

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC
Form 10-Q
March 04, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended January 28, 2011

or

- Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the Transition Period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number 000-25225

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Tennessee
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

62-1749513
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

305 Hartmann Drive, P.O. Box 787
Lebanon, Tennessee
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

37088-0787
(Zip code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: 615-444-5533

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

23,175,480 Shares of Common Stock
Outstanding as of February 25, 2011

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended January 28, 2011

INDEX

| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | Page |
|-------------------------------|---|------|
| Item 1 | Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| a) | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 28, 2011 and July 30, 2010</u> | 3 |
| b) | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the Quarters and Six Months Ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010</u> | 4 |
| c) | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010</u> | 5 |
| d) | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2 | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 15 |
| Item 3 | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| Item 4 | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | | |
| Item 1A | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 28 |
| Item 2 | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 28 |
| Item 6 | <u>Exhibits</u> | 28 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 29 |

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

| | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010* |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$63,249 | \$47,700 |
| Accounts receivable | 20,933 | 13,530 |
| Inventories | 130,524 | 144,079 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 12,833 | 8,609 |
| Deferred income taxes | 19,489 | 22,341 |
| Total current assets | 247,028 | 236,259 |
| Property and equipment | 1,654,459 | 1,621,545 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization of capital leases | 641,703 | 617,442 |
| Property and equipment – net | 1,012,756 | 1,004,103 |
| Other assets | 54,673 | 51,705 |
| Total assets | \$1,314,457 | \$1,292,067 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$88,424 | \$116,218 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt and other long-term obligations | 6,765 | 6,765 |
| Income taxes payable | 3,170 | 7,624 |
| Accrued employee compensation | 42,359 | 59,874 |
| Deferred revenue | 48,473 | 27,544 |
| Accrued interest expense | 10,391 | 10,535 |
| Other accrued expenses | 71,438 | 80,988 |
| Total current liabilities | 271,020 | 309,548 |
| Long-term debt | 570,265 | 573,744 |
| Interest rate swap liability, net | 55,627 | 66,281 |
| Other long-term obligations | 101,821 | 93,822 |
| Deferred income taxes | 55,844 | 57,055 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock – 100,000,000 shares of \$.01 par value authorized; no shares issued | -- | -- |
| | 232 | 228 |

Edgar Filing: CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock – 400,000,000 shares of \$.01 par value authorized; 23,189,938 shares issued and outstanding at January 28, 2011, and 22,732,781 shares issued and outstanding at July 30, 2010

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 22,755 | 6,200 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (39,273) | (48,849) |
| Retained earnings | 276,166 | 234,038 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 259,880 | 191,617 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$1,314,457 | \$1,292,067 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

* This condensed consolidated balance sheet has been derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet as of July 30, 2010, as filed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2010.

Index

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(In thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Total revenue | \$640,277 | \$632,616 | \$1,238,968 | \$1,213,799 |
| Cost of goods sold | 219,390 | 211,898 | 399,143 | 389,369 |
| Gross profit | 420,887 | 420,718 | 839,825 | 824,430 |
| Labor and other related expenses | 223,182 | 228,594 | 447,786 | 453,354 |
| Impairment and store closing charges | 1 | 2,263 | 84 | 2,263 |
| Other store operating expenses | 112,164 | 105,501 | 224,123 | 210,967 |
| Store operating income | 85,540 | 84,360 | 167,832 | 157,846 |
| General and administrative expenses | 33,068 | 34,975 | 69,944 | 70,476 |
| Operating income | 52,472 | 49,385 | 97,888 | 87,370 |
| Interest expense | 11,830 | 13,293 | 23,544 | 25,063 |
| Income before income taxes | 40,642 | 36,092 | 74,344 | 62,307 |
| Provision for income taxes | 11,865 | 10,699 | 21,833 | 18,890 |
| Net income | \$28,777 | \$25,393 | \$52,511 | \$43,417 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$1.24 | \$1.11 | \$2.28 | \$1.90 |
| Diluted | \$1.20 | \$1.09 | \$2.21 | \$1.87 |
| Weighted average shares: | | | | |
| Basic | 23,237,493 | 22,831,645 | 23,034,943 | 22,796,846 |
| Diluted | 23,919,251 | 23,397,279 | 23,756,567 | 23,266,832 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$0.22 | \$0.20 | \$0.44 | \$0.40 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Index

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited and in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$52,511 | \$43,417 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 30,454 | 30,499 |
| Loss on disposition of property and equipment | 1,693 | 2,033 |
| Impairment | -- | 2,263 |
| Share-based compensation | 4,919 | 5,825 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (2,294) | (1,228) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Inventories | 13,555 | 16,565 |
| Other current assets | (11,627) | (5,216) |
| Accounts payable | (27,794) | (20,562) |
| Accrued employee compensation | (17,515) | (1,051) |
| Deferred revenue | 20,929 | 18,190 |
| Other current liabilities | (12,539) | (7,574) |
| Other long-term assets and liabilities | 5,013 | 3,103 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 57,305 | 86,264 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (40,567) | (27,550) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 265 | 100 |
| Proceeds from insurance recoveries of property and equipment | 92 | 176 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (40,210) | (27,274) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 110,700 | 270,100 |
| Principal payments under long-term debt and other long-term obligations | (114,188) | (313,360) |
| Proceeds from exercise of share-based compensation awards | 20,343 | 4,564 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 2,294 | 1,228 |
| Purchases and retirement of common stock | (10,997) | (7,799) |
| Deferred financing costs | -- | (2,908) |
| Dividends on common stock | (9,698) | (9,273) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,546) | (57,448) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 15,549 | 1,542 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 47,700 | 11,609 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$63,249 | \$13,151 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest, excluding interest rate swap payments, net of amounts capitalized | \$7,082 | \$7,708 |
| Interest rate swaps | \$15,113 | \$14,630 |

Edgar Filing: CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Income taxes | \$21,639 | \$16,755 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash financing activity: | | |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps | \$10,654 | \$(3,019) |
| Change in deferred tax asset for interest rate swaps | \$(1,078) | \$2,480 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Index

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except percentages and share data)

(Unaudited)

1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated balance sheets at January 28, 2011 and July 30, 2010 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the quarters and/or six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010, have been prepared by Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. (the "Company") in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") without audit. The Company is principally engaged in the operation and development of the Cracker Barrel Old Country Store® ("Cracker Barrel") restaurant and retail concept. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring items) necessary for a fair presentation of such condensed consolidated financial statements have been made. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 30, 2010 (the "2010 Form 10-K"). The accounting policies used in preparing these condensed consolidated financial statements are the same as described in the 2010 Form 10-K except for the addition of two accounting policies for share-based compensation and derivative instruments that are discussed below. References in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements to a year are to the Company's fiscal year unless otherwise noted.

