

CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST
Form 10-Q
July 30, 2010
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-14023

Corporate Office Properties Trust

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-2947217

(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

6711 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300, Columbia, MD

(Address of principal executive offices)

21046

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(443) 285-5400**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

As of July 20, 2010, 59,287,048 of the Company's Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-Q

| | PAGE |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1:</u> | |
| | <u>Financial Statements:</u> |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (unaudited)</u> 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u> 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u> 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)</u> 6 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> 7 |
| <u>Item 2:</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 26 |
| <u>Item 3:</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 38 |
| <u>Item 4:</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 39 |
| <u>PART II: OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1:</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 39 |
| <u>Item 1A:</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 39 |
| <u>Item 2:</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 40 |
| <u>Item 3:</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 40 |
| <u>Item 4:</u> | <u>Removed and Reserved</u> 40 |
| <u>Item 5:</u> | <u>Other Information</u> 40 |
| <u>Item 6:</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> 41 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 43 |

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Properties, net: | | |
| Operating properties, net | \$ 2,558,567 | \$ 2,510,277 |
| Properties held for sale, net | 18,548 | 18,533 |
| Projects under construction or development | 553,399 | 501,090 |
| Total properties, net | 3,130,514 | 3,029,900 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 9,879 | 8,262 |
| Restricted cash and marketable securities | 20,738 | 16,549 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 12,552 | 17,459 |
| Deferred rent receivable | 75,683 | 71,805 |
| Intangible assets on real estate acquisitions, net | 96,151 | 100,671 |
| Deferred leasing and financing costs, net | 55,838 | 51,570 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 65,928 | 83,806 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,467,283 | \$ 3,380,022 |
| Liabilities and equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Debt, net | \$ 2,182,375 | \$ 2,053,841 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 84,164 | 116,455 |
| Rents received in advance and security deposits | 28,328 | 32,177 |
| Dividends and distributions payable | 28,580 | 28,440 |
| Deferred revenue associated with operating leases | 12,929 | 14,938 |
| Distributions received in excess of investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture | 5,351 | 5,088 |
| Other liabilities | 13,990 | 8,451 |
| Total liabilities | 2,355,717 | 2,259,390 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 15) | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Corporate Office Properties Trust's shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred Shares of beneficial interest with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$216,333 (\$0.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized and 8,121,667 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009) | 81 | 81 |
| Common Shares of beneficial interest (\$0.01 par value; 125,000,000 shares authorized and 59,287,761 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010; 75,000,000 shares authorized and 58,342,673 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009) | 593 | 583 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,269,142 | 1,238,704 |
| Cumulative distributions in excess of net income | (246,008) | (209,941) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,263) | (1,907) |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total Corporate Office Properties Trust's shareholders' equity | 1,019,545 | 1,027,520 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries: | | |
| Common units in the Operating Partnership | 63,675 | 73,892 |
| Preferred units in the Operating Partnership | 8,800 | 8,800 |
| Other consolidated real estate joint ventures | 19,546 | 10,420 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 92,021 | 93,112 |
| Total equity | 1,111,566 | 1,120,632 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 3,467,283 | \$ 3,380,022 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Rental revenue | \$ 91,173 | \$ 87,649 | \$ 182,183 | \$ 176,494 |
| Tenant recoveries and other real estate operations revenue | 18,084 | 17,358 | 39,302 | 34,621 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 26,065 | 103,324 | 63,430 | 178,213 |
| Total revenues | 135,322 | 208,331 | 284,915 | 389,328 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 40,005 | 37,100 | 88,140 | 76,064 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 29,548 | 28,493 | 57,144 | 54,770 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 25,402 | 101,161 | 61,801 | 174,484 |
| General and administrative expenses | 5,926 | 5,834 | 11,826 | 11,377 |
| Business development expenses | 465 | 446 | 620 | 1,092 |
| Total operating expenses | 101,346 | 173,034 | 219,531 | 317,787 |
| Operating income | 33,976 | 35,297 | 65,384 | 71,541 |
| Interest expense | (25,812) | (18,620) | (48,450) | (37,983) |
| Interest and other income | 245 | 1,252 | 1,547 | 2,330 |
| Income from continuing operations before equity in loss of unconsolidated entities and income taxes | 8,409 | 17,929 | 18,481 | 35,888 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities | (72) | (202) | (277) | (317) |
| Income tax expense | (7) | (52) | (48) | (122) |
| Income from continuing operations | 8,330 | 17,675 | 18,156 | 35,449 |
| Discontinued operations | 486 | 376 | 1,318 | 768 |
| Income before gain on sales of real estate | 8,816 | 18,051 | 19,474 | 36,217 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes | 335 | | 352 | |
| Net income | 9,151 | 18,051 | 19,826 | 36,217 |
| Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | |
| Common units in the Operating Partnership | (364) | (1,272) | (891) | (3,076) |
| Preferred units in the Operating Partnership | (165) | (165) | (330) | (330) |
| Other consolidated entities | (156) | 25 | (201) | (25) |
| Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust | 8,466 | 16,639 | 18,404 | 32,786 |
| Preferred share dividends | (4,026) | (4,026) | (8,051) | (8,051) |
| Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust common shareholders | \$ 4,440 | \$ 12,613 | \$ 10,353 | \$ 24,735 |
| Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 8,016 | \$ 16,297 | \$ 17,190 | \$ 32,101 |
| Discontinued operations, net | 450 | 342 | 1,214 | 685 |
| Net income attributable to Corporate Office Properties Trust | \$ 8,466 | \$ 16,639 | \$ 18,404 | \$ 32,786 |
| Basic earnings per common share (1) | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.43 |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Discontinued operations | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | | 0.02 | | 0.02 |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.45 |
| Diluted earnings per common share (1) | | | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | | 0.02 | | 0.01 |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.44 |

(1) Basic and diluted earnings per common share are calculated based on amounts attributable to common shareholders of Corporate Office Properties Trust.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Equity****(Dollars in thousands)****(unaudited)**

| | Preferred Shares | Common Shares | Additional Paid-in Capital | Cumulative Distributions in Excess of Net Income | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Noncontrolling Interests | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 (51,790,442 common shares outstanding) | \$ 81 | \$ 518 | \$ 1,112,734 | \$ (162,572) | \$ (4,749) | \$ 136,411 | \$ 1,082,423 |
| Conversion of common units to common shares (2,824,000 shares) | | 28 | 61,368 | | | (61,396) | |
| Common shares issued to the public (2,990,000 shares) | | 30 | 71,795 | | | | 71,825 |
| Exercise of share options (153,177 common shares) | | 2 | 1,855 | | | | 1,857 |
| Share-based compensation | | 2 | 5,248 | | | | 5,250 |
| Restricted common share redemptions (71,267 shares) | | | (1,752) | | | | (1,752) |
| Adjustments to noncontrolling interests resulting from changes in ownership of Operating Partnership by COPT | | | (21,165) | | | 21,165 | |
| Adjustments related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | | | | | 3,573 | 650 | 4,223 |
| Decrease in tax benefit from share-based compensation | | | (152) | | | | (152) |
| Net income | | | | 32,786 | | 3,431 | 36,217 |
| Dividends | | | | (49,912) | | | (49,912) |
| Distributions to owners of common and preferred units in the Operating Partnership | | | | | | (4,308) | (4,308) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests in other consolidated real estate joint ventures | | | | | | 736 | 736 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 (58,016,683 common shares outstanding) | \$ 81 | \$ 580 | \$ 1,229,931 | \$ (179,698) | \$ (1,176) | \$ 96,689 | \$ 1,146,407 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 (58,342,673 common shares outstanding) | \$ 81 | \$ 583 | \$ 1,238,704 | \$ (209,941) | \$ (1,907) | \$ 93,112 | \$ 1,120,632 |
| Issuance of 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes | | | 18,149 | | | | 18,149 |
| Conversion of common units to common shares (610,598 shares) | | 6 | 8,821 | | | (8,827) | |
| Costs associated with common shares issued to the public | | | (19) | | | | (19) |
| Exercise of share options (175,443 shares) | | 2 | 3,082 | | | | 3,084 |
| Share-based compensation | | 2 | 5,640 | | | | 5,642 |
| Restricted common share redemptions (99,692 shares) | | | (3,713) | | | | (3,713) |
| Adjustments to noncontrolling interests resulting from changes in ownership of | | | (1,496) | | | 1,496 | |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| Operating Partnership by COPT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|-----|----|-----------|---------|-----------|----|---------|----|--------|----|-----------|
| Adjustments related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges | | | | | | (2,356) | (161) | (2,517) | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | 18,404 | 1,422 | 19,826 | | | | | | |
| Dividends | | | | | | (54,471) | | (54,471) | | | | | | |
| Distributions to owners of common and preferred units in the Operating Partnership | | | | | | | (3,946) | (3,946) | | | | | | |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests in other consolidated real estate joint ventures | | | | | | | 9,260 | 9,260 | | | | | | |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated real estate joint ventures | | | | | | (26) | (335) | (361) | | | | | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 (59,287,761 common shares outstanding) | \$ | 81 | \$ | 593 | \$ | 1,269,142 | \$ | (246,008) | \$ | (4,263) | \$ | 92,021 | \$ | 1,111,566 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in thousands)

