

UNIVERSAL HEALTH REALTY INCOME TRUST
Form DEF 14A
April 25, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Universal Health Realty Income Trust

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH REALTY INCOME TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Notes:

April 25, 2008

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the Trust) which will be held on Thursday, June 5, 2008 at 10:00 AM, at the offices of the Trust, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania for the following purposes:

- (1) the election by our shareholders of two Class I Trustees, to serve for a term of three years, until the annual election of Trustees in the year 2011 and election and qualification of their successors; and
- (2) to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Detailed information concerning these matters is set forth in the attached Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please either vote by telephone, by internet, or by promptly signing and returning your proxy card in the enclosed envelope. If you then attend and wish to vote your shares in person, you still may do so. In addition to the matters noted above, we will discuss the business of the Trust and be available for your comments and discussion relating to the Trust.

I look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Alan B. Miller
Chairman of the Board,
Chief Executive Officer and President

UNIVERSAL CORPORATE CENTER

367 SOUTH GULPH ROAD

KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

June 5, 2008

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the "Trust") will be held on Thursday, June 5, 2008 at 10:00 AM, at the offices of the Trust, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania for the following purposes:

- (1) the election by our shareholders of two Class I Trustees, to serve for a term of three years, until the annual election of Trustees in the year 2011 and election and qualification of their successors; and

- (2) to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

You are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting only if you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on April 10, 2008. You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting in person.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE BY TELEPHONE, INTERNET OR MARK YOUR VOTES, THEN DATE AND SIGN THE ENCLOSED FORM OF PROXY AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY IF YOU DECIDE TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING AND WISH TO VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Cheryl K. Ramagano
Secretary

King of Prussia, Pennsylvania

April 25, 2008

Universal Corporate Center

367 South Gulph Road

King of Prussia, PA 19406

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: This Proxy Statement and enclosed form of proxy (first mailed to shareholders on or about April 30, 2008) are furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Trustees for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or at any adjournment thereof. The Annual Meeting will be held on Thursday, June 5, 2008 at 10:00 a.m., at our offices located at Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania. As a shareholder, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the items of business described in this Proxy Statement.

2. Q: What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

A: The Annual Meeting is being held to: (1) elect two Class I Trustees, who will serve for a term of three years until the annual election of Trustees in the year 2011 and the election and qualification of their successors; and (2) to transact such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. We will also discuss our business and be available for your comments and discussion.

3. Q: How may I obtain your Annual Report for 2007?

A: A copy of our Annual Report to Shareholders, including financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, is enclosed herewith. Refer to question 25 for information on how to request additional information from us.

4. Q: Who may attend the Annual Meeting?

A: Shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 10, 2008, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the meeting. Shareholders whose shares are held through a broker or other nominee will need to bring a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting their ownership of our shares as of the record date.

5. Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

A: Only shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 10, 2008 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. On that date, 11,848,545 shares of beneficial interest, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding.

6. Q: Who is soliciting my vote?

A: The principal solicitation of proxies is being made by our Board of Trustees by mail. Certain of our officers and employees and certain officers and employees of UHS of Delaware, Inc. (our Advisor), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS), or its affiliates, none of whom will receive additional compensation therefore, may solicit proxies by telegram, telephone or other personal contact. We will bear the cost of the solicitation of the proxies, including postage, printing and handling and will reimburse the reasonable expenses of brokerage firms and others for forwarding material to beneficial owners of shares.

7. Q: What items of business will be voted at the Annual Meeting?

A: The item of business that will be voted is the election of two Class I Trustees, who will serve for a term of three years until the annual election of Trustees in the year 2011 and the election and qualification of their successors.

8. Q: How does the Board of Trustees recommend that I vote?

A: The Board of Trustees recommends that you vote your shares FOR the nominees for Class I Trustees.

9. Q: How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A: Other than the items of business described in this Proxy Statement, we know of no other business to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting. As for any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting, your signed proxy confers discretionary authority in the persons named therein. Those persons will vote or act in accordance with their best judgment.

10. Q: What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a street name holder?

A: These terms describe how your shares are held. If your shares are registered directly in your name with Computershare Trust Company, N.A., our transfer agent, you are a stockholder of record. If your shares are held in the name of a brokerage, bank, trust or other nominee as a custodian, you are a street name holder.

11. Q: How do I vote my shares if I am a stockholder of record?

A: You may vote by signing and dating each proxy card you receive and returning it in the enclosed prepaid envelope. Unless otherwise indicated on the proxy, shares represented by any proxy will, if the proxy is properly executed and received by us prior to the Annual Meeting, be voted FOR each of the nominees for trustees. You may also vote by telephone or internet.

12. Q: How do I vote by telephone or electronically?

A: Instead of submitting your vote by mail on the enclosed proxy card, your vote can be submitted by telephone or electronically, via the internet. Please refer to the specific instructions set forth on the enclosed proxy card. For security reasons, our electronic voting system has been designed to authenticate your identity as a stockholder.

13. Q: How do I vote my shares if they are held in street name?

A: If your shares are held in street name, your broker or other nominee will provide you with a form seeking instruction on how your shares should be voted.

14. Q: Can I change or revoke my vote?

A: Yes. Any proxy executed and returned to us is revocable by delivering a later signed and dated proxy or other written notice to our Secretary at any time prior to its exercise. Your proxy is also subject to revocation if you are present at the meeting and choose to vote in person.

15. Q: What is the vote required to approve the proposal?

A: For the election of the Trustees, the nominee receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the shares of beneficial interest, present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote, a quorum being present, shall be elected as the Class I Trustee. Only votes cast for the nominees will be counted, except that the accompanying proxy will be voted for a nominee in the absence of instruction to the contrary.

16. Q: What constitutes a quorum ?

A: The shareholders entitled to vote as the meeting representing a majority of the total number of votes authorized to be cast by shares of beneficial interest then outstanding and entitled to vote on any question present in person or by proxy shall constitute a quorum at any such meeting for action on such question. Proxies received but marked as abstentions and broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of the number of shares to be considered present at the meeting.

17. Q: What are our voting rights?

A: Each share is entitled to one vote on the matters to be presented at the meeting.

18. Q: What if I abstain from voting or withhold my vote?

A: If you are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, you can abstain from voting or withhold authority to vote. If you attend the meeting or send in your signed proxy with instructions to withhold authority to vote for the proposal, you will be counted for the purposes of determining whether quorum exists.

With respect to the election of the Trustees, abstentions and instructions on the accompany proxy card to withhold authority to vote will result in the nominee receiving fewer votes. However, the number of votes otherwise received by the nominee will not be reduced by such action.

19. Q: Will my shares be voted if I do not sign and return my proxy card or do not vote by internet or telephone?

A: If you are a registered shareholder and you do not sign and return your proxy card or do not vote by internet or telephone, your shares will not be voted at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in street name and you do not issue instructions to your broker, your

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH REALTY INCOME TRUST - Form DEF 14A

broker may vote your shares at their discretion on routine matters, but may not vote your shares on non-routine matters. Under the New York Stock Exchange rules, the proposal relating to the election of trustees is deemed to be routine matters with respect to which brokers and nominees may exercise their voting discretion without receiving instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares.

20. Q: What is a broker non-vote ?

A: Broker non-votes are shares held by brokers or nominees which are present in person or represented by proxy, but which are not voted on a particular matter because instructions have not been received from the beneficial owner. Under the rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., member brokers generally may not vote shares held by them in street name for customers unless they are permitted to do so under the rules of any national securities exchange of which they are a member. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, New York Stock Exchange-member brokers who hold shares in street name for their customers and have transmitted our proxy solicitation materials to their customers, but do not receive voting instructions from such customers, are not permitted to vote on nonroutine matters.

Since the election of Trustees is a routine matter, a broker may turn in a proxy card voting shares at their discretion and without receiving instructions from you.

21. Q: What is the effect of a broker non-vote?

A: With respect to the election of Trustees, broker non-votes are treated as shares as to which the beneficial owners have withheld voting authority and therefore are shares not entitled to vote on the matter. Broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining the presence or absence of a quorum and will result in the nominee for Trustee receiving fewer votes. However, the number of votes otherwise received by the nominee will not be reduced by such action.

22. Q: Who will count the votes?

A: Our Secretary will count the votes and serve as inspector of elections.

23. Q: When are shareholder proposals due in order to be included in our Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting?

A: Any proposal that you wish to present for consideration at the 2009 Annual Meeting must be received by us no later than January 2, 2009. This date provides sufficient time for inclusion of the proposal in the 2009 proxy materials.

24. Q: Can I receive more than one set of Annual Meeting materials?

A: If you share an address with another shareholder, each shareholder may not receive a separate copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to any shareholder upon written or oral request to our Secretary at Universal Health Realty Income Trust, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406, telephone (610) 265-0688. If you share an address with another shareholder and (i) would like to receive multiple copies of the Proxy Statement or Annual Report to Shareholders in the future, or (ii) if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, in the future, please contact your bank, broker, or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address and phone number.

