

Mellanox Technologies, Ltd.
Form 10-Q
August 11, 2014
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period to

Commission File No. 001-33299

MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

ISRAEL
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

98-0233400
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

BEIT MELLANOX, YOKNEAM, ISRAEL
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

20692
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: **+972-74-723-7200**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

The total number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Ordinary Shares, nominal value of NIS 0.0175 per share, as of August 8, 2014, was 44,956,215.

Table of Contents

MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.

	Page No.
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	6
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
<u>ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	34
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>ITEM 1A. Risk Factors</u>	35
<u>ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	38
<u>ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	38
<u>ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	38
<u>ITEM 5. Other Information</u>	38
<u>ITEM 6. Exhibits</u>	38

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)**

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,022	\$ 63,164
Short-term investments	296,051	263,528
Accounts receivable, net	69,361	70,566
Inventories	37,092	35,963
Deferred taxes and other current assets	21,054	17,581
Total current assets	467,580	450,802
Property and equipment, net	68,172	70,815
Severance assets	10,439	10,630
Intangible assets, net	47,877	54,362
Goodwill	199,558	199,558
Deferred taxes and other long-term assets	22,236	20,613
Total assets	\$ 815,862	\$ 806,780
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 30,190	\$ 29,964
Accrued liabilities	55,755	52,588
Deferred revenue	13,792	15,849
Capital lease liabilities, current	1,241	1,245
Total current liabilities	100,978	99,646
Accrued severance	13,286	13,418
Deferred revenue	8,430	9,045
Capital lease liabilities	1,043	1,600
Other long-term liabilities	16,460	17,091
Total liabilities	140,197	140,800
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 8)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Ordinary shares	189	185
Additional paid-in capital	581,485	550,795
Accumulated other comprehensive income	721	1,390
Retained earnings	93,270	113,610
Total shareholders' equity	675,665	665,980
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 815,862	\$ 806,780

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Total revenues	\$ 102,574	\$ 98,168	\$ 201,279	\$ 181,248
Cost of revenues	34,292	32,168	68,111	61,116
Gross profit	68,282	66,000	133,168	120,132
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	49,506	38,869	97,843	77,018
Sales and marketing	18,722	16,797	38,001	33,211
General and administrative	9,462	10,047	17,677	17,532
Total operating expenses	77,690	65,713	153,521	127,761
Income (loss) from operations	(9,408)	287	(20,353)	(7,629)
Other income, net	357	232	591	445
Income (loss) before taxes	(9,051)	519	(19,762)	(7,184)
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income	76	(2,258)	(578)	(3,012)
Net loss	\$ (8,975)	\$ (1,739)	\$ (20,340)	\$ (10,196)
Net loss per share basic	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.24)
Net loss per share diluted	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.24)
Shares used in per share calculation:				
Basic	44,671	43,284	44,475	43,093
Diluted	44,671	43,284	44,475	43,093

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousands)			
Net loss	\$ (8,975)	\$ (1,739)	\$ (20,340)	\$ (10,196)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:				
Change in unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities, net	8	(65)	16	(7)
Change in unrealized gains/losses on derivative contracts, net	43	(1,055)	(685)	(622)
Total comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ (8,924)	\$ (2,859)	\$ (21,009)	\$ (10,825)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (20,340)	\$ (10,196)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	19,458	15,186
Deferred income taxes	807	(1,930)
Share-based compensation	23,585	21,540
Gain on investments	(1,200)	(439)
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation		(1,939)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,205	(9,086)
Inventories	(1,562)	5,895
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(4,710)	2,990
Accounts payable	594	(7,514)
Accrued liabilities and other payables	(5)	(9,652)
Net cash provided by operating activities	17,832	4,855
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of severance-related insurance policies	(409)	(412)
Purchases of short-term investments	(159,388)	(81,823)
Proceeds from sales of short-term investments	90,321	103,339
Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments	37,760	50,447
Increase in restricted cash deposits	(103)	(47,001)
Purchase of property and equipment	(10,265)	(18,949)
Purchase of intangibles		(6,327)
Purchase of equity investment in a private company	(1,438)	(3,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(43,522)	(3,726)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(561)	(695)
Proceeds from exercise of share awards	7,109	7,053
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation		1,939
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,548	8,297
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(19,142)	9,426
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	63,164	117,054
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 44,022	\$ 126,480

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Company

Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. (the Company or Mellanox) was incorporated in Israel and commenced operations in March 1999. Mellanox is a supplier of high-performance interconnect products for computing, storage and communications applications.

Principles of presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the Company's accounts as well as those of its wholly owned subsidiaries after the elimination of all intercompany balances and transactions.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q have been prepared by the Company without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The year-end unaudited condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. However, the Company believes that the disclosures contained in this quarterly report comply with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for a quarterly report on Form 10-Q and are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2014. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be anticipated for the entire year ending December 31, 2014 or thereafter.

Risks and uncertainties

The Company is subject to all of the risks inherent in a company which operates in the dynamic and competitive semiconductor industry. Significant changes in any of the following areas could have a materially adverse impact on the Company's financial position and results of

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

operations: unpredictable volume or timing of customer orders; ordered product mix; the sales outlook and purchasing patterns of the Company's customers based on consumer demands and general economic conditions; loss of one or more of the Company's customers; decreases in the average selling prices of products or increases in the average cost of finished goods; the availability, pricing and timeliness of delivery of components used in the Company's products; reliance on a limited number of subcontractors to manufacture, assemble, package and production test the Company's products; the Company's ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new or enhanced products in a timely manner; product obsolescence and the Company's ability to manage product transitions; the timing of announcements or introductions of new products by the Company's competitors; and the Company's ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses.

Additionally, the Company has a significant presence in Israel, including research and development activities, corporate facilities and sales support operations. Uncertainty surrounding the political, economic and military conditions in Israel may directly impact the Company's financial results.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenue and expenses in the reporting periods. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions related to revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts, sales returns and allowances, investment valuation, warranty reserves, inventory reserves, share-based compensation expense, long-term asset valuations, goodwill and purchased intangible asset valuation, hedge effectiveness, deferred income tax asset valuation, uncertain tax positions, litigation and other loss

Table of Contents

contingencies. These estimates and assumptions are based on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the recording of revenue, costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results that the Company experiences may differ materially and adversely from the Company's original estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and actual results, the Company's future results of operations will be affected.

Significant accounting policies

There have been no changes in the Company's significant accounting policies that were disclosed in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. See its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2014, for a discussion of significant accounting policies and estimates.

Concentration of credit risk

The following table summarizes the revenues from customers (including original equipment manufacturers) in excess of 10% of the total revenues:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	2014	June 30, 2013	2014	June 30, 2013
IBM	11%	19%	10%	19%
Dell	*	*	11%	*
Hewlett Packard	*	10%	*	13%

* Less than 10%

The following table summarizes the accounts receivable balance in excess of 10% of the total accounts receivable:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Hon Hai Precision	10%	*
Hewlett Packard	*	11%
IBM	*	10%

* Less than 10%

Product warranty

The following table provides the changes in the product warranty accrual for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	Six Months Ended		2013
	2014	June 30,	
	(in thousands)		
Balance, beginning of the period	\$	4,198	\$ 4,447
Warranties issued during the period		2,950	4,814
Reversal of warranty reserves		(803)	(909)
Settlements during the period		(2,490)	(5,794)
Balance, end of the period	\$	3,855	\$ 2,558

Table of Contents*Net income (loss) per share*

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net loss	\$ (8,975)	\$ (1,739)	\$ (20,340)	\$ (10,196)
Basic and diluted shares:				
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding used to compute basic net loss per share	44,671	43,284	44,475	43,093
Dilutive effect of employee share option plans				
Shares used to compute diluted net loss per share	44,671	43,284	44,475	43,093
Net loss per share basic	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.24)
Net loss per share diluted	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.24)

The Company excluded 1,372,376 and 839,133 outstanding shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, from the computation of diluted net income per ordinary share, because including these outstanding shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect.

The Company excluded 838,270 and 763,810 outstanding shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from the computation of diluted net income per ordinary share, because including these outstanding shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect.

Recent accounting pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2014, the Company adopted the authoritative guidance, issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in July 2013, which requires that an unrecognized tax benefit, or portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, be presented as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward. If an applicable deferred tax asset is not available or a company does not expect to use the applicable deferred tax asset, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented as a liability in the financial statements and should not be combined with an unrelated deferred tax asset. The adoption of this guidance had no significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to revenue recognition. This new standard will replace all current GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate all industry-specific guidance. The new revenue recognition standard provides a unified model to determine when and how revenue is recognized. This guidance will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2017 and can be applied either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTE 2 BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS:**

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
(In thousands)		
Accounts receivable, net:		
Accounts receivable	\$ 70,022	\$ 71,205
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(661)	(639)
	\$ 69,361	\$ 70,566
Inventories:		
Raw materials	\$ 6,744	\$ 4,385
Work-in-process	13,473	12,187
Finished goods	16,875	19,391
	\$ 37,092	\$ 35,963
Deferred taxes and other current assets:		
Prepaid expenses	\$ 7,815	\$ 5,929
Derivative contracts receivable	711	1,396
Deferred taxes	7,011	7,336
VAT receivable	4,117	1,900
Other	1,400	1,020
	\$ 21,054	\$ 17,581
Property and equipment, net:		
Computer equipment and software	\$ 101,327	\$ 91,368
Furniture and fixtures	3,219	3,809
Leasehold improvements	32,233	31,608
	136,779	126,785
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(68,607)	(55,970)
	\$ 68,172	\$ 70,815
Deferred taxes and other long-term assets:		
Equity investments in private companies	\$ 8,986	\$ 7,548
Deferred taxes	7,188	7,155
Restricted cash	3,617	3,514
Other assets	2,445	2,396
	\$ 22,236	\$ 20,613
Accrued liabilities:		
Payroll and related expenses	\$ 27,513	\$ 29,350
Accrued expenses	17,002	12,315
Product warranty liability	3,855	4,198
Other	7,385	6,725
	\$ 55,755	\$ 52,588
Other long-term liabilities:		
Deferred tax liability and Income tax payable	\$ 12,482	\$ 13,026
Deferred rent 2,797 2,797	2,985	3,072
Other	993	993
	\$ 16,460	\$ 17,091

NOTE 3 BUSINESS COMBINATION:

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

On July 1, 2013, the Company completed its acquisition of a privately held company, IPtronics A/S (IPtronics). On August 15, 2013, the Company completed its acquisition of a privately held company, Kotura, Inc (Kotura). The Company's primary reason for the IPtronics and Kotura acquisitions was to enhance its ability to deliver cost-effective, high-speed networks with next generation optical connectivity at 100Gb/s and beyond. The acquisitions also enhanced the Company's engineering team and added a strong patent portfolio in the field of silicon photonics.