Share-Based Compensation

If a share-based compensation award is modified after the grant date, incremental compensation expense is recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification. Incremental compensation expense for vested awards is recognized immediately. For unvested awards, the sum of the incremental compensation expense and the remaining unrecognized compensation expense for the original award on the modification date is recognized over the modified service period (See Note 10).

Derivative Instruments

Companies may elect whether or not to offset related assets and liabilities and report the net amount on their financial statements if the right of setoff exists. Under a master netting agreement, the Company has the legal right to offset the amounts owed to the Company against amounts owed by the Company under a derivative instrument that exists between the Company and a counterparty. The Company reports the fair value of these derivative instruments on a net basis in the condensed consolidated balance sheet (See Note 5).

Index

2. Fair Value Measurements

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at January 28, 2011 were as follows:

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value as of January 28, 2011 |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Cash equivalents* | \$52,554 | \$-- | \$ -- | \$52,554 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets** | 29,595 | -- | -- | 29,595 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$82,149 | \$-- | \$ -- | \$82,149 |
| Interest rate swap liability, net (Note 5) | \$-- | \$55,627 | \$ -- | \$55,627 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$-- | \$55,627 | \$ -- | \$55,627 |

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at July 30, 2010 were as follows:

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Fair Value as of July 30, 2010 |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Cash equivalents* | \$35,250 | \$-- | \$ -- | \$35,250 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets** | 25,935 | -- | -- | 25,935 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$61,185 | \$-- | \$ -- | \$61,185 |
| Interest rate swap liability, net (Note 5) | \$-- | \$66,281 | \$ -- | \$66,281 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$-- | \$66,281 | \$ -- | \$66,281 |

*Consists of money market fund investments.

**Represents plan assets invested in mutual funds established under a Rabbi Trust for the Company's non-qualified savings plan and is included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as other assets.

The Company's money market fund investments and deferred compensation plan assets are measured at fair value using quoted market prices. The fair value of the Company's interest rate swap liability is determined based on the present value of expected future cash flows. Since the Company's interest rate swap values are based on the LIBOR forward curve, which is observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full term of the swaps, they are considered a Level 2 input. Nonperformance risk is reflected in determining the fair value of the interest rate swaps by using the Company's credit spread less the risk-free interest rate, both of which are observable at commonly quoted intervals for the term of the swaps. Thus, the adjustment for nonperformance risk is also considered a Level 2 input.

The fair values of the Company's accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their carrying amounts due to their short duration. The fair value of the Company's variable-rate term loans, based on quoted market prices, totaled approximately \$578,000 and \$566,510 at January 28, 2011 and July 30, 2010, respectively. See Note 4 for additional information on the Company's debt.

Index

3. Inventories

Inventories were comprised of the following at:

| | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010 |
|------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Retail | \$98,138 | \$113,674 |
| Restaurant | 19,183 | 17,586 |
| Supplies | 13,203 | 12,819 |
| Total | \$130,524 | \$144,079 |

4. Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following at:

| | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010 |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| Term loans payable on or before April 27, 2013 | \$345,438 | \$347,559 |
| Term loans payable on or before April 27, 2016 | 231,277 | 232,585 |
| Note payable | 296 | 346 |
| | 577,011 | 580,490 |
| Current maturities | (6,746) | (6,746) |
| Long-term debt | \$570,265 | \$573,744 |

The Company's credit facility (the "Credit Facility") consists of term loans (aggregate outstanding at January 28, 2011 was \$576,715) and a revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), under which the Company has a borrowing capacity of \$250,000 until April 27, 2011 and \$165,000 thereafter until January 27, 2013. At January 28, 2011, \$575,000 of the Company's term loans were swapped at a weighted average interest rate of 7.47% (see Note 5) and the weighted average interest rate on the remaining \$1,715 was 2.17%.

At January 28, 2011, the Company did not have any outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility. At January 28, 2011, the Company had outstanding \$29,981 of standby letters of credit, which reduce the Company's availability under the Revolving Credit Facility (see Note 12). At January 28, 2011, the Company had \$220,019 available under the Revolving Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility contains customary financial covenants, which are specified in the agreement and include maintenance of a maximum consolidated total leverage ratio and a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio. At January 28, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

The Credit Facility also imposes restrictions on the amount of dividends the Company is able to pay. If there is no default then existing and there is at least \$100,000 then available under the Revolving Credit Facility, the Company may both: (1) pay cash dividends on its common stock if the aggregate amount of dividends paid in any fiscal year is less than 15% of Consolidated EBITDA from continuing operations (as defined in the Credit Facility) during the immediately preceding fiscal year; and (2) in any event, increase its regular quarterly cash dividend in any quarter by an amount not to exceed the greater of \$.01 or 10% of the amount of the dividend paid in the prior fiscal quarter.

Index

The note payable consists of a five-year note with a vendor in the original principal amount of \$507 and represents the financing of prepaid maintenance for telecommunications equipment. The note payable is payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of \$9 through October 16, 2013 and bears interest at 2.88%.

5. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses derivative instruments, specifically interest rate swaps, to mitigate its interest rate risk. The Company does not hold or use derivative instruments for trading purposes. The Company also does not have any derivatives not designated as hedging instruments and has not designated any non-derivatives as hedging instruments.

The Company has interest rate risk relative to its outstanding borrowings under its Credit Facility (see Note 4). Loans under the Credit Facility bear interest, at the Company's election, either at the prime rate or LIBOR plus a percentage point spread based on certain specified financial ratios. The Company's policy has been to manage interest cost using a mix of fixed and variable rate debt (see Note 4). To manage this risk in a cost efficient manner, the Company has entered into two interest rate swaps.

On May 4, 2006, the Company entered into an interest rate swap (the "2006 swap") in which it agreed to exchange with a counterparty, at specified intervals effective August 3, 2006, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. The swapped portion of the outstanding debt or notional amount of the interest rate swap over its remaining life is as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| From May 4, 2010 to May 2, 2011 | \$575,000 |
| From May 3, 2011 to May 2, 2012 | 550,000 |
| From May 3, 2012 to May 3, 2013 | 525,000 |

The 2006 swap was accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The rate on the portion of the Company's outstanding debt covered by the 2006 swap is fixed at a rate of 5.57% plus the Company's credit spread over the initial 7-year life of the 2006 swap. The Company's weighted average credit spread at January 28, 2011 was 1.90%.

On August 10, 2010, the Company entered into a second interest rate swap (the "2010 swap") in which it agreed to exchange with a counterparty, effective May 3, 2013, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to the notional principal amount of \$200,000. This interest rate swap also was accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The rate on the portion of the Company's outstanding debt covered by the 2010 swap will be fixed at a rate of 2.73% plus the Company's credit spread over the 2-year life of the 2010 swap.

