

WAL MART STORES INC  
Form 10-Q  
September 09, 2009

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.**  
For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2009.

or

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number 1-6991

**WAL-MART STORES, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**71-0415188**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**702 S.W. 8th Street**

**Bentonville, Arkansas**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**72716**  
(Zip Code)

**(479) 273-4000**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

**Not applicable**  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check One:

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Applicable Only to Corporate Issuers

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Stock, \$.10 Par Value 3,856,826,597 shares as of September 4, 2009.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## WAL-MART STORES, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(Amounts in millions except per share data)

	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Net sales	\$ 100,082	\$ 101,546	\$ 193,553	\$ 195,588
Membership and other income	828	796	1,599	1,694
	100,910	102,342	195,152	197,282
<b>Costs and expenses:</b>				
Cost of sales	75,153	77,118	145,541	148,490
Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses	19,875	19,411	38,512	37,662
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>5,813</b>	<b>11,099</b>	<b>11,130</b>
<b>Interest:</b>				
Debt	447	450	895	938
Capital leases	68	77	138	149
Interest income	(42)	(71)	(93)	(135)
Interest, net	473	456	940	952
<b>Income from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>5,409</b>	<b>5,357</b>	<b>10,159</b>	<b>10,178</b>
<b>Provision for income taxes</b>	<b>1,853</b>	<b>1,826</b>	<b>3,456</b>	<b>3,496</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations</b>	<b>3,556</b>	<b>3,531</b>	<b>6,703</b>	<b>6,682</b>
<b>(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax</b>	<b>(7)</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>(15)</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Consolidated net income</b>	<b>3,549</b>	<b>3,579</b>	<b>6,688</b>	<b>6,723</b>
<b>Less consolidated net income attributable to noncontrolling interest</b>	<b>(107)</b>	<b>(130)</b>	<b>(224)</b>	<b>(252)</b>
<b>Consolidated net income attributable to Walmart</b>	<b>\$ 3,442</b>	<b>\$ 3,449</b>	<b>\$ 6,464</b>	<b>\$ 6,471</b>
<b>Basic net income per common share:</b>				
Basic income per share from continuing operations attributable to Walmart	\$ 0.89	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.63
Basic (loss) income per share from discontinued operations attributable to Walmart	(0.01)	0.01		0.01
<b>Basic net income per share attributable to Walmart</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>\$ 0.87</b>	<b>\$ 1.66</b>	<b>\$ 1.64</b>

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Diluted net income per common share:</b>				
Diluted income per share from continuing operations attributable to Walmart	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.62
Diluted income per share from discontinued operations attributable to Walmart		0.01		0.01
<b>Diluted net income per share attributable to Walmart</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>\$ 0.87</b>	<b>\$ 1.65</b>	<b>\$ 1.63</b>
<b>Weighted-average number of common shares:</b>				
Basic	3,891	3,945	3,905	3,951
Diluted	3,900	3,958	3,915	3,962
<b>Dividends declared per common share</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1.09</b>	<b>\$ 0.95</b>

## WAL-MART STORES, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(Amounts in millions)

	July 31, 2009	July 31, 2008	January 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>Current assets:</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,997	\$ 6,903	\$ 7,275
Receivables	3,684	3,221	3,905
Inventories	33,861	35,365	34,511
Prepaid expenses and other	3,336	3,311	3,063
Current assets of discontinued operations	147	974	195
Total current assets	49,025	49,774	48,949
<b>Property and equipment, at cost:</b>			
Property and equipment, at cost	133,070	126,289	125,820
Less accumulated depreciation	(35,707)	(31,335)	(32,964)
Property and equipment, net	97,363	94,954	92,856
<b>Property under capital lease:</b>			
Property under capital lease	5,583	5,740	5,341
Less accumulated amortization	(2,759)	(2,645)	(2,544)
Property under capital lease, net	2,824	3,095	2,797
Goodwill	16,149	16,400	15,260
Other assets and deferred charges	3,581	2,672	3,567
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 168,942</b>	<b>\$ 166,895</b>	<b>\$ 163,429</b>

## LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

<b>Current liabilities:</b>			
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	\$ 1,122	\$ 4,347	\$ 1,506
Accounts payable	28,797	29,912	28,849
Dividends payable	2,073	1,927	
Accrued liabilities	16,706	15,607	18,112
Accrued income taxes	1,162	555	677
Long-term debt due within one year	6,959	2,180	5,848
Obligations under capital leases due within one year	336	324	315
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	41	77	83
Total current liabilities	57,196	54,929	55,390
Long-term debt	33,579	34,168	31,349
Long-term obligations under capital leases	3,246	3,544	3,200
Deferred income taxes and other	5,773	5,386	6,014
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	326		397
Commitments and contingencies			

**Equity:**

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock and capital in excess of par value	4,173	3,986	4,313
Retained earnings	63,153	57,883	63,660
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(318)	4,924	(2,688)
Total Walmart shareholders' equity	67,008	66,793	65,285
Noncontrolling interest	1,814	2,075	1,794
Total equity	68,822	68,868	67,079
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 168,942</b>	<b>\$ 166,895</b>	<b>\$ 163,429</b>

## WAL-MART STORES, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(Amounts in millions)

	Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Consolidated net income	\$ 6,688	\$ 6,723
Loss (gain) from discontinued operations, net of tax	15	(41)
Income from continuing operations	6,703	6,682
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	3,457	3,366
Other	(246)	219
Changes in certain assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Decrease in accounts receivable	575	578
Decrease in inventories	1,394	95
Decrease in accounts payable	(1,131)	(150)
Decrease in accrued liabilities	(857)	(626)
Net cash provided by operating activities	9,895	10,164
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Payments for property and equipment	(5,744)	(5,074)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	172	492
Investment in international operations, net of cash acquired		(74)
Other investing activities	(176)	129
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,748)	(4,527)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Decrease in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, net	(654)	(639)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	2,956	4,648
Payment of long-term debt	(95)	(4,061)
Dividends paid	(2,129)	(1,878)
Purchase of Company stock	(2,792)	(2,184)
Purchase of redeemable noncontrolling interest	(456)	
Other financing activities	(264)	(266)
Net cash used in financing activities	(3,434)	(4,380)
Effect of exchange rates on cash	9	115
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	722	1,372
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year (1)	7,275	5,569
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period (2)	\$ 7,997	\$ 6,941

(1) Includes cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations of \$77 million at January 31, 2008.

(2) Includes cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations of \$38 million at July 31, 2008.





## WAL-MART STORES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Walmart, the Company or we ) as of July 31, 2009 and 2008, the related Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three- and six-month periods ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six-month periods ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, are unaudited. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of January 31, 2009, is derived from the Company's audited Consolidated Balance Sheet at that date.

The Company's operations in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, China, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, India, Japan, Mexico, Nicaragua and the United Kingdom are consolidated using a December 31 fiscal year end, generally due to statutory reporting requirements. The Company's operations in Canada and Puerto Rico are consolidated using a January 31 fiscal year end.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been included. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ) and do not contain certain information included in the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009. Therefore, the interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with that Annual Report to Shareholders.

In connection with the Company's finance transformation project, we reviewed and adjusted the classification of certain revenue and expense items within our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for financial reporting purposes. The reclassifications did not impact operating income or consolidated net income attributable to Walmart. The changes were effective February 1, 2009 and have been reflected in all prior periods presented.

2 Net Income Per Common Share

Basic net income per common share attributable to Walmart is based on the weighted-average number of outstanding common shares. Diluted net income per common share attributable to Walmart is based on the weighted-average number of outstanding shares adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock options and other share-based awards. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and other share-based awards was 9 million and 10 million shares for the three and six months ended July 31, 2009, respectively; and 13 million and 11 million shares for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively. The Company had approximately 29 million and 1 million stock options outstanding at July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which were not included in the diluted net income per share calculation because their effect would be antidilutive.

For purposes of determining net income per common share attributable to Walmart, income from continuing operations attributable to Walmart and the (loss) gain from discontinued operations, net of tax, are as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Income from continuing operations attributable to Walmart	\$ 3,449	\$ 3,401	\$ 6,479	\$ 6,430
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(7)	48	(15)	41
Consolidated net income attributable to Walmart	\$ 3,442	\$ 3,449	\$ 6,464	\$ 6,471

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Staff Position EITF 03-06-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities ( FSP EITF 03-06-1 ). FSP EITF 03-06-1 provides that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method in Statement of Financial Account Standards ( SFAS ) No. 128, Earnings per Share . The Company adopted FSP EITF 03-06-1 on February 1, 2009. The adoption did not have, and is not expected to have, a material impact on basic or diluted earnings per share.



### 3 Inventories

The Company values inventories at the lower of cost or market as determined primarily by the retail method of accounting, using the last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) method for substantially all of the Walmart U.S. segment s merchandise inventories. The Sam s Club segment s merchandise and merchandise in our distribution warehouses are valued based on the weighted-average cost using the LIFO method. Inventories of foreign operations are primarily valued by the retail method of accounting, using the first-in, first-out ( FIFO ) method. At July 31, 2009 and 2008, our inventories valued at LIFO approximate those inventories as if they were valued at FIFO.

### 4 Long-Term Debt

On March 27, 2009, the Company issued and sold £1.0 billion of 5.625% Notes Due 2034 at an issue price equal to 98.981% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on March 27, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on March 27 and September 27 of each year, commencing on September 27, 2009. The notes will mature on March 27, 2034. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

On May 21, 2009, the Company issued and sold \$1.0 billion of 3.20% Notes Due 2014 at an issue price equal to 99.987% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on May 21, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on May 15 and November 15 of each year, commencing on November 15, 2009. The notes will mature on May 15, 2014. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

On July 27, 2009, the Company issued and sold \$500 million of 6.200% Notes Due 2038 at an issue price equal to 106.001% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on April 15, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2009. The notes will mature on April 15, 2038. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

### 5 Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS 157 ). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value within generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) and expands required disclosures about fair value measurements. In November 2007, the FASB provided a one year deferral for the implementation of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on February 1, 2008, as required. The adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition and results of operations. Effective February 1, 2009, the Company adopted SFAS 157 for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities, and it did not have a material impact to its financial condition or results of operations.

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

SFAS 157 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. As of July 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company held certain derivative asset and liability positions that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The majority of the Company's derivative instruments related to interest rate swaps. The fair values of these interest rate swaps have been measured in accordance with Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy, and as of July 31, 2009 and 2008, are as follows (asset/(liability)):

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	July 31, 2009		July 31, 2008	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Receive fixed-rate, pay floating-rate interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges	\$ 5,195	\$ 261	\$ 5,195	\$ 209
Receive fixed-rate, pay fixed-rate cross-currency interest rate swaps designated as net investment hedges	1,250	212	1,250	(137)
Receive floating-rate, pay fixed-rate interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges	461	(17)		
Receive fixed-rate, pay fixed-rate cross-currency interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges	1,445	231		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 8,351</b>	<b>\$ 687</b>	<b>\$ 6,445</b>	<b>\$ 72</b>

The fair values above are the estimated amounts the Company would receive or pay to terminate the agreements relating to such instruments as of the reporting dates.

On April 1, 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1). SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 require disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments in both annual and interim financial statements. The Company adopted SFAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 and its adoption did not have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We determine the fair values for the following assets and liabilities accordingly:

*Cash and cash equivalents:* The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

*Long-term debt:* The fair value is based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar types of borrowing arrangements or, where applicable, quoted market prices. The cost and fair value of our debt as of July 31, 2009 is as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Cost	Fair Value
Long-term debt	\$ 40,538	\$ 42,207

### 6 Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments for hedging and non-trading purposes to manage its exposure to changes in interest and foreign exchange rates, as well as to maintain an appropriate mix of fixed- and floating-rate debt. Use of derivative financial instruments in hedging programs subjects the Company to certain risks, such as market and credit risks. Market risk represents the possibility that the value of the derivative instrument will change. In a hedging relationship, the change in the value of the derivative is offset to a great extent by the change in the value of the underlying hedged item. Credit risk related to derivatives represents the possibility that the counterparty will not fulfill the terms of the contract. The notional, or contractual, amount of the Company's derivative financial instruments is used to measure interest to be paid or received and does not represent the Company's exposure due to credit risk. Credit risk is monitored through established approval procedures, including setting concentration limits by counterparty, reviewing credit ratings and requiring collateral (generally cash) from the counterparty when appropriate.

The Company's transactions are with counterparties rated A+ or better by nationally recognized credit rating agencies. In connection with various derivative agreements with counterparties, the Company is holding \$184 million in cash collateral from these counterparties at July 31, 2009. It is our policy to record cash collateral exclusive of any derivative asset, and any collateral holdings are reflected in our accrued liabilities as amounts due to the counterparties. Furthermore, as part of the master netting arrangements with these counterparties, the Company is also required to post collateral if the derivative liability position exceeds \$150 million. The Company has no outstanding collateral postings and in

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

the event of providing cash collateral, the Company would record the posting as a receivable exclusive of any derivative liability.

The Company uses derivative financial instruments for purposes other than trading to manage its exposure to interest and foreign exchange rates, as well as to maintain an appropriate mix of fixed and floating-rate debt. Contract terms of a hedge instrument closely mirror those of the hedged item, providing a high degree of risk reduction and correlation. Contracts that are effective at meeting the risk reduction and correlation criteria are recorded using hedge accounting. If a derivative instrument is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the instrument will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments through earnings or be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of an instrument's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. Instruments that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting, or contracts for which the Company has not elected hedge accounting, are valued at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported in earnings during the period of change.

**Fair Value Instruments**

The Company is party to receive fixed-rate, pay floating-rate interest rate swaps to hedge the fair value of fixed-rate debt. Under certain swap agreements, the Company pays floating-rate interest and receives fixed-rate interest payments periodically over the life of the instruments. The notional amounts are used to measure interest to be paid or received and do not represent the exposure due to credit loss. The Company's interest rate swaps that receive fixed-interest rate payments and pay floating-interest rate payments are designated as fair value hedges. As the specific terms and notional amounts of the derivative instruments match those of the instruments being hedged, the derivative instruments were assumed to be perfectly effective hedges and all changes in fair value of the hedges were recorded on the balance sheet with no net impact on the income statement. These fair value instruments will mature on August 10, 2010, May 1, 2013 and June 1, 2013.

**Net Investment Instruments**

At July 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company is party to cross-currency interest rate swaps that hedge its net investment in the United Kingdom. The agreements are contracts to exchange fixed-rate payments in one currency for fixed-rate payments in another currency. All changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, offsetting the foreign currency translation adjustment that is also recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

The Company has approximately £3.0 billion of outstanding debt that is designated as a hedge of the Company's net investment in the United Kingdom as of July 31, 2009 and 2008. The Company also has outstanding approximately ¥437.4 billion and ¥142.1 billion of debt that is designated as a hedge of the Company's net investment in Japan at July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Any translation of foreign-denominated debt is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, offsetting the foreign currency translation adjustment that is also recorded in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

**Cash Flow Instruments**

The Company is party to receive floating-rate, pay fixed-rate interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain foreign-denominated debt. The swaps are designated as cash flow hedges of interest expense risk. Changes in the foreign benchmark interest rate result in reclassification of amounts from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to earnings to offset the floating-rate interest expense. These cash flow instruments will mature on August 5, 2013.

The Company is also party to receive fixed-rate, pay fixed-rate cross-currency interest rate swaps to hedge the currency exposure associated with the forecasted payments of principal and interest of foreign-denominated debt. The swaps are designated as cash flow hedges of the currency risk related to payments on the foreign-denominated debt. Changes in the currency exchange rate result in reclassification of amounts from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to earnings to offset the re-measurement (loss) gain on the foreign-denominated debt. These cash flow instruments will mature on March 27, 2034.

**Financial Statement Presentation**

Hedging instruments with an unrealized gain are recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets in prepaid expenses and other or other assets and deferred charges, based on maturity date. Those instruments with an unrealized loss are recorded in accrued liabilities or deferred income taxes and other, based on maturity date.

As of July 31, 2009, our financial instruments were classified as follows in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	July 31, 2009		
	Fair Value Instruments	Net Investment Hedge	Cash Flow Instruments
<b>Balance Sheet Classification:</b>			
Prepaid expenses and other	\$ 60	\$	\$
Other assets and deferred charges	201	212	231
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 261</b>	<b>\$ 212</b>	<b>\$ 231</b>
Long-term debt due within one year	\$ 60	\$	\$
Long-term debt	201		

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Deferred income taxes and other				17
<b>Total liabilities</b>	\$ 261	\$	\$	17



## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

During the first half of fiscal 2010, we reclassified \$227 million from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to earnings to offset currency translation losses on the re-measurement of foreign denominated debt.

### 7 Segments

The Company is engaged in the operations of retail stores located in all 50 states of the United States, our wholly-owned subsidiaries in Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Japan, Puerto Rico and the United Kingdom, our majority-owned subsidiaries in Central America, Chile and Mexico and our joint ventures in China and India and our other controlled subsidiaries in China. The Company identifies segments in accordance with the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". As a result, we define our segments as those business units whose operating results our chief operating decision maker regularly reviews to analyze performance and allocate resources.

The Walmart U.S. segment includes the Company's mass merchant concept in the United States under the Walmart or Wal-Mart brand, as well as walmart.com. The Sam's Club segment includes the warehouse membership clubs in the United States, as well as samsclub.com. The International segment consists of the Company's operations outside of the 50 United States. The amounts under the caption "Other" in the table below relating to operating income are unallocated corporate overhead items.

The Company measures the profit of its segments as segment operating income, which is defined as operating income for each operating segment and excludes unallocated corporate overhead. From time to time, we revise the allocation of corporate overhead and the measurement of each segment's operating income as changes in business needs dictate. When we do, we restate all periods presented for comparative purposes.

Net sales by operating segment were as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Net Sales:</b>				
Walmart U.S.	\$ 64,209	\$ 63,989	\$ 125,453	\$ 122,980
International	23,965	25,257	45,228	49,184
Sam's Club	11,908	12,300	22,872	23,424
<b>Total Company</b>	<b>\$ 100,082</b>	<b>\$ 101,546</b>	<b>\$ 193,553</b>	<b>\$ 195,588</b>

Segment operating income was as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Operating Income:</b>				
Walmart U.S.	\$ 4,901	\$ 4,667	\$ 9,365	\$ 8,987
International	1,143	1,218	2,023	2,268
Sam's Club	419	441	812	834
Other	(581)	(513)	(1,101)	(959)
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 5,882</b>	<b>\$ 5,813</b>	<b>\$ 11,099</b>	<b>\$ 11,130</b>
<b>Interest expense, net</b>	<b>(473)</b>	<b>(456)</b>	<b>(940)</b>	<b>(952)</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations before income taxes</b>	<b>\$ 5,409</b>	<b>\$ 5,357</b>	<b>\$ 10,159</b>	<b>\$ 10,178</b>

Goodwill is recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for the operating segments as follows:

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	<b>July 31, 2009</b>	<b>July 31, 2008</b>	<b>January 31, 2009</b>
International	\$ 15,844	\$ 16,095	\$ 14,955
Sam's Club	305	305	305
<b>Total goodwill</b>	<b>\$ 16,149</b>	<b>\$ 16,400</b>	<b>\$ 15,260</b>

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

The increase in the International segment's goodwill since January 31, 2009, primarily resulted from currency exchange rate fluctuations.

### 8 Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is consolidated net income plus certain other items that are recorded directly to total equity. Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income for the Company's derivative instruments and minimum pension liabilities are recorded net of the related income tax effects. Comprehensive income was \$6.6 billion and \$4.2 billion for the three months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Of the comprehensive income recognized for the three months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately \$100 million and \$1 million, respectively, related to the noncontrolling interest. Comprehensive income was \$9.0 billion and \$7.9 billion for the six months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, of which approximately \$200 million related to the noncontrolling interest in each period. The following table provides further detail regarding changes in the composition of accumulated other comprehensive loss through the first six months of fiscal 2010:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	<b>Currency Translation</b>	<b>Derivative Instruments</b>	<b>Minimum Pension Liability</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance at January 31, 2009	\$ (2,396)	\$ (17)	\$ (275)	\$ (2,688)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	2,487			2,487
Change in fair value of hedge instruments		(117)		(117)
Balance at July 31, 2009	\$ 91	\$ (134)	\$ (275)	\$ (318)

The currency translation amount includes a net translation gain of \$613 million and \$1.2 billion at July 31, 2009 and January 31, 2009, respectively, related to net investment hedges of our operations in the United Kingdom and Japan.

### 9 Common Stock Dividends

On March 5, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved an increase in the annual dividend for fiscal 2010 to \$1.09 per share, an increase of 15% over the dividends paid in fiscal 2009. The annual dividend is payable in four quarterly installments on April 6, 2009, June 1, 2009, September 8, 2009, and January 4, 2010 to holders of record on March 13, May 15, August 14 and December 11, 2009, respectively. The dividend installments payable on April 6, 2009 and June 1, 2009 were paid as scheduled.

### 10 Income and Other Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate was 34.3% for the three months ended July 31, 2009, compared to 34.2% for fiscal 2009. The Company expects the fiscal 2010 annual effective tax rate to be approximately 34-35%. Significant factors that could impact the annual effective tax rate include management's assessment of certain tax matters and the composition of taxable income between domestic and international operations.

In determining the quarterly provision for income taxes, the Company uses an estimated annual effective tax rate based on forecasted annual income and permanent items, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates. The impact of significant discrete items is separately recognized in the quarter in which they occur.

In the normal course of its business the Company provides for uncertain tax positions, and the related interest and penalties, and adjusts its unrecognized tax benefits, accrued interest and penalties accordingly. During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, unrecognized tax benefits related to continuing operations and accrued interest decreased by \$32 million and \$4 million respectively, and accrued penalties increased by \$10 million. For the first six months of fiscal 2010, unrecognized tax benefits related to continuing operations decreased by \$83 million and accrued interest and penalties increased by \$19 million and \$10 million respectively. As of July 31, 2009 the Company's unrecognized tax benefits relating to continuing operations were \$934 million, of which \$535 million would, if recognized, affect the Company's effective tax rate.

During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that tax audit resolutions could reduce unrecognized tax benefits by \$330 million to \$410 million, either because our tax positions are sustained on audit or because the Company agrees to their disallowance. The Company does not expect any such audit resolutions to cause a significant change in its effective tax rate.

Additionally, at January 31, 2008 the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of up to \$1.8 billion which, if recognized, would be recorded as discontinued operations. Of this, \$63 million was recognized in discontinued operations during the second quarter of fiscal year 2009 following the resolution of a gain determination on a discontinued operation that was sold in fiscal year 2004. The balance of \$1.7 billion at July 31, 2009 relates to a worthless stock deduction which the Company has claimed for the its fiscal year 2007 disposition of its German operations. The

Company believes it is reasonably possible this matter will be resolved within the next twelve months.

The Company classifies interest on uncertain tax benefits as interest expense and income tax penalties as operating, selling, general and administrative costs. At July 31, 2009, before any tax benefits, the Company had \$291 million of accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company is subject to income tax examinations for its U.S. federal income taxes generally for the fiscal year 2008, with fiscal years 2004 through 2008 remaining open for a limited number of U.S. income tax issues. Non-U.S. income taxes are subject to income tax examination for the tax years 2002 through 2009, and U.S. state and local income taxes are open for the fiscal years 2004 through 2008 generally and for the fiscal years 1997 through 2003 for a limited number of issues.

Additionally, the Company is subject to tax examinations for payroll, value added, sales-based and other taxes. A number of these examinations are ongoing and, in certain cases, have resulted in assessments from the taxing authorities. Where appropriate, the Company has made accruals for these matters which are reflected in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. While these matters are individually immaterial, a group of related matters, if decided adversely to the Company, may result in liability material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

#### 11 Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in a number of legal proceedings. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, the Company has made accruals with respect to these matters, where appropriate, which are reflected in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company may enter into discussions regarding settlement of these matters, and may enter into settlement agreements, if it believes settlement is in the best interest of the Company's shareholders. The matters, or groups of related matters, discussed below, if decided adversely to or settled by the Company, individually or in the aggregate, may result in liability material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

**Wage-and-Hour Class Actions:** The Company is a defendant in numerous cases containing class-action allegations in which the plaintiffs are current and former hourly associates who allege that the Company forced or encouraged them to work off the clock, failed to provide rest breaks or meal periods, or otherwise failed to pay them correctly. The complaints generally seek unspecified monetary damages, injunctive relief, or both. The Company cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may arise from these lawsuits, except where the lawsuit has been settled or otherwise as noted below.

On December 23, 2008, the Company and the attorneys for the plaintiffs in 63 of the wage-and-hour class actions described above announced that they had entered into a series of settlement agreements in connection with those matters. Each of the settlements is subject to approval by the court in which the matter is pending. The total amount to be paid by the Company under the settlement agreements will depend on whether such approvals are granted, as well as on the number and amount of claims that are submitted by class members in each matter. If all of the agreements are approved by the courts, the total to be paid by the Company under the settlement agreements will be at least \$352 million, but no more than \$640 million, depending on the number and amount of claims. The Company may also incur additional administrative expenses and other costs in the process of concluding the settlements.

One of the remaining wage-and-hour lawsuits is *Savaglio v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, a class-action lawsuit in which the plaintiffs allege that they were not provided meal and rest breaks in accordance with California law, and seek monetary damages and injunctive relief. On July 13, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to settle this matter, which is subject to approval by the court. The total amount to be paid by the Company will depend on whether such approval is granted, as well as on the number and amount of claims that are submitted by class members. If the court approves the settlement, the Company will pay at least \$77 million but no more than \$152 million, depending on the number and amount of claims. The Company may also incur additional administrative expenses and other costs in the process of concluding the settlement.

In another of the remaining wage-and-hour lawsuits, *Braun/Hummel v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, a trial was commenced in September 2006, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The plaintiffs allege that the Company failed to pay class members for all hours worked and prevented class members from taking their full meal and rest breaks. On October 13, 2006, the jury awarded back-pay damages to the plaintiffs of approximately \$78 million on their claims for off-the-clock work and missed rest breaks. The jury found in favor of the Company on the plaintiffs' meal-period claims. On November 14, 2007, the trial judge entered a final judgment in the approximate amount of \$188 million, which included the jury's back-pay award plus statutory penalties, prejudgment interest and attorneys' fees. The Company believes it has substantial factual and legal defenses to the claims at issue, and on December 7, 2007, the Company filed its Notice of Appeal.

In another wage-and-hour lawsuit, *Braun v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, the Company agreed in October 2008 to settle the case. On June 1, 2009, the trial court entered an Order granting final approval of the settlement. On August 11, 2009, the Company paid \$46 million to settle this matter, part of which was paid to the State of Minnesota and part to the class members and their counsel.

Another of the class-action lawsuits described above, *Salvas v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, is scheduled for jury trial beginning on October 5, 2009, in the Superior Court of Middlesex County, Massachusetts. The plaintiffs allege that class members worked off the clock and were not provided meal and rest breaks in accordance with Massachusetts law, and seek compensatory damages in the amount of \$30 million, plus statutory treble damages, interest, costs of court and attorneys' fees. The trial court granted class certification in December 2004, then decertified the class in November 2006. In September 2008, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court reversed the decertification and remanded the case for trial. The Company believes that it has substantial factual and legal defenses to the claims at issue. The Company cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may arise from this litigation.

**Exempt Status Cases:** The Company is currently a defendant in four cases in which the plaintiffs seek class certification of various groups of salaried managers and challenge their exempt status under state and federal laws. In one of those cases (*Sepulveda v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*), class certification was denied by the trial court on May 5, 2006. On April 25, 2008, a three-judge panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed the trial court's ruling in part and reversed it in part, and remanded the case for further proceedings. On May 16, 2008, the Company filed a petition seeking review of that ruling by a larger panel of the court. On October 10, 2008, the court entered an Order staying all proceedings in the *Sepulveda* appeal pending the final disposition of the appeal in *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, discussed below. Class certification has not been addressed in the other cases. The Company cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may arise from these lawsuits.

**Gender Discrimination Cases:** The Company is a defendant in *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, a class-action lawsuit commenced in June 2001 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The case was brought on behalf of all past and present female employees in all of the Company's retail stores and warehouse clubs in the United States. The complaint alleges that the Company has engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminating against women in promotions, pay, training and job assignments. The complaint seeks, among other things, injunctive relief, front pay, back pay, punitive damages and attorneys' fees. On June 21, 2004, the district court issued an order granting in part and denying in part the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The class, which was certified by the district court for purposes of liability, injunctive and declaratory relief, punitive damages and lost pay, subject to certain exceptions, includes all women employed at any Walmart domestic retail store at any time since December 26, 1998, who have been or may be subjected to the pay and management track promotions policies and practices challenged by the plaintiffs.

The Company believes that the district court's ruling is incorrect. On August 31, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit granted the Company's petition for discretionary review of the ruling. On February 6, 2007, a divided three-judge panel of the court of appeals issued a decision affirming the district court's certification order. On February 20, 2007, the Company filed a petition asking that the decision be reconsidered by a larger panel of the court. On December 11, 2007, the three-judge panel withdrew its opinion of February 6, 2007, and issued a revised opinion. As a result, the Company's Petition for Rehearing En Banc was denied as moot. The Company filed a new Petition for Rehearing En Banc on January 8, 2008. On February 13, 2009, the court of appeals issued an Order granting the Petition. The court heard oral argument on the Petition on March 24, 2009. If the Company is not successful in its appeal of class certification, or an appellate court issues a ruling that allows for the certification of a class or classes with a different size or scope, and if there is a subsequent adverse verdict on the merits from which there is no successful appeal, or in the event of a negotiated settlement of the litigation, the resulting liability could be material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations. The plaintiffs also seek punitive damages which, if awarded, could result in the payment of additional amounts material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations. However, because of the uncertainty of the outcome of the appeal from the district court's certification decision, because of the uncertainty of the balance of the proceedings contemplated by the district court, and because the Company's liability, if any, arising from the litigation, including the size of any damages award if plaintiffs are successful in the litigation or any negotiated settlement, could vary widely, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss that may arise from the litigation.

The Company is a defendant in a lawsuit that was filed by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) on August 24, 2001, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky on behalf of Janice Smith and all other females who made application or transfer requests at the London, Kentucky, distribution center from 1998 to the present, and who were not hired or transferred into the warehouse positions for which they applied. The complaint alleges that the Company based hiring decisions on gender in violation of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act as amended. The EEOC can maintain this action as a class without certification. The EEOC seeks back pay and front pay for those females not selected for hire or transfer during the relevant time period, plus compensatory and punitive damages and injunctive relief. The EEOC has asserted that the hiring practices in question resulted in a shortfall of 245 positions. The claims for compensatory and punitive damages are capped by statute at \$300,000 per shortfall position. The amounts of back pay and front pay that are being sought have not been specified. The case has been set for trial on March 1, 2010.



**Hazardous Materials Investigations:** On November 8, 2005, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California, seeking documents and information relating to the Company's receipt, transportation, handling, identification, recycling, treatment, storage and disposal of certain merchandise that constitutes hazardous materials or hazardous waste. The Company has been informed by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California that it is a target of a criminal investigation into potential violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ( RCRA ), the Clean Water Act and the Hazardous Materials Transportation Statute. This U.S. Attorney's Office contends, among other things, that the use of Company trucks to transport certain returned merchandise from the Company's stores to its return centers is prohibited by RCRA because those materials may be considered hazardous waste. The government alleges that, to comply with RCRA, the Company must ship from the store certain materials as hazardous waste directly to a certified disposal facility using a certified hazardous waste carrier. The Company contends that the practice of transporting returned merchandise to its return centers for subsequent disposition, including disposal by certified facilities, is compliant with applicable laws and regulations. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Additionally, the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Northern District of California has initiated its own investigation regarding the Company's handling of hazardous materials and hazardous waste and the Company has received administrative document requests from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control requesting documents and information with respect to two of the Company's distribution facilities. Further, the Company also received a subpoena from the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office for documents and administrative interrogatories requesting information, among other things, regarding the Company's handling of materials and hazardous waste. California state and local government authorities and the State of Nevada have also initiated investigations into these matters. The Company is cooperating fully with the respective authorities. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

#### 12 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations ( SFAS 141(R) ). SFAS 141(R) replaces SFAS 141, Business Combinations, but retains the requirement that the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions be used for all business combinations. SFAS 141(R) expands on the disclosures previously required by SFAS 141, better defines the acquirer and the acquisition date in a business combination and establishes principles for recognizing and measuring the assets acquired (including goodwill), the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interests in the acquired business. SFAS 141(R) also requires an acquirer to record an adjustment to income tax expense for changes in valuation allowances or uncertain tax positions related to acquired businesses. SFAS 141(R) is effective for all business combinations with an acquisition date in the first annual period following December 1, 2008; early adoption is not permitted. The Company adopted this statement as of February 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51 ( SFAS 160 ). SFAS 160 requires that noncontrolling (i.e., minority) interests in subsidiaries be reported in the equity section of the Company's balance sheet, rather than in a mezzanine section of the balance sheet between liabilities and equity. SFAS 160 also changes the manner in which the net income of the subsidiary is reported and disclosed in the controlling company's income statement and establishes guidelines for accounting for changes in ownership percentages and for de-consolidation. SFAS 160 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning on or after December 1, 2008 and interim periods within those years. The Company adopted the presentation and disclosure requirements of SFAS 160 retrospectively and adopted all other provisions of SFAS 160 prospectively on February 1, 2009. Accordingly, attributable to Walmart refers to operating results exclusive of any noncontrolling interest.

The Company also adopted Emerging Issues Task Force Topic No. D-98, Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities in conjunction with its adoption of SFAS 160. This standard is applicable for all noncontrolling interests where the Company is or may be required to repurchase an interest in a consolidated subsidiary from the noncontrolling interest holder under a put option or other contractual redemption requirement. Because the Company has certain redeemable noncontrolling interests, noncontrolling interests are presented in both the equity section and the mezzanine section of the balance sheet between liabilities and equity.

In March 2009, the Company paid \$436 million to acquire a portion of the redeemable noncontrolling interest in Distribución y Servicio D&S S.A. ( D&S ) through a second tender offer as required by the Chilean securities laws increasing its ownership stake in D&S to 74.6%. This transaction resulted in a \$148 million acquisition of the redeemable noncontrolling interest and the remaining \$288 million is reflected as a reduction of Walmart shareholders' equity. Additionally, the former D&S controlling shareholders still hold a put option that is exercisable beginning in January 2011 through January 2016. During the exercise period, the put option allows each former controlling shareholder the right to require the Company to purchase up to all of their shares of D&S (approximately 25.1%) owned at fair market value at the time of an exercise, if any.



In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ( SFAS 167 ), which changes the approach to determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ( VIE ) and requires companies to more frequently assess whether they must consolidate VIEs. SFAS 167 is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after November 15, 2009 and for interim periods within that first annual reporting period. The Company will adopt SFAS 167 on February 1, 2010 and is currently assessing the potential impacts, if any, on its financial statements and disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, FASB Accounting Standards Codification ( Codification ) and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ) a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 ( SFAS 168 ). The purpose of the Codification is to provide a single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Accordingly, the Company will adopt SFAS 168 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010. As the Codification was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP, the adoption of SFAS 168 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

### 13 Discontinued Operations

During fiscal 2009, the Company disposed of Gazeley Limited ( Gazeley ), an ASDA commercial property development subsidiary in the United Kingdom. Consequently, the results of operations associated with Gazeley are presented as discontinued operations in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented. The cash flows related to this operation were insignificant for all periods presented. In the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$212 million, after tax, in operating profits and gains from the sale of Gazeley. The transaction continues to remain subject to certain indemnification obligations. The Company's operations in the United Kingdom are consolidated using a December 31 fiscal year-end. Since the sale of Gazeley closed in July 2008, the Company recorded the gain to discontinued operations in the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company initiated a restructuring program under which the Company's Japanese subsidiary, The Seiyu Ltd., has closed or will close approximately 23 stores and dispose of certain excess properties. This restructuring involves incurring costs associated with lease termination obligations, asset impairment charges and employee separation benefits. The costs associated with this restructuring are presented as discontinued operations in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented. In the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$107 million, after tax, in restructuring expenses and operating results as discontinued operations. The cash flows and accrued liabilities related to this restructuring were insignificant for all periods presented. The Company recognized approximately \$7 million and \$15 million, after tax, in operating losses as discontinued operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2009, respectively. Additional costs will be recorded in future periods for lease termination obligations and employee separation benefits and are not expected to be material.

### 14 Subsequent Events

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 establishes principles and requirements for reviewing and reporting subsequent events. SFAS 165 requires disclosure of the date through which subsequent events are evaluated and whether the date corresponds with the time at which the financial statements were available for issue (as defined) or were issued. SFAS 165 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted SFAS 165 and its adoption did not have a material impact on its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Subsequent events have been evaluated through September 9, 2009, the date of issuance of the financial statements.

On August 6, 2009, the Company issued and sold ¥83.1 billion of its Japanese Yen Bonds - Third Series (2009) (the Fixed Rate Bonds ) and its ¥16.9 billion of its Japanese Yen Floating Rate Bonds - Second Series (2009) (the Floating Rate Bonds ) at an issue price, in the case of each issue of bonds, equal to the face amount of the bonds and used the proceeds to reduce a portion of its outstanding Yen credit facility. The Fixed Rate Bonds bear interest at a rate of 1.49% per annum. The Floating Rate Bonds bear interest at a floating rate of interest equal to an applicable six-month Yen LIBOR for each interest period plus 0.60%, with an initial interest rate of 1.235%. Interest started accruing on the bonds on August 6, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the bonds on February 6 and August 6 of each year, commencing on February 6, 2010. The notes will mature on August 6, 2014. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This discussion relates to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as of July 31, 2009, and for the three- and six-month periods then ended and the accompanying notes included under Part I, Item 1, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our Consolidated Financial Statements as of January 31, 2009, and for the year then ended and the accompanying notes, and the related Management's Discussion



and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, both of which are contained in our Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended January 31, 2009, and incorporated by reference in and included as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009.

We intend for this discussion to provide the reader with information that will assist in understanding our financial statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles affect our financial statements. The discussion also provides information about the financial results of the various segments of our business to provide a better understanding of how those segments and their results affected the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as a whole.

Throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of our Financial Condition and Results of Operations, we discuss segment operating income and comparable store sales. Segment operating income is defined as operating income for each operating segment and excludes unallocated corporate overhead. From time to time, we revise the allocation of corporate overhead and the measurement of each segment's operating income as changes in business needs dictate. When we do, we restate all periods presented for comparative purposes.

Comparable store sales is a measure which indicates the performance of our existing stores by measuring the growth in sales for such stores for a particular period over the corresponding period in the prior year. Our comparable store sales are measured in this report on a calendar basis in relation with our fiscal calendar. Comparable store sales is also referred to as same-store sales by others within the retail industry. The method of calculating comparable store sales varies across the retail industry. As a result, our calculation of comparable store sales is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

In discussions of our consolidated results and the operating results of our International segment, we sometimes refer to the impact of changes in currency exchange rates. When we refer to changes in exchange rates, we are referring to the differences between the currency exchange rates we use to convert the International segment's operating results for a period in fiscal 2009 as stated in the local currencies in which that segment operates into U.S. dollars to report those results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and the currency exchange rates we use to convert the International segment's operating results for the comparable fiscal 2010 period into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. The impacts of those currency exchange rates we refer to typically reflect the effect of those differences in currency exchange rates on the period to period comparisons of our consolidated results or the operating results of the International segment.

In connection with the Company's finance transformation project, we adjusted the classification of certain revenue and expense items within our income statement for financial reporting purposes. The changes, which were effective February 1, 2009, did not impact operating income or consolidated net income attributable to Walmart and had a minimal impact on our comparable store sales.

### ***Company Performance Metrics***

Management uses a number of metrics to assess the Company's performance including:

Total sales;

Comparable store sales;

Operating income;

Diluted net income per common share from continuing operations attributable to Walmart;

Return on investment; and

Free cash flow.

*Total Sales*

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Three Months Ended July 31,						Six Months Ended July 31,					
	2009	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2008	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2009	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2008	Percent of Total	Percent Change
Net Sales:												
Walmart U.S.	\$ 64,209	64.2%	0.3%	\$ 63,989	63.0%	8.6%	\$ 125,453	64.8%	2.0%	\$ 122,980	62.9%	7.7%
International	23,965	23.9%	-5.1%	25,257	24.9%	17.0%	45,228	23.4%	-8.0%	49,184	25.1%	19.4%
Sam's Club	11,908	11.9%	-3.2%	12,300	12.1%	8.2%	22,872	11.8%	-2.4%	23,424	12.0%	8.1%
Total Net Sales	\$ 100,082	100.0%	-1.4%	\$ 101,546	100.0%	10.6%	\$ 193,553	100.0%	-1.0%	\$ 195,588	100.0%	10.5%

Our total net sales decreased 1.4% and 1.0% for the three and six months ended July 31, 2009, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year. The decreases primarily resulted from the negative impact of the currency exchange rates, deflation in certain categories and lower fuel prices. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2009, changes in currency exchange

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

rates had an unfavorable impact of \$4.2 billion and \$9.0 billion, respectively, on the International segment's net sales, causing a decrease in the International segment's net sales as a percentage of total Company net sales. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, changes in currency exchange rates had a favorable impact of \$1.1 billion and \$2.4 billion on the International segment's net sales. Although movements in currency exchange rates cannot reasonably be predicted, volatility in currency exchange rates, when compared to prior periods, may continue to impact the International segment's reported net sales in the foreseeable future.

### Comparable Store Sales

	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Walmart U.S.	-1.0%	4.6%	0.2%	3.7%
Sam's Club <sup>(1)</sup>	-4.0%	7.4%	-3.2%	7.1%
Total U.S. <sup>(2)</sup>	-1.5%	5.0%	-0.3%	4.2%

- (1) Sam's Club comparable club sales include fuel. Fuel sales had a negative impact of 4.8 percentage points for the three and six months ended July 31, 2009. Fuel sales had a positive impact of 3.4 and 3.2 percentage points for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively.
- (2) Fuel sales had a negative impact of 0.9 and 0.8 percentage points for the three and six months ended July 31, 2009, respectively. Fuel sales had a positive impact of 0.5 percentage points for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008.

Comparable store sales in the United States, including fuel sales, decreased 1.5% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to an increase of 5.0% for the second quarter of fiscal 2009. For the six months ended July 31, 2009, comparable store sales in the United States, including fuel sales, decreased 0.3% compared to an increase of 4.2% for the corresponding period in the prior year. Comparable store sales in fiscal 2010 were lower than fiscal 2009 primarily due to a decrease in average transaction size per customer, resulting in part from deflation in certain categories, and lower fuel prices.

### Operating Income

(Amounts in millions)	Three Months Ended July 31,						Six Months Ended July 31,					
	2009	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2008	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2009	Percent of Total	Percent Change	2008	Percent of Total	Percent Change
<b>Operating Income:</b>												
Walmart U.S.	\$ 4,901	83.3%	5.0%	\$ 4,667	80.3%	10.1%	\$ 9,365	84.4%	4.2%	\$ 8,987	80.7%	9.7%
International	1,143	19.4%	-6.2%	1,218	21.0%	17.5%	2,023	18.2%	-10.8%	2,268	20.4%	18.2%
Sam's Club	419	7.1%	-5.0%	441	7.6%	-2.6%	812	7.3%	-2.6%	834	7.5%	0.7%
Other	(581)	-9.8%	13.3%	(513)	-8.9%	16.1%	(1,101)	-9.9%	14.8%	(959)	-8.6%	16.5%
	\$ 5,882	100.0%	1.2%	\$ 5,813	100.0%	9.9%	\$ 11,099	100.0%	-0.3%	\$ 11,130	100.0%	10.0%

Operating income growth compared to net sales growth is a meaningful metric to share with investors because it indicates how effectively we manage costs and leverage expenses. Our objective is to grow operating income faster than net sales. For the second quarter of fiscal 2010, our operating income increased 1.2% compared to the prior year, while our net sales decreased 1.4% for the same period. While the Walmart U.S. segment met this objective, the Sam's Club and International segments did not. The Sam's Club segment did not meet this objective due to higher health benefit and remodel costs. The International segment fell short of this objective primarily due to the negative impact of currency exchange rates.

For the six months ended July 31, 2009, our operating income decreased 0.3% compared to the prior year, while our net sales decreased 1.0% for the same period. While the Walmart U.S. segment met the objective of growing operating income faster than net sales, the Sam's Club and International segments did not. The Sam's Club segment did not meet this objective due to higher health benefit and remodel costs. The International segment fell short of this objective primarily due to the negative impact of currency exchange rates.

### Diluted Net Income per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Walmart

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	July 31,		July 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Diluted net income per share from continuing operations attributable to Walmart	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.62

Diluted net income per share from continuing operations attributable to Walmart increased 2.3% and 1.9% for the second quarter of fiscal year 2010 and the six months ended July 31, 2009, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year as a result of increased share repurchases.

#### *Return on Investment*

Management believes return on investment ( ROI ) is a meaningful metric to share with investors because it helps investors assess how effectively Walmart is employing its assets. Trends in ROI can fluctuate over time as management balances the long-term potential of strategic initiatives with any possible short-term impacts.

ROI was 18.4% and 19.4% for the trailing twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in ROI resulted from the negative impact of currency exchange rates, the accrual for our settlement of 63 wage and hour class action lawsuits in January 2009 and our investment in Chile.

We define ROI as adjusted operating income (operating income plus interest income, depreciation and amortization and rent expense) for the fiscal year or trailing twelve months divided by average invested capital during that period. We consider average invested capital to be the average of our beginning and ending total assets of continuing operations plus accumulated depreciation and amortization less accounts payable and accrued liabilities for that period, plus a rent factor equal to the rent for the fiscal year or trailing twelve months multiplied by a factor of eight.

ROI is considered a non-GAAP financial measure under the SEC's rules. We consider return on assets ( ROA ) to be the financial measure computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) that is the most directly comparable financial measure to ROI as we calculate that financial measure. ROI differs from ROA (which is income from continuing operations for the fiscal year or the trailing twelve months divided by average of total assets of continuing operations for the period) because ROI: adjusts operating income to exclude certain expense items and add interest income; adjusts total assets from continuing operations for the impact of accumulated depreciation and amortization, accounts payable and accrued liabilities; and incorporates a factor of rent to arrive at total invested capital.

Although ROI is a standard financial metric, numerous methods exist for calculating a company's ROI. As a result, the method used by management to calculate ROI may differ from the methods other companies use to calculate their ROI. We urge you to understand the methods used by another company to calculate its ROI before comparing our ROI to that of such other company.

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

The calculation of ROI along with a reconciliation to the calculation of ROA, the most comparable GAAP financial measurement, is as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	For the Twelve Months Ended	
	2009	2008
<b>July 31,</b>		
<b>CALCULATION OF RETURN ON INVESTMENT</b>		
<b>Numerator</b>		
Operating income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 22,767	\$ 22,967
+ Interest income <sup>(1)</sup>	242	277
+ Depreciation and amortization <sup>(1)</sup>	6,830	6,636
+ Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	1,756	1,706
= Adjusted operating income	\$ 31,595	\$ 31,586
<b>Denominator</b>		
Average total assets of continuing operations <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 167,358	\$ 160,988
+ Average accumulated depreciation and amortization <sup>(2)</sup>	36,223	31,493
- Average accounts payable <sup>(2)</sup>	29,355	28,824
- Average accrued liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>	16,157	14,816
+ Rent * 8	14,048	13,648
= Invested capital	\$ 172,117	\$ 162,489
<b>Return on investment (ROI)</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>
<b>CALCULATION OF RETURN ON ASSETS</b>		
<b>Numerator</b>		
Income from continuing operations <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 13,774	\$ 13,839
<b>Denominator</b>		
Average total assets of continuing operations <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 167,358	\$ 160,988
<b>Return on assets (ROA)</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>

<b>Certain Balance Sheet Data</b>	As of July 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Total assets of continuing operations <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 168,795	\$ 165,921	\$ 156,054
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	38,466	33,980	29,005
Accounts payable	28,797	29,912	27,736
Accrued liabilities	16,706	15,607	14,025

- (1) Based on continuing operations only and therefore excludes the impact of Gazeley Limited, a former United Kingdom property development subsidiary, which was sold in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, and the closure of 23 stores and divestiture of other properties of The Seiyu, Ltd. in Japan pursuant to restructuring program adopted during the third quarter of fiscal 2009. All of these activities have been disclosed as discontinued operations. Total assets as of July 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 in the table above exclude assets of discontinued operations that are reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of \$147, \$974 and \$895, respectively.
- (2) The average is based on the addition of the account balance at the end of the current period to the account balance at the end of the prior period and dividing by 2.



*Free Cash Flow*

We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities in a period minus payments for property and equipment made in that period. We generated positive free cash flow of \$4.2 billion and \$5.1 billion for the six months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decline in our free cash flow is primarily the result of increased capital spending.

Free cash flow is considered a non-GAAP financial measure under the SEC's rules. Management believes, however, that free cash flow is an important financial measure for use in evaluating the Company's financial performance, which measures our ability to generate additional cash from our business operations. Free cash flow should be considered in addition to, rather than as a substitute for, income from continuing operations as a measure of our performance and net cash provided by operating activities as a measure of our liquidity.

Additionally, our definition of free cash flow is limited, in that it does not represent residual cash flows available for discretionary expenditures due to the fact that the measure does not deduct the payments required for debt service and other contractual obligations or payments made for business acquisitions. Therefore, we believe it is important to view free cash flow as a measure that provides supplemental information to our entire statement of cash flows.

Although other companies report their free cash flow, numerous methods may exist for calculating a company's free cash flow. As a result, the method used by our management to calculate free cash flow may differ from the methods other companies use to calculate their free cash flow. We urge you to understand the methods used by another company to calculate its free cash flow before comparing our free cash flow to that of such other company.

The following table reconciles net cash provided by operating activities, a GAAP measure, to free cash flow, a non-GAAP measure.

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	<b>Six Months Ended July 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 9,895	\$ 10,164
Payments for property and equipment	(5,744)	(5,074)
<b>Free cash flow</b>	<b>\$ 4,151</b>	<b>\$ 5,090</b>
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (5,748)	\$ (4,527)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (3,434)	\$ (4,380)

**Results of Operations**

The following discussion of our Results of Operations is based on our continuing operations and excludes any results or discussion of our discontinued operations.

Consolidated

*Three Months Ended July 31, 2009*

Our total net sales decreased 1.4% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 and increased 10.6% for the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The decrease in fiscal 2010 primarily resulted from the negative impact of currency exchange rates, deflation in certain categories and lower fuel prices. Currency exchange rates had a \$4.2 billion unfavorable impact on the International segment's net sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Currency exchange rates had a \$1.1 billion favorable impact on the International segment's net sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

Our gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, ( gross profit margin ) increased from 24.1% for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 to 24.9% in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. This increase is primarily due to more effective merchandising and strong inventory management in the Walmart U.S. and Sam's Club segments.

Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses ( operating expenses ), as a percentage of net sales, increased 0.8 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009. Operating expenses as a percentage of net sales increased in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 primarily due to lower net sales, higher health benefit costs and increased technology and system expenses which relate to our long-term transformation projects to enhance our information systems for finance, merchandising and human resources.



## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Membership and other income, as a percentage of net sales, which includes a variety of income categories such as Sam's Club membership income and tenant lease income, was consistent in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

Interest, net, for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 increased 3.7% compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 due to an increase in total debt. The increase in total debt was partially offset by lower interest rates.

Our effective income tax rate from continuing operations increased from 34.1% for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 to 34.3% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 due to the timing of certain discrete tax matters, as well as the mix of income between domestic and international operations.

### *Six Months Ended July 31, 2009*

Our total net sales decreased 1.0% for the six months ended July 31, 2009 and increased 10.5% for the six months ended of July 31, 2008. The decrease in fiscal 2010 primarily resulted from the negative impact of currency exchange rates, deflation in certain categories and lower fuel prices. Currency exchange rates had a \$9.0 billion unfavorable impact on the International segment's net sales for the six months ended July 31, 2009. Currency exchange rates had a \$2.4 billion favorable impact on the International segment's net sales for the six months ended July 31, 2008.

Our gross profit margin increased from 24.1% for the first six months of fiscal 2009 to 24.8% for the first six months of fiscal 2010. This increase was primarily due to more effective merchandising and strong inventory management in the Walmart U.S. and Sam's Club segments.

Operating expenses, as a percentage of net sales, increased 0.6 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009. Operating expenses as a percentage of net sales increased for the first six months of fiscal 2010 primarily due to lower net sales, currency exchange rates and higher health benefit costs.

Membership and other income, as a percentage of net sales, decreased 0.1 percentage points compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to decline in several miscellaneous income categories.

Interest, net, for the first six months of fiscal 2010 decreased 1.3% compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to lower interest rates on our borrowings.

Our effective income tax rate from continuing operations decreased from 34.3% for the first six months of fiscal 2009 to 34.0% for the first six months of fiscal 2010 due to the mix of income between domestic and international operations, as well as the impact of currency exchange rates.

### Walmart U.S. Segment

#### *Three Months Ended July 31, 2009*

*(Amounts in millions)*

Three months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales increase from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income	Segment operating income increase from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 64,209	0.3%	\$ 4,901	5.0%	7.6%
2008	63,989	8.6%	4,667	10.1%	7.3%

Net sales for the Walmart U.S. segment increased 0.3% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The increase resulted from our continued expansion activities and strength in our grocery and health and wellness categories. Comparable store sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 decreased 1.0% resulting from a decrease in average transaction size per customer, despite an increase in customer traffic in our comparable stores. The decrease in average transaction size per customer was attributable in part to the change in mix of merchandise sold and deflation.

Gross profit margin increased 1.1 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 due to higher initial margins, lower inventory shrinkage and consistent year-over-year markdowns as a percentage of sales resulting from better

inventory management.

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.7 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to a lower increase in net sales, higher health benefit costs and increased supplier-funded advertising expenses.

Other income as a percentage of segment net sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 was consistent with the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

Six Months Ended July 31, 2009

(Amounts in millions)

Six months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income	Segment operating income increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 125,453	2.0%	\$ 9,365	4.2%	7.5%
2008	122,980	7.7%	8,987	9.7%	7.3%

Net sales for the Walmart U.S. segment increased 2.0% for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009. The increase resulted from our continued expansion activities and strength in our grocery and health and wellness categories and a comparable store sales increase of 0.2%. Comparable store sales for the first six months of fiscal 2010 increased primarily due to an increase in customer traffic, offset by a decrease in average transaction size per customer. The decrease in average transaction size per customer was attributable in part to the change in mix of merchandise sold and deflation.

Gross profit margin increased 0.8 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to higher initial margins and improved inventory management, including lower inventory shrinkage.

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.5 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 primarily due to a lower increase in net sales, higher health benefit costs and increased supplier-funded advertising expenses.

Other income as a percentage of segment net sales for the first six months of fiscal 2010 decreased 0.1 percentage points compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to a decline in several miscellaneous income categories.

International Segment

At July 31, 2009, our International segment was comprised of our wholly-owned subsidiaries in Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Japan, Puerto Rico and the United Kingdom, our majority-owned subsidiaries in Central America, Chile and Mexico and our joint ventures in China and India and our other controlled subsidiaries in China.

Three Months Ended July 31, 2009

(Amounts in millions)

Three months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales decrease/increase from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income	Segment operating income decrease/increase from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 23,965	-5.1%	\$ 1,143	-6.2%	4.8%
2008	25,257	17.0%	1,218	17.5%	4.8%

Net sales for the International segment decreased 5.1% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The decrease primarily resulted from the \$4.2 billion negative impact from currency exchange rates, partially offset by increased sales from comparable units, the inclusion of results from recent acquisitions and new store growth.

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Gross profit margin for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 was consistent with the second quarter of 2009.

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.4 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the inclusion of results from recent acquisitions, currency exchange rate fluctuations and new store growth.

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Other income as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.3 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to increases in several miscellaneous income categories.

*Six Months Ended July 31, 2009*

*(Amounts in millions)*

Six months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales decrease/increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income	Segment operating income decrease/increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 45,228	-8.0%	\$ 2,023	-10.8%	4.5%
2008	49,184	19.4%	2,268	18.2%	4.6%

Net sales for the International segment decreased 8.0% for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009. The decrease primarily resulted from the \$9.0 billion unfavorable impact from currency exchange rates, partially offset by increased sales from comparable units, the inclusion of results from recent acquisitions and new store growth.

Gross profit margin for the first six months of fiscal 2010 increased 0.1 percentage points compared to the first six months of 2009.

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.4 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the inclusion of results from recent acquisitions, currency exchange rate fluctuations and new store growth.

Other income as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.2 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 primarily due to increases in several miscellaneous income categories.

### Sam's Club Segment

*Three Months Ended July 31, 2009*

*(Amounts in millions)*

Three months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales decrease/increase from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income	Segment operating income decrease from prior fiscal year second quarter	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 11,908	-3.2%	\$ 419	-5.0%	3.5%
2008	12,300	8.2%	441	-2.6%	3.6%

Net sales for the Sam's Club segment decreased 3.2% for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from lower fuel sales related to lower fuel prices and deflation in certain categories. Comparable club sales, which decreased 4.0% in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, were negatively impacted 4.8 percentage points due to the decline in fuel sales related to lower fuel prices.

Gross profit margin increased 0.8 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 due to a change in the merchandise sales mix driven by strong sales in fresh food and consumable categories and improved inventory management.

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.9 percentage points for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to the impact of lower fuel prices on total sales as well as increased health benefit and remodel costs.

Membership and other income, as a percentage of segment net sales, for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 was consistent with the second quarter of fiscal 2009. Membership income, which is recognized over the term of the membership, was consistent with the second quarter of fiscal 2009.





Six Months Ended July 31, 2009

(Amounts in millions)

Six months ended July 31,	Segment net sales	Segment net sales decrease/increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income	Segment operating income decrease/increase from prior fiscal year period	Segment operating income as a percentage of segment net sales
2009	\$ 22,872	-2.4%	\$ 812	-2.6%	3.6%
2008	23,424	8.1%	834	0.7%	3.6%

Net sales for the Sam's Club segment decreased 2.4% for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from lower fuel sales related to lower fuel prices and deflation in certain categories. Comparable club sales, which decreased 3.2% in the first six months of fiscal 2010, were negatively impacted 4.8 percentage points due to the decline in fuel sales related to lower fuel prices.

Gross profit margin increased 1.0 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to a change in the merchandise sales mix driven by strong sales in fresh food and consumable categories and improved inventory management.

Operating expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased 0.9 percentage points for the first six months of fiscal 2010 compared to the first six months of fiscal 2009 due to the impact of lower fuel prices on total sales as well as increased health benefit and remodel costs.

Membership and other income, as a percentage of segment net sales, for the first six months of fiscal 2010 was consistent with the first six months of fiscal 2009. Membership income was consistent with the first six months of fiscal 2009.

#### Unit Counts By Segment

As of July 31, 2009 and 2008, we operated the following number of stores, clubs and various other formats globally:

	July 31, 2009 Units	July 31, 2008 Units
Walmart U.S.	3,682	3,630
International	3,760	3,169
Sam's Club	602	594
Total	8,044	7,393

For further information regarding unit counts please refer to our website [www.walmartstores.com/investors](http://www.walmartstores.com/investors).

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**Overview

Cash flows provided by operating activities supply us with a significant source of liquidity. The decrease in cash flows provided by operating activities for the six months ended July 31, 2009, was primarily attributable to the timing of payments on accrued liabilities. Selected cash flow data for the six month periods ended July 31, 2009 and 2008 and current assets and liabilities for the periods then ended, are as follows:

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	<b>Six Months Ended July 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 9,895	\$ 10,164
Purchase of Company stock	(2,792)	(2,184)
Dividends paid	(2,129)	(1,878)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	2,956	4,648
Payment of long-term debt	(95)	(4,061)
Decrease in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, net	(654)	(639)
Current assets	\$ 49,025	\$ 49,774
Current liabilities	57,196	54,929

Working Capital

Current liabilities exceeded current assets at July 31, 2009, by \$8.2 billion, an increase of \$1.8 billion from January 31, 2009, due to an increase in long-term debt due within one year and better inventory management. Our ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 0.9 at July 31, 2009, July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2009. We generally have a working capital deficit due to our efficient use of cash in funding operations and in providing returns to shareholders in the form of share repurchases and payment of dividends.

Company Share Repurchase Program

From time to time, we have repurchased shares of our common stock under a \$15.0 billion share repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors on May 31, 2007 and announced on June 1, 2007. On June 4, 2009, the Board of Directors replaced the \$15.0 billion share repurchase program, which had approximately \$3.4 billion of remaining authorization for share repurchases, with a new \$15.0 billion share repurchase program, announced on June 5, 2009. As a result, we have terminated, and will make no further share repurchases under the program announced on June 1, 2007. As was the case with the replaced share repurchase program, the new program has no expiration date or other restriction limiting the period over which we can make our share repurchases, and will expire only when and if we have repurchased \$15.0 billion of our shares under the program or we earlier terminate or replace the program. Any repurchased shares are constructively retired and returned to unissued status. We consider several factors in determining when to execute the share repurchases, including among other things, our current cash needs, our capacity for leverage, our cost of borrowings and the market price of our common stock. Part II, Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds below contains certain information regarding our share repurchases during the quarter ended July 31, 2009 under the share repurchase programs described above.

Capital Resources

Management believes that cash flows from operations and proceeds from the sale of commercial paper and other short-term borrowings will be sufficient to finance seasonal buildups in merchandise inventories and meet other cash requirements. If our operating cash flows are not sufficient to pay dividends and to fund our capital expenditures, we anticipate compensating for any shortfall in funding these expenditures with a combination of commercial paper and other short-term borrowings and long-term debt. We plan to replace existing long-term debt as it matures and may desire to obtain additional long-term financing for other corporate purposes.

To monitor our credit rating and our capacity for long-term financing, we consider various qualitative and quantitative factors. We monitor the ratio of our debt to total capitalization as support for our long-term financing decisions. At July 31, 2009 and 2008 and January 31, 2009, the ratio of our debt to total capitalization was approximately 40.3%, 40.0% and 39.3%, respectively. For the purpose of this calculation, debt is defined as the sum of commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, long-term debt due within one year, obligations under capital leases due within one year, long-term debt and long-term obligations under capital leases. Total capitalization is defined as debt plus total Walmart shareholders equity.



We use the ratio of adjusted cash flow from continuing operations to adjusted average debt as a metric to review leverage. Adjusted cash flow from continuing operations, the numerator in the calculation, is defined as net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations plus two-thirds of operating rent expense less capitalized interest expense for the fiscal year or trailing twelve months. Adjusted average debt, the denominator in the calculation, is defined as average debt plus eight times average operating rent expense. Average debt is the simple average of beginning and ending commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, long-term debt due within one year, obligations under capital leases due within one year, long-term debt and long-term obligations under capital leases for the period. Average operating rent expense is the simple average of operating rent expense over the current and prior fiscal years or twelve month periods. We believe this metric is useful to investors as it provides them with a tool to measure our leverage. This metric was 41% and 45% for the twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in the metric is due to the decline in net cash flow from continuing operations along with an increase in the average debt.

The ratio of adjusted cash flow to adjusted average debt is considered a non-GAAP financial measure under the SEC's rules. The most recognized directly comparable measure calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles is the ratio of net cash flow provided by operating activities of continuing operations for the fiscal year or trailing twelve months to average debt (which excludes any effect of operating leases or capitalized interest), which was 51% and 56% for the trailing twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Although other companies report their ratio of adjusted cash flow from continuing operations to adjusted average debt, numerous methods may exist for calculating that ratio. As a result, the method used by our management to calculate our ratio of adjusted cash flow from continuing operations to adjusted average debt may differ from the methods other companies use to calculate their ratios of adjusted cash flow from continuing operations to adjusted average debt. We urge you to understand the methods used by another company to calculate its ratio of adjusted cash flow from continuing operations to adjusted average debt before comparing our ratio to that of such other company.

A detailed calculation of the adjusted cash flow from operations to adjusted average debt is set forth below along with a reconciliation to the most comparable measurement calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	For the Twelve Months Ended	
	2009	July 31, 2008
<b>Calculation of adjusted cash flow to adjusted average debt</b>		
<b>Numerator</b>		
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 22,878	\$ 24,483
+ Two-thirds current period operating rent expense (1)	1,171	1,137
Current year capitalized interest expense	95	126
Adjusted cash flow from operations	\$ 23,954	\$ 25,494
<b>Denominator</b>		
Average debt (2)	\$ 44,903	\$ 43,803
Eight times average operating rent expense (3)	13,848	12,824
Adjusted average debt	\$ 58,751	\$ 56,627
<b>Adjusted cash flow to adjusted average debt (4)</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>45%</b>

**Calculation of cash flows from operating activities to average debt**

<b>Numerator</b>		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 22,878	\$ 24,483
<b>Denominator</b>		
Average debt (2)	\$ 44,903	\$ 43,803
<b>Cash flows from operating activities to average debt (4)</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>56%</b>

**Selected Financial Information**

Current period operating rent expense	\$ 1,756	\$ 1,706
Prior period operating rent expense	1,706	1,500
Current period capitalized interest	95	126

**Certain Balance Sheet Information**

	As of July 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	\$ 1,122	\$ 4,347	\$ 8,117
Long-term debt due within one year	6,959	2,180	3,176
Obligations under capital leases due within one year	336	324	189
Long-term debt	33,579	34,168	27,966

## Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

Long-term obligations under capital leases	3,246	3,544	3,594
Total debt	\$ 45,242	\$ 44,563	\$ 43,042

- (1)  $\frac{2}{3} \times \$1,756$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and  $\frac{2}{3} \times \$1,706$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2008.
- (2)  $(\$45,242 + \$44,563)/2$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and  $(\$44,563 + \$43,042)/2$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2008.
- (3)  $8 \times ((\$1,756 + \$1,706)/2)$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2009 and  $8 \times ((\$1,706 + \$1,500)/2)$  for the twelve months ended July 31, 2008.
- (4) The calculation of the ratio as defined.

### Certain Long-term Debt Transactions

On March 27, 2009, the Company issued and sold £1.0 billion of 5.625% Notes Due 2034 at an issue price equal to 98.981% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on March 27, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on March 27 and September 27 of each year, commencing on September 27, 2009. The notes will mature on March 27, 2034. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

On May 21, 2009, the Company issued and sold \$1.0 billion of 3.20% Notes Due 2014 at an issue price equal to 99.987% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on May 21, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on May 15 and November 15 of each year, commencing on November 15, 2009. The notes will mature on May 15, 2014. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

On July 27, 2009, the Company issued and sold \$500 million of 6.200% Notes Due 2038 at an issue price equal to 106.001% of the notes aggregate principal amount. Interest started accruing on the notes on April 15, 2009. The Company will pay interest on the notes on April 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on October 15, 2009. The notes will mature on April 15, 2038. The notes are senior, unsecured obligations of Walmart.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

Market risks relating to our operations result primarily from changes in interest rates and changes in currency exchange rates. Our market risks at July 31, 2009 are similar to those disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009.

The information concerning market risk under the sub-caption *Market Risk* of the caption *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* on page 14 of the Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended January 31, 2009 that is an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009, is hereby incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information, which is required to be disclosed timely, is accumulated and communicated to management in a timely fashion. In designing and evaluating such controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Our management is necessarily required to use judgment in evaluating controls and procedures. Also, we have had investments in certain unconsolidated entities. Because we did not control or manage those entities, our controls and procedures with respect to those entities were substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

In the ordinary course of business, we review our system of internal control over financial reporting and make changes to our systems and processes to improve controls and increase efficiency, while ensuring that we maintain an effective internal control environment. Changes may include such activities as implementing new, more efficient systems and automating manual processes. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we began implementing a new financial system in stages. As part of the first stage, we implemented this financial system in ASDA, our United Kingdom subsidiary. This new financial system is a significant component of our internal control over financial reporting. We will continue to implement our new financial system in stages, and each implementation will become a significant component of our internal control over financial reporting.

An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures was performed as of the end of the period covered by this report. This evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms.

Except for the new financial system implementation noted above, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

**I. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:** We discuss certain legal proceedings pending against us in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the caption *Item 1. Financial Statements*, in Note 11 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is captioned *Legal Proceedings*, and refer you to that discussion for important information concerning those legal proceedings, including the basis for such actions and, where known, the relief sought. We provide the following additional information concerning those legal proceedings which sets forth the name of the lawsuit, the court in which the lawsuit is pending and the date on which the petition commencing the lawsuit was filed. In each lawsuit's name, the letters *WM* refer to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

**Wage-and-Hour Class Actions Included in Settlement Agreements Announced December 23, 2008:** *Adcox v. WM*, US Dist. Ct. ( USDC ), Southern Dist. of TX, 11/9/04; *Armijo v. WM*, 1st Judicial Dist. Ct., Rio Arriba County, NM, 9/18/00; *Bailey v. WM*, Marion County Superior Ct. IN, 8/17/00; *Barnett v. WM*, Superior Ct. of WA, King County, 9/10/01; *Basco v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of LA, 9/5/00; *Bayardo v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NV, 3/19/07; *Brogan v. WM*, Superior Ct. of NH, Strafford County, 2/17/05; *Brogan v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of WV, 4/3/07; *Brown v. WM*, 14th Judicial Circuit Ct., Rock Island, IL, 6/20/01; *Brown v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of NY, 4/5/07; *Campbell v. WM*,

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

USDC, Dist. of NV, 9/20/06; *Carter v. WM*, Ct. of Common Pleas,



Colleton County, SC, 7/31/02; *Cole v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of MT, Central Div., 1/13/06; *Connatser v. WM*, USDC, Western Dist. of TN, 4/4/07; *Curless v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of WY, 10/26/05; *Deas v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of VA, 4/3/06; *Evans v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of SC, 01/9/07; *Faverty v. WM*, Ct. of Common Pleas, Summit County, OH, 8/02/02; *Gilles v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of IN, 3/31/06; *Grey v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of KS, 7/14/06; *Gross v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Laurel County, KY, 9/29/04; *Hale v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Jackson County, MO, 8/15/01; *Hall v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NV, 8/12/05; *Henderson v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NV, 12/6/06; *Hicks v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of TX, 4/3/07; *Holcomb v. WM*, State Ct. of Chatham County, GA, 3/28/00; *Husidic v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of IA, 9/14/06; *Iliadis v. WM*, Superior Ct. of NJ, Middlesex County, 5/30/02; *Jackson v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of DE, 4/4/05; *Jackson v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of ID, 2/3/06; *Jackson (f/k/a Scott) v. WM*, Circuit Ct. of Saginaw County, MI, 9/26/01; *King v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of PA, 4/13/07; *Kraemer v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of ND, 11/15/06; *Kuhlmann v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Milwaukee County, WI, 8/30/01; *Lerma v. WM*, Dist. Ct., Cleveland County, OK, 8/31/01; *Lopez v. WM*, 23rd Judicial Dist. Ct. of Brazoria County, TX, 6/23/00; *Luce v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of SD, 5/11/05; *Mathies v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of OR, 3/30/07; *McFarlin v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of AK, 4/7/05; *Montgomery v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of MS, 12/30/02; *Mussman v. WM*, IA Dist. Ct., Clinton County, 6/5/01; *Nagy v. WM*, Circuit Ct. of Boyd County, KY, 8/29/01; *Nolan v. WM*, USDC, Northern Dist. of OH, Eastern Div., 4/4/06; *Olinger v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of MI, 9/14/06; *Parrish v. WM*, Superior Ct., Chatham County, GA, 2/17/05; *Pedro v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of MA, 4/4/07; *Penn v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of LA, 9/15/06; *Phelps v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of IL, 4/4/07; *Pickett v. WM*, Circuit Court, Shelby County, TN, 10/22/03; *Poha v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of HI, 11/1/05; *Pritchett v. WM*, Circuit Ct. of Jefferson County, AL, 2/17/05; *Richardson v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NV, 4/4/07; *Robinson v. WM*, USDC, Southern Dist. of MS, 3/5/07; *Sarda v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Washington County, FL, 9/21/01; *Smith v. WM*, USDC, Western Dist. of WI, 4/2/07; *Stafford v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NE, 12/8/05; *Whitacre v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of NV, 6/15/07; *Willey v. WM*, Dist. Ct. of Wyandotte County, KS, 9/21/01; *Williams v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of UT, Central Div., 1/20/06; *Williams v. WM*, USDC, Western Dist. of NC, 3/23/06; *Winters v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Holmes County, MS, 5/28/02; *Woods v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of ME, 1/12/06; *Works v. WM*, Circuit Ct., Miller County, AR, 5/18/05.

**Additional Wage-and-Hour Class Actions:** *Alix (f/k/a Gamble) v. WM*, Supreme Ct. of the State of NY, County of Albany, 12/7/01 (settled 4/30/09, subject to court approval); *Braun/Hummel v. WM*, Ct. of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, PA, 3/20/02/8/30/04; *Bryan v. WM*, Superior Ct. of CA, Alameda County, 10/9/08; *Gellhaus v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of TX, 7/21/09; *Lee v. WM*, USDC Middle Dist. of AL, 7/24/09; *Moore v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of OR, 12/7/05; *Klink v. WM*, USDC, Dist. of OR, 2/26/09; *Salvas v. WM*, Superior Ct., Middlesex County, MA, 8/21/01; *Savaglio v. WM*, Superior Ct. of CA, Alameda County, 2/6/01 (settled 7/13/09, subject to court approval); *Smith/Ballard v. WM*, USDC, Northern Dist. of CA, 3/16/06; *Williams v. WM*, Superior Ct. of CA, Alameda County, 3/23/04.

**Braun Wage-and-Hour Class Action:** *Braun v. WM*, 1st Judicial Dist. Ct. Dakota County MN, 9/12/01.

**Exempt Status Cases:** *Salvador v. WM and Sam's West, Inc.*, USDC, Central Dist. of CA, Western Div., 12/22/05; *Sepulveda v. WM*, USDC, Central Dist. of CA, Western Div., 1/14/04; *Patel v. WM*, USDC, Middle Dist. of AL, 5/6/08; *Zinman v. WM*, USDC, Northern Dist. of CA, 05/08/09.

**Gender Discrimination Cases:** *Dukes v. WM*, USDC, Northern Dist. of CA, San Francisco Div., 6/19/01; 9th Circuit Ct. of Appeals, San Francisco, CA, 8/26/04; *EEOC (Smith) v. WM*, USDC, Eastern Dist. of KY, London Div., 8/31/01.

**II. ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS:** Item 103 of SEC Regulation S-K requires disclosure of certain environmental matters. The following matters are disclosed in accordance with that requirement:

The District Attorney for Solano County, California, has alleged that the Company's store in Vacaville, California, failed to comply with certain California statutes regulating hazardous waste and hazardous materials handling practices. Specifically, the County is alleging that the Company improperly disposed of a limited amount of damaged or returned product containing dry granular fertilizer and pesticides on or about April 3, 2002. The parties are currently negotiating toward a resolution of this matter. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The District Attorney for Orange County, California, has alleged that the Company's store in Foothill Ranch, California, failed to comply with certain California statutes regulating hazardous waste and hazardous materials handling practices. Specifically, the County is alleging that the Company improperly disposed of a limited amount of damaged product containing dry granular pesticide on or about January 24, 2005. The parties are currently negotiating toward a resolution of this matter. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approached a grocery industry group to resolve issues relating to refrigerant-handling practices and to reduce the use of ozone-depleting refrigerants in refrigeration equipment. The Company then approached the EPA independently to address these issues, and proposed a plan for removing ozone-depleting refrigerants from certain types of

refrigeration equipment. The parties are currently negotiating a resolution of this matter. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2007, Wal-Mart Puerto Rico, Inc. became aware that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ( USACE ) was concerned about alleged violations of a permit issued by that agency in 2003, for the fill of 0.23 acres of a creek and its contiguous wetlands during the construction of the Wal-Mart Store in Caguas, Puerto Rico. On January 19, 2007, Wal-Mart Puerto Rico responded to these issues in writing. On January 25, 2007, the USACE issued a formal Notice of Non-Compliance to Wal-Mart Puerto Rico regarding this matter. Wal-Mart Puerto Rico filed a formal response and is currently implementing mitigation measures and working with the USACE to resolve the matter. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

On November 8, 2005, the Company received a grand jury subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California, seeking documents and information relating to the Company's receipt, transportation, handling, identification, recycling, treatment, storage and disposal of certain merchandise that constitutes hazardous materials or hazardous waste. The Company has been informed by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California that it is a target of a criminal investigation into potential violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ( RCRA ), the Clean Water Act and the Hazardous Materials Transportation Statute. This U.S. Attorney's Office contends, among other things, that the use of Company trucks to transport certain returned merchandise from the Company's stores to its return centers is prohibited by RCRA because those materials may be considered hazardous waste. The government alleges that, to comply with RCRA, the Company must ship from the store certain materials as hazardous waste directly to a certified disposal facility using a certified hazardous waste carrier. The Company contends that the practice of transporting returned merchandise to its return centers for subsequent disposition, including disposal by certified facilities, is compliant with applicable laws and regulations. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Additionally, the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Northern District of California has initiated its own investigation regarding the Company's handling of hazardous materials and hazardous waste and the Company has received administrative document requests from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control requesting documents and information with respect to two of the Company's distribution facilities. Further, the Company also received a subpoena from the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office for documents and administrative interrogatories requesting information, among other things, regarding the Company's handling of materials and hazardous waste. California state and local government authorities and the State of Nevada have also initiated investigations into these matters. The Company is cooperating fully with the respective authorities. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

On March 28, 2008, the Company received a Notice of Violation from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources ( Department ) alleging various violations of Missouri hazardous waste laws and regulations in connection with the activities of a third-party contractor with whom the Company had contracted for recycling services. The Department alleges that the Company provided certain items to the contractor for recycling that should have been managed as hazardous waste. The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) has inspected the contractor's facilities, and both the EPA and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Western District of Missouri are conducting investigations. The Company has submitted a response to the Notice of Violation and is cooperating with these authorities. While management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, management does not believe the outcome will have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2008, the Company received a demand from Coolbaugh Township in Monroe County, Pennsylvania, in which the Township asserted that wastewater had been discharged from the Company's Distribution Center to the Township's wastewater treatment plant in a noncompliant manner, and demanded reimbursement of certain related costs and the payment of a monetary penalty. On July 23, 2009, the Company and the Township executed a settlement agreement in which the Company agreed to pay \$400,000 to resolve the claims.

#### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The risks described in Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The risk factors discussed in that Form 10-K do not identify all risks that we face because our business operations could also be affected by additional factors that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider to be immaterial to our operations. No material change in the risk factors discussed in that Form 10-K has occurred.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

From time to time, we have repurchased shares of our common stock under a \$15.0 billion share repurchase program authorized by our Board of Directors on May 31, 2007 and announced on June 1, 2007. On June 4, 2009, the Board of Directors replaced the \$15.0 billion share repurchase program, which had approximately \$3.4 billion of remaining authorization for share repurchases, with a new \$15.0 billion share repurchase program, announced on June 5, 2009. As a result, we have terminated, and will make no further share repurchases under the program announced on June 1, 2007. As was the case with the replaced share repurchase program, the new program has no expiration date or other restriction limiting the period over which we can make our share repurchases, and will expire only when and if we have repurchased \$15.0 billion of our shares under the program or we earlier terminate or replace the program. Any repurchased shares are constructively retired and returned to unissued status. We consider several factors in determining when to execute the share repurchases, including among other things, our current cash needs, our capacity for leverage, our cost of borrowings and the market price of our common stock.

Share repurchase activity under our share repurchase program was as follows during our quarter ended July 31, 2009:

<b>Fiscal Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Average Price Paid per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</b>	<b>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (billions)</b>
May 1-31, 2009	10,593,725	\$ 49.81	10,593,725	\$ 3.5
June 1-30, 2009	13,599,479	\$ 49.19	13,599,479*	14.4
July 1-31, 2009	14,922,621	\$ 48.55	14,922,621	13.7
Total	39,115,825		39,115,825	\$ 13.7

\* Includes a total of 12.3 million shares purchased under the previous \$15 billion share repurchase program announced on June 1, 2007 which had an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$618 million.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

The Company's Annual Shareholders' Meeting was held on June 5, 2009, in Fayetteville, Arkansas.

**Election of Directors**

At that meeting, the shareholders elected for one-year terms all persons nominated for election as directors as set forth in the Company's proxy statement dated April 20, 2009. All of the persons elected were incumbent members of the Company's Board of Directors. The following table sets forth the vote of the shareholders at the meeting with respect to the election of directors:

	<b>For</b>	<b>Against or Withheld</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>
Aida M. Alvarez	3,559,817,951	20,195,354	4,962,223
James W. Breyer	3,559,738,902	18,955,446	6,281,180
M. Michele Burns	3,461,491,243	117,251,026	6,233,259
James I. Cash Jr.	3,539,224,337	40,384,649	5,366,542
Roger C. Corbett	3,559,977,855	20,095,062	4,902,611
Douglas N. Daft	3,559,602,708	20,327,943	5,044,877
Michael T. Duke	3,548,058,717	32,015,807	4,901,004
Gregory B. Penner	3,538,937,683	39,674,486	6,363,359
Allen I. Questrom	3,559,766,642	20,231,031	4,977,855
H. Lee Scott, Jr.	3,538,319,288	40,574,656	6,081,584
Arne M. Sorenson	3,509,950,518	68,618,434	6,406,576
Jim C. Walton	3,542,558,620	36,798,308	5,618,600
S. Robson Walton	3,541,013,786	39,708,639	4,253,103
Christopher J. Williams	3,560,602,623	19,558,097	4,814,808
Linda S. Wolf	3,560,404,981	19,400,035	5,170,512

**Company Proposal**

The shareholders voted upon and approved the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered accounting firm for the fiscal year ending January 31, 2010. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>
3,559,091,115	21,967,342	3,917,071

**Shareholder Proposals**

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding an amendment to the Company's equal employment opportunity policy to explicitly prohibit discrimination based on gender identity and to implement that amended non-discrimination policy. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
457,605,285	2,686,812,326	170,397,862	270,160,055

Edgar Filing: WAL MART STORES INC - Form 10-Q

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding the adoption of a pay-for-superior-performance principle for executive compensation. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
91,452,740	3,213,056,261	10,308,523	270,158,004

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding a policy requiring a shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation at each annual shareholders meeting. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
662,315,069	2,593,923,991	58,574,000	270,162,468

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding preparing a report on the Company's political contributions. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
419,203,571	2,683,850,544	211,761,559	270,159,854

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding shareholders being permitted to call special shareholders meetings. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
770,335,267	2,538,064,016	6,416,842	270,159,403

The shareholders voted upon and rejected a shareholder proposal regarding requiring incentive compensation and other payments to be in the form of stock options. The votes on the proposal were as follows:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>Broker Non-Votes</b>
43,727,584	3,261,239,595	9,849,643	270,158,706

**Item 5. Other Information**

This Quarterly Report contains statements that Walmart believes are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and intended to enjoy the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by that Act. These forward-looking statements include: (1) a statement in Note 2 to Walmart's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements regarding the expected effect of the adoption of the FASB Staff Position EITF 03-06-01 on Walmart's consolidated financial statements; statements in Note 10 to those Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the quarter ended July 31, 2009 regarding the forecasted full year effective tax rate for Walmart's fiscal year 2010 and the factors that could affect that effective tax rate, regarding the possible effect of the recognition of certain unrecognized tax benefits and the effect of such recognition on Walmart's effective tax rate and regarding the possible resolution of certain tax audit issues and other tax matters in the future and the effect of such resolutions on Walmart's effective tax rate; statements in Note 11 to those Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements regarding the outcome of the settlements of certain litigation to which Walmart is a party; and a statement in Note 13 to those Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements regarding how Walmart will record certain amounts in the future and management's expectation that such amounts will not be material; (2) in Part I., Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: a statement under the caption Company Performance Metrics Total Sales, relating to the continuing impact of volatility in currency exchange rates on Walmart's International segment's net sales; and statements under the caption Liquidity and Capital Resources Capital Resources regarding management's expectations regarding the sufficiency of cash flows from operations and the proceeds of the sale of commercial paper and other short-term borrowings to finance seasonal inventory buildups and to meet other cash requirements, management's expectations regarding funding certain cash flow shortfalls with a combination of commercial paper and other short-term borrowings and long-term debt securities, management's plans to replace existing long-term debt as it matures, and management's expectations as to obtaining additional long-term financing for other corporate purposes; (3) a statement in Part I., Item 4. Controls and Procedures regarding management's expectations as to the continuing implementation of a new financial system and each implementation becoming a significant component of Walmart's internal control over financial reporting; and (4) a statement in Part II, Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds regarding management's expectations as to factors to be considered in repurchasing shares under a share repurchase program. These statements are identified by the use of the words anticipate, consider, could impact, could reduce, expect, may continue, plan, will be, will become, will begin, will continue, or a variation of one of those words or phrases in those statements or use of words or phrases of similar import. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, domestically and internationally, including general economic conditions, including the current economic crisis and disruption in the financial markets, unemployment levels, consumer credit availability, levels of consumer disposable income, consumer spending patterns and debt levels, inflation, deflation, the cost of the goods that Walmart sells, labor costs, transportation costs, the cost of diesel fuel, gasoline, natural gas and electricity, the cost of healthcare benefits, accident costs, Walmart's casualty and other insurance costs, information security costs, the cost of construction materials, availability of acceptable building sites for new stores, clubs and other formats, competitive pressures, accident-related costs, weather patterns, catastrophic events, storm and other damage to Walmart's stores and distribution centers, weather-related closing of stores, availability and transport of goods from domestic and foreign suppliers, currency exchange fluctuations and volatility, trade restrictions, changes in tariff and freight rates, adoption of or changes in tax and other laws and regulations that affect Walmart's business, costs of compliance with laws and regulations, the outcome of legal proceedings to which Walmart is a party, interest rate fluctuations, changes in employment legislation and other capital market, pandemics, economic and geo-political conditions and events, including civil unrest and terrorist attacks, and other risks. Walmart discusses certain of these matters more fully, as well as certain risk factors that may affect its business operations, financial condition and results of operations, in other of Walmart's filings with the SEC, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009. This Quarterly Report should be read in conjunction with that Annual Report on Form 10-K and all of Walmart's other filings, including Current Reports on Form 8-K, made with the SEC through the date of this report. Walmart urges you to consider all of these risks, uncertainties and other factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report. As a result of these and other matters, including changes in facts, assumptions not being realized or other factors, the actual results relating to the subject matter of any forward-looking statement in this Quarterly Report may differ materially from the anticipated results expressed or implied in that forward-looking statement. The forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report are made only as of the date of this report, and Walmart undertakes no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The following documents are filed as an exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit 3(i)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended January 31, 1989 (which document may be found and reviewed in the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, in the files therein relating to the Company, whose SEC file number is No. 1-6991), the Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation is incorporated herein by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File Number 33-43315) and the Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation is incorporated hereby by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, dated August 11, 1999 (which document may be found and reviewed in the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, in the files therein relating to the Company, whose SEC file number is No. 1-6991).
Exhibit 3(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company are incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company dated March 8, 2005.
Exhibit 12.1*	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
Exhibit 31.1*	Chief Executive Officer Section 302 Certification
Exhibit 31.2*	Chief Financial Officer Section 302 Certification
Exhibit 32.1**	Chief Executive Officer Section 906 Certification
Exhibit 32.2**	Chief Financial Officer Section 906 Certification
Exhibit 99	The information incorporated by reference in Part I, Item 3 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference to the material set forth under the sub-caption "Market Risk" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is contained in Exhibit 13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
Exhibit 101.INS**+	XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.SCH**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
Exhibit 101.CAL**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
Exhibit 101.LAB**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
Exhibit 101.PRE**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* Filed herewith as an Exhibit.

\*\* Furnished herewith as an Exhibit.

+ Submitted electronically with this Quarterly Report.

The Company agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon the Commission's request, the instruments with respect to the Company's Japanese Yen Bonds - Third Series (2009) and Japanese Yen Floating Rate Bonds - Second Series (2009), which are discussed in Note 14 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I. Financial Information, Item 1. Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**WAL-MART STORES, INC.**

Date: September 9, 2009

By: /s/ Michael T. Duke  
Michael T. Duke

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 9, 2009

By: /s/ Thomas M. Schoewe  
Thomas M. Schoewe

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: September 9, 2009

By: /s/ Steven P. Whaley  
Steven P. Whaley

Senior Vice President and Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)



**Index to Exhibits**

The following documents are filed as an exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit 3(i)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended January 31, 1989 (which document may be found and reviewed in the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, in the files therein relating to the Company, whose SEC file number is No. 1-6991), the Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation is incorporated herein by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File Number 33-43315) and the Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation is incorporated hereby by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, dated August 11, 1999 (which document may be found and reviewed in the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, in the files therein relating to the Company, whose SEC file number is No. 1-6991).
Exhibit 3(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company are incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company dated March 8, 2005.
Exhibit 12.1*	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
Exhibit 31.1*	Chief Executive Officer Section 302 Certification
Exhibit 31.2*	Chief Financial Officer Section 302 Certification
Exhibit 32.1**	Chief Executive Officer Section 906 Certification
Exhibit 32.2**	Chief Financial Officer Section 906 Certification
Exhibit 99	The information incorporated by reference in Part I, Item 3 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference to the material set forth under the sub-caption "Market Risk" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is contained in Exhibit 13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
Exhibit 101.INS**+	XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.SCH**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
Exhibit 101.CAL**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
Exhibit 101.LAB**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
Exhibit 101.PRE**+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* Filed herewith as an Exhibit.

\*\* Furnished herewith as an Exhibit.

+ Submitted electronically with this Quarterly Report.