

ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC
Form S-4/A
March 12, 2013

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 11, 2013

Registration No. 333-186536

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

Amendment No. 1 to

FORM S-4
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

77-0369576
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6796
(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)

925 East Meadow Drive, Palo Alto, California 94303
(650) 494-3700
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, or registrar's principal executive offices)

Michael J. Schall
Chief Executive Officer and President
925 East Meadow Drive
Palo Alto, California 94303
(650) 494-3700
(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

ESSEX PORTFOLIO, L.P.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

333-44467-01
(Commission File Number)

California
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

77-0369575
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6796
(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

925 East Meadow Drive, Palo Alto, California 94303
(650) 494-3700

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, or registrar's principal executive offices)

Michael J. Schall
Chief Executive Officer and President
925 East Meadow Drive
Palo Alto, California 94303
(650) 494-3700

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

With copies to:
Stephen J. Schrader
Baker & McKenzie LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3802
(415) 576-3000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Essex Property Trust, Inc.:	Large-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
Essex Portfolio, L.P.:	Large-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED MARCH 11, 2013

PROSPECTUS

ESSEX PORTFOLIO, L.P.

OFFER TO EXCHANGE

\$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of its

3.625% Senior Notes due 2022

which have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended,

for any and all of its outstanding 3.625% Senior Notes due 2022

Guaranteed by Essex Property Trust, Inc.

- The exchange offer expires at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on _____, 2013, unless extended.

• We will exchange all outstanding notes that are validly tendered and not validly withdrawn for an equal principal amount of a new series of notes which are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

• The exchange offer is not subject to any conditions other than that it not violate applicable law or any applicable interpretation of the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

- You may withdraw tenders of outstanding notes at any time before the exchange offer expires.
- We believe that the exchange of notes will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

- We will not receive any proceeds from the exchange offer.

• The terms of the new series of notes are substantially identical to the outstanding notes, except for transfer restrictions and registration rights relating to the outstanding notes.

• The outstanding notes are, and the new series of notes will be, fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, our sole general partner, which has no material assets other than its investment in us.

- You may tender outstanding notes only in denominations of \$2,000 and integral multiples thereof.
- Our affiliates may not participate in the exchange offer.

• No public market exists for the outstanding notes. We do not intend to list the exchange notes on any securities exchange and, therefore, no active public market is anticipated for the exchange notes.

• Each broker-dealer that receives exchange notes for its own account pursuant to the exchange offer must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such exchange notes. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of exchange notes received in exchange for outstanding notes where such outstanding notes were acquired by such

broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities.

1

Please refer to “Risk Factors” beginning on page 14 of this prospectus for a description of the risks you should consider when evaluating an investment in these securities.

We are not making this exchange offer in any state or other jurisdiction where it is not permitted.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is ---___ , 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	4
<u>Risk Factors</u>	14
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	26
<u>The Exchange Offer</u>	27
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	36
<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	37
<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	41
<u>Business and Properties</u>	56
<u>Investment Policies and Policies with Respect to Certain Activities</u>	68
<u>Directors and Executive Officers</u>	71
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	75
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions; Director Independence</u>	93
<u>Description of Indebtedness</u>	97
<u>Description of Exchange Notes</u>	98
<u>Description of the Partnership Agreement of Essex Portfolio, L.P.</u>	111
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences</u>	115
<u>Plan of Distribution</u>	121
<u>Legal Matters</u>	122
<u>Experts</u>	122
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	122
<u>Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference</u>	123
<u>Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-1

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus, as well as information that we have previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and incorporated by reference, is accurate only as of the date of the applicable document. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

This prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about us that is not included in or delivered with this prospectus, and such information is available without charge to holders of the notes upon written or oral request to Investor Relations, Essex Property Trust, Inc., 925 East Meadow Drive, Palo Alto, California 94303 (telephone: (650) 494-3700). In order to obtain timely delivery, note holders must request the information no later than five business days prior to the expiration of the exchange offer contemplated by this prospectus, or ____, 2013.

Each broker-dealer that receives exchange notes for its own account pursuant to the exchange offer must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such exchange notes. The letter of transmittal delivered with this prospectus states that by so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an “underwriter” within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of exchange notes received in exchange for outstanding private notes where such outstanding private notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. We have agreed that, starting on the expiration date of the exchange offer and ending on the close of business one year after such expiration date, subject to extension in limited circumstances, we will make this prospectus available to any broker-dealer for use in connection with any such resale. See “Plan of Distribution.”

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

You should read the following summary together with the more detailed information regarding our company and the financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus or incorporated by reference in this prospectus, including under the caption "Risk Factors."

Explanatory Note

This prospectus includes combined disclosure for Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and Essex Portfolio, L.P., a California limited partnership of which Essex Property Trust, Inc. is the sole general partner. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this prospectus to "we," "us," "our", "our company" or "the Company" refer to Essex Property Trust, Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Essex Portfolio, L.P. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this prospectus to "our operating partnership" or "the operating partnership" refer to Essex Portfolio, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

Essex Property Trust, Inc. operates as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the general partner of Essex Portfolio, L.P. As of December 31, 2012, Essex Property Trust, Inc. owned an approximate 94.5% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 5.5% partnership interest (including long term incentive plan units) in Essex Portfolio, L.P. As the sole general partner of Essex Portfolio, L.P., Essex Property Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership's day-to-day management and control.

There are few differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in the disclosure in this prospectus. We believe it is important to understand the differences between our company and our operating partnership in the context of how Essex Property Trust, Inc. and Essex Portfolio, L.P. operate as an interrelated consolidated company. Essex Property Trust, Inc. is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of Essex Portfolio, L.P. As a result, Essex Property Trust, Inc. does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of Essex Portfolio, L.P., issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of Essex Portfolio, L.P. Essex Property Trust, Inc. itself does not hold any indebtedness but guarantees some of the unsecured debt of Essex Portfolio, L.P., as disclosed in this prospectus. Essex Portfolio, L.P. holds substantially all the assets of the company and holds the ownership interests in the company's joint ventures. Essex Portfolio, L.P. conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by Essex Property Trust, Inc., which are generally contributed to Essex Portfolio, L.P. in exchange for partnership units, Essex Portfolio, L.P. generates the capital required by the company's business through Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s operations, by Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness or through the issuance of partnership units.

Noncontrolling interests and stockholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and those of Essex Portfolio, L.P. The common partnership and long term incentive plan units in Essex Portfolio, L.P. that are not owned by Essex Property Trust, Inc. are accounted for as partners' capital in Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s financial statements include the interests of joint venture partners. The noncontrolling interests in Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the Essex Portfolio, L.P. level as well as the limited partnership unitholders of Essex Portfolio, L.P., not including Essex Property Trust, Inc. The differences between stockholders' equity and partners' capital result from the differences in the equity issued at the Essex Property Trust, Inc. and the Essex Portfolio, L.P. levels.

Table of Contents

Our Company

Essex Property Trust, Inc., our sole general partner, is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") engaged primarily in the ownership, operation, management, acquisition, development and redevelopment of predominantly apartment communities. Essex Property Trust, Inc. owns all of its interest in its real estate investments directly or indirectly through Essex Portfolio, L.P. As of December 31, 2012, we owned or had ownership interests in 163 apartment communities, aggregating 33,468 units, excluding our ownership in preferred interest coinvestments, (collectively, the "Communities", and individually, a "Community"), five commercial buildings and nine active development projects (collectively, the "Portfolio"). The Communities are located in Southern California (Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, Santa Barbara, and Ventura counties), Northern California (the San Francisco Bay Area) and the Seattle metropolitan area. As of December 31, 2012, we had 1,144 employees.

Our principal offices are located at 925 East Meadow Drive, Palo Alto, California, 94303. Our telephone number at that location is (650) 494-3700. We have regional offices in Woodland Hills, California; Irvine, California; San Diego, California and Bellevue, Washington. Our website is located at <http://www.essexpropertytrust.com>. The information found on, or otherwise accessible through, our website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this prospectus or any other report or document that either we or Essex Property Trust, Inc. files with or furnishes to the SEC.

As of March 11, 2013, Fitch Ratings, Moody's Investor Service, and Standard and Poor's credit agencies rate Essex Property Trust, Inc. and Essex Portfolio, L.P. BBB+/Stable, Baa2/Stable, and BBB/Stable, respectively. The common stock of Essex Property Trust, Inc. is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "ESS".

Table of Contents

THE EXCHANGE OFFER

The Exchange Offer We are offering to exchange the 3.625% Senior Notes due 2022 offered by this prospectus (the exchange notes) for the outstanding 3.625% Senior Notes due 2022 (the private notes and together with the exchange notes, the “Notes due 2022”) that are properly tendered and accepted. You may tender outstanding private notes only in denominations of \$2,000 and integral multiples of \$1,000 thereof. We will issue the exchange notes on or promptly after the exchange offer expires. As of the date of this prospectus, \$300,000,000 principal amount of private notes is outstanding.

Expiration Date The exchange offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on __ , 2013 (the 21st business day following commencement of the exchange offer), unless extended, in which case the expiration date will mean the latest date and time to which we extend the exchange offer.

Conditions to the Exchange Offer The exchange offer is not subject to any condition other than that it not violate applicable law or any applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC. The exchange offer is not conditioned upon any minimum principal amount of private notes being tendered for exchange. We intend to conduct the exchange offer in accordance with the provisions of the registration rights agreement with respect to the private notes and the applicable requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Procedures for Tendering Private Notes If you wish to tender your private notes for exchange notes pursuant to the exchange offer, you must complete and sign a letter of transmittal in accordance with the instructions contained in the letter and forward it by mail, facsimile or hand delivery, together with any other documents required by the letter of transmittal, to the Exchange Agent (as defined below), either with the private notes to be tendered or in compliance with the specified procedures for guaranteed delivery of notes. Certain brokers, dealers, commercial banks, trust companies and other nominees may also affect tenders by book-entry transfer. Holders of private notes registered in the name of a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee are urged to contact such person promptly if they wish to tender private notes pursuant to the exchange offer. See "The Exchange Offer—Procedures for Tendering."

Letters of transmittal and certificates representing private notes should not be sent to us. Such documents should only be sent to the Exchange Agent. Questions regarding how to tender private notes and requests for information should be directed to the Exchange Agent. See "The Exchange Offer—Exchange Agent."

Acceptance of the Private Notes and Delivery of the Exchange Notes Subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the exchange offer, we will accept for exchange any and all private notes which are validly tendered in the exchange offer and not withdrawn before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

Withdrawal Rights You may withdraw the tender of your private notes at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date, by complying with the procedures for withdrawal described in this prospectus under the heading "The Exchange Offer—Withdrawal of Tenders."

Table of Contents

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences	We believe that the exchange of notes will not be a taxable event for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For a discussion of material federal tax considerations relating to the exchange of notes, see "U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences."
Exchange Agent	U.S. Bank National Association, the registrar and paying agent for the notes under the indenture governing the notes, is serving as the exchange agent for the notes (the "Exchange Agent").
Consequences of Failure to Exchange	If you do not exchange your private notes for the exchange notes, you will continue to be subject to the restrictions on transfer provided in the private notes and in the indenture governing the private notes. In general, the private notes may not be offered or sold, unless registered under the Securities Act, except pursuant to an exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. We do not currently plan to register the resale of the private notes under the Securities Act.
Registration Rights Agreement	You are entitled to exchange your private notes for the exchange notes with substantially identical terms. This exchange offer satisfies this right. After the exchange offer is completed, you will no longer be entitled to any exchange or registration rights with respect to your private notes accepted for exchange in this offer.

We explain the exchange offer in greater detail beginning on page 28.

Table of Contents

THE EXCHANGE NOTES

The summary below describes the principal terms of the exchange notes. Certain of the terms and conditions described below are subject to important limitations and exceptions. The "Description of Exchange Notes" section of this prospectus contains a more detailed description of the terms and conditions of the exchange notes. For purposes of this section entitled "—The Exchange Notes" and the section entitled "Description of Exchange Notes," references to "we," "us," and "our" refer only to Essex Portfolio, L.P. and not to its subsidiaries or Essex Property Trust, Inc., and references to "notes" mean the exchange notes. The form and terms of the exchange notes are the same as the form and terms of the private notes, except that the exchange notes will be registered under the Securities Act and, therefore, the exchange notes will not be subject to the transfer restrictions, registration rights and provisions providing for an increase in the interest rate applicable to the private notes. The exchange notes will evidence the same debt as the private notes, and both the private notes and the exchange notes are governed by the same indenture.

Issuer of Notes Essex Portfolio, L.P.

Securities Offered \$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 3.625% Senior Notes due 2022.

Ranking of Notes The notes will be our senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with all of our other senior unsecured indebtedness. However, the notes will be effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness (to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness) and to all existing and future liabilities and preferred equity of our subsidiaries, including guarantees provided by our subsidiaries under our other indebtedness.

Guarantee The notes will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Essex Property Trust, Inc. The guarantee will be a senior unsecured obligation of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and will rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured obligations of Essex Property Trust, Inc. Essex Property Trust, Inc. has no material assets other than its investment in us.

Interest The notes will bear interest at a rate of 3.625% per year. Interest will be payable semi-annually in arrears on February 15 and August 15 of each year, beginning February 15, 2013.

Maturity The notes will mature on August 15, 2022 unless previously redeemed by us at our option for cash prior to such date.

Our Redemption Rights We may redeem the notes at our option and in our sole discretion, for cash, at any time in whole or from time to time in part, at the redemption price specified herein. If we redeem the notes on or after 90 days prior to the maturity date, the redemption price will be equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed. See "Description of Exchange Notes - Our Redemption Rights" in this prospectus.

Certain Covenants The indenture governing the notes contains certain covenants that, among other things, limit our, Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s and our respective subsidiaries' ability to:

- consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and
- incur secured and unsecured indebtedness.

These covenants are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. See "Description of Exchange Notes" in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

Trading The notes are a new issue of securities with no established trading market. We do not intend to apply for listing of the notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the notes on any automated dealer quotation system.

Book-Entry Form The notes (except those issued as or in exchange for definitive notes in accordance with the provisions of the indenture) will be issued in the form of one or more fully registered global notes in book-entry form, which will be deposited with, or on behalf of, The Depository Trust Company, commonly known as DTC, in New York, New York. Beneficial interests in the global certificate representing the notes will be shown on, and transfers will be effected only through, records maintained by DTC and its direct and indirect participants and such interests may not be exchanged for certificated notes, except in limited circumstances.

Additional Notes We may, without the consent of holders of the notes, increase the principal amount of the notes by issuing additional notes in the future on the same terms and conditions, except for any difference in the issue price and interest accrued prior to the issue date of the additional notes, and with the same CUSIP number as the notes offered hereby so long as such additional notes are fungible for U.S. federal income tax purposes with the notes offered hereby.

Risk Factors See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 14 of this prospectus, as well as other information included in this prospectus, for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider that are relevant to an investment in the notes.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth, on a historical basis, certain summary consolidated financial and operating data for Essex Portfolio, L.P. and Essex Property Trust, Inc. and their respective subsidiaries. You should read the following summary historical financial data in conjunction with the consolidated historical financial statements and notes thereto of each of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and Essex Property Trust, Inc. and their respective subsidiaries and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Essex Portfolio, L.P.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries, which are included in this prospectus and which have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries, have also been audited by KPMG LLP. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for the year ended December 31, 2008 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)					
OPERATING DATA:					
REVENUES					
Rental and other property	\$ 531,936	\$ 465,713	\$ 405,728	\$ 401,550	\$ 397,673
Management and other fees	11,489	6,780	4,551	4,325	5,166
	543,425	472,493	410,279	405,875	402,839
EXPENSES					
Property operating expenses	174,088	159,234	143,164	137,457	130,328
Depreciation	170,592	151,428	128,221	116,540	108,221
General and administrative	23,307	20,694	23,255	24,966	24,725
Cost of management and other fees	6,513	4,610	2,707	3,096	2,959
Impairment and other charges	-	-	2,302	13,084	650
	374,500	335,966	299,649	295,143	266,883
Earnings from operations	168,925	136,527	110,630	110,732	135,956
Interest expense before amortization expense	(100,244)	(91,694)	(82,756)	(81,196)	(78,203)
Amortization expense	(11,644)	(11,474)	(4,828)	(4,820)	(6,860)
Interest and other income	13,833	17,139	27,841	13,040	11,337
Gain on remeasurement of co-investments	21,947	-	-	-	-
Equity income (loss) from co-investments	41,745	(467)	(1,715)	670	7,820
(Loss) gain on early retirement of debt	(5,009)	(1,163)	(10)	4,750	3,997
Gain on the sales of real estate	-	-	-	103	4,578
Income before discontinued operations	129,553	48,868	49,162	43,279	78,625
Income from discontinued operations	10,037	8,648	1,620	10,460	5,770
Net income	139,590	57,516	50,782	53,739	84,395

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(6,347)	(5,571)	(5,770)	(6,107)	(5,943)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	133,243	51,945	45,012	47,632	78,452
Preferred interests distributions - Series F, G, & H	(5,472)	(4,753)	(2,170)	(4,860)	(9,241)
Preferred interests distributions - limited partners	-	(1,650)	(6,300)	(6,300)	(9,909)
Excess (deficit) of the carrying amount of preferred interests redeemed over the cash paid to redeem preferred interests	-	(1,949)	-	49,952	-
Net income available to common unitholders	\$ 127,771	\$ 43,593	\$ 36,542	\$ 86,424	\$ 59,302
Per unit data:					
Basic:					
Income before discontinued operations available to common unitholders	\$ 3.16	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.56	\$ 1.86
Net income available to common unitholders	\$ 3.43	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.14	\$ 2.91	\$ 2.06
Weighted average common unit outstanding	37,252	34,774	31,961	29,717	28,809
Diluted:					
Income before discontinued operations available to common unitholders	\$ 3.15	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.56	\$ 1.86
Net income available to common unitholders	\$ 3.42	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.14	\$ 2.91	\$ 2.06
Weighted average common unit outstanding	37,344	34,861	32,028	29,747	28,855
Cash dividend per common unit	\$ 4.40	\$ 4.16	\$ 4.13	\$ 4.12	\$ 4.08

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(\$ in thousands)					
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Investment in rental properties (before accumulated depreciation)	\$ 5,033,672	\$ 4,313,064	\$ 3,964,561	\$ 3,412,930	\$ 3,279,788
Net investment in rental properties	3,952,155	3,393,038	3,189,008	2,663,466	2,639,762
Real estate under development	66,851	44,280	217,531	274,965	272,273
Total assets	4,847,223	4,036,964	3,732,887	3,254,637	3,164,823
Total secured indebtedness	1,565,599	1,745,858	2,082,745	1,832,549	1,588,931
Total unsecured indebtedness	1,253,084	615,000	176,000	14,893	165,457
Cumulative convertible preferred interests	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	145,912
Cumulative redeemable preferred interests	71,209	71,209	104,412	104,412	104,412
Partners' capital	1,880,116	1,486,914	1,284,515	1,200,208	1,001,356

	As of and for the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
OTHER DATA:					
Net income	\$ 139,590	\$ 57,516	\$ 50,782	\$ 53,739	\$ 84,395
Interest expense before amortization expense	100,244	91,694	82,756	81,196	78,203
Amortization expense	11,644	11,474	4,828	4,820	6,860
Tax benefit	-	(1,682)	-	-	-
Depreciation (1)	170,686	152,543	129,712	118,522	113,294
EBITDA(2)	\$ 422,164	\$ 311,545	\$ 268,078	\$ 258,277	\$ 282,752
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(3)	1.98	1.36	1.41	1.34	1.66
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends (3)	1.89	1.29	1.30	1.20	1.38

(1) Includes amounts classified within discontinued operations.

(2) EBITDA is an operating measure and is defined as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA, as defined by the Company, is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. This measurement should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP, or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. The Company's definition may not be comparable to that of other companies.

(3) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income before discontinued operations and before gain on sale of real estate and interest and amortization expense. Fixed charges consist of interest and amortization expense plus interest costs capitalized. Combined fixed charges consist of fixed charges plus preferred interest distributions and preferred unit distributions.

Table of Contents

Essex Property Trust, Inc.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2012 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries, incorporated by reference herein, which have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm whose report with respect thereto is incorporated by reference herein. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statements of income operating data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries, have also been audited by KPMG LLP. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)				
OPERATING DATA:					
REVENUES					
Rental and other property	\$531,936	\$465,713	\$405,728	\$401,550	\$397,673
Management and other fees from affiliates	11,489	6,780	4,551	4,325	5,166
	543,425	472,493	410,279	405,875	402,839
EXPENSES					
Property operating expenses	174,088	159,234	143,164	137,457	130,328
Depreciation	170,592	151,428	128,221	116,540	108,221
General and administrative	23,307	20,694	23,255	24,966	24,725
Cost of management and other fees	6,513	4,610	2,707	3,096	2,959
Impairment and other charges	-	-	2,302	13,084	650
	374,500	335,966	299,649	295,143	266,883
Earnings from operations	168,925	136,527	110,630	110,732	135,956
Interest expense before amortization expense	(100,244)	(91,694)	(82,756)	(81,196)	(78,203)
Amortization expense	(11,644)	(11,474)	(4,828)	(4,820)	(6,860)
Interest and other income	13,833	17,139	27,841	13,040	11,337
Equity income (loss) from co-investments	41,745	(467)	(1,715)	670	7,820
Gain on remeasurement of co-investment	21,947	-	-	-	-
(Loss) gain on early retirement of debt	(5,009)	(1,163)	(10)	4,750	3,997
Gain on the sales of real estate	-	-	-	103	4,578
Income before discontinued operations	129,553	48,868	49,162	43,279	78,625
Income from discontinued operations	10,037	8,648	1,620	10,460	5,770
Net income	139,590	57,516	50,782	53,739	84,395
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,306)	(10,446)	(14,848)	(16,631)	(22,255)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	125,284	47,070	35,934	37,108	62,140
Dividends to preferred stockholders	(5,472)	(4,753)	(2,170)	(4,860)	(9,241)
Excess (deficit) of the carrying amount of preferred stock redeemed over the cash paid to redeem preferred stock	-	(1,949)	-	49,952	-
Net income available to common stockholders	\$119,812	\$40,368	\$33,764	\$82,200	\$52,899
Per share data:					

Basic:

Income before discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$3.15	\$0.99	\$1.09	\$2.66	\$1.88
Net income available to common stockholders	\$3.42	\$1.24	\$1.14	\$3.01	\$2.10
Weighted average common stock outstanding	35,032	32,542	29,667	27,270	25,205

Diluted:

Income before discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$3.14	\$0.99	\$1.09	\$2.56	\$1.87
Net income available to common stockholders	\$3.41	\$1.24	\$1.14	\$2.91	\$2.09
Weighted average common stock outstanding	35,125	32,629	29,734	29,747	25,347
Cash dividend per common share	\$4.40	\$4.16	\$4.13	\$4.12	\$4.08

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(\$ in thousands)				
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Investment in rental properties (before accumulated depreciation)	\$5,033,672	\$4,313,064	\$3,964,561	\$3,412,930	\$3,279,788
Net investment in rental properties	3,952,155	3,393,038	3,189,008	2,663,466	2,639,762
Real estate under development	66,851	44,280	217,531	274,965	272,273
Total assets	4,847,223	4,036,964	3,732,887	3,254,637	3,164,823
Total secured indebtedness	1,565,599	1,745,858	2,082,745	1,832,549	1,588,931
Total unsecured indebtedness	1,253,084	615,000	176,000	14,893	165,457
Cumulative convertible preferred stock	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	145,912
Cumulative redeemable preferred stock	73,750	73,750	25,000	25,000	25,000
Stockholders' equity	1,764,804	1,437,527	1,149,946	1,053,096	852,227
	As of and for the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
OTHER DATA:					
Net income	\$139,590	\$57,516	\$50,782	\$53,739	\$84,395
Interest expense before amortization expense	100,244	91,694	82,756	81,196	78,203
Amortization expense	11,644	11,474	4,828	4,820	6,860
Tax benefit	-	(1,682)	-	-	-
Depreciation(1)	170,686	152,543	129,712	118,522	113,294
EBITDA(2)	\$422,164	\$311,545	\$268,078	\$258,277	\$282,752
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges (3)	1.98	1.36	1.41	1.34	1.66
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred interests distributions (3)	1.89	1.29	1.30	1.20	1.38

(1) Includes amounts classified within discontinued operations.

(2) EBITDA is an operating measure and is defined as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA, as defined by the Company, is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. This measurement should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP, or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. The Company's definition may not be comparable to that of other companies.

(3) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income before discontinued operations and before gain on sale of real estate and interest and amortization expense. Fixed charges consist of interest and amortization expense plus interest costs capitalized. Combined fixed charges consist of fixed charges plus preferred stock dividends and preferred unit distributions.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below as well as other information and data included in this prospectus before making a decision to exchange your private notes for the exchange notes in the exchange offer. If any of the events described in the risk factors below occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be materially adversely affected, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to repay the notes. The risk factors set forth below are generally applicable to the private notes as well as the exchange notes.

Risks Related to our Industry, Business, Capital Structure and REIT Status

The Company depends on its key personnel. The Company's success depends on its ability to attract and retain executive officers, senior officers and company managers. There is substantial competition for qualified personnel in the real estate industry and the loss of any of the Company's key personnel could have an adverse effect on the Company.

Capital and credit market conditions may affect the Company's access to sources of capital and/or the cost of capital, which could negatively affect the Company's business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. In periods when the capital and credit markets experience significant volatility, the amounts, sources and cost of capital available to the Company may be adversely affected. The Company's strong balance sheet, the debt capacity available on the unsecured line of credit with a bank group and access to the private placement market and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac secured debt financing provides some insulation from volatile markets. The Company has benefited from borrowing from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and there are no assurances that these entities will lend to the Company in the future. To the extent that the Company's access to capital and credit is at a higher cost than the Company has experienced in recent years (reflected in higher interest rates for debt financing or a lower stock price for equity financing) the Company's ability to make acquisitions, develop communities, obtain new financing, and refinance existing borrowing at competitive rates could be adversely impacted. For the past two years the Company has primarily issued unsecured debt and repaid secured debt when it has matured to place less reliance on mortgage debt financing.