At January 28, 2011 and July 30, 2010, the estimated fair values of the Company's derivative instruments were as follows:

| Balance Sheet Location | Asset | | Liability | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------|
| | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010 | |
| Interest rate swaps* (See Note 2) | Interest rate swap liability | \$566 | \$-- | \$56,193 | \$66,281 |

*The Company's interest rate swaps are subject to a master netting agreement and are presented on a net basis in the condensed consolidated balance sheet (See Note 1).

Index

When the Company is engaged in more than one outstanding derivative transaction with the same counterparty and also has a legally enforceable master netting agreement with that counterparty, its credit risk exposure is based on the net exposure under the master netting agreement. If, on a net basis, the Company owes the counterparty, the Company regards its credit exposure to the counterparty as being zero.

The estimated fair value of the Company's interest rate swap liability incorporates the Company's own non-performance risk (see Note 2). The adjustment related to non-performance risk at January 28, 2011 and July 30, 2010 resulted in reductions of \$1,872 and \$3,915, respectively, in the fair values of the interest rate swap liability. The offset to the interest rate swap liability is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCL"), net of the deferred tax asset, and will be reclassified into earnings over the term of the underlying debt. As of January 28, 2011, the estimated pre-tax portion of AOCL that is expected to be reclassified into earnings over the next twelve months is \$28,919. Cash flows related to the interest rate swaps are included in interest expense and in operating activities.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax effects of the Company's derivative instruments on AOCL for the six-month period ended January 28, 2011 and the year ended July 30, 2010:

| | Amount of Loss Recognized in AOCL on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | |
|---------------------|---|------------------|
| | Six Months Ended | Year Ended |
| | January 28, 2011 | July 30, 2010 |
| Cash flow hedges: | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$10,654 | \$(5,049) |

The following table summarizes the pre-tax effects of the Company's derivative instruments on income for the quarters and six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010:

| | Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion) | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Cash flow hedges: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | Interest expense | \$7,518 | \$7,799 | \$15,113 | \$14,630 |

No ineffectiveness has been recorded in the six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010.

6. Shareholders' Equity

During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, the Company received proceeds of \$20,343 from the exercise of share-based compensation awards and the corresponding issuance of 657,157 shares of its common stock. During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, the Company repurchased 200,000 shares of its common stock in the open market at an aggregate cost of \$10,997.

Index

During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, the Company paid dividends of \$0.42 per common share. In addition, during the second quarter of 2011, the Company declared a regular dividend of \$0.22 per common share that was paid on February 7, 2011 and is recorded in other accrued expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. On February 24, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors declared a regular dividend of \$0.22 per share payable on May 5, 2011 to shareholders of record on April 15, 2011.

During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, the unrealized loss, net of tax, on the Company's interest rate swaps decreased by \$9,576 to \$39,273 and is recorded in AOCL (see Notes 2, 5 and 7).

During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, total share-based compensation expense was \$4,919. During the six-month period ended January 28, 2011, the excess tax benefit realized upon exercise of share-based compensation awards was \$2,294.

7. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consisted of the following at:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Net income | \$28,777 | \$25,393 | \$52,511 | \$43,417 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Changes in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax | 9,393 | 47 | 9,576 | (539) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$38,170 | \$25,440 | \$62,087 | \$42,878 |

For the quarters ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010, the changes in fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps are net of tax provisions of \$3,427 and \$386, respectively. For the six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010, the changes in fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps are net of a tax provision of \$1,078 and a tax benefit of \$2,480, respectively.

8. Seasonality

Historically, the net income of the Company has been lower in the first and third quarters and higher in the second and fourth quarters. Management attributes these variations to the Christmas holiday shopping season and the summer vacation and travel season. The Company's retail sales, which are made substantially to the Company's restaurant customers, historically have been highest in the Company's second quarter, which includes the Christmas holiday shopping season. Historically, interstate tourist traffic and the propensity to dine out have been much higher during the summer months, thereby contributing to higher profits in the Company's fourth quarter. The Company also generally opens additional new locations throughout the year. Therefore, the results of operations for any interim period cannot be considered indicative of the operating results for an entire year.

Index

9. Segment Reporting

Cracker Barrel units represent a single, integrated operation with two related and substantially integrated product lines. The operating expenses of the restaurant and retail product line of a Cracker Barrel unit are shared and are indistinguishable in many respects. Accordingly, the Company manages its business on the basis of one reportable operating segment. All of the Company's operations are located within the United States. Total revenue was comprised of the following at:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Restaurant | \$478,614 | \$473,953 | \$960,429 | \$940,785 |
| Retail | 161,663 | 158,663 | 278,539 | 273,014 |
| Total revenue | \$640,277 | \$632,616 | \$1,238,968 | \$1,213,799 |

10. Share-Based Compensation

On September 23, 2010, the Company granted stock options to certain executives that were subject to defeasance in the event that the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan ("2010 Omnibus Plan") was approved by the shareholders at the Company's Annual Shareholder meeting held on December 1, 2010. Pursuant to the approval of the 2010 Omnibus Plan, the stock options were defeased and replaced with grants of performance-based stock units ("PBSUs"). Subject to the respective executive's continued employment, the PBSUs will vest at the end of the performance period, which consists of the Company's 2011, 2012 and 2013 years. The stock option awards would have vested at a cumulative rate of 33% per year beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.

The number of PBSUs that will ultimately be earned and will, therefore, vest is based on total shareholder return, which is defined as increases in the Company's stock price plus dividends paid during the performance period. The target number of shares that will be earned by and awarded to the seven executives in the event that there is no change in total shareholder return is 62,300. The maximum number of shares that may be awarded to the seven executives is 150% of the target number of shares, or 93,450. The probability of the actual shares expected to be earned is considered in the grant date valuation; therefore, the expense will not be adjusted to reflect the actual units earned. The vesting of the PBSUs is also subject to the achievement of a minimum level of operating income during the performance period. If this performance goal is not met, no PBSUs will be awarded and no compensation expense will be recorded.

The fair value of the PBSUs was determined using the Monte-Carlo simulation model, which simulates a range of possible future stock prices and estimates the probabilities of the potential payouts. This model incorporates several key assumptions that are similar to those used to value stock options. Those inputs include expected volatility, risk-free rate of return and expected dividend yield. Additionally, the Monte-Carlo simulation model uses the 60-consecutive calendar days beginning average price from July 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010.

The defeasance of the stock options and the replacement grant of the PBSUs were accounted for as a modification and resulted in incremental compensation expense of \$1,221. Incremental compensation expense is defined as the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification. The sum of the incremental compensation expense and the remaining unrecognized compensation expense for the original stock option award at the modification date will be recorded over the modified service period (See Note 1).