(unaudited)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 19,826 | \$ 36,217 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and other amortization | 58,433 | 56,311 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2,621 | 2,033 |
| Increase in deferred rent receivable | (4,289) | (3,006) |
| Amortization of above or below market leases | (1,037) | (997) |
| Amortization of net debt discounts | 2,649 | 1,663 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | (660) | |
| Share-based compensation | 5,642 | 5,250 |
| Other | (92) | (1,794) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable | 4,704 | (92) |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash and marketable securities and prepaid expenses and other assets | 21,820 | (4,681) |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | (27,213) | 38,055 |
| Increase in rents received in advance and security deposits | (3,849) | (528) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 78,555 | 128,431 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchases of and additions to properties | (145,950) | (101,650) |
| Proceeds from sales of properties | 3,947 | 65 |
| Mortgage loan receivable funded | (603) | |
| Leasing costs paid | (5,297) | (6,282) |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity | (4,500) | |
| Other | (3,278) | (4,636) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (155,681) | (112,503) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from debt, including issuance of exchangeable senior notes | 500,459 | 314,147 |
| Repayments of debt | | |
| Scheduled principal amortization | (6,969) | (5,509) |
| Other repayments | (349,006) | (335,339) |
| Deferred financing costs paid | (6,252) | (202) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common shares | 3,065 | 73,682 |
| Dividends paid | (54,091) | (47,596) |
| Distributions paid | (4,186) | (5,361) |
| Restricted share redemptions | (3,713) | (1,752) |
| Other | (564) | (2,842) |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | 78,743 | (10,772) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,617 | 5,156 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Beginning of period | 8,262 | 6,775 |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|---------|----|--------|
| End of period | \$ | 9,879 | \$ | 11,931 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | | |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued capital improvements, leasing and other investing activity costs | \$ | (2,064) | \$ | 11,971 |
| Increase in property and noncontrolling interests in connection with property contribution to joint venture | \$ | 9,000 | \$ | |
| Increase in fair value of derivatives applied to AOCL and noncontrolling interests | \$ | 2,547 | \$ | 4,225 |
| Dividends/distribution payable | \$ | 28,580 | \$ | 27,057 |
| Decrease in noncontrolling interests and increase in shareholders' equity in connection with the conversion of common units into common shares | \$ | 8,827 | \$ | 61,396 |
| Adjustments to noncontrolling interests resulting from changes in ownership of Operating Partnership by COPT | \$ | 1,496 | \$ | 21,165 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Corporate Office Properties Trust and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

1. Organization

Corporate Office Properties Trust (COPT) and subsidiaries (collectively, the Company, we or us) is a fully-integrated and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of June 30, 2010, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 247 wholly owned operating properties totaling 19.5 million square feet;
- 22 wholly owned properties under construction, development or redevelopment that we estimate will total approximately 3.1 million square feet upon completion, including three partially operational properties included above;
- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,515 acres that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 14.1 million square feet; and
- partial ownership interests in a number of other real estate projects in operations, under development or held for future development.

We conduct almost all of our operations through our operating partnership, Corporate Office Properties, L.P. (the Operating Partnership), for which we are the managing general partner. The Operating Partnership owns real estate both directly and through subsidiary partnerships and limited liability companies (LLCs). A summary of our Operating Partnership s forms of ownership and the percentage of those ownership forms owned by COPT as of June 30, 2010 follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| Common Units | 93% |
| Series G Preferred Units | 100% |
| Series H Preferred Units | 100% |
| Series I Preferred Units | 0% |
| Series J Preferred Units | 100% |
| Series K Preferred Units | 100% |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Three of our trustees also controlled, either directly or through ownership by other entities or family members, an additional 6% of the Operating Partnership's common units.

In addition to owning interests in real estate, the Operating Partnership also owns entities that provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development and heating and air conditioning services primarily for our properties but also for third parties.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of COPT, the Operating Partnership, their subsidiaries and other entities in which we have a majority voting interest and control. We also consolidate certain entities when control of such entities can be achieved through means other than voting rights (variable interest entities or VIEs) if we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary of such entities. We eliminate all significant intercompany balances and transactions in consolidation. We use the equity method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and can exert significant influence over the entity's operations but cannot control the entity's operations. We use the cost method of accounting when we own an interest in an entity and cannot exert significant influence over its operations.

Table of Contents

These interim financial statements should be read together with the financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments that are necessary, in the opinion of management, to fairly present our financial position and results of operations. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the accounting policies described in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K except for the implementation of recent accounting pronouncements as discussed below.

Reclassifications

We reclassified certain amounts from the prior periods to conform to the current period presentation of our Consolidated Financial Statements with no effect on previously reported net income or equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted amended guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) effective January 1, 2010 related to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of VIEs. This guidance requires an enterprise to perform a qualitative analysis when determining whether or not it must consolidate a VIE based primarily on whether the entity (1) has the power to direct matters that most significantly impact the activities of the VIE and (2) has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The guidance also requires an enterprise to continuously reassess whether it must consolidate a VIE. Additionally, the standard requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with VIEs and any significant change in risk exposure due to that involvement, as well as how its involvement with VIEs impacts the enterprise's financial statements. As discussed further in Note 5, the adoption of this guidance did not affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We adopted guidance issued by the FASB effective January 1, 2010 that requires new disclosures and clarifications to existing disclosures pertaining to transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, presentation of activity within Level 3 fair value measurements and details of valuation techniques and inputs utilized. Our adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our financial statements or disclosures.

3. Fair Value Measurements

For a description on how we estimate fair value, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2009 Form 10-K.

The table below sets forth our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and the hierarchy level of inputs used in measuring their respective fair values under applicable accounting standards:

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| Description | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Marketable securities (1) | \$ 7,719 | \$ | \$ | \$ 7,719 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan liability (2) | \$ 6,935 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,935 |
| Interest rate derivatives (2) | | 4,284 | | 4,284 |
| Liabilities | \$ 6,935 | \$ 4,284 | \$ | \$ 11,219 |

(1) Included in the line entitled restricted cash and marketable securities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(2) Included in the line entitled other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, other assets (excluding mortgage loans receivable) and accounts payable and accrued expenses are reasonable estimates of their fair values

Table of Contents

because of the short maturities of these instruments. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Settlement of such fair value amounts may not be possible and may not be a prudent management decision.

For additional fair value information, see Note 6 for mortgage loans receivable, Note 7 for debt and Note 8 for derivatives.

4. Properties, net

Operating properties, net consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 486,962 | \$ 479,545 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,528,444 | 2,445,775 |
| | 3,015,406 | 2,925,320 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (456,839) | (415,043) |
| | \$ 2,558,567 | \$ 2,510,277 |

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 431 and 437 Ridge Road, two office properties located in Dayton, New Jersey that we were under contract to sell along with a contiguous land parcel for \$23,920, were classified as held for sale. The components associated with these properties included the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Land, operating properties | \$ 3,498 | \$ 3,498 |
| Land, development | 512 | 512 |
| Buildings and improvements | 21,510 | 21,509 |
| Construction in progress | 597 | 583 |
| | 26,117 | 26,102 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (7,569) | (7,569) |
| | \$ 18,548 | \$ 18,533 |

Projects under construction or development consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 234,150 | \$ 231,297 |
| Construction in progress | 319,249 | 269,793 |
| | \$ 553,399 | \$ 501,090 |

2010 Acquisitions

On June 28, 2010, we acquired a 152,000 square foot office property in McLean, Virginia for \$40,000. The table below sets forth the allocation of the acquisition costs of this property:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|--------|
| Land, operating properties | \$ | 6,378 |
| Building and improvements | | 25,429 |
| Intangible assets on real estate acquisitions | | 8,193 |
| Total acquisition cost | \$ | 40,000 |

Table of Contents

Intangible assets recorded in connection with the above acquisition included the following:

| | | | Weighted Average Amortization Period (in Years) |
|---------------------------|----|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| In-place lease value | \$ | 6,255 | 2 |
| Tenant relationship value | | 1,938 | 7 |
| | \$ | 8,193 | 3 |

2010 Construction, Development and Redevelopment Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we had two newly constructed buildings totaling 236,000 square feet in Colorado Springs, Colorado become fully operational (49,000 of these square feet were placed into service in 2009) and placed into service 72,000 square feet in two partially operational properties (one in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor and one in Greater Baltimore).

As of June 30, 2010, we had construction underway on ten buildings totaling 1.2 million square feet (five in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor, three in San Antonio, Texas and two in Greater Baltimore) (including 117,000 square feet placed into service in partially operational properties). We also had development activities underway on twelve new buildings totaling 1.5 million square feet, including two through a consolidated joint venture (four in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor, two in Greater Baltimore, two in San Antonio, two in Huntsville, Alabama, one in Northern Virginia and one in St. Mary's & King George Counties). In addition, we had redevelopment underway on two properties totaling 576,000 square feet (one in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor and one in Greater Philadelphia).

5. Real Estate Joint Ventures

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we had an investment in one unconsolidated real estate joint venture accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Information pertaining to this joint venture investment is set forth below:

| Investment Balance at | | Date Acquired | Ownership | Nature of Activity | Maximum Exposure to Loss (1) | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----|
| June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| \$ | (5,351)(2) | \$ | (5,088)(2) | 9/29/2005 | 20% | Operates 16 buildings | \$ |

(1) Derived from the sum of our investment balance and maximum additional unilateral capital contributions or loans required from us. Not reported above are additional amounts that we and our partner are required to fund when needed by this joint venture; these funding requirements are proportional to our respective ownership percentages. Also not reported above are additional unilateral contributions or loans from us, the amounts of which are uncertain, that we would be required to make if certain contingent events occur (see Note 15).

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

(2) The carrying amount of our investment in this joint venture was lower than our share of the equity in the joint venture by \$5,196 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 due to our deferral of gain on the contribution by us of real estate into the joint venture upon its formation. A difference will continue to exist to the extent the nature of our continuing involvement in the joint venture remains the same.