Debt financing has inherent risks. At December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$2.82 billion of indebtedness (including \$692.9 million of variable rate indebtedness, of which \$300.0 million is subject to interest rate swaps effectively fixing the interest rate and \$187.8 million is subject to interest rate protection agreements). The Company is subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the following:

- cash flow may not be sufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest;
- inability to refinance maturing indebtedness on encumbered apartment communities;
- inability to comply with debt covenants could cause an acceleration of the maturity date; and
- repaying debt before the scheduled maturity date could result in prepayment penalties.

The Company may not be able to refinance its indebtedness. The communities subject to these mortgages could be foreclosed upon or otherwise transferred to the lender. This could cause the Company to lose income and asset value. The Company may be required to refinance the debt at higher interest rates or on terms that may not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness.

Debt financing of communities may result in insufficient cash flow to service debt. Where appropriate, the Company intends to continue to use leverage to increase the rate of return on the Company's investments and to provide for additional investments that the Company could not otherwise make. There is a risk that the cash flow from the communities will be insufficient to meet both debt payment obligations and the distribution requirements of the real estate investment trust provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company may obtain

additional debt financing in the future through mortgages on some or all of the communities. These mortgages may be recourse, non-recourse, or cross-collateralized.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund our operations, working capital and capital expenditures, depends on our ability to generate cash in the future. To a certain extent, our cash flow is subject to general economic, industry, regional, financial, competitive, operating, legislative, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 55 of its 139 consolidated communities encumbered by debt. With respect to the 55 communities encumbered by debt, all of them are secured by deeds of trust relating solely to those communities. The holders of this indebtedness will have rights with respect to these communities and lenders may seek foreclosure of communities which would reduce the Company's income and net asset value.

Table of Contents

Rising interest rates may affect the Company's costs of capital and financing activities and results of operation. Interest rates could increase, which could result in higher interest expense on the Company's variable rate indebtedness or increase interest rates when refinancing maturing fixed rate debt. Prolonged interest rate increases could negatively impact the Company's ability to make acquisitions and develop apartment communities with positive economic returns on investment and the Company's ability to refinance existing borrowings.

Interest rate hedging arrangements may result in losses. Periodically, the Company has entered into agreements to reduce the risks associated with increases in interest rates, and may continue to do so. Although these agreements may partially protect against rising interest rates, they also may reduce the benefits to the Company if interest rates decline. If a hedging arrangement is not indexed to the same rate as the indebtedness that is hedged, the Company may be exposed to losses to the extent that the rate governing the indebtedness and the rate governing the hedging arrangement change independently of each other. Finally, nonperformance by the other party to the hedging arrangement may subject the Company to increased credit risks. In order to minimize counterparty credit risk, the Company's policy is to enter into hedging arrangements only with financial institutions that have a current rating of A or higher.

Bond compliance requirements may limit income from certain communities. At December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$201.9 million of variable rate tax-exempt financing. This tax-exempt financing provides for certain deed restrictions and restrictive covenants. The Company expects to engage in tax-exempt financings in the future. The Internal Revenue Code and rules and regulations thereunder impose various restrictions, conditions and requirements in order to allow the noteholder to exclude interest on qualified bond obligations from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The Internal Revenue Code also requires that at least 20% of apartment units be made available to residents with gross incomes that do not exceed a specified percentage, generally 50%, of the median income for the applicable family size as determined by the Housing and Urban Development Department of the federal government. Certain state and local authorities may impose additional rental restrictions. These restrictions may limit income from the tax-exempt financed communities if the Company is required to lower rental rates to attract residents who satisfy the median income test. If the Company does not reserve the required number of apartment homes for residents satisfying these income requirements, the tax-exempt status of the bonds may be terminated, the obligations under the bond documents may be accelerated and the Company may be subject to additional contractual liability.

General real estate investment risks may adversely affect property income and values. Real estate investments are subject to a variety of risks. If the communities do not generate sufficient income to meet operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, cash flow and the ability to make distributions to stockholders will be adversely affected. Income from the communities may be further adversely affected by, among other things, the following factors:

- the general economic climate;
- local economic conditions in which the communities are located, such as oversupply of housing or a reduction in demand for rental housing;
 - the attractiveness of the communities to tenants;
 - competition from other available housing; and
- the Company's ability to provide for adequate maintenance and insurance.

As leases at the communities expire, tenants may enter into new leases on terms that are less favorable to the Company. Income and real estate values also may be adversely affected by such factors as applicable laws (e.g., the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and tax laws). Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and, therefore, the Company's ability to vary its portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions may be quite limited.

National and regional economic environments can negatively impact the Company's operating results. During recent years, a confluence of factors has resulted in job losses, turmoil and volatility in the capital markets, and caused a national and global recession. The Company's forecast for the national economy assumes the return of growth, with estimated gross domestic product growth of the national economy and the economies of the western states. In the event of another recession, the Company could incur reduction in rental rates, occupancy levels, property valuations and increases in operating costs such as advertising and turnover expenses.

Inflation/Deflation may affect rental rates and operating expenses. Substantial inflationary or deflationary pressures could have a negative effect on rental rates and property operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions of communities may fail to meet expectations. The Company intends to continue to acquire apartment communities. However, there are risks that acquisitions will fail to meet the Company's expectations. The Company's estimates of future income, expenses and the costs of improvements or redevelopment that is necessary to allow the Company to market an acquired apartment community as originally intended may prove to be inaccurate. The Company expects to finance future acquisitions, in whole or in part, under various forms of secured or unsecured financing or through the issuance of partnership units by the Operating Partnership or related partnerships or additional equity by the Company. The use of equity financing, rather than debt, for future developments or acquisitions could dilute the interest of the Company's existing stockholders. If the Company finances new acquisitions under existing lines of credit, there is a risk that, unless the Company obtains substitute financing, the Company may not be able to secure further lines of credit for new development or such lines of credit may be not available on advantageous terms.

Development and redevelopment activities may be delayed, not completed, and/or not achieve expected results. The Company pursues development and redevelopment projects and these projects generally require various governmental and other approvals, which have no assurance of being received. The Company's development and redevelopment activities generally entail certain risks, including the following:

- funds may be expended and management's time devoted to projects that may not be completed;
- construction costs of a project may exceed original estimates possibly making the project economically unfeasible;
 - projects may be delayed due to, without limitation, adverse weather conditions, labor or material shortage;
 - occupancy rates and rents at a completed project may be less than anticipated; and
 - expenses at completed development projects may be higher than anticipated.

These risks may reduce the funds available for distribution to the Company's stockholders. Further, the development and redevelopment of communities is also subject to the general risks associated with real estate investments. For further information regarding these risks, please see the risk factor "General real estate investment risks may adversely affect property income and values."

The geographic concentration of the Company's communities and fluctuations in local markets may adversely impact the Company's financial condition and operating results. The Company generated significant amounts of rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012, from the Company's communities concentrated in Southern California (Los Angeles, Orange, Santa Barbara, San Diego, and Ventura counties), Northern California (the San Francisco Bay Area), and the Seattle metropolitan area. For the year ended December 31, 2012, 82% of the Company's rental revenues were generated from communities located in California. This geographic concentration could present risks if local property market performance falls below expectations. The economic condition of these markets could affect occupancy, property revenues, and expenses, from the communities and their underlying asset values. The financial results of major local employers also may impact the cash flow and value of certain of the communities. This could have a negative impact on the Company's financial condition and operating results, which could affect the Company's ability to pay expected dividends to its stockholders.

Competition in the apartment community market may adversely affect operations and the rental demand for the Company's communities. There are numerous housing alternatives that compete with the Company's communities in attracting residents. These include other apartment communities and single-family homes that are available for rent in the markets in which the communities are located. If the demand for the Company's communities is reduced or if competitors develop and/or acquire competing apartment communities, rental rates may drop, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The Company also faces competition from other real estate investment trusts, businesses and other entities in the acquisition, development and operation of apartment communities. This competition may result in an increase in costs and prices of apartment communities that the Company acquires and/or develops.

Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Chairman is involved in other real estate activities and investments, which may lead to conflicts of interest. Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Chairman, George M. Marcus is not an employee of the Company, and is involved in other real estate activities and investments, which may lead to conflicts of interest. Mr. Marcus owns interests in various other real estate-related businesses and investments. He is the Chairman of The Marcus & Millichap Company ("TMMC"), which is a holding company for certain real estate brokerage and services companies. TMMC has an interest in Pacific Urban Residential and Summerhill Homes, companies that invest in apartment communities.

Table of Contents

Mr. Marcus has agreed not to divulge any confidential or proprietary information that may be received by him in his capacity as Chairman of Essex Property Trust, Inc. to any of his affiliated companies and that he will abstain his vote on any and all resolutions by Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Board of Directors regarding any proposed acquisition and/or development of an apartment community where it appears that there may be a conflict of interest with any of his affiliated companies. Notwithstanding this agreement, Mr. Marcus and his affiliated entities may potentially compete with the Company in acquiring and/or developing apartment communities, which competition may be detrimental to the Company. In addition, due to such potential competition for real estate investments, Mr. Marcus and his affiliated entities may have a conflict of interest with the Company, which may be detrimental to the interests of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders or to the interests of holders of units in Essex Portfolio, L.P.

The influence of executive officers, directors and significant stockholders may be detrimental to holders of common stock. As of December 31, 2012, George M. Marcus, the Chairman of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Board of Directors, wholly or partially owned 1.6 million shares of common stock (including shares issuable upon exchange of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership and certain other partnerships and assuming exercise of all vested options). This represents approximately 4.4% of the outstanding shares of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s common stock. Mr. Marcus currently does not have majority control over the Company. However, he currently has, and likely will continue to have, significant influence with respect to the election of directors and approval or disapproval of significant corporate actions. Consequently, his influence could result in decisions that do not reflect the interests of all Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders.

Under the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, the consent of the holders of limited partnership interests is generally required for any amendment of the agreement and for certain extraordinary actions. Through their ownership of limited partnership interests and their positions with Essex Property Trust, Inc., Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s directors and executive officers, including Mr. Marcus, have substantial influence on the Company and on Essex Portfolio, L.P. Consequently, their influence could result in decisions that do not reflect the interests of all stockholders or holders of ownership interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P.

The voting rights of preferred stock may allow holders of preferred stock to impede actions that otherwise benefit holders of common stock or ownership interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P. Essex Property Trust, Inc. currently has outstanding shares of 7.125% Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series H Preferred Stock"). In general, the holders of the Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s outstanding shares of Series H Preferred Stock do not have any voting rights. However, if full distributions are not made on outstanding Series H Preferred Stock for six quarterly distributions periods, the holders of Series H Preferred Stock, together with holders of other series of preferred stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred, will have the right to elect two additional directors to serve on Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Board of Directors.

These voting rights continue until all distributions in arrears and distributions for the current quarterly period on the Series H Preferred Stock have been paid in full. At that time, the holders of the Series H Preferred Stock are divested of these voting rights, and the term of office of the directors so elected immediately terminates.

While any shares of the Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Series H Preferred Stock are outstanding, Essex Property Trust, Inc. may not, without the consent of the holders of two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Series H Preferred Stock:

- authorize or create any class or series of stock that ranks senior to the Series H Preferred Stock with respect to the payment of dividends, rights upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company's business; or
- amend, alter or repeal the provisions of the Company's Charter, including by merger or consolidation, that would materially and adversely affect the rights of the Series H Preferred Stock; provided that in the case of a merger or consolidation, so long as the Series H Preferred Stock remains outstanding with the terms thereof materially unchanged or the holders of shares of Series H Preferred Stock receive shares of stock or other equity securities

with rights, preferences, privileges and voting powers substantially similar to that of the Series H Preferred Stock, the occurrence of such merger or consolidation shall not be deemed to materially and adversely affect the rights of the holders of the Series H Preferred Stock.

These voting rights of the holders of the Series H Preferred Stock and of other preferred stock may allow such holders to impede or veto actions that would otherwise benefit holders of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s common stock or holders of ownership interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P.

The Maryland business combination law may not allow certain transactions between the Essex Property Trust, Inc. and its affiliates to proceed without compliance with such law. Under Maryland law, "business combinations" between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. These business combinations include a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities. An interested stockholder is defined as any person (and certain affiliates of such person) who beneficially owns ten percent or more of the voting power of the then-outstanding voting stock. The law also requires a supermajority stockholder vote for such transactions. This means that the transaction must be approved by at least:

Table of Contents

- 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares; and
- Two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom the business combination is to be effected.

The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. These voting provisions do not apply if the stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law. As permitted by the statute, the Board of Directors of the Essex Property Trust, Inc. irrevocably has elected to exempt any business combination by Essex Property Trust, Inc., George M. Marcus, who is the chairman of Essex Property Trust, Inc., and TMMC or any entity owned or controlled by Mr. Marcus and TMMC. Consequently, the five-year prohibition and supermajority vote requirement described above will not apply to any business combination between the Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Marcus, or TMMC. As a result, Essex Property Trust, Inc. may in the future enter into business combinations with Mr. Marcus and TMMC, without compliance with the supermajority vote requirements and other provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law.

The Company's joint ventures and joint ownership of communities and partial interests in corporations and limited partnerships could limit the Company's ability to control such communities and partial interests. Instead of purchasing and developing apartment communities directly, the Company has invested and may continue to invest in joint ventures. Joint venture partners often have shared control over the development and operation of the joint venture assets. Therefore, it is possible that a joint venture partner in an investment might become bankrupt, or have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with the Company's business interests or goals, or be in a position to take action contrary to the Company's instructions or requests, or its policies or objectives. Consequently, a joint venture partners' actions might subject property owned by the joint venture to additional risk. Although the Company seeks to maintain sufficient influence over any joint venture to achieve its objectives, the Company may be unable to take action without its joint venture partners' approval, or joint venture partners could take actions binding on the joint venture without its consent. Should a joint venture partner become bankrupt, the Company could become liable for such partner's share of joint venture liabilities. In some instances, the Company and the joint venture partner may each have the right to trigger a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause the Company to sell its interest, or acquire a partner's interest, at a time when the Company otherwise would have not have initiated such a transaction.

From time to time, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, invests in corporations, limited partnerships, limited liability companies or other entities that have been formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing, financing, or managing real property. In certain circumstances, the Operating Partnership's interest in a particular entity may be less than a majority of the outstanding voting interests of that entity. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's ability to control the daily operations of such an entity may be limited. Furthermore, the Operating Partnership may not have the power to remove a majority of the board of directors (in the case of a corporation) or the general partner or partners (in the case of a limited partnership) of such an entity in the event that its operations conflict with the Operating Partnership's objectives. The Operating Partnership may not be able to dispose of its interests in such an entity. In the event that such an entity becomes insolvent, the Operating Partnership may lose up to its entire investment in and any advances to the entity. The Company may also incur losses if any guarantees were made by the Company. The Company has, and in the future may, enter into transactions that could require the Company to pay the tax liabilities of partners, which contribute assets into joint ventures or the Operating Partnership, in the event that certain taxable events, which are within the Company's control, occur. Although the Company plans to hold the contributed assets or defer recognition of gain on sale pursuant to the like-kind exchange rules under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code, the Company can provide no assurance that the Company will be able to do so and if such tax liabilities were incurred they can expect to have a material impact on its financial position.

There are risks that Fund II may operate in ways that may adversely impact the Company's interests. The Company is the general partner of Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P. ("Fund II"), and with Fund II there are the following risks:

- the Company's partners in Fund II might remove the Company as the general partner of Fund II;
- the Company's partners in Fund II might have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with the Company's business interests or goals; or

Table of Contents

- the Company's partners in Fund II might fail to approve decisions regarding Fund II that are in the Company's best interest.

Investments in mortgages and other real estate securities could affect the Company's ability to make distributions to Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders and Essex Portfolio L.P.'s unitholders. The Company may invest in securities related to real estate, which could adversely affect the Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s ability to make distributions to stockholders and Essex Portfolio L.P.'s unitholders. The Company may purchase securities issued by entities which own real estate and invest in mortgages or unsecured debt obligations. These mortgages may be first, second or third mortgages that may or may not be insured or otherwise guaranteed. In general, investments in mortgages include the following risks:

- that the value of mortgaged property may be less than the amounts owed, causing realized or unrealized losses;
- the borrower may not pay indebtedness under the mortgage when due, requiring the Company to foreclose, and the amount recovered in connection with the foreclosure may be less than the amount owed;
 - that interest rates payable on the mortgages may be lower than the Company's cost of funds; and
 - in the case of junior mortgages, that foreclosure of a senior mortgage could eliminate the junior mortgage.

If any of the above were to occur, cash flows from operations and Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s ability to make expected dividends to stockholders and Essex Portfolio L.P.'s ability to make expected distribution to unitholders could be adversely affected.

Compliance with laws benefiting disabled persons may require the Company to make significant unanticipated expenditures or impact the Company's investment strategy. A number of federal, state and local laws (including the Americans with Disabilities Act) and regulations exist that may require modifications to existing buildings or restrict certain renovations by requiring improved access to such buildings by disabled persons and may require other structural features which add to the cost of buildings under construction. Legislation or regulations adopted in the future may impose further burdens or restrictions on the Company with respect to improved access by disabled persons. The costs of compliance with these laws and regulations may be substantial.

The Company's Portfolio may have unknown environmental liabilities. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate is liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on, in, to or migrating from such property. Such laws often impose liability without regard as to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. The presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. Persons exposed to such substances, either through soil vapor or ingestion of the substances may claim personal injury damages. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances or wastes also may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility to which such substances or wastes were sent, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for release of asbestos-containing materials ("ACMs") into the air, and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of apartment communities for personal injury associated with ACMs. In connection with the ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of apartment communities, the Company could be considered an owner or operator of such properties or as having arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, may be potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other costs, including governmental fines and costs related to injuries of persons and property.

Investments in real property create a potential for environmental liabilities on the part of the owner of such real property. The Company carries certain limited insurance coverage for this type of environmental risk. The Company

has conducted environmental studies which revealed the presence of groundwater contamination at certain communities. Such contamination at certain of these apartment communities was reported to have migrated on-site from adjacent industrial manufacturing operations. The former industrial users of the communities were identified as the source of contamination. The environmental studies noted that certain communities are located adjacent to or possibly down gradient from sites with known groundwater contamination, the lateral limits of which may extend onto such apartment communities. The environmental studies also noted that at certain of these apartment communities, contamination existed because of the presence of underground fuel storage tanks, which have been removed. In general, in connection with the ownership, operation, financing, management and development of apartment communities, the Company may be potentially liable for removal or clean-up costs, as well as certain other costs and environmental liabilities. The Company may also be subject to governmental fines and costs related to injuries to persons and property.

Table of Contents

There have been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and managers of apartment communities alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential real estate. Some of these lawsuits have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. The Company has been sued for mold related matters and has settled some, but not all, of such matters. Insurance carriers have reacted to mold related liability awards by excluding mold related claims from standard policies and pricing mold endorsements at prohibitively high rates. The Company has, however, purchased pollution liability insurance, which includes some coverage for mold. The Company has adopted policies for promptly addressing and resolving reports of mold when it is detected, and to minimize any impact mold might have on residents of the property. The Company believes its mold policies and proactive response to address any known existence, reduces its risk of loss from these cases. There can be no assurance that the Company has identified and responded to all mold occurrences. Liabilities resulting from such mold related matters are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. As of December 31, 2012, potential liabilities for mold and other environmental liabilities are not quantifiable and an estimate of possible loss cannot be made.

California has enacted legislation commonly referred to as "Proposition 65" requiring that "clear and reasonable" warnings be given to consumers who are exposed to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity, including tobacco smoke. Although the Company has sought to comply with Proposition 65 requirements, the Company cannot assure you that the Company will not be adversely affected by litigation relating to Proposition 65.

Methane gas is a naturally-occurring gas that is commonly found below the surface in several areas, particularly in the Southern California coastal areas. Methane is a non-toxic gas, but can be ignitable in confined spaces. Although naturally-occurring, methane gas is not regulated at the state or federal level, however some local governments, such as the County of Los Angeles, have imposed requirements that new buildings install detection systems in areas where methane gas is known to be located. Methane gas is also associated with certain industrial activities, such as former municipal waste landfills. Radon is also a naturally-occurring gas that is found below the surface. The Company cannot assure you that it will not be adversely affected by costs related to its compliance with methane or radon gas related requirements or litigation costs related to methane or radon gas.

The Company has almost no indemnification agreements from third parties for potential environmental clean-up costs at its communities. The Company has no way of determining at this time the magnitude of any potential liability to which it may be subject arising out of unknown environmental conditions or violations with respect to communities formerly owned by the Company. No assurance can be given that existing environmental studies with respect to any of the communities reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner or operator of an apartment community did not create any material environmental condition not known to the Company, or that a material environmental condition does not exist as to any one or more of the communities. The Company has limited insurance coverage for the types of environmental liabilities described above.

The Company may incur general uninsured losses. The Company carries comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance for each of the communities. There are, however, certain types of extraordinary losses, such as, for example, losses from terrorism or earthquakes, for which the Company does not have insurance coverage. Substantially all of the communities are located in areas that are subject to earthquake activity. In January 2007, the Company canceled its then existing earthquake policy and established a wholly owned insurance subsidiary, Pacific Western Insurance LLC ("PWI"). Through PWI, the Company is self-insured as it relates to earthquake related losses. Additionally, since January 2008, PWI has provided property and casualty insurance coverage for the first \$5.0 million of the Company's property level insurance claims per incident.

Although the Company may carry insurance for potential losses associated with its communities, employees, residents, and compliance with applicable laws, it may still incur losses due to uninsured risks, deductibles,

co-payments or losses in excess of applicable insurance coverage and those losses may be material. In the event of a substantial loss, insurance coverage may not be able to cover the full replacement cost of the Company's lost investment, or the insurance carrier may become insolvent and not be able to cover the full amount of the insured losses. Changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also affect the Company's ability to replace or renovate an apartment community after it has been damaged or destroyed.

Changes in real estate tax and other laws may adversely affect the Company's results of operations. Generally, the Company does not directly pass through costs resulting from changes in real estate tax laws to residential property tenants. The Company also does not generally pass through increases in income, service or other taxes, to tenants under leases. These costs may adversely affect funds from operations and the ability to make distributions to stockholders. Similarly, compliance with changes in (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on apartment communities or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions or (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating housing may result in significant unanticipated decrease in revenue or increase in expenditures, which would adversely affect funds from operations and the ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Table of Contents

Adverse changes in laws may affect our liability relating to our properties and our operations. Increases in real estate taxes and income, service and transfer taxes cannot always be passed through to residents or users in the form of higher rents, and may adversely affect our cash available for distribution and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and pay amounts due on our debt. Similarly, changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions, as well as changes in laws affecting development, construction and safety requirements, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which could have a material adverse effect on us and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and pay amounts due on our debt. In addition, future enactment of rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing may reduce rental revenues or increase operating costs.

Changes in the Company's financing policy may lead to higher levels of indebtedness. The Company has adopted a policy of maintaining a limit on debt financing consistent with the existing covenants required to maintain the Company's unsecured line of credit bank facility, unsecured debt and senior unsecured bonds. The Company's organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that may be incurred. If the Company changed this policy, the Company could incur more debt, resulting in an increased risk of default on the Company's obligations and the obligations of the Operating Partnership, and an increase in debt service requirements that could adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Such increased debt could exceed the underlying value of the communities.

The Company is subject to various tax risks. Essex Property Trust, Inc. has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s qualification as a REIT requires it to satisfy numerous requirements (some on an annual and quarterly basis) established under highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations, and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within the Company's control. Although Essex Property Trust, Inc. intends that its current organization and method of operation enables it to qualify as a REIT, it cannot assure you that it so qualifies or that it will be able to remain so qualified in the future. Future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions (any of which could have retroactive effect) could adversely affect Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s ability to qualify as a REIT or adversely affect the Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders and Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s unitholders. If Essex Property Trust, Inc. fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company would be subject to U.S. federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on the Company's taxable income at corporate rates, and Essex Property Trust, Inc. would not be allowed to deduct dividends paid to its shareholders in computing its taxable income. Essex Property Trust, Inc. may also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which Essex Property Trust, Inc. failed to qualify. The additional tax liability would reduce its net earnings available for investment or distribution Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders and Essex Portfolio, L.P.'s unitholders, and Essex Property Trust, Inc. would no longer be required to make distributions to its stockholders. Even if Essex Property Trust, Inc. continues to qualify as a REIT, it will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on the Company's income and property.

The Company has established several taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRSs"). Despite its qualification as a REIT, the Company's TRSs must pay U.S. federal income tax on their taxable income. While the Company will attempt to ensure that its dealings with its TRSs do not adversely affect Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s REIT qualification, it cannot provide assurance that it will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, it may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, or its TRSs may be denied deductions, to the extent its dealings with its TRSs are not deemed to be arm's length in nature. No assurances can be given that the Company's dealings with its TRSs will be arm's length in nature.

From time to time, the Company may transfer or otherwise dispose of some of its Properties. Under the Internal Revenue Code, any gain resulting from transfers of Properties that the Company holds as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business would be treated as income from a prohibited transaction subject

to a 100% penalty tax. Since the Company acquires properties for investment purposes, it does not believe that its occasional transfers or disposals of property are prohibited transactions. However, whether property is held for investment purposes is a question of fact that depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction. The Internal Revenue Service may contend that certain transfers or disposals of properties by the Company are prohibited transactions. If the Internal Revenue Service were to argue successfully that a transfer or disposition of property constituted a prohibited transaction, then the Company would be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on any gain allocable to it from the prohibited transaction and the Company's ability to retain future gains on real property sales may be jeopardized. Income from a prohibited transaction might adversely affect Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s ability to satisfy the income tests for qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Therefore, no assurances can be given that Essex Property Trust, Inc. will be able to satisfy the income tests for qualification as a REIT.

Table of Contents

The U.S. federal tax rate on certain corporate dividends paid to certain individuals and other non-corporate taxpayers is at a reduced rate of 15%; a rate of 20% applies to certain high-income individual taxpayers. Dividends paid by REITs to individuals and other non-corporate stockholders are not eligible for the above reduced 15% and 20% dividend rates. This may cause investors to view REIT investments to be less attractive than investments in non-REIT corporations, which in turn may adversely affect the value of stock in REITs, including Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stock.