Index

During the second quarter of 2011, based on the Company's determination that a performance goal would not be achieved with respect to a portion of one executive's nonvested stock grants, the Company reversed approximately \$314 of share-based compensation expense. The Company did not have any similar reversals in the prior year.

Share-based compensation expense is recorded in general and administrative expenses. For the quarter and six-month period ended January 28, 2011, share-based compensation expense totaled \$474 and \$1,187, respectively, for stock options and \$1,622 and \$3,371, respectively, for nonvested stock. For the quarter and six-month period ended January 28, 2011, share-based compensation expense for PBSUs totaled \$361. For the quarter and six-month period ended January 29, 2010, share-based compensation expense totaled \$789 and \$1,711, respectively, for stock options and \$2,123 and \$4,114, respectively, for nonvested stock.

11. Net Income Per Share and Weighted Average Shares

Basic consolidated net income per share is computed by dividing consolidated net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the reporting period. Diluted consolidated net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities, options or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock and is based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the reporting period. Common equivalent shares related to stock options and nonvested stock and stock awards issued by the Company are calculated using the treasury stock method. The Company's outstanding stock options and nonvested stock and stock awards represent the only dilutive effects on diluted consolidated net income per share.

The following table reconciles the components of the diluted earnings per share computations:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Net income per share numerator | \$28,777 | \$25,393 | \$52,511 | \$43,417 |
| Net income per share denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 23,237,493 | 22,831,645 | 23,034,943 | 22,796,846 |
| Add potential dilution: | | | | |
| Stock options and nonvested stock and stock awards | 681,758 | 565,634 | 721,624 | 469,986 |
| Diluted weighted average shares | 23,919,251 | 23,397,279 | 23,756,567 | 23,266,832 |

12. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal and regulatory proceedings and claims incidental to and arising out of the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, based upon information currently available, the ultimate liability with respect to these proceedings and claims will not materially affect the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company is contingently liable pursuant to standby letters of credit as credit guarantees related to insurers. At January 28, 2011, the Company had \$29,981 of standby letters of credit related to securing reserved claims under workers' compensation insurance. All standby letters of credit are renewable annually and reduce the Company's availability under its Revolving Credit Facility (see Note 4 for further information on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility).

Index

The Company is secondarily liable for lease payments under the terms of an operating lease that has been assigned to a third party. At January 28, 2011, the lease has a remaining life of approximately 2.7 years with annual lease payments of approximately \$361 for a total guarantee of \$961. The Company's performance is required only if the assignee fails to perform its obligations as lessee. At this time, the Company has no reason to believe that the assignee will not perform, and, therefore, no provision has been made in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet for amounts to be paid in case of non-performance by the assignee.

Upon the sale of Logan's Roadhouse, Inc. ("Logan's") in 2007, the Company reaffirmed its guarantee on the lease payments for two Logan's restaurants. At January 28, 2011, the operating leases have remaining lives of 0.9 and 9.2 years with annual payments of approximately \$94 and \$108, respectively, for a total guarantee of \$1,122. The Company's performance is required only if Logan's fails to perform its obligations as lessee. At this time, the Company has no reason to believe Logan's will not perform, and therefore, no provision has been made in the condensed consolidated balance sheet for amounts to be paid as a result of non-performance by Logan's.

The Company enters into certain indemnification agreements in favor of third parties in the ordinary course of business. The Company believes that the probability of incurring an actual liability under such indemnification agreements is sufficiently remote so that no liability has been recorded. In connection with the divestiture of Logan's (see Note 15 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2010 Form 10-K), the Company entered into various agreements to indemnify third parties against certain tax obligations, for any breaches of representations and warranties in the applicable transaction documents and for certain costs and expenses that may arise out of specified real estate matters, including potential relocation and legal costs. With the exception of certain tax indemnifications, the Company believes that the probability of being required to make any indemnification payments to Logan's is remote. Therefore, at January 28, 2011, the Company has recorded a liability of \$23 in the condensed consolidated balance sheet for these potential tax indemnifications, but no provision has been recorded for potential non-tax indemnifications.

Index

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company," "our" or "we") are principally engaged in the operation and development in the United States of the Cracker Barrel Old Country Store® ("Cracker Barrel") restaurant and retail concept. At January 28, 2011, we operated 597 Cracker Barrel stores in 42 states. All dollar amounts reported or discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") are shown in thousands, except per share amounts and certain statistical information (e.g., number of stores). References to years in MD&A are to our fiscal year unless otherwise noted.

MD&A provides information which management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. MD&A should be read in conjunction with the (i) condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and (ii) financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2010 (the "2010 Form 10-K"). Except for specific historical information, many of the matters discussed in this report may express or imply projections of items such as revenues or expenditures, estimated capital expenditures, compliance with debt covenants, plans and objectives for future operations, inventory shrinkage, growth or initiatives, expected future economic performance, or the expected outcome or impact of pending or threatened litigation. These and similar statements regarding events or results which we expect will or may occur in the future, are forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results and performance to differ materially from those expressed or implied by those statements. All forward-looking information is provided pursuant to the safe harbor established under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and should be evaluated in the context of these risks, uncertainties and other factors. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "trends," "assumptions," "target," "guidance," "outlook," "opportunity," "future," "plans," "goals," "objectives," "expectations," "near-term," "long-term," "project," "will," "would," "could," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "believe," "potential," "regular," "should," "projects," "continue" (or the negative or other derivatives of each of these terms) or similar terminology.

We believe the assumptions underlying any forward-looking statements are reasonable; however, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore, actual results may differ materially from those projected in or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors and risks that may result in actual results differing from this forward-looking information include, but are not limited to, those contained in Part I, Item 1A of the 2010 Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by this reference, as well as other factors discussed throughout this report, including, without limitation, the factors described under "Critical Accounting Estimates" on pages 21-26 of this Form 10-Q or, from time to time, in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), press releases and other communications.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made in this report, since the statements speak only as of the report's date. Except as may be required by law, we have no obligation, and do not intend, to publicly update or revise any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers are advised, however, to consult any future public disclosures that we may make on related subjects in reports that we file with or furnish to the SEC or in our other public disclosures.