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth condensed balance sheets for this unconsolidated joint venture:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Properties, net | \$ 62,120 | \$ 62,990 |
| Other assets | 4,354 | 5,148 |
| Total assets | \$ 66,474 | \$ 68,138 |
| Liabilities (primarily debt) | \$ 67,266 | \$ 67,611 |
| Owners' equity | (792) | 527 |
| Total liabilities and owners' equity | \$ 66,474 | \$ 68,138 |

The following table sets forth condensed statements of operations for this unconsolidated joint venture:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues | \$ 2,089 | \$ 2,313 | \$ 4,189 | \$ 4,733 |
| Property operating expenses | (832) | (836) | (1,826) | (1,671) |
| Interest expense | (966) | (993) | (1,947) | (1,974) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | (857) | (803) | (1,735) | (1,602) |
| Net loss | \$ (566) | \$ (319) | \$ (1,319) | \$ (514) |

The table below sets forth information pertaining to our investments in consolidated joint ventures at June 30, 2010:

| | Date Acquired | Ownership % at 6/30/2010 | Nature of Activity | Total Assets | June 30, 2010 (1) Pledged Assets | Total Liabilities |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| M Square Associates, LLC | 6/26/2007 | 45.0% | Operating two buildings and developing others (2) | \$ 57,096 | \$ | \$ 775 |
| Arundel Preserve #5, LLC | 7/2/2007 | 50.0% | Operating one building (3) | 29,969 | 29,640 | 16,834 |
| LW Redstone Company, LLC | 3/23/2010 | 85.0% | Developing land parcel (4) | 12,405 | | 109 |
| COPT-FD Indian Head, LLC | 10/23/2006 | 75.0% | Developing land parcel (5) | 7,408 | | |
| MOR Forbes 2 LLC | 12/24/2002 | 50.0% | Operating one building (6) | 3,906 | | 73 |
| | | | | \$ 110,784 | \$ 29,640 | \$ 17,791 |

(1) Excludes amounts eliminated in consolidation.

(2) This joint venture's properties are in College Park, Maryland.

(3) This joint venture's property is in Hanover, Maryland (in the Baltimore/Washington Corridor).

(4) This joint venture's property is in Huntsville, Alabama.

(5) This joint venture's property is in Charles County, Maryland.

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

(6) This joint venture's property is in Lanham, Maryland (in the Suburban Maryland region).

We determined that all of our joint ventures were VIEs under applicable accounting standards. As discussed in Note 2, we adopted amended guidance issued by the FASB effective January 1, 2010 related to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of VIEs. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, we re-evaluated our existing:

- unconsolidated joint venture and determined that we should continue to account for our investment using the equity method of accounting primarily because our partner has: (1) the power to direct the matters that most significantly impact the activities of the joint venture, including the management and operations of the properties and disposal rights with respect to such properties; and (2) the right to receive benefits and absorb losses that could be significant to the VIE through its proportionately larger investment; and
- consolidated joint ventures and determined that we should continue to consolidate each of them because we have: (1) the power to direct the matters that most significantly impact the activities of the joint ventures, including development, leasing and management of the properties constructed by the VIEs; and (2) both the obligation to fund the activities of the ventures to the extent that third-party financing is not obtained and the right to receive returns on our fundings, which could be potentially significant to the VIEs.

Table of Contents

Therefore, the adoption of this guidance did not affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2010, we completed the formation of LW Redstone Company, LLC (Redstone), a joint venture created to develop Redstone Gateway, a 468-acre land parcel adjacent to Redstone Arsenal in Huntsville, Alabama. The land is owned by the U.S. Government and is under a long term master lease to the joint venture. Through this master lease, the joint venture will create a business park that we expect will total approximately 4.6 million square feet of office and retail space when completed, including approximately 4.4 million square feet of Class A office space. In addition, the business park will include hotel and other amenities.

We anticipate funding certain infrastructure costs that we expect will be reimbursed by the city of Huntsville. We also expect to fund additional development and construction costs through equity contributions to the extent that third party financing is not obtained. Our partner is not required to make any future contributions to the joint venture. Net cash flow distributions to the partners of Redstone vary depending on the source of the funds distributed and the nature of the capital fundings outstanding at the time of distribution. In the case of all distribution sources, we are first entitled to repayment of operating deficits funded by us and preferred returns on such fundings. We are also generally entitled to repayment of infrastructure and vertical construction costs funded by us and preferred returns on such fundings before our partner is entitled to receive repayment of its equity contribution of \$9,000. In addition, we will be entitled to 85% of distributable cash in excess of preferred returns.

We determined that Redstone is a VIE under applicable accounting standards and that we should consolidate it because: (1) we control the activities that are most significant to the VIE (we hold two of three positions on the joint venture's management committee, and we will be responsible for the development, construction, leasing and management of the office properties to be constructed by the VIE); and (2) we have both the obligation to provide significant funding for the project, as noted above, and the right to receive returns on our funding.

At December 31, 2009, we had a 92.5% ownership interest in COPT Opportunity Invest I, LLC, an entity that is redeveloping a property in Hanover, Maryland. In February 2010, we acquired the remaining 7.5% ownership interest in this entity.

Our commitments and contingencies pertaining to our real estate joint ventures are disclosed in Note 15.

6. Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Equity method investment in unconsolidated entity | \$ 14,646 | \$ 9,461 |
| Mortgage loans receivable (1) | 14,109 | 12,773 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net | 12,142 | 12,633 |
| Prepaid expenses | 9,026 | 19,769 |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Construction contract costs incurred in excess of billings | 4,744 | 19,556 |
| Other assets | 11,261 | 9,614 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | \$ 65,928 | \$ 83,806 |

(1) The fair value of our mortgage loans receivable totaled \$15,904 at June 30, 2010 and \$15,126 at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**7. Debt**

Our debt consisted of the following:

| | Maximum Availability at June 30, 2010 | Carrying Value at | | Stated Interest Rates at June 30, 2010 | Scheduled Maturity Dates at June 30, 2010 |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | | |
| Mortgage and Other Secured Loans: | | | | | |
| Fixed rate mortgage loans (1) | N/A | \$ 1,159,669 | \$ 1,166,443 | 5.20% - 7.94% (2) | 2010 - 2034 (3) |
| Revolving Construction Facility | \$ 225,000 | 102,887 | 76,333 | LIBOR + 1.60% to 2.00% (4) | May 2, 2011 (5) |
| Variable rate secured loans | N/A | 270,892 | 271,146 | LIBOR + 2.25% to 3.00% (6) | 2012-2014 (5) |
| Other construction loan facilities | 23,400 | 16,753 | 16,753 | LIBOR + 2.75% (7) | 2011 (5) |
| Total mortgage and other secured loans | | 1,550,201 | 1,530,675 | | |
| | | | | LIBOR + 0.75% to 1.25% | |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 700,000 | 250,000 | 365,000 | (8) | September 30, 2011 (5) |
| Unsecured notes payable (9) | N/A | 1,983 | 2,019 | 0.00% | 2026 |
| Exchangeable Senior Notes: | | | | | |
| 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes | N/A | 222,204 | | 4.25% | April 2030 (10) |
| 3.5% Exchangeable Senior Notes | N/A | 157,987 | 156,147 | 3.50% | September 2026 (11) |
| Total debt | | \$ 2,182,375 | \$ 2,053,841 | | |

- (1) Several of the fixed rate mortgages carry interest rates that were above or below market rates upon assumption and therefore were recorded at their fair value based on applicable effective interest rates. The carrying values of these loans reflect unamortized premiums totaling \$313 at June 30, 2010 and \$371 at December 31, 2009.
- (2) The weighted average interest rate on these loans was 6.0% at June 30, 2010.
- (3) A loan with a balance of \$4,616 at June 30, 2010 that matures in 2034 may be repaid in March 2014, subject to certain conditions.
- (4) The weighted average interest rate on this loan was 1.96% at June 30, 2010.
- (5) Includes amounts that may be extended for a one-year period at our option, subject to certain conditions.
- (6) The loans in this category at June 30, 2010 are subject to floor interest rates ranging from 4.25% to 5.5%.
- (7) The interest rate on this loan was 3.1% at June 30, 2010.
- (8) The weighted average interest rate on the Revolving Credit Facility was 1.45% at June 30, 2010.
- (9) The carrying value of these notes reflects unamortized discount totaling \$1,178 at June 30, 2010 and \$1,242 at December 31, 2009.
- (10) Refer to the paragraph below for descriptions of provisions for early redemption and repurchase of these notes.
- (11) As described further in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, these notes have an exchange settlement feature that provides that they may, under certain circumstances, be exchangeable for cash (up to the principal amount of the notes) and, with respect to any excess exchange value, may be exchangeable into (at our option) cash, our common shares or a combination of cash and our common shares at an exchange rate (subject to adjustment) of 19.049 shares per one thousand dollar principal amount of the notes (exchange rate is as of June 30, 2010 and is equivalent to an exchange price of \$52.50 per common share). The carrying value of these notes included a principal amount of \$162,500 and an unamortized discount totaling \$4,513 at June 30, 2010 and \$6,353 at December 31, 2009. The effective interest rate under the notes, including amortization of the issuance costs, was 5.97%. The table below sets forth interest expense recognized on these notes before deductions for amounts capitalized:

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest expense at stated interest rate | \$ 1,422 | \$ 1,422 | \$ 2,844 | \$ 2,844 |
| Interest expense associated with amortization of discount | 927 | 874 | 1,840 | 1,734 |
| Total | \$ 2,349 | \$ 2,296 | \$ 4,684 | \$ 4,578 |

In April 2010, we increased the borrowing capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility by \$100,000, from \$600,000 to \$700,000.