25. Q: How can I obtain additional information about Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the Trust)?

A: Copies of our Annual Report to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and our other annual, quarterly and current reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and any amendments to those reports, are available free of charge on our website, which is located at <http://www.uhrit.com>. Copies of these reports will be sent without charge to any shareholder requesting such copies in writing to our Secretary at Universal Health Realty Income Trust, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406. The information posted on our website is not incorporated into this Proxy Statement.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth as of March 31, 2008, the number of shares and the percentage of our outstanding shares owned beneficially, within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13d-3, (i) by each person who is known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of our shares; (ii) by each Trustee and Trustee nominee and each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and; (iii) by all Trustees and executive officers as a group. No shares are pledged as security by any of our Trustees or executive officers.

Name and Address of

Beneficial Owner(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Outstanding Shares
Universal Health Services, Inc. 367 South Gulph Road King of Prussia, PA 19406	787,543	6.6%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	718,919(2)	6.1%
Barclays Global Investors, N.A. 45 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105	549,280(3)	4.6%
Miles L. Berger Berger Management Services, LLC 737 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 1570 Chicago, IL 60611	6,725(4)	(5)
James E. Dalton, Jr. 6505 Edinburgh Drive Nashville, TN 37221	5,725(4)	(5)
Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. Lehigh Valley Hospital & Health Network Cedar Crest Blvd. & Interstate 78 Allentown, PA 18105	3,725(4)	(5)
Myles H. Tanenbaum A Wish Come True 2522 Pearl Buck Road Bristol, PA 19007	10,725(4)	(5)
Alan B. Miller	128,583(4)(6)	1.1%
Charles F. Boyle	15,828(4)	(5)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH REALTY INCOME TRUST - Form DEF 14A

Cheryl K. Ramagano	13,620(4)	(5)
Timothy J. Fowler	10,404(4)	(5)
3525 Piedmont Rd., N.E.		
Atlanta, GA 30305		
All Trustees & executive officers as a group (8 persons)	195,335(4)(6)	1.6%

- (1) Unless otherwise shown, the address of each beneficial owner is c/o Universal Health Realty Income Trust, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406.
- (2) These securities are held by The Vanguard Group, Inc. Information is based on Schedule 13G filed February 14, 2008.
- (3) These securities are held by Barclays Global Investors, N.A. Information is based on Schedule 13G filed January 10, 2008.
- (4) Includes shares issuable pursuant to stock options to purchase shares of beneficial interest held by officers and Trustees of the Trust and exercisable within 60 days of March 31, 2008 as follows: Miles L. Berger (5,500); James E. Dalton, Jr. (3,000); Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. (3,000); Myles H. Tanenbaum (3,000); Alan B. Miller (27,375); Charles F. Boyle (4,500); Cheryl K. Ramagano (4,500) and Timothy J. Fowler (1,750).
- (5) Less than 1%.
- (6) Includes 42,000 shares of beneficial interest beneficially owned by the Alan B. Miller Family Foundation. Mr. Miller disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities.

PROPOSAL NO. 1**ELECTION OF TWO TRUSTEES**

We were organized under the laws of the State of Maryland as a real estate investment trust on August 6, 1986. Pursuant to our Declaration of Trust, the Trustees have been divided into three classes, with staggered terms. The terms of the Trustees in Class I expire at this meeting, the term of the Trustee in Class II will expire at the 2009 Annual Meeting and the terms of the Trustees in Class III will expire at the 2010 Annual Meeting. At each Annual Meeting, Trustees are elected for a term of three years to succeed those in the class whose term is expiring at such Annual Meeting.

The persons listed below currently constitute our Board of Trustees. The nominees for the Class I Trustees are Alan B. Miller and Myles H. Tanenbaum. They have been nominated to be elected for a three-year term that expires at the 2011 Annual Meeting. The Trustees have no reason to believe that the nominees will be unavailable for election; however, if either nominee becomes unavailable for any reason, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted for the person, if any, who is designated by the Board of Trustees to replace the nominee. The nominees have consented to be named and have indicated their intent to serve if elected.

Pursuant to our Declaration of Trust, a majority of our Trustees must be Independent Trustees with each class of Trustees containing at least one Independent Trustee. The Declaration of Trust defines an Independent Trustee as a Trustee who is not an affiliate of Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS), the parent company of our Advisor, and does not perform any services for us, except as Trustee.

The following information is furnished with respect to the nominee for election as a Trustee and each member of the Board of Trustees whose term of office will continue after the meeting.

Name	Class of Trustee	Age	Principal Occupation	Trustee Since
			During the Last Five Years	
NOMINEES WHOSE TERMS				
EXPIRE IN 2008				
Alan B. Miller	I	70	Chairman of our Board and Chief Executive Officer since 1986 and President since February, 2003. Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Universal Health Services, Inc. since 1978. Director of Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company.	1986
Myles H. Tanenbaum*	I	77	Vice Chairman of A Wish Come True, L.P. since 2005. Formerly, Chairman of the Board of Arbor Enterprises from 1989-2005; President and CEO of both Arbor Property Trust (NYSE) (successor to EQK Green Acres, L.P.) from 1986-1997, and EQK Realty Investors (NYSE) from 1985 - 1993. Currently, a member of the Board of Directors of Penn-Presbyterian Hospital since 1995, and a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania since 1989 and of the Board of Overseers of the University's Law School since 1988.	1990

Name	Class of Trustee	Age	Principal Occupation	Trustee Since
			During the Last Five Years	
TRUSTEE WHOSE TERM				
EXPIRES IN 2009				
James E. Dalton, Jr.*	II	65	Chairman of the Board of Signature Hospital Corporation since 2006. Formerly, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Quorum Health Group, Inc. from 1990 to 2001. Formerly, President of Edinburgh Associates from 2001 2007. Currently, a director of Select Medical Corp. and US Oncology, Inc. since 2005.	1997
TRUSTEES WHOSE TERMS				
EXPIRE IN 2010				
Miles L. Berger*	III	77	Chairman of the Board of Berger Management Services, LLC since 1999; Trustee of Innkeepers Trust USA from 1993 until the sale of the company in 2007, and a member of the Board of Directors of Medallion Bank since 2002.	1998
Elliot J. Sussman, M.D.*	III	56	President and Chief Executive Officer of Lehigh Valley Hospital and Health Network since 1993. A member of the Board of Directors of iCAD, Inc. since 2002.	1999

* Independent Trustee

THE TRUSTEES RECOMMEND A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THESE NOMINEES AS TRUSTEES.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our Trustees and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our shares and other equity securities.

Based upon a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us during fiscal year 2007 and written representations for our executive officers and Trustees, we believe that during the 2007 fiscal year, the Trustees, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our shares of beneficial interest complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements, with the following exception:

Timothy J. Fowler sold 78 shares of beneficial interest on February 27, 2007, pursuant to the Universal Health Services, Inc. Supplemental Deferred Compensation Plan. This transaction was subsequently reported on a Form 4 filed by Mr. Fowler on March 16, 2007.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

All of our named executive officers are employees of UHS of Delaware, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS). We have no salaried employees. UHS of Delaware Inc. (the Advisor) manages our day-to-day affairs and provides certain other services to us pursuant to the Advisory Agreement we entered into with UHS and the Advisor. In consideration of its management services pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, we pay the Advisor an advisory fee. We believe that the quality and depth of the management and advisory services provided to us by our Advisor and UHS could not be replicated by contracting with unrelated third parties or by being self-advised without considerable cost increases.

In designing our compensation program for our named executive officers, we follow our belief that compensation should reflect the value created for shareholders while supporting our strategic business goals. Because of our management structure and advisory arrangement, historically, our compensation program has been basic. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees (the Compensation Committee) is guided by the following objectives:

Compensation should encourage increased shareholder value;

Compensation programs should support our short-term and long-term strategic business goals and objectives, and;

Compensation should motivate our named executive officers and other personnel toward outstanding performance and reward them for contributions toward business goals.

These objectives govern the decision-making process with respect to the amount and type of compensation payable to our named executive officers and other personnel. The Compensation Committee reviews our compensation programs annually to ensure that these objectives continue to be met.

Elements of Compensation

In light of our management structure and advisory arrangement, we have historically provided limited compensation to our named executive officers and other personnel. We typically do not pay cash compensation in the form of an annual base salary or cash bonuses to our named executive officers. Each of our named executive officers are employees of UHS and, as such, are compensated by UHS. The chief element of our compensation program is the periodic granting of long-term incentive awards, which through March, 2007, had been in the form of stock options and dividend equivalent rights (DERs). During 2007, as discussed below, the Universal Health Realty Income Trust 2007 Restricted Stock Plan (the 2007 Plan) was adopted by our Board of Trustees and approved by our shareholders. Prior to the adoption of the 2007 Plan, from time to time, in its discretion, the Compensation Committee has granted stock options and DERs to our named executive officers and other personnel under the Universal Health Realty Income Trust 1997 Incentive Plan (the 1997 Incentive Plan). The 1997 Incentive Plan expired in June of 2007. The terms of the 1997 Incentive Plan and the 2007 Plan are discussed in further detail below.