The following table presents details of the purchase consideration related to each acquisition:

Company Acquired	Cash Consideration		Cash Assumed (in thousands)	Net Cash Paid
	Paid			
IPtronics	\$ 44,925	\$ 2,077	\$ 42,848	
Kotura	80,772	101	80,671	
Total	\$ 125,697	\$ 2,178	\$ 123,519	

In conjunction with the IPtronics acquisition, the Company issued 60,508 restricted share units (RSUs) of the Company's ordinary shares with an aggregate value of \$3.0 million in exchange for RSUs of IPtronics. The fair value of the RSUs is based on the closing price of the Company's ordinary shares on July 1, 2013 of \$49.92. The RSU grants will result in compensation expense of \$3.0 million, which will be recognized over the vesting period of four years.

In conjunction with the Kotura acquisition, the Company issued options to purchase 31,653 shares of the Company's ordinary shares and 145,425 RSUs of the Company's ordinary shares with an aggregate value of \$6.4 million, in exchange for options to purchase shares and RSUs of Kotura. This grant will result in compensation expense of \$6.4 million, which will be recognized over the remaining vesting period of these equity awards, which ranges from one day to four years.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the exchanged options was determined using a Black-Scholes valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions: expected life of 4.72 years, volatility of 57.5%, risk-free interest rate of 1.54%, and dividend yield of zero. The fair value of the exchanged RSUs was determined based on the per share value of the underlying Company ordinary shares of \$42.19 per share at August 15, 2013.

The Company accounted for both transactions using the acquisition method, and accordingly, the consideration has been allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the basis of their respective estimated fair value on the respective acquisition date. The Company's allocation of the total purchase price for each transaction is summarized below:

	IPtronics	Kotura (in thousands)	Total
Current assets, net of cash	\$ 2,173	\$ 5,252	\$ 7,425
Other long-term assets	974	10,603	11,577
Intangible assets	17,495	27,604	45,099
Goodwill	25,725	40,948	66,673
Total assets	46,367	84,407	130,774
Current liabilities	(2,668)	(3,357)	(6,025)
Long-term liabilities	(851)	(379)	(1,230)
Total liabilities	(3,519)	(3,736)	(7,255)
Total preliminary purchase price allocation	\$ 42,848	\$ 80,671	\$ 123,519

Identifiable intangible assets

Intangible assets acquired and their respective estimated remaining useful lives over which each asset will be amortized are:

Purchased intangible assets:	Fair value (in thousands)	Weighted Average Useful life (in years)
Licensed technology	\$ 135	6
Developed technology	27,827	5
In-process research and development	13,764	
Customer relationship	2,420	1-2
Backlog	953	Less than 1
Total purchased intangible assets	\$ 45,099	

Developed technology represents completed technology that has reached technological feasibility and/or is currently offered for sale to customers. The Company used the income approach to value the developed technology. Under the income approach, the expected future cash flows from each technology are estimated and discounted to their net present values at an appropriate risk-adjusted rate of return. Significant factors considered in the calculation of the rate of return are the weighted average cost of capital and the return on assets. The Company applied a discount rate of 17.5% for IPtronics and 15.5% for Kotura to value the developed technology assets, taking into consideration market rates of return on debt and equity capital and the risk associated with achieving forecasted revenues related to these assets.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

In-process research and development (IPR&D) represents projects that have not yet reached technological feasibility. Technological feasibility is defined as being equivalent to completion of a beta-phase working prototype in which there is no remaining risk relating to the development. As of the acquisition date, IPtronics was involved in research and development projects related to its laser-drivers, modulator-drivers, and trans-impedance-amplifier for 25Gb/s, enabling fast communication at 4x25Gb/s for interconnect solutions. Each of these projects is focused on developing and later integrating new technologies while broadening features and functionalities. There is a risk that these development efforts and enhancements will not be competitive with other products on cost and functionality.

Table of Contents

As of the acquisition date, Kotura was involved in research and development projects related to its silicon photonics modulator for 25Gb/s, enabling fast communication at 4x25Gb/s and wavelength-division multiplexing WDM for interconnect products. Each of these projects is focused on developing and later integrating new technologies and broadening features and functionalities. There is a risk that these development efforts and enhancements will not be competitive with other products using alternative technologies that offer comparable functionality.

Upon successful completion of the development process for the acquired IPR&D projects, the assets will then be considered finite-lived intangible assets and amortization of the assets will commence. As of June 30, 2014, none of the projects has been completed and progressed as previously estimated at the acquisitions.

The following table summarizes the significant assumptions underlying the valuations of IPR&D at acquisition:

Company	Development Projects	Average	Estimated	Risk	Fair value (in thousands)
		Estimated time to complete (in months)	cost to complete (in thousands)	Adjusted Discount Rate (%)	
IPtronics	Modulator drivers - 4x25Gb/s	18	\$ 9,549	19.5%	\$ 4,121
Kotura	Silicon photonics modulator - 4x25Gb/s	18	17,210	16.5%	9,643
			\$ 26,759		\$ 13,764

Customer relationships represent the fair value of future projected revenues that will be derived from the sale of products to existing customers of the acquired company. The Company used the comparative method (with/without) of the income approach to determine the fair value of this intangible asset and utilized a discount rate of 15.5%.

Backlog represents the fair value of sales order backlog as of the valuation date. The Company used the income approach to determine the fair value of this intangible asset.

The goodwill arising from these acquisitions is primarily attributed to sales of future products and the assembled workforce. Goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill is not being amortized but is reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise, in accordance with authoritative guidance.

The following table presents certain unaudited pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 for illustrative purposes only, as if IPtronics and Kotura had been acquired on January 1, 2013. The unaudited estimated pro forma information combines the historical results of IPtronics and Kotura with the Company's consolidated historical results and includes certain adjustments reflecting the estimated impact of fair value adjustments for the respective periods. The pro forma information is not indicative of what would have occurred had the acquisitions taken place on January 1, 2013. Additionally, the pro forma financial information does not include the impact of possible business model changes between IPtronics, Kotura and the Company. The Company expects to achieve further business synergies as a result of the acquisitions that are not reflected in the pro forma amounts that follow. As a result, actual results will differ from the unaudited pro forma information presented:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands, except per share data)	
Pro forma net revenue	\$	191,269
Pro forma net loss	\$	(15,499)
Pro forma net loss per share basic	\$	(0.36)
Pro forma net loss per share diluted	\$	(0.36)

NOTE 4 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

Fair value hierarchy

The Company measures its cash equivalents and marketable securities at fair value. The Company's cash equivalents are classified within Level 1. Cash equivalents are valued primarily using quoted market prices utilizing market observable inputs. The Company's investments in debt securities and certificates of deposits are classified within Level 2 as the market inputs to value these instruments consist of market yields, reported trades and broker/dealer quotes. In addition, foreign currency contracts are classified within Level 2

Table of Contents

as the valuation inputs are based on quoted prices and market observable data of similar instruments. The Level 3 valuation inputs include the Company's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the instrument's valuation. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company did not have any financial assets or liabilities valued based on Level 3 valuations.

The following table presents the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial assets measured at fair value as of June 30, 2014. There were no financial liabilities as of June 30, 2014.

	Level 1	Level 2 (in thousands)	Total
Money market funds	\$ 7,800	\$	\$ 7,800
Certificates of deposits		75,551	75,551
U.S. Government and agency securities		63,677	63,677
Commercial paper		44,150	44,150
Corporate bonds		99,739	99,739
Municipal bonds		9,719	9,719
Foreign government bonds		3,215	3,215
Forward contracts		711	711
Total financial assets	\$ 7,800	\$ 296,762	\$ 304,562

The following table represents the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial assets measured at fair value as of December 31, 2013. There were no financial liabilities as of December 31, 2013.

	Level 1	Level 2 (in thousands)	Total
Money market funds	\$ 20,000	\$	\$ 20,000
Certificates of deposit		67,769	67,769
U.S. Government and agency securities		69,879	69,879
Commercial paper		33,606	33,606
Corporate bonds		92,274	92,274
Derivative contracts		1,396	1,396
Total financial assets	\$ 20,000	\$ 264,924	\$ 284,924

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

NOTE 5 INVESTMENTS:*Cash, Cash equivalents and Short-term investments*

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

The short-term investments are classified as available-for-sale securities. The cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2014		Estimated Fair Value
		Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
(in thousands)				
Cash	\$ 36,222	\$	\$	\$ 36,222
Money market funds	7,800			7,800
Certificates of deposits	75,560	1	(10)	75,551
U.S. Government and agency securities	63,659	37	(19)	63,677
Commercial paper	44,123	28	(1)	44,150
Corporate bonds	99,735	55	(51)	99,739
Municipal bonds	9,738		(19)	9,719
Foreign government bonds	3,226		(11)	3,215
Total	\$ 340,063	\$ 121	\$ (111)	\$ 340,073
Less amounts classified as cash and cash equivalents	(44,022)			(44,022)
	\$ 296,041	\$ 121	\$ (111)	\$ 296,051

	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2013		Estimated Fair Value
		Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
(in thousands)				
Cash	\$ 43,164	\$	\$	\$ 43,164
Money market funds	20,000			20,000
Certificates of deposit	67,775	1	(7)	67,769
U.S. Government and agency securities	69,859	22	(2)	69,879
Commercial paper	33,602	9	(5)	33,606
Corporate bonds	92,298	16	(40)	92,274
Total	\$ 326,698	\$ 48	\$ (54)	\$ 326,692
Less amounts classified as cash and cash equivalents	(63,164)			(63,164)
	\$ 263,534	\$ 48	\$ (54)	\$ 263,528

Table of Contents

Realized gains upon the sale of marketable securities were \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Realized gains upon the sale of marketable securities were \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014, the investments with gross unrealized losses were not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired and the unrealized losses were recorded in OCI.