On April 7, 2010, the Operating Partnership issued a \$240,000 aggregate principal amount of 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030. Interest on the notes is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The notes have an exchange settlement feature that provides that the notes may, under certain circumstances, be exchangeable for cash and, at the Operating Partnership's discretion, our common shares of beneficial interest (common shares) at an exchange rate (subject to adjustment) of 20.7658 shares per one thousand dollar principal amount of the notes (exchange rate is as of June 30, 2010 and is equivalent to an exchange price of \$48.16 per common share) (the initial exchange rate of the notes was based on a 20% premium over the closing price on the NYSE on the transaction pricing date). On or after April 20, 2015, the Operating Partnership may redeem the notes

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

in cash in whole or in part. The holders of the notes have the right to require us to repurchase the notes in cash in whole or in part on each of April 15, 2015, April 15, 2020 and April 15, 2025, or in the event of a fundamental change, as defined under the terms of the notes, for a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest. Prior to April 20, 2015, subject to certain exceptions, if (1) a fundamental change occurs as a result of certain forms of transactions or series of transactions and (2) a holder elects to exchange its notes in connection with such fundamental change, we will increase the applicable exchange rate for the notes surrendered for exchange by a number of additional shares of our common shares as a make whole premium. The notes are general unsecured senior obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership's obligations under the notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by us. The initial liability component of this debt issuance was \$221,401 and the equity component was \$18,599. In addition, we recognized \$450 of the financing fees incurred in relation to these notes in equity. The carrying value of these notes at June 30, 2010 included an unamortized discount totaling \$17,796 at June 30, 2010. The effective interest rate on the liability component, including amortization of the issuance costs, is 6.05%. The table below sets forth interest expense recognized on these notes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 before deductions for amounts capitalized:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Interest expense at stated interest rate | \$ | 2,380 |
| Interest expense associated with amortization of discount | | 803 |
| Total | \$ | 3,183 |

We capitalized interest costs of \$4,208 in the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$3,985 in the three months ended June 30, 2009, \$8,144 in the six month ended June 30, 2010 and \$8,484 in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The following table sets forth information pertaining to the fair value of our debt:

| | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ 1,541,843 | \$ 1,509,836 | \$ 1,324,609 | \$ 1,252,126 |
| Variable-rate debt | 640,532 | 629,145 | 729,232 | 704,508 |
| | \$ 2,182,375 | \$ 2,138,981 | \$ 2,053,841 | \$ 1,956,634 |

8. Interest Rate Derivatives

The following table sets forth the key terms and fair values of our interest rate derivatives at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, all of which are interest rate swaps:

| Notional Amount | One-Month LIBOR base | Effective Date | Expiration Date | Fair Value at | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| \$ 100,000 | 1.9750% | 1/1/2010 | 5/1/2012 | \$ (2,158) | \$ (1,068) |
| 120,000 | 1.7600% | 1/2/2009 | 5/1/2012 | (2,126) | (669) |
| | | | | \$ (4,284) | \$ (1,737) |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Each of these interest rate swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk. The table below sets forth the fair value of our interest rate derivatives as well as their classification on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Interest rate swaps | Other liabilities | \$ (4,284) | Other liabilities | \$ (1,737) |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The table below presents the effect of our interest rate derivatives on our Consolidated Statements of Operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Amount of (loss) gain recognized in AOCL (effective portion) | \$ (1,929) | \$ 1,658 | \$ (4,314) | \$ 277 |
| Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL into interest expense (effective portion) | (886) | (1,647) | (1,797) | (3,946) |
| Amount of gain (loss) recognized in interest expense (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing) | | 51 | | (228) |

Over the next 12 months, we estimate that approximately \$2,921 will be reclassified from AOCL as an increase to interest expense.

We have agreements with each of our interest rate derivative counterparties that contain provisions under which if we default or are capable of being declared in default on any of our indebtedness, we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. These agreements also incorporate the loan covenant provisions of our indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparties. Failure to comply with the loan covenant provisions could result in our being declared in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreements. As of June 30, 2010, the fair value of interest rate derivatives in a liability position related to these agreements was \$4,284, excluding the effects of accrued interest. As of June 30, 2010, we had not posted any collateral related to these agreements. We are not in default with any of these provisions. If we breached any of these provisions, we could be required to settle our obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$4,706.

9. Shareholders Equity

Common Shares

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we converted 610,598 common units in our Operating Partnership into common shares on the basis of one common share for each common unit.

See Note 11 for disclosure of common share activity pertaining to our share-based compensation plans.

We declared dividends per common share of \$0.3925 in the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$0.3725 in the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$0.785 in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and \$0.745 in the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The table below sets forth activity in the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of shareholders' equity:

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Beginning balance | \$ (1,907) | \$ (4,749) |
| Amount of (loss) gain recognized in AOCL (effective portion) | (4,314) | 277 |
| Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL to income (effective portion) | 1,797 | 3,946 |
| Adjustment to AOCL attributable to noncontrolling interests | 161 | (650) |
| Ending balance | \$ (4,263) | \$ (1,176) |

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth total comprehensive income and total comprehensive income attributable to COPT:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 9,151 | \$ 18,051 | \$ 19,826 | \$ 36,217 |
| Amount of (loss) gain recognized in AOCL | (1,929) | 1,658 | (4,314) | 277 |
| Amount of loss reclassified from AOCL to income | 886 | 1,647 | 1,797 | 3,946 |
| Total comprehensive income | 8,108 | 21,356 | 17,309 | 40,440 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (685) | (1,412) | (1,422) | (3,431) |
| Other comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 77 | (300) | 198 | (416) |
| Total comprehensive income attributable to COPT | \$ 7,500 | \$ 19,644 | \$ 16,085 | \$ 36,593 |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

10. Information by Business Segment

As of June 30, 2010, we had eight primary office property segments: Baltimore/Washington Corridor; Northern Virginia; Greater Baltimore; Colorado Springs; Suburban Maryland; San Antonio; Greater Philadelphia; and St. Mary's and King George Counties.

The table below reports segment financial information for our real estate operations. Our segment entitled "Other" includes assets and operations not specifically associated with the other defined segments, including corporate assets and investments in unconsolidated entities. We measure the performance of our segments through a measure we define as net operating income from real estate operations ("NOI from real estate operations"), which is derived by subtracting property expenses from revenues from real estate operations. We believe that NOI from real estate operations is an important supplemental measure of operating performance for a REIT's operating real estate because it provides a measure of the core operations that is unaffected by depreciation, amortization, financing and general and administrative expenses; this measure is particularly useful in our opinion in evaluating the performance of geographic segments, same-office property groupings and individual properties.

| | Baltimore/ Washington Corridor | Northern Virginia | Greater Baltimore | Colorado Springs | Suburban Maryland | San Antonio | Greater Philadelphia | St. Mary's & King George Counties | Other | Total |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 50,623 | \$ 18,172 | \$ 16,827 | \$ 6,154 | \$ 5,452 | \$ 4,228 | \$ 1,510 | \$ 3,530 | \$ 3,495 | \$ 109,991 |
| Property operating expenses | 16,853 | 6,706 | 7,311 | 2,239 | 2,199 | 2,100 | 800 | 1,041 | 895 | 40,144 |
| NOI from real estate operations | \$ 33,770 | \$ 11,466 | \$ 9,516 | \$ 3,915 | \$ 3,253 | \$ 2,128 | \$ 710 | \$ 2,489 | \$ 2,600 | \$ 69,847 |
| Additions to properties, net | \$ 32,257 | \$ 32,684 | \$ 7,919 | \$ 700 | \$ 540 | \$ 5,559 | \$ 6,273 | \$ 132 | \$ 1,311 | \$ 87,375 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 48,941 | \$ 18,950 | \$ 13,746 | \$ 5,797 | \$ 5,164 | \$ 3,547 | \$ 2,507 | \$ 3,467 | \$ 3,599 | \$ 105,718 |
| Property operating expenses | 17,447 | 7,435 | 5,881 | 1,726 | 2,036 | 961 | (17) | 803 | 890 | 37,162 |
| NOI from real estate operations | \$ 31,494 | \$ 11,515 | \$ 7,865 | \$ 4,071 | \$ 3,128 | \$ 2,586 | \$ 2,524 | \$ 2,664 | \$ 2,709 | \$ 68,556 |
| Additions to properties, net | \$ 14,322 | \$ 2,299 | \$ 5,592 | \$ 12,870 | \$ 13,039 | \$ 11,998 | \$ 2,098 | \$ 178 | \$ 2,460 | \$ 64,856 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 102,681 | \$ 36,831 | \$ 34,692 | \$ 12,486 | \$ 11,281 | \$ 8,166 | \$ 2,712 | \$ 7,119 | \$ 7,019 | \$ 222,987 |
| Property operating expenses | 39,008 | 14,019 | 16,321 | 4,548 | 4,900 | 3,729 | 1,563 | 2,148 | 2,204 | 88,440 |
| NOI from real estate operations | \$ 63,673 | \$ 22,812 | \$ 18,371 | \$ 7,938 | \$ 6,381 | \$ 4,437 | \$ 1,149 | \$ 4,971 | \$ 4,815 | \$ 134,547 |
| | \$ 48,216 | \$ 37,594 | \$ 15,159 | \$ 1,513 | \$ 2,081 | \$ 10,498 | \$ 16,331 | \$ 543 | \$ 13,787 | \$ 145,722 |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--|
| Additions to properties, net | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Segment assets at | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ 1,358,956 | \$ 485,307 | \$ 570,889 | \$ 267,356 | \$ 172,321 | \$ 144,275 | \$ 120,432 | \$ 93,333 | \$ 254,414 | \$ 3,467,283 | |
| Six Months Ended | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues from real | | | | | | | | | | | |
| estate operations | \$ 97,945 | \$ 41,049 | \$ 27,517 | \$ 10,674 | \$ 10,187 | \$ 6,492 | \$ 5,013 | \$ 6,866 | \$ 6,819 | \$ 212,562 | |
| Property operating | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses | 36,066 | 15,231 | 12,652 | 3,049 | 4,090 | 1,797 | 64 | 1,689 | 1,557 | 76,195 | |
| NOI from real | | | | | | | | | | | |
| estate operations | \$ 61,879 | \$ 25,818 | \$ 14,865 | \$ 7,625 | \$ 6,097 | \$ 4,695 | \$ 4,949 | \$ 5,177 | \$ 5,262 | \$ 136,367 | |
| Additions to | | | | | | | | | | | |
| properties, net | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Segment assets at | \$ 33,501 | \$ 2,368 | \$ 8,903 | \$ 18,067 | \$ 17,648 | \$ 19,377 | \$ 4,411 | \$ 525 | \$ 10,210 | \$ 115,010 | |
| June 30, 2009 | \$ 1,273,553 | \$ 455,200 | \$ 436,323 | \$ 268,674 | \$ 164,279 | \$ 116,590 | \$ 97,618 | \$ 94,420 | \$ 292,018 | \$ 3,198,675 | |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our segment revenues to total revenues as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Segment revenues from real estate operations | \$ 109,991 | \$ 105,718 | \$ 222,987 | \$ 212,562 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 26,065 | 103,324 | 63,430 | 178,213 |
| Less: Revenues from discontinued operations (Note 13) | (734) | (711) | (1,502) | (1,447) |
| Total revenues | \$ 135,322 | \$ 208,331 | \$ 284,915 | \$ 389,328 |