The Compensation Committee believes that linking executive compensation to corporate performance results in a better alignment of compensation with corporate business goals and shareholder value. Long-term

incentive awards are granted to motivate and encourage excellent service and to reward our named executive officers for their respective contributions. Long-term incentive compensation is based, in part, on our performance and the value received by shareholders. As we improve our performance, resulting in increased value to shareholders, our executives and other personnel are rewarded commensurately.

Compensation Setting Process

The compensation for each of our named executive officers is evaluated on an annual basis by our Compensation Committee. Compensation surveys and market data compiled by the human resources personnel of our Advisor are used to compare the compensation levels of our named executive officers against those of comparable positions in other comparable companies.

The Compensation Committee has traditionally relied upon the input and recommendations of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Alan B. Miller, who reviews and advises the Compensation Committee with respect to our compensation programs, including the compensation arrangements for our named executive officers and other personnel. The Compensation Committee believes that Mr. Miller's role as Chief Executive Officer of the Trust since its inception in 1986 provides a valuable resource to them. Mr. Miller regularly attends committee meetings. Mr. Miller does not participate in the discussions among the members of the Compensation Committee with respect to his own compensation. Compensation for Mr. Miller is determined by the Compensation Committee and, from time to time, guidance and advice is requested from the human resources department of our Advisor.

Prior to its expiration in June, 2007, the 1997 Incentive Plan was administered by the Compensation Committee. Subject to the provisions of the 1997 Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee had the authority to determine the persons to whom awards were granted (excluding non-executive officer Trustees), the number of shares of beneficial interest to be covered by each award, the type of award, the exercise price of any options, the terms for the payment of the option price, the types and combinations of awards to be granted and other terms and conditions. Our Board of Trustees had the authority to determine the awards made pursuant to the 1997 Incentive Plan to Trustees who were not one of our executive officers.

Options granted to eligible persons pursuant to the terms of the 1997 Incentive Plan were in the form of non-qualified stock options. The exercise price of the stock options were fixed by the Compensation Committee and equaled the fair market value of the shares of beneficial interest on the date of grant of the option. We did not have any plan to select option grant dates for our named executive officers or other personnel in coordination with the release of material non-public information. The holder of a DER is credited with amounts equal to the dividends payable with respect to the number of shares of beneficial interest covered by the award as if such shares had been issued and outstanding on the record date related to such dividend.

In April, 2007, the Board of Trustees adopted the 2007 Plan to replace the 1997 Incentive Plan. The 2007 Plan was approved by our shareholders in June, 2007. The 2007 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee, which has full authority in its discretion from time to time, and at any time, to select those officers to whom awards will be granted, to determine the number of shares subject thereto, the times at which such awards shall be granted, the time at which the awards shall vest, and the terms and conditions of the agreements to be entered into by our officers and other personnel. The full Board of Trustees is responsible for administering incentive grants to the Trustees who are not one of our executive officers.

The 2007 Plan permits the granting of restricted shares of beneficial interest. The Compensation Committee believes restricted stock awards are more effective than stock options in achieving our compensation objectives, as restricted stock is subject to less market volatility and, depending on the total number of shares granted, is

potentially less dilutive to our shares of beneficial interest. Recipients realize immediate value as restricted stock awards vest, with the value increasing as our stock performance increases. We anticipate that cash dividends will be paid on all outstanding awards of restricted stock as an additional element of compensation and to provide recipients incentive to sustain or increase our performance. We do not have any plan to select grant dates for our named executive officers in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

Our Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, requested guidance with respect to the 2007 Plan from a consulting firm. On our behalf, our Advisor engaged Mercer Human Resource Consulting (Mercer), a compensation consulting firm independent from management. Mercer was instructed to evaluate the appropriateness of the 2007 Plan. Additionally, Mercer provided advice with respect to Independent Trustee compensation. See Trustee Compensation and Corporate Governance Compensation Committee for additional information on Mercer's role in these matters.

Like the 1997 Incentive Plan, the 2007 Restricted Stock Plan is designed to implement our compensation objectives stated herein. The Compensation Committee believes that periodic grants of long term incentive awards to our named executive officers and other personnel will serve to motivate, encourage excellent service and reward them for their respective contributions. Further, the Compensation Committee believes that this will enhance shareholder value and support our business goals because such long-term incentive compensation is based, in part, on our performance and the value received by shareholders. As we improve our performance, resulting in increased value to shareholders, our executives are rewarded commensurately.

Vesting of restricted shares issued under the 2007 Plan will be based upon continuing service and/or other conditions as the Compensation Committee may determine. In addition, the Compensation Committee has the option to condition the vesting of a restricted stock award on the achievement of specified performance goals in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Code. We believe that this option provides us with greater flexibility to structure awards to meet our stated compensation objectives. The performance goals, which may be based on business criteria such as earnings per share, share price or total shareholder return, pre-tax profits, net earnings, return on equity or assets, revenues, funds from operations per share are applied to an individual, the Trust and/or any one or more of our properties or other operating unit(s) as the Compensation Committee may designate (in each case, subject to the conditions of the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m) of the Code).

The Compensation Committee must establish such criteria and targets in advance of applicable deadlines under the Code and while the attainment of the performance targets remains substantially uncertain. The Compensation Committee will be responsible for determining whether and the extent to which the performance goals have been attained and the amount of compensation, if any, that is payable as a result. The Compensation Committee must certify in writing prior to payment of the compensation that the performance goals and any other material terms of the award were in fact satisfied.

No more than 75,000 shares of beneficial interest may be issued pursuant to the 2007 Plan. We do not have any plan to select restricted stock award grant dates for our named executive officers in coordination with the release of non-public information. There were no restricted shares of beneficial interest issued pursuant to the 2007 Plan during 2007.

2007 Compensation

Salary and Cash Bonus

We did not pay cash compensation in the form of an annual base salary or bonus to our named executive officers during 2007.

Long-Term Incentives

On March 1, 2007, based upon market data compiled by the human resources personnel of our Advisor, we granted stock options and DERs to each of our named executive officers pursuant to the Trust's 1997 Incentive Plan. As discussed herein, the Compensation Committee believes that in the general absence of cash compensation, it is important to provide our executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, an incentive to increase shareholder value by awarding equity based compensation. Each of our named executive officers were granted DERs as well as an equal number of options to purchase shares of our Common Stock with an exercise price of \$36.53 per share, representing the closing price of the Trust's shares of beneficial interest on the date of grant. For a description of the long-term incentive awards granted to our named executive officers for 2007, you should read the Summary Compensation Table and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table included in this Proxy Statement.

In determining the above-referenced stock option and DER grants for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviewed compensation surveys and market data compiled by the human resources personnel of our Advisor which compared the compensation levels of our named executive officers against those of comparable positions in a selected peer group consisting of other comparable healthcare real estate investment trusts (REITs). The companies in the selected peer group, which had no salaried employees since they are managed pursuant to advisory or management agreements, consisted of the following companies:

National Health Investors, Inc. (1)

Senior Housing Properties Trust

National Health Realty, Inc. (2)

(1) Became a self-managed REIT in December, 2007.

(2) Merged with a wholly-owned subsidiary of NationalHealthCare Corporation in October, 2007.

This review prompted our Compensation Committee to award stock options and DERs to our named executive officers in 2007. In determining the number of options and DERs to award to each of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered Mr. Miller's recommendations and took into account each named executive officer's position, responsibilities and contribution to our financial performance as well as his or her potential contribution to our growth and productivity. In addition, historical internal practices for awards of options and DERs were also reviewed and used as a basis for determining individual award amounts. The Compensation Committee believes that the compensation of our named executive officers during 2007 adequately reflects our goals and policies and is comparable with market rates based on the review of the compensation levels of the selected peer group.

During 2007, each of our named executive officers benefited from the dividend equivalents on their DERs granted in 2007 and previous years. For a further description of the dividend equivalents earned in respect of the DERs to our named executive officers in 2007 and 2006, please refer to the Summary Compensation Table and narrative discussion included in this Proxy Statement. For a further description of the outstanding options and DERs granted to our named executive officers in previous years, please refer to the Outstanding Equity Awards Table included in this Proxy Statement.

2008 Compensation

We anticipate that the chief element of our compensation program will continue to be the periodic granting of long-term incentive awards issued pursuant to the terms of the 2007 Plan. We do not intend to pay cash compensation in the form of an annual base salary or bonus to our named executive officers in 2008.