Index

Results of Operations

The following table highlights operating results by percentage relationships to total revenue for the quarter and six-month period ended January 28, 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Total revenue | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |
| Cost of goods sold | 34.3 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 32.1 |
| Gross profit | 65.7 | 66.5 | 67.8 | 67.9 |
| Labor and other related expenses | 34.8 | 36.1 | 36.2 | 37.3 |
| Impairment and store closing charges | -- | 0.4 | -- | 0.2 |
| Other store operating expenses | 17.5 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 17.4 |
| Store operating income | 13.4 | 13.3 | 13.5 | 13.0 |
| General and administrative expenses | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Operating income | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.2 |
| Interest expense | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| Income before income taxes | 6.3 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Net income | 4.5 | % 4.0 | % 4.2 | % 3.6 |

The following table highlights the components of total revenue by percentage relationships to total revenue for the quarter and six-month period ended January 28, 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Restaurant | 74.8 | % 74.9 | % 77.5 | % 77.5 |
| Retail | 25.2 | 25.1 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total revenue | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 | % 100.0 |

The following table sets forth the number of stores in operation at the beginning and end of the quarters and six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 and January 29, 2010, respectively:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Open at beginning of period | 596 | 591 | 593 | 588 |

Edgar Filing: CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Open during period | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| Open at the end of period | 597 | 593 | 597 | 593 |

Index

Average unit volumes include sales of all stores. The following table highlights average unit volumes for the quarter and six-month periods ended January 28, 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 | January 28, 2011 | January 29, 2010 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Restaurant | \$801.7 | \$799.3 | \$1,612.2 | \$1,591.1 |
| Retail | 270.8 | 267.6 | 467.5 | 461.8 |
| Total revenue | \$1,072.5 | \$1,066.9 | \$2,079.7 | \$2,052.9 |

Total Revenue

Total revenue for the second quarter of 2011 increased 1.2% compared to the prior year second quarter. For the quarter, comparable store restaurant sales increased 0.3% and comparable store retail sales increased 1.3% resulting in a total combined comparable store sales increase of 0.6%. Both comparable store restaurant and retail sales were unfavorably affected by more severe winter weather in the quarter this year than last year. We estimate that the inclement weather in the second quarter of 2011 reduced combined comparable store sales by approximately 0.9%. The comparable store restaurant sales increase consisted of a 1.8% average check increase for the quarter (reflecting a 1.8% average menu price increase) and a 1.5% guest traffic decrease. We believe the comparable store retail sales increase was due to a more appealing retail merchandise selection than in the prior year partially offset by a decrease in guest traffic. Sales from newly opened stores accounted for the balance of the total revenue increase in the second quarter of 2011.

Total revenue for the first six months of 2011 increased 2.1% compared to the same period in the prior year. For the first six months of 2011, comparable store restaurant sales increased 1.3% and comparable store retail sales increased 1.4% resulting in a combined comparable store sales (total revenue) increase of 1.4%. The comparable store restaurant sales increase consisted of a 1.9% average check increase for the six months (reflecting a 1.9% average menu price increase) and a 0.6% guest traffic decrease. We believe that the comparable store retail sales increase was due to a more appealing retail merchandise selection than in the prior year partially offset by a decrease in guest traffic. Sales from newly opened stores accounted for the balance of the total revenue increase in the first six months of 2011.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the second quarter of 2011 was 65.7% of total revenue compared to 66.5% of total revenue in the second quarter of the prior year. This 0.8% decrease in gross profit as a percentage of total revenue was due to 0.5% lower gross profit from restaurant operations, 0.2% lower gross profit from retail operations and 0.1% from the shift in the mix of total revenue versus the prior year from restaurant toward retail sales, the latter of which typically have a lower gross profit. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of total revenue from restaurant operations was due to commodity inflation of 2.1% and an increase of 0.3% in food waste partially offset by our menu price increase discussed above. We believe that most of the increase in food waste was due to the impact of severe winter weather compared to last year. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of total revenue from retail operations was primarily due to higher markdowns during the holiday season.

Gross profit as a percentage of total revenue was relatively constant during the first six months of 2011 at 67.8% as compared to 67.9% in the same period in the prior year.

Index

Labor and Other Related Expenses

Labor and other related expenses include all direct and indirect labor and related costs incurred in store operations. Labor and other related expenses as a percentage of total revenue were 34.8% in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to 36.1% in the second quarter of the prior year. This decrease resulted primarily from decreases of 0.7%, 0.3% and 0.3% as a percentage of total revenue, respectively, in store bonus accruals, healthcare costs and store hourly labor costs. Labor and other related expenses as a percentage of total revenue decreased to 36.2% in the first six months of 2011 as compared to 37.3% in the first six months of 2010. This decrease resulted primarily from decreases of 0.5%, 0.4% and 0.3%, respectively, in healthcare costs, store bonus accruals and store hourly labor costs.

The decreases in store bonus accruals for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year reflected lower performance against financial objectives. The decreases in healthcare costs for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year were due to lower medical claims and the benefit of the calendar 2010 group health plan design changes. The decreases in store hourly labor costs as a percentage of total revenue for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 were due to menu pricing being higher than wage inflation.

Impairment and Store Closing Charges

During the first six months of 2011, we did not incur any impairment charges, but we did incur \$84 in store closing charges related to a store we closed in the last week of 2010. During the first six months of 2010, we determined that one of our leased stores was impaired, resulting in an impairment charge of \$2,263. This store was impaired due to declining operating performance and resulting negative cash flow projections. We did not incur any store closing charges in the first six months of 2010.

Other Store Operating Expenses

Other store operating expenses include all unit-level operating costs, the major components of which are utilities, operating supplies, repairs and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, advertising, rent, credit card fees and non-labor-related pre-opening expenses. Other store operating expense as a percentage of total revenue increased to 17.5% in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to 16.7% in the second quarter of the prior year. This increase resulted primarily from increases of 0.4%, 0.2% and 0.2% as a percentage of total revenue, respectively, in general insurance, maintenance and supplies expenses. Other store operating expenses as a percentage of total revenue increased to 18.1% in the first six months of 2011 as compared to 17.4% in the first six months of 2010. This increase resulted primarily (and equally) from increases in general insurance, maintenance and supplies.

Higher general insurance expense for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year was due to favorable actuarial reserve adjustments made in the prior year. Higher maintenance expenses for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year resulted primarily from the timing of sign maintenance and other programs. The increases in supplies expense for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 as compared to the same periods in the prior year were due to operational changes intended to improve the retail guest experience.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue were 5.2% in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to 5.5% in the second quarter of the prior year. This decrease resulted primarily from lower incentive compensation expense, including share-based compensation, which reflected lower performance against financial objectives in 2011 as compared to the prior year.

Index

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue were relatively constant during the first six months of 2011 at 5.6% as compared to 5.8% in the same period in the prior year.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 was \$11,830 as compared to \$13,293 in the same period in the prior year. Interest expense for the first six months of 2011 was \$23,544 as compared to \$25,063 in the same period in the prior year. Both decreases were primarily due to lower debt outstanding.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes as a percent of pre-tax income was relatively constant during the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The provision for income taxes as a percent of pre-tax income was 29.2% and 29.6%, respectively, in the second quarters of 2011 and 2010. The provision for income taxes as a percent of pre-tax income was 29.4% and 30.3%, respectively, in the first six months of 2011 and 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate in the first six months of 2010 to the first six months of 2011 resulted primarily from higher employer tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash generated from our operations and our borrowing capacity under our \$250,000 revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility"). Cash on hand at July 30, 2010, along with our internally generated cash, our borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility and proceeds from exercises of share-based compensation awards were sufficient to finance all of our growth, share repurchases, dividend payments, working capital needs and other cash payment obligations in the first six months of 2011.