Risks Related to this Offering

If you do not exchange your private notes pursuant to this exchange offer, you may not be able to sell your notes. It may be difficult for you to sell private notes that are not exchanged in the exchange offer. Those private notes may not be offered or sold unless they are registered or there are exemptions from the registration requirements under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. If you do not tender your private notes or if we do not accept some of your private notes, those notes will continue to be subject to the transfer and exchange restrictions in:

- the indenture;
- the legend on the private notes; and
- the offering memorandum relating to the private notes.

The restrictions on transfer of your private notes arise because we issued the private notes pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. In general, you may only offer or sell the private notes if they are registered under the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws, or offered and sold pursuant to an exemption from such requirements. We do not intend to register the private notes under the Securities Act. To the extent private notes are tendered and accepted in the exchange offer, the trading market, if any, for untendered private notes would be adversely affected.

If the procedures for tendering your private notes in this exchange offer are not followed, you may not receive exchange notes in exchange for your private notes. We will issue the exchange notes in exchange for your private notes only if you tender the private notes and deliver a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal and other required documents before expiration of the exchange offer. You should allow sufficient time to ensure timely delivery of the necessary documents. Neither the Exchange Agent nor we are under any duty to give notification of defects or irregularities with respect to the tenders of private notes for exchange. If you are the beneficial holder of private notes that are registered in the name of your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, and you wish to tender private notes in the exchange offer, you should promptly contact the person in whose name your private notes are registered and instruct that person to tender your private notes on your behalf.

The effective subordination of the notes may limit our ability to satisfy our obligations under the notes. The notes will be senior unsecured obligations of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and will rank equally in right of payment with each other and with all of the other senior unsecured indebtedness of Essex Portfolio, L.P. However, the notes will be effectively subordinated to all of the existing and future secured indebtedness of Essex Portfolio, L.P., to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness. The indenture governing the notes places limitations on the ability of Essex Portfolio, L.P. to incur secured indebtedness, but does not prohibit it from incurring secured indebtedness in the future. Consequently, in the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to Essex Portfolio, L.P., the holders of any secured indebtedness will be entitled to seek recovery of the collateral that secures such indebtedness or the equivalent of such collateral's value. Therefore, such collateral or its value will not be available for satisfaction of any amounts owed under our unsecured indebtedness, including the notes, until such secured indebtedness is satisfied in full. As of December 31, 2012, Essex Portfolio, L.P. had outstanding \$1.57 billion of secured indebtedness and \$1.25 billion of unsecured indebtedness (exclusive of trade payables, dividends payable and accrued expenses). Other than with respect to the indebtedness of

Essex Portfolio, L.P. or the indebtedness of its subsidiaries, Essex Property Trust, Inc. had no outstanding indebtedness as of December 31, 2012.

The notes will also be effectively subordinated to all existing and future unsecured and secured liabilities and preferred equity of the subsidiaries of Essex Portfolio, L.P. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding with respect to any such subsidiary, Essex Portfolio, L.P., as an equity owner of such subsidiary, and therefore holders of our debt, including the notes, will be subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors, including trade creditors, and preferred equity holders. All of the \$1.57 billion of secured indebtedness Essex Portfolio, L.P., had outstanding as of December 31, 2012, was attributable to indebtedness of its subsidiaries, excluding trade payables and accrued expenses.

Table of Contents

Essex Portfolio, L.P. also has equity interests and certain other rights in co-investments accounted for using the equity method (and not classified as subsidiaries) and the notes will also be effectively subordinated to all liabilities and preferred equity (if any) of these co-investment entities, in the manner described in the preceding paragraph.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations. Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, including the notes, and to fund our operations, working capital and capital expenditures, depends on our ability to generate cash in the future. To a certain extent, our cash flow is subject to general economic, industry, regional, financial, competitive, operating, legislative, regulatory and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

At December 31, 2012, Essex Portfolio, L.P. had approximately \$2.82 billion of indebtedness (exclusive of trade payables, dividends payable and accrued expenses). We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future sources of cash will be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay amounts due on our indebtedness, including the notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. Additionally, if we incur additional indebtedness in connection with future acquisitions or development projects or for any other purpose, our debt service obligations could increase.

We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, including the notes, on or before maturity. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness or obtain additional financing will depend on, among other things:

- our financial condition and market conditions at the time; and
- restrictions in the agreements governing our indebtedness.

As a result, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including the notes, on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, and additional borrowings or refinancings or proceeds of asset sales or other sources of cash are not available to us, we may not have sufficient cash to enable us to meet all of our obligations, including payments on the notes. Accordingly, if we cannot service our indebtedness, we may have to take actions such as seeking additional equity or delaying property acquisitions or developments, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to affect any of these actions on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

Essex Property Trust, Inc. has no significant operations and no material assets, other than its investment in Essex Portfolio, L.P. The notes will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Essex Property Trust, Inc. However, Essex Property Trust, Inc. has no significant operations and no material assets, other than its investment in Essex Portfolio, L.P. Furthermore, Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s guarantee of the notes will be effectively subordinated to all existing and future unsecured and secured liabilities and preferred equity of its subsidiaries (including us and any entity Essex Property Trust, Inc. accounts for under the equity method of accounting). As of December 31, 2012, the total indebtedness of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s subsidiaries (including us) was approximately \$2.82 billion (excluding trade payables, dividends payable and accrued expenses).

There is currently no trading market for the notes, and an active liquid trading market for the notes may not develop or, if it develops, may not be maintained or be liquid. The failure of an active liquid trading market for the notes to develop or be maintained is likely to adversely affect the market price and liquidity of the notes. The notes are a new issue of securities, and there is currently no existing trading market for the notes. We do not intend to apply for listing of the notes on any securities exchange or for quotation of the notes on any automated dealer quotation system. Accordingly, an active trading market may not develop for the notes and, even if one develops, may not be maintained. If an active trading market for the notes does not develop or is not maintained, the market price and liquidity of the notes is likely to be adversely affected, and holders may not be able to sell their notes at desired times and prices or at all. If any of the notes are traded after their purchase, they may trade at a discount from their purchase

price.

The liquidity of the trading market, if any, and future trading prices of the notes will depend on many factors, including, among other things, prevailing interest rates, the financial condition, results of operations, business, prospects and credit quality of Essex Portfolio, L.P., Essex Property Trust, Inc. and our subsidiaries, and other comparable entities, the market for similar securities and the overall securities market, and may be adversely affected by unfavorable changes in any of these factors, some of which are beyond our control.

23

Table of Contents

The indenture governing the notes contains restrictive covenants that limit our operating flexibility. In August 2012, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, issued \$300 million of senior notes. The Operating Partnership is conducting an offer pursuant to this prospectus to exchange these senior notes for the exchange notes. The indenture, which governs both the senior notes issued in August 2012 and the exchange notes, contains financial and operating covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions, even if we believe them to be in our best interest, including restrictions on our ability to:

- consummate a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets; and
 - incur additional secured and unsecured indebtedness.

In addition, the instruments governing our other unsecured indebtedness require us to meet specified financial covenants, including covenants relating to net worth, fixed charge coverage, debt service coverage, the amounts of total indebtedness and secured indebtedness, leverage and certain investment limitations. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these provisions and those contained in the indenture governing the notes, may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments or other events adversely impacting us. The breach of any of these covenants, including those contained in our indenture, could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could cause those and other obligations to become due and payable. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay it.

Despite our substantial indebtedness, we or our subsidiaries may still incur significantly more debt, which could exacerbate any or all of the risks related to our indebtedness, including our inability to pay the principal of or interest on the notes. We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the instruments governing our unsecured and secured indebtedness limit, and the indenture governing the notes will limit, our ability to incur additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and, under certain circumstances, debt incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that we and our subsidiaries incur additional indebtedness or other such obligations, we may face additional risks associated with our indebtedness, including our possible inability to pay the principal of or interest on the notes.

Federal and state statutes allow courts, under specific circumstances, to void guarantees and require holders of notes to return payments received from guarantors. Under the federal bankruptcy law and comparable provisions of state fraudulent transfer laws, a guarantee, such as the guarantee provided by Essex Property Trust, Inc., could be voided, or claims in respect of a guarantee could be subordinated to all other debts of that guarantor if, among other things, the guarantor, at the time it incurred the indebtedness evidenced by its guarantee:

- received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the incurrence of the guarantee;
 - was insolvent or rendered insolvent by reason of the incurrence of the guarantee;
- was engaged in a business or transaction for which the guarantor's remaining assets constituted unreasonably small capital; or
 - intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay those debts as they mature.

In addition, any payment by that guarantor pursuant to its guarantee could be voided and required to be returned to the guarantor, or to a fund for the benefit of the creditors of the guarantor. The measures of insolvency for purposes of these fraudulent transfer laws will vary depending upon the law applied in any proceeding to determine whether a fraudulent transfer has occurred. Generally, however, a guarantor would be considered insolvent if:

- the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, was greater than the fair saleable value of all of its assets;
-

the present fair saleable value of its assets was less than the amount that would be required to pay its probable liability on its existing debts, including contingent liabilities, as they became absolute and mature; or

- it could not pay its debts as they become due.

The court might also void such guarantee, without regard to the above factors, if it found that a guarantor entered into its guarantee with actual or deemed intent to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors. A court would likely find that a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for its guarantee unless it benefited directly or indirectly from the issuance of the notes. If a court voided such guarantee, holders of the notes would no longer have a claim against such guarantor or the benefit of the assets of such guarantor constituting collateral that purportedly secured such guarantee. In addition, the court might direct holders of the notes to repay any amounts already received from a guarantor. If the court were to void Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s guarantee, we cannot assure you that funds would be available to pay the notes from any of our subsidiaries or from any other source.

Table of Contents

An increase in interest rates could result in a decrease in the relative value of the notes. In general, as market interest rates rise, notes bearing interest at a fixed rate generally decline in value because the premium, if any, over market interest rates will decline. Consequently, if you purchase these notes and market interest rates increase, the market value of your notes may decline. We cannot predict the future level of market interest rates.

Our credit ratings may not reflect all risks of an investment in the notes. The credit ratings of the notes may not reflect the potential impact of all risks related to structure and other factors on any trading markets for, or trading prices of, the notes. In addition, real or anticipated changes in our credit ratings will generally affect any trading markets for, and trading prices of, the notes.

A downgrade in our investment grade credit rating could materially and adversely affect our business and financial condition. We plan to manage our operations to maintain our investment grade credit rating with a capital structure consistent with our current profile, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain our current credit ratings. Any downgrades in terms of ratings or outlook by any of the rating agencies could have a material adverse impact on our cost and availability of capital, which could in turn have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties, and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise, and we may not be able to realize them. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes", "expects", "may", "will", "should", "seeks", "approximately", "intends", "plans", "pro forma", "estimates" or "anticipates" or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions.

The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements:

- adverse economic or real estate developments in our target markets;
- our inability to refinance maturing indebtedness; our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all;
- general economic conditions, including downturns in the national and local economies; volatility in financial and securities markets;
 - our inability to compete effectively;
 - increased interest rates and operating costs;
- our inability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions, developments and dispositions; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction;
 - our failure to successfully operate acquired properties;
 - Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s failure to maintain its status as a REIT;
- our inability to maintain our investment grade credit rating with the rating agencies;
- government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements; and
 - changes in real estate and other laws and increases in real property tax rates.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance or transactions, see the section of this prospectus above entitled "Risk Factors" and the risks incorporated herein from Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K, as updated by our future filings under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

THE EXCHANGE OFFER

Purpose of the Exchange Offer

On August 15, 2012, our operating partnership issued \$300.0 million of the private notes to Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities, U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc., BMO Capital Markets, BNP PARIBAS, HSBC, KeyBanc Capital Markets, Inc. and PNC Capital Markets LLC, the initial purchasers, pursuant to a purchase agreement. The initial purchasers subsequently sold the private notes to “qualified institutional buyers,” as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act, in reliance on Rule 144A, and outside the United States under Regulation S of the Securities Act. As a condition to the sale of the private notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the representatives of the initial purchasers on August 15, 2012. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we agreed that we would:

- (1) use commercially reasonable efforts to file an exchange offer registration statement with the SEC on or prior to February 11, 2013;
- (2) use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the exchange offer registration statement to become effective on or prior to April 12, 2013;
- (3) use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the exchange offer to be consummated within 30 business days after the exchange offer registration statement is declared effective; and
- (4) in some circumstances, file a shelf registration statement providing for the sale of the private notes by the holders thereof.

Upon the effectiveness of the exchange offer registration statement, we will offer the exchange notes in exchange for the private notes. A copy of the registration rights agreement is incorporated by reference as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part.

Resale of the Exchange Notes

Based upon an interpretation by the staff of the SEC contained in no-action letters issued to third parties, we believe that you may exchange private notes for exchange notes in the ordinary course of business. For further information on the SEC’s position, see Exxon Capital Holdings Corporation, available May 13, 1988, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, available June 5, 1991, and Shearman & Sterling, available July 2, 1993, and other interpretive letters to similar effect. You will be allowed to resell exchange notes to the public without further registration under the Securities Act and without delivering to purchasers of the exchange notes a prospectus that satisfies the requirements of Section 10 of the Securities Act so long as you do not participate, do not intend to participate, and have no arrangement or understanding with any person to participate, in a distribution of the exchange notes. However, the foregoing does not apply to you if you are: a broker-dealer who purchased the notes directly from us to resell pursuant to Rule 144A or any other available exemption under the Securities Act; or you are an “affiliate” of ours within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act. By exchanging your private notes for exchange notes in the Exchange Offer, you will acknowledge that you are not an “affiliate” of ours.

In addition, if you are a broker-dealer, or you acquire exchange notes in the exchange offer for the purpose of distributing or participating in the distribution of the exchange notes, you cannot rely on the position of the staff of the SEC contained in the no-action letters mentioned above or other interpretive letters to similar effect and must comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act in connection with any resale transaction, unless an exemption from registration is otherwise available.

Each broker-dealer that receives exchange notes for its own account in exchange for private notes, which the broker-dealer acquired as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities, must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of the exchange notes. By delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer may be deemed to be an “underwriter” within the meaning of the Securities Act. A broker-dealer may use this prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, in connection with resales of exchange notes received in exchange for private notes which the broker-dealer acquired as a result of market-making or other trading activities.

Table of Contents

Terms of the Exchange Offer

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions described in this prospectus and in the accompanying letter of transmittal, which together constitute the exchange offer, we will accept any and all private notes validly tendered and not withdrawn before the expiration date. We will issue \$1,000 principal amount of exchange notes in exchange for each \$1,000 principal amount of outstanding private notes surrendered pursuant to the exchange offer. You may tender private notes only in denominations of \$2,000 and integral multiples of \$1,000 in excess thereof.

The form and terms of the exchange notes are the same as the form and terms of the private notes except that:

- we will register the exchange notes under the Securities Act and, therefore, the exchange notes will not bear legends restricting their transfer and will not be subject to an increase in annual interest rate; and
- holders of the exchange notes will not be entitled to any of the rights of holders of private notes under the registration rights agreement, which rights will terminate as to private notes exchanged upon the completion of the exchange offer.

The exchange notes will evidence the same debt as the private notes and will be issued under the same indenture, so the exchange notes and the private notes will be treated as a single class of debt securities under the indenture.

As of the date of this prospectus, \$300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the private notes are outstanding and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC. Only registered holders of the private notes, or their legal representative or attorney-in-fact, as reflected on the records of the trustee under the indenture, may participate in the exchange offer. We will not set a fixed record date for determining registered holders of the private notes entitled to participate in the exchange offer.

You do not have any appraisal or dissenters' rights under the indenture in connection with the exchange offer. We intend to conduct the exchange offer in accordance with the provisions of the registration rights agreement and the applicable requirements of the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations of the SEC.

We will be deemed to have accepted validly tendered private notes when, as and if we have given written notice of acceptance to the Exchange Agent. The Exchange Agent will act as your agent for the purposes of receiving the exchange notes from us.

If you tender private notes in the exchange offer you will not be required to pay brokerage commissions or fees with respect to the exchange of private notes pursuant to the exchange offer. We will pay all charges and expenses, other than the applicable taxes described below, in connection with the exchange offer.

Expiration Date; Extensions; Amendments

The term "expiration date" will mean 5:00 p.m., New York City time on , 2013 (the 21st business day following commencement of the exchange offer), unless we, in our sole discretion, extend the exchange offer, in which case the term expiration date will mean the latest date and time to which we extend the exchange offer.

To extend the exchange offer, we will notify the Exchange Agent and each registered holder of any extension in writing by a press release or other public announcement before 9:00 a.m., New York City time, on the next business day after the previously scheduled expiration date. The notice of extension will disclose the aggregate principal amount of the private notes that have been tendered as of the date of such notice.

We reserve the right, in our reasonable discretion:

- to delay accepting any private notes due to an extension of the exchange offer; or
- if any conditions listed below under “—Conditions” are not satisfied, to terminate the exchange offer,

in each case by written notice of the delay, extension or termination to the Exchange Agent and by press release or other public announcement.

Table of Contents

We will follow any delay in acceptance, extension or termination as promptly as practicable by written notice to the registered holders by a press release or other public announcement. If we amend the exchange offer in a manner we determine constitutes a material change, we will promptly disclose the amendment in a prospectus supplement that we will distribute to the registered holders. We will also extend the exchange offer for a period of five to ten business days, depending upon the significance of the amendment and the manner of disclosure, if the exchange offer would otherwise expire during the five to ten business day period.

Interest on the Exchange Notes

The exchange notes will bear interest at the same rate and on the same terms as the private notes (except for terms for accrual of additional interest under the circumstances provided for in the registration rights agreement). Consequently, the exchange notes will bear interest at a rate equal to 3.625% per year (calculated using a 360-day year). Interest will be payable on the exchange notes semi-annually on each February 15 and August 15. Interest on the exchange notes will accrue from the last interest payment date on which interest was paid on the private notes or, if no interest has been paid on the private notes, from the date of initial issuance of the private notes. We will deem the right to receive any interest accrued but unpaid on the private notes waived by you if we accept your private notes for exchange. Such interest will be paid on the exchange notes issued in exchange for such private notes so accepted.

Procedures for Tendering

Valid Tender

Except as described below, a tendering holder must, prior to the expiration date, transmit to U.S. Bank National Association, the Exchange Agent, at the address listed under the heading “—Exchange Agent”:

- if the private notes are not tendered in accordance with the book-entry procedures listed below, a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal, including all other documents required by the letter of transmittal; or
- if the private notes are tendered in accordance with the book-entry procedures listed below, an agent’s message.

In addition, a tendering holder must:

- deliver certificates, if any, for the private notes to the Exchange Agent at or before the expiration date; or
- deliver a timely confirmation of book-entry transfer of the private notes into the Exchange Agent’s account at DTC, the book-entry transfer facility, along with an agent’s message; or
- comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below.

The term “agent’s message” means a message, transmitted by DTC to and received by the Exchange Agent and forming a part of a book-entry confirmation, that states that DTC has received an express acknowledgment that the tendering holder agrees to be bound by the letter of transmittal and that we may enforce the letter of transmittal against this holder.

If the letter of transmittal is signed by a person other than the registered holder of private notes, the letter of transmittal must be accompanied by a written instrument of transfer or exchange in satisfactory form duly executed by the registered holder with the signature guaranteed by an eligible institution. The private notes must be endorsed or accompanied by appropriate powers of attorney. In either case, the private notes must be signed exactly as the name of

any registered holder appears on the private notes.

If the letter of transmittal or any private notes or powers of attorney are signed by trustees, executors, administrators, guardians, attorneys-in-fact, officers of corporations or others acting in a fiduciary or representative capacity, these persons should so indicate when signing. Unless waived by us, proper evidence satisfactory to us of their authority to so act must be submitted.

By tendering private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, each holder will represent to us that, among other things, the exchange notes are being acquired in the ordinary course of business of the person receiving the exchange notes, whether or not that person is the holder, and neither the holder nor the other person has any arrangement or understanding with any person to participate in the distribution of the exchange notes. In the case of a holder that is not a broker-dealer, that holder, by tendering private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, will also represent to us that the holder is not engaged in and does not intend to engage in a distribution of the exchange notes.

Table of Contents

The method of delivery of private notes, letters of transmittal and all other required documents is at your election and risk. If the delivery is by mail, we recommend that you use registered mail, properly insured, with return receipt requested. In all cases, you should allow sufficient time to assure timely delivery. You should not send letters of transmittal or private notes to us.

If you are a beneficial owner whose private notes are registered in the name of a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, and wish to tender, you should promptly instruct the registered holder to tender on your behalf. Any registered holder that is a participant in DTC's book-entry transfer facility system must make book-entry delivery of the private notes by causing DTC to transfer the private notes into the Exchange Agent's account, including by means of DTC's Automated Tender Offer Program.

Signature Guarantees

Signatures on a letter of transmittal or a notice of withdrawal must be guaranteed, unless the private notes surrendered for exchange are tendered:

- by a registered holder of the private notes who has not completed the box entitled "Special Issuance Instructions" or "Special Delivery Instructions" on the letter of transmittal; or
- for the account of an "eligible institution."

If signatures on a letter of transmittal or a notice of withdrawal are required to be guaranteed, the guarantees must be by an "eligible institution." An "eligible institution" is an "eligible guarantor institution" meeting the requirements of the registrar for the notes, which requirements include membership or participation in the Security Transfer Agent Medallion Program, or STAMP, or such other "signature guarantee program" as may be determined by the registrar for the notes in addition to, or in substitution for, STAMP, all in accordance with the Exchange Act.

Book-Entry Transfer

The Exchange Agent will make a request to establish an account for the private notes at DTC for purposes of the exchange offer within two business days after the date of this prospectus. Any financial institution that is a participant in DTC's systems must make book-entry delivery of private notes by causing DTC to transfer those private notes into the Exchange Agent's account at DTC in accordance with DTC's procedure for transfer. The participant should transmit its acceptance to DTC at or prior to the expiration date or comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below. DTC will verify this acceptance, execute a book-entry transfer of the tendered private notes into the Exchange Agent's account at DTC and then send to the Exchange Agent confirmation of this book-entry transfer. The confirmation of this book-entry transfer will include an agent's message confirming that DTC has received an express acknowledgment from this participant that this participant has received and agrees to be bound by the letter of transmittal and that we may enforce the letter of transmittal against this participant.

Delivery of exchange notes issued in the exchange offer may be effected through book-entry transfer at DTC. However, in order for such delivery to be effected tender of the private notes to be exchanged for such exchange notes must be made in accordance with the applicable procedures for book-entry transfer of such private notes, including that:

- in connection with such tender an agent's message and any other required documentation as described in these procedures must be transmitted to and received by the Exchange Agent at the address listed under "—Exchange Agent" at or prior to the expiration date; or

- such tender must comply with the guaranteed delivery procedures described below.

Delivery of documents to DTC in accordance with DTC's procedures does not constitute delivery to the Exchange Agent.

Table of Contents

Guaranteed Delivery

If a registered holder of private notes desires to tender the private notes, and the private notes are not immediately available, or time will not permit the holder's private notes or other required documents to reach the Exchange Agent before the expiration date, or the procedure for book-entry transfer described above cannot be completed on a timely basis, a tender may nonetheless be made if:

- the tender is made through an eligible institution;
- prior to the expiration date, the Exchange Agent received from an eligible institution a properly completed and duly executed notice of guaranteed delivery, substantially in the form provided by us, by facsimile transmission, mail or hand delivery:
 1. stating the name and address of the holder of private notes and the amount of private notes tendered;
 2. stating that the tender is being made; and
 3. guaranteeing that within three New York Stock Exchange trading days after the expiration date, the certificates for all physically tendered private notes, in proper form for transfer, or a book-entry confirmation, as the case may be, and a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal and any other documents required by the letter of transmittal, or, in the case of a book-entry transfer, an agent's message will be deposited by the eligible institution with the exchange agent; and
- the certificates for all physically tendered private notes, in proper form for transfer, or a book-entry confirmation, as the case may be, and a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal and all other documents required by the letter of transmittal, or, in the case of a book-entry transfer, an agent's message are received by the Exchange Agent within three New York Stock Exchange trading days after the expiration date.

Determination of Validity

We will determine in our sole discretion all questions as to the validity, form and eligibility of private notes tendered for exchange. This discretion extends to the determination of all questions concerning the time of receipt, acceptance and withdrawal of tendered private notes. These determinations will be final and binding. We reserve the absolute right to reject any and all private notes not properly tendered or any private notes our acceptance of which would, in the opinion of our counsel, be unlawful. We also reserve the right to waive any defects, irregularities or conditions of tender as to any particular private note either before or after the expiration date, including the right to waive the ineligibility of any tendering holder. Our interpretation of the terms and conditions of the exchange offer as to any particular private note either before or after the expiration date, including the letter of transmittal and the instructions to the letter of transmittal, shall be final and binding on all parties. Unless waived, you must cure any defects or irregularities with respect to tenders of private notes within the time we determine. Although we intend to notify you of defects or irregularities with respect to tenders of private notes, neither we, the Exchange Agent nor any other person will incur any liability for failure to give you that notification. Unless waived, we will not deem tenders of private notes to have been made until you cure any defects or irregularities.

Other Rights

While we have no present plan to acquire any private notes that are not tendered in the exchange offer or to file a registration statement to permit resales of any private notes that are not tendered in the exchange offer, we reserve the right in our sole discretion to purchase or make offers for any private notes that remain outstanding after the expiration

date. We also reserve the right to terminate the exchange offer, as described below under “—Conditions,” and, to the extent permitted by applicable law, purchase private notes in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. The terms of any of those purchases or offers could differ from the terms of the exchange offer.

Table of Contents

Acceptance of Private Notes for Exchange; Issuance of Exchange Notes

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions of the exchange offer, we will accept, promptly after the expiration date, all private notes properly tendered. We will issue the exchange notes promptly after acceptance of the private notes. For purposes of the exchange offer, we will be deemed to have accepted properly tendered private notes for exchange when, as and if we have given oral or written notice to the Exchange Agent, with prompt written confirmation of any oral notice.