Summary

The foregoing discussion describes: (i) the compensation objectives and policies that were utilized with respect to our named executive officers and other personnel during 2007, and; (ii) our anticipated compensation program for 2008. In the future, as the Compensation Committee continues to review each element of the executive compensation program with respect to our named executive officers and other personnel, the objectives of our executive compensation program, as well as the methods that the Compensation Committee utilizes to determine both the types and amounts of compensation to award to our named executive officers and other personnel, may change.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management; and based on the review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Trustees that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Elliot J. Sussman, M.D., Chairman
James E. Dalton, Jr.
Miles L. Berger

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Trustees is composed of Elliot J. Sussman, M.D., James E. Dalton, Jr., and Miles L. Berger. All the members of the Compensation Committee are independent trustees and no member has ever been one of our officers or employees, nor has had any relationship with us that requires disclosure.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the two other executive officers during 2007. We have no other executive officers. We refer to these officers collectively as our named executive officers.

Name and principal position (1.)	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards \$(2.)	Option and Dividend Equivalent Right Awards \$(3.)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All other compen- sation (\$)	Total (\$)
Alan B. Miller, Chairman of the Board,	2007				\$ 108,692				\$ 108,692
	2006				128,802				128,802
Chief Executive Officer and President									
Charles F. Boyle, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2007				\$ 28,837				\$ 28,837
	2006				33,301				33,301
Cheryl K. Ramagano, Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer	2007				\$ 28,837				\$ 28,837
	2006				33,301				33,301
Tim Fowler, Vice President, Acquisition and Development	2007				\$ 19,645				\$ 19,645
	2006				23,580				23,580

- (1.) Our officers are all employees of a wholly-owned subsidiary of UHS and do not receive salaries or bonuses. We pay an annual advisory fee to UHS of Delaware, Inc. (the Advisor) pursuant to the Advisory Agreement between the Advisor and us, whereby the Advisor manages our day-to-day affairs and provides certain other services to us. See additional information on our relationship with our advisor included in this Proxy Statement.
- (2.) There were no stock awards to our named executive officers in 2007 or 2006.
- (3.) Represents compensation cost incurred by us in 2007 for option and dividend equivalent right awards granted during 1997, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2007, as computed in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, for awards granted under the Universal Health Realty Income Trust 1997 Incentive Plan. Please refer to the Management's Discussion and Analysis included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the assumptions used for the compensation cost related to options and dividend equivalent rights.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table provides information regarding plan-based awards granted during fiscal year 2007 to our named executive officers.

Name	Approval /Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (1.) (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$ / Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Option and DER Awards (\$)	Closing Price on Grant Date (\$ / Sh)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)					
Alan B. Miller	3/1/2007								7,500	\$ 36.53	\$ 110,216	\$ 36.53
Charles F. Boyle	3/1/2007								4,000	\$ 36.53	\$ 58,782	\$ 36.53
Cheryl K. Ramagano	3/1/2007								4,000	\$ 36.53	\$ 58,782	\$ 36.53
Tim Fowler	3/1/2007								3,000	\$ 36.53	\$ 44,086	\$ 36.53

- (1.) Stock option awards issued under the Universal Health Realty Income Trust 1997 Incentive Plan. This plan expired on June 22, 2007. Awards granted under this Plan on or before the termination date shall remain exercisable, in accordance with their respective terms. Options expire on February 28, 2017.
- (2.) Represents the full grant date fair value for the option and DER awards, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123R as described in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2007

The following table provides information about the number of outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers at December 31, 2007.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Awards (1.)		
			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Alan B. Miller	20,000			\$ 14.75	3/9/2010
	3,000			\$ 29.44	12/1/2013
	2,500	2,500		\$ 34.90	8/31/2015
		7,500		\$ 36.53	2/28/2017
Charles F. Boyle	2,000			\$ 26.25	3/2/2013
	1,500	1,500		\$ 34.90	8/31/2015
		4,000		\$ 36.53	2/28/2017
Cheryl K. Ramagano	2,000			\$ 26.25	3/2/2013
	1,500	1,500		\$ 34.90	8/31/2015
		4,000		\$ 36.53	2/28/2017
Timothy J. Fowler	1,000	1,000		\$ 34.90	8/31/2015
		3,000		\$ 36.53	2/28/2017

1. *Stock option awards.* All of the stock options are scheduled to vest ratably on the first, second, third and fourth anniversary dates from the date of grant.

Each stock option award recipient was also granted dividend equivalent rights on the same terms as the option, pursuant to which the holder will receive the accrued cash dividends upon exercise of the dividend equivalent rights, or earlier under certain conditions.

The applicable grant dates for the options and dividend equivalent rights (DERs) indicated are set forth below:

- * On March 10, 2000, stock options were granted with an exercise price of \$14.75. Assuming the value of the accumulated DERs were used to satisfy the exercise of the option, as of December 31, 2007, the exercise price, as adjusted, would be (\$1.58).
- * On March 3, 2003, stock options were granted with an exercise price of \$26.25. Assuming the value of the accumulated DERs were used to satisfy the exercise of the option, as of December 31, 2007, the exercise price, as adjusted, would be \$15.555.
- * On December 2, 2003, stock options were granted with an exercise price of \$29.44. Assuming the value of the accumulated DERs were used to satisfy the exercise of the option, as of December 31, 2007, the exercise price, as adjusted, would be \$20.21.
- * On September 1, 2005, stock options were granted with an exercise price of \$34.90. Assuming the value of the accumulated DERs were used to satisfy the exercise of the option, as of December 31, 2007, the exercise price, as adjusted, would be \$29.225.

* On March 1, 2007, stock options were granted with an exercise price of \$36.53. Assuming the value of the accumulated DERs were used to satisfy the exercise of the option, as of December 31, 2007, the exercise price, as adjusted, would be \$34.23.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table provides information about stock option exercises by our named executive officers during fiscal year 2007.

Name	Option Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$ (1.)
Alan B. Miller	25,000	\$ 421,625
Charles F. Boyle	7,500	\$ 124,163
Cheryl K. Ramagano	7,500	\$ 124,163
Tim Fowler	7,500	\$ 124,163

- (1.) Excludes value realized upon the exercise of the dividend equivalent rights (DERs) issued pursuant to the 1997 Incentive Plan in conjunction with the options indicated above. The value realized from the exercise of DERs by Mr. Alan B. Miller was \$475,500; the value realized from the exercise of DERs for each of Charles F. Boyle, Cheryl K. Ramagano and Tim Fowler was \$142,650.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

There are no potential payments committed to our named executive officers in connection with their termination or in the event of a change of control of the Trust. However, the Board of Trustees/Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may approve the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options, DERs and shares of restricted stock.

TRUSTEE COMPENSATION**2007 INDEPENDENT TRUSTEE COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our trustees during fiscal year 2007.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1.)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
James E. Dalton, Jr.	\$ 24,750	\$	\$ 15,994	\$	\$	\$	\$ 40,744
Miles L. Berger	\$ 23,750	\$	\$ 15,994	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39,744
Elliot J. Sussman, M.D.	\$ 27,000	\$	\$ 10,244	\$	\$	\$	\$ 37,244
Myles H. Tanenbaum	\$ 28,000	\$	\$ 11,669	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39,669

- (1) Represents compensation cost incurred by us in 2007, as computed in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, for option and dividend equivalent right awards made during 1997, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2007 pursuant to our 1997 Incentive Plan. Please refer to the Management Discussion and Analysis included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the assumptions used for the compensation cost related to options and dividend equivalent rights. During 2007, each Trustee was issued 1,000 stock option awards and dividend equivalent rights under the Universal Health Realty Income Trust 1997 Incentive Plan. This plan expired on June 22, 2007. Awards granted under this Plan on or before the termination date shall remain exercisable, in accordance with their respective terms, after the termination of the Plan. As of December 31, 2007 the following stock options and dividend equivalent rights were outstanding for each UHT Trustee:

	Stock Options	DERs
James E. Dalton, Jr.	6,500	6,500
Miles L. Berger	6,500	6,500
Elliot J. Sussman, M.D.	4,000	4,000
Myles H. Tanenbaum	4,000	4,000

During 2007, each Trustee who is neither an officer of the Trust or affiliated with UHS (each an Independent Trustee) was paid annual compensation of \$15,000 for service as a Trustee plus \$1,000 for attendance in person at each regular meeting of the Board of Trustees or an additional \$500 for telephonic participation. An additional \$500 was paid for participation in each Committee meeting or special meeting of the Board of Trustees. Also during 2007, (i) Miles L. Berger, Lead Trustee, was compensated an additional \$2,500 for his service in that capacity, \$1,250 of compensation for his position as Nominating and Governance Chairman and \$5,000 for meeting fees; (ii) Myles H. Tanenbaum, Chairman of the Audit Committee, was compensated an additional \$5,000 for his service in that capacity and \$8,000 for meeting fees; (iii) Elliot J.