The following table reconciles our segment property operating expenses to property operating expenses as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Segment property operating expenses | \$ 40,144 | \$ 37,162 | \$ 88,440 | \$ 76,195 |
| Less: Property operating expenses from discontinued operations (Note 13) | (139) | (62) | (300) | (131) |
| Total property operating expenses | \$ 40,005 | \$ 37,100 | \$ 88,140 | \$ 76,064 |

As previously discussed, we provide real estate services such as property management, construction and development and heating and air conditioning services primarily for our properties but also for third parties. The primary manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our service activities is through a measure we define as net operating income from service operations (NOI from service operations), which is based on the net of revenues and expenses from these activities. Construction contract and other service revenues and expenses consist primarily of subcontracted costs that are reimbursed to us by the customer along with a management fee. The operating margins from these activities are small relative to the revenue. As a result, we believe NOI from service operations is a useful measure in assessing both our level of activity and our profitability in conducting such operations. The table below sets forth the computation of our NOI from service operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | \$ 26,065 | \$ 103,324 | \$ 63,430 | \$ 178,213 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | (25,402) | (101,161) | (61,801) | (174,484) |
| NOI from service operations | \$ 663 | \$ 2,163 | \$ 1,629 | \$ 3,729 |

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our NOI from real estate operations for reportable segments and NOI from service operations to income from continuing operations as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| NOI from real estate operations | \$ 69,847 | \$ 68,556 | \$ 134,547 | \$ 136,367 |
| NOI from service operations | 663 | 2,163 | 1,629 | 3,729 |
| Interest and other income | 245 | 1,252 | 1,547 | 2,330 |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities | (72) | (202) | (277) | (317) |
| Income tax expense | (7) | (52) | (48) | (122) |
| Other adjustments: | | | | |
| Depreciation and other amortization associated with real estate operations | (29,548) | (28,493) | (57,144) | (54,770) |
| General and administrative expenses | (5,926) | (5,834) | (11,826) | (11,377) |
| Business development expenses | (465) | (446) | (620) | (1,092) |
| Interest expense on continuing operations | (25,812) | (18,620) | (48,450) | (37,983) |
| NOI from discontinued operations | (595) | (649) | (1,202) | (1,316) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 8,330 | \$ 17,675 | \$ 18,156 | \$ 35,449 |

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those used to prepare our consolidated financial statements, except that discontinued operations are not presented separately for segment purposes. We did not allocate interest expense, amortization of deferred financing costs and depreciation and amortization to our real estate segments since they are not included in the measure of segment profit reviewed by management. We also did not allocate general and administrative expenses, business development expenses, interest and other income, equity in loss of unconsolidated entities, income taxes and noncontrolling interests because these items represent general corporate items not attributable to segments.

11. Share-Based Compensation

On May 13, 2010, we adopted the Amended and Restated 2008 Omnibus Equity and Incentive Plan, under which we may issue equity-based awards to officers, employees, non-employee trustees and any other key persons of us and our subsidiaries, as defined in the plan. The plan provides for a maximum of 5,900,000 common shares of beneficial interest, of which 3,000,000 were added pursuant to the amendment and restatement, to be issued in the form of options to purchase common shares (options), share appreciation rights, deferred share awards, restricted share awards, unrestricted share awards, performance shares, dividend equivalent rights and other equity-based awards and for the granting of cash-based awards. The plan expires on May 13, 2020.

Restricted Shares

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain employees and members of our Board of Trustees were granted a total of 267,706 restricted shares with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$37.83 per share. Shares granted to employees are subject to forfeiture restrictions that lapse in equal increments annually over periods of three to five years, beginning on or about the first anniversary of the grant date, provided that

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

the employees remain employed by us. Shares granted to the Trustees vest on the first anniversary of the grant date provided that the Trustees remain in their positions. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, forfeiture restrictions lapsed on 261,241 common shares previously issued to employees; these shares had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$31.93 per share, and the total intrinsic value of the shares on the vesting dates was \$9,724.

Table of Contents

Performance Share Units (PSUs)

On March 4, 2010, our Board of Trustees granted 100,645 PSUs to executives. The PSUs have a performance period beginning on the grant date and concluding the earlier of three years from the grant date or the date of: (1) termination by the Company without cause, death or disability of the executive or constructive discharge of the executive (collectively, "qualified termination"); or (2) a sale event. The number of PSUs earned ("earned PSUs") at the end of the performance period will be determined based on the percentile rank of the Company's total shareholder return relative to a peer group of companies, as set forth in the following schedule:

| Percentile Rank | Earned PSUs Payout % |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 75th or greater | 200% of PSUs granted |
| 50th or greater | 100% of PSUs granted |
| 25th | 50% of PSUs granted |
| Below 25th | 0% of PSUs granted |

If the percentile rank exceeds the 25th percentile and is between two of the percentile ranks set forth in the table above, then the percentage of the earned PSUs will be interpolated between the ranges set forth in the table above to reflect any performance between the listed percentiles. At the end of the performance period, we, in settlement of the award, will issue a number of fully-vested common shares equal to the sum of:

- the number of earned PSUs in settlement of the award plan; plus
- the aggregate dividends that would have been paid with respect to the common shares issued in settlement of the earned PSUs through the date of settlement had such shares been issued on the grant date, divided by the share price on such settlement date, as defined under the terms of the agreement.

If a performance period ends due to a sale event or qualified termination, the number of earned PSUs is prorated based on the portion of the three-year performance period that has elapsed. If employment is terminated by the employee or by the Company for cause, all PSUs are forfeited. PSUs do not carry voting rights.

We computed a grant date fair value of \$53.31 per PSU using a Monte Carlo model, which included assumptions of, among other things, the following: baseline common share value of \$37.84; expected volatility for our common shares of 62.2%; and risk-free interest rate of 1.38%. We are recognizing the grant date fair value in connection with these PSU awards over a three-year period that commenced on March 4, 2010.

Options

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 175,443 options were exercised. The weighted average exercise price of these options was \$17.58 per share, and the total intrinsic value of the options exercised was \$3,615.

Table of Contents**12. Income Taxes**

We own a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS) that is subject to Federal and state income taxes. Our TRS provision for income tax consisted of the following:

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred | | | | |
| Federal | \$ 11 | \$ 46 | \$ 13 | \$ 100 |
| State | 2 | 10 | 3 | 22 |
| | 13 | 56 | 16 | 122 |
| Current | | | | |
| Federal | (5) | (3) | 35 | |
| State | (1) | (1) | 8 | |
| | (6) | (4) | 43 | |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 7 | \$ 52 | \$ 59 | \$ 122 |
| Reported on line entitled income tax expense | \$ 7 | \$ 52 | \$ 48 | \$ 122 |
| Reported on line entitled gain on sale of real estate, net | | | 11 | |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 7 | \$ 52 | \$ 59 | \$ 122 |

Items in our TRS contributing to temporary differences that lead to deferred taxes include depreciation and amortization, share-based compensation, certain accrued compensation, compensation paid in the form of contributions to a deferred nonqualified compensation plan and net operating losses that are not deductible until future periods.

Our TRS combined Federal and state effective tax rate was 39% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

13. Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations primarily includes revenues and expenses associated with the following:

- 431 and 437 Ridge Road properties and a contiguous parcel of land that were reclassified as held for sale in 2009; and
- 11101 McCormick Road property that was sold on February 1, 2010.

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Certain reclassifications have been made in prior periods to reflect discontinued operations consistent with the current presentation. The table below sets forth the components of discontinued operations reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

Table of Contents

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue from real estate operations | \$ 734 | \$ 711 | \$ 1,502 | \$ 1,447 |
| Expenses from real estate operations: | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 139 | 62 | 300 | 131 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 215 | 7 | 429 |
| Interest expense | 109 | 58 | 174 | 119 |
| Expenses from real estate operations | 248 | 335 | 481 | 679 |
| Discontinued operations before gain on sales of real estate | 486 | 376 | 1,021 | 768 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | | | 297 | |
| Discontinued operations | \$ 486 | \$ 376 | \$ 1,318 | \$ 768 |

14. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

We present both basic and diluted EPS. We compute basic EPS by dividing net income available to common shareholders allocable to unrestricted common shares under the two-class method by the weighted average number of unrestricted common shares outstanding during the period. Our computation of diluted EPS is similar except that:

- the denominator is increased to include: (1) the weighted average number of potential additional common shares that would have been outstanding if securities that are convertible into our common shares were converted; and (2) the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period attributable to share-based compensation using the treasury stock or if-converted methods; and
- the numerator is adjusted to add back any changes in income or loss that would result from the assumed conversion into common shares that we added to the denominator.