The contractual maturities of available-for-sale securities at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
Due in less than one year	\$ 163,014	\$ 163,075	\$ 190,172	\$ 190,189
Due in one to three years	133,027	132,976	73,362	73,339
	\$ 296,041	\$ 296,051	\$ 263,534	\$ 263,528

Restricted cash and deposits

The Company maintained long-term restricted cash balances of \$3.6 million and \$3.5 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which were classified as other long-term assets and were restricted as to withdrawal or use over the long term.

Investments in privately-held companies

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, the Company held a total of \$9.0 million and \$7.5 million of investments in two privately-held companies.

NOTE 6 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (in thousands):

Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 199,558
Adjustments	
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$ 199,558

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The carrying amounts of intangible assets as of June 30, 2014 were as follows:

	Gross Carrying Value		Accumulated Amortization (in thousands)		Net Carrying Value
Licensed technology	\$ 2,344		\$ (641)		\$ 1,703
Developed technology	56,190		(28,800)		27,390
Customer relationships	13,376		(8,356)		5,020
Total amortizable intangible assets	\$ 71,910		\$ (37,797)		\$ 34,113
IPR&D	13,764				13,764
Total intangible assets	\$ 85,674		\$ (37,797)		\$ 47,877

The carrying amounts of intangible assets as of December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Gross Carrying Value		Accumulated Amortization (in thousands)		Net Carrying Value
Licensed technology	\$ 2,344		\$ (366)		\$ 1,978
Developed technology	56,190		(24,667)		31,523
Customer relationships	13,376		(6,279)		7,097
Total amortizable intangible assets	\$ 71,910		\$ (31,312)		\$ 40,598
IPR&D	13,764				13,764
Total intangible assets	\$ 85,674		\$ (31,312)		\$ 54,362

Amortization expense of intangible assets was \$2.9 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Amortization expense of intangible assets was \$6.5 million and \$5.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expenses from amortizable intangible assets were as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2014	\$ 6,409
2015	10,786
2016	9,970
2017	9,907
2018 and thereafter	10,805
	\$ 47,877

NOTE 7 DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES:

The Company uses derivative instruments primarily to manage exposures to foreign currency. The Company enters into derivative contracts to manage its exposure to changes in the exchange rate of the New Israeli Shekel (NIS) against the U.S. dollar. The Company uses derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges to hedge a substantial portion of future forecasted operating expenses in NIS. The Company's primary objective in entering into these arrangements is to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The program is not designated for trading or speculative purposes. The Company's derivative contracts expose the Company to credit risk to the extent that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreement. The Company seeks to mitigate such risk by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions and by spreading the risk across a number of major financial institutions. In addition, the potential risk of loss with any one counterparty resulting from this type of credit risk is monitored on an ongoing basis.

The gain or loss on the effective portion of a cash flow hedge is initially reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI), and subsequently reclassified into operating expenses in the same period in which the hedged

Table of Contents

operating expenses are recognized, or reclassified into other income, net, if the hedged transaction becomes probable of not occurring. Any gain or loss after a hedge is de-designated because it is no longer probable of occurring or related to an ineffective portion of a hedge, as well as any amount excluded from the Company's hedge effectiveness, is recognized as other income (expense) immediately.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had derivative contracts in place that hedged future operating expenses of approximately 109.5 million NIS, or approximately \$31.8 million based upon the exchange rate as of June 30, 2014. The derivative contracts cover a substantial portion of future NIS denominated operating expenses that the Company expects to incur over the next twelve months.

The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for purposes other than cash flow hedges.

Fair value of derivative contracts

The fair value of derivative contracts as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was as follows:

	Derivative Assets Reported in Other Current Assets		Derivative Liabilities Reported in Other Current Liabilities	
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(in thousands)			
Foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges	\$ 711	\$ 1,396	\$	\$
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$ 711	\$ 1,396	\$	\$

Effect of designated derivative contracts on accumulated other comprehensive income

The following table presents the balance of designated derivative contracts as cash flow hedges as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and their impact on OCI for the six months ended June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

December 31, 2013	\$ 1,396
Amount of gain recognized in OCI (effective portion)	593
Amount of gain reclassified from OCI to income (effective portion)	(1,278)
June 30, 2014	\$ 711

Foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges relate primarily to operating expenses and the associated gains and losses are expected to be recorded in operating expenses when reclassified out of OCI. The Company expects to realize the accumulated OCI balance related to foreign exchange contracts within the next twelve months.

Effect of derivative contracts on the condensed consolidated statement of operations

The impact of derivative contracts on total operating expenses in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	2014	June 30,	2013	2014	June 30,	2013
	(In thousands)					
Gain on foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges	\$	579	\$	1,702	\$	1,278
					\$	2,981

The net gains or losses relating to the ineffective portion of derivative contracts were not material in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:***Leases*

As of June 30, 2014, future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating and capital leases were as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Capital Leases	(in thousands)	Operating Leases
2014	\$	706	\$ 15,557
2015		1,121	13,593
2016		496	9,168
2017			7,071
2018 and beyond			13,474
Total minimum lease payments	\$	2,323	\$ 58,863
Less: Amount representing interest		(39)	
Present value of capital lease obligations		2,284	
Less: Current portion		(1,241)	
Long-term portion of capital lease obligations	\$	1,043	

Purchase commitments

At June 30, 2014, the Company had non-cancelable purchase commitments of \$54.0 million, of which \$53.1 million is expected to be paid in the remainder of 2014 and \$0.9 million in 2015 and beyond.

Legal proceedings

The Company is currently involved in various legal proceedings. Unless otherwise noted below, during the periods presented the Company did not record any accrual for loss contingencies associated with such legal proceedings, determined that an unfavorable outcome is probable or reasonably possible, or determined that the amount or range of any possible loss is reasonably estimable. The Company is engaged in other legal actions not described below arising in the ordinary course of its business and, while there can be no assurance, it believes that the ultimate outcome of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on its operating results, liquidity or financial position.

Pending legal proceedings as of June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Avago Technologies Fiber (IP) Singapore Pte. Ltd.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

On September 24, 2012, Avago Technologies Fiber (IP) Singapore Pte. Ltd., Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. and Avago Technologies U.S. Inc. (collectively, Avago) filed a complaint against Mellanox Technologies, Ltd., Mellanox Technologies, Inc., IPtronics A/S, IPtronics, Inc., FCI USA, LLC, FCI Deutschland GmbH and FCI SA (collectively, Respondents) with the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC or Commission) (Inv. No. 337-TA-860). The complaint alleged that the Respondents had engaged in unfair acts in violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, through allegedly unlicensed importation, sale for importation and/or sale after importation of cable products covered by patents asserted by Avago.

With regard to the patents asserted against Mellanox, on December 13, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), in an Initial Determination (ID) found no violation with regard to U.S. Patent Number 5,596,456 (the 456 patent) directed to VCSEL drivers, but found a violation of US Patent No. 5,596,595 (the 595 patent) directed to VCSELs manufactured by a third party and used in Mellanox s cable products.

On April 17, 2014, in response to the parties petitions and additional briefing, the Commission issued its final determination. The Commission affirmed the ALJ s findings, and issued a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders (the Remedial Orders) directed to Respondents products that infringe the asserted claims of the 595 patent.

These decisions became final on June 16, 2014. The Company may still import and sell cable products containing the VCSELs covered by the 595 patent to Hewlett Packard pursuant to the Commission s determination that a license exists between Hewlett Packard and Avago. Pursuant to 19 USC § 1337(l), Mellanox may also continue to import and sell cable products containing VCSELs covered by the 595 patent for use by the U.S. federal government. The exclusion order and the cease and desist order will expire on June 15, 2015, when the 595 patent expires. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the Commission s orders are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Avago Technologies Fiber (IP) Singapore Pte. Ltd. vs. IPtronics Inc. and IPtronics A/S

On September 29, 2010, Avago Technologies Fiber (IP) Singapore Pte. Ltd. (Avago IP) filed a complaint for patent infringement against IPtronics, Inc. and IPtronics A/S (collectively, Respondents) in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division (Case No.: CV- 0-2863), asserting infringement of the 456 patent and US Patent No. 5,359,447 (the 447 patent). On September 11, 2012, Avago IP along with additional subsidiaries of Avago Technologies Limited (collectively, Avago) filed a second amended and supplemental complaint (the Complaint) against the Respondents in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division (Case No.: 5:10-CV-02863-EJD (PSG)). The Complaint amends and supplements all complaints previously filed by Avago IP in this case and alleges that the Respondents: infringed the 456 patent and 447 patents; engaged in violations of the Lanham Act, Section 43 (A); misappropriated Avago s trade secrets; engaged in unfair competition against Avago; intentionally interfered with Avago s contractual relations; and were unjustly enriched by and through the conduct complained of by Avago in the Complaint.

The case was stayed during the pendency of the ITC matter (Inv. No. 337-TA-860) discussed above. Given the completion of the ITC matter, the stay was lifted on July 23, 2014. The Court scheduled a case management conference for August 15, 2014 to discuss the case schedule. Avago has indicated that it will seek to add claims against Mellanox.

Pursuant to the Complaint, Avago seeks unspecified damages, treble damages, injunctive relief and any other relief deemed just and proper by the court. Neither the outcome of the proceeding nor the amount and range of potential damages or exposure associated with the proceeding can be assessed with certainty. In the event the Respondents are not successful in defending the Complaint, the Company could be forced to license technology from Avago and be prevented from importing, selling, offering for sale, advertising, soliciting, using and/or warehousing for distribution the allegedly infringing products. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the resolution of this proceeding is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In re Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. Securities Litigation

On February 7, February 14 and February 22, 2013, Mellanox Technologies, Ltd., the Company s President and CEO, former CFO and CFO were sued in three separate putative class action complaints filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging purported violations of the securities laws. On May 14, 2013, the court consolidated the complaints and appointed lead plaintiffs and lead counsel. On July 12, 2013, lead plaintiffs filed an Amended Consolidated Complaint against the same defendants. On October 11, 2013, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York transferred the consolidated action to the United States District Court for Northern California (the Court). On March 31, 2014, the Court dismissed the Amended Consolidated Complaint for its failure to allege adequately falsity or scienter.

On May 19, 2014, lead plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Consolidated Complaint. The Second Amended Consolidated Complaint alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and violations of Israel Securities Law, 1968. It alleges that defendants made false or misleading statements (or failed to disclose certain facts) regarding the Company s business and outlook and seeks unspecified damages, an award of reasonable costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney s fees, and any other relief deemed just and proper. Lead plaintiffs seek to represent themselves, and all persons purchasing the Company s common stock between July 19, 2012 and January 2, 2013. On July 7, 2014, defendants moved to dismiss the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint. Defendants motion is currently pending. The matter is captioned, *In re Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 3:13-cv-04909-JD.

Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the resolution of this proceeding is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Weinberger Case

On February 20, 2013, a request for approval of a class action was filed in the Economic Division of the District Court of Tel Aviv-Jaffa against Mellanox Technologies, Ltd., the Company's President and CEO, former CFO, CFO and each of the members of the Company's board of directors (the Israeli Claim). The Israeli Claim was filed by Mr. Avigdor Weinberger (the Claimant). The Israeli Claim alleges that the Company, the board members, the Company's President and CEO, its former CFO and its current CFO are responsible for making misleading statements (or failing to disclose certain facts) and filings to the public, as a result of

Table of Contents

which the shares of the Company were allegedly traded at a higher price than their true value during a period commencing on April 19, 2012 and ending January 2, 2013 and, therefore, these parties are responsible for damages caused to the purchasers of the Company's shares on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange during this time. The Claimant seeks an award of compensation to the relevant shareholders for all damages caused to them, including attorney fees and Claimant's fee and any other relief deemed just and proper by the court. On April 24, 2013, the Claimant and the Company filed a procedural agreement with the court to stay the Israeli Claim pending the completion of the *In re Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. Securities Litigation* disclosed herein. On April 24, 2013, the Israeli court approved this procedural agreement and stayed the Israeli proceedings. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the resolution of this proceeding is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Infinite Data Case

On February 19, 2013, Infinite Data LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (*Infinite Data*) and a non-practicing entity and exclusive licensee of U.S. patent number 5,790,530 (the *Patent*), filed suit against approximately 25 of the Company's end users and direct customers of its InfiniBand products in the United States District Court in Delaware. All actions include the same allegation of infringement regarding the Patent and seek the payment of damages, costs, expenses and injunctive relief. Several of the end users and direct customers sued by Infinite Data have tendered indemnification requests to the Company on the basis of existing contractual or asserted statutory obligations imposed on the Company to provide such indemnification. All of these cases, with the exception of the lawsuit filed against GM, have been stayed pending the outcome of the declaratory judgment action filed by Mellanox. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the resolution of these proceedings is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In response to these filings and accusations of infringement of Mellanox's products, on May 21, 2013, Mellanox filed a declaratory judgment complaint against Infinite Data asking for a declaration that the Patent is invalid and that the Company's products do not infringe. On November 14, 2013, Infinite Data filed its answer denying that the Patent was invalid and counterclaimed that the Company's products infringe. Pursuant to the Counterclaims, Infinite Data seeks unspecified damages, treble damages, injunctive relief and any other relief deemed just and proper by the court. Neither the outcome of the proceeding nor the amount and range of potential damages or exposure associated with the proceeding can be assessed with certainty. In the event the Company is not successful in defending the Counterclaims, the Company could be forced to license technology from Infinite Data and be prevented from importing, selling, offering for sale, advertising, soliciting, using and/or warehousing for distribution the allegedly infringing products. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that the resolution of this proceeding is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Israeli Class Action Claim in re TASE Delisting

On June 6, 2013, a complaint was filed in the Tel-Aviv District court (the *Israeli Court*) in Tel Aviv, Israel (Mordechay Turgeman v. Mellanox et. al. (Case No.: 13189-06-13)), in which the plaintiff alleged that the Company's decision to delist from the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange (*TASE*) was a breach of the duty of loyalty of the Company's board of directors (the *Board*), as well as a breach of the duty of care and the duty of loyalty by the Company's president and chief executive officer (the *Claim*). In addition, the plaintiff filed a motion to certify the complaint as a class action. The Company was served with the complaint on June 16, 2013. On December 22, 2013, the Company and the Board filed their Response to the motion to certify the complaint as a class action (the *Response*).

On January 7, 2014 the plaintiff, with the consent of the Company, filed a request to withdraw the Claim (and related class action claim) against the Company and the Board (the *Withdrawal Petition*) after the plaintiff, in view of the facts and arguments presented in the Response, reached

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

the conclusion that it would be difficult for the plaintiff to prove the Claim and have the complaint approved as a class action. Neither the plaintiff nor its attorneys have received or will receive any benefit in return for their withdrawal.

On January 8, 2014, the Israeli Court ordered that a notice should be published in two newspapers in Israel in which potential class members, the Israeli attorney general, the director of Israeli courts and the Israeli Securities Authority were notified that any such party has 45 days from the date of the notice to present its position to the Israeli Court objecting to or relating to the Withdrawal Petition. On January 9, 2014 the Israeli court approved the form of the notice, and the notice was published on Sunday, January 12, 2014.

During the 45 day period, which expired on February 26, 2014, no objection to the Withdrawal Petition was filed with the Israeli Court. As a result, on March 6, 2014, the Israeli Court approved the Withdrawal Petition and dismissed the Claim.

Table of Contents**NOTE 9 SHARE INCENTIVE PLANS:***Share option and restricted share units activity*

The following table summarizes the share option activity under the Company's equity incentive plans during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	2,806,224	\$ 30.14
Options granted	50,000	32.64
Options exercised	(91,063)	15.63
Options cancelled	(80,540)	66.87
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	2,684,621	\$ 29.58

The weighted average fair value of options granted in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$32.64. The total pretax intrinsic value of options exercised in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 was \$1.9 million and \$9.7 million, respectively. This intrinsic value represents the difference between the fair market value of the Company's ordinary shares on the date of exercise and the exercise price of each option. Based on the closing price of the Company's ordinary shares of \$34.86 on June 30, 2014, the total pretax intrinsic value of all outstanding options was \$38.7 million.

The total pretax intrinsic value of exercisable options at June 30, 2014 was \$38.0 million. The total pretax intrinsic value of exercisable options at December 31, 2013 was \$48.6 million.

Restricted share units activity under the Company's equity incentive plans in the six months ended June 30, 2014 is set forth below:

	Number of Shares	Restricted Share Units Outstanding Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non vested restricted share units at December 31, 2013	1,974,454	\$ 43.81
Restricted share units granted	792,665	36.34
Restricted share units vested	(472,789)	43.06
Restricted share units canceled	(123,393)	41.17
Non vested restricted share units at June 30, 2014	2,170,937	\$ 41.39

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

The weighted average fair value of restricted share units granted in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$33.22 and \$36.34, respectively. The weighted average fair value of restricted share units granted in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$54.51 and \$52.01, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of all outstanding restricted share units was \$75.7 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$78.9 million as of December 31, 2013.

The Company had the following ordinary shares reserved for future issuance under its equity incentive plans as of June 30, 2014:

	Number of Shares
Share options outstanding	2,684,621
Restricted share units outstanding	2,170,937
Shares authorized for future issuance	1,563,595
ESPP shares available for future issuance	1,062,837
Total shares reserved for future issuance as of June 30, 2014	7,481,990

Table of Contents*Share-based compensation*

The following weighted average assumptions are used to value share options and ESPP shares issued pursuant to the Company's equity incentive plans for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	Employee Share Options		Employee Share Purchase Plan	
	Six Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013(*)	2014	2013
Dividend yield, %				
Expected volatility, %	56.1		45.1	64.4
Risk free interest rate, %	1.98		0.06	0.09
Expected life, years	5.77		0.50	0.53
Estimated forfeiture rate, %	6.73			

(*) During the six months ended June 30, 2013 there were no share options granted.

The following table summarizes the distribution of total share-based compensation expense in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	June 30,			June 30,		
	2014	2013	(In thousands)	2014	2013	
Cost of goods sold	\$ 532	\$ 434	\$	1,054	\$ 898	\$
Research and development	6,753	6,442		13,431	12,250	
Sales and marketing	2,479	2,342		4,912	4,466	
General and administrative	2,183	1,947		4,188	3,926	
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 11,947	\$ 11,165	\$	23,585	\$ 21,540	\$

At June 30, 2014, there was \$90.5 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements. The costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.29 years.

At December 31, 2013, there was \$89.8 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements. The costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.32 years.

NOTE 10 ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the changes in accumulated balances of other comprehensive income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	Unrealized Gains / Losses on Available-for- Sale Securities	Gains / Losses on Derivatives (in thousands)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ (6)	\$ 1,396	\$ 1,390
Other comprehensive income/loss before reclassifications	6	593	599
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income/loss	10	(1,278)	(1,268)
Net current-period other comprehensive income/loss, net of taxes	16	(685)	(669)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 10	\$ 711	\$ 721
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ (148)	\$ 2,942	\$ 2,794
Other comprehensive income/loss before reclassifications	(2)	2,359	2,357
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income/loss	(5)	(2,981)	(2,986)
Net current-period other comprehensive income/loss, net of taxes	(7)	(622)	(629)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ (155)	\$ 2,320	\$ 2,165

Table of Contents

The following table provides details about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income / Loss Components	Amount Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income / Loss (in thousands)	Affected Line Item in the Statement of Operations
Gains on Derivatives	\$ 1,278	Cost of revenues and Operating expenses
	78	Cost of revenues
	969	Research and development
	114	Sales and marketing
	117	General and administrative
	1,278	
Unrealized gains (losses) on Available-for-Sale Securities	(10)	Other income, net
Total reclassifications for the period	\$ 1,268	Total

NOTE 11 INCOME TAXES:

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$23.1 million and \$23.6 million, respectively. It is the Company's policy to classify accrued interest and penalties as part of the unrecognized tax benefits, or tax contingencies, and record the expense in the provision for income taxes. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the amount of accrued interest and penalties totaled \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2014, calendar years 2010 through 2013 were open and subject to potential examination in one or more jurisdictions. The income tax returns for 2009 to 2012 tax years for Mellanox technologies Ltd. are currently under examination by the Israeli Tax Authority and the income tax returns for 2010 to 2012 tax years for Mellanox Technologies TLV Ltd. are currently under examination by the Israeli Tax Authority. The Beneficiary Enterprise tax holiday associated with the Company's Yokneam and Tel Aviv operations began in 2011. The tax holiday for the Company's Yokneam operations will expire in 2020 and the Tax Holiday for the Company's Tel-Aviv operations will expire between the years 2017 and 2020. The tax holiday has resulted in a cash tax savings of \$0.1 million and \$3.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 respectively, increasing diluted earnings per share by approximately \$0.00 and \$0.08 in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 respectively.