Sussman, M.D., Chairman of the Compensation Committee, was compensated an additional \$1,250 for his service in that capacity, \$1,250 of compensation for his service as a member of the Audit Committee and \$9,500 for meeting fees, and; (iv) James E. Dalton, Jr., was compensated an additional \$1,250 for his service as a member of the Audit Committee and \$8,500 for meeting fees. All travel expenses incurred in connection with their duties as Trustees were reimbursed to the Trustees.

During 2008, we anticipate that each Independent Trustee will be paid annual compensation of \$15,000 for service as a Trustee plus \$1,000 for attendance in person at each regular meeting of the Board of Trustees or an additional \$500 for telephonic participation. An additional \$500 will be paid for participation in each Committee meeting or special meeting of the Board of Trustees. During 2008, Miles L. Berger, Lead Trustee, will be compensated an additional \$2,500 for his services in that capacity plus an additional \$1,250 of compensation for his position as Nominating and Governance Chairman. Also, during 2008, Myles H. Tanenbaum will be compensated an additional \$5,000 for his position as Audit Committee Chairman, and Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. and James E. Dalton, Jr., members of the Audit Committee, will be compensated an additional \$1,250 each. Additionally, during 2008, the Compensation Committee Chairman, Elliot J. Sussman, M.D., will be compensated an additional \$1,250 for his services in that capacity. We will reimburse all Trustees for travel expenses incurred in connection with their duties as Trustees.

On March 1, 2007, pursuant to our 1997 Incentive Plan, Messrs. Miles L. Berger, Myles H. Tanenbaum, Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. and James E. Dalton, Jr. each received an option to purchase 1,000 shares of beneficial interest and 1,000 dividend equivalent rights (DERs) with an exercise price of \$36.53 per share, representing the closing sale price of the Trust's shares of beneficial interest on the date of grant. These options and DERs have a combined fair value of \$14.70 per share. These options and DERs are exercisable as follows: 25% one year after date of grant an additional 25% in each of the second, third and fourth years after date of grant. The options and DERs expire ten years after the date of grant. On June 22, 2007, the 1997 Incentive Plan expired. Awards granted under this Plan on or before the termination date shall remain exercisable, in accordance with their respective terms, after the termination of the Plan.

In 2007, the human resource personnel of our Advisor reviewed the non-executive director/trustee compensation of a selected peer group to assess the competitiveness of our Independent Trustee compensation. The selected peer group included companies comparable to us based upon the following criteria: (i) total assets (selected companies with total assets ranging from \$50 million to \$400 million); (ii) scope of operations (selected companies with investments in commercial real estate), and; (iii) healthcare operations (selected real estate investment trusts that owned primarily healthcare properties). The selected peer group included the following companies: Centracore Properties Trust; Cogdell Spencer, Inc., MHI Hospitality Corp.; National Health Realty, Inc.; Supertel Hospitality, Inc.; Agree Realty Corp.; America First Apartment; Investors, Inc.; AmREIT; BRT Realty Trust; Getty Realty Corp.; Gladstone Commercial Corp.; Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corp.; One Liberty Properties, Inc.; PMC Commercial Trust, and; UMH Properties, Inc.

After the peer group selection and compensation evaluation process was completed by our Advisor's human resource personnel, on our behalf, our Advisor engaged Mercer Human Resource Consulting (Mercer), a compensation consulting firm independent from management, to evaluate the peer group selection methodology and compensation evaluation. Based upon its review, Mercer found that the peer group was representative of the director/trustee talent market for comparable companies. Further, Mercer found that the selected peer group companies were chosen in a sound manner and generally represented similar-sized competitors. Mercer also found that the director/trustee compensation data from the selected peer group had been appropriately analyzed and fairly reflected market norms for similarly situated outside directors/trustees. Based on the selected peer

group compensation analysis, it was concluded that the compensation of our Independent Trustees was competitive with the median of the market and that each element of compensation was within competitive norms.

On March 6, 2008, pursuant to our Universal Health Realty Income Trust 2007 Restricted Stock Plan, Messrs. Miles L. Berger, Myles H. Tanenbaum, Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. and James E. Dalton, Jr. were each issued restricted stock grants for 225 shares of UHT shares of beneficial interest. These shares are scheduled to vest in equal annual installments on the first two anniversaries of the date of grant. Shares issued under this plan are eligible for dividends.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Trustee Independence

The Board of Trustees has affirmatively determined that four of its five members (Elliot J. Sussman, M.D., James E. Dalton, Jr., Miles L. Berger and Myles H. Tanenbaum) are independent under the applicable SEC rules and regulations and the NYSE listing standards. In determining independence, the Board of Trustees affirmatively determines each year whether Trustees have any material relationship with us. When assessing the materiality of a Trustee's relationship with us, the Board of Trustees considers all relevant facts and circumstances, not merely from the Trustee's standpoint, but also from the standpoint of the persons or organizations with which the Trustee has an affiliation. Material relationships can include commercial, banking, industrial, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships. The Board of Trustees has concluded that no material relationship exists between us and any of our Independent Trustees other than each such person's position as one of our Trustees.

Meeting of the Board of Trustees

Regular meetings of the Board of Trustees are generally held quarterly, while special meetings are called when necessary. Before each meeting, Trustees are furnished with an agenda and background materials relating to matters to be discussed. During 2007, there were four regular meetings of the Board of Trustees and one special meeting. All Trustees participated in at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Trustees and of the committees on the Board of Trustees on which they served. It is our policy that Trustees are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All Trustees, with one exception, attended the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Our Governance Guidelines provide that the Board of Trustees shall hold, in accordance with a schedule determined by the Nominating & Governance Committee, executive sessions where non-management Trustees (i.e., Trustees who are not our officers, but who do not otherwise have to qualify as Independent Trustees) meet without management participation (except as otherwise specifically requested by the non-management Trustees). During 2007, the non-management Trustees met without management participation twice. The Lead Trustee is currently Miles L. Berger. Interested parties may communicate directly and confidentially with the Lead Trustee or with the non-management Trustees of the Board of Trustees as a group by writing to that person at Universal Health Realty Income Trust, c/o Secretary, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406.

Shareholder Communications

Shareholders who wish to send communications to the Board of Trustees or an individual Trustee should address such communications to Universal Health Realty Income Trust, c/o Secretary, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406. The Secretary will forward such communications to the Board of Trustees or the specified individual Trustee to whom the communication is directed unless such communication is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly inappropriate, in which case the Secretary has the authority to discard the communication or take appropriate legal action regarding such communication.

Committees of the Board of Trustees

A current copy of our Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating & Governance Committee Charter and

Audit Committee Charter are available free of charge on our website at www.uhrit.com. Copies of these documents also are available in print free of charge to any shareholder who requests a copy. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K relating to amendments to or waivers of any provision of our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers by promptly posting the information on our website.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee met once in 2007. Members of the Compensation Committee are Elliot J. Sussman, M.D., James E. Dalton, Jr. and Miles L. Berger. The members of the Compensation Committee are independent pursuant to the applicable SEC rules and regulations and the listing standards of the NYSE.

The Compensation Committee's responsibilities, which are discussed in detail in its charter, include, among other duties, the responsibility to:

Review and approve our goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our chief executive officer, other executive officers and other personnel;

Determine and approve the compensation levels of our chief executive officer, other executive officers and other personnel and review and determine the compensation of our Independent Trustees;

Administer our 2007 Plan and discharge the duties set forth therein, and;

Perform such other duties as the Board of Trustees may from time to time direct.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to establish one or more subcommittees which shall have the responsibilities and consist of those members of the Compensation Committee as the Compensation Committee may determine from time to time.

In its administration of the 2007 Plan, the Compensation Committee has full authority in its discretion from time to time, and at any time, to select those officers and/or other personnel to whom awards will be granted, to determine the number of shares subject thereto, the times at which such awards shall be granted, the time at which the awards shall vest, and the terms and conditions of the agreements to be entered into by our officers. The full Board of Trustees is responsible for administering incentive grants to the Trustees. In determining the amount and terms of long-term incentive grants to be made to our named executive officers and other personnel other than Mr. Miller, the Compensation Committee relies heavily on the input and recommendations of Mr. Miller. See the section titled "Compensation Setting Process," in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis for an additional discussion of the role of Mr. Miller in determining compensation.

In 2007 our Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, requested an independent valuation of Independent Trustee compensation from a third party. On our behalf, our Advisor engaged Mercer Human Resource Consulting (Mercer), a compensation consulting firm independent from management, to evaluate the peer group selection methodology and compensation valuation completed by UHS to assess the competitiveness of Independent Trustee compensation. Additionally, Mercer provided basic guidance regarding the 2007 Plan. Based upon its review, Mercer found that the peer group was representative of the director/trustee talent market for comparable REITs. Further, Mercer found the compensation valuation consistent with acceptable approaches. See the Compensation Discussion & Analysis and Trustee Compensation for additional information on Mercer.