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Summaries of the numerator and denominator for purposes of basic and diluted EPS calculations are set forth below (in thousands, except per share data):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 8,330 | \$ 17,675 | \$ 18,156 | \$ 35,449 |
| Add: Gain on sales of real estate, net | 335 | | 352 | |
| Less: Preferred share dividends | (4,026) | (4,026) | (8,051) | (8,051) |
| Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | (649) | (1,378) | (1,318) | (3,348) |
| Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to restricted shares | (250) | (242) | (540) | (510) |
| Numerator for basic and diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders | 3,740 | 12,029 | 8,599 | 23,540 |
| Add: Discontinued operations, net | 486 | 376 | 1,318 | 768 |
| Less: Discontinued operations, net attributable to noncontrolling interests | (36) | (34) | (104) | (83) |
| Numerator for basic and diluted EPS on net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 4,190 | \$ 12,371 | \$ 9,813 | \$ 24,225 |
| Denominator (all weighted averages): | | | | |
| Denominator for basic EPS (common shares) | 58,489 | 56,637 | 58,169 | 54,296 |
| Dilutive effect of share-based compensation awards | 421 | 546 | 405 | 522 |
| Denominator for diluted EPS | 58,910 | 57,183 | 58,574 | 54,818 |
| Basic EPS: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.43 |
| Discontinued operations attributable to COPT common shareholders | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.45 |
| Diluted EPS: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.43 |
| Discontinued operations attributable to COPT common shareholders | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.44 |

Our diluted EPS computations do not include the effects of the following securities since the conversions of such securities would increase diluted EPS for the respective periods:

| | Weighted Average Shares Excluded from Denominator |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Three Months Ended June 30, | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |
| | |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Conversion of common units | 4,558 | 5,483 | 4,786 | 6,363 |
| Conversion of convertible preferred units | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 |
| Conversion of convertible preferred shares | 434 | 434 | 434 | 434 |

The following share-based compensation securities were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because their effect was antidilutive (in thousands):

- weighted average restricted shares for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of 664 and 687, respectively and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of 662 and 647, respectively; and
- weighted average options to purchase common shares for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of 561 and 813, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of 570 and 812, respectively.

Table of Contents

In addition, as discussed in Note 7, we have outstanding senior notes that have an exchange settlement feature but did not affect our diluted EPS reported above since the weighted average closing price of our common shares during each of the periods was less than the exchange prices per common share applicable for such periods.

15. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, we are involved in legal actions arising from our ownership and administration of properties. We establish reserves for specific legal proceedings when we determine that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not anticipate that any liabilities that may result from such proceedings will have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, operations or liquidity. Our assessment of the potential outcomes of these matters involves significant judgment and is subject to change based on future developments.

We are subject to various Federal, state and local environmental regulations related to our property ownership and operation. We have performed environmental assessments of our properties, the results of which have not revealed any environmental liability that we believe would have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, operations or liquidity.

Joint Ventures

In connection with our 2005 contribution of properties to an unconsolidated partnership in which we hold a limited partnership interest, we entered into standard nonrecourse loan guarantees (environmental indemnifications and guarantees against fraud and misrepresentation, including springing guarantees of partnership debt in the event of a voluntary bankruptcy of the partnership). The maximum amount we could be required to pay under the guarantees is approximately \$67 million. We are entitled to recover 20% of any amounts paid under the guarantees from an affiliate of the general partner pursuant to an indemnity agreement so long as we continue to manage the properties. In the event that we no longer manage the properties, the percentage that we are entitled to recover is increased to 80%. Management estimates that the aggregate fair value of the guarantees is not material and would not exceed the amounts included in distributions received in excess of investment in unconsolidated real estate joint venture reported on the consolidated balance sheets.

We are party to a contribution agreement that formed a joint venture relationship with a limited partnership to develop up to 1.8 million square feet of office space on 63 acres of land located in Hanover, Maryland. Under the contribution agreement, we agreed to fund up to \$2,200 in pre-construction costs associated with the property. As we and the joint venture partner agree to proceed with the construction of buildings in the future, our joint venture partner would contribute land into newly-formed entities and we would make additional cash capital contributions into such entities to fund development and construction activities for which financing is not obtained. We owned a 50% interest in one such joint venture as of June 30, 2010.

We may be required to make our pro rata share of additional investments in our real estate joint ventures (generally based on our percentage ownership) in the event that additional funds are needed. In the event that the other members of these joint ventures do not pay their share of investments when additional funds are needed, we may then deem it appropriate to make even larger investments in these joint ventures.

Environmental Indemnity Agreement

We agreed to provide certain environmental indemnifications in connection with a lease of three New Jersey properties. The prior owner of the properties, a Fortune 100 company that is responsible for groundwater contamination at such properties, previously agreed to indemnify us for (1) direct losses incurred in connection with the contamination and (2) its failure to perform remediation activities required

Table of Contents

by the State of New Jersey, up to the point that the state declares the remediation to be complete. Under the lease agreement, we agreed to the following:

- to indemnify the tenant against losses covered under the prior owner's indemnity agreement if the prior owner fails to indemnify the tenant for such losses. This indemnification is limited to \$5,000 in perpetuity after the State of New Jersey declares the remediation to be complete;
- to indemnify the tenant for consequential damages (e.g., business interruption) at one of the buildings in perpetuity and another of the buildings for 15 years after the tenant's acquisition of the property from us. This indemnification is limited to \$12,500; and
- to pay 50% of additional costs related to construction and environmental regulatory activities incurred by the tenant as a result of the indemnified environmental condition of the properties. This indemnification is limited to \$300 annually and \$1,500 in the aggregate.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

We are a specialty office real estate investment trust (REIT) that focuses primarily on strategic customer relationships and specialized tenant requirements in the United States Government, defense information technology and data sectors. We acquire, develop, manage and lease properties that are typically concentrated in large office parks primarily located adjacent to government demand drivers and/or in demographically strong markets possessing growth opportunities. As of June 30, 2010, our investments in real estate included the following:

- 247 wholly owned operating properties totaling 19.5 million square feet;
- 22 wholly owned properties under construction, development or redevelopment that we estimate will total approximately 3.1 million square feet upon completion, including three partially operational properties included above;
- wholly owned land parcels totaling 1,515 acres that we believe are potentially developable into approximately 14.1 million square feet; and
- partial ownership interests in a number of other real estate projects in operations, under development or held for future development.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we:

- had a decrease in net income attributable to common shareholders of \$14.4 million, or 58.1%, from the six months ended June 30, 2009;
- had a decrease of \$6.4 million, or 4.9%, from the six months ended June 30, 2009 in our NOI from continuing real estate operations (defined below) attributable to properties that were owned and 100% operational throughout the two periods (properties that we refer to collectively as Same-Office Properties);
- finished the period with occupancy of our wholly owned portfolio of properties at 88.3%;
- acquired a property in McLean, Virginia totaling 152,000 square feet for \$40.0 million on June 28, 2010;
- placed into service an aggregate of 259,000 square feet in newly constructed space in four properties;
- completed the formation of LW Redstone Company, LLC, a joint venture created to develop Redstone Gateway, a 468-acre land parcel adjacent to Redstone Arsenal in Huntsville, Alabama;
- issued a \$240.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.25% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030 and redeemable by us on or after April 20, 2015; and

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

- increased the borrowing capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility by \$100.0 million, from \$600.0 million to \$700.0 million.

In this section, we discuss our financial condition and results of operations as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. This section includes discussions on, among other things:

- our results of operations and why various components of our Consolidated Statements of Operations changed for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009;
- our cash flows;
- how we expect to generate cash for short and long-term capital needs;
- our commitments and contingencies at June 30, 2010; and
- the computation of our funds from operations.

You should refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements as you read this section.

This section contains forward-looking statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about future events and financial trends affecting the financial condition and operations of our business. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, should, could,

Table of Contents

expect, estimate or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations, estimates and projections reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that these expectations, estimates and projections will be achieved. Future events and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Important factors that may affect these expectations, estimates and projections include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to borrow on favorable terms;
- general economic and business conditions, which will, among other things, affect office property demand and rents, tenant creditworthiness, interest rates and financing availability;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets, including, among other things, increased competition with other companies;
- risks of real estate acquisition and development activities, including, among other things, risks that development projects may not be completed on schedule, that tenants may not take occupancy or pay rent or that development and operating costs may be greater than anticipated;
- risks of investing through joint venture structures, including risks that our joint venture partners may not fulfill their financial obligations as investors or may take actions that are inconsistent with our objectives;
- changes in our plans for properties or our views of market economic conditions that could result in recognition of impairment losses;
- our ability to satisfy and operate effectively under Federal income tax rules relating to real estate investment trusts and partnerships;
- governmental actions and initiatives; and
- environmental requirements.

We undertake no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements.

Occupancy and Leasing

The table below sets forth leasing information pertaining to our portfolio of wholly owned operating properties:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Occupancy rates | | |
| Total | 88.3% | 90.8% |
| Baltimore/Washington Corridor | 89.6% | 91.6% |
| Northern Virginia | 96.0% | 96.6% |
| Greater Baltimore | 81.2% | 80.3% |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|
| Colorado Springs | | 75.1% | | 85.8% |
| Suburban Maryland | | 70.7% | | 91.9% |
| St. Mary s and King George Counties | | 96.0% | | 97.8% |
| Greater Philadelphia | | 100.0% | | 100.0% |
| San Antonio | | 100.0% | | 100.0% |
| Other | | 100.0% | | 100.0% |
| Average contractual annual rental rate per square foot at period end (1) | \$ | 24.72 | \$ | 24.63 |

(1) Includes estimated expense reimbursements.

The decrease in occupancy rates for our properties in Colorado Springs since December 31, 2009 was due primarily to 187,000 newly constructed square feet placed into service during the six months ended June 30, 2010 that were unoccupied. The decrease in occupancy rates for our properties in the Suburban Maryland region since December 31, 2009 was due primarily to 149,000 square feet vacated upon the expiration of two large leases. As discussed in greater detail in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we

Table of Contents

expect that the leasing environment will continue to be under stress from the lagging effects of the global downturn in the economy throughout 2010 and perhaps beyond. We believe that our continuing exposure to the challenging leasing environment is cushioned to a certain extent by the generally long-term nature of our leases and the staggered timing of our future lease expirations.