In all cases, issuance of exchange notes for private notes will be made only after timely receipt by the Exchange Agent of:

- certificates for the private notes, or a timely book-entry confirmation of the private notes, into the Exchange Agent's account at the book-entry transfer facility;
- a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal or, in the case of a book-entry transfer, an agent's message; and
- all other required documents.

For each private note accepted for exchange, the holder of the private note will receive an exchange note having a principal amount equal to that of the surrendered private note.

Return of Notes

Unaccepted or non-exchanged private notes will be returned without expense to the tendering holder of the private notes. In the case of private notes tendered by book-entry transfer in accordance with the book-entry procedures described above, the non-exchanged private notes will be credited to an account maintained with DTC as promptly as practicable after the expiration or termination of the exchange offer.

Withdrawal of Tenders

Except as otherwise provided in this prospectus, you may withdraw tenders of private notes at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

For a withdrawal to be effective, the Exchange Agent must either receive a computer generated notice of withdrawal, transmitted on behalf of DTC on behalf of the holder in accordance with DTC's procedures or a written notice of withdrawal at the address or, in the case of eligible institutions, at the facsimile number, indicated under "—Exchange Agent" before the expiration date. Any written notice of withdrawal must:

- specify the name of the person, referred to as the depositor, having tendered the private notes to be withdrawn;
- identify the private notes to be withdrawn, including the certificate number or numbers and principal amount of the private notes;
 - contain a statement that the holder is withdrawing its election to have the private notes exchanged;
- be signed by the holder in the same manner as the original signature on the letter of transmittal by which the private notes were tendered, including any required signature guarantees, or be accompanied by documents of transfer to have the trustee with respect to the private notes register the transfer of the private notes in the name of the person

withdrawing the tender; and

- specify the name in which the private notes are registered, if different from that of the depositor.

If certificates for private notes have been delivered or otherwise identified to the Exchange Agent, then, prior to the release of these certificates the withdrawing holder must also submit the serial numbers of the particular certificates to be withdrawn and signed notice of withdrawal with signatures guaranteed by an eligible institution, unless this holder is an eligible institution. If private notes have been tendered in accordance with the procedure for book-entry transfer described above, any computer generated notice of withdrawal must specify the name and number of the account at DTC to be credited with the withdrawn private notes or otherwise comply with DTC's procedures.

Table of Contents

We will determine in our sole discretion all questions as to the validity, form and eligibility of the notices, and our determination will be final and binding on all parties. We will not deem any properly withdrawn private notes to have been validly tendered for purposes of the exchange offer, and we will not issue exchange notes with respect to those private notes, unless you validly retender the withdrawn private notes. You may retender properly withdrawn private notes by following the procedures described above under “—Procedures for Tendering” at any time before 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

Conditions

Notwithstanding any other term of the exchange offer, we will not be required to accept for exchange, or exchange the exchange notes for, any private notes, and may terminate the exchange offer as provided in this prospectus before the expiration of the exchange offer, if, in our reasonable judgment, the exchange offer violates applicable law, rules or regulations or an applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC.

If we determine in our reasonable discretion that any of these conditions are not satisfied, we may:

- refuse to accept any private notes and return all tendered private notes to you;
- extend the exchange offer and retain all private notes tendered before the exchange offer expires, subject, however, to your rights to withdraw the private notes; or
- waive the unsatisfied conditions with respect to the exchange offer and accept all properly tendered private notes that have not been withdrawn.

If the waiver constitutes a material change to the exchange offer, we will promptly disclose the waiver by means of a prospectus supplement that we will distribute to the registered holders of the private notes, and we will extend the exchange offer for a period of five to ten business days, depending upon the significance of the waiver and the manner of disclosure to the registered holders, if the exchange offer would otherwise expire during the five to ten business day period.

Termination of Rights

All of your rights under the registration rights agreement will terminate upon your exchange of your private notes in consummation of the exchange offer, except with respect to our continuing obligations:

- to indemnify you and parties related to you against liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act; and
- to provide, upon your request, the information required by Rule 144A(d)(4) under the Securities Act to permit resales of the notes pursuant to Rule 144A.

Shelf Registration

In the event that:

- (1) we and Essex Property Trust, Inc. determine that an exchange offer is not available or may not be completed because it would violate any applicable law or applicable interpretations of the SEC;
- (2) the exchange offer registration statement has not become effective within 240 days of the note issuance or the exchange offer is not consummated within 30 business days after the date that the exchange offer registration

statement became effective; or

- (3) we receive a request from any initial purchaser of the private notes that represents that it holds private notes that are or were ineligible to be exchanged for the exchange notes in the exchange offer,

we and Essex Property Trust, Inc. shall use our commercially reasonable efforts to cause to be filed with the SEC as soon as practicable after such determination, date or request, as the case may be, but in no event later than 30 days after such determination, date or request, a shelf registration statement providing for the sale of all the registrable securities by the holders thereof and to have such shelf registration statement declared effective by the SEC no later than 90 days after such determination, date or request; provided that no holder shall be entitled to have its registrable securities covered by such shelf registration statement unless such holder has satisfied certain conditions relating to the provision of information in connection with the shelf registration statement.

Table of Contents

For purposes of this prospectus, "registrable securities" shall mean the private notes; provided that the private notes shall cease to be registrable securities (a) when a registration statement with respect to such private notes has been declared effective under the Securities Act and such private notes have been exchanged or disposed of pursuant to such registration statement, (b) when such private notes are eligible to be sold pursuant to Rule 144 (or any similar provision then in force, but not Rule 144A) under the Securities Act or (c) when such private notes cease to be outstanding.

Registration Default and Additional Interest

The registration rights agreement provides that the following events will constitute a registration default:

- the exchange offer registration statement is not filed with the SEC on or prior to February 11, 2013 or is not declared effective on or prior to April 12, 2013;
- the exchange offer is not consummated within 30 business days after the date the exchange offer registration statement is declared effective;
- if we are obligated to file a shelf registration statement and the shelf registration statement is not filed with the SEC within 30 days of the triggering of such obligation or is not declared effective within 90 days after the triggering of such obligation; or
- if the shelf registration statement, if required, is declared effective but thereafter (and before the expiration of the period referred to in Rule 144) ceases to be effective or useable in connection with resales of the notes for more than 30 days within any 12-month period or if we or Essex Property Trust, Inc. (the "Guarantor"), through our omission, fail to name as a selling security holder any holder that had complied timely with its obligations to be named in the shelf registration statement.

If there is a registration default, then we and the Guarantor agree to pay each holder of registrable securities affected thereby additional interest in an amount equal to 0.25% per annum for the first 90-day period immediately following the date of such registration default, and an additional 0.25% per annum for each additional 90-day period, until all registration defaults have been cured. In no event will additional interest exceed 0.50% per year. Following the cure of any registration defaults, the accrual of additional interest on the affected registrable securities will cease.

We and the Guarantor will pay all additional interest to holders entitled thereto in the same manner as interest is paid on the notes.

Exchange Agent

We have appointed U.S. Bank National Association as Exchange Agent for the exchange offer of notes. All executed letters of transmittal and any other required documents should be directed to the Exchange Agent at the address or facsimile number set forth below. You should direct questions and requests for assistance and requests for additional copies of this prospectus or of the letter of transmittal and requests for notices of guaranteed delivery to the Exchange Agent addressed as follows:

U.S. Bank National Association

By Hand, Overnight Delivery or Mail
(Registered or Certified Mail Recommended):
West Side Flats Operations Center

By Facsimile Transmission
(for eligible institutions only):
(651) 466-7372

60 Livingston Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55107
Attn.: Specialized Finance

Attn: Specialized Finance

Table of Contents

For Information Call:
800-934-6802

Fees and Expenses

We will bear the expenses of soliciting tenders. We have not retained any dealer manager in connection with the exchange offer and will not make any payments to brokers, dealers or others soliciting acceptances of the exchange offer. We will, however, pay the Exchange Agent reasonable and customary fees for its services and will reimburse it for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

We will pay the cash expenses incurred in connection with the exchange offer. These expenses include registration fees, fees and expenses of the Exchange Agent and the trustee, accounting and legal fees and printing costs, among others.

We will pay all transfer taxes, if any, applicable to the exchange of notes pursuant to the exchange offer. If, however, a transfer tax is imposed for any reason other than the exchange of the private notes pursuant to the exchange offer, then you must pay the amount of the transfer taxes. If satisfactory evidence of payment of such taxes or exemption therefrom is not submitted with the letter of transmittal, the amount of such transfer taxes will be billed directly to you.

Consequence of Failures to Exchange

Participation in the exchange offer is voluntary. We urge you to consult your financial and tax advisors in making your decisions on what action to take. Private notes that are not exchanged for exchange notes pursuant to the exchange offer will remain restricted securities. Accordingly, those private notes may be resold only:

- to us, Essex Property Trust, Inc. or one of our subsidiaries;
- for so long as the private notes are eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act, to a person whom the seller reasonably believes is a “qualified institutional buyer” as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act that purchases for its own account or for the account of a qualified institutional buyer to whom notice is given that the transfer is being made in reliance on Rule 144A and otherwise in a transaction meeting the requirements of Rule 144A;
- pursuant to a registration statement that has been declared effective under the Securities Act;
- pursuant to offers and sales that occur outside the United States to non-U.S. persons within the meaning of Regulation S under the Securities Act; or
- pursuant to another available exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act, subject to our and the trustee’s right prior to any such offer, sale or transfer to require the delivery of an opinion of counsel and/or other information satisfactory to each of us or the trustee.

In each case, the private notes may be resold only in accordance with any applicable securities laws of any state of the United States or any other applicable jurisdiction.

Accounting Treatment

The exchange notes will be recorded at the same carrying value as the original notes, as reflected in our accounting records on the date of the exchange. Accordingly, no gain or loss for accounting purposes will be recognized.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

The exchange offer satisfies an obligation under the registration rights agreement relating to the notes. We will not receive any cash proceeds from the exchange offer.

The net proceeds from the sale of the private notes after deducting discounts, commissions and offering expenses, were approximately \$294.5 million. We used \$263.0 million of the net proceeds to repay the outstanding indebtedness under our \$500.0 million unsecured line of credit, \$13.6 million to repay the outstanding indebtedness under our \$25.0 million unsecured working capital line of credit and the remaining proceeds for general corporate and working capital purposes.

Table of Contents

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

SUMMARY HISTORICAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth, on a historical basis, certain summary consolidated financial and operating data for Essex Portfolio, L.P. and Essex Property Trust, Inc. and their respective subsidiaries. You should read the following summary historical financial data in conjunction with the consolidated historical financial statements and notes thereto of each of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and Essex Property Trust, Inc. and their respective subsidiaries and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Essex Portfolio, L.P.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries, which are included in this prospectus and which have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, whose report with respect thereto is included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries, have also been audited by KPMG LLP. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statement of income operating data for the year ended December 31, 2008 have been derived from the unaudited historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Portfolio, L.P. and subsidiaries.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)					
OPERATING DATA:					
REVENUES					
Rental and other property	\$531,936	\$465,713	\$405,728	\$401,550	\$397,673
Management and other fees	11,489	6,780	4,551	4,325	5,166
	543,425	472,493	410,279	405,875	402,839
EXPENSES					
Property operating expenses	174,088	159,234	143,164	137,457	130,328
Depreciation	170,592	151,428	128,221	116,540	108,221
General and administrative	23,307	20,694	23,255	24,966	24,725
Cost of management and other fees	6,513	4,610	2,707	3,096	2,959
Impairment and other charges	-	-	2,302	13,084	650
	374,500	335,966	299,649	295,143	266,883
Earnings from operations	168,925	136,527	110,630	110,732	135,956
Interest expense before amortization expense	(100,244)	(91,694)	(82,756)	(81,196)	(78,203)
Amortization expense	(11,644)	(11,474)	(4,828)	(4,820)	(6,860)
Interest and other income	13,833	17,139	27,841	13,040	11,337
Gain on remeasurement of co-investments	21,947	-	-	-	-
Equity income (loss) from co-investments	41,745	(467)	(1,715)	670	7,820
(Loss) gain on early retirement of debt	(5,009)	(1,163)	(10)	4,750	3,997
Gain on the sales of real estate	-	-	-	103	4,578
Income before discontinued operations	129,553	48,868	49,162	43,279	78,625
Income from discontinued operations	10,037	8,648	1,620	10,460	5,770

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

Net income	139,590	57,516	50,782	53,739	84,395
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(6,347)	(5,571)	(5,770)	(6,107)	(5,943)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	133,243	51,945	45,012	47,632	78,452
Preferred interests distributions - Series F, G, & H	(5,472)	(4,753)	(2,170)	(4,860)	(9,241)
Preferred interests distributions - limited partners	-	(1,650)	(6,300)	(6,300)	(9,909)
Excess (deficit) of the carrying amount of preferred interests redeemed over the cash paid to redeem preferred interests	-	(1,949)	-	49,952	-
Net income available to common unitholders	\$127,771	\$43,593	\$36,542	\$86,424	\$59,302
Per unit data:					
Basic:					
Income before discontinued operations available to common unitholders	\$3.16	\$1.00	\$1.09	\$2.56	\$1.86
Net income available to common unitholders	\$3.43	\$1.25	\$1.14	\$2.91	\$2.06
Weighted average common unit outstanding	37,252	34,774	31,961	29,717	28,809
Diluted:					
Income before discontinued operations available to common unitholders	\$3.15	\$1.00	\$1.09	\$2.56	\$1.86
Net income available to common unitholders	\$3.42	\$1.25	\$1.14	\$2.91	\$2.06
Weighted average common unit outstanding	37,344	34,861	32,028	29,747	28,855
Cash dividend per common unit	\$4.40	\$4.16	\$4.13	\$4.12	\$4.08

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(\$ in thousands)					
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Investment in rental properties (before accumulated depreciation)	\$5,033,672	\$4,313,064	\$3,964,561	\$3,412,930	\$3,279,788
Net investment in rental properties	3,952,155	3,393,038	3,189,008	2,663,466	2,639,762
Real estate under development	66,851	44,280	217,531	274,965	272,273
Total assets	4,847,223	4,036,964	3,732,887	3,254,637	3,164,823
Total secured indebtedness	1,565,599	1,745,858	2,082,745	1,832,549	1,588,931
Total unsecured indebtedness	1,253,084	615,000	176,000	14,893	165,457
Cumulative convertible preferred interests	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	145,912
Cumulative redeemable preferred interests	71,209	71,209	104,412	104,412	104,412
Partners' capital	1,880,116	1,486,914	1,284,515	1,200,208	1,001,356
	As of and for the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
OTHER DATA:					
Net income	\$139,590	\$57,516	\$50,782	\$53,739	\$84,395
Interest expense before amortization expense	100,244	91,694	82,756	81,196	78,203
Amortization expense	11,644	11,474	4,828	4,820	6,860
Tax benefit	-	(1,682)	-	-	-
Depreciation (1)	170,686	152,543	129,712	118,522	113,294
EBITDA(2)	\$422,164	\$311,545	\$268,078	\$258,277	\$282,752
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(3)	1.98	1.36	1.41	1.34	1.66
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends (3)	1.89	1.29	1.30	1.20	1.38

(1) Includes amounts classified within discontinued operations.

(2) EBITDA is an operating measure and is defined as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA, as defined by the Company, is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. This measurement should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP, or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. The Company's definition may not be comparable to that of other companies.

(3) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income before discontinued operations and before gain on sale of real estate and interest and amortization expense. Fixed charges consist of interest and amortization expense plus interest costs capitalized. Combined fixed charges consist of fixed charges plus preferred interest distributions and preferred unit distributions.

Table of Contents

Essex Property Trust, Inc.

The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2012 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries, incorporated by reference herein, which have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm whose report with respect thereto is incorporated by reference herein. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated statements of income operating data for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries, have also been audited by KPMG LLP. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)				
OPERATING DATA:					
REVENUES					
Rental and other property	\$531,936	\$465,713	\$405,728	\$401,550	\$397,673
Management and other fees from affiliates	11,489	6,780	4,551	4,325	5,166
	543,425	472,493	410,279	405,875	402,839
EXPENSES					
Property operating expenses	174,088	159,234	143,164	137,457	130,328
Depreciation	170,592	151,428	128,221	116,540	108,221
General and administrative	23,307	20,694	23,255	24,966	24,725
Cost of management and other fees	6,513	4,610	2,707	3,096	2,959
Impairment and other charges	-	-	2,302	13,084	650
	374,500	335,966	299,649	295,143	266,883
Earnings from operations	168,925	136,527	110,630	110,732	135,956
Interest expense before amortization expense	(100,244)	(91,694)	(82,756)	(81,196)	(78,203)
Amortization expense	(11,644)	(11,474)	(4,828)	(4,820)	(6,860)
Interest and other income	13,833	17,139	27,841	13,040	11,337
Equity income (loss) from co-investments	41,745	(467)	(1,715)	670	7,820
Gain on remeasurement of co-investment	21,947	-	-	-	-
(Loss) gain on early retirement of debt	(5,009)	(1,163)	(10)	4,750	3,997
Gain on the sales of real estate	-	-	-	103	4,578
Income before discontinued operations	129,553	48,868	49,162	43,279	78,625
Income from discontinued operations	10,037	8,648	1,620	10,460	5,770
Net income	139,590	57,516	50,782	53,739	84,395
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,306)	(10,446)	(14,848)	(16,631)	(22,255)
Net income attributable to controlling interest	125,284	47,070	35,934	37,108	62,140
Dividends to preferred stockholders	(5,472)	(4,753)	(2,170)	(4,860)	(9,241)
Excess (deficit) of the carrying amount of preferred stock redeemed over the cash paid to redeem preferred stock	-	(1,949)	-	49,952	-
Net income available to common stockholders	\$119,812	\$40,368	\$33,764	\$82,200	\$52,899
Per share data:					

Basic:

Income before discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$3.15	\$0.99	\$1.09	\$2.66	\$1.88
Net income available to common stockholders	\$3.42	\$1.24	\$1.14	\$3.01	\$2.10
Weighted average common stock outstanding	35,032	32,542	29,667	27,270	25,205

Diluted:

Income before discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$3.14	\$0.99	\$1.09	\$2.56	\$1.87
Net income available to common stockholders	\$3.41	\$1.24	\$1.14	\$2.91	\$2.09
Weighted average common stock outstanding	35,125	32,629	29,734	29,747	25,347
Cash dividend per common share	\$4.40	\$4.16	\$4.13	\$4.12	\$4.08

Table of Contents

	2012	2011	As of December 31,		
			2010	2009	2008
			(\$ in thousands)		
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Investment in rental properties (before accumulated depreciation)	\$5,033,672	\$4,313,064	\$3,964,561	\$3,412,930	\$3,279,788
Net investment in rental properties	3,952,155	3,393,038	3,189,008	2,663,466	2,639,762
Real estate under development	66,851	44,280	217,531	274,965	272,273
Total assets	4,847,223	4,036,964	3,732,887	3,254,637	3,164,823
Total secured indebtedness	1,565,599	1,745,858	2,082,745	1,832,549	1,588,931
Total unsecured indebtedness	1,253,084	615,000	176,000	14,893	165,457
Cumulative convertible preferred stock	4,349	4,349	4,349	4,349	145,912
Cumulative redeemable preferred stock	73,750	73,750	25,000	25,000	25,000
Stockholders' equity	1,764,804	1,437,527	1,149,946	1,053,096	852,227

	As of and for the years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
OTHER DATA:					
Net income	\$139,590	\$57,516	\$50,782	\$53,739	\$84,395
Interest expense before amortization expense	100,244	91,694	82,756	81,196	78,203
Amortization expense	11,644	11,474	4,828	4,820	6,860
Tax benefit	-	(1,682)	-	-	-
Depreciation (1)	170,686	152,543	129,712	118,522	113,294
EBITDA(2)	\$422,164	\$311,545	\$268,078	\$258,277	\$282,752
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(3)	1.98	1.36	1.41	1.34	1.66
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends (3)	1.89	1.29	1.30	1.20	1.38

(1) Includes amounts classified within discontinued operations.

(2) EBITDA is an operating measure and is defined as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA, as defined by the Company, is not a recognized measurement under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. This measurement should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with GAAP, or as a measure of profitability or liquidity. The Company's definition may not be comparable to that of other companies.

(3) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income before discontinued operations and before gain on sale of real estate and interest and amortization expense. Fixed charges consist of interest and amortization expense plus interest costs capitalized. Combined fixed charges consist of fixed charges plus preferred stock dividends and preferred unit distributions.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

As used herein, the terms "we," "us," "our" or the "Company" refer to Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and any of our subsidiaries, including Essex Portfolio, L.P., a California limited partnership of which we are the parent company and general partner, which may be referred to herein as the "Operating Partnership". Essex Property Trust, Inc., the sole general partner of Essex Portfolio, L.P., is a real estate investment trust ("REIT") engaged primarily in the ownership, operation, management, acquisition, development and redevelopment of predominantly apartment communities. Essex Property Trust, Inc. owns all of its interest in its real estate investments directly or indirectly through Essex Portfolio, L.P. As of December 31, 2012, we had 1,144 employees.

The Company is a self-administered and self-managed REIT that acquires, develops, redevelops and manages apartment communities in selected residential areas located primarily in the West Coast of the United States. The Company owns all of its interests in its real estate investments, directly or indirectly, through the Operating Partnership. The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of December 31, 2012, had an approximately 94.5% general partner interest in the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had ownership interests in 163 communities, comprising 33,468 apartment units, and the apartment communities are located in the following major West Coast regions:

Southern California (Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, Santa Barbara, and Ventura counties)
Northern California (the San Francisco Bay Area)
Seattle Metro (Seattle metropolitan area)

As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership also had ownership interests in five commercial buildings (with approximately 315,900 square feet).

As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership's development pipeline was comprised of two consolidated projects under development, seven unconsolidated joint venture projects under development, two consolidated predevelopment projects, one unconsolidated joint venture predevelopment project and one consolidated land parcel held for future development or sale aggregating 2,994 units, with total incurred costs of \$531.6 million, and estimated remaining project costs of approximately \$463.9 million for total estimated project costs of \$995.5 million. By region, the Operating Partnership's operating results for 2012 and 2011 and projections for 2013 new housing supply, job growth, and rental income are as follows:

Southern California Region: As of December 31, 2012, this region represented 47% of the Operating Partnership's consolidated apartment units. During the year ended December 31, 2012, revenues for "2012/2011 Same-Properties" (as defined below), or "Same-Property revenues," increased 4.2% in 2012 as compared to 2011. In 2013, the Operating Partnership expects new residential supply of 11,500 multifamily and 7,135 single family homes, which represents a total new multifamily supply of 0.5% and 0.3% of total housing stock, respectively. The Operating Partnership assumes an increase of 117,500 jobs or 1.7%, and an increase in rental income of 3.8% to 5.3% in 2013.

Northern California Region: As of December 31, 2012, this region represented 31% of the Operating Partnership's consolidated apartment units. Same-Property revenues increased 9.6% in 2012 as compared to 2011. In 2013, the Operating Partnership expects new residential supply of 9,900 multifamily and 4,479 single family homes, which represents a total new multifamily supply of 1.1% and 0.6%, respectively, of total housing stock. The Operating Partnership assumes an increase of 68,500 jobs or 2.4%, and an increase in rental income of 6.5% to 8.0% in 2013.

Seattle Metro Region: As of December 31, 2012, this region represented 22% of the Operating Partnership's consolidated apartment units. Same-Property revenues increased 8.4% in 2012 as compared to 2011. In 2013, the Operating Partnership expects new residential supply of 6,900 multifamily and 5,888 single family homes, which represents a total new multifamily supply of 1.7% and 1.1%, respectively, of total housing stock. The Operating Partnership assumes an increase of 41,000 jobs or 2.8%, and an increase in rental income of 6.0% to 7.5% in 2013.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership's consolidated apartment communities are as follows:

	As of December 31, 2012			As of December 31, 2011		
	Apartment Units		%	Apartment Units		%
Southern California	13,656	47	%	13,205	48	%
Northern California	8,987	31	%	8,106	30	%
Seattle Metro	6,598	22	%	6,108	22	%
Total	29,241	100	%	27,419	100	%

Co-investments, including Fund II and Wesco I communities, and preferred equity interest co-investment communities are not included in the table presented above for both periods.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2012 to the Year Ended December 31, 2011

The Operating Partnership's average financial occupancies for the Operating Partnership's stabilized apartment communities or "2012/2011 Same-Properties" (stabilized properties consolidated by the Operating Partnership for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011) remained consistent at 96.3% for 2012 and 2011. Financial occupancy is defined as the percentage resulting from dividing actual rental revenue by total possible rental revenue. Actual rental revenue represents contractual rental revenue pursuant to leases without considering delinquency and concessions. Total possible rental revenue represents the value of all apartment units, with occupied units valued at contractual rental rates pursuant to leases and vacant units valued at estimated market rents. We believe that financial occupancy is a meaningful measure of occupancy because it considers the value of each vacant unit at its estimated market rate.

Market rates are determined using a variety of factors such as effective rental rates at the property based on recently signed leases and asking rates for comparable properties in the market. The recently signed effective rates at the property are used as the starting point in the determination of the market rates of vacant units. The Operating Partnership then increases or decreases these rates based on the supply and demand in the apartment community's market. The Operating Partnership will check the reasonableness of these rents based on its position within the market and compare the rents against the asking rents by comparable properties in the market. Financial occupancy may not completely reflect short-term trends in physical occupancy and financial occupancy rates as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to the Operating Partnership's calculation of financial occupancy.

The Operating Partnership does not take into account delinquency and concessions to calculate actual rent for occupied units and market rents for vacant units. The calculation of financial occupancy compares contractual rates for occupied units to estimated market rents for unoccupied units, thus the calculation compares the gross value of all apartment units excluding delinquency and concessions. For apartment communities that are development properties in lease-up without stabilized occupancy figures, the Operating Partnership believes the physical occupancy rate is the appropriate performance metric. While an apartment community is in the lease-up phase, the Operating Partnership's primary motivation is to stabilize the property which may entail the use of rent concessions and other incentives, and thus financial occupancy which is based on contractual revenue is not considered the best metric to quantify occupancy.

The regional breakdown of the Operating Partnership's 2012/2011 Same-Property portfolio for financial occupancy for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

Years ended

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

	December 31,			
	2012		2011	
Southern California	96.1	%	96.1	%
Northern California	96.7	%	96.7	%
Seattle Metro	96.1	%	96.1	%

Table of Contents

The following table provides a breakdown of revenue amounts, including revenues attributable to the 2012/2011 Same-Property portfolio:

	Number of Properties	Years Ended		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
		2012	December 31, 2011		
Property Revenues (\$ in thousands)					
2012/2011 Same-Properties:					
Southern California	58	\$227,768	\$218,626	\$9,142	4.2 %
Northern California	33	159,993	146,008	13,985	9.6
Seattle Metro	28	85,373	78,785	6,588	8.4
Total 2012/2011 Same-Property revenues	119	473,134	443,419	29,715	6.7
2012/2011 Non-Same Property Revenues (1)		58,802	22,294	36,508	163.8
Total property revenues		\$531,936	\$465,713	\$66,223	14.2 %

(1) Includes thirteen communities acquired after January 1, 2011, one redevelopment community, five development communities, and three commercial buildings.

2012/2011 Same-Property Revenues increased by \$29.7 million or 6.7% to \$473.1 million for 2012 compared to \$443.4 million in 2011. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in scheduled rents of \$27.9 million as reflected in an increase of 6.4% in average rental rates from \$1,388 per unit for 2011 to \$1,478 per unit for 2012. Scheduled rents increased in all regions by 3.8%, 9.5%, and 8.2% in Southern California, Northern California, and Seattle Metro, respectively. Income from utility billings and other income increased by \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively in 2012 compared to 2011. Occupancy was consistent between years at 96.3%.

2012/2011 Non-Same Property Revenues increased by \$36.5 million or 164% to \$58.8 million in 2012 compared to \$22.3 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to revenue generated from five development communities (Via, Allegro, Bellerive, Muse, and Santee Village), thirteen communities acquired or consolidated since January 1, 2011 (Bernard, 1000 Kiely, Delano/Bon Terra, Reed Square, Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place, Park Catalina, The Huntington, Montebello, Park West, Domaine, Ascent, Willow Lake, and Bennett Lofts).

Management and other fees from affiliates increased \$4.7 million or 69.5% to \$11.5 million in 2012 compared to \$6.8 million in 2011. The increase is primarily due to the asset and property management fees earned from Wesco I and II co-investments formed during 2011, and development fees earned from the joint ventures formed in 2011 and 2012 to develop Epic, Expo, Connolly Station, Elkhorn, Folsom and Fifth, The Huxley and The Dylan development projects.

Property operating expenses, excluding real estate taxes increased \$9.9 million or 8.6% for 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of thirteen communities and the lease-up of five development properties. 2012/2011 Same-Property operating expenses excluding real estate taxes, increased by \$2.2 million or 2.0% for 2012 compared to 2011, due mainly to a \$1.5 million increase in salaries, marketing, and administration costs and a \$0.3 million increase in utilities due to increases in rates for water and sewer.

Real estate taxes increased \$4.9 million or 11.3% for 2012 compared to 2011, due primarily to the acquisition of thirteen communities and expensing property taxes instead of capitalizing the cost for communities that were previously under development. 2012/2011 Same-Property real estate taxes increased by \$0.9 million or 2.3% for the 2012 compared to 2011 due to an increase of 5.6% in property taxes for the Seattle Metro and a 2.0% in property taxes for the majority of the properties located in California regulated by Prop. 13 offset by temporary reductions in assessed property valuations for select communities located in California.

Depreciation expense increased by \$19.2 million or 12.7% for 2012 compared to 2011, due to the acquisition of thirteen communities and the lease-up of five development properties. Also, the increase is due to the capitalization of approximately \$97.9 million in additions to rental properties in 2012, including \$40.2 million spent on redevelopment, \$13.7 million spent on improvement to recent acquisitions, and \$7.7 million spent on revenue generating capital expenditures, and the capitalization of approximately \$95.3 million in additions to rental properties for 2011, including \$45.1 million spent on redevelopment, \$16.4 million spent on improvements to recent acquisitions, and \$7.6 million spent on revenue generating capital expenditures.

General and administrative expense increased \$2.6 million or 12.6% for 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase of acquisitions cost of \$1.3 million compared to 2011 related to the increase in acquisitions in 2012 compared to 2011, annual compensation adjustments for merit, and the cost of hiring additional staff to manage the new acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Cost of management and other fees increased \$1.9 million or 41.3% for 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to an increase in administrative costs due to hiring of additional staff to assist with the management of the Operating Partnership's co-investments including Wesco I and II and the development joint ventures formed in 2011 and 2012.

Interest expense before amortization increased \$8.6 million or 9.3% in 2012, primarily due to the payoff of the \$250 million secured line of credit in the fourth quarter of 2011 which had an average interest rate of 1.3%. The Operating Partnership replaced the secured line with an unsecured term loan at an average interest rate of 2.7%. Also, on March 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued \$150 million of private placement notes with an average interest rate of 4.5%, on August 15, 2012 the Operating Partnership issued \$300 million of new unsecured bonds with an interest rate of 3.625%, and the Operating Partnership drew an additional \$150 million on a bank term loan in the fourth quarter of 2012. Thus, interest expense increased due to an increase in average outstanding debt for the funding of 2012 acquisitions and the development pipeline and a higher average interest rate for 2012 compared to 2011.

Interest and other income decreased by \$3.3 million for 2012 primarily due to \$2.3 million of promote income earned from achieving certain performance hurdles related to the Essex Skyline co-investment and the sale of marketable securities for a gain of \$0.8 million in 2012, compared to a gain of \$5.0 million from the sale of marketable securities, \$0.2 million gain from the sale of a land parcel, and a \$1.7 million income tax benefit from a taxable REIT subsidiary that met the "more likely than not" threshold in the fourth quarter of 2011. This tax benefit relates to the write-off of an investment in a joint venture development project recognized during 2009.

Equity income (loss) in co-investments was income of \$41.7 million in 2012 compared to a loss of \$0.5 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the Operating Partnership's pro-rata share of the gain of \$29.1 million from the sale of seven properties owned by Fund II, and income of \$13.5 million in 2012 compared to \$3.5 million for 2011, related to the Operating Partnership's preferred equity investments made in 2011. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Operating Partnership made a preferred equity investment in Wesco II which earned \$9.0 million for 2012 compared to \$0.5 million in 2011.

Gain on remeasurement of co-investment of \$21.9 million in 2012 was due to the Operating Partnership's acquisition of the joint venture partner's membership interest in Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place which the Operating Partnership subsequently consolidated. Upon consolidation, a gain was recorded equal to the amount by which the fair value of the Operating Partnership's previously noncontrolling interest exceeded its carrying value.

Loss on early retirement of debt was \$5.0 million for 2012 was due to the write-off of deferred financing costs and prepayment penalties related to the early termination of secured debt related to six communities. The loss for 2012 also included the Operating Partnership's pro-rata share of the write-off of deferred financing costs and prepayment penalties incurred for the prepayment of the secured debt for the Essex Skyline joint venture and seven Fund II communities sold in 2012. During 2011, the loss on early retirement of debt was due to the write-off of deferred financing costs related to the termination of the Operating Partnership's \$250 million secured line of credit with Freddie Mac and mortgages paid-off before maturity in 2011.

Income from discontinued operations for 2012 was \$10.0 million and included a gain of \$9.8 million from the sale of Tierra del Sol/Norte and Alpine Country, net of internal disposition costs. For 2011, income from discontinued operations was \$8.6 million and included a gain of \$7.5 million from the sale of Woodlawn Colonial and Clarendon, net of internal disposition costs, and the operating results of the two communities sold in 2011 and 2012.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2011 to the Year Ended December 31, 2010

The Operating Partnership's average financial occupancies for the Operating Partnership's stabilized apartment communities for "2011/2010 Same-Properties" (stabilized properties consolidated by the Operating Partnership for the

years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010) decreased 50 basis points to 96.4% in 2011 from 96.9% in 2010. The regional breakdown of the Operating Partnership's stabilized 2011/2010 Same-Property portfolio for financial occupancy for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Table of Contents

	Years ended December 31,			
	2011		2010	
Southern California	96.3	%	96.8	%
Northern California	96.6	%	97.2	%
Seattle Metro	96.4	%	96.9	%

The following table provides a breakdown of revenue amounts, including the revenues attributable to 2011/2010 Same-Properties.

	Number of Properties	Years Ended December 31,		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
		2011	2010		
Property Revenues (\$ in thousands)					
2011/2010 Same-Properties:					
Southern California	58	\$204,748	\$199,348	\$5,400	2.7 %
Northern California	28	123,451	116,796	6,655	5.7
Seattle Metro	23	61,827	59,101	2,726	4.6
Total 2011/2010 Same-Property revenues	109	390,026	375,245	14,781	3.9
2011/2010 Non-Same Property Revenues (1)		75,687	30,483	45,204	148.3
Total property revenues		\$465,713	\$405,728	\$59,985	14.8 %

(1) Includes twelve communities acquired after January 1, 2010, two redevelopment communities, eight development communities, and three commercial buildings.

2011/2010 Same-Property Revenues increased by \$14.8 million or 3.9% to \$390.0 million for 2011 compared to \$375.2 million in 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in scheduled rents of \$15.1 million as reflected in an increase of 4.1% in average rental rates from \$1,318 per unit for 2010 to \$1,372 per unit for 2011. Scheduled rents increased in all regions by 2.7%, 6.2%, and 4.8% in Southern California, Northern California, and Seattle Metro, respectively. Other income and free rent also increased by \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively in 2011 compared to 2010. Occupancy decreased 50 basis points in 2011 to 96.4% compared to 96.9% in 2010 which resulted in a decrease in revenue of \$2.5 million due to the Operating Partnership's focus on increasing renewal and new lease rents at the communities compared to 2010 and 2009 when high occupancy was the primary objective due to market conditions.

2011/2010 Non-Same Property Revenues revenue increased \$45.2 million or 148% to \$75.7 million in 2011 compared to \$30.5 million in 2010. The increase was primarily generated from the acquisition of twelve operating properties since January 1, 2010 (Santee Court, Courtyard off Main, Corbella at Juanita Bay, Anavia, 416 on Broadway, 101 San Fernando, The Commons, Bella Villagio, Elevation, 1000 Kiely, The Bernard, and Delano). The increase in 2011/2010 Non-Same Property revenue is also attributable to revenue earned from eight development communities (Via, Santee Village, Bellerive, Muse, Allegro, Axis 2300, Fourth & U and Joule) and the acquisition of the Santa Clara retail center.

Management and other fees from affiliates increased \$2.2 million or 49.0% to \$6.8 million in 2011 compared to \$4.6 million in 2010. The increase is primarily due to the asset and property management fees earned from Wesco I and II co-investments formed during 2011, and development fees earned from the joint ventures formed in 2011 to develop Epic, West Dublin, The Huxley (formerly Fountain at La Brea), The Dylan (formerly Santa Monica at La Brea), and Expo (formerly Queen Anne) development projects.

Property operating expenses, excluding real estate taxes increased \$11.5 million or 11.0% for 2011 compared to 2010, primarily due to the acquisition of twelve communities and one retail center, and the lease-up of eight development properties. 2011/2010 Same-Property operating expenses excluding real estate taxes increased by \$2.1 million or 2.2% for 2011 compared to 2010, due primarily to an increase of \$1.1 million in repairs and maintenance expenses including a \$0.5 million increase in turnover costs.

Real estate taxes increased \$4.6 million or 11.7% for 2011 compared to 2010, due primarily to the acquisition of twelve communities and one retail center and expensing property taxes instead of capitalizing the cost for communities that were previously under development. Same-Property real estate taxes decreased by \$0.7 million or 1.9% for 2011 compared to the 2010 due to a reduction in assessed property valuations for select communities located in California and a decrease in assessed valuations for select properties in the Seattle Metro.

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense increased by \$23.2 million or 18.1% for 2011 compared to 2010, due to the acquisition of twelve communities, the completion of eight development communities, and the capitalization of approximately \$95.3 million in additions to rental properties for 2011, including \$45.1 million spent on redevelopment, \$16.4 million spent on improvements to recent acquisitions, \$7.6 million on revenue generating capital, and the capitalization of approximately \$51.4 million in additions to rental properties for 2010, including the capitalization of approximately \$15.7 million spent on redevelopment and revenue generating capital and \$6.4 million on acquisition capital.

General and administrative expense decreased \$2.6 million or 11.0% for 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to \$1.6 million in non-recurring compensation costs related to the CEO's retirement in 2010 and certain staff in 2011 reallocated to manage newly formed co-investments including Wesco I and II.

Cost of management and other fees increased \$1.9 million or 70.3% compared to 2010 primarily due to an increase in administrative costs due to hiring of additional staff to assist with the management of the Operating Partnership's co-investments including Wesco I and II and the development joint ventures formed in 2011.

Impairment and other charges of \$2.3 million in 2010 relates to an expense recorded by the Operating Partnership due to the hedge ineffectiveness of certain forward-starting swaps that were settled in 2010.

Interest expense before amortization increased \$8.9 million or 10.8% in 2011, primarily due to the increase in average outstanding debt, and a decrease in capitalized interest of \$1.2 million compared to 2010.

Amortization expense increased by \$6.6 million in 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to the settlement of forward starting swaps in the third and fourth quarters of 2010 that were applied to new 10-year secured mortgage loans, and as a result, the settlement amounts are being amortized over the ten years.

Interest and other income decreased by \$10.7 million for 2011 primarily due to a decrease of \$7.5 million in gains from the sales of marketable securities. The Operating Partnership sold marketable securities for a gain of \$5.0 million during 2011 compared to \$12.5 million in gains generated from the sale of marketable securities for 2010. Additionally, interest on notes receivables decreased by \$3.4 million in 2011 compared to 2010. This primarily relates to the settlement of the Santee Court note in 2010 upon the Operating Partnership's acquisition of the Santee Court property and a full year of interest in 2011 on a note purchased at a discount during the fourth quarter of 2010. Finally, interest and dividends on marketable securities decreased by \$1.6 million in 2011 compared to 2010 due to lower average investment balances, and this decrease was offset by a \$1.6 million increase in other income resulting from an income tax benefit from a taxable REIT subsidiary that met the "more likely than not" threshold in the fourth quarter of 2011. This tax benefit relates to the write-off of an investment in a joint venture development project recognized during 2009.

Equity income (loss) in co-investments was a loss of \$0.5 million in 2011 compared to a loss of \$1.7 million in 2010 due primarily to the gain on the sale of a co-investment of \$0.9 million and an increase in income of \$3.3 million related to the Operating Partnership's preferred equity investments made in 2010 and 2011, partially offset by an increase in losses attributable to Wesco I and Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place. Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place achieved stabilization in second quarter of 2011.

Loss on early retirement of debt was \$1.2 million for 2011 due to the write-off of deferred financing costs related to the termination of the Operating Partnership's \$250 million secured line of credit with Freddie Mac and mortgages paid-off before maturity in 2011.

Income from discontinued operations for 2011 was \$8.6 million and includes a gain of \$7.5 million on the sale of Woodlawn Colonial and Clarendon, net of internal disposition costs. For 2011 and 2010 discontinued operations

consisted of the operating results of the two properties sold in 2011 and the operating results of Tierra del Sol/Norte and Alpine Country which were sold in 2012.

Excess of the carrying amount of preferred interests redeemed over the cash paid to redeem preferred interests for 2011 was \$1.9 million due to the redemption of all of the Series B preferred units, which resulted in excess of cash paid of \$1.0 million over the carrying value of Series B preferred units and the redemption of Series F preferred interests which resulted in excess of cash paid of \$0.9 million over the carrying value of Series F preferred interests due to deferred offering costs and original issuance discounts.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 11, 2013, Fitch Ratings, Moody's Investor Service, and Standard and Poor's credit agencies rate Essex Property Trust, Inc. and Essex Portfolio, L.P. BBB+/Stable, Baa2/Stable, and BBB/Stable, respectively.

At December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had \$18.6 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and \$92.7 million in marketable securities, of which \$40.7 million were held available for sale. The Operating Partnership believes that cash flows generated by its operations, existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities balances, availability under existing lines of credit, access to capital markets and the ability to generate cash from the disposition of real estate are sufficient to meet all of the Operating Partnership's reasonably anticipated cash needs during 2013. The timing, source and amounts of cash flows provided by financing activities and used in investing activities are sensitive to changes in interest rates and other fluctuations in the capital markets environment, which can affect the Operating Partnership's plans for acquisitions, dispositions, development and redevelopment activities.

The Operating Partnership has two lines of credit aggregating \$525.0 million as of December 31, 2012. The Operating Partnership had a \$500.0 million unsecured line of credit that was increased to \$600.0 million in January 2013. As of December 31, 2012 there was a \$141.0 million balance on this unsecured line. The underlying interest rate on the \$500.0 million facility is based on a tiered rate structure tied to Fitch and S&P ratings on the credit facility and the rate was LIBOR plus 1.075% as of December 31, 2012. This facility matures in December 2015 with two one-year extensions, exercisable by the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership has a working capital unsecured line of credit agreement for \$25.0 million. This facility matures in January 2014, with a one year extension option. As of December 31, 2012 there was no balance outstanding on this unsecured line. The underlying interest rate on the \$25.0 million line is based on a tiered rate structure tied to Fitch and S&P ratings on the credit facility of LIBOR plus 1.075%.

As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had \$465 million of unsecured bonds outstanding at an average interest rate of 4.5%. During the second quarter of 2012, the Operating Partnership issued through private placements, \$100 million of bonds and \$50 million of bonds at 4.27% and 4.30%, respectively, due in 2021 and during the third quarter of 2012, \$50 million of bonds at 4.37% due in 2021.

As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had a \$350 million unsecured term loan outstanding at an average interest rate of 2.7%. The term loan has a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.2%. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Operating Partnership increased the size of the term loan from \$200 million to \$350 million. The Operating Partnership entered into interest rate swap contracts for a term of five years with a notional amount totaling \$300 million, which effectively converted the interest rate on \$300 million of the term loan to a fixed rate.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Operating Partnership issued \$300 million of senior unsecured bonds due August 2022 with a coupon rate of 3.625% per annum and payable on February 15th and August 15th of each year, beginning February 15, 2013.

The Operating Partnership's unsecured line of credit and unsecured debt agreements contain debt covenants related to limitations on indebtedness and liabilities and maintenance of minimum levels of consolidated earnings before depreciation, interest and amortization. The Operating Partnership was in compliance with the debt covenants as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership's mortgage notes payable totaled \$1.6 billion which consisted of \$1.4 billion in fixed rate debt with interest rates varying from 4.3% to 6.4% and maturity dates ranging from 2013 to 2021 and \$201.9 million of tax-exempt variable rate demand notes with a weighted average interest rate of 1.9%. The tax-exempt variable rate demand notes have maturity dates ranging from 2013 to 2039, and \$187.8 million are subject

to interest rate caps.

During March 2010, the Company filed a new shelf registration statement with the SEC, allowing the Company to sell an undetermined number or amount of certain equity and debt securities as defined in the prospectus. The Company intends to file a new shelf registration statement in March 2013.

In the second quarter of 2011, the Company issued 2,950,000 shares of 7.125% Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series H") at a price of \$25.00 per share for net proceeds of \$71.2 million, net of costs and original issuance discounts. The Series H has no maturity date and generally may not be called by the Company before April 13, 2016. The \$71.2 million net proceeds from the sale of the Series H were contributed by the Company to the Operating Partnership for a Series H Preferred Interest in the Operating Partnership. The contribution was used to redeem all of the 7.875% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units of the Operating Partnership ("Series B") with a liquidation value of \$80.0 million. The Company received a special distribution from the Operating Partnership and also redeemed its 7.8125% Series F Preferred Stock ("Series F") at liquidation value for \$25.0 million.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership pays quarterly distributions from cash available for distribution. Until it is distributed, cash available for distribution is invested by the Operating Partnership primarily in investment grade securities held available for sale or is used by the Operating Partnership to reduce balances outstanding under its line of credit.

Derivative Activity

The Operating Partnership has entered into interest rate swap contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$300 million that effectively fixed the interest rate on \$300 million of the \$350 million unsecured term loan at 2.7%. These derivatives qualify for hedge accounting.

As of December 31, 2012 the Operating Partnership also had twelve interest rate cap contracts totaling a notional amount of \$187.8 million that qualify for hedge accounting as they effectively limit the Operating Partnership's exposure to interest rate risk by providing a ceiling on the underlying variable interest rate for \$187.8 million of the \$201.9 million of the Operating Partnership's tax exempt variable rate debt.

During the third quarter 2012, the Operating Partnership terminated a swap transaction with respect to the \$38.0 million of tax-exempt bonds for the 101 San Fernando apartment community with Citibank because the bonds were repurchased by the Operating Partnership at par.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 the aggregate carrying value of the interest rate swap contracts was a liability of \$6.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The aggregate carrying value of the interest rate cap contracts was zero on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, and was an asset of \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2011.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Operating Partnership settled its remaining \$20.0 million forward starting swap contract for \$2.3 million which was applied to the \$32.0 million mortgage obtained in February 2011, increasing the effective borrowing rate from 5.4% to 6.2%.

During 2010, the Operating Partnership settled \$355 million in forward-starting swap contracts for \$81.3 million, which was applied to 10-year mortgage loans obtained in 2010. The settlement of the forward-starting swaps increased the average effective interest rate on the 2010 mortgage loans from 4.5% to 6.8%. During 2010, the Operating Partnership incurred \$2.3 million in expense related to the ineffectiveness of certain of the settled forward-starting swap hedges, which is included in impairment and other charges in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010. No hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges was incurred during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Issuance of Common Stock

The Company has entered into equity distribution agreements with Cantor Fitzgerald & Co, KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., Barclays Capital Inc., BMO Capital Markets Corp., Liquidnet, Inc., Mitsubishi UFJ Securities (USA), Inc., and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. Pursuant to its equity distribution program, in 2012, the Company issued 2,404,096 shares of common stock for \$357.7 million, net of fees and commissions, and in 2011, the Company issued 2,459,947 shares of common stock for \$323.9 million, net of fees and commissions, and the Company contributed such net proceeds to the Operating Partnership. During the first quarter of 2013 through March 11, 2013, the Company has issued 815,319 shares of common stock at an average price of \$151.82 for \$122.6 million, net of fees and commissions, and the Company contributed such net proceeds to the Operating Partnership. Under this program, the Company may from time to time sell shares of common stock into the existing trading market at current market prices, and the Company will contribute such net proceeds to the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership anticipates using the net proceeds to pay down debt, acquire apartment communities and fund the development pipeline. As of March 11, 2013, the Company may sell an additional 1,286,564 shares under the current equity

distribution program.

Capital Expenditures

Non-revenue generating capital expenditures are improvements and upgrades that extend the useful life of the property. For the year ended December 31, 2012, non-revenue generating capital expenditures totaled approximately \$1,101 per unit. The Operating Partnership expects to incur approximately \$1,150 per unit in non-revenue generating capital expenditures for the year ending December 31, 2013. These expenditures do not include the improvements required in connection with the origination of mortgage loans, expenditures for deferred maintenance on acquisition properties, and expenditures for property renovations and improvements which are expected to generate additional revenue. The Operating Partnership expects that cash from operations and/or its lines of credit will fund such expenditures. However, there can be no assurance that the actual expenditures incurred during 2013 and/or the funding thereof will not be significantly different than the Operating Partnership's current expectations.

Table of Contents

Development and Predevelopment Pipeline

The Operating Partnership defines development activities as new communities that are in various stages of active development, or the community is in lease-up and phases of the project are not completed. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had two consolidated development projects comprised of 311 units with an estimated cost of \$95.8 million of which \$76.2 million remains to be expended, and seven unconsolidated joint venture active development projects comprised of 2,184 units with an estimated cost of \$832.6 million, of which \$387.7 million remains to be expended. See discussion in the section, “Risk Factors--Development and redevelopment activities may be delayed, not completed, and/or not achieve expected results” in this prospectus.

The Operating Partnership defines the predevelopment pipeline as proposed communities in negotiation or in the entitlement process with a high likelihood of becoming entitled development projects. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had two consolidated joint venture developments that were classified as predevelopment projects aggregating 249 units for a total estimated cost of \$39.8 million, and one unconsolidated joint venture predevelopment project aggregating 200 units for a total estimated cost of \$19.8 million. The Operating Partnership may also acquire land for future development purposes or sale. The Operating Partnership had incurred \$7.5 million in costs related to a land parcel held for future development or sale as of December 31, 2012, which was sold in the first quarter of 2013.

The Operating Partnership expects to fund the development and predevelopment pipeline by using a combination of some or all of the following sources: its working capital, amounts available on its lines of credit, construction loans, net proceeds from public and private equity and debt issuances, and proceeds from the disposition of properties, if any.

The Operating Partnership defines redevelopment communities as existing properties owned or recently acquired, which have been targeted for additional investment by the Operating Partnership with the expectation of increased financial returns through property improvement. During redevelopment, apartment units may not be available for rent and, as a result, may have less than stabilized operations. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership had ownership interests in five major redevelopment communities aggregating 1,056 apartment units with estimated redevelopment costs of \$64.9 million, of which approximately \$20.7 million remains to be expended.

Alternative Capital Sources

Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P. (“Fund II”), an investment fund formed by the Operating Partnership, has eight institutional investors, and the Operating Partnership, with combined partner equity contributions of \$265.9 million were fully contributed as of 2008. See “Business and Properties—Business--Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P.” The Operating Partnership contributed \$75.0 million to Fund II, which represents a 28.2% interest as general partner and limited partner. Fund II utilized leverage equal to approximately 55% upon the initial acquisition of the underlying real estate. Fund II invested in apartment communities in the Operating Partnership’s targeted West Coast markets and, as of December 31, 2012, owned seven apartment communities. The Operating Partnership records revenue for its asset management, property management, development and redevelopment services when earned, and promote income when realized if Fund II exceeds certain financial return benchmarks. Seven communities were sold during 2012 from Fund II, and it is anticipated that the remaining seven communities will be sold during 2013.

Wesco, I LLC (“Wesco I”) is a 50/50 programmatic joint venture with an institutional partner for a total equity commitment of \$300.0 million. Each partner’s equity commitment is \$150.0 million. Wesco I will utilize debt as leverage equal to approximately 50% of the underlying real estate. The Operating Partnership has contributed \$150.0 million to Wesco I, and as of December 31, 2012, Wesco I owned nine apartment communities with 2,713 units with an aggregate carrying value of \$660.5 million.

During 2012, the Operating Partnership entered into a 50/50 programmatic joint venture, Wesco III LLC (“Wesco III”), with an institutional partner for a total equity commitment from the parties of \$120.0 million. Each partner’s commitment is \$60.0 million. Wesco III will utilize debt as leverage equal to approximately 50% of the underlying real estate. The Operating Partnership has contributed \$10.0 million to Wesco III, and provided a \$26.0 million short term bridge loan to Wesco III at a rate of LIBOR + 2.5%, and as of December 31, 2012, Wesco III owned one apartment community with 264 units for a purchase price of \$45.6 million. Permanent secured financing is expected to be placed on the property in the first quarter of 2013

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes the maturation or due dates of the Operating Partnership's contractual obligations and other commitments at December 31, 2012, and the effect such obligations could have on the Operating Partnership's liquidity and cash flow in future periods (\$ in thousands):

	2013	2014 and 2015	2016 and 2017	Thereafter	Total
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 57,621	\$ 116,920	\$ 197,957	\$ 1,193,101	\$ 1,565,599
Unsecured debt	-	-	540,000	572,084	1,112,084
Lines of credit	-	141,000	-	-	141,000
Interest on indebtedness (1)	119,547	226,264	180,971	225,038	751,820
Development commitments (including co-investments)	198,400	87,700	-	-	286,100
Redevelopment commitments	13,820	6,908	-	-	20,728
	\$ 389,388	\$ 578,792	\$ 918,928	\$ 1,990,223	\$ 3,877,331

(1) Interest on indebtedness for variable debt was calculated using interest rates as of December 31, 2012.

Variable Interest Entities

In accordance with accounting standards for consolidation of variable interest entities, the Operating Partnership consolidates 19 DownREIT limited partnerships (comprising twelve communities). The Operating Partnership consolidates these entities because it is deemed the primary beneficiary. The consolidated total assets and liabilities related to these VIEs, net of intercompany eliminations, were approximately \$201.1 million and \$178.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2012, and \$199.8 million and \$171.5 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2011. Interest holders in VIEs consolidated by the Operating Partnership are allocated net income equal to the cash payments made to those interest holders for services rendered or distributions from cash flow. The remaining results of operations are generally allocated to the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership did not have any VIE's of which it was not deemed to be the primary beneficiary.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, requires the Operating Partnership to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. The Operating Partnership defines critical accounting policies as those accounting policies that require the Operating Partnership's management to exercise their most difficult, subjective and complex judgments. The Operating Partnership's critical accounting policies relate principally to the following key areas: (i) consolidation under applicable accounting standards of various entities; (ii) assessing the carrying values of the Operating Partnership's real estate and investments in and advances to joint ventures and affiliates; and (iii) internal cost capitalization. The Operating Partnership bases its estimates on historical experience, current market conditions, and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates made by management.

The Operating Partnership assesses each entity in which it has an investment or contractual relationship to determine if it may be deemed to be a VIE. If such an entity is a VIE, then the Operating Partnership performs an analysis to determine who is the primary beneficiary. If the Operating Partnership is the primary beneficiary, then the entity is consolidated. The analysis required to identify VIEs and primary beneficiaries is complex and judgmental, and the analysis must be applied to various types of entities and legal structures.

The Operating Partnership assesses the carrying value of its real estate investments by monitoring investment market conditions and performance compared to budget for operating properties and joint ventures, and by monitoring estimated costs for properties under development. Local market knowledge and data is used to assess carrying values of properties and the market value of acquisition opportunities. Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property held for investment may not be fully recoverable, the carrying amount is evaluated. If the sum of the property's expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the property, then the Operating Partnership will recognize an impairment loss equal to the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the property. Adverse changes in market conditions or poor operating results of real estate investments could result in impairment charges. When the Operating Partnership determines that a property is held for sale, it discontinues the periodic depreciation of that property. The criteria for determining when a property is held for sale requires judgment and has potential financial statement impact as depreciation would cease and an impairment loss could occur upon determination of held for sale status. Assets held for sale are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value less costs to sell. With respect to investments in and advances to joint ventures and affiliates, the Operating Partnership looks to the underlying properties to assess performance and the recoverability of carrying amounts for those investments in a manner similar to direct investments in real estate properties.

Table of Contents

Further, the Operating Partnership evaluates whether its co-investments have other than temporary impairment and, if so, records a write down.

The Operating Partnership capitalizes all direct and certain indirect costs, including interest and real estate taxes, incurred during development and redevelopment activities. Interest is capitalized on real estate assets that require a period of time to get them ready for their intended use. The amount of interest capitalized is based upon the average amount of accumulated development expenditures during the reporting period. Included in capitalized costs are management's accounting estimates of the direct and incremental personnel costs and indirect project costs associated with the Operating Partnership's development and redevelopment activities. Indirect project costs consist primarily of personnel costs associated with construction administration and development, including accounting, legal fees, and various office costs that clearly relate to projects under development.

The Operating Partnership bases its accounting estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may vary from those estimates and those estimates could be different under different assumptions or conditions.

Funds from Operations ("FFO")

FFO is a financial measure that is commonly used in the REIT industry. The Company presents funds from operations as a supplemental operating performance measure. FFO is not used by the Company, nor should it be considered to be, as an alternative to net earnings computed under GAAP as an indicator of the Company's operating performance or as an alternative to cash from operating activities computed under GAAP as an indicator of the Company's ability to fund its cash needs.

FFO is not meant to represent a comprehensive system of financial reporting and does not present, nor does it intend to present, a complete picture of the Company's financial condition and operating performance. The Company believes that net earnings computed under GAAP remain the primary measure of performance and that FFO is only meaningful when it is used in conjunction with net earnings. The Company considers FFO and FFO excluding non-recurring items (referred to as "Core FFO") to be useful financial performance measurements of an equity REIT because, together with net income and cash flows, FFO provides investors with an additional basis to evaluate operating performance and ability of a REIT to incur and service debt and to fund acquisitions and other capital expenditures and ability to pay dividends. Further, the Company believes that its consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with GAAP, provide the most meaningful picture of its financial condition and its operating performance.

In calculating FFO, the Company follows the definition for this measure published by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), which is a REIT trade association. The Company believes that, under the NAREIT FFO definition, the two most significant adjustments made to net income are (i) the exclusion of historical cost depreciation and (ii) the exclusion of gains and losses from the sale of previously depreciated properties. The Company agrees that these two NAREIT adjustments are useful to investors for the following reasons:

- (a) historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP assumes, through depreciation charges, that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. NAREIT stated in its White Paper on Funds from Operations "since real estate asset values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors have considered presentations of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves." Consequently, NAREIT's definition of FFO reflects the fact that real estate, as an asset class, generally appreciates over time and depreciation charges required by GAAP do not reflect the underlying economic realities.

(b) REITs were created as a legal form of organization in order to encourage public ownership of real estate as an asset class through investment in firms that were in the business of long-term ownership and management of real estate. The exclusion, in NAREIT's definition of FFO, of gains from the sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets allows investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the long-term assets that form the core of a REIT's activity and assists in comparing those operating results between periods.

Table of Contents

Management has consistently applied the NAREIT definition of FFO to all periods presented. However, other REITs in calculating FFO may vary from the NAREIT definition for this measure, and thus their disclosure of FFO may not be comparable to the Company's calculation.

The following table sets forth the Company's calculation of FFO and Core FFO for 2012 (\$ in thousands).

	For the year ended		For the quarter ended		
	12/31/12	12/31/12	9/30/12	6/30/12	3/31/12
Net income available to common stockholders	\$119,812	\$43,793	\$16,219	\$37,078	\$22,722
Adjustments:					
Depreciation and amortization	170,686	45,017	43,041	41,801	40,827
Gains not included in FFO, net of internal disposition costs	(60,842)	(29,112)	-	(21,947)	(9,783)
Depreciation add back from unconsolidated co-investments and add back convertible preferred dividend - Series G	14,467	3,365	3,352	3,366	4,384
Noncontrolling interests related to Operating Partnership units	7,950	2,781	1,077	2,502	1,590
Depreciation attributable to third party of co-investments	(1,223)	(319)	(312)	(303)	(289)
Funds from operations	\$250,850	\$65,525	\$63,377	\$62,497	\$59,451
Funds from operations per share - diluted	\$6.71	\$1.72	\$1.67	\$1.69	\$1.63
Non-core items:					
Loss on early retirement of debt	5,009	2,348	1,211	1,450	-
Acquisition costs	2,255	1,480	277	312	186
Gain on sales of marketable securities	(819)	(298)	-	(521)	-
Co-investment promote income	(2,299)	-	-	(2,299)	-
Funds from operations excluding non-core items	\$254,996	\$69,055	\$64,865	\$61,439	\$59,637
Core funds from operations per share - diluted	\$6.82	\$1.81	\$1.71	\$1.66	\$1.64
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, diluted(1)	37,377,986	38,182,569	37,969,407	36,947,477	36,396,641

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Company's calculation of FFO and Core FFO for 2011 (\$ in thousands).

	For the year ended		For the quarter ended		
	12/31/11	12/31/11	9/30/11	6/30/11	3/31/11
Net income available to common stockholders	\$40,368	\$13,937	\$7,687	\$10,325	\$8,419
Adjustments:	-				
Depreciation and amortization	152,544	39,863	38,137	37,510	37,034
Gains not included in FFO, net of internal disposition costs	(7,543)	(3,159)	880	(5,264)	-
Depreciation add back from unconsolidated co-investments and add back convertible preferred dividend - Series G	12,642	4,145	3,502	1,957	3,038
Noncontrolling interests related to Operating Partnership units	3,228	1,027	583	987	631
Depreciation attributable to third party of co-investments	(1,066)	(277)	(266)	(260)	(263)
Funds from operations	\$200,173	\$55,536	\$50,523	\$45,255	\$48,859
Funds from operations per share - diluted	\$5.74	\$1.55	\$1.43	\$1.32	\$1.45
Non-core items:					
Loss on early retirement of debt	1,163	343	567	253	-
Acquisition costs	1,231	181	210	510	330
Gain on sales of marketable securities	(4,956)	(414)	-	-	(4,542)
Tax benefit - TRS activity	(1,682)	(1,682)	-	-	-
Gain on sale of co-investment	(919)	-	(919)	-	-
Gain on sale of land	(180)	-	(180)	-	-
Excess of cash paid to redeem preferred stock and units over the carrying value	1,949	-	-	1,949	-
Funds from operations excluding non-core items	\$196,779	\$53,964	\$50,201	\$47,967	\$44,647
Core funds from operations per share - diluted	\$5.64	\$1.51	\$1.42	\$1.40	\$1.32
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, diluted(1)	34,860,521	35,818,631	35,437,693	34,365,418	33,787,332

(1) Assumes conversion of all dilutive outstanding operating partnership interests in the Operating Partnership.

The following table sets forth the Operating Partnership's cash flows for 2012 and 2011 (\$ in thousands).

	For the year ended		For the quarter ended		
	12/31/12	12/31/2012	9/30/2012	6/30/2012	3/31/2012
Cash flow provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$267,499	\$48,164	\$89,943	\$57,232	\$72,160

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

Investing activities	(812,138)	(294,072)	(201,888)	(272,127)	(44,051)
Financing activities	550,356	262,571	109,756	205,283	(27,254)

	For the year ended		For the quarter ended		
	12/31/11	12/31/2011	9/30/2011	6/30/2011	3/31/2011
Cash flow provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 216,571	\$45,877	\$66,343	\$47,044	\$57,307
Investing activities	(425,783)	(167,271)	(108,393)	(65,933)	(84,186)
Financing activities	208,348	125,263	42,261	(69,985)	110,809

Table of Contents

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Interest Rate Hedging Activities

The Operating Partnership's objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. To accomplish this objective, the Operating Partnership uses interest rate swaps as part of its cash flow hedging strategy. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership has entered into ten interest rate swap contracts to mitigate the risk of changes in the interest-related cash outflows on \$300.0 million of the five-year unsecured term debt. As of December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership also had \$201.9 million of variable rate indebtedness, of which \$187.8 million is subject to interest rate cap protection. All of the Operating Partnership's derivative instruments are designated as cash flow hedges, and the Operating Partnership does not have any fair value hedges as of December 31, 2012. The following table summarizes the notional amount, carrying value, and estimated fair value of the Operating Partnership's derivative instruments used to hedge interest rates as of December 31, 2012. The notional amount represents the aggregate amount of a particular security that is currently hedged at one time, but does not represent exposure to credit, interest rates or market risks. The table also includes a sensitivity analysis to demonstrate the impact on the Operating Partnership's derivative instruments from an increase or decrease in 10-year Treasury bill interest rates by 50 basis points, as of December 31, 2012.

(\$ in thousands)	Notional Amount	Maturity Date Range	Carrying and Estimate	Estimated Carrying Value	
			Fair Value	+ 50 Basis Points	- 50 Basis Points
Cash flow hedges:					
Interest rate swaps	\$ 300,000	2016-2017	\$ (6,606)	\$ (474)	\$ (11,619)
Interest rate caps	187,788	2013-2018	-	102	-
Total cash flow hedges	\$ 487,788	2013-2018	\$ (6,606)	\$ (372)	\$ (11,619)

Interest Rate Sensitive Liabilities

The Operating Partnership is exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of its line of credit and long-term debt used to maintain liquidity and fund capital expenditures and expansion of the Operating Partnership's real estate investment portfolio and operations. The Operating Partnership's interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower its overall borrowing costs. To achieve its objectives the Operating Partnership borrows primarily at fixed rates and may enter into derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps and treasury locks in order to mitigate its interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. The Operating Partnership does not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes.

The Operating Partnership's interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal amounts and weighted average interest rates by year of expected maturity to evaluate the expected cash flows. Management has estimated that the fair value of the Operating Partnership's \$2.13 billion and \$1.77 billion of fixed rate debt at December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively, to be \$2.24 billion and \$1.88 billion. Management has estimated the fair value of the Operating Partnership's \$692.9 million and \$593.7 million of variable rate debt at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is \$671.7 million and \$572.3 million based on the terms of existing mortgage notes payable and variable rate demand notes compared to those available in the marketplace (\$ in thousands).

For the Years Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total	Fair value
Fixed rate debt	\$38,201	\$47,994	\$68,926	\$162,656	\$225,301	\$1,582,737	\$2,125,815	\$2,237,462
Average interest rate	5.6 %	5.2 %	5.2 %	4.5 %	5.5 %	5.4 %		
Variable rate debt	\$19,420	\$-	\$141,000	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$182,448 (1)	\$692,868	\$671,651
Average interest rate	1.5 %	-	2.3 %	2.6 %	2.7 %	1.9 %		

(1) \$187.8 million subject to interest rate caps.

The table incorporates only those exposures that exist as of December 31, 2012; it does not consider those exposures or positions that could arise after that date. As a result, the Operating Partnership's ultimate realized gain or loss, with respect to interest rate fluctuations and hedging strategies would depend on the exposures that arise during the period.

Table of Contents

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not Applicable.

55

Table of Contents

BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

Business

Overview

The Company is engaged primarily in the ownership, operation, management, acquisition, development and redevelopment of predominantly apartment communities. As of December 31, 2012, the Company owned or held an interest in 163 communities, aggregating 33,468 units, located along the West Coast, as well as five commercial buildings (totaling approximately 315,900 square feet), and nine active development projects with 2,495 units in various stages of development (collectively, the “Portfolio”).

Essex Property Trust, Inc. is a Maryland corporation that operates as a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”). The Company owns all of its interest in its real estate investments directly or indirectly through Essex Portfolio, L.P. a California limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership”). Essex Property Trust, Inc. is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and as of December 31, 2012 owned a 94.5% general partnership interest.

Essex Property Trust, Inc. has elected to be treated as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, commencing with the year ended December 31, 1994 as the Company completed an initial public offering on June 13, 1994. In order to maintain compliance with REIT tax rules, the Company utilizes taxable REIT subsidiaries for various revenue generating or investment activities. All taxable REIT subsidiaries are consolidated by the Company.

The Company’s website address is <http://www.essexpropertytrust.com>. The Company’s annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports, and the Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders are available, free of charge, on its website as soon as practicable after the Company files the reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

Recent Developments

Acquisitions

In February 2013, the Company acquired Fox Plaza, a 444 unit property located in San Francisco, California for \$135.0 million. The 29 story high rise tower was built in 1968, and the Fox Plaza apartments are located on floors 14 through 29. The purchase did not include the 12 floors of commercial office space but did include an adjacent two story building comprised of 37,800 square feet of space leased to retail and office tenants and a two story underground parking garage comprised of 405 stalls.

Dispositions

In January 2013, the Company sold the land parcel held for future development located in Palo Alto, California for \$9.1 million, resulting in a gain of \$1.5 million.

In January 2013, the Company sold \$20.3 million of a common stock investment for a gain of \$1.8 million.

Common Stock

During the first quarter of 2013, through March 11, 2013, the Company sold 815,319 shares of common stock for \$122.6 million, net of fees and commissions at an average price of \$151.82.

Business Strategies

Research Driven Approach to Investments – The Company believes that successful real estate investment decisions and portfolio growth begin with extensive regional economic research and local market knowledge. The Company continually assesses markets where the Company operates, as well as markets where the Company considers future investment opportunities by evaluating the following:

Table of Contents

- Focus on markets in major metropolitan areas that have regional population in excess of one million;
- Constraints on new supply driven by: (i) low availability of developable land sites where competing housing could be economically built; (ii) political growth barriers, such as protected land, urban growth boundaries, and potential lengthy and expensive development permit processes; and (iii) natural limitations to development, such as mountains or waterways;
- Rental demand is enhanced by affordability of rents relative to costs of for-sale housing; and
- Housing demand that is based on proximity to jobs, high median incomes, the quality of life and related commuting factors, as well as potential job growth.

Recognizing that all real estate markets are cyclical, the Company regularly evaluates the results of its regional economic, and its local market research, and adjusts the geographic focus of its portfolio accordingly. The Company seeks to increase its Portfolio allocation in markets projected to have the strongest local economies and to decrease such allocations in markets projected to have declining economic conditions. Likewise, the Company also seeks to increase its portfolio allocation in markets that have attractive property valuations and to decrease such allocations in markets that have inflated valuations and low relative yields.

Property Operations – The Company manages its communities by focusing on activities that generate above-average rental growth, tenant retention/satisfaction and long-term asset appreciation. The Company intends to achieve this by utilizing the strategies set forth below:

- Property Management – Oversee delivery of and quality of the housing provided to our residents and are responsible for the properties financial performance.
- Capital Preservation – Asset Management is responsible for the planning, budgeting and completion of major capital improvement projects at the Company’s communities.
- Business Planning and Control – Comprehensive business plans are implemented in conjunction with every investment decision. These plans include benchmarks for future financial performance, based on collaborative discussions between on-site managers and senior management.
- Development and Redevelopment – The Company focuses on acquiring and developing apartment communities in supply constrained markets, and redeveloping its existing communities to improve the financial and physical aspects of the Company’s communities.

Acquisitions of Real Estate

Acquisitions are an important component of the Company’s business plan, and during 2012, the Company acquired ownership interests in fifteen communities totaling \$801.9 million. The following is a summary of its 2012 acquisitions:

Table of Contents

Property Name	Location	Units	Essex			Date	Purchase Price	Assumed Debt Principal	Assumed Debt Stated Rate	Assumed Debt Effective Rate
			Ownership	Percentage	Ownership					
Bon Terra	Redmond, WA	60	100 %	EPLP	Q1 2012	\$ 16,000	\$ -	-	-	
Reed Square	Sunnyvale, CA	100	100 %	EPLP	Q1 2012	23,000	-	-	-	
Park Catalina	Los Angeles, CA	90	100 %	EPLP	Q2 2012	23,650	-	-	-	
Skyline (1)	Santa Ana, CA	349	100 %	EPLP	Q2 2012	85,000	-	-	-	
The Huntington	Huntington Beach, CA	276	100 %	EPLP	Q2 2012	48,250	30,300	5.7 %	3.3 %	
Domaine	Seattle, WA	92	100 %	EPLP	Q3 2012	34,000	14,600	5.7 %	3.0 %	
Montebello	Kirkland, WA	248	100 %	EPLP	Q3 2012	52,000	26,515	5.6 %	3.1 %	
Park West	San Francisco, CA	126	100 %	EPLP	Q3 2012	31,600	-	-	-	
Riley Square	Santa Clara, CA	156	50 %	Wesco I	Q3 2012	38,250	17,500	5.2 %	3.1 %	
Ascent	Kirkland, WA	90	100 %	EPLP	Q4 2012	15,850	-	-	-	
Bennett Lofts (2)	San Francisco, CA	113	100 %	EPLP	Q4 2012	73,730	-	-	-	
Haver Hill	Fullerton, CA	264	50 %	Wesco III	Q4 2012	45,600	-	-	-	
Madrid Pacific	Mission Viejo, CA	230	50 %	Wesco I	Q4 2012	(3)	33,266	5.3 %	2.6 %	
Electric Lofts	Los Angeles, CA	314	50 %	Wesco I	Q4 2012	(3)	46,939	4.0 %	2.5 %	
Willow Lake	San Jose, CA	508	100 %	EPLP	Q4 2012	148,000	-	-	-	
Total 2012		3,016				\$ 801,930	\$ 169,120			

(1) In April 2012, the Company purchased the joint venture partner's remaining membership interest in the co-investment Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place for a purchase price of \$85 million. The property is now consolidated.

(2) Approximately 75% of the property was acquired in December and the remainder in January 2013 for \$22.2 million.

(3) In accordance with terms of the purchase agreements, purchase price of the properties are not being disclosed by the Company.

Dispositions of Real Estate

As part of its strategic plan to own quality real estate in supply-constrained markets, the Company continually evaluates all the communities and sells those which no longer meet its strategic criteria. The Company may use the capital generated from the dispositions to invest in higher-return communities or other real estate investments, or repay debts. The Company believes that the sale of these communities will not have a material impact on its future results of operations or cash flows nor will their sale materially affect its ongoing operations. Generally, the Company seeks to have any impact of earnings dilution resulting from these dispositions offset by the positive impact of its acquisitions, development and redevelopment activities.

During 2012, the Company sold two apartment communities, for a total of \$28.3 million resulting in a gain of \$10.9 million. Also, Essex Apartment Value Fund II sold seven communities for a total of \$413.0 million. The total gain on the transaction was \$106 million, of which the Company's pro-rata share was \$29.1 million.

Development Pipeline

The Company defines development projects as new communities that are in various stages of active development, or the community is in lease-up and phases of the project are not completed. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had two consolidated development projects and seven joint venture development projects comprised of 2,495 units for an estimated cost of \$928.4 million, of which \$463.9 million remains to be expended.

The Company defines the predevelopment projects as proposed communities in negotiation or in the entitlement process with a high likelihood of becoming entitled development projects. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had two consolidated predevelopment projects and one unconsolidated joint venture predevelopment project aggregating 449 units. The Company may also acquire land for future development purposes or sale.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's development pipeline:

Development Pipeline	Location	Essex Ownership		Units	As of 12/31/12 (\$ in millions)			Projected Stabilization
		%			Incurred Project Cost	Estimated Remaining Cost	Estimated Project Cost(1)	
Development Projects - Consolidated								
64th & Christie	Emeryville, CA	100	%	190	\$ 18.1	\$ 40.1	\$ 58.2	Feb-15
Valley Village	Valley Village, CA	(2))	121	1.5	36.1	37.6	Jun-14
Total - Consolidated Development Projects				311	19.6	76.2	95.8	
Development Projects - Joint Venture								
Expo	Seattle, WA	50	%	275	64.5	5.5	70.0	Apr-13
Epic - Phase I and II	San Jose, CA	55	%	569	128.2	63.4	191.6	Dec-14
Connolly Station (fka Linc)	Dublin, CA	55	%	309	51.6	42.9	94.5	May-14
The Huxley (fka Fountain at La Brea)	West Hollywood, CA	50	%	187	46.2	28.8	75.0	Jul-14
The Dylan (fka Santa Monica at La Brea)	West Hollywood, CA	50	%	184	41.3	34.1	75.4	Oct-14
Folsom and Fifth	San Francisco, CA	55	%	463	88.5	161.5	250.0	Mar-15
Elkhorn	San Mateo, CA	55	%	197	24.6	51.5	76.1	Dec-14
Total - Joint Venture Development Projects				2,184	444.9	387.7	832.6	
Predevelopment Projects								
City Centre	Moorpark, CA	100	%	200	9.7	-	9.7	
Epic - Phase III	San Jose, CA	55	% (3)	200	19.8	-	19.8	
Main Street	Walnut Creek, CA	50	%	49	28.5	-	28.5	
Other Projects				-	1.6	-	1.6	
Total - Predevelopment Projects				449	59.6	-	59.6	

Land Held for Future
Development or Sale

Park Boulevard	Palo Alto, CA	(4)	50	7.5	-	7.5
Grand Total - Development Pipeline			2,994	\$ 531.6	\$ 463.9	\$ 995.5

(1) Includes incurred costs and estimated costs to complete these development projects.

(2) The Company invested \$1.0 million and has incurred \$0.5 million of additional internal costs as part of an agreement to purchase the property upon receipt of temporary certificate of occupancy for total estimated cost of \$37.6 million, which is expected in the first quarter of 2014.

(3) The Company accounts for this joint venture predevelopment project on the equity method.

(4) This property was sold in January 2013 for \$9.1 million, representing a gain on sale of \$1.5 million.

Redevelopment Pipeline

The Company defines redevelopment pipeline as existing properties owned or recently acquired, which have been targeted for additional investment by the Company with the expectation of increased financial returns through property improvement. During redevelopment, apartment units may not be available for rent and, as a result, may have less than stabilized operations. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had ownership interests in five redevelopment communities aggregating 1,056 apartment units with estimated redevelopment costs of \$64.9 million, of which approximately \$20.7 million remains to be expended.

Long Term Debt

During 2012, the Company paid off \$237.7 million in secured debt including secured mortgage debt totaling \$202.6 million at an average interest rate of 5.5% and \$35.1 million of tax-exempt bonds. Also, the Essex Skyline secured loan was repaid for \$80.0 million.

During 2012, the Company issued \$200 million of unsecured bonds through private placement offerings at an average rate of 4.3%.

In August 2012, the Company issued \$300.0 million of senior unsecured bonds due August 2022 with a coupon rate of 3.625% per annum.

Table of Contents

Bank Debt

During 2012, the Company increased the capacity of the unsecured line of credit facility from \$425.0 million to \$500.0 million, and the facility was increased to \$600.0 million in January 2013. This facility matures in December 2015 with two one-year extension options. In November, Fitch Ratings upgraded the Company's credit rating to BBB+ with a stable outlook from BBB with a positive outlook. As a result, the pricing on the Company's unsecured credit facility was reduced from LIBOR + 120 basis points to LIBOR + 107.5 basis points, and the pricing for the Company's \$350.0 million term loan was reduced from LIBOR + 130 basis points to LIBOR + 120 basis points.

During 2012, the Company increased the size of the unsecured term loan from \$200 million to \$350 million. The Company entered into interest rate swap contracts for a term of five years with a notional amount totaling \$300 million, which effectively converted the interest rate on \$300 million of the term loan to a fixed rate. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$350 million outstanding on the unsecured term loan outstanding at an average interest rate of 2.7%.

Equity Transactions

During 2012, the Company issued 2,404,096 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$150.26 for \$357.7 million, net of fees and commissions. During the first quarter of 2013 through March 11, 2013, the Company has issued 815,319 shares of common stock at an average price of \$151.82 for \$122.6 million, net of fees and commissions. The Company used the net proceeds from the stock offerings to pay down debt, fund redevelopment and development pipelines, fund acquisitions, and for general corporate purposes.

Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P.

Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P. ("Fund II") is an investment fund formed by the Company to add value through rental growth and asset appreciation, utilizing the Company's development, redevelopment, and property and asset management capabilities.

Fund II has eight institutional investors, and the Company, with combined partner equity contributions of \$265.9 million. The Company contributed \$75.0 million to Fund II, which represents a 28.2% interest as general partner and limited partner, and the Company uses the equity method of accounting for its investment in Fund II. Fund II utilized leverage equal to approximately 55% upon the initial acquisition of the underlying real estate. Fund II invested in fourteen apartment communities in the Company's targeted West Coast markets and sold seven of those communities in 2012. As of December 31, 2012, Fund II owned seven apartment communities with 1,284 units. The Company records revenue for its asset management, property management, development, and redevelopment services when earned, and promote income when realized, if Fund II exceeds certain financial return benchmarks.

Wesco

In 2011, the Company entered into a 50/50 programmatic joint venture, Wesco I LLC ("Wesco I"), with an institutional partner for a total equity commitment of \$300.0 million. Each partner's equity commitment was \$150.0 million, and Wesco I utilized debt as leverage equal to approximately 50% of the underlying real estate. The Company has contributed \$150.0 million to Wesco I, and as of December 31, 2012, Wesco I owned nine apartment communities with 2,713 units with an aggregate carrying value of \$660.5 million. Investments must meet certain criteria to qualify for inclusion in the joint venture and both partners must approve any new acquisitions and material dispositions. The Company receives asset and property management fees, and may earn a promoted interest.

During 2012, the Company entered into a 50/50 programmatic joint venture, Wesco III LLC (“Wesco III”), with an institutional partner for a total equity commitment from the parties of \$120.0 million. Wesco III will utilize debt as leverage equal to approximately 50% of the underlying real estate. The Company has contributed \$10.0 million to Wesco III, and provided a \$26.0 million short term bridge loan to Wesco III at a rate of LIBOR + 2.5%. As of December 31, 2012, Wesco III owned one apartment community with 264 units for a purchase price of \$45.6 million. Permanent secured financing is expected to be placed on the property in the first quarter of 2013. Investments must meet certain criteria to qualify for inclusion in the joint venture and both partners must approve any new acquisitions and material dispositions. The joint venture has an investment period of up to two years. The Company receives asset and property management fees, and may earn a promoted interest.

Table of Contents

Offices and Employees

The Company is headquartered in Palo Alto, California, and has regional offices in Woodland Hills, California; Irvine, California; San Diego, California and Bellevue, Washington. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 1,144 employees.

Insurance

The Company carries comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance for each of the communities. Under comprehensive liability claims, the Company has insurance to cover claims in excess of \$100,000 per incident. Under property casualty claims, the Company reinsures the primary carrier for losses up to \$5.0 million deductible per incident. There are, however, certain types of extraordinary losses, such as, losses from terrorism and earthquakes, for which the Company does not have insurance. Substantially all of the communities are located in areas that are subject to earthquakes.

The Company believes it has a proactive approach to its potential earthquake losses. The Company utilizes third-party seismic consultants for its acquisitions and may perform seismic upgrades to those acquisitions that are determined to have a higher level of potential loss from an earthquake. The Company utilizes third-party loss models to help to determine its exposure. The majority of the communities are lower density garden-style apartments which may be less susceptible to material earthquake damage. The Company will continue to monitor third-party earthquake insurance pricing and conditions and may consider obtaining third-party coverage if it deems it cost effective.

Although the Company may carry insurance for potential losses associated with its communities, employees, residents, and compliance with applicable laws, it may still incur losses due to uninsured risks, deductibles, co-payments or losses in excess of applicable insurance coverage and those losses may be material.

Competition

There are numerous housing alternatives that compete with the Company's communities in attracting residents. These include other apartment communities, and single-family homes. The communities also compete for residents with new and existing condominiums. If the demand for the Company's communities is reduced or if competitors develop and/or acquire competing housing, rental rates and occupancy may drop which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company faces competition from other real estate investment trusts, businesses and other entities in the acquisition, development and operation of apartment communities. Some competitors are larger and have greater financial resources than the Company. This competition may result in increased costs of apartment communities the Company acquires and or develops.

Working Capital

The Company believes that cash flows generated by its operations, existing cash and marketable securities balances, availability under existing lines of credit, access to capital markets and the ability to generate cash from the disposition of real estate are sufficient to meet all of its reasonably anticipated cash needs during 2013. The timing, source and amounts of cash flows provided by financing activities and used in investing activities are sensitive to changes in interest rates and other fluctuations in the capital markets environment, which can affect its plans for acquisitions, dispositions, development and redevelopment activities.

Environmental Considerations

See the discussion under the caption, “Risk Factors - The Company’s Portfolio may have unknown environmental liabilities”, in this prospectus, for information concerning the potential effect of environmental regulations on its operations.

Table of Contents

Legal Proceedings

There have been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and managers of apartment communities alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential real estate. Some of these lawsuits have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. The Company has been sued for mold related matters and has settled some, but not all, of such matters. Insurance carriers have reacted to mold related liability awards by excluding mold related claims from standard policies and pricing mold endorsements at prohibitively high rates. The Company has, however, purchased pollution liability insurance, which includes some coverage for mold. The Company has adopted policies for promptly addressing and resolving reports of mold when it is detected, and to minimize any impact mold might have on residents of the property. The Company believes its mold policies and proactive response to address any known existence, reduces its risk of loss from these cases. There can be no assurances that the Company has identified and responded to all mold occurrences, but the Company promptly addresses all known reports of mold. Liabilities resulting from such mold related matters are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. As of December 31, 2012, potential liabilities for mold and other environmental liabilities are not quantifiable and an estimate of possible loss cannot be made.

The Company is subject to various other lawsuits in the normal course of its business operations. Such lawsuits are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Properties

Overview

The Company's Portfolio as of December 31, 2012 (including communities owned by unconsolidated joint ventures, but excluding communities underlying preferred equity investments) was comprised of 163 apartment communities (comprising 33,468 apartment units), of which 15,444 units are located in Southern California, 10,189 units are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, and 7,835 units are located in the Seattle metropolitan area. The Company's apartment communities accounted for 98.4% of the Company's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Occupancy Rates

The Company's average financial occupancies for the Company's stabilized communities or "2012/2011 Same-Properties" (stabilized properties consolidated by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011) was unchanged at 96.3% for the years ended December 31, 2012, and 2011. Financial occupancy is defined as the percentage resulting from dividing actual rental revenue by total possible rental revenue. When calculating actual rents for occupied units and market rents for vacant units, delinquencies and concessions are not taken into account. Total possible rental revenue represents the value of all apartment units, with occupied units valued at contractual rental rates pursuant to leases and vacant units valued at estimated market rents. The Company believes that financial occupancy is a meaningful measure of occupancy because it considers the value of each vacant unit at its estimated market rate. Financial occupancy may not completely reflect short-term trends in physical occupancy and financial occupancy rates as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to the Company's calculation of financial occupancy. Market rates are determined using a variety of factors such as effective rental rates at the property based on recently signed leases and asking rates for comparable properties in the market. The recently signed effective rates at the property are used as the starting point in the determination of the market rates of vacant units. The Company then increases or decreases these rates based on the supply and demand in the apartment community's market. The Company will check the reasonableness of these rents based on its position within the market and compare the rents against the asking rents by comparable properties in the market. For communities that

are development properties in lease-up without stabilized occupancy figures, the Company believes the physical occupancy rate is the appropriate performance metric. While a community is in the lease-up phase, the Company's primary motivation is to stabilize the property which may entail the use of rent concessions and other incentives, and thus financial occupancy which is based on contractual revenue is not considered the best metric to quantify occupancy.

Communities

The Company's communities are primarily suburban garden-style communities and town homes comprising multiple clusters of two and three-story buildings situated on three to fifteen acres of land. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's communities include 117 garden-style, 43 mid-rise, and 3 high-rise communities. The communities have an average of approximately 206 units, with a mix of studio, one, two and some three-bedroom units. A wide variety of amenities are available at the Company's communities, including covered parking, fireplaces, swimming pools, clubhouses with fitness facilities, volleyball and playground areas and tennis courts.

Table of Contents

The Company hires, trains and supervises on-site service and maintenance personnel. The Company believes that the following primary factors enhance the Company's ability to retain tenants:

- located near employment centers;
- attractive communities that are well maintained; and
- proactive customer service approach.

Commercial Buildings

The Company's corporate headquarters is located in two office buildings with approximately 31,900 square feet located at 925/935 East Meadow Drive, Palo Alto, California. The Company owns an office building with approximately 110,000 square feet located in Irvine, California, of which the Company occupies approximately 7,150 square feet at December 31, 2012. The Company owns Essex-Hollywood, a 35,000 square foot commercial building as a future development site that is currently 100% leased as a production studio.

During 2011, the Company purchased a retail site in Santa Clara for \$20.6 million. The plans for this project are to entitle the site for 494 apartment units. The site is currently improved with a 139,000 square foot retail space that is 100% leased.

Table of Contents

The following tables describe the Company's Portfolio as of December 31, 2012. The first table describes the Company's communities and the second table describes the Company's other real estate assets.

Communities (1)	Location	Units	Rentable Square Footage	Year Built	Year Acquired	Occupancy(2)	
Southern California							
Alpine Village	Alpine, CA	301	254,400	1971	2002	97	%
Anavia	Anaheim, CA	250	312,343	2009	2010	95	%
Barkley, The(3)(4)	Anaheim, CA	161	139,800	1984	2000	97	%
Bonita Cedars	Bonita, CA	120	120,800	1983	2002	96	%
Camarillo Oaks	Camarillo, CA	564	459,000	1985	1996	96	%
Camino Ruiz Square	Camarillo, CA	160	105,448	1990	2006	97	%
Cambridge	Chula Vista, CA	40	22,100	1965	2002	95	%
Mesa Village	Clairemont, CA	133	43,600	1963	2002	97	%
Regency at Encino	Encino, CA	75	78,487	1989	2009	97	%
Valley Park(4)	Fountain Valley, CA	160	169,700	1969	2001	97	%
Capri at Sunny Hills(4)	Fullerton, CA	100	128,100	1961	2001	95	%
Haver Hill(5)	Fullerton, CA	264	224,130	1973	2012	96	%
Wilshire Promenade	Fullerton, CA	149	128,000	1992	1997	96	%
Montejo(4)	Garden Grove, CA	124	103,200	1974	2001	97	%
CBC Apartments	Goleta, CA	148	91,538	1962	2006	95	%
Sweeps, The (Chimney Sweep Apartments)	Goleta, CA	91	88,370	1967	2006	84	%
416 on Broadway	Glendale, CA	115	126,782	2009	2010	95	%
Hampton Court	Glendale, CA	83	71,500	1974	1999	97	%
Hampton Place	Glendale, CA	132	141,500	1970	1999	97	%
Devonshire	Hemet, CA	276	207,200	1988	2002	92	%
Huntington Breakers	Huntington Beach, CA	342	241,700	1984	1997	95	%
The Huntington	Huntington Beach, CA	276	202,256	1975	2012	97	%
Axis 2300	Irvine, CA	115	170,714	2010	(6) 2010	95	%
Hillsborough Park	La Habra, CA	235	215,500	1999	1999	96	%
Trabuco Villas	Lake Forest, CA	132	131,000	1985	1997	96	%
Madrid Apartments(7)	Mission Viejo, CA	230	228,099	2000	2012	97	%
Marbrisa	Long Beach, CA	202	122,800	1987	2002	97	%
Pathways	Long Beach, CA	296	197,700	1975	(8) 1991	96	%
Belmont Station	Los Angeles, CA	275	225,000	2008	2008	96	%
Bellerive	Los Angeles, CA	63	79,296	2011	2011	98	%
Bunker Hill	Los Angeles, CA	456	346,600	1968	1998	96	%
Cochran Apartments	Los Angeles, CA	58	51,400	1989	1998	96	%
Kings Road	Los Angeles, CA	196	132,100	1979	1997	96	%
Marbella, The	Los Angeles, CA	60	50,108	1991	2005	96	%
Pacific Electric Lofts(7)	Los Angeles, CA	314	277,980	2006	2012	100	%
Park Catalina	Los Angeles, CA	90	72,864	2002	2012	98	%
Park Place	Los Angeles, CA	60	48,000	1988	1997	96	%
Renaissance, The(9)	Los Angeles, CA	169	154,268	1990	(10) 2006	98	%

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

Santee Court	Los Angeles, CA	165	132,040	2004	2010	97	%
Santee Village	Los Angeles, CA	73	69,817	2011	2010	97	%
Windsor Court	Los Angeles, CA	58	46,600	1988	1997	96	%
Marina City Club(11)	Marina Del Rey, CA	101	127,200	1971	2004	97	%
Mirabella	Marina Del Rey, CA	188	176,800	2000	2000	96	%
Mira Monte	Mira Mesa, CA	355	262,600	1982	2002	97	%
Hillcrest Park	Newbury Park, CA	608	521,900	1973	1998	97	%
Fairways(12)	Newport Beach, CA	74	107,100	1972	1999	95	%
Muse	North Hollywood, CA	152	135,292	2011	2011	96	%
Country Villas	Oceanside, CA	180	179,700	1976	2002	96	%
Mission Hills	Oceanside, CA	282	244,000	1984	2005	97	%
Mariners Place	Oxnard, CA	105	77,200	1987	2000	98	%
Monterey Villas	Oxnard, CA	122	122,100	1974	1997	97	%
Tierra Vista	Oxnard, CA	404	387,100	2001	2001	96	%
Arbors Parc Rose(7)	Oxnard, CA	373	503,196	2001	2011	96	%
Monterra del Mar	Pasadena, CA	123	74,400	1972	1997	97	%
Monterra del Rey	Pasadena, CA	84	73,100	1972	1999	97	%
Monterra del Sol	Pasadena, CA	85	69,200	1972	1999	97	%
Villa Angelina(4)	Placentia, CA	256	217,600	1970	2001	97	%

(continued)

Table of Contents

Communities (1)	Location	Units	Rentable Square Footage	Year Built	Year Acquired	Occupancy(2)	
Southern California (continued)							
Fountain Park	Playa Vista, CA	705	608,900	2002	2004	97	%
Highridge(4)	Rancho Palos Verdes, CA	255	290,200	1972	(13) 1997	94	%
CentrePointe (The Bluffs II)	San Diego, CA	224	126,700	1974	(14) 1997	94	%
Summit Park	San Diego, CA	300	229,400	1972	2002	96	%
Vista Capri - North	San Diego, CA	106	51,800	1975	2002	97	%
Brentwood(4)	Santa Ana, CA	140	154,800	1970	2001	98	%
Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place (15)	Santa Ana, CA	349	512,791	2008	(6) 2010	95	%
Fairhaven (Treehouse)(4)	Santa Ana, CA	164	135,700	1970	2001	96	%
Hope Ranch Collection	Santa Barbara, CA	108	126,700	1965&73	2007	98	%
Hidden Valley(16)	Simi Valley, CA	324	310,900	2004	2004	96	%
Meadowood	Simi Valley, CA	320	264,500	1986	1996	96	%
Shadow Point	Spring Valley, CA	172	131,200	1983	2002	96	%
Coldwater Canyon	Studio City, CA	39	34,125	1979	2007	96	%
Allegro	Valley Village, CA	97	127,812	2010	(6) 2010	97	%
Lofts at Pinehurst, The Pinehurst(17)	Ventura, CA	118	71,100	1971	1997	96	%
Woodside Village	Ventura, CA	28	21,200	1973	2004	99	%
Walnut Heights	Ventura, CA	145	136,500	1987	2004	97	%
Reveal(7)	Walnut, CA	163	146,700	1964	2003	96	%
Avondale at Warner Center	Woodland Hills, CA	438	414,892	2010	2011	93	%
		446	331,000	1970	(18) 1997	97	%
		15,444	13,717,248			96	%
Northern California							
Belmont Terrace	Belmont, CA	71	72,951	1974	2006	98	%
Carlmont Woods(9)	Belmont, CA	195	107,200	1971	2004	96	%
Davey Glen(9)	Belmont, CA	69	65,974	1962	2006	96	%
Fourth and U Commons, The	Berkeley, CA	171	146,255	2010	2010	96	%
Pointe at Cupertino, The	Campbell, CA	264	153,168	1973	2010	97	%
Harbor Cove(9)	Cupertino, CA	116	135,200	1963	(19) 1998	97	%
Stevenson Place	Foster City, CA	400	306,600	1971	2004	96	%
Boulevard	Fremont, CA	200	146,200	1971	1983	97	%
Briarwood(7)	Fremont, CA	172	131,200	1978	(20) 1996	95	%
The Woods(7)	Fremont, CA	160	111,160	1975	2011	96	%
City View	Fremont, CA	160	105,280	1978	2011	97	%
Alderwood Park(9)	Hayward, CA	572	462,400	1975	(21) 1998	96	%
Bridgeport	Newark, CA	96	74,624	1987	2006	97	%
	Newark, CA	184	139,000	1987	(22) 1987	97	%

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

The Grand	Oakland, CA	243	205,026	2009	2009	99	%
San Marcos	Richmond, CA	432	407,600	2003	2003	98	%
Mt. Sutro	San Francisco, CA	99	64,000	1973	2001	96	%
Park West	San Francisco, CA	126	90,060	1958	2012	82	%
Bennett Lofts	San Francisco, CA	113	142,667	2004	2012	93	%
101 San Fernando	San Jose, CA	323	296,078	2001	2010	97	%
Willow Lake	San Jose, CA	508	471,744	1989	2012	95	%
Bella Villagio	San Jose, CA	231	227,511	2004	2010	98	%
Carlyle, The	San Jose, CA	132	129,200	2000	2000	97	%
Esplanade	San Jose, CA	278	279,000	2002	2004	97	%
Waterford, The	San Jose, CA	238	219,600	2000	2000	97	%
Hillsdale Garden	San Mateo, CA	697	611,505	1948	2006	97	%
Bel Air	San Ramon, CA	462	391,000	1988/2000	1997	96	%
Canyon Oaks	San Ramon, CA	250	237,894	2005	2007	97	%
Foothill Gardens	San Ramon, CA	132	155,100	1985	1997	95	%
Mill Creek at Windermere	San Ramon, CA	400	381,060	2005	2007	96	%
Twin Creeks	San Ramon, CA	44	51,700	1985	1997	95	%
1000 Kiely	Santa Clara, CA	121	128,486	1971	2011	96	%
Le Parc Luxury Apartments	Santa Clara, CA	140	113,200	1975	1994	97	%
Marina Cove(23)	Santa Clara, CA	292	250,200	1974	(24) 1994	96	%
Riley Square(7)	Santa Clara, CA	156	126,900	1972	2012	94	%
Chestnut Street	Santa Cruz, CA	96	87,640	2002	2008	95	%
Harvest Park	Santa Rosa, CA	104	116,628	2004	2007	97	%
Bristol Commons	Sunnyvale, CA	188	142,600	1989	1997	97	%

(continued)

Table of Contents

Communities (1)	Location	Units	Rentable Square Footage	Year Built	Year Acquired	Occupancy(2)	
Northern California (continued)							
Brookside Oaks(4)	Sunnyvale, CA	170	119,900	1973	2000	96	%
Magnolia Lane(25)	Sunnyvale, CA	32	31,541	2001	(26) 2007	96	%
Montclair, The	Sunnyvale, CA	390	294,100	1973	(27) 1988	97	%
Reed Square	Sunnyvale, CA	100	95,440	1970	2011	96	%
Summerhill Park	Sunnyvale, CA	100	78,500	1988	1988	98	%
Magnolia Square(4).	Sunnyvale, CA	156	110,824	1969	(26) 2007	96	%
Windsor Ridge	Sunnyvale, CA	216	161,800	1989	1989	97	%
Via	Sunnyvale, CA	284	309,421	2011	2011	97	%
Vista Belvedere	Tiburon, CA	76	78,300	1963	2004	96	%
Tuscan	Tracy, CA	30	29,088	2007	2007	93	%
		10,189	8,792,525			97	%
Seattle, Washington Metropolitan Area							
Cedar Terrace	Bellevue, WA	180	174,200	1984	2005	96	%
Courtyard off Main	Bellevue, WA	109	108,388	2000	2010	97	%
Emerald Ridge	Bellevue, WA	180	144,000	1987	1994	96	%
Foothill Commons	Bellevue, WA	388	288,300	1978	(28) 1990	94	%
Palisades, The	Bellevue, WA	192	159,700	1977	1990	97	%
Sammamish View	Bellevue, WA	153	133,500	1986	1994	98	%
Woodland Commons	Bellevue, WA	236	172,300	1978	(29) 1990	88	%
Canyon Pointe	Bothell, WA	250	210,400	1990	2003	96	%
Inglennook Court	Bothell, WA	224	183,600	1985	1994	96	%
Salmon Run at Perry Creek							
	Bothell, WA	132	117,100	2000	2000	98	%
Stonehedge Village	Bothell, WA	196	214,800	1986	1997	98	%
Highlands at Wynhaven	Issaquah, WA	333	424,674	2000	2008	96	%
Park Hill at Issaquah	Issaquah, WA	245	277,700	1999	1999	97	%
Wandering Creek	Kent, WA	156	124,300	1986	1995	98	%
Ascent	Kirkland, WA	90	75,840	1988	2012	94	%
Bridle Trails	Kirkland, WA	108	99,700	1986	(30) 1997	97	%
Corbella at Juanita Bay	Kirkland, WA	169	103,339	1978	2010	97	%
Evergreen Heights	Kirkland, WA	200	188,300	1990	1997	95	%
Montebello	Kirkland, WA	248	272,734	1996	2012	96	%
Laurels at Mill Creek, The							
	Mill Creek, WA	164	134,300	1981	1996	95	%
Morning Run(9)	Monroe, WA	222	221,786	1991	2005	97	%
The Elliot at Mukilteo (Anchor Village)(4).							
	Mukilteo, WA	301	245,900	1981	1997	95	%
Castle Creek	Newcastle, WA	216	191,900	1997	1997	97	%
Delano/Bon Terra	Redmond, WA	126	116,340	2011/2005	2011/2012	96	%
Elevation	Redmond, WA	157	138,916	1986	2010	94	%
Vesta (Redmond Hill East)(7)							
	Redmond, WA	440	381,675	1998	2011	96	%
Redmond Hill West(7)	Redmond, WA	442	350,275	1985	2011	96	%

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form S-4/A

Brighton Ridge	Renton, WA	264	201,300	1986	1996	97	%
Fairwood Pond	Renton, WA	194	189,200	1997	2004	96	%
Forest View	Renton, WA	192	182,500	1998	2003	97	%
Bernard, The	Seattle, WA	63	43,151	2008	2011	97	%
Cairns, The	Seattle, WA	100	70,806	2006	2007	96	%
Domaine	Seattle, WA	92	79,421	2012	2012	88	%
Eastlake 2851(9)	Seattle, WA	133	234,086	2008	2008	96	%
Fountain Court	Seattle, WA	320	207,000	2000	2000	95	%
Joule (31)	Seattle, WA	295	191,109	2010	2010	96	%
Linden Square	Seattle, WA	183	142,200	1994	2000	96	%
Wharfside Pointe	Seattle, WA	142	119,200	1990	1994	95	%
		7,835	6,913,940			96	%
Total/Weighted Average		33,468	29,423,713			96	%

Other real estate assets(1)	Location	Tenants	Rentable Square Footage	Year Built	Year Acquired	Occupancy(2)	
Office Buildings							
925 / 935 East Meadow Drive(32)	Palo Alto, CA	1	31,900	1988 / 1962	1997 / 2007	100	%
6230 Sunset Blvd(33)	Los Angeles, CA	1	35,000	1938	2006	100	%
17461 Derian Ave(34)	Irvine, CA	6	110,000	1983	2000	93	%
Santa Clara Retail	Santa Clara, CA	3	139,000	1970	2011	100	%
Total Office Buildings		11	315,900			99	%

Table of Contents

Footnotes to the Company's Portfolio Listing as of December 31, 2012

- (1) Unless otherwise specified, the Company has a 100% ownership interest in each community.
- (2) For communities, occupancy rates are based on financial occupancy for the year ended December 31, 2012; for the commercial buildings or properties which have not yet stabilized, or have insufficient operating history, occupancy rates are based on physical occupancy as of December 31, 2012. For an explanation of how financial occupancy and physical occupancy are calculated, see "Business and Properties - Properties - Occupancy Rates" in this prospectus.
- (3) The community is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2082.
- (4) The Company holds a 1% special limited partner interest in the partnerships which own these apartment communities. These investments were made under arrangements whereby EMC became the 1% sole general partner and the other limited partners were granted the right to require the applicable partnership to redeem their interest for cash. Subject to certain conditions, the Company may, however, elect to deliver an equivalent number of shares of the Company's common stock in satisfaction of the applicable partnership's cash redemption obligation.
- (5) This community is owned by Wesco III. The Company has a 50% interest in Wesco III which is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.
 - (6) The Company completed development of the property in 2010.
- (7) This community is owned by Wesco I. The Company has a 50% interest in Wesco I which is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.
 - (8) The Company completed a \$10.8 million redevelopment in 2009.
- (9) This community is owned by Fund II. The Company has a 28.2% interest in Fund II which is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.
 - (10) Fund II completed a \$5.3 million redevelopment in 2008.
- (11) This community is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2067.
- (12) This community is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2027.
- (13) The Company completed a \$16.6 million redevelopment in 2009.
- (14) The Company is in the process of performing a \$10.2 million redevelopment.
- (15) The Company has a 97% interest. A 50% voting interest was acquired in April 2012 when the Company acquired the joint venture partner's membership interest.
 - (16) The Company and EMC have a 74.0% and a 1% member interest, respectively.
- (17) The community is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2028.
 - (18) The Company completed a \$12.0 million redevelopment in 2008.
 - (19) The Company is in the process of performing a \$10.0 million redevelopment
 - (20) The Company completed an \$8.9 million redevelopment in 2008.
 - (21) The Company completed a \$9.4 million redevelopment in 2009.
 - (22) The Company completed a \$4.6 million redevelopment in 2009.
- (23) A portion of this community on which 84 units are presently located is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2028.
 - (24) The Company is in the process of performing a \$9.9 million redevelopment.
- (25) The community is subject to a ground lease, which, unless extended, will expire in 2070.
- (26) The Company is in the process of performing a \$13.3 million redevelopment.
- (27) The Company completed a \$12.5 million redevelopment in 2009.
- (28) The Company completed a \$36.3 million redevelopment in 2012, which included the construction of 28 in-fill units in 2009.
- (29) The Company completed the construction of 66 additional apartment homes in 2012 and is in the process of performing a redevelopment for a total cost of \$21.6 million.
- (30) The Company completed a \$5.1 million redevelopment and completed construction of 16 units of the community's 108 units in 2006.