Nominating & Governance Committee. The Nominating & Governance Committee met once in 2007. The members of this Committee are Miles L. Berger, Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. and James E. Dalton, Jr. The members of the Nominating & Governance Committee are independent pursuant to the applicable SEC rules and regulations and the listing standards of the NYSE.

The Nominating & Governance Committee was appointed by the Board of Trustees to: (1) assist the Board of Trustees by identifying individuals who are qualified, consistent with criteria approved by the Board of Trustees, to become Trustees, and to recommend to the Board of Trustees the Trustee nominees for the next annual meeting of shareholders; (2) develop and recommend to the Board of Trustees a set of governance principals in the form of corporate governance guidelines applicable to the Trust; (3) lead and oversee the Board of Trustees in its annual review of its performance and the performance of our management, and; (4) recommend to the Board Trustee nominees for each committee of the Board of Trustees. The Nominating & Governance Committee adopted our Governance Guidelines.

The Nominating & Governance Committee will consider Trustee nominees recommended by shareholders. Shareholders who wish to recommend a nominee for the Nominating & Governance Committee's consideration may do so by submitting the individual's name and qualifications to the Nominating & Governance Committee Secretary, Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406. Recommendations must be received by the Nominating & Governance Committee no later than the date by which shareholder proposals for presentation at the next annual meeting must be received. Recommended nominees will only be considered if there is a vacancy or if the Board of Trustees decides to increase the number of Trustees.

The Nominating & Governance Committee identifies and evaluates recommended nominees by considering, among other factors, the following minimum qualifications: the individual's integrity, experience, education, expertise, independence and any other factors that the Board of Trustees and the Nominating & Governance Committee deem would enhance the effectiveness of the Board of Trustees and our governance. The Nominating & Governance Committee will evaluate a nominee on the same basis if the individual is recommended by a shareholder. The Nominating & Governance Committee does not currently pay a fee to a third party to identify or evaluate nominees, but may consider from time to time engaging a search firm to identify trustee candidates.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met twelve times in 2007. Members of this Committee are Myles H. Tanenbaum, Elliot J. Sussman, M.D. and James E. Dalton, Jr.

Our Board of Trustees has determined, in its business judgment, that each member of the Audit Committee qualifies as independent to the applicable SEC rules and regulations and the listing standards of the NYSE and is financially literate and that Myles H. Tanenbaum qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC regulations and has accounting or related financial management expertise.

The Audit Committee is responsible for providing assistance to the Board of Trustees in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to corporate accounting and reporting practices and in maintaining a direct line of communication between the Trustees and the independent registered public accounting firm. It appoints our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews the scope and results of the audits with the independent registered public accounting firm and considers the adequacy of our internal accounting and control procedures.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Universal Health Services, Inc.

Advisory Agreement: UHS of Delaware, Inc. (the *Advisor*), a wholly-owned subsidiary of UHS, serves as Advisor to us under an Advisory Agreement (the *Advisory Agreement*) dated December 24, 1986. Under the Advisory Agreement, the Advisor is obligated to present an investment program to us, to use its best efforts to obtain investments suitable for such program (although it is not obligated to present any particular investment opportunity to us), to provide administrative services to us and to conduct our day-to-day affairs. In performing its services under the Advisory Agreement, the Advisor may utilize independent professional services, including accounting, legal, tax and other services, for which the Advisor is reimbursed directly by us. The Advisory Agreement expires on December 31 of each year; however, it is renewable by us, subject to a determination by the Trustees who are unaffiliated with UHS (the *Independent Trustees*), that the Advisor's performance has been satisfactory. The Advisory Agreement may be terminated for any reason upon sixty days written notice by us or the Advisor. The Advisory Agreement has been renewed for 2008. All transactions between us and UHS must be approved by the Independent Trustees.

The Advisory Agreement provides that the Advisor is entitled to receive an annual advisory fee equal to 0.60% of our average invested real estate assets, as derived from our consolidated balance sheet. The advisory fee is payable quarterly, subject to adjustment at year-end based upon our audited financial statements. In addition, the Advisor is entitled to an annual incentive fee equal to 20% of the amount by which cash available for distribution to shareholders for each year, as defined in the Advisory Agreement, exceeds 15% of our equity as shown on our consolidated balance sheet, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles without reduction for return of capital dividends. The Advisory Agreement defines cash available for distribution to shareholders as net cash flow from operations less deductions for, among other things, amounts required to discharge our debt and liabilities and reserves for replacement and capital improvements to our properties and investments. Advisory fees incurred and paid (or payable) to UHS amounted to \$1.4 million for each of 2007, 2006 and 2005. No incentive fees were paid during 2007, 2006 or 2005.

Leases: We commenced operations in 1986 by purchasing certain subsidiaries from UHS and immediately leasing the properties back to the respective subsidiaries. Most of the leases were entered into at the time we commenced operations and provided for initial terms of 13 to 15 years with up to six additional 5-year renewal terms, with base rents set forth in the leases effective for all but the last two renewal terms. In 1998, the lease for McAllen Medical Center was amended to provide that the last two renewal terms would also be fixed at the initial agreed upon base rental. This lease amendment was in connection with certain concessions granted by UHS with respect to the renewal of other leases. The base rents are paid monthly and each lease also provides for additional or bonus rents which are computed and paid on a quarterly basis based upon a computation that compares current quarter revenue to a corresponding quarter in the base year. The leases with subsidiaries of UHS are unconditionally guaranteed by UHS and are cross-defaulted with one another.

The combined revenues generated from the leases on the UHS hospital facilities accounted for approximately 54% of our total revenue for the five years ended December 31, 2007 (approximately 57%, 51%, and 49% for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively). Including 100% of the revenues generated at the unconsolidated LLCs in which we have various non-controlling equity interests ranging from 33% to 99%, the leases on the UHS hospital facilities accounted for approximately 25% of the combined consolidated and unconsolidated revenue for the five years ended December 31, 2007 (approximately 24%, 24% and 25% for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively). In addition, seven MOBs (plus two additional MOBs currently under construction) owned by LLCs in which we hold various non-controlling equity interests, include tenants which are subsidiaries of UHS.

Pursuant to the Master Lease Document by and among us and certain subsidiaries of UHS, dated December 24, 1986 (the "Master Lease"), which governs the leases of all hospital properties with subsidiaries of UHS, UHS has the option to renew the leases at the lease terms described below by providing notice to us at least 90 days prior to the termination of the then current term. In addition, UHS has rights of first refusal to: (i) purchase the respective leased facilities during and for 180 days after the lease terms at the same price, terms and conditions of any third-party offer, or; (ii) renew the lease on the respective leased facility at the end of, and for 180 days after, the lease term at the same terms and conditions pursuant to any third-party offer. UHS also has the right to purchase the respective leased facilities at the end of the lease terms or any renewal terms at the appraised fair market value. In addition, during 2006, as part of the overall exchange and substitution proposal relating to Chalmette Medical Center ("Chalmette"), as discussed below, as well as the early five year lease renewals on Southwest Healthcare System-Inland Valley Campus ("Inland Valley"), Wellington Regional Medical Center ("Wellington"), McAllen Medical Center ("McAllen") and The Bridgeway ("Bridgeway"), we agreed to amend the Master Lease to include a change of control provision. The change of control provision grants UHS the right, upon one month's notice should a change of control of the Trust occur, to purchase any or all of the four leased hospital properties at their appraised fair market value.

During the third quarter of 2005, Chalmette, a 138-bed acute care hospital located in Chalmette, Louisiana, was severely damaged and closed as a result of Hurricane Katrina. At that time, the majority of the real estate assets of Chalmette were leased from us by a subsidiary of UHS and, in accordance with the terms of the lease, and as part of an overall evaluation of the leases between subsidiaries of UHS and us, UHS offered substitution properties rather than exercise its right to rebuild the facility or offer cash for Chalmette. Independent appraisals were obtained by us and UHS which indicated that the pre-hurricane fair market value of the leased facility was \$24.0 million.

During the third quarter of 2006, we completed the asset exchange and substitution pursuant to the Asset Exchange and Substitution Agreement ("Agreement") with UHS whereby we agreed to terminate the lease between us and Chalmette and to transfer the real property assets and all rights attendant thereto (including insurance proceeds) of Chalmette to UHS in exchange and substitution for newly constructed real property assets owned by UHS ("Capital Additions") at Wellington, Bridgeway and Inland Valley, in satisfaction of the obligations under the Chalmette lease. The Capital Additions consist of properties which were recently constructed on, or adjacent to, facilities already owned by us as well as a recently constructed Capital Addition at Inland Valley which was completed and opened during the third quarter of 2007. Pursuant to section 1033(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, we recognized no gain for federal income tax purposes based upon the transaction as agreed upon in the Agreement.

The total cost of the 44-bed Capital Addition at Inland Valley amounted to \$11.7 million, which exceeded the \$11.0 million threshold included in the Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the \$760,000 of cost in excess of the \$11.0 million threshold has been paid to UHS in cash and UHS will pay incremental rent on the \$760,000 excess cost at a rate of 6.75%.