We believe that cash at January 28, 2011, along with cash generated from our operating activities, the borrowing capacity under our Revolving Credit Facility and proceeds from exercises of share-based compensation awards will be sufficient to finance our continuing operations, our continuing expansion plans, our principal payments on our debt, our share repurchase plans and our dividend payments for at least the next twelve months and thereafter for the foreseeable future.

Cash Generated From Operations

Our operating activities provided net cash of \$57,305 for the first six months of 2011, which represented a decrease from the \$86,264 net cash provided during the same period a year ago. This decrease reflected higher annual bonus payments made this year and the timing of payments for accounts payable.

Borrowing Capacity and Debt Covenants

Our credit facility (the "Credit Facility") consists of term loans (aggregate outstanding at January 28, 2011 was \$576,715) and the Revolving Credit Facility. Of the total outstanding under our term loan facility at January 28, 2011, \$345,438 matures on April 27, 2013 and \$231,277 matures on April 27, 2016. The borrowing capacity under the Revolving Credit Facility is \$250,000 until April 27, 2011 and \$165,000 thereafter until January 27, 2013.

Index

At January 28, 2011, although we had no outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility, we had \$29,981 of standby letters of credit related to securing reserved claims under workers' compensation insurance which reduce our availability under the Revolving Credit Facility. At January 28, 2011, we had \$220,019 in borrowing availability under our Revolving Credit Facility. See Note 4 to our accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our long-term debt.

The Credit Facility contains customary financial covenants, which are specified in the agreement and include maintenance of a maximum consolidated total leverage ratio and a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio. At January 28, 2011, we were in compliance with all financial covenants and we presently expect to remain in compliance with the Credit Facility's financial covenants for the remaining term of the facility.

Share Repurchases, Dividends and Proceeds from the Exercise of Share-Based Compensation Awards

Subject to a maximum amount of \$65,000, we have been authorized by our Board of Directors to repurchase shares during 2011 to offset share dilution that results from the issuance of shares under our equity compensation plans. Our current criteria for share repurchases are that they be accretive to expected net income per share, are within the limits imposed by our Credit Facility and that they be made only from free cash flow (operating cash flow less capital expenditures and dividends) rather than borrowings. During the quarter and six months ended January 28, 2011, we repurchased 200,000 shares of our common stock in the open market at an aggregate cost of \$10,997.

Our Credit Facility imposes restrictions on the amount of dividends we are able to pay. If there is no default then existing and there is at least \$100,000 then available under our Revolving Credit Facility, we may both: (1) pay cash dividends on our common stock if the aggregate amount of such dividends paid during any fiscal year is less than 15% of Consolidated EBITDA from continuing operations (as defined in the Credit Facility) during the immediately preceding fiscal year; and (2) in any event, increase our regular quarterly cash dividend in any quarter by an amount not to exceed the greater of \$.01 or 10% of the amount of the dividend paid in the prior fiscal quarter.

During the first six months of 2011, we paid dividends of \$0.42 per common share. In addition, during the second quarter of 2011, we declared a regular dividend of \$0.22 per common share that was paid on February 7, 2011. On February 24, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a regular dividend of \$0.22 per share payable on May 5, 2011 to shareholders of record on April 15, 2011.

During the first six months of 2011, we received proceeds of \$20,343 from the exercise of share-based compensation awards and the corresponding issuance of 657,157 shares of our common stock.

Working Capital

In the restaurant industry, virtually all sales are either for cash or third-party credit card. Like many other restaurant companies, we are able to, and often do, operate with negative working capital. Restaurant inventories purchased through our principal food distributor are on terms of net zero days, while restaurant inventories purchased locally generally are financed from normal trade credit. Because of our retail operations, which have a lower product turnover than the restaurant business, we carry larger inventories than many other companies in the restaurant industry. Retail inventories purchased domestically generally are financed from normal trade credit, while imported retail inventories generally are purchased through wire transfers. These various trade terms are aided by rapid turnover of the restaurant inventory. Employees generally are paid on weekly or semi-monthly schedules in arrears of hours worked except for bonuses that are paid either quarterly or annually in arrears. Many other operating expenses have normal trade terms and certain taxes and some benefits are deferred for longer periods of time.

Index

We had negative working capital of \$23,992 at January 28, 2011 versus negative working capital of \$73,289 at July 30, 2010. Working capital increased from July 30, 2010 primarily due to the timing of payments for certain obligations, lower incentive compensation accruals and an increase in cash partially offset by lower retail inventories and a net decrease in working capital related to the increase in sales of our gift cards during the Christmas holiday season. Lower incentive compensation accruals resulted from the payment of annual bonuses in the first quarter of 2011 that were earned for 2010.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures (purchase of property and equipment) were \$40,567 for the first six months of 2011 as compared to \$27,550 during the same period a year ago. Capital expenditures for maintenance programs and construction for new locations accounted for the majority of the expenditures. The increase in capital expenditures from the first six months of 2010 to the first six months of 2011 is primarily due to an increase in the number of new locations acquired and under construction as compared to the prior year and operational innovation initiatives. We estimate that our capital expenditures for 2011 will be between \$90,000 and \$100,000. This estimate includes certain costs related to the acquisition of sites and construction of eleven new stores that will or have opened during 2011, as well as for acquisition and construction costs for locations to be opened in future years, capital expenditures for maintenance programs and operational innovation initiatives. We intend to fund our capital expenditures with cash flows from operations and borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility, as necessary. Capitalized interest was \$84 and \$45, respectively, for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010 and \$133 and \$125, respectively, for the first six months of 2011 and 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than various operating leases, we have no material off-balance sheet arrangements. Refer to the sub-section entitled "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" under the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" presented in the MD&A of our 2010 Form 10-K for additional information regarding our operating leases.

Material Commitments

There have been no material changes in our material commitments other than in the ordinary course of business since the end of 2010. Refer to the sub-section entitled "Material Commitments" under the section entitled "Liquidity and Capital Resources" presented in the MD&A of our 2010 Form 10-K for additional information regarding our material commitments.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions about future events and apply judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses and related disclosures. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends, outside advice from parties believed to be experts in such matters and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from those assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material.