The Company's effective tax rate is highly dependent upon the geographic distribution of its worldwide earnings or losses, tax regulations and tax holiday benefits in Israel, and the effectiveness of the Company's tax planning strategies. The Company's effective tax rates were (0.8)% and (2.9)% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The Company's effective tax rates were 435.1% and (41.9)% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The difference between the Company's effective tax rates and the 35% federal statutory rate resulted primarily from losses generated from non-US subsidiaries without tax benefit, along with non-tax-deductible expenses such as share-based compensation expense and the accrual of unrecognized tax benefits, and interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax positions, partially offset by foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the federal statutory rates.

The Company assesses its ability to recover its deferred tax assets on an ongoing basis. Significant management judgment is required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In evaluating the ability to recover deferred tax assets, the Company considered available positive and negative evidence including its recent cumulative losses, its ability to carry-back losses against prior taxable income and its projected financial results. The Company also considered, commensurate with its objective verifiability, the forecast of future

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

taxable income including the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies.

The application of income tax law is inherently complex. Laws and regulations in this area are voluminous and are often ambiguous, and the Company is required to make many subjective assumptions and judgments regarding its income tax exposures. In addition, interpretations of and guidance surrounding income tax laws and regulations are subject to change over time. Any changes in the Company's subjective assumptions and judgments could materially affect amounts recognized in its consolidated balance sheets and statements of income.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition as of June 30, 2014 and results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 should be read together with our financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Words such as believe, may, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, expect, predict, potential and similar expressions, as they relate to us, our business and our management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying forward-looking statements in this report. The identification of certain statements as forward-looking is not intended to mean that other statements not specifically identified are not forward-looking. All statements other than statements about historical facts are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements that relate to our future revenues, product development and introductions, customer demand, our dependence on key customers for a substantial portion of our revenue, performance of our subcontractors, our ability to consummate acquisitions and integrate their operations successfully, growth rates, market adoption of InfiniBand and Ethernet, competitive factors, gross margins, levels of research, development and other related costs, expenditures, protection of our proprietary rights and patents, tax expenses and benefits, cash flows, management's plans and objectives for current and future operations, conditions in the Middle East and worldwide economic conditions.

Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including but not limited to those set forth under the section entitled Risk Factors in Part II, Item 1A of this report and in the section entitled Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. We urge you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us on the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements contained in this report. Quarterly financial results may not be indicative of the financial results of future periods.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to the Company, we, us and our refer to Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

Overview

We are a fabless semiconductor company that designs, manufactures and sells high-performance interconnect products and solutions primarily based on the InfiniBand and Ethernet standards. Our products facilitate efficient data transmission between servers, storage systems, communications infrastructure equipment and other embedded systems. We operate our business globally and offer products to customers at various levels of integration. The products we offer include integrated circuits (ICs), adapter cards, switch systems, cables, modules, software, services and accessories, and an integral part of a total end-to-end networking solution focused on computing, storage and communication applications used in multiple markets, including high-performance computing (HPC), Web 2.0, storage, financial services, enterprise data center (EDC), and cloud. Our adapters and switch ICs provide per port bandwidth up to 10Gb/s, 40Gb/s and 56Gb/s Ethernet, and 10Gb/s (Single Data Rate or SDR), 20Gb/s (Double Data Rate or DDR), 40Gb/s (Quad Data Rate or QDR) and 56Gb/s (Fourteen Data Rate or FDR) InfiniBand. Our switch systems range in port density from 8, 12, 18, 36, 48 and 64 port top-of-rack switches to director-class switches ranging in size from 108 to 648 ports. Connectivity between the adapters and switches is supported with our short reach copper cables and long reach active optical cables, and our management software provides visibility, monitoring and diagnostics for the system.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

As a leader in developing multiple generations of high-speed interconnect solutions, we have established strong relationships with our customers. Our products are incorporated in servers and associated networking solutions produced by the four largest server vendors, IBM, HP, Dell and Oracle, which collectively shipped the majority of servers in 2013, according to industry research firm Gartner. We supply our products to leading storage and communications infrastructure equipment vendors such as Data Direct Networks, Fujitsu, Hewlett Packard, IBM, EMC, NetApp, Nimbus Data, Oracle, Teradata, Toshiba and Seagate/Xyratex. Additionally, our products are used as embedded solutions by companies such as Fujitsu, GE Fanuc, Mercury, and Toshiba Medical.

Table of Contents

We are one of the pioneers of InfiniBand, an industry-standard architecture for high-performance interconnects. We believe InfiniBand interconnect solutions deliver industry-leading performance, efficiency and scalability for clustered computing and storage systems that incorporate our products. In addition to supporting InfiniBand, our products also support industry-standard Ethernet transmission protocols providing unique product differentiation and connectivity flexibility. Our products serve as building blocks for creating reliable and scalable InfiniBand and Ethernet solutions with leading performance. We also believe that we are one of the early suppliers of 40Gb/s Ethernet adapters and switches to the market, and the only end-to-end 40Gb/s and 56Gb/s Ethernet supplier on the market today, which provides us with the opportunity to gain additional share in the Ethernet market as users upgrade from 1Gb/s or 10Gb/s directly to 40Gb/s or 56Gb/s.

Revenues. We derive revenues from sales of our ICs, boards, switch systems, cables, modules, software, accessories and other product groups. Our sales have historically been made on the basis of purchase orders rather than long-term agreements. Revenues were \$201.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$181.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of approximately 11%. Our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Our products have broad adoption with multiple end customers across HPC, Web 2.0, cloud, EDC, financial services and storage markets, however, these markets are mainly served by leading server, storage and communications infrastructure OEMs. Therefore, we have derived a substantial portion of our revenues from a relatively small number of OEM customers. Sales to our top ten customers represented 62% and 70% of our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Sales to customers representing 10% or more of revenues accounted for 21% and 32% of our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The loss of one or more of our principal customers, the reduction or deferral of purchases, or changes in the mix of our products ordered by any one of these customers could cause our revenues to decline materially if we are unable to increase our revenues from other customers. Our customers, including our most significant customers, are not obligated by long-term contracts to purchase our products and may cancel orders with limited potential penalties. If any of our large customers reduces or cancels its purchases from us for any reason, it could have an adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

Cost of revenues and gross profit. The cost of revenues consists primarily of the cost of silicon wafers purchased from our foundry supplier, costs associated with the assembly, packaging and production testing of our ICs, outside processing costs associated with the manufacture of our products, royalties due to third parties, warranty costs, excess and obsolete inventory costs, depreciation and amortization, and costs of personnel associated with production management, quality assurance and services. In addition, after we purchase wafers from our foundries, we also face yield risk related to manufacturing these wafers into semiconductor devices. Manufacturing yield is the percentage of acceptable product resulting from the manufacturing process, as identified when the product is tested as a finished IC. If our manufacturing yields decrease, our cost per unit increases, which could have a significant adverse impact on our cost of revenues. We do not have long-term pricing agreements with foundry suppliers and contract manufacturers. Accordingly, our costs are subject to price fluctuations based on the overall cyclical demand for semiconductors.

We purchase our inventory pursuant to standard purchase orders. We estimate that lead times for delivery of our finished semiconductors from our foundry supplier and assembly, packaging and production testing subcontractor are approximately three to four months, lead times for delivery from our adapter card manufacturing subcontractor are approximately eight to ten weeks, and lead times for delivery from our switch systems manufacturing subcontractors are approximately twelve weeks. We build inventory based on forecasts of customer orders rather than the actual orders themselves.

We expect our cost of revenues as a percentage of sales to increase in the future as a result of a reduction in the average sale price of our products and a lower percentage of revenue deriving from sales of ICs and boards, which generally yield higher gross margins than sales of switches, gateways, cables and 10Gb/s Ethernet products. This trend will depend on overall customer demand for our products, our product mix, competitive product offerings and related pricing and our ability to reduce manufacturing costs.

Operational Expenses

Research and Development Expenses. Our research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries, share-based compensation and associated costs for employees engaged in research and development, costs associated with computer aided design software tools, depreciation, amortization of intangibles, allocable facilities related and administrative expenses and tape-out costs.

Table of Contents

Tape-out costs are expenses related to the manufacture of new ICs, including charges for mask sets, prototype wafers, mask set revisions and testing incurred before releasing new ICs into production. We anticipate these expenses will increase in future periods based on an increase in personnel to support our product development activities and the introduction of new products.

Sales and Marketing Expenses. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries, incentive compensation, share-based compensation and associated costs for employees engaged in sales, marketing and customer support, commission payments to third party sales representatives, advertising, trade shows and promotions, travel, amortization of intangibles, and allocable facilities related and administrative expenses. We expect these expenses will increase in absolute dollars in future periods based on an increase in sales and marketing personnel and increased marketing activities.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, share-based compensation and associated costs for employees engaged in finance, legal, human resources and administrative activities, professional service expenses for accounting, corporate legal fees and allocable facilities related expenses. We expect these expenses will increase in absolute dollars in future periods based on an increase in personnel and professional services required to support our business activities.

Amortization of Intangible Assets. Amortization of intangible assets relates to intangible assets resulting from our acquisitions of businesses and purchases of patents and other license rights, which will be amortized over their estimated useful lives. Amortization is included in cost of revenues, research and development, sales and marketing or general and administrative expenses based upon the nature of the intangible asset.

Taxes on Income. Our operations in Israel have been granted Approved Enterprise status by the Investment Center of the Israeli Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor and Beneficiary Enterprise status by the Israeli Income Tax Authority, which makes us eligible for tax benefits under the Israeli Law for Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959. Under the terms of the Beneficiary Enterprise program, income that is attributable to our operations in Yokneam, Israel will be exempt from income tax for a period of ten years commencing fiscal year 2011. Income that is attributable to our operations in Tel Aviv, Israel is subject to a reduced income tax rate (generally between 10% and the current corporate tax rate, depending on the percentage of foreign investment in the Company) for five to eight years beginning fiscal year 2013. The Yokneam tax holiday is expected to expire in 2020 and the Tel Aviv tax holiday is expected to expire between 2017 and 2020. The corporate tax rate in Israel was 25% in 2013 and is 26.5% in 2014.