The table below details the renewal options and terms for each of the four UHS hospital facilities:

Hospital Name	Type of Facility	Annual Minimum Rent	End of Lease Term	Renewal Term (years)
McAllen Medical Center	Acute Care	\$ 5,485,000	December, 2011	20(a)
Wellington Regional Medical Center	Acute Care	\$ 3,030,000	December, 2011	20(b)
Southwest Healthcare System, Inland Valley Campus	Acute Care	\$ 2,648,000(d)	December, 2011	20(b)
The Bridgeway	Behavioral Health	\$ 930,000	December, 2014	10(c)

- (a) UHS has four 5-year renewal options at existing lease rates (through 2031).
- (b) UHS has two 5-year renewal options at existing lease rates (through 2021) and two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2022 through 2031).
- (c) UHS has two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2015 through 2024).
- (d) Includes incremental rent on the \$760,000 of Capital Addition cost in excess of \$11.0 million.

The properties included in the Asset Exchange and Substitution Agreement consist of the following, based upon fair value amounts (in thousands):

Wellington Bed Tower	\$ 8,926
Bridgeway 28 bed addition	4,072
Inland Valley 44 bed expansion	11,728

Total fair value of exchanged and substituted assets, including \$760,000 excess repaid to UHS \$ 24,726

During 2005, 2004 and 2003, the total rent earned by us under the Chalmette lease was approximately \$1.6 million to \$1.7 million annually (including \$960,000 of base rental and approximately \$700,000 of bonus rental). The total rent payable to us on the Capital Additions included in the substitution package (including the estimated base rent on the Inland Valley Capital Addition in excess of \$11.0 million) is expected to approximate the total rent earned by us under the Chalmette lease.

Also during the second quarter of 2006, as part of the overall asset exchange and substitution arrangement with UHS, UHS agreed to early renewals of the leases between us and each of Inland Valley, Wellington and McAllen which were scheduled to mature on December 31, 2006, and Bridgeway, which was scheduled to mature on December 31, 2009. These leases were renewed on the same economic terms as the current leases.

Included in our consolidated statement of income during 2005 was a property write-down charge of \$6.3 million representing the book value of the Chalmette property damaged by the hurricane. Included in our 2005 net income was an equal amount representing the property damage recoverable from UHS. Prior to the completion of the asset exchange and substitution, Chalmette had a combined asset value of \$8.3 million on our consolidated balance sheet consisting of \$2.0 million of land held for exchange and \$6.3 million of property damage receivable from UHS. Included in our net income during 2007 and 2006 are gains of \$1.7 million and \$14.0 million, respectively, representing the total property transferred to us from UHS as of December 31, 2007 in excess of the \$8.3 million book value of Chalmette.

During 2007, we committed to invest up to \$6.4 million in debt or equity, in exchange for a 95% non-controlling equity interest in a limited liability company that will develop, construct, own and operate the

Summerlin Medical Office Building III, located in Las Vegas, Nevada, on the campus of a UHS hospital. This building, tenants of which include subsidiaries of UHS, is scheduled to be completed and opened during the fourth quarter of 2008.

During 2006, we committed to invest up to \$6.6 million (\$250,000 in equity, \$250,000 of which has been funded as of December 31, 2007 and \$6.3 million in debt financing or equity, of which \$183,000 has been funded as of December 31, 2007) in exchange for a 95% non-controlling equity interest in a limited liability company that developed, constructed, owns and operates the Centennial Hills Medical Office Building I, located in Las Vegas, Nevada, on the campus of a UHS hospital. The LLC has a \$15.7 million construction loan commitment from a third-party, which is non-recourse to us. This building, tenants of which include subsidiaries of UHS, was completed and opened during the fourth quarter of 2007.

Additionally, during 2006, we committed to invest up to \$4.3 million in equity, of which \$143,000 has been funded as of December 31, 2007, in exchange for a 95% non-controlling equity interest in a limited liability company that will develop, construct, own and operate the Palmdale Medical Plaza, located in Palmdale, California, on the campus of a UHS hospital currently under construction. This medical office building (MOB) will be 75% master leased by UHS of Palmdale, Inc., a subsidiary of UHS, on a triple net basis. The master lease for each suite will be cancelled at such time that the suite is leased for a minimum term of five years, to another tenant acceptable to the LLC and UHS of Palmdale. Based upon the executed leases and letter of intent commitments in place as of December 31, 2007, the master lease threshold of 75% was not expected to be met by the completion and opening of the building. The LLC has a \$9.9 million construction loan commitment from a third-party, which is non-recourse to us. At December 31, 2007, \$7.4 million of third-party debt has been borrowed against this \$9.9 million construction loan commitment. This building, tenants of which include subsidiaries of UHS, is scheduled to be completed and opened during the second quarter of 2008. This LLC, which is deemed to be a variable interest entity, is consolidated in our financial statements as of December 31, 2007.

During 2005, we committed to invest up to \$12.3 million in debt and/or equity, of which \$10.2 million has been funded (\$219,000 was repaid during 2007) as of December 31, 2007, in exchange for a 95% non-controlling interest in a limited liability company that developed, constructed, owns and operates the Spring Valley Medical Office Building II, a second MOB on the campus of a UHS hospital located in Las Vegas, Nevada. This MOB was completed and opened during the second quarter of 2007.

During the third quarter of 2004, Wellington, our 121-bed acute care facility located in West Palm Beach, Florida, sustained storm damage caused by a hurricane. This facility is leased by a wholly-owned subsidiary of UHS and pursuant to the terms of the lease, UHS is responsible for maintaining replacement cost property insurance for the facility, a substantial portion of which is insured by a commercial carrier. The facility did not experience significant business interruption. During the year ended December 31, 2005, UHS incurred \$4.7 million in replacement costs (in addition to \$1.9 million incurred during 2004) and since these additional costs were recovered from UHS, \$4.7 million has been included in net income during the year ended December 31, 2005. The repairs to the facility were completed as of December 31, 2005. UHS spent a total of approximately \$6.6 million to replace the damaged property at this facility.

UHS Legal Proceedings: We have been advised by UHS that UHS, together with its South Texas Health System affiliates, which operate McAllen Medical Center, were served with a subpoena dated November 21, 2005, issued by the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services (OIG). At that time, the Civil Division of the U.S. Attorney's office in Houston, Texas indicated that the subpoena was part of an investigation under the False Claims Act regarding compliance with Medicare and Medicaid rules and regulations pertaining to the employment of physicians and the solicitation of patient referrals from physicians

from January 1, 1999 to the date of the subpoena, related to the South Texas Health System. UHS has advised us that since January of 2006, documents were produced on a rolling basis pursuant to this subpoena and several additional requests, including an additional March 9, 2007 subpoena. On February 16, 2007, UHS's South Texas Health System affiliates were served with a search warrant in connection with what UHS has been advised is a related criminal Grand Jury investigation concerning the production of documents. At that time, the government obtained various documents and other property related to the facilities. Follow-up Grand Jury subpoenas for documents and witnesses and other requests for information were subsequently served on South Texas Health System facilities and certain UHS employees and former employees.

UHS's legal representatives continue to meet with representatives of the civil and criminal divisions of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Texas to discuss the status of these matters. UHS's representatives have been advised that the government is continuing its investigations. UHS understands that, based on those discussions and its investigations to date, the government is focused on certain arrangements entered into by the South Texas Health System affiliates which, the government believes, may have violated Medicare and Medicaid rules and regulations pertaining to payments to physicians and the solicitation of patient referrals from physicians and other matters relating to payments to various individuals which may have constituted improper or illegal payments. UHS understands that the government is also focusing its investigation to determine whether the South Texas Health System affiliates and certain individuals illegally failed to fully comply with the original OIG subpoena. UHS is investigating these matters, cooperating with the investigations and responding to the matters raised with them. UHS continues to produce documents on a rolling basis to the government based on its requests pursuant to its investigations. UHS expects to continue their discussions with the government to attempt to resolve these matters in a manner satisfactory to UHS and the government. There is no assurance that UHS will be able to do so, and, at this time, UHS is unable to evaluate the extent of any potential financial or other exposure in connection with these matters which are related to the subject of the government's investigations.

