models will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, with consideration of the merits of the individual proposal within the context of the particular company and its labor markets, and the company's current and past practices. While we generally support emphasis on long-term components of senior executive pay and strong linkage of pay to performance, we consider whether a proposal may be overly prescriptive, and the impact of the proposal, if implemented as written, on recruitment and retention.

5. We consider shareholder proposals for U.K.-style advisory votes on pay on a case-by-case basis.

6. We generally support proposals advocating reasonable senior executive and director stock ownership guidelines and holding requirements for shares gained in executive equity compensation programs.

7. We generally support shareholder proposals for reasonable claw-back provisions that provide for company recovery of senior executive bonuses to the extent they were based on achieving financial benchmarks that were not actually met in light of subsequent restatements.

8. Management proposals effectively to re-price stock options are considered on a case-by-case basis. Considerations include the company's reasons and justifications for a re-pricing, the company's competitive position, whether senior executives and outside directors are excluded, potential cost to shareholders, whether the re-pricing or share exchange is on a value-for-value basis, and whether vesting requirements are extended.

**I. Social, Political and Environmental Issues.** We consider proposals relating to social, political and environmental issues on a case-by-case basis to determine likely financial impacts on shareholder value, balancing concerns on reputational and other risks that may be raised in a proposal against costs of implementation. We may abstain from voting on proposals that do not have a readily determinable financial impact on shareholder value. While we support proposals that we believe will enhance useful disclosure, we generally vote against proposals requesting reports that we believe are duplicative, related to matters not material to the business, or that would impose unnecessary or excessive costs. We believe that certain social and environmental shareholder proposals may intrude excessively on management prerogatives, which can lead us to oppose them.

**J. Fund of Funds.** Certain Funds advised by an MSIM Affiliate invest only in other MSIM Funds. If an underlying fund has a shareholder meeting, in order to avoid any potential conflict of interest, such proposals will be voted in the same proportion as the votes of the other shareholders of the underlying fund, unless otherwise determined by the Proxy Review Committee.

---

**III. ADMINISTRATION OF POLICY**

The MSIM Proxy Review Committee (the Committee) has overall responsibility for the Policy. The Committee, which is appointed by MSIM's Chief Investment Officer of Global Equities (CIO) or senior officer, consists of senior investment professionals who represent the different investment disciplines and geographic locations of the firm, and is chaired by the director of the Corporate Governance Team (CGT). Because proxy voting is an investment responsibility and impacts shareholder value, and because of their knowledge of companies and markets, portfolio managers and other members of investment staff play a key role in proxy voting, although the Committee has final authority over proxy votes.

The CGT Director is responsible for identifying issues that require Committee deliberation or ratification. The CGT, working with advice of investment teams and the Committee, is responsible for voting on routine items and on matters that can be addressed in line with these Policy guidelines. The CGT has responsibility for voting case-by-case where guidelines and precedent provide adequate guidance.

The Committee will periodically review and have the authority to amend, as necessary, the Policy and establish and direct voting positions consistent with the Client Proxy Standard.

CGT and members of the Committee may take into account Research Providers' recommendations and research as well as any other relevant information they may request or receive, including portfolio manager and/or analyst comments and research, as applicable. Generally, proxies related to securities held in accounts that are managed pursuant to quantitative, index or index-like strategies (Index Strategies) will be voted in the same manner as those held in actively managed accounts, unless economic interests of the accounts differ. Because accounts managed using Index Strategies are passively managed accounts, research from portfolio managers and/or analysts related to securities held in these accounts may not be available. If the affected securities are held only in accounts that are managed pursuant to Index Strategies, and the proxy relates to a matter that is not described in this Policy, the CGT will consider all available information from the Research Providers, and to the extent that the holdings are significant, from the portfolio managers and/or analysts.

**A. Committee Procedures**

The Committee meets at least annually to review and consider changes to the Policy. The Committee will appoint a subcommittee (the Subcommittee) to meet as needed between Committee meetings to address any outstanding issues relating to the Policy or its implementation.

The Subcommittee will meet on an ad hoc basis to (among other functions): (1) monitor and ratify split voting (i.e., allowing certain shares of the same issuer that are the

subject of the same proxy solicitation and held by one or more MSIM portfolios to be voted differently than other shares) and/or override voting (i.e., voting all MSIM portfolio shares in a manner contrary to the Policy); (2) review and approve upcoming votes, as appropriate, for matters as requested by CGT.

The Committee reserves the right to review voting decisions at any time and to make voting decisions as necessary to ensure the independence and integrity of the votes. The Committee or the Subcommittee are provided with reports on at least a monthly basis detailing specific key votes cast by CGT.

**B. Material Conflicts of Interest**

In addition to the procedures discussed above, if the CGT Director determines that an issue raises a material conflict of interest, the CGT Director will request a special committee to review, and recommend a course of action with respect to, the conflict(s) in question ( Special Committee ).

A potential material conflict of interest could exist in the following situations, among others:

1. The issuer soliciting the vote is a client of MSIM or an affiliate of MSIM and the vote is on a matter that materially affects the issuer.
2. The proxy relates to Morgan Stanley common stock or any other security issued by Morgan Stanley or its affiliates except if echo voting is used, as with MSIM Funds, as described herein.
3. Morgan Stanley has a material pecuniary interest in the matter submitted for a vote (e.g., acting as a financial advisor to a party to a merger or acquisition for which Morgan Stanley will be paid a success fee if completed).

If the CGT Director determines that an issue raises a potential material conflict of interest, depending on the facts and circumstances, the issue will be addressed as follows:

1. If the matter relates to a topic that is discussed in this Policy, the proposal will be voted as per the Policy.
2. If the matter is not discussed in this Policy or the Policy indicates that the issue is to be decided case-by-case, the proposal will be voted in a manner consistent with the Research Providers, provided that all the Research Providers have the same recommendation, no portfolio manager objects to that vote, and the vote is consistent with MSIM's Client Proxy Standard.





3. If the Research Providers' recommendations differ, the CGT Director will refer the matter to the Subcommittee or a Special Committee to vote on the proposal, as appropriate.

The Special Committee shall be comprised of the CGT Director, the Chief Compliance Officer or his/her designee, a senior portfolio manager (if practicable, one who is a member of the Proxy Review Committee) designated by the Proxy Review Committee, and MSIM's relevant Chief Investment Officer or his/her designee, and any other persons deemed necessary by the CGT Director. The CGT Director may request non-voting participation by MSIM's General Counsel or his/her designee. In addition to the research provided by Research Providers, the Special Committee may request analysis from MSIM Affiliate investment professionals and outside sources to the extent it deems appropriate.

### **C. Proxy Voting Reporting**

The CGT will document in writing all Committee, Subcommittee and Special Committee decisions and actions, which documentation will be maintained by the CGT for a period of at least six years. To the extent these decisions relate to a security held by an MSIM Fund, the CGT will report the decisions to each applicable Board of Trustees/Directors of those Funds at each Board's next regularly scheduled Board meeting. The report will contain information concerning decisions made during the most recently ended calendar quarter immediately preceding the Board meeting.

MSIM will promptly provide a copy of this Policy to any client requesting it. MSIM will also, upon client request, promptly provide a report indicating how each proxy was voted with respect to securities held in that client's account.

MSIM's Legal Department is responsible for filing an annual Form N-PX on behalf of each MSIM Fund for which such filing is required, indicating how all proxies were voted with respect to such Fund's holdings.

### **APPENDIX A**

The following procedures apply to accounts managed by Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP ( "AIP" ).

Generally, AIP will follow the guidelines set forth in Section II of MSIM's Proxy Voting Policy and Procedures. To the extent that such guidelines do not provide specific direction, or AIP determines that consistent with the Client Proxy Standard, the guidelines should not be followed, the Proxy Review Committee has delegated the voting authority to vote securities held by accounts managed by AIP to the Liquid Markets investment team and the Private Markets investment team of AIP. A summary of

decisions made by the investment teams will be made available to the Proxy Review Committee for its information at the next scheduled meeting of the Proxy Review Committee.

In certain cases, AIP may determine to abstain from determining (or recommending) how a proxy should be voted (and therefore abstain from voting such proxy or recommending how such proxy should be voted), such as where the expected cost of giving due consideration to the proxy does not justify the potential benefits to the affected account(s) that might result from adopting or rejecting (as the case may be) the measure in question.

#### Waiver of Voting Rights

For regulatory reasons, AIP may either 1) invest in a class of securities of an underlying fund (the Fund) that does not provide for voting rights; or 2) waive 100% of its voting rights with respect to the following:

1. Any rights with respect to the removal or replacement of a director, general partner, managing member or other person acting in a similar capacity for or on behalf of the Fund (each individually a Designated Person, and collectively, the Designated Persons), which may include, but are not limited to, voting on the election or removal of a Designated Person in the event of such Designated Person's death, disability, insolvency, bankruptcy, incapacity, or other event requiring a vote of interest holders of the Fund to remove or replace a Designated Person; and
2. Any rights in connection with a determination to renew, dissolve, liquidate, or otherwise terminate or continue the Fund, which may include, but are not limited to, voting on the renewal, dissolution, liquidation, termination or continuance of the Fund upon the occurrence of an event described in the Fund's organizational documents; provided, however, that, if the Fund's organizational documents require the consent of the Fund's general partner or manager, as the case may be, for any such termination or continuation of the Fund to be effective, then AIP may exercise its voting rights with respect to such matter.

#### APPENDIX B

The following procedures apply to the portion of the Van Kampen Dynamic Credit Opportunities Fund (VK Fund) sub advised by Avenue Europe International Management, L.P. (Avenue). (The portion of the VK Fund managed solely by Van Kampen Asset Management will continue to be subject to MSIM's Policy.)

1. Generally: With respect to Avenue's portion of the VK Fund, the Board of Trustees of the VK Fund will retain sole authority and responsibility for proxy voting. The Adviser's involvement in the voting process of Avenue's portion

of the VK Fund is a purely administrative function, and serves to execute and deliver the proxy voting decisions made by the VK Fund Board in connection with the Avenue portion of the VK Fund, which may, from time to time, include related administrative tasks such as receiving proxies, following up on missing proxies, and collecting data related to proxies. As such, the Adviser shall not be deemed to have voting power or shared voting power with Avenue with respect to Avenue's portion of the Fund.

2. Voting Guidelines: All proxies, with respect to Avenue's portion of the VK Fund, will be considered by the VK Fund Board or such subcommittee as the VK Fund Board may designate from time to time for determination and voting approval. The VK Board or its subcommittee will timely communicate to MSIM's Corporate Governance Group its proxy voting decisions, so that among other things the votes will be effected consistent with the VK Board's authority.

3. Administration: The VK Board or its subcommittee will meet on an adhoc basis as may be required from time to time to review proxies that require its review and determination. The VK Board or its subcommittee will document in writing all of its decisions and actions which will be maintained by the VK Fund, or its designee(s), for a period of at least 6 years. If a subcommittee is designated, a summary of decisions made by such subcommittee will be made available to the full VK Board for its information at its next scheduled respective meetings.

---

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

**FUND MANAGEMENT**

The Fund is managed by members of the Emerging Markets Equity team. The team consists of portfolio managers and analysts. The current members of the team primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio are James Cheng and Ruchir Sharma. Mr. Cheng is a Managing Director of the Sub-Adviser and Mr. Sharma is a Managing Director of the Adviser. Mr. Cheng has been associated with the Sub-Adviser in an investment management capacity since July 2006 and joined the team managing the Fund in February 2009. Prior to July 2006, Mr. Cheng worked in an investment management capacity at Invesco Asia Limited, Asia Strategic Investment Management Limited and Munich Re Asia Capital Management. Mr. Sharma has been associated with the Adviser in an investment management capacity since 1996 and joined the team managing the Fund in January 2001.

The composition of the team may change without notice from time to time.

**OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY THE PORTFOLIO MANAGERS**

The following information is as of December 31, 2009.

Mr. Cheng managed 13 registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$6.7 billion in assets; eight pooled investment vehicles other than registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$4.4 billion in assets; and 32 other accounts with a total of approximately \$11.6 billion in assets. Of these other accounts, six accounts with a total of approximately \$2.2 billion in assets had performance based fees.

Mr. Sharma managed 11 registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$5.5 billion in assets; seven pooled investment vehicles other than registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$3.8 billion in assets; and 22 other accounts with a total of approximately \$4.8 billion in assets. Of these other accounts, four accounts with a total of approximately \$1.6 billion in assets, had performance based fees.

Because the portfolio managers manages assets for other investment companies, pooled investment vehicles, and/or other accounts (including institutional clients, pension plans and certain high net worth individuals), there may be an incentive to favor one client over another resulting in conflicts of interest. For instance, the Adviser may receive fees from certain accounts that are higher than the fee it receives from the Fund, or it may receive a performance-based fee on certain accounts. In those instances, the portfolio manager may have an incentive to favor the higher and/or performance-based fee accounts over the Fund. In addition, a conflict of interest could exist to the extent the Adviser has proprietary investments in certain accounts, where portfolio managers have personal investments in certain accounts or when certain accounts are investment options in the Adviser's employee benefits and/or deferred compensation plans. The portfolio manager may have an incentive to favor these accounts over others. If the Adviser manages accounts that engage in short sales of securities of the type in which the Fund invests, the Adviser could be seen as harming the performance of the Fund for the benefit of the accounts engaged in short sales if the short sales cause the market value of the securities to fall. The Adviser has adopted trade allocation and other policies and procedures that it believes are reasonably designed to address these and other conflicts of interest.

**PROXY VOTING POLICY AND PROCEDURES**

**PORTFOLIO MANAGERS COMPENSATION STRUCTURE**

Portfolio managers receive a combination of base compensation and discretionary compensation, comprised of a cash bonus and several deferred compensation programs described below. The methodology used to determine portfolio manager compensation is applied across all accounts managed by the portfolio manager.

---

**BASE SALARY COMPENSATION.** Generally, portfolio managers receive base salary compensation based on the level of their position with the Adviser.

**DISCRETIONARY COMPENSATION.** In addition to base compensation, portfolio managers may receive discretionary compensation.

Discretionary compensation can include:

- Cash Bonus;
- Morgan Stanley's Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program awards a mandatory program that defers a portion of discretionary year-end compensation into restricted stock units or other awards based on Morgan Stanley common stock that are subject to vesting and other conditions;
- Investment Management Alignment Plan (IMAP) awards a mandatory program that defers a portion of discretionary year-end compensation and notionally invests it in designated funds advised by the Adviser or its affiliates. The award is subject to vesting and other conditions. Portfolio managers must notionally invest a minimum of 25% to a maximum of 100% of their IMAP deferral account into a combination of the designated open-end funds they manage that are included in the IMAP Fund menu. For 2008 awards, a clawback provision was implemented that could be triggered if the individual engages in conduct detrimental to the Adviser or its affiliates. For 2009 awards, the provision was further strengthened to allow the Firm to clawback compensation if the Firm realizes losses on certain trading positions, investments or holdings.
- Voluntary Deferred Compensation Plans voluntary programs that permit certain employees to elect to defer a portion of their discretionary year-end compensation or notionally invest the deferred amount across a range of designated investment funds, including funds advised by the Adviser or its affiliates.

Several factors determine discretionary compensation, which can vary by portfolio management team and circumstances. In order of relative importance, these factors include:

- Investment performance. A portfolio manager's compensation is linked to the pre-tax investment performance of the funds/accounts managed by the portfolio manager. Investment performance is calculated for one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods measured against an appropriate securities market index (or indices) for the funds/accounts managed by the portfolio manager. Other funds/accounts managed by the same portfolio manager may be measured against this same index and same rankings or ratings, if appropriate, or against other indices and other rankings or ratings that are deemed more appropriate given the size and/or style of such funds/accounts as set forth in such funds' /accounts disclosure materials and guidelines. The assets managed by the portfolio manager in funds, pooled investment vehicles and other accounts are described in Other Accounts Managed by the Portfolio Manager above. Generally, the greatest weight is placed on the three- and five-year periods.
- Revenues generated by the investment companies, pooled investment vehicles and other accounts managed by the portfolio manager.

- Contribution to the business objectives of the Adviser.
  - The dollar amount of assets managed by the portfolio manager.
  - Market compensation survey research by independent third parties.
  - Other qualitative factors, such as contributions to client objectives.
-

- Performance of Morgan Stanley and Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc., and the overall performance of the investment team(s) of which the portfolio manager is a member.

#### **SECURITIES OWNERSHIP OF PORTFOLIO MANAGERS**

As of December 31, 2009, the portfolio managers did not own any shares of the Fund.

#### Item 9. Closed-End Fund Repurchases

None.

#### Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

#### Item 11. Controls and Procedures

(a) The Fund's principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Fund's disclosure controls and procedures are sufficient to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Fund in this Form N-CSR was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, based upon such officers' evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of the report.

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 12. Exhibits

(a) The Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers is attached hereto.



(b) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant are attached hereto as part of EX-99.CERT.

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Morgan Stanley India Investment Fund, Inc.

By: /s/ Randy Takian  
Name: Randy Takian  
Title: Principal Executive Officer  
Date: February 18, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Randy Takian  
Name: Randy Takian  
Title: Principal Executive Officer  
Date: February 18, 2010

By: /s/ James W. Garrett  
Name: James W. Garrett  
Title: Principal Financial Officer  
Date: February 18, 2010

---