- (31) The Company has 99% ownership in this community.
- (32) The Company occupies 100% of this property.
- (33) The property is leased through July 2014 to a single tenant.
- (34) The Company occupies 7% of this property.

Table of Contents

INVESTMENT POLICIES AND POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

The following is a discussion of certain of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s investment, financing and other policies. These policies have been determined by Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board of directors and management and, in general, may be amended or revised from time to time by Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board of directors or management without a vote of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders.

Investment Policies

Investment in Real Estate or Interests in real estate

Essex Property Trust, Inc. (the "Company") is a Maryland corporation that operates as a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT"). The Company owns all of its interest in its real estate investments directly or indirectly through Essex Portfolio, L.P. a California limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). Essex Property Trust, Inc. is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and as of December 31, 2012 owned a 94.5% general partnership interest.

The Company, through the Operating Partnership, is engaged primarily in the ownership, operation, management, acquisition, development and redevelopment of predominantly apartment communities. As of December 31, 2012, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, owned or held an interest in 163 communities, aggregating 33,468 units, located along the West Coast, as well as five commercial buildings (totaling approximately 315,900 square feet), and nine active development projects with 2,495 units in various stages of development (collectively, the "Portfolio").

Essex Property Trust, Inc. has elected to be treated as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, commencing with the year ended December 31, 1994 as the Company completed an initial public offering on June 13, 1994. In order to maintain compliance with REIT tax rules, the Company utilizes taxable REIT subsidiaries for various revenue generating or investment activities. All taxable REIT subsidiaries are consolidated by the Company.

The Company, through the Operating Partnership, invests primarily in apartment communities that are located in predominantly coastal markets within Southern California, the San Francisco Bay Area, and the Seattle metropolitan area. The Company currently intends to continue to invest in apartment communities in such regions. However, these practices may be reviewed and modified periodically by management. For a discussion of the Company's business strategies, see "Business and Properties—Business—Business Strategies."

Instead of purchasing and developing apartment communities directly, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, has invested and may continue to invest in joint ventures. From time to time, the Company, through the Operating Partnership, invests in corporations, limited partnerships, limited liability companies or other entities that have been formed for the purpose of acquiring, developing, financing, or managing real property. In certain circumstances, the Operating Partnership's interest in a particular entity may be less than a majority of the outstanding voting interests of that entity. We have also formed investment funds that utilize the Company's development, redevelopment, and property and asset management capabilities. See "Business and Properties—Business—Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P."

Investment in Real Estate Mortgages

We may, at the discretion of our management or Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board of directors, invest in mortgages and other types of real estate interests consistent with Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s qualification as a REIT. Investments in real estate mortgages run the risk that one or more borrowers may default under certain mortgages and that the

collateral securing certain mortgages may not be sufficient to enable us to recoup our full investment.

Securities of or Interests in Persons Primarily Engaged in Real Estate Activities and Other Issuers

We may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or other issuers.

Table of Contents

Dispositions

As part of its strategic plan to own quality real estate in supply-constrained markets, the Company continually evaluates all the communities and sells those which no longer meet its strategic criteria. The Company may use the capital generated from the dispositions to invest in higher-return communities or other real estate investments, repurchase the Company's common stock, or repay debts. The Company believes that the sale of these communities will not have a material impact on its future results of operations or cash flows nor will their sale materially affect its ongoing operations. Generally, the Company seeks to have any impact of earnings dilution resulting from these dispositions offset by the positive impact of its acquisitions, development and redevelopment activities.

Financing Policies

The Company has adopted a policy of maintaining a limit on debt financing consistent with the existing covenants required to maintain the Company's unsecured line of credit bank facility and unsecured term loan. The Company's organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness that may be incurred. If the Company changed this policy, the Company could incur more debt, resulting in an increased risk of default on the Company's obligations and the obligations of the Operating Partnership, and an increase in debt service requirements that could adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Such increased debt could exceed the underlying value of the communities. See "Risk Factors – "Debt financing has inherent risks".

Conflict of Interest Policies

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that prohibits conflicts of interest between our officers, employees and directors on the one hand, and our company on the other hand, except in compliance with the policy. Waivers of our code of business conduct and ethics must be disclosed in accordance with New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, and SEC requirements. In addition, Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board of directors is subject to certain provisions of Maryland law, which are also designed to eliminate or minimize conflicts. However, we cannot assure you that these policies or provisions of law will always succeed in eliminating the influence of such conflicts. If they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders.

Interested Director and Officer Transactions

Pursuant to the Maryland General Corporation Law, a contract or other transaction between us and a director or between us and any other corporation or other entity in which any of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s directors is a director or has a material financial interest is not void or voidable solely on the grounds of such common directorship or interest, the presence of such director at the meeting at which the contract or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified or the counting of the director's vote in favor thereof, provided that

- the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the transaction are disclosed or known to Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board of directors or a committee of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board, and Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s board or a duly authorized committee authorizes, approves or ratifies the transaction or contract by the affirmative vote of a majority of disinterested directors, even if the disinterested directors constitute less than a quorum,
- the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the transaction are disclosed to Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders entitled to vote thereon, and the transaction is authorized, approved or ratified by a majority of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote, other than the votes of shares owned of record or beneficially by the interested director or corporation or other entity, or

- the transaction or contract is fair and reasonable to us at the time that we enter into the transaction or contract.

The Company has adopted a policy that generally requires specified committees of its Board of Directors to approve transactions between it or an entity it controls, such as the Operating Partnership, on the one hand and any of the Company's directors, director nominees or executive officers, any beneficial owner of more than 5% of Essex outstanding common stock and any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons, on the other hand. See "Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions; Director Independence—Policies and Procedures with Respect to Related Person Transactions."

Table of Contents

Policies with Respect to Other Activities

The Company intends to continue to operate in a manner that will not subject it to regulation under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The Company has in the past five years and may in the future (i) issue securities senior to its common stock, (ii) fund acquisition activities with borrowings under its line of credit and (iii) offer shares of common stock and/or units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership or affiliated partnerships as partial consideration for property acquisitions. The Company from time to time acquires partnership interests in partnerships and joint ventures, either directly or indirectly through subsidiaries of the Company, when such entities' underlying assets are real estate.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

This section reflects information with respect to the directors and executive officers of Essex Property Trust, Inc. The operating partnership is managed by Essex Property Trust, Inc., its sole general partner and parent company. Consequently, the operating partnership does not have its own separate directors.

Essex Property Trust, Inc. held its annual meeting of stockholders on May 15, 2012. At that time, Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s stockholders voted on the election of directors. At the annual meeting, all of the nominees for election as directors of Essex Property Trust, Inc. were elected.

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to the directors and executive officers, including their ages.

Name and Position	Age
George M. Marcus Chairman of the Board	71
Keith R. Guericke Vice Chairman of the Board	64
Michael J. Schall Director, Chief Executive Officer and President	55
Michael T. Dance Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	56
John D. Eudy Executive Vice President-Development	58
Craig K. Zimmerman Executive Vice President-Acquisitions	61
John F. Burkart Executive Vice President-Asset Management	48
Erik J. Alexander Senior Vice President-Property Operations	45
David W. Brady Director	72
Gary P. Martin Director	65
Issie N. Rabinovitch Director	66
Thomas E. Randlett Director	69
Byron A. Scordelis Director	63
Janice L. Sears Director	52
Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr. Director	66

Biographical information concerning directors and executive officers of the Essex Property Trust, Inc. (some of whom are also directors) is set forth below.

George M. Marcus is the founder and has been the Chairman of Essex Property Corporation (the predecessor to Essex Property Trust, Inc.) and The Marcus & Millichap Company since their inception in 1971. The Marcus & Millichap Company is the parent company of a diversified group of real estate service, investment and development firms. Mr. Marcus was one of the original founders of Greater Bay Bancorp, a publicly held financial institution, which was acquired by Wells Fargo in 2007. Included among Mr. Marcus' professional memberships are the Board of Regents of the University of California, the Real Estate Roundtable, the Policy Advisory Board of the University of California at Berkeley — Center for Real Estate and Urban Economics, as well as numerous other professional and community organizations. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from San Francisco State University. He was honored as Alumnus of the Millennium in 1999. Mr. Marcus is also a graduate of the Harvard Business School of Owners / Presidents Management Program and the Georgetown University Leadership Program. The Board selected Mr. Marcus to serve as a director because he is the founder of the Company, he brings outstanding leadership and vision to the Company, he has extensive knowledge of the Company, and over 35 years of experience working in the real estate industry.

Table of Contents

Keith R. Guericke, Director and Vice Chairman of the Board, held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Essex Property Trust, Inc. from 1988 through 2010. Effective January 1, 2011, Mr. Guericke retired from his position as an executive officer, and he remains a director of Essex Property Trust, Inc. and will continue to provide additional services as a part-time employee. Mr. Guericke joined Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1977 to focus on investment strategies and portfolio expansion. Mr. Guericke prepared Essex Property Trust, Inc. for its IPO in 1994, and has since significantly increased the Company's multifamily portfolio in supply-constrained markets along the West Coast. Mr. Guericke is a member of NAREIT, the National Multi Housing Council, and several local apartment industry groups. Prior to joining Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Guericke began his career with Kenneth Leventhal & Company, a CPA firm noted for its real estate expertise. Mr. Guericke received his Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Southern Oregon College in 1971. The Board selected Mr. Guericke to serve as a director because he served Essex Property Trust, Inc. for over 33 years and was its principal executive officer from 1988 to 2010, and he has expansive knowledge of the real estate industry and strong relationships with many executives and other senior management at real estate companies throughout the United States.

Michael J. Schall, Director, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Essex Property Trust, Inc., having been promoted to that position by the Board of Directors effective January 1, 2011. He previously served as the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for Essex Property Trust, Inc. from 2005 to 2010, during which years he was responsible for the strategic planning and management of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s property operations, redevelopment and co-investment programs. From 1993 to 2005, Mr. Schall was Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Chief Financial Officer, responsible for the organization's financial and administrative matters. He joined The Marcus & Millichap Company in 1986. He was also the Chief Financial Officer of Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s predecessor, Essex Property Corporation. From 1982 to 1986, Mr. Schall was the Director of Finance for Churchill International, a technology-oriented venture capital company. From 1979 to 1982, Mr. Schall was employed in the audit department of Ernst & Young (then known as Ernst & Whinney), where he specialized in the real estate and financial services industries. Mr. Schall received a B.S. from the University of San Francisco. Mr. Schall currently serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, Inc. Mr. Schall is a Certified Public Accountant (inactive) and is a member of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), the National Multi Housing Council and the AICPA. The Board selected Mr. Schall to serve as a director because he was a principal officer of the Company when initially selected as a director, he has extensive knowledge of the financial and operating matters of the Company, and he has strong relationships with many executives and senior management at real estate companies throughout the United States.

Michael T. Dance, has been Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2005. From September 2002 to February 2005, Mr. Dance provided accounting research, consulting, and litigation support services, while teaching as an adjunct Professor for the University of California at Berkeley, HAAS School of Business. Mr. Dance began his career at Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co in 1978. From 1990 to 2002, he was a partner with KPMG LLP, where he worked with clients in the real estate, construction, health care and technology industries. He received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from California State University, East Bay in 1978. Mr. Dance is a CPA (inactive).

John D. Eudy is responsible for development activities, from the point of acquisition through construction and stabilization. Mr. Eudy joined Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1985. While at Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Eudy has been responsible for numerous activities including arranging of financing, due diligence, asset management and asset disposition. Prior to joining Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Eudy was a Vice President in the Commercial Real Estate Investment Group of Crocker National Bank from 1980 to 1985 and Home Federal Savings from 1977 to 1980. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from San Diego State University in 1977 and is a graduate of the University of Southern California's Management Leadership School. Mr. Eudy is a member of the Urban Land Institute and NAREIT.

Craig K. Zimmerman is responsible for acquisition activities. Mr. Zimmerman joined Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1984 and was primarily responsible for the acquisition of multifamily residential properties. Prior to joining Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Zimmerman was the Vice President of Acquisitions with Prometheus Development Company, a national real estate developer and a principal in Zimmerman Properties. From 1975 through 1978, Mr. Zimmerman worked as a real estate acquisitions specialist for American Equities Corporation. He received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Rhetoric from the University of California at Berkeley in 1974.

Table of Contents

John F. Burkart is responsible for Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s asset management activities, including evaluation of existing investments, renovation, disposition, capital improvements, due diligence, economic research, and Essex Property Trust, Inc.'s institutional co-investment program. Mr. Burkart was responsible for supervising property management activity in the Northern Division as well as the creation and management of the institutional co-investments. Mr. Burkart joined Essex Property Trust, Inc. in 1996 and was responsible for various finance duties including identifying potential sources of financing and negotiating joint venture and debt financing transactions. From 1993 to 1996, Mr. Burkart was a real estate finance consultant for various companies. From 1987 to 1993 Mr. Burkart was a Vice President at Pacific States Management Company, responsible for the property management and accounting departments, along with other corporate duties. Mr. Burkart received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from San Jose State University in 1987 and a MBA degree in Real Estate from Golden Gate University in 1993.

Erik J. Alexander is responsible for property operations of Essex Property Trust, Inc. Mr. Alexander joined Essex Property Trust, Inc. in 1997 as a Regional Portfolio Manager and later served as the Director of Redevelopment. Prior to joining Essex Property Trust, Inc., Mr. Alexander served as the Director of Operations for Century West Properties, a Santa Monica based apartment developer and operator. Mr. Alexander also held a position as a real estate consultant with The Meyers Group providing pricing strategies, demand analysis and feasibility studies to new home developers and lenders in Southern California. Mr. Alexander received his Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with a Certificate of Real Property Development and Management from the University of Southern California in 1990.

David W. Brady, Director, holds the Bowen H. and Janice Arthur McCoy endowed chair at Stanford University Graduate School of Business and is a professor of political science in Stanford University School of Humanities and Sciences since 1988. Dr. Brady served as an associate Dean for academic affairs at the Graduate School of Business from 1996 until 2000, and continues to teach corporate ethics in both MBA and executive education. He is a Deputy Director at the Hoover Institution and senior fellow by courtesy at the Institute for International Studies, both on the Stanford campus. He was a member of the advisory council for the Kansai Silicon Valley Venture Forum in Japan. The Board selected Mr. Brady to serve as a director because of his many years of experience as a professor of political science and business, which has enabled him to assist the full board in understanding corporate governance and ethics issues, and he is familiar with a full range of corporate and board functions.

Gary P. Martin, Director, is a private investor, and a member of the Board of Directors of LeoNovos, a public company on the Toronto Exchange and Chairman of the Audit Committee. He was the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mobile Smart, a semiconductor company serving the automotive industry for the period from September 2000 to July 2002. From April 1998 to August 2000, he served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Halo Data Devices, a supplier of data storage products for the disk drive market. Mr. Martin served from August 1995 to January 1998 as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of 3Dfx Interactive, Inc. Prior to this position, from September 1993 to July 1995, he served as Vice President of Finance and the Chief Financial Officer for MiniStor Peripherals Corporation, a supplier of data storage products for the mobile computer market. From 1985 to 1993, he was Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration for Chips and Technologies, Inc., where he also developed joint business ventures within the Soviet Union. From 1983 to 1984, Mr. Martin was Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer for Starstruck, Inc., a company involved in space development through private enterprise. In addition, Mr. Martin was one of the earliest employees at Apple Computer, Inc., where he held both corporate and European controller positions during the period from 1977 to 1983. Prior to working at Apple Computer, Inc., from 1971 to 1977, he worked for Aero Air Freight and National Semiconductor. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from San Jose State University in 1971. The Board selected Mr. Martin to serve as a director because he has years of experience serving on both public and private boards and committees, he has served as a Chief Financial Officer for a variety of companies, and he has an extensive understanding of internal and external financial reporting of public companies.

Issie N. Rabinovitch, Director, is a partner at Cheyenne Capital, a venture capital firm. He was the Chief Executive Officer of Mainsail Networks, a telecommunications company in 2000 and 2001. Prior to joining Cheyenne Capital, Mr. Rabinovitch served from 1991 to 1994 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Micro Power Systems, Inc., a company engaged in the designing, manufacturing and marketing of multiple semiconductor products. From 1985 to 1991, Mr. Rabinovitch was President of Berkeley International Capital Corporation, a venture capital firm. From 1983 to 1985, Mr. Rabinovitch was President of Crowntek Software International, a software development and distribution company. Before joining Crowntek, he was employed by the Xerox Corporation in various management roles. Mr. Rabinovitch received a Bachelor of Science degree from McGill University in 1967 and a Master's of Business Administration degree from Harvard University in 1970. The Board selected Mr. Rabinovitch to serve as a director because he brings valuable financial expertise, including extensive knowledge of capital markets transactions and investments in both public and private companies.

Table of Contents

Thomas E. Randlett, Director, is a certified public accountant and was a testifying expert and director at LECG, Inc. from 1992 to 2010. Mr. Randlett's professional specialties include the real estate and construction, financial institutions and transportation industries. Prior to joining LECG, Mr. Randlett was a managing partner and senior real estate specialist for KPMG in Northern California, where he had been employed since 1966, and then a consultant at the New York branch of Midland Bank from 1989 to 1990. He served on the board of directors of Greater Bay Bancorp, a publicly held financial institution, from 2005 until the company was sold in 2007. He is a former member of the Policy Advisory Board, School of Real Estate and Urban Economics, University of California at Berkeley and a current member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA"), NAREIT, and California Society of Certified Public Accountants ("CSCPA"). He received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Princeton University in 1966. The Board selected Mr. Randlett to serve as a director because of his 23 years of experience as an auditor of public and private construction and real estate companies, including audits of REITs, as well as his consulting experience, which includes interaction with the Resolution Trust Corporation and the Department of Justice.

Byron A. Scordelis, Director, served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Greater Bay Bancorp and as a member of the Board of Directors of Greater Bay Bancorp and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Greater Bay Bank N.A. from January 1, 2004 until its sale in October 1, 2007. Prior to this, Mr. Scordelis served as the Chief Operating Officer and President of the Greater Bay Banking Group which was comprised of the company's banking subsidiaries as well as its business and technology operations, trust services and human resources activities. Mr. Scordelis has previously served as an Executive Vice President with Wells Fargo Bank where he was named President of the San Francisco Bay Area Region and was responsible for the management and performance of 235 financial service offices in the San Francisco Bay area. He joined Wells Fargo in 1998 as an Executive Vice President responsible for its retail banking activities in seven western states, and was appointed as a co-chair of its integration task force following the bank's merger with Norwest. Prior to his career with Wells Fargo, Mr. Scordelis served for nine years as President and Chief Executive Officer of Eureka Bank and also served as Senior Vice President and head of Bank of America's San Francisco Bay Area region. Mr. Scordelis began his career with Bank of America in 1974, and held a variety of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of corporate finance, corporate strategic planning, merger integration, and other staff and managerial areas. Mr. Scordelis is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of the University of California at Berkeley where he earned bachelor's degrees in economics and natural resource studies in 1972. He received a Master of Business Administration from Stanford University in 1974. Mr. Scordelis currently serves on the Board of Regents at Santa Clara University where he is also a member of its Audit Committee as well as on the Advisory Board of the Markkula Center for Applied Ethics. He is also Chairman of the Board of EHC Lifebuilders, a non-profit organization, and also serves on the Advisory Board of the Palo Alto Medical Foundation. The Board selected Mr. Scordelis to serve as a director because of his many years of experience as a Chief Executive Officer and a board member of a publicly-traded financial service company.

Janice L. Sears, Director, serves as a Board Member, Chair of the Compensation Committee and member of the Governance and Investment Committees of The Swig Company, a corporate owner of office properties nationwide. Previously, Ms. Sears held the position of Managing Director, Western Region Head in the Real Estate, Gaming & Lodging Investment Banking Group at Banc of America Securities. She was concurrently the San Francisco Market President for Bank of America where she managed a team that originated and executed equity, mergers & acquisitions and debt products. As Market President, Ms. Sears managed a senior leadership team, deepening relationships with the non-profit community, local government and worked to build awareness of the Bank of America brand. Prior to 1999, Ms. Sears was Head of Client Management for Bank of America's Commercial Real Estate Group in California, where she oversaw client relationships with REIT's, homebuilders and opportunity funds. Prior to 1988, Ms. Sears was a Real Estate Economist at both Chemical Bank and Citicorp in New York. Ms. Sears earned a B.S. in both Economics and Marketing from the University of Delaware. Her professional activities have included NAREIT, the Urban Land Institute ("ULI"). Ms. Sears is the current President and previous Treasurer of the San Francisco Chapter of the National Charity League and most recently sat on the boards of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the San Francisco Economic Development Council and Leadership San Francisco. Ms. Sears

has been named one of the '100 Most Powerful Women in Business' in San Francisco. The Board selected Ms. Sears to serve as a director because of her knowledge of capital markets and extensive experience working in the commercial real estate and REIT industry.

Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr., Director, is co-founder and a Managing Partner of Fremont Realty Capital, the real estate merchant banking arm of the Fremont Group, a San Francisco-based, private-investment firm of the Bechtel family. The firm focuses on opportunistic and value-added real estate investments, both domestically and abroad. Prior to 1996, Mr. Zinngrabe was Chairman and CEO of Prudential Real Estate Investors, the institutional real estate investment arm of Prudential Financial, Inc. From 1992 to 1994, Mr. Zinngrabe served as President of Prudential Institutional Investors and was responsible for strategic planning in Latin America and Eastern Europe. Concurrently, he founded and held the title of Chairman and CEO of Prudential Homebuilding Investors, a real estate investment management firm specializing in homebuilding investments. From 1972 to 1992, Mr. Zinngrabe held a number of investment professional and management positions within Prudential's mortgage lending, property investment and asset management businesses. Mr. Zinngrabe is a member of ULI where he has served as a trustee and Executive Committee member. He is also a member of the Pension Real Estate Association and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Managers. Previously, Mr. Zinngrabe has served as a pro-bono ULI real estate advisor to the U.S. Department of Defense, National Institutes of Health and the Government of Bermuda. Mr. Zinngrabe received a Bachelor of Science Degree in History from Xavier University in 1968 followed by a Master of Arts in History in 1970 and Master of Business Administration degree in 1977 from Cleveland State University. Mr. Zinngrabe also completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School in 1989. Mr. Zinngrabe is a Governance Fellow of the National Association of Corporate Directors. The Board selected Mr. Zinngrabe to serve as a director because of his extensive experience in the real estate investment management business and his knowledge of the real estate industry.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This section reflects information with respect to the directors and executive officers of Essex Property Trust, Inc. The operating partnership is managed by Essex Property Trust, Inc., its sole general partner. Consequently, the operating partnership does not have its own separate directors.

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and other executive compensation information set forth below in this section “Executive Compensation” was included in the annual proxy statement of Essex Property Trust, Inc. for its 2012 annual meeting and discusses compensation matters relating to 2011.

However, the CD&A and other compensation information that will be included in the annual proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting has not been finalized by Essex Property Trust, Inc. and the Compensation Committee of its Board of Directors, and the CD&A and other compensation information set forth below has therefore not been updated. As more fully set forth below under “Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference,” the CD&A and other compensation information that will be set forth in the 2013 annual proxy statement is incorporated by reference in this prospectus and will be available when filed as set forth below under “Where You can Find More Information.”