As a result of realigning some of our business activities, we may start utilizing carryforward net operating losses in one of our subsidiaries in the future. We assess our ability to recover our deferred tax assets on an ongoing basis. Significant management judgment is required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In evaluating the ability to recover deferred tax assets, we considered available positive and negative evidence including its recent cumulative losses and its ability to carry-back losses against prior taxable income and its projected financial results. We also considered, commensurate with its objective verifiability, the forecast of future taxable income including the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets,

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosures. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. Our estimates are based on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our actual results could differ from these estimates.

We believe that the assumptions and estimates associated with revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, fair value of financial instruments, short-term investments, warranty provision, inventory valuation, share-based compensation expense, long-term asset valuations, investments, goodwill and purchased intangible asset valuation, deferred income tax asset valuation allowances, uncertain tax positions, litigation and other loss contingencies have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. Therefore, we consider these to be our critical accounting policies and estimates. For further information on all of our significant accounting policies, please see Note 1 of the accompanying notes to our consolidated financial statements.

See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2014, for a discussion of additional critical accounting policies and estimates. There have been no changes in our critical accounting policies as compared to what was disclosed in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Total revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cost of revenues	33	33	34	34
Gross profit	67	67	66	66
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	49	40	48	42
Sales and marketing	18	17	19	18
General and administrative	9	10	9	10
Total operating expenses	76	67	76	70
Income (loss) from operations	(9)	0	(10)	(4)
Other income, net	0	0	0	0
Provision for taxes on income	0	(2)	(0)	(2)
Net loss	(9)%	(2)%	(10)%	(6)%

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

The following table represents our total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 by product category, interconnect protocol and data rate.

Product category:	Three Months Ended June 30, % of		% of	
	2014 (in thousands)	Revenues	2013 (in thousands)	Revenues
ICs	\$ 14,629	14.3%	\$ 13,559	13.8%
Boards	29,583	28.8%	30,131	30.7%
Switch and gateway systems	34,496	33.6%	39,051	39.8%
Cables, accessories and other	23,866	23.3%	15,427	15.7%
Total revenue	\$ 102,574	100.0%	\$ 98,168	100.0%

Interconnect protocol and data rate:	Three Months Ended June 30, % of		% of	
	2014 (in thousands)	Revenues	2013 (in thousands)	Revenues
InfiniBand:				
FDR	\$ 51,574	50.3%	\$ 50,754	51.7%
QDR	15,528	15.1%	24,935	25.4%
DDR/SDR	3,439	3.4%	5,107	5.2%
Total	70,541	68.8%	80,796	82.3%
Ethernet	19,210	18.7%	13,941	14.2%

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Other		12,823		12.5%		3,431		3.5%
Total revenue	\$	102,574		100.0%	\$	98,168		100.0%

Revenues. Revenues were \$102.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$98.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 4.5%. The year-over-year revenue increase was primarily due to higher sales of 10Gb/s and 40Gb/s Ethernet products into Web 2.0 and Cloud markets, partially offset by a decrease in revenue from sales of InfiniBand products into the HPC market. The increase in Other product revenues was primarily due to higher sales of services and components. The decrease in QDR and lower data rate InfiniBand revenues was primarily due to customers transitioning to the FDR generation of products. Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Table of Contents

Gross Profit and Margin. Gross profit was \$68.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$66.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 3.5%. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin decreased to 66.6% in the three months ended June 30, 2014 from 67.2% in the three months ended June 30, 2013. The gross margin percentage decrease was mainly due to changes in product mix, where revenue attributed to lower margin products such as 10Gb/s Ethernet NICs and cables increased. This decrease was partially offset by increased gross margin on our cable products mainly due to lower warranty expenses as a result of improved quality of these products. Gross profit and margin for the three months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Certain operating expenses previously reported in 2013 were reclassified to conform to the 2014 presentation. This reclassification was done within each operating expense category.

Research and Development.

The following table presents details of our research and development expenses for the periods indicated:

	2014 (In thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30, % of		2013 (In thousands)	% of Revenues
		Revenues	Revenues		
Salaries and benefits	\$ 24,872	24.3%		\$ 19,080	19.4%
Share-based compensation	6,753	6.6%		6,442	6.6%
Development and tape-out costs	8,410	8.2%		5,009	5.1%
Other research and development costs	9,471	9.2%		8,338	8.5%
Total research and development	\$ 49,506	48.3%		\$ 38,869	39.6%

Research and development expenses were \$49.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$38.9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 27.4%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in development and tape-out costs was attributable to higher professional services costs and equipment expense, increase in tape-out costs and higher software expenses. The increase in other research and development costs was primarily attributable to higher amortization expenses of acquired intangibles, as well as increased facilities and maintenance expenses. We expect that research and development expenses will increase in absolute dollars in future periods as we continue to devote more resources to develop new products, meet the changing requirements of our customers, expand into new markets and technologies and hire additional personnel.

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in research and development expense, see [Share-based Compensation Expense](#) below.

Sales and Marketing.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

The following table presents details of our sales and marketing expenses for the periods indicated:

	2014		Three Months Ended June 30, % of		2013		% of	
	\$	(In thousands)	Revenues	Revenues	\$	(In thousands)	Revenues	Revenues
Salaries and benefits	\$	10,638	10.4%		\$	9,077	9.2%	
Share-based compensation		2,479	2.4%			2,342	2.4%	
Trade shows and promotions		2,955	2.9%			3,157	3.2%	
Other sales and marketing costs		2,650	2.6%			2,221	2.3%	
Total sales and marketing	\$	18,722	18.3%		\$	16,797	17.1%	

Table of Contents

Sales and marketing expenses were \$18.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$16.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 11.5%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The decrease in trade show and promotion costs was primarily due to lower trade shows activity. The increase in other sales and marketing costs was primarily attributable to higher amortization expenses of acquired intangible assets.

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in sales and marketing expense, see *Share-based Compensation Expense* below.

General and Administrative.

The following table presents details of our general and administrative expenses for the periods indicated:

	2014 (In thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30, % of Revenues	2013 (In thousands)	% of Revenues
Salaries and benefits	\$ 3,049	3.0%	\$ 2,425	2.4%
Share-based compensation	2,183	2.1%	1,947	2.0%
Professional services	2,790	2.7%	4,404	4.5%
Other general and administrative costs	1,440	1.4%	1,271	1.3%
Total general and administrative	\$ 9,462	9.2%	\$ 10,047	10.2%

General and administrative expenses were \$9.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$10.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing a decrease of 5.8%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The decrease in professional services costs was primarily due to lower acquisitions related expenses as well as lower expenses associated with legal proceedings. The increase in other general and administrative costs was due to higher depreciation and amortization expenses, as well as increased facilities and maintenance expenses.

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in general and administrative expense, see *Share-based Compensation Expense* below.

Share-based Compensation Expense.

The following table summarizes the distribution of total share-based compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations:

	Three Months Ended	
	2014	June 30, 2013
	(In thousands)	
Cost of goods sold	\$ 532	\$ 434
Research and development	6,753	6,442
Sales and marketing	2,479	2,342
General and administrative	2,183	1,947
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 11,947	\$ 11,165

Share-based compensation expenses were \$11.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$11.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 7.0%. The increase in share-based compensation expense was primarily due to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2014, there were \$90.5 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements. The costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.29 years.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net consists of interest earned on cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments and foreign currency exchange gains and losses. Other income, net was \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Provision for Taxes on Income. Benefit for taxes on income was \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to a provision for taxes on income of \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax rate was approximately (0.8)% and 435.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The difference between our effective tax rates and the 35% federal statutory rate resulted primarily from losses generated from non-US subsidiaries without tax benefit, along with non-tax-deductible expenses such as share-based compensation expense and the accrual of unrecognized tax benefits, and interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax positions, partially offset by foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the federal statutory rates.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

The following table represents our total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 by product category, interconnect protocol and data rate.

Product category:	Six Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014 (In thousands)	% of Revenues	2013 (In thousands)	% of Revenues
ICs	\$ 27,316	13.6%	\$ 24,657	13.6%
Boards	60,771	30.2%	53,799	29.7%
Switch systems and gateways	66,839	33.2%	74,609	41.2%
Cables, accessories and other	46,353	23.0%	28,183	15.5%
Total revenue	\$ 201,279	100.0%	\$ 181,248	100.0%

Interconnect protocol and data rate:	Six Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014 (In thousands)	% of Revenues	2013 (In thousands)	% of Revenues
InfiniBand:				
FDR	\$ 103,006	51.2%	\$ 92,585	51.1%
QDR	29,586	14.7%	49,897	27.5%
DDR/SDR	7,122	3.5%	9,253	5.1%
Total	139,714	69.4%	151,735	83.7%
Ethernet	38,207	19.0%	21,781	12.0%
Other	23,358	11.6%	7,732	4.3%
Total revenue	\$ 201,279	100.0%	\$ 181,248	100.0%

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Revenues. Revenues were \$201.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$181.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 11.1%. The year-over-year revenue increase was primarily due to higher sales of 10Gb/s and 40Gb/s Ethernet products into Web 2.0 and Cloud markets partially offset by a decrease in revenue from sales of InfiniBand products into HPC market. The increase in FDR revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2014 was primarily due to the decreased revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2013 related to depletion of inventory accumulated at an OEM customer during the second half of 2012. The decrease in QDR revenues was primarily due to customers transitioning to the FDR generation of products. The increase in Other product revenues was primarily due to higher cable, service and component sales. Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Gross Profit and Margin. Gross profit was \$133.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$120.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 10.9%. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin decreased to 66.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2014 from 66.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2013. The slight gross margin percentage

Table of Contents

decrease was mainly due to changes in the product mix, where revenue attributed to lower margin products such as 10Gb/s Ethernet NICs and cables increased. This decrease was partially offset by increased gross margin on our cable product family mainly due to lower warranty expenses as a result of improved quality of these products. Gross profit and margin for the six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Certain operating expenses previously reported in 2013 were reclassified to conform to the 2014 presentation. This reclassification was done within each operating expense category.

Research and Development.

The following table presents details of our research and development expenses for the periods indicated:

	2014 (In thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, % of Revenues	2013 (In thousands)	% of Revenues
Salaries and benefits	\$ 49,224	24.4%	\$ 37,629	20.8%
Share-based compensation	13,431	6.7%	12,250	6.8%
Development and tape-out costs	16,442	8.2%	10,300	5.6%
Other research and development costs	18,746	9.3%	16,839	9.3%
Total research and development	\$ 97,843	48.6%	\$ 77,018	42.5%

Research and development expenses were \$97.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$77.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 27.0%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. Development and tape-out costs were higher primarily due to increased professional services, higher equipment expenses and higher software costs. The increase in other research and development costs was primarily attributable to higher amortization expenses of acquired intangibles, as well as increased facilities and maintenance expenses. We expect that research and development expenses will increase in absolute dollars in future periods as we continue to devote more resources to develop new products, meet the changing requirements of our customers, expand into new markets and technologies and hire additional personnel.