The table below sets forth occupancy information pertaining to operating properties in which we have a partial ownership interest:

| Geographic Region | Ownership Interest | Occupancy Rates at | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
| Greater Harrisburg, Pennsylvania (1) | 20.0% | 76.4% | 79.0% |
| Suburban Maryland (2) | (2) | 86.2% | 84.1% |
| Baltimore/Washington Corridor (3) | 50.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% |

-
- (1) Includes 16 properties totaling 671,000 square feet.
- (2) Includes three properties totaling 298,000 square feet (we had a 45% ownership interest in 242,000 square feet and a 50% ownership interest in 56,000 square feet).
- (3) Includes one property with 144,000 square feet.

Results of Operations

One manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our properties is through a measure we define as NOI from real estate operations, which is derived by subtracting property operating expenses from revenues from real estate operations. We believe that NOI from real estate operations is an important supplemental measure of performance for a REIT's operating real estate because it provides a measure of the core operations that is unaffected by depreciation, amortization, financing and general and administrative expenses; this measure is particularly useful in our opinion in evaluating the performance of geographic segments, same-office property groupings and individual properties. The amount of NOI from real estate operations included in income from continuing operations is referred to herein as NOI from continuing real estate operations. We view our NOI from continuing real estate as being comprised of the following primary categories:

- operating properties owned and 100% operational throughout the current and prior year reporting periods. We define these as changes from Same-Office Properties ;
- constructed properties placed into service that were not 100% operational throughout the current and prior year reporting periods; and
- operating properties acquired during the current and prior year reporting periods.

The primary manner in which we evaluate the operating performance of our construction contract and other service activities is through a measure we define as NOI from service operations, which is based on the net of the revenues and expenses from these activities. The revenues

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

and expenses from these activities consist primarily of subcontracted costs that are reimbursed to us by customers along with a management fee. The operating margins from these activities are small relative to the revenue. We believe NOI from service operations is a useful measure in assessing both our level of activity and our profitability in conducting such operations.

We believe that operating income, as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Operations, is the most directly comparable GAAP measure for both NOI from continuing real estate operations and NOI from service operations. Since both of these measures exclude certain items includable in operating income, reliance on these measures has limitations; management compensates for these limitations by using the measures simply as supplemental measures that are considered alongside other GAAP and non-GAAP measures.

The table below reconciles NOI from continuing real estate operations and NOI from service operations to operating income reported on our Consolidated Statement of Operations (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| NOI from continuing real estate operations | \$ 69,252 | \$ 67,907 | \$ 133,345 | \$ 135,051 |
| NOI from service operations | 663 | 2,163 | 1,629 | 3,729 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | (29,548) | (28,493) | (57,144) | (54,770) |
| General and administrative expense | (5,926) | (5,834) | (11,826) | (11,377) |
| Business development expenses | (465) | (446) | (620) | (1,092) |
| Operating income | \$ 33,976 | \$ 35,297 | \$ 65,384 | \$ 71,541 |

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | Variance |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 109,257 | \$ 105,007 | \$ 4,250 |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 26,065 | 103,324 | (77,259) |
| Total revenues | 135,322 | 208,331 | (73,009) |
| Expenses | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 40,005 | 37,100 | 2,905 |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 29,548 | 28,493 | 1,055 |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 25,402 | 101,161 | (75,759) |
| General and administrative expense | 5,926 | 5,834 | 92 |
| Business development expenses | 465 | 446 | 19 |
| Total operating expenses | 101,346 | 173,034 | (71,688) |
| Operating income | 33,976 | 35,297 | (1,321) |
| Interest expense | (25,812) | (18,620) | (7,192) |
| Interest and other income | 245 | 1,252 | (1,007) |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities | (72) | (202) | 130 |
| Income tax expense | (7) | (52) | 45 |
| Income from continuing operations | 8,330 | 17,675 | (9,345) |
| Discontinued operations | 486 | 376 | 110 |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes | 335 | | 335 |
| Net income | 9,151 | 18,051 | (8,900) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (685) | (1,412) | 727 |
| Preferred share dividends | (4,026) | (4,026) | |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 4,440 | \$ 12,613 | \$ (8,173) |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

NOI from Continuing Real Estate Operations

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Same office properties | \$ 98,666 | \$ 100,687 | \$ (2,021) |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 4,801 | 1,654 | 3,147 |
| Acquired properties | 4,994 | 17 | 4,977 |
| Other | 796 | 2,649 | (1,853) |
| | 109,257 | 105,007 | 4,250 |
| Property operating expenses | | | |
| Same office properties | 34,357 | 35,535 | (1,178) |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 1,844 | 561 | 1,283 |
| Acquired properties | 2,154 | | 2,154 |
| Other | 1,650 | 1,004 | 646 |
| | 40,005 | 37,100 | 2,905 |
| NOI from continuing real estate operations | | | |
| Same office properties | 64,309 | 65,152 | (843) |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 2,957 | 1,093 | 1,864 |
| Acquired properties | 2,840 | 17 | 2,823 |
| Other | (854) | 1,645 | (2,499) |
| | \$ 69,252 | \$ 67,907 | \$ 1,345 |

As the table above indicates, much of our change in NOI from continuing real estate operations was attributable to the additions of properties through construction and acquisition activities. In addition, the lines in the table entitled "Other" include the effects of vacancies at three properties that we expect to redevelop, including approximately 300,000 square feet at two properties in Greater Philadelphia; we recognized a \$2.3 million decrease in NOI from continuing real estate operations attributable to these properties.

With regard to changes in NOI from continuing real estate operations attributable to the Same-Office Properties:

- the decrease in revenues was attributable primarily to changes in rental rates and occupancy between the two periods (average occupancy of same office properties was 89.2% in the current period versus 92.1% in the prior period); and
- the decrease in property operating expenses included a decrease of \$912,000 in electric utilities expense, which included the effects of rate decreases for most of our Maryland properties and certain cost reduction initiatives, and a decrease of \$829,000 in bad debt expense.

NOI from Service Operations

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Variance |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | \$ 26,065 | \$ 103,324 | \$ (77,259) |

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|----|--------|----|---------|----|----------|
| Construction contract and other service expenses | | 25,402 | | 101,161 | | (75,759) |
| NOI from service operations | \$ | 663 | \$ | 2,163 | \$ | (1,500) |

NOI from service operations decreased due primarily to a lower volume of construction activity in connection with one large construction contract.

Table of Contents*Interest expense*

The increase in interest expense included the effect of a \$321.5 million increase in our average outstanding debt resulting from our financing of acquisition and construction activities. Also included was an increase in our weighted average interest rates of debt from 4.68% to 5.26% resulting primarily from our refinancing of variable rate debt with higher fixed rate debt.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

| | 2010 | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | Variance |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Revenues from real estate operations | \$ 221,485 | \$ 211,115 | \$ 10,370 | |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | 63,430 | 178,213 | (114,783) | |
| Total revenues | 284,915 | 389,328 | (104,413) | |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Property operating expenses | 88,140 | 76,064 | 12,076 | |
| Depreciation and amortization associated with real estate operations | 57,144 | 54,770 | 2,374 | |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 61,801 | 174,484 | (112,683) | |
| General and administrative expense | 11,826 | 11,377 | 449 | |
| Business development expenses | 620 | 1,092 | (472) | |
| Total operating expenses | 219,531 | 317,787 | (98,256) | |
| Operating income | 65,384 | 71,541 | (6,157) | |
| Interest expense | (48,450) | (37,983) | (10,467) | |
| Interest and other income | 1,547 | 2,330 | (783) | |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities | (277) | (317) | 40 | |
| Income tax expense | (48) | (122) | 74 | |
| Income from continuing operations | 18,156 | 35,449 | (17,293) | |
| Discontinued operations | 1,318 | 768 | 550 | |
| Gain on sales of real estate, net of income taxes | 352 | | 352 | |
| Net income | 19,826 | 36,217 | (16,391) | |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,422) | (3,431) | 2,009 | |
| Preferred share dividends | (8,051) | (8,051) | | |
| Net income attributable to COPT common shareholders | \$ 10,353 | \$ 24,735 | \$ (14,382) | |

Table of Contents*NOI from Continuing Real Estate Operations*

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|--|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | Variance |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Same office properties | \$ 200,435 | \$ 203,684 | | \$ (3,249) |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 8,940 | 2,330 | | 6,610 |
| Acquired properties | 10,411 | 17 | | 10,394 |
| Other | 1,699 | 5,084 | | (3,385) |
| | 221,485 | 211,115 | | 10,370 |
| Property operating expenses | | | | |
| Same office properties | 76,347 | 73,173 | | 3,174 |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 3,610 | 1,023 | | 2,587 |
| Acquired properties | 4,272 | | | 4,272 |
| Other | 3,911 | 1,868 | | 2,043 |
| | 88,140 | 76,064 | | 12,076 |
| NOI from continuing real estate operations | | | | |
| Same office properties | 124,088 | 130,511 | | (6,423) |
| Constructed properties placed in service | 5,330 | 1,307 | | 4,023 |
| Acquired properties | 6,139 | 17 | | 6,122 |
| Other | (2,212) | 3,216 | | (5,428) |
| | \$ 133,345 | \$ 135,051 | | \$ (1,706) |

As the table above indicates, much of our change in NOI from continuing real estate operations was attributable to the additions of properties through construction and acquisition activities. In addition, the lines in the table entitled "Other" include the effects of vacancies in the three properties that we expect to redevelop that are described above; we recognized a \$4.7 million decrease in NOI from continuing real estate operations attributable to these properties.