UHS has advised us that it monitors all aspects of its business and that it has developed a comprehensive ethics and compliance program that is designed to meet or exceed applicable federal guidelines and industry standards. Because the law in this area is complex and constantly evolving, governmental investigation or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with industry practices, including UHS's. Although UHS believes its policies, procedures and practices comply with governmental regulations, no assurance can be given that UHS will not be subjected to further inquiries or actions, or that UHS will not be faced with sanctions, fines or penalties in connection with the investigation of their South Texas Health System affiliates. Even if UHS were to ultimately prevail, the government's inquiry and/or action in connection with this matter could have a material adverse effect on UHS's future operating results and on the future operating results of McAllen Medical Center. While the base rentals are guaranteed by UHS through the end of the existing lease term, should this matter adversely impact the future revenues and/or operating results of McAllen Medical Center, the future bonus rental earned by us on this facility may be materially, adversely impacted. Bonus rental revenue earned by us from McAllen Medical Center amounted to \$1.7 million during 2007 and \$1.9 million during 2006. We can provide no assurance that this matter will not have a material adverse impact on underlying value of McAllen Medical Center or on the future base rental earned on this facility should the existing lease not be renewed pursuant to its current lease rates after its December, 2011 scheduled expiration of the existing lease term.

Officers and Employees: Our officers are all employees of UHS and, although as of December 31, 2007 we had no salaried employees, our officers do receive stock-based compensation from time-to-time.

Share Ownership: As of December 31, 2007, UHS owned 6.7% of our outstanding shares of beneficial interest.

Review, Approval and Ratification of Related Party Transactions

Pursuant to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, all employees, officers and Trustees of the Trust, including family members and entities in which such persons have an interest (except any other publicly traded company in which such persons have less than a 5% interest) should avoid any relationship or financial interest which gives rise to an actual or potential conflict of interest between us and the employee, officer or Trustee. If an employee, officer or Trustee becomes aware of an actual or potential conflict of interest, he or she should promptly bring it to the attention of, and disclose all material facts to, one or more of a supervisor, a member of our legal staff, or the Chairperson of the Nominating & Governance Committee of the Board.

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of our Declaration of Trust, we may not engage in a transaction with any employee, officer, agent or Trustee of the Trust or with any employee, officer, agent or director of the Advisor, or any affiliate thereof except to the extent that such transaction has been approved or ratified by a majority of the Trustees who do not have an interest in the transaction. We may not engage in a transaction with our Advisor or any affiliate thereof (such as UHS) except to the extent that such transaction has been approved or ratified by a majority of the Independent Trustees. In approving or rejecting the proposed agreement, the Trustees will consider the relevant facts and circumstances available and deemed relevant, including but not limited to, the risks, costs, and benefits to us, the terms of the transactions, the availability of other sources for comparable services or products, and, if applicable, the impact on Trustee independence. In general, the Trustees shall only approve those agreements that, in light of known circumstances, are in our best interests and that are fair and reasonable to us and our shareholders. For certain transactions with related persons, our Declaration of Trust requires that, based on an independent real estate appraiser, the total consideration is not in excess of the appraised value of the interest in the real property being acquired or disposed of, as applicable. If a transaction involves payments by us for services rendered (other than as Advisor, officer or Trustee), our Declaration of Trust requires that the payments made by us may not be in excess of payments made by third-parties to the related person for comparable services in the same geographic area and may not be in excess of fees charged by parties unrelated to us for comparable services in the same geographic area.

All of our transactions with UHS and our Advisor were approved by a majority of our Independent Trustees.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Board of Trustees is committed to the accuracy and integrity of its financial reporting. The Audit Committee takes an involved and active role in delivering on this commitment.

The Audit Committee provides independent, objective oversight of our accounting functions and internal controls.

The Audit Committee reviews and evaluates, and discusses and consults with our management and the internal audit personnel and the independent registered public accounting firm about the following:

the plan for, and the independent auditors' report on, each audit of our financial statements and internal controls;

changes in our accounting practices, principles, controls or methodologies, or in our financial statements;

significant developments in accounting rules;

the adequacy of our internal accounting controls, and accounting, financial and auditing personnel; and

the establishment and maintenance of a work environment that promotes ethical behavior.

The Audit Committee acts under a written charter which was originally adopted by the Board of Trustees in 2004 and is reviewed and approved on an annual basis. The Audit Committee reviews, acts on and reports to the Board of Trustees with respect to various auditing, accounting, financial reporting, internal control and regulatory compliance matters. In discharging its oversight role, the Audit Committee may engage independent counsel and other advisers as it determines necessary. In accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee also has the direct responsibility to select, evaluate, determine the compensation of, oversee, and where appropriate, replace our independent auditors, and has the authority to resolve disagreements between management and our auditors. The Audit Committee may establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Trust regarding accounting and auditing matters, as well as confidential, anonymous submission by employees. The Board of Trustees has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent within the meaning of the rules of the NYSE and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Trustees that the financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Audit Committee took a number of steps in making this recommendation for 2007:

First, the Audit Committee discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their audits.

Second, the Audit Committee met with the independent auditors, without management present, to discuss the results of their audits, their evaluations of our internal controls and the overall quality of our financial reporting.

Third, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K with management, including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

Fourth, the Audit Committee reviewed with the independent auditors their judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of our accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

Fifth, the Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors the auditors' independence from management and the Trust, including the matters in the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board, and considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the auditors' independence.

Finally, the Audit Committee obtained and reviewed a report from the independent auditor describing: (i) the independent auditor's internal quality-control procedures; (ii) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the independent auditor, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities within the preceding five years inspecting one or more independent audits carried out by the independent auditor, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues; and (iii) all relationships between the independent auditor and the Trust.

The Audit Committee reviewed our financial statements with the Board of Trustees and discussed them with KPMG LLP during the 2007 fiscal year, along with the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61. The Audit Committee received from KPMG LLP the written disclosures, including the letter, required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 and discussed with KPMG LLP its independence. Based on these discussions with KPMG LLP and management and the financial statement review, and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Trustees that the audited financial statements be included in our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Myles H. Tanenbaum, Chairman
 James E. Dalton, Jr.
 Elliot J. Sussman, M.D.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

KPMG LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2007 and 2006 fiscal years and has been selected to serve in that capacity us for the 2008 fiscal year. It is anticipated that representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement, if they desire to do so, and to respond to any appropriate inquiries of the shareholders or their representatives.

Set forth below are the fees paid or accrued for the services of KPMG LLP during 2007 and 2006:

	2007	2006
Audit fees	\$ 369,000	\$ 347,000
Audit-related fees		
Tax fees	178,800	160,400
All other fees		
Total	\$ 547,800	\$ 507,400

Audit fees for 2007 and 2006 consist primarily of professional services rendered to us or various audits of limited liability companies in which we hold equity interests. Such audit services include audits of financial statements, audit of our annual management assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting in 2007 and 2006 (as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), reviews of our quarterly financial statements, and audit services provided in connection with regulatory filings.

Tax fees for professional services rendered in 2007 and 2006 consisted primarily of the preparation of federal and state income tax returns and consultation on various tax matters related to us or limited liability companies in which we hold equity interests.

The Audit Committee has considered and determined that the provision of non-audit services by our principal auditor is compatible with maintaining auditor independence.

All audit and permissible non-audit services provided to us by the independent auditors are pre-approved by the Audit Committee, which considers whether the proposed services would impair the independence of the

independent auditors. The Chairperson of the Audit Committee may pre-approve audit and permissible non-audit services during the time between audit committee meetings if the fees for the proposed services are less than \$25,000.

YOU ARE URGED TO VOTE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE OR VOTE YOUR PROXY BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET AT YOUR EARLIEST CONVENIENCE, WHETHER OR NOT YOU CURRENTLY PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING IN PERSON.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Cheryl K. Ramagano
Secretary

King of Prussia, Pennsylvania

April 25, 2008

Ú IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. Ú

Proxy Universal Health Realty Income Trust

This Proxy is Solicited By The Board of Trustees For The Annual Meeting of Shareholders

To Be Held on June 5, 2008

Alan B. Miller and Cheryl K. Ramagano, and each of them, as the true and lawful attorneys, agents and proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution, are hereby authorized to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side, all shares of Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the Trust) held of record by the undersigned on April 10, 2008 at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 10:00 a.m., on Thursday, June 5, 2008 at the offices of the Trust at Universal Corporate Center, 367 South Gulph Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania and at any adjournment thereof. Any and all proxies heretofore given are hereby revoked.

WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DESIGNATED. IF NO CHOICE IS SPECIFIED, THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR TRUSTEE.

(This proxy is continued on reverse side)

PLEASE SIGN ON REVERSE SIDE AND RETURN PROMPTLY

MR A SAMPLE DESIGNATION (IF ANY) ADD 1 ADD 2 ADD 3 ADD 4 ADD 5 ADD 6	000004	000000000.000000 ext 000000000.000000 ext 000000000.000000 ext	000000000.000000 ext 000000000.000000 ext 000000000.000000 ext
--	--------	--	--

Electronic Voting Instructions

You can vote by Internet or telephone!

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the two voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Central Time, on June 5, 2008.

Vote by Internet

Log on to the Internet and go to
www.investorvote.com/UHT

Follow the steps outlined on the secured website.

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the United States, Canada & Puerto Rico any time on a touch tone telephone. There is **NO CHARGE** to you for the call.

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message.