Index

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2010 Form 10-K. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. Critical accounting estimates are those that:

- management believes are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and operating results and
 - require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

We consider the following accounting estimates to be most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our consolidated financial statements:

- Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Provision for Asset Dispositions
 - Insurance Reserves
 - Retail Inventory Valuation
 - Tax Provision
 - Share-Based Compensation
 - Unredeemed Gift Cards
 - Legal Proceedings

Management has reviewed these critical accounting estimates and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Provision for Asset Dispositions

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the total expected future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset, the carrying amount is written down to the estimated fair value of an asset to be held and used or the fair value, net of estimated costs of disposal, of an asset to be disposed of, and a loss resulting from impairment is recognized by a charge to income. Judgments and estimates that we make related to the expected useful lives of long-lived assets are affected by factors such as changes in economic conditions and changes in operating performance. The accuracy of such provisions can vary materially from original estimates and management regularly monitors the adequacy of the provisions until final disposition occurs.

We have not made any material changes in our methodology for assessing impairments during the first six months of 2011 and we do not believe that there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used by us to assess impairment on long-lived assets. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions used in estimating future cash flows and fair values of long-lived assets, we may be exposed to losses that could be material.

Index

Insurance Reserves

We self-insure a significant portion of our expected workers' compensation, general liability and health insurance programs. We purchase insurance for individual workers' compensation claims that exceed \$250, \$500 or \$1,000 depending on the state in which the claim originates. We purchase insurance for individual general liability claims that exceed \$500. We self-insure a portion of our group health program. For our calendar 2010 and 2011 plans, benefits for any individual (employee or dependents) in the self-insured program are limited to not more than \$20 in any given plan year and, in certain cases, to not more than \$8 in any given year. We record a liability for the self-insured portion of our group health program for all unpaid claims based upon a loss development analysis derived from actual group health claims payment experience.

We record a liability for workers' compensation and general liability for all unresolved claims and for an actuarially determined estimate of incurred but not reported claims at the anticipated cost to us based upon an actuarially determined reserve as of the end of our third quarter and adjust it by the actuarially determined losses and actual claims payments for the subsequent quarters until the next annual actuarial study of our reserve requirements. Those reserves and these losses are determined actuarially from a range of possible outcomes within which no given estimate is more likely than any other estimate. As such, we record the actuarially determined losses at the low end of that range and discount them to present value using a risk-free interest rate based on the actuarially projected timing of payments. We also monitor actual claims development, including incurrence or settlement of individual large claims during the interim period between actuarial studies as another means of estimating the adequacy of our reserves. From time to time, we perform limited scope interim updates of our actuarial studies to verify and/or modify our reserves. During the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, we performed such updates.

Our accounting policies regarding insurance reserves include certain actuarial assumptions and management judgments regarding economic conditions, the frequency and severity of claims and claim development history and settlement practices. We have not made any material changes in the methodology used to establish our insurance reserves during the first six months of 2011 and do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to calculate the insurance reserves. However, changes in these actuarial assumptions or management judgments in the future may produce materially different amounts of expense that would be reported under these insurance programs.

Retail Inventory Valuation

Cost of goods sold includes the cost of retail merchandise sold at our stores utilizing the retail inventory method ("RIM"). RIM is an averaging method that is widely used in the retail industry due to its practicality. Under RIM, the valuation of our retail inventories at cost and the resulting gross margins are calculated by applying a cost-to-retail ratio to the retail value of our inventories. Inherent in the RIM calculation are certain significant management judgments and estimates, including initial markons, markups, markdowns and shrinkage, which may significantly affect the gross margin calculation as well as the ending inventory valuation.

Inventory valuation provisions are included for retail inventory obsolescence and retail inventory shrinkage. Retail inventory is reviewed on a quarterly basis for obsolescence and adjusted as appropriate based on assumptions made by management and judgment regarding inventory aging and future promotional activities. Cost of goods sold includes an estimate of shrinkage that is adjusted upon physical inventory counts in subsequent periods. Annual physical inventory counts are conducted throughout the third and fourth quarters based upon a cyclical inventory schedule. An estimate of shrinkage is recorded for the time period between physical inventory counts by using a three-year average of the physical inventories' results on a store-by-store basis.

Index

We have not made any material changes in the methodologies, estimates or assumptions related to our merchandise inventories during the first six months of 2011 and do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in these methodologies, estimates or assumptions in the future. However, actual obsolescence or shrinkage recorded as a result of physical inventories may produce materially different amounts than we have estimated.

Tax Provision

We must make estimates of certain items that comprise our income tax provision. These estimates include effective state and local income tax rates, employer tax credits for items such as FICA taxes paid on employee tip income, Work Opportunity and Welfare to Work credits, as well as estimates related to certain depreciation and capitalization policies. Our estimates are made based on current tax laws, the best available information at the time of the provision and historical experience.

We recognize (or derecognize) a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return in the financial statements when it is more likely than not (i.e., a likelihood of more than fifty percent) that the position would be sustained (or not sustained) upon examination by tax authorities. A recognized tax position is then measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

We file our income tax returns several months after our year end. These returns are subject to audit by the federal and various state governments years after the returns are filed and could be subject to differing interpretations of the tax laws. We then must assess the likelihood of successful legal proceedings or reach a settlement with the relevant taxing authority. Although we believe that the judgments and estimates used in establishing our tax provision are reasonable, a successful legal proceeding or settlement could result in material adjustments to our consolidated financial statements and our consolidated financial position (see Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2010 Form 10-K for additional information).

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. Our policy is to recognize compensation expense for awards with only service conditions and a graded vesting schedule on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. If a share-based compensation award is modified after the grant date, incremental compensation expense is recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification. Incremental compensation expense for vested awards is recognized immediately. For unvested awards, the sum of the incremental compensation expense and the remaining unrecognized compensation expense for the original award on the modification date is recognized over the modified service period. Additionally, our policy is to issue new shares of common stock to satisfy exercises of share-based compensation awards.

The fair value of each option award granted was estimated on the date of grant using a binomial lattice-based option valuation model. This model incorporates the following ranges of assumptions:

- The expected volatility is a blend of implied volatility based on market-traded options on our stock and historical volatility of our stock over the contractual life of the options.
- We use historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior within the valuation model; separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected life of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding.

Index

- The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods within the contractual life of the option.
- The expected dividend yield is based on our current dividend yield as the best estimate of projected dividend yield for periods within the contractual life of the option.

The expected volatility, option exercise and termination assumptions involve management's best estimates at that time, all of which affect the fair value of the option calculated by the binomial lattice-based option valuation model and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the option. We update the historical and implied components of the expected volatility assumption when new grants are made. We update option exercise and termination assumptions annually. The expected life is a by-product of the lattice model and is updated when new grants are made.

Compensation expense is recognized for only the portion of awards that are expected to vest. Therefore, an estimated forfeiture rate derived from historical employee termination behavior, grouped by job classification, is applied against share-based compensation expense. The forfeiture rate is applied on a straight-line basis over the service (vesting) period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award were, in substance, multiple awards. We update the estimated forfeiture rate to actual at each reporting period and adjust compensation expense accordingly so that the amount of compensation expense recognized at any date is at least equal to the portion of the grant-date value of the award that is vested at that date.