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in research and development expense, see [Share-based Compensation Expense](#) below.

Sales and Marketing.

The following table presents details of our sales and marketing expenses for the periods indicated:

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended June 30,		% of Revenues	Six Months Ended June 30,		% of Revenues
	2014 (In thousands)	2013 (In thousands)		2014 (In thousands)	2013 (In thousands)	
Salaries and benefits	\$ 21,569		10.7%	\$ 18,384		10.1%
Share-based compensation	4,912		2.4%	4,466		2.5%
Trade shows and promotions	6,024		3.0%	5,996		3.3%
Other sales and marketing costs	5,496		2.8%	4,365		2.4%
Total sales and marketing	\$ 38,001		18.9%	\$ 33,211		18.3%

Sales and marketing expenses were \$38.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$33.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 14.4%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in other sales and marketing costs was primarily attributable to higher amortization expenses of acquired intangible assets, as well an increase in facilities and maintenance costs.

Table of Contents

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in sales and marketing expense, see [Share-based Compensation Expense](#) below.

General and Administrative.

The following table presents details of our general and administrative expenses for the periods indicated:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		% of	
	2014 (In thousands)	Revenues	2013 (In thousands)	Revenues
Salaries and benefits	\$ 6,040	3.0%	\$ 4,888	2.7%
Share-based compensation	4,188	2.1%	3,926	2.2%
Professional services	4,607	2.3%	6,956	3.8%
Other general and administrative costs	2,842	1.4%	1,762	1.0%
Total general and administrative	\$ 17,677	8.8%	\$ 17,532	9.7%

General and administrative expenses were \$17.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$17.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 0.8%. The increase in salaries and benefits was attributable to headcount additions, including those associated with the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation was attributable to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions. The decrease in professional services costs was primarily due to lower acquisitions related expenses as well as lower expenses associated with legal proceedings. The increase in other general and administrative costs was due to higher depreciation and amortization expenses due to amortization of acquired intangibles, as well as increased facilities and maintenance costs.

For a further discussion of share-based compensation included in general and administrative expense, see [Share-based Compensation Expense](#) below.

Share-based Compensation Expense.

The following table summarizes the distribution of total share-based compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations:

	Six months ended	
	2014	June 30, 2013
	(In thousands)	
Cost of goods sold	\$ 1,054	\$ 898
Research and development	13,431	12,250
Sales and marketing	4,912	4,466

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

General and administrative		4,188		3,926
Total share-based compensation expense	\$	23,585	\$	21,540

Share-based compensation expenses were \$23.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$21.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, representing an increase of 9.5%. The increase in share-based compensation expense was primarily due to RSUs granted to existing employees in the first quarter of fiscal 2014, RSU grants to new hires during fiscal year 2013 and assumed RSUs and stock options from the Kotura and IPtronics acquisitions.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net consists of interest earned on cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and foreign currency exchange gains and losses. Other income, net was \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Provision for Taxes on Income. Provision for taxes on income was \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$3.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax rate was approximately (2.9)% and (41.9)% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The difference between our effective tax rates and the 35% federal statutory rate resulted primarily from losses generated from non-US subsidiaries without tax benefit, along with non-tax-deductible expenses such as share-based compensation expense and the accrual of unrecognized tax benefits, and interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax positions, partially offset by foreign earnings taxed at rates lower than the federal statutory rates.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, we have financed our operations through a combination of sales of equity securities and cash generated by operations. As of June 30, 2014, our principal source of liquidity consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$44.0 million and short-term investments of \$296.1 million. We expect that our current cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments and our cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to fund our operations over the next twelve months after taking into account expected increases in research and development expenses, including tape out costs, higher sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses, capital expenditures to support our infrastructure and growth, and potential acquisitions

Our cash position, short-term investments, restricted cash and working capital at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,022	\$ 63,164
Short-term investments	296,051	263,528
Restricted cash, long-term	3,617	3,514
Total	\$ 343,690	\$ 330,206
Working capital	\$ 366,602	\$ 351,156

Our ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 4.6:1 at June 30, 2014 compared to 4.5:1 at December 31, 2013.

Operating activities

Net cash provided by our operating activities amounted to \$17.8 million and \$4.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2014 was primarily attributable to net non-cash items of \$42.6 million partially offset by changes in assets and liabilities of \$4.5 million and net loss of \$20.3 million. Non-cash expenses consisted primarily of \$23.6 million of share-based compensation, \$19.5 million for depreciation and amortization, and deferred income taxes of \$0.8 million, partially offset by gains on investments of \$1.2 million. The \$4.5 million cash outflow from changes in assets and liabilities resulted from an increase in prepaid expenses and other assets by \$4.7 million primarily due to the timing of VAT receivables and an increase in inventories of \$1.6 million partially offset by a decrease in accounts receivable of \$1.2 million.

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to net non-cash items of \$32.4 million partially offset by changes in assets and liabilities of \$17.3 million and net loss of \$10.2 million. Non-cash expenses consisted primarily of \$19.6 million of share-based compensation, net of the excess tax benefits, and \$15.2 million for depreciation and amortization, partially offset by deferred income taxes of \$1.9 million. The \$17.3 million cash outflow from changes in assets and liabilities resulted from a decrease of \$9.7 million in accrued liabilities primarily due to the payment of accrued bonuses for fiscal year 2012, an increase in accounts receivable of \$9.1 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$7.5 million primarily due to payments to contract manufacturers for inventory purchased in fiscal 2012, partially offset by a decrease in inventories of \$5.9 million as we continued to fulfill customer orders from existing inventories and a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets by \$3.0 million.

Table of Contents

Investing activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$43.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014. Cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to net purchases of short-term investments of \$31.3 million, purchases of property and equipment of \$10.3 million and an equity investment of \$1.4 million in a private company.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013. Cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to an increase in restricted cash deposits by \$47.0 million due to cash designated for the acquisition of IPtronics, purchases of property and equipment of \$18.9 million, purchases of intangible assets of \$6.3 million and an equity investment of \$3.0 million in a private company partially offset by net sales of short-term investments of \$72.0 million.

Financing activities

Our financing activities generated \$6.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014. Cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to proceeds of \$7.1 million from share option exercises and purchases pursuant to our employee share purchase plan, partially offset by principal payments on capital lease obligations of \$0.6 million.

Our financing activities generated \$8.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013. Cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to proceeds of \$7.1 million from share option exercises and purchases pursuant to our employee share purchase plan and an excess tax benefit from share-based compensation of \$1.9 million, partially offset by principal payments on capital lease obligations of \$0.7 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2014, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at June 30, 2014, and the effect those obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

Contractual Obligations:	Total	Payments Due by Period		Beyond 5 Years
		1-3 Years	3-5 Years	

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

	Less Than 1 Year					
	(in thousands)					
Commitments under capital lease	\$ 2,284	\$ 1,241	\$ 1,043	\$	\$	
Non-cancelable operating lease commitments	58,863	21,970	19,728		10,314	6,851
Purchase commitments	53,952	53,652	300			
Total	\$ 115,099	\$ 76,863	\$ 21,071	\$	10,314	\$ 6,851

For purposes of this table, purchase obligations for the purchase of goods or services are defined as agreements that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms including: fixed or minimum purchase quantities; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Our purchase orders are based on our current manufacturing needs and are fulfilled by our vendors within short time horizons. We do not have significant agreements for the purchase of raw materials or other goods specifying minimum quantities or set prices that exceed our expected requirements.

Recent Accounting Standards

See Note 1, The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recent accounting pronouncements of the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item 1 of this report, for a full description of recent accounting standards, including the respective dates of adoption and effects on our condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3 *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*

Interest Rate Fluctuation Risk

We do not have any long-term borrowings. Our investments consist of cash and cash equivalents, time deposits, money market funds and interest bearing investments in government debt securities, commercial paper and corporate bonds with an average maturity of less than one year. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal and ensure liquidity while maximizing income without significantly increasing risk. By policy, we limit the amount of our credit exposure through diversification and restricting our investments to highly rated securities. At the time of purchase, we do not invest more than 3% of the total investment portfolio in individual securities, except U.S. Treasury or agency securities. Highly rated long-term securities are defined as having a minimum Moody's, Standard & Poor's or Fitch rating of A2 or A, respectively. Highly rated short-term securities are defined as having a minimum Moody's, Standard & Poor's or Fitch rating of P-1, A-1 or F-1, respectively. We have not experienced any significant losses on our cash equivalents or short-term investments. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. Our investments are exposed to market risk due to a fluctuation in interest rates, which may affect our interest income and the fair market value of our investments. Due to the short-term nature of our investment portfolio, we do not believe an immediate 1% change in interest rates would have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio, and therefore we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected by a sudden change in market interest rates.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We derive all of our revenues in U.S. dollars. The U.S. dollar is our functional and reporting currency in all of our foreign locations. However, a significant portion of our headcount related expenses, consisting principally of salaries and related personnel expenses, and our Israeli facility expenses are denominated in new Israeli shekels (NIS). This foreign currency exposure gives rise to market risk associated with exchange rate movements of the U.S. dollar against the NIS. Furthermore, we anticipate that a material portion of our expenses will continue to be denominated in NIS. To the extent the U.S. dollar weakens against the NIS, we will experience a negative impact on our net income.

To protect against reductions in the value and the volatility of future cash flows caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, we have established a balance sheet and anticipated transaction risk management program. Currency derivative contracts and natural hedges are generally utilized in this hedging program. We do not enter into derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes. Our hedging program reduces, but does not eliminate, the impact of currency exchange rate movements (see Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors). If we were to experience a 10% change in currency exchange rates, the impact on assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, after taking into account hedges and offsetting positions, would result in a loss before taxes of approximately \$0.4 million at June 30, 2014. There would also be an impact on future operating expenses denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. At June 30, 2014, approximately \$11.4 million of our monthly operating expenses were denominated in NIS. As of June 30, 2014, we had derivative contracts in place that hedged future operating expenses of approximately 109.5 million NIS, or approximately \$31.8 million based upon the exchange rate on that day. The derivative contracts cover a significant portion of future NIS denominated operating expenses expected to occur over the next twelve months. Our derivatives expose us to credit risk to the extent that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreement. We seek to mitigate such risk by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions and by spreading the risk across a number of major financial institutions. However, under current market conditions, failure of one or more of these financial institutions is possible and could result in incurred losses.

ITEM 4 - *CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the chief executive officer (CEO) (principal executive officer) and the chief financial officer (CFO) (principal financial officer), we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of June 30, 2014. At the time that our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 was filed on February 28, 2014, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013. At the time that our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 was filed on May 2, 2014, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2014. Subsequently, our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our CEO and CFO, reevaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2014, and continue to not be effective as of June 30, 2014 because of a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as described below.

Notwithstanding the material weakness described below, our management has concluded that our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, as previously filed with the SEC, and the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are fairly stated in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for each of the periods presented and that they may still be relied upon.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management has identified the following control deficiency that constituted a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. Management also determined that this material weakness existed as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2014:

We did not design and maintain effective monitoring controls related to the design and operating effectiveness of certain of our control activities, including certain controls involving an inherent level of complexity, subjectivity, and judgment. Specifically, we did not maintain sufficient documentation or perform a sufficient review of certain control activities due to an insufficient complement of personnel with an appropriate level of experience, training and lines of reporting necessary to monitor control activities to allow for an effective internal control over financial reporting compliance group.

The control deficiency constituted a material weakness, but did not result in a material misstatement to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 (or any of the condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarters included therein) nor to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 or June 30, 2014. However, the control deficiency could result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, our management has determined that the control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

We will be amending our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 to reflect the conclusion by management that our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2013.

We are in the process of remediating the identified deficiency in internal control over financial reporting but we are unable at this time to estimate when the remediation effort will be completed.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 8, Commitments and Contingencies Legal proceedings of the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item 1 of this report, for a full description of legal proceedings and related contingencies and their effects on our condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We may, from time to time, become a party to various other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We may also be indirectly affected by administrative or court proceedings or actions in which we are not involved, but which have general applicability to the semiconductor industry.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Investing in our ordinary shares involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, in addition to the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, the other information set forth in this report and our other filings with the SEC, before purchasing our ordinary shares. Each of these risk factors could harm our business, financial condition or operating results, as well as decrease the value of an investment in our ordinary shares.

There have been no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, except for the following:

Risks Related to Our Business

We have identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, March 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014. Our failure to establish and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in material misstatements in our financial statements, our failure to meet our reporting obligations and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could in turn cause the trading price of our common stock to decline.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2014. As a result of this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2014 because of a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. We reevaluated our previous

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

conclusions on internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2014, and determined that this material weakness also existed as of these dates.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management has identified the following control deficiency that constituted a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. Management also determined that this material weakness existed as of December 31, 2013 and March 31, 2014:

We did not design and maintain effective monitoring controls related to the design and operating effectiveness of certain of our control activities, including certain controls involving an inherent level of complexity, subjectivity, and judgment. Specifically, we did not maintain sufficient documentation or perform a sufficient review of certain control activities due to an insufficient complement of personnel with an appropriate level of experience, training and lines of reporting necessary to monitor control activities to allow for an effective internal control over financial reporting compliance group.

Notwithstanding the material weakness described above, our management has concluded that our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, as previously filed with the SEC, and the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are fairly stated in all material respects in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for each of the periods presented and that they may still be relied upon. The control deficiency constituted a material weakness, but did not result in a misstatement to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 (or any of the condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarters included therein) nor to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 as previously filed.

We plan to implement a number of remedial measures designed to address this material weakness. These remedial measures will cause us to incur additional accounting expense and expend management time on compliance-related issues. We cannot predict the outcome of our assessment and that of our independent registered public accounting firm in future periods. If we do not successfully remediate this material weakness and conclude in future periods that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective, we may fail to meet our future reporting obligations on a timely basis, our financial statements may contain material misstatements, our operating results may be negatively impacted, and we may be subject to litigation and regulatory actions, causing investor perceptions to be adversely affected and potentially resulting in a decline in the market price of our common stock.

We depend on a small number of customers for a significant portion of our sales, and the loss of any one of these customers will adversely affect our revenues.

A small number of customers account for a significant portion of our revenues. For the three months ended June 30, 2014, sales to IBM accounted for 11% of our total revenues, while sales to our top ten customers accounted for 63% of our revenues. For the three months ended June 30, 2013, sales to IBM and Hewlett-Packard accounted for 19% and 10%, respectively, of our total revenues, while sales to our top ten customers accounted for 70% of our revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2013, sales to IBM and Hewlett-Packard accounted for 17% and 13%, respectively, of our total revenues, while sales to our top ten customers accounted for 67% of our revenues. Because the majority of servers, storage, communications infrastructure equipment and embedded systems are sold by a relatively small number of vendors, we expect that we will continue to depend on a small number of customers to account for a significant percentage of our revenues for the foreseeable future. Our customers, including our most significant customers, are not obligated by long-term contracts to purchase our products and may cancel orders with limited potential penalties. If any of our large customers reduces or cancels its purchases from us for any reason, it could have

an adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

We may be subject to disruptions or failures in information technology systems and network infrastructures that could have a material adverse effect on us.

We rely on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of complex information technology systems and network infrastructures to operate our business. We also hold large amounts of data in various data center facilities upon which our business depends. A disruption, infiltration or failure of our information technology systems or any of our data centers as a result of software or hardware malfunctions, system implementations or upgrades, computer viruses, third-party security breaches, employee error, theft or misuse, malfeasance, power disruptions, natural disasters or accidents could cause breaches of data security, loss of intellectual property and critical data and the release and misappropriation of sensitive competitive information and partner, customer and employee personal data. Any of these events could harm our competitive position, result in a loss of customer confidence, cause us to incur significant costs to remedy any damages and ultimately materially adversely affect our business and financial condition.

Table of Contents

While we have implemented a number of protective measures, including firewalls, antivirus, patches, log monitors, routine back-ups, system audits, routine password modifications and disaster recovery procedures, such measures may not be adequate or implemented properly to prevent or fully address the adverse effect of such events.

In addition, our third-party subcontractors, including our foundries, test and assembly houses and distributors, have access to certain portions of our sensitive data. In the event that these subcontractors do not properly safeguard our data that they hold, security breaches and loss of our data could result. Any such loss of data by our third-party service providers could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Risks Related to Operations in Israel and Other Foreign Countries

We are susceptible to additional risks from our international operations.

We derived 50% and 48% of our revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively, from sales outside of North America. As a result, we face additional risks from doing business internationally, including:

- reduced protection of intellectual property rights in some countries;
- difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- longer sales and payment cycles;
- greater difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
- adverse economic conditions;
- seasonal reductions in business activity;

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

- potentially adverse tax consequences;
- laws and business practices favoring local competition;
- costs and difficulties of customizing products for foreign countries;
- compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws and treaties;
- compliance with the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar anti-bribery laws in other jurisdictions;
- compliance with export control and regulations;
- licenses, tariffs, other trade barriers, transit restrictions and other regulatory or contractual limitations on our ability to sell or develop our products in certain foreign markets;
- foreign currency exchange risks;
- fluctuations in freight rates and transportation disruptions;
- political and economic instability;
- variance and unexpected changes in local laws and regulations;
- natural disasters and public health emergencies; and
- trade and travel restrictions.

Table of Contents

Our principal research and development facilities are located in Israel, and our directors, executive officers and other key employees are located primarily in Israel and the United States. In addition, we engage sales representatives in various countries throughout the world to market and sell our products in those countries and surrounding regions. If we encounter any of the above risks in our international operations, we could experience slower than expected revenue growth and our business could be harmed.

Risks Related to Our Ordinary Shares

The ownership of our ordinary shares will continue to be highly concentrated, and your interests may conflict with the interests of our existing shareholders.

As of June 30, 2014, based on information filed with the SEC or reported to us, Oracle Corporation and certain entities affiliated with Fidelity Management & Research Company, Migdal Insurance and Financial Holdings, T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and Scopia Capital management, LLC beneficially owned an aggregate of approximately 44% of our outstanding ordinary shares, and taken together with our executive officers and directors and their affiliates, beneficially owned an aggregate of approximately 50% of our outstanding ordinary shares. Accordingly, these shareholders, should they act as a group, would have significant influence over the outcome of corporate actions requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any other significant corporate transaction. These shareholders could delay or prevent a change of control of our company, even if such a change of control would benefit our other shareholders. The significant concentration of share ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our ordinary shares due to investors' perception that conflicts of interest may exist or arise.

The price of our ordinary shares may continue to be volatile, and the value of an investment in our ordinary shares may decline.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, our shares traded as low as \$30.58 and as high as \$40.8 per share. During the last 52 weeks our shares traded as low as \$30.58 per share and as high as \$54.89 per share. Factors that could cause volatility in the market price of our ordinary shares include, but are not limited to:

- quarterly variations in our results of operations or those of our competitors;

- announcements by us, our competitors, our customers or rumors from sources other than our company related to acquisitions, new products, significant contracts, commercial relationships, capital commitments or changes in the competitive landscape;

- our ability to develop and market new and enhanced products on a timely basis;

- disruption to our operations;

- geopolitical instability;
- the emergence of new sales channels in which we are unable to compete effectively;
- any major change in our board of directors or management;
- changes in financial estimates, including our ability to meet our future revenue and operating profit or loss projections;
- changes in governmental regulations or in the status of our regulatory approvals;
- general economic conditions and slow or negative growth of related markets;
- commencement of, or our involvement in, litigation;
- changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;
- whether our operating results meet our guidance or the expectations of investors or securities analysts;
- continuing international conflicts and acts of terrorism; and
- changes in accounting rules.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

3.1 (1)	Amended and Restated Articles of Association of Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. (as amended on May 16, 2011).
31.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

Edgar Filing: Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. - Form 10-Q

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (File No. 001-33299) filed on April 11, 2011.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 11, 2014

Mellanox Technologies, Ltd.

SIGNATURES

/s/ Jacob Shulman
Jacob Shulman
Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial
Officer)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

3.1 (1)	Amended and Restated Articles of Association of Mellanox Technologies, Ltd. (as amended on May 16, 2011).
31.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

(1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (File No. 001-33299) filed on April 11, 2011.