With regard to changes in NOI from continuing real estate operations attributable to Same-Office Properties:

- the decrease in revenues included the following:
 - a \$3.5 million decrease in rental revenue attributable primarily to changes in rental rates and occupancy between the two periods (average occupancy of same office properties was 89.6% in the current period versus 92.3% in the prior period); and
 - a \$2.4 million decrease in net revenue from the early termination of leases, most of which was due to the early termination of one lease at a property in Northern Virginia in the prior period; offset in part by
 - a \$2.7 million increase in tenant recoveries and other revenue due primarily to the increase in property operating expenses described below.
- the increase in property operating expenses included the following:

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

- a \$4.5 million increase in snow removal expenses due primarily to increased snow and ice in most of our regions; offset in part by
- a \$1.3 million decrease in bad debt expense.

Table of Contents*NOI from Service Operations*

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | | Variance |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Construction contract and other service revenues | \$ 63,430 | \$ 178,213 | \$ | (114,783) |
| Construction contract and other service expenses | 61,801 | 174,484 | | (112,683) |
| NOI from service operations | \$ 1,629 | \$ 3,729 | \$ | (2,100) |

NOI from service operations decreased due primarily to a lower volume of construction activity in connection with one large construction contract.

Interest expense

The increase in interest expense included the effect of a \$267.6 million increase in our average outstanding debt and an increase in our weighted average interest rates of debt from 4.75% to 5.04% for the reasons described above for the three month periods.

Funds From Operations

Funds from operations (FFO) is defined as net income computed using GAAP, excluding gains on sales of operating properties, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization. Gains from sales of newly-developed properties less accumulated depreciation, if any, required under GAAP are included in FFO on the basis that development services are the primary revenue generating activity; we believe that inclusion of these development gains is in accordance with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) definition of FFO, although others may interpret the definition differently. We believe that FFO is useful to management and investors as a supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains related to sales of previously depreciated operating real estate properties and excluding real estate-related depreciation and amortization, FFO can help one compare our operating performance between periods. In addition, since most equity REITs provide FFO information to the investment community, we believe that FFO is useful to investors as a supplemental measure for comparing our results to those of other equity REITs. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO.

Since FFO excludes certain items includable in net income, reliance on the measure has limitations; management compensates for these limitations by using the measure simply as a supplemental measure that is weighed in the balance with other GAAP and non GAAP measures. FFO is not necessarily an indication of our cash flow available to fund cash needs. Additionally, it should not be used as an alternative to net income when evaluating our financial performance or to cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities when evaluating our liquidity or ability to make cash distributions or pay debt service. The FFO we present may not be comparable to the FFO presented by other REITs since they may interpret the current NAREIT definition of FFO differently or they may not use the current NAREIT definition of FFO.

Basic FFO available to common share and common unit holders (Basic FFO) is FFO adjusted to subtract (1) preferred share dividends, (2) income attributable to noncontrolling interests through ownership of preferred units in the Operating Partnership or interests in other

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

consolidated entities not owned by us, (3) depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities and (4) Basic FFO allocable to restricted shares. With these adjustments, Basic FFO represents FFO available to common shareholders and common unitholders. Common units in the Operating Partnership are substantially similar to our common shares and are exchangeable into common shares, subject to certain conditions. We believe that Basic FFO is useful to investors due to the close correlation of common units to common shares. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Basic FFO. Basic FFO has essentially the same limitations as FFO; management compensates for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for FFO.

Diluted FFO available to common share and common unit holders (Diluted FFO) is Basic FFO adjusted to add back any changes in Basic FFO that would result from the assumed conversion of securities

Table of Contents

that are convertible or exchangeable into common shares. We believe that Diluted FFO is useful to investors because it is the numerator used to compute Diluted FFO per share, discussed below. We believe that the numerator for diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Diluted FFO. Since Diluted FFO excludes certain items includable in the numerator to diluted EPS, reliance on the measure has limitations; management compensates for these limitations by using the measure simply as a supplemental measure that is weighed in the balance with other GAAP and non-GAAP measures. Diluted FFO is not necessarily an indication of our cash flow available to fund cash needs. Additionally, it should not be used as an alternative to net income when evaluating our financial performance or to cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities when evaluating our liquidity or ability to make cash distributions or pay debt service. The Diluted FFO that we present may not be comparable to the Diluted FFO presented by other REITs.

Diluted FFO, excluding operating property acquisition costs is defined as Diluted FFO adjusted to exclude acquisition costs. We believe that operating property acquisition costs are not reflective of normal operations and, as a result, we believe that a measure that excludes this item is a useful supplemental measure in evaluating our operating performance. We believe that the numerator to diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to this non-GAAP measure. This measure has essentially the same limitations as Diluted FFO, as well as the further limitation of not reflecting operating property acquisition costs; we compensate for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for Diluted FFO.

Diluted FFO per share is (1) Diluted FFO divided by (2) the sum of the (a) weighted average common shares outstanding during a period, (b) weighted average common units outstanding during a period and (c) weighted average number of potential additional common shares that would have been outstanding during a period if other securities that are convertible or exchangeable into common shares were converted or exchanged. We believe that Diluted FFO per share is useful to investors because it provides investors with a further context for evaluating our FFO results in the same manner that investors use earnings per share (EPS) in evaluating net income available to common shareholders. In addition, since most equity REITs provide Diluted FFO per share information to the investment community, we believe that Diluted FFO per share is a useful supplemental measure for comparing us to other equity REITs. We believe that diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Diluted FFO per share. Diluted FFO per share has most of the same limitations as Diluted FFO (described above); management compensates for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for Diluted FFO.

Diluted FFO per share, excluding operating property acquisition costs is (1) Diluted FFO, excluding operating property acquisition costs divided by (2) the sum of the (a) weighted average common shares outstanding during a period, (b) weighted average common units outstanding during a period and (c) weighted average number of potential additional common shares that would have been outstanding during a period if other securities that are convertible or exchangeable into common shares were converted or exchanged. We believe that this measure is useful to investors because it provides investors with a further context for evaluating our FFO results. We believe that diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to this per share measure. This measure has most of the same limitations as Diluted FFO (described above) as well as the further limitation of not reflecting the effect of operating property acquisition costs; we compensate for these limitations in essentially the same manner as described above for Diluted FFO.

The computations for all of the above measures on a diluted basis assume the conversion of common units in our Operating Partnership but do not assume the conversion of other securities that are convertible into common shares if the conversion of those securities would increase per share measures in a given period. The table below sets forth the computation of the above stated measures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and provides reconciliations to the GAAP measures associated with such measures (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

Edgar Filing: CORPORATE OFFICE PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 9,151 | \$ 18,051 | \$ 19,826 | \$ 36,217 |
| Add: Real estate-related depreciation and amortization | 29,548 | 28,708 | 57,151 | 55,199 |
| Add: Depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated real estate entities | 171 | 161 | 346 | 321 |
| Less: Gain on sales of operating properties, net of income taxes | | | (297) | |
| FFO | 38,870 | 46,920 | 77,026 | 91,737 |
| Less: Noncontrolling interests-preferred units in the Operating Partnership | (165) | (165) | (330) | (330) |
| Less: Noncontrolling interests-other consolidated entities | (156) | 25 | (201) | (25) |
| Less: Preferred share dividends | (4,026) | (4,026) | (8,051) | (8,051) |
| Less: Depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities | (297) | (107) | (579) | (160) |
| Less: Basic and diluted FFO allocable to restricted shares | (346) | (450) | (725) | (903) |
| Basic and Diluted FFO | 33,880 | 42,197 | 67,140 | 82,268 |
| Add: Operating property acquisition costs | 271 | | 290 | |
| Diluted FFO, excluding operating property acquisition costs | \$ 34,151 | \$ 42,197 | \$ 67,430 | \$ 82,268 |
| Weighted average common shares | 58,489 | 56,637 | 58,169 | 54,296 |
| Conversion of weighted average common units | 4,558 | 5,483 | 4,786 | 6,363 |
| Weighted average common shares/units - Basic FFO | 63,047 | 62,120 | 62,955 | 60,659 |
| Dilutive effect of share-based compensation awards | 421 | 546 | 405 | 522 |
| Weighted average common shares/units - Diluted FFO | 63,468 | 62,666 | 63,360 | 61,181 |
| Diluted FFO per share | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.34 |
| Diluted FFO per share, excluding operating property acquisition costs | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.34 |
| Numerator for diluted EPS | \$ 4,190 | \$ 12,371 | \$ 9,813 | \$ 24,225 |
| Add: Income allocable to noncontrolling interests-common units in the Operating Partnership | 364 | 1,272 | 891 | 3,076 |
| Add: Real estate-related depreciation and amortization | 29,548 | 28,708 | 57,151 | 55,199 |
| Add: Depreciation and amortization of unconsolidated real estate entities | 171 | 161 | 346 | 321 |
| Add: Numerator for diluted EPS allocable to restricted shares | 250 | 242 | 540 | 510 |
| Less: Depreciation and amortization allocable to noncontrolling interests in other consolidated entities | (297) | (107) | (579) | (160) |
| Less: Basic and diluted FFO allocable to restricted shares | (346) | (450) | (725) | (903) |
| Less: Gain on sales of operating properties, net of income taxes | | | (297) | |
| Basic and Diluted FFO | 33,880 | 42,197 | 67,140 | 82,268 |
| Add: Operating property acquisition costs | 271 | | 290 | |
| Diluted FFO, excluding operating property acquisition costs | \$ 34,151 | \$ 42,197 | \$ 67,430 | \$ 82,268 |
| Denominator for diluted EPS | 58,910 | 57,183 | 58,574 | 54,818 |
| Weighted average common units | 4,558 | 5,483 | 4,786 | 6,363 |
| Denominator for Diluted FFO per share | 63,468 | | | |