Generally, the fair value of each nonvested stock grant is equal to the market price of our stock at the date of grant reduced by the present value of expected dividends to be paid prior to the vesting period, discounted using an appropriate risk-free interest rate.

All of our nonvested stock grants are time vested except the nonvested stock grants of one executive that are based upon the achievement of strategic goals. Compensation expense for performance-based awards is recognized when it is probable that the performance criteria will be met. At each reporting period, we reassess the probability of achieving the performance targets and the performance period required to meet those targets. Determining whether the performance targets will be achieved involves judgment and the estimate of expense may be revised periodically based on the probability of achieving the performance targets. Revisions are reflected in the period in which the estimate is changed. If any performance goals are not met, no compensation expense is ultimately recognized and, to the extent previously recognized, compensation expense is reversed. During the second quarter of 2011, based on our determination that a performance goal for a portion of one executive's nonvested stock grants would not be achieved, we reversed approximately \$314 of share-based compensation expense.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, we replaced certain stock option grants with performance-based stock units ("PBSUs") for our executives. Subject to the respective executive's continued employment, the PBSUs will vest at the end of the performance period, which consists of our 2011, 2012 and 2013 years. The number of PBSUs that will ultimately be earned and will, therefore, vest is based on a market condition, i.e., total shareholder return, which is defined as increases in our stock price plus dividends paid during the performance period. The target number of shares will be earned if there is no change in shareholder value during the performance period and the maximum number of shares that may be earned is 150% of target, or 93,450. The probability of the actual shares expected to be earned is considered in the grant date valuation; therefore, the expense will not be adjusted to reflect the actual units earned. The vesting of the PBSUs is also subject to the achievement of a minimum level of operating income during the performance period. If this performance goal is not met, no PBSUs will be awarded and to the extent previously recognized, compensation expense will be reversed.

Index

The fair value of the PBSUs was determined using the Monte-Carlo simulation model, which simulates a range of possible future stock prices and estimates the probabilities of the potential payouts. This model incorporates several key assumptions that are similar to those used to value stock options, as discussed above; those inputs include expected volatility, risk-free rate of return and expected dividend yield. Additionally, the Monte-Carlo simulation model uses the 60-consecutive calendar days beginning average price from July 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010.

Other than the reversal of share-based compensation expense for the nonvested stock grant whose performance goal would not be met, we have not made any material changes in our estimates or assumptions used to determine share-based compensation expense during the first six months of 2011. We do not believe that there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions used to determine share-based compensation expense. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to changes in share-based compensation expense that could be material.

Unredeemed Gift Cards

Unredeemed gift cards represent a liability related to unearned income and are recorded at their expected redemption value. No revenue is recognized in connection with the point-of-sale transaction when gift cards are sold. For those states that exempt gift cards from their escheat laws, we make estimates of the ultimate unredeemed (“breakage”) gift cards in the period of the original sale and amortize this breakage over the redemption period that other gift cards historically have been redeemed by reducing the liability and recording revenue accordingly. For those states that do not exempt gift cards from their escheat laws, we record breakage in the period that gift cards are remitted to the state and reduce our liability accordingly. Any amounts remitted to states under escheat laws reduce our deferred revenue liability and have no effect on revenue or expense while any amounts that we are permitted to retain by state escheat laws for administrative costs are recorded as revenue. Changes in redemption behavior or management's judgments regarding redemption trends in the future may produce materially different amounts of deferred revenue to be reported.

We have not made any material changes in the methodology used to record the deferred revenue liability for unredeemed gift cards during the first six months of 2011 and do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be material changes in the future estimates or assumptions used to record this liability. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material.

Legal Proceedings

We are parties to various legal and regulatory proceedings and claims incidental to our business. In the opinion of management, however, based upon information currently available, the ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect our consolidated results of operations or financial position. We review outstanding claims and proceedings internally and with external counsel as necessary to assess probability of loss and for the ability to estimate loss. These assessments are re-evaluated each quarter or as new information becomes available to determine whether a reserve should be established or if any existing reserve should be adjusted. The actual cost of resolving a claim or proceeding ultimately may be substantially different than the amount of the recorded reserve. In addition, because it is not permissible under GAAP to establish a litigation reserve until the loss is both probable and estimable, in some cases there may be insufficient time to establish a reserve prior to the actual incurrence of the loss (upon verdict and judgment at trial, for example, or in the case of a quickly negotiated settlement).

Index

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Part II, Item 7A of the 2010 Form 10-K is incorporated in this item of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q by this reference. There have been no material changes in our quantitative and qualitative market risks since July 30, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive and financial officers, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of January 28, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e).

There have been no changes (including corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses) during the quarter ended January 28, 2011 in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Index

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of our 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

There were no equity securities sold by the Company during the period covered by this Form 10-Q that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases of shares of the Company’s common stock made during the quarter ended January 28, 2011 by or on behalf of the Company or any “affiliated purchaser,” as defined by Rule 10b-18(a)(3) of the Exchange Act:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share (1) | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/30/10 – 11/26/10 | -- | -- | -- | Indeterminate (2) |
| 11/27/10 – 12/24/10 | 146,400 | \$54.62 | 146,400 | Indeterminate (2) |
| 12/25/10 – 1/28/11 | 53,600 | \$55.97 | 53,600 | Indeterminate (2) |
| Total for the quarter | 200,000 | \$54.98 | 200,000 | Indeterminate (2) |

(1) Average price paid per share is calculated on a settlement basis and includes commissions and fees.

(2) Subject to a maximum amount of \$65,000, we have been authorized by our Board of Directors to repurchase shares during 2011 to offset share dilution that results from the issuance of shares under our equity compensation plans. See Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2010 Form 10-K.

Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page hereto.

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CRACKER BARREL OLD COUNTRY STORE, INC.

Date: 3/4/11 By: /s/Lawrence E. Hyatt
Lawrence E. Hyatt, Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: 3/4/11 By: /s/Patrick A. Scruggs
Patrick A. Scruggs, Vice President, Accounting and Tax
and Chief Accounting Officer

Index

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| <u>10.1</u> | Executive Employment Agreement dated as of November 1, 2010 with Sandra B. Cochran |
| <u>10.2</u> | Amendment to Michael A. Woodhouse Employment Agreement executed on November 1, 2010 |
| 10.3 | Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 1, 2010 and filed with the Commission on December 7, 2010) |
| 10.4 | Form of Performance-Based Stock Unit Award (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 1, 2010 and filed with the Commission on December 7, 2010) |
| 10.5 | Change in Control Agreement with Lawrence E. Hyatt dated January 3, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010 and filed with the Commission on December 17, 2010) |
| <u>31</u> | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications |
| <u>32</u> | Section 1350 Certifications |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |