

MSCI Inc.
Form DEF 14A
March 19, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant ☒ x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐ "

Check the appropriate box:

- ☐ Preliminary Proxy Statement
- ☐ **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- ☒ Definitive Proxy Statement
- ☐ Definitive Additional Materials
- ☐ Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

MSCI Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ x No fee required.

☐ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

MSCI Inc.

7 World Trade Center

250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor

New York, New York 10007

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD MAY 1, 2013 2:30 PM, LOCAL TIME

March 19, 2013

Fellow Shareholder:

I cordially invite you to attend MSCI Inc.'s 2013 annual meeting of shareholders to be held at 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10007 on May 1, 2013 at 2:30 PM, local time, for the following purposes:

1. elect members of the Board of Directors;
2. approve, by non-binding vote, our executive compensation, as described in these proxy materials;
3. ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditor; and
4. transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote **FOR** the election of the directors, the advisory approval of our executive compensation and the ratification of the appointment of our independent auditor.

We are pleased to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules again this year that allow us to furnish our proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to many of our shareholders the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instead of a paper copy of our proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement (including proxy card) and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K over the Internet, how to request a paper or e-mail copy of these materials and how to vote in person, by Internet and mail. We believe that posting the proxy materials on the Internet expedites shareholders' receipt of the information that they need, while lowering our costs of printing and delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting of shareholders.

The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials was mailed to our shareholders beginning on or about March 19, 2013. These proxy materials are being made available to our shareholders beginning on or about March 19, 2013.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

As a shareholder of MSCI Inc., your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders in person, it is important that you vote as soon as possible to ensure that your shares are represented. Shareholders may listen to a webcast of our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders by following the instructions that will be available in the Investor Relations section of our website [at www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com).

Thank you for your support of MSCI Inc.

Very truly yours,

Henry A. Fernandez

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting and Voting</u>	1
<u>Item 1 Election of Directors</u>	5
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	9
<u>Corporate Governance Documents</u>	9
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	9
<u>Risk Oversight by the Board</u>	10
<u>Director Independence</u>	10
<u>Board and Committee Meetings</u>	11
<u>Director Qualifications</u>	14
<u>Director Attendance at Annual Meetings</u>	14
<u>Non-Employee Director Meetings</u>	14
<u>Communications with Directors</u>	15
<u>Compensation Governance</u>	15
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	16
<u>Executive Officers of MSCI</u>	16
<u>Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock</u>	17
<u>Stock Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors</u>	17
<u>Principal Shareholders</u>	18
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	20
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	20
<u>Compensation Risk Assessment</u>	33
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	34
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	35
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	36
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	37
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	38
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	38
<u>Item 2 Advisory Approval of our Executive Compensation</u>	40
<u>Director Compensation and Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	41
<u>Director Deferral Plan</u>	41
<u>Fiscal 2012 Non-Employee Director Compensation</u>	42
<u>Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	43
<u>Item 3 Ratification of the Appointment of MSCI Inc.'s Independent Auditor</u>	43
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	45
<u>Other Matters</u>	47
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	47
<u>Certain Transactions</u>	47
<u>Related Person Transactions Policy</u>	48
<u>Other Business</u>	49
<u>Shareholder Nominations for Director Candidates</u>	49
<u>Shareholder Proposals for the 2014 Annual Meeting</u>	49
<u>Shareholders Sharing an Address</u>	49
<u>Consent to Electronic Delivery of Annual Meeting Materials</u>	50
<u>Annex A: Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures</u>	A-1
<u>Supplemental Information Regarding ETF Run Rate</u>	A-2

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be held on May 1, 2013. Our Proxy Statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Table of Contents

MSCI Inc.

7 World Trade Center

250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor

New York, New York 10007

PROXY STATEMENT

2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

to be held May 1, 2013

We are furnishing this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies to be voted at our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders. We are mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials on or about March 19, 2013. This Proxy Statement is being made available to our shareholders on or about March 19, 2013. In this Proxy Statement, we refer to MSCI Inc. as the Company, MSCI, we, our or us and the Board of Directors as the Board.

Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting and Voting

What are the date, time and place of the annual meeting?

We will hold the annual meeting on May 1, 2013 at 2:30 PM, local time, at 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 48th Floor, New York, NY 10007.

Who may vote at the annual meeting?

The record date for the annual meeting is March 6, 2013. If you were the owner of MSCI's common stock as of the close of business on March 6, 2013, you may vote at the annual meeting. Each share of common stock entitles you to one vote on each matter voted on at the annual meeting.

When you arrive at the annual meeting, you must present photo identification, such as a driver's license. Beneficial owners must also provide evidence of stock holdings, such as a recent brokerage account or bank statement.

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of printed proxy materials?

Pursuant to the notice and access rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are making this Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K available to our shareholders over the Internet. As a result, unless you have previously requested electronic access to our proxy materials or the receipt of paper proxy materials, you will receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access this Proxy Statement and our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K over the Internet, how to request a paper or e-mail copy of these materials and how to vote in person, by Internet and mail. We will mail the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials on or about March 19, 2013. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is not a proxy card and cannot be used to vote your shares.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

In addition, if you are voting online, you will be prompted to consent to receiving proxy materials electronically in future years. Choosing to receive your future proxy materials electronically will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials electronically, you will receive an e-mail next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials electronically will remain in effect until you terminate it. See Consent to Electronic Delivery of Annual Meeting Materials on page 50 for further information on electing to receive proxy materials electronically.

Table of Contents

How many shares must be present to hold the annual meeting?

A majority of the shares of our common stock outstanding as of the record date must be present, in person or by proxy, at the annual meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. On the record date, 120,654,101 shares of common stock were outstanding. Your shares are counted as present at the annual meeting if you are present and vote in person at the annual meeting or properly submit your proxy prior to the annual meeting.

What is the difference between holding shares as a record holder and as a beneficial owner of shares held in street name ?

If your shares are registered directly in your name with MSCI's transfer agent, Computershare Shareowner Services LLC, you are considered a shareholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials was sent directly to you.

If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other holder of record, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials was forwarded to you by that organization. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account by using the voting instruction card or by following their instructions for voting by telephone or on the Internet.

How do I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?

Whether you are a shareholder of record or hold your shares in street name, you may direct your vote without attending the annual meeting in person.

If you hold shares as a record holder, you may vote by Internet by following the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you requested printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may also vote by signing and submitting your proxy card and returning it by mail or by submitting your vote by telephone or Internet as described on your proxy card. You should sign your name exactly as it appears on the proxy card. If you are signing in a representative capacity (for example, as guardian, executor, trustee, custodian, attorney or officer of a corporation), you should indicate your name and title or capacity. If you submit a signed proxy card without indicating your vote, the officers of MSCI named as proxies voting the proxy will vote your shares according to the Board's recommendations.

If you hold shares through a broker, follow the voting instructions you receive from your broker. If you provide specific voting instructions by mail, telephone or the Internet, your shares will be voted by your broker nominee as you have directed.

How does the Board recommend that I vote?

The Board unanimously recommends that you vote:

1. FOR the election of the nominees for the Board named in this Proxy Statement;
2. FOR the approval of our executive compensation, as described in this Proxy Statement; and
3. FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditor.

What are broker non-votes and how are they counted at the annual meeting?

Broker non-votes occur when brokers do not receive voting instructions from their customers and the broker does not have discretionary voting authority with respect to a proposal. If you are the beneficial owner of shares

Table of Contents

held in street name and you do not give instructions as to how to vote, your broker may have authority to vote your shares on certain discretionary items but not other, non-discretionary items, as determined by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE):

Discretionary Item. Proposal 3 the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent auditor is a discretionary item. NYSE member brokers that do not receive instructions from beneficial owners may vote on this proposal in their discretion, subject to any voting policies adopted by the broker holding your shares.

Non-discretionary Items. Proposal 1 election of directors and Proposal 2 approve, by non-binding vote, our executive compensation are considered non-discretionary items. NYSE members that do not receive instructions from beneficial owners may not vote on these proposals on behalf of their customers.

Broker non-votes are counted as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business but will not be counted for purposes of the election of directors and the advisory approval of our executive compensation and will therefore have no effect on the outcome of these proposals. This is because each of these proposals requires consideration of the votes cast and broker non-votes are not considered votes cast under the laws of Delaware (our state of incorporation). Therefore, if you hold your shares through a broker, it is critically important that you submit your voting instructions if you want your shares to count in the election of directors and the advisory approval of our executive compensation.

How do I vote my shares in person at the annual meeting?

Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to vote by telephone or Internet, or by returning a proxy card if you requested printed materials. This will ensure that your vote will be counted if you are unable to, or later decide not to, attend the annual meeting. If you are a shareholder of record, you may vote in person by marking and signing the ballot to be provided at the annual meeting. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and you want to vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy in your name from your bank, broker or other record holder and present it at the annual meeting.

Submitting your proxy will not limit your right to vote in person at the annual meeting. A properly completed and submitted proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions, unless you subsequently revoke your instructions.

How many votes are required to approve the election of the directors and how are my votes counted?

Each director will be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast for a director exceeds the number of votes cast against that director. In the event that the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, each director will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast in such a contested election.

Under Delaware law, if the director is not elected at the annual meeting, the director will continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. As required by our Amended and Restated Bylaws (Bylaws), in order for an incumbent director to become a nominee of the Board, each director submitted an irrevocable resignation as director that becomes effective if (i) he or she is not elected by shareholders in an uncontested election and (ii) the Board accepts the resignation. If a director is not elected in an uncontested election, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the director's resignation and recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation. The Board will decide whether to accept or reject the resignation and publicly disclose its decision, including the rationale behind the decision if it rejects the resignation, within 90 days after the election results are certified.

With regard to proposal 1 election of directors, you may vote FOR, AGAINST, or ABSTAIN. An abstention will not count as a vote FOR or AGAINST the election of a director and will therefore have no

Table of Contents

effect on the outcome of the election of our directors in an uncontested election, because abstentions are not considered votes cast under the laws of Delaware (our state of incorporation).

How many votes are required to approve the other proposals and how are my votes counted?

Approval of proposals 2 and 3 each require the affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting and entitled to vote on these proposals. You may vote **FOR**, **AGAINST** or **ABSTAIN** with respect to these proposals.

If you abstain from voting on any of these proposals, your shares will be counted as present for purposes of establishing a quorum at the annual meeting. An abstention will not count as a vote **FOR** or **AGAINST** proposals 2 and 3 at the annual meeting and will have no effect on the outcome, because abstentions are not considered votes cast under the laws of Delaware (our state of incorporation).

How do I revoke or change my proxy?

If you hold your shares as a shareholder of record, you can revoke or change your proxy at any time before your shares are voted by (1) delivering a written revocation notice prior to the annual meeting to the Corporate Secretary, Gary Retelny, MSCI Inc., 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10007; (2) submitting a later proxy that we receive no later than the conclusion of voting at the annual meeting; or (3) voting in person at the annual meeting. Attending the annual meeting does not revoke your proxy unless you vote in person at the meeting.

If you hold your shares as a beneficial holder in street name, you may revoke your proxy by following the instructions your broker, bank or other nominee provides.

Could other matters be decided at the annual meeting?

At the date this Proxy Statement went to press, we did not know of any matters to be raised at the annual meeting other than those referred to in this Proxy Statement.

If you return your signed and completed proxy card or vote by telephone or on the Internet and other matters are properly presented at the annual meeting for consideration, the officers of MSCI named as proxies voting the proxy will have the discretion to vote on those matters for you.

Will the annual meeting be webcast?

You may listen to the annual meeting under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com. Please go to our website prior to the annual meeting to register. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement or any other report filed with the SEC.

Will my vote be confidential?

Our Bylaws provide that your vote is confidential and will not be disclosed to any officer, director or employee of MSCI, except in certain limited circumstances such as when you request or consent to disclosure.

Where can I find the voting results of the annual meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by representatives of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., our inspector of elections, and will be subsequently published by us by the filing of a current report on Form 8-K with the SEC shortly after our annual meeting. These filings will be available on our website under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com.

Table of Contents

Who will pay for the cost of the proxy solicitation?

MSCI will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. Proxies may be solicited on our behalf by directors, officers, employees or agents in person or by telephone, electronic transmission and facsimile transmission. We have hired Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Ave, Stamford, CT 06902 to distribute and solicit proxies. We will pay Morrow & Co. a fee of \$9,000, plus reasonable expenses, for these services.

Item 1 Election of Directors

Our Board currently has eight (8) directors. Each director stands for election at each annual meeting of shareholders and holds office until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified or the director's earlier resignation, death or removal. All of the nominees are directors of MSCI as of March 19, 2013. Each nominee has indicated that he or she will serve if elected. We do not anticipate that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to stand for election, but if that happens, your proxy will be voted for another person nominated by the Board or the Board may elect to reduce its size.

Benjamin F. duPont (49). Mr. duPont is co-founder and President of yet2.com, a firm founded in 1999. Prior to that, Mr. duPont worked for the DuPont Corporation from 1986 to 1999, most recently in the Specialty Chemicals, Fibers and Automotive division. Mr. duPont holds a Bachelor of Science in mechanical engineering from Tufts University.

Director since: 2008

Other Public Company Directorships: Platinum Research Organization, Inc. (April 2007-January 2009)

As co-founder and President of yet2.com, a leading technology and intellectual property marketplace, Mr. duPont brings experience to the Board in the areas of intellectual property and technology evaluation, licensing and development. These areas are vital to MSCI's continued success, as its business depends on the creation, protection, and successful exploitation of its intellectual property. Mr. duPont is a resource for the Board as it assesses MSCI's business development and research and development needs in connection with its internal and external growth strategies. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, he should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Henry A. Fernandez (54). Mr. Fernandez has served as our Chairman since October 2007 and has served as our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), President and as a director since 1998. Prior to joining us, Mr. Fernandez worked for Morgan Stanley from 1983 to 1991 and from 1994 to 2009, most recently as a Managing Director in the Institutional Equity Division. Mr. Fernandez holds a Bachelor of Arts in economics from Georgetown University and an M.B.A. from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Director since: 1998

Because Mr. Fernandez has been the CEO of the Company since 1998 and has been instrumental in the internal and external growth of the Company, the design and execution of the Company's acquisitions of Barra, Inc. in 2004, RiskMetrics Group, Inc. (RiskMetrics), Measurisk, LLC (Measurisk) in 2010, IPD Group Limited, Inc. (IPD) in 2012 and Investor Force Holdings, Inc. (InvestorForce) in 2013, and MSCI's initial public offering (IPO) in 2007, he brings to the Board an unparalleled historical knowledge and depth of understanding of the Company and its businesses. The skills and experience that Mr. Fernandez acquired in founding two private equity investment firms and while working in various areas at Morgan Stanley, including emerging markets product strategy and equity derivative sales and trading, mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance worldwide, have proven invaluable to the Company's continued success following its IPO. These skills will remain vital to the continued success of the Company's day-to-day operations, the successful execution of the Company's strategic growth and the development of competitive strategies. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, he should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Table of Contents

Alice W. Handy (64). Ms. Handy is the founder and Chief Executive Officer of Investure, an outsourced investment office for a small group of colleges and foundations. Prior to forming Investure in 2003, Ms. Handy was the President of the University of Virginia Investment Management Company. Beginning in 1974 and except for the period from November 1988 to January 1990, during which time Ms. Handy served as the State Treasurer of Virginia, she was actively involved in the investment of the endowment and operating funds of the University of Virginia and served over the years as Investment Officer, Assistant Vice President and Treasurer. Ms. Handy was the chair of the board of the Thomas Jefferson Foundation (Monticello) from 2008 to 2010 and is currently an Emeritus Trustee. She also currently serves on the board of the Bessemer Securities Corporation. Ms. Handy holds a Bachelor of Arts in economics from Connecticut College and took graduate courses in economics at the University of Virginia.

Director since: 2009

The experience that Ms. Handy acquired during her long and successful career in investing for and advising endowments across all asset classes and working with a wide variety of asset managers provides the Board with valuable knowledge and insight into important parts of the Company's client base. The Company also leverages her experience in the investment process across all asset classes to enhance its product development strategy and continue to expand its focus beyond equities. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, she should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Catherine R. Kinney (61). Ms. Kinney retired from NYSE Euronext in March 2009. She had served in Paris, France from July 2007 until 2009, with responsibility for overseeing the company's global listing program, marketing and branding. From 2002 to 2008, Ms. Kinney was the President and Co-Chief Operating Officer of the New York Stock Exchange Inc. and, following the Archipelago Exchange merger in 2006 and Euronext merger in 2007, the NYSE Group Inc. and NYSE Euronext, respectively. Ms. Kinney held a variety of management positions at NYSE Euronext and its predecessor entities since joining the company in 1974, including regulation from 2002 to 2004, client relationships from 1996 to 2007 and trading floor operations and technology from 1987 to 1996. Ms. Kinney holds a Bachelor of Arts from Iona College and has completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Graduate School of Business. She has received honorary degrees from Georgetown University, Fordham University and Rosemont College.

Director since: 2009

Other Public Company Directorships: Metlife Inc. (April 2009-present), Netsuite Inc. (March 2009-present)

The Board believes that the leadership and management skills that Ms. Kinney acquired during her 35 year career at the NYSE, where she held high level positions such as Group Executive Vice President of NYSE Euronext and President and Co-Chief Operating Officer of the NYSE, increase the effectiveness of the Board. Additionally, the corporate governance knowledge that Ms. Kinney acquired during her successful career at the NYSE and which she continues to develop led to her appointment to the Chair of the Company's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, from which position, the Board believes she has helped the Company further strengthen its corporate governance initiatives. Ms. Kinney's service on other public company boards also contributes additional insight to the Board with respect to public company processes. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, she should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Linda H. Riefler (52). Ms. Riefler retired from Morgan Stanley in February 2013. Ms. Riefler served as the Chairman of Global Research at Morgan Stanley from June 2011 to February 2013 and prior to that had served as the Global Head of Research since 2008. She was the Chief Talent Officer of Morgan Stanley from 2006 to 2008. In these roles she served on the Management Committee and Operating Committee of Morgan Stanley respectively. Ms. Riefler joined Morgan Stanley in 1987 in the Capital Markets division

Table of Contents

and was elected a Managing Director in 1998 while in the Research division. Ms. Riefler holds a Bachelor of Arts in economics from Princeton University and an M.B.A. from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Director since: 2005

Because Ms. Riefler has been associated with the Company since 2005 and has played an important role in influencing the Company's strategic direction, the Board believes that her in depth knowledge of the Company and its businesses give her a unique insight into the Company's growth opportunities. The knowledge that Ms. Riefler acquired as the Chief Talent Officer of Morgan Stanley helps the Company realize the full potential of its employees and implement its internal growth strategies. Also, the experience Ms. Riefler acquired as Global Head of Research at Morgan Stanley in valuing companies continues to serve an important role with respect to supporting the Board and the Company in the assessment of external growth strategies. Ms. Riefler's experience with debt and equity capital markets and investor needs is also an asset to her insights regarding debt and equity financings, as well as capital allocation strategies. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, she should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

George W. Siguler (65). Mr. Siguler co-founded Siguler Guff & Company (Siguler Guff), a private equity investment organization headquartered in New York with over \$10.5 billion of assets under management. Prior to co-founding Siguler Guff, Mr. Siguler was a Managing Director and head of PaineWebber's Private Equity Group from 1991 to 1995. From 1983 to 1984, Mr. Siguler served in the Reagan Administration as the Chief of Staff of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Mr. Siguler was also a founder of the Harvard Management Company, the investment subsidiary of Harvard University, and served as the Associate Treasurer of the University. Mr. Siguler is currently an Overseer of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University, a member of the Rand Corporation's Center for Asia Pacific Policy and its Russian Business Leaders Forum. He is also a trustee of the Emerging Market Private Equity Association and a member of the Pacific Pension Institute and the Russell 20-20 Group. Mr. Siguler holds a Bachelor of Arts from Amherst College and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

Director since: 2009

In light of the Company's objective to continue to grow its business and presence in emerging markets, the insight into investment related opportunities in emerging markets that Mr. Siguler brings to the Board from his private equity investment experience in such markets makes him an invaluable resource to the Company. In addition to his distinguished record of success in the investment management industry, he has developed expertise in several valued areas including finance, strategic development and operations. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, he should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Patrick Tierney (67). Mr. Tierney retired from Reed-Elsevier in February 2008, where he had served on the Board of Directors and Management Committee since January 2003 and served as the CEO of its Harcourt Education Division from January 2003 to February 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Tierney served as the CEO of Thomson Financial from June 2000 to December 2002 and as CEO of the Scientific, Technical, Healthcare, Business Information, Database and select Education business of The Thomson Corporation from June 1997 to June 2000. Mr. Tierney holds a Bachelor of Science in business and an M.B.A. from the University of Colorado.

Director since: 2010

As the former CEO of Reed-Elsevier's Harcourt Education Division and Thomson Financial, Mr. Tierney is a recognized executive with knowledge and leadership skills acquired in the publishing and electronic business information industry that the Company believes provide industry-relevant support and counsel to help guide the strategic direction of the Company. Additionally, the financial expertise that

Table of Contents

Mr. Tierney developed during his long and successful career in various management positions is useful to the Board in its role as an effective and independent governing body. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, he should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve on the Board.

Rodolphe M. Vallee (52). Mr. Vallee is currently the Chairman and CEO and owner of R. L. Vallee, Inc., an energy distribution company. Mr. Vallee has held this position from 2007 to present and from 1992 to 2005. Mr. Vallee served as the United States Ambassador to the Slovak Republic from 2005 to 2007, before returning to R. L. Vallee, Inc. Mr. Vallee holds a Bachelor of Arts in biology from Williams College and an M.B.A. from The Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Director since: 2008

Mr. Vallee's experience with managing both internal and acquisition related growth has proven to be integral to the Board successfully realizing its strategic direction. Through this experience, he has developed expertise in several valued areas, including strategic development, business development and finance. Mr. Vallee has also served as the Lead Director of our Board since January 2010 and was recently appointed to serve in this position for an additional year. In this position, he has proven to be a strong and independent leader with vision, which complements his knowledge of MSCI, its financial position and its operations. See Corporate Governance Board Leadership Structure below. For these reasons, the Board has concluded that, as of the date of this Proxy Statement, he should be re-elected at the annual meeting to continue to serve as a member of the Board.

Our Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the election of all eight (8) nominees. Proxies solicited by our Board will be voted FOR these nominees unless otherwise instructed.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Corporate Governance Documents. MSCI has a corporate governance website at the Corporate Governance link under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com.

Our Corporate Governance Policies (including our Director Independence Standards), Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and Board Committee charters are available at our corporate governance website at the Corporate Governance link under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com and are available without charge to any shareholder who requests them by writing to our Corporate Secretary, Gary Retelny, MSCI Inc., 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10007 or investor.relations@msci.com.

Our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applies to our directors, executive officers and employees. If we make any substantive amendment to, or grant a waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for our chief executive officer (sometimes referred to as the CEO), chief financial officer (sometimes referred to as the CFO), principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions, we will satisfy the applicable SEC disclosure requirement by promptly disclosing the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com.

Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement or any other report filed with the SEC.

Board Leadership Structure. Henry A. Fernandez has served as our Chairman of the Board since MSCI's IPO in November 2007 and CEO since 1998. The Board has determined that this leadership structure is appropriate for MSCI since Mr. Fernandez has an unparalleled historical knowledge and depth of understanding of the Company and its businesses. This provides the Company with consistent leadership that has proven to be strong throughout the evolution of the Company. In addition, given continuing volatility in the financial markets, the Board believes that having one person serving as both the Chairman and the CEO provides decisive and effective leadership, which permits the Company to execute on its growth strategies.

Our Corporate Governance Policies provide that an independent Lead Director will be appointed annually whose responsibilities include, among others, presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present, calling and leading independent director and non-employee director sessions, facilitating communication between the Chairman and the non-employee and independent directors, advising the Chairman of the Board's informational needs and meeting agendas, and to be available, if requested by major shareholders, for consultation and direct communication.

While the Lead Director is elected annually, it is generally expected that he or she will serve for more than one year to provide consistency and continuity. Rodolphe M. Vallee has been our Lead Director since 2010 and was re-elected to this position in February 2013.

The Board understands that there is no single, generally accepted approach to providing Board leadership and that given the dynamic and competitive environment in which we operate, the right Board leadership structure may vary according to the circumstances. A number of factors support the leadership structure chosen by the Board, including, among others, a Board that consists of a substantial majority of independent directors who are highly qualified and experienced and exercise a strong, independent oversight function, the appointment of a strong and independent Lead Director and regular executive sessions of non-management directors and periodic executive sessions of the independent directors. Also, the Company's Bylaws and Corporate Governance Policies contemplate a structure where the CEO would not also serve as the Chairman of the Board should that be deemed appropriate and in the best interest of MSCI and its shareholders. The Board believes that these factors provide the appropriate balance between the authority of those who oversee the Company and those who manage it on a day-to-day basis.

Table of Contents

Risk Oversight by the Board. The Board executes its oversight responsibility for risk management directly and through its committees, as follows:

The Audit Committee has the primary responsibility of reviewing the Company's policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including discussing with management the Company's major financial risk exposure and the steps that have been taken to monitor and control such exposures. It is the responsibility of senior management to determine the appropriate level of the Company's exposure to risk. Each quarter, the Audit Committee's meeting agenda includes a discussion of the quarterly risk report which includes areas of potential risk that are identified based on the volatility or dynamic nature of a specific area, underlying or market risk, or identified by management or the Audit Committee.

The Company's Head of Internal Audit reports independently to the Audit Committee.

The Compensation Committee oversees risks associated with our compensation policies and practices with respect to both executive compensation and compensation generally.

The Board is kept informed of its committees' risk oversight and other activities via reports of the committee chairmen to the full Board. These reports are presented at every regular Board meeting. The Board considers specific risk topics, including risks associated with our strategic plan, our capital structure and our development activities. In addition, the Board also receives a copy of the quarterly risk report. Management routinely informs the Board of developments that could affect our risk profile or other aspects of our business.

Director Independence. Our Corporate Governance Policies provide that the Board should have a significant majority of independent directors meeting the independence requirements of the NYSE. Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. duPont, Siguler, Tierney and Vallee and Mmes. Handy, Kinney and Riefler is independent under the requirements of our Corporate Governance Policies, which follow the NYSE rules and established guidelines. While Mr. Scott M. Sipprelle did not stand for re-election at our 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, he served on our Board from January 1, 2012 through May 2, 2012. Mr. Sipprelle also met the independence requirements of our Corporate Governance Policies and the NYSE rules. In making such determinations, there were no transactions, relationships or arrangements not disclosed herein under "Other Matters" or "Certain Transactions" to be considered by the Board in determining whether the director was independent. As of February 3, 2013, Ms. Riefler was no longer employed or otherwise affiliated with Morgan Stanley. In connection with the assessment of her independence, the Board determined in March 2013 that Ms. Riefler's prior affiliation with Morgan Stanley would not interfere with the exercise of her independent judgment in carrying out her responsibilities as a director. Therefore, seven (7) of eight (8) of our current directors are independent. Mr. Fernandez is not independent because of his status as Chief Executive Officer and President of MSCI.

All members of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE. In addition, each member of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee meets the heightened independence standards required for audit committee and compensation committee members, respectively.

Table of Contents

Board and Committee Meetings. Our Board met sixteen (16) times, held nine (9) executive sessions and took action by unanimous written consent on one occasion during fiscal 2012. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board and committees on which the director served that were held while the director was a member. The Board's separately designated standing committees and current composition are set forth below.

Name of Director	Audit Committee ⁽¹⁾	Compensation Committee ⁽²⁾	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ⁽³⁾
Independent Directors:			
Benjamin F. duPont		Chair	
Alice W. Handy			ü
Catherine R. Kinney		ü	Chair
George W. Siguler	ü		
Patrick Tierney	ü		ü
Rodolphe M. Vallee	Chair	ü	
Linda H. Riefler ⁽⁴⁾			
Non-Independent Directors:			
Henry A. Fernandez			
Number of Fiscal 2012 Meetings	8	8	6

(1) During fiscal 2012, Mr. duPont served as a member of the Audit Committee until May 2, 2012.

(2) During fiscal 2012, Mr. Vallee served as Chair of the Compensation Committee until May 2, 2012 at which time Mr. duPont was appointed the Chair of the Compensation Committee.

(3) During fiscal 2012, Messrs. Siguler and Vallee served as members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee until May 2, 2012. Mr. Tierney was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on May 2, 2012.

(4) On March 12, 2013, the Board determined that Ms. Riefler satisfied the independence requirements set forth in the MSCI Corporate Governance Policies and rules and guidelines of the NYSE. See Corporate Governance Director Independence above.

Our Board has adopted a written charter for each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee setting forth the roles and responsibilities of each committee. The charters are available at our corporate governance website at the Corporate Governance link under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement or any other report filed with the SEC.

Audit Committee

MSCI's separately designated standing Audit Committee was established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). The Audit Committee oversees the integrity of MSCI's financial statements and compliance with certain legal and regulatory requirements. Under its Charter, the Audit Committee shall, among other things:

be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the independent auditor, with such independent auditor reporting directly to the Audit Committee;

pre-approve audit and permitted non-audit services;

review and approve the scope and staffing of the independent auditor's annual audit plans;

evaluate the independent auditor's qualifications, performance and independence, including obtaining and reviewing a report of the independent auditor describing the items set forth in the Charter and as required by NYSE rules;

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

evaluate the performance, responsibilities, budget and staffing of the Company's internal audit function and review the internal audit plan;

meet to review and discuss with management and the independent auditor, the annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements, including reviewing MSCI's specific disclosures under

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, prior to the filing of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K or Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as applicable;

review the Company's policies generally with respect to the Company's earnings press releases, as well as financial information and any earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies;

review with the independent auditor any audit problems or difficulties and management's response;

review, in conjunction with the CEO and CFO, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting;

review the Company's policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including discussing with management the Company's major financial risk exposures and steps that have been taken to monitor and control such exposures;

prepare the Audit Committee Report that SEC rules require to be included in the Company's annual proxy statement, which is included on page 45 of this Proxy Statement;

establish procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding questionable accounting controls or auditing matters and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by the Company's employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, and review any submissions received pursuant to such procedures;

report periodically to the Board and conduct an annual evaluation of its performance and report to the Board on such evaluation; and

establish policies for the hiring of current or former employees of the independent auditor;

The Audit Committee Charter also provides that:

the Audit Committee will be comprised of at least three members and each member must meet the independence and experience requirements of the NYSE and SEC; and

all Audit Committee members must be financially literate under the NYSE rules and the Audit Committee must have at least one (i) member with accounting or related financial management expertise as defined by NYSE rules and (ii) audit committee financial expert as defined by SEC rules.

The Board has determined that each of the Audit Committee members, Messrs. Siguler, Tierney and Vallee, are independent within the meaning of the NYSE and SEC standards of independence for directors and audit committee members and satisfy the NYSE financial literacy requirements. In addition, our Board has designated Mr. Vallee an audit committee financial expert as defined by SEC rules.

Compensation Committee

Under its Charter, the Compensation Committee shall, among other things:

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

review the Company's compensation strategy and review and approve the Company's compensation and benefits policies generally, including reviewing and approving any incentive-compensation and equity-based plans of the Company that are subject to Board approval;

review and approve the compensation of our CEO and each of the Company's other executive officers, including base salary and annual cash and equity incentive compensation, employment, severance and change-in-control agreements and any other compensation, ongoing perquisites or special benefit items;

identify corporate goals and objectives and evaluate each executive officer's performance in light of such goals and objectives;

review every two years and recommend to the Board, when appropriate, director compensation;

oversee plans for management development and succession;

Table of Contents

administer our equity-based compensation plans;

review and discuss the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, prepare the Compensation Committee Report required by SEC rules and recommend to the Board the inclusion of each in the Company's annual proxy statement, which are included on pages 20 and 34 of this Proxy Statement, respectively;

review and assess risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices for the Company's employees and whether any such risks are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company;

consider the independence requirements of the NYSE (if any) prior to selecting a compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor for which it has the sole authority to retain and terminate and to approve all such related fees and other terms;

report periodically to the Board and conduct an annual evaluation of its performance and report to the Board on such evaluation; and

delegate its authority to subcommittees or the Chairman of the Compensation Committee when it deems it appropriate and in the best interests of the Company.

The Compensation Committee Charter also provides that:

the Compensation Committee will be comprised of at least three members and each member must meet the independence requirements of the NYSE, qualify as a non-employee director for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and satisfy the requirements of outside director pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (IRC); and

Compensation Committee members are appointed by the Board and may be removed by the Board at any time and the Board shall designate the Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

The Board has determined that each of the Compensation Committee members, Messrs. duPont and Vallee and Ms. Kinney, are independent within the meaning of the NYSE standards of independence for director independence, non-employee directors for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and outside directors pursuant to Section 162(m) of the IRC.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Under its Charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee shall, among other things:

oversee searches for and identify candidates for election to the Board;

recommend criteria and individuals for appointment to the Board and its committees;

assess performance of directors;

periodically review the composition of the Board and its committees and consider rotation of committee members and committee Chairmen;

at least annually, lead the Board in a self-evaluation to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively;

make recommendations to the Board as to determinations of director independence;

at least annually, review and assess the adequacy of our Corporate Governance Policies and Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for the Company and oversee compliance therewith;

report periodically to the Board and conduct an annual evaluation of its performance and report to the Board on such evaluation;

delegate its authority to subcommittees or the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee when it deems it appropriate and in the best interests of the Company;

Table of Contents

review all related party transactions and determine whether such transactions are appropriate for the Company to undertake; and

retain and terminate any search firm assisting the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in identifying director candidates, including sole authority to approve all such search firm's fees and other retention terms.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter also provides that:

the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will be comprised of at least three members and each member must meet the independence requirements of the NYSE;

independent members of the Board recommend nominees for appointment by the Board to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and such members may be removed by the Board at any time; and

recommend to the Board for designation, the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The Board has determined that each of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee members, Mmes. Kinney and Handy and Mr. Tierney, are independent within the meaning of the NYSE standards of independence for director independence.

Director Qualifications. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's Charter requires it to review candidates' qualifications for membership on the Board or a committee of the Board, including making a specific determination as to the independence of each candidate, based on the criteria approved by the Board (and taking into account the enhanced independence, financial literacy and financial expertise standards that may be required under law or NYSE rules for Audit Committee membership purposes, and heightened independence standards that may be required under law for Compensation Committee membership purposes). If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines that adding a new director is advisable, it will recommend such individual to the Board for appointment to the Company's Board.

Consistent with our Corporate Governance Policies, when appointing directors, the Board takes into account the diversity of a candidate's perspectives, background, and other demographics. Our diversity objectives are also implemented and monitored through periodic reviews by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the composition of the Board and its committees in light of the then-current challenges and needs of the Board, the Company and each committee, which result in determinations as to whether it may be appropriate to add or remove individuals after considering issues of judgment, diversity, age, skills, background and experience. The composition of our current Board demonstrates the Board's commitment to diversity in a number of areas as it is comprised of women and men of differing backgrounds, educations, businesses and other experiences, skills, ages, national origins and viewpoints. See Item 1 Election of Directors.

Pursuant to the authority granted in its Charter, from time to time, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee retains search firms to assist in the process of identifying director candidates.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings. The Company's Corporate Governance Policies state that directors are expected to attend annual meetings of shareholders. Seven of our eight directors that were directors at the time of the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders attended the meeting.

Non-Employee Director Meetings. The Company's Corporate Governance Policies provide that non-employee directors may meet in executive sessions and that the Lead Director will preside over these executive sessions. If any non-employee directors are not independent, then the independent directors will meet at least once per year in executive session and the Lead Director will preside over such independent director sessions, provided that so long as the Lead Director is not an independent director, the independent directors will appoint an independent director to call and lead the independent director sessions. The Lead Director presided over nine (9) non-employee director executive sessions.

Table of Contents

Communications with Directors. Shareholders and other interested parties may contact any member (or all members) of the Board by mail. To communicate with the Board, any individual director or any group or committee of directors, correspondence should be addressed to the Board or any such individual director or group or committee of directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent to the Corporate Secretary, Gary Retelny, MSCI Inc., 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10007. Any communication to report potential issues regarding accounting, internal controls and other auditing matters should be marked "Personal and Confidential" and sent to MSCI Inc., 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor, New York, New York 10007, Attention: Chair of the Audit Committee of MSCI Inc., in care of Frederick W. Bogdan, General Counsel. Our Procedures for Submission of Ethical or Accounting Related Complaints are available at our corporate governance website at the Corporate Governance link under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement or any other report filed with the SEC.

Compensation Governance. The Compensation Committee consists of three (3) members, and is comprised solely of independent directors meeting the independence requirements of the NYSE and being defined as non-employee directors under Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act. Each member of the Compensation Committee is also an outside director pursuant to Section 162(m) of the IRC.

The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. As noted above, the Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving annually all compensation awarded to the Company's executive officers, including the CEO and the other named executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table herein. In addition, the Compensation Committee administers the Company's equity incentive plans, including reviewing and approving equity grants to executive officers. Information on the Compensation Committee's processes, procedures and analysis of executive officer compensation for fiscal 2012 is addressed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section herein.

The Compensation Committee actively engages in its duties and follows procedures intended to ensure excellence in compensation governance, including those described below:

Identifying corporate goals and objectives relevant to executive officer compensation.

Evaluating each executive officer's performance in light of such goals and objectives and setting each executive officer's compensation based on such evaluation and such other factors as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate and in the best interests of the Company (including, among other things, the cost to the Company of such compensation, market trends and share dilution).

Determining any long-term incentive component of each executive officer's compensation based on awards given to such executive officer in past years, the Company's performance, shareholder return and the value of similar incentive awards at comparable companies and such other factors as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate and in the best interests of the Company (including, among other things, the cost to the Company of such compensation, market trends and share dilution).

Reviewing every two years and making recommendations to the Board with respect to the compensation of non-employee directors, including any incentive plan compensation.

Reviewing and approving the Company's compensation peer group as reported in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the annual proxy statement, to the extent applicable.

Considering the independence, prior to selection, of compensation consultants, legal counsel or other advisors.

The principal compensation plans and arrangements applicable to our executive officers are described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the executive compensation tables herein. The Compensation Committee may delegate the administration of these plans as appropriate, including to one or more officers of the Company, subcommittees of the Board or to the Chairperson of the Compensation Committee when it deems it appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and as consistent with legal and NYSE requirements.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant assisting the Compensation Committee in the evaluation of CEO or executive officer compensation, including authority to approve all such compensation consultant's fees and other retention terms. As further described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section herein, during fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee retained Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (Semler Brossy) as its own external compensation consultant to review CEO and other executive officer compensation. All of the services provided by Semler Brossy during fiscal 2012 were to the Compensation Committee to provide advice or recommendations on the amount or form of executive officer and director compensation, and Semler Brossy did not provide any additional services to the Company during fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee has considered, among other things, the factors delineated in Rule 10C-1 of the Exchange Act (and the proposed NYSE listing rules implementing Rule 10C-1) and Semler Brossy's conflict of interest policies, and determined that the engagement of Semler Brossy does not raise any conflict of interest. In developing its views on compensation matters and determining the compensation awarded to our executive officers, the Committee obtains input from the Human Resources department, which provides data and analyses to aid the Compensation Committee in its decisions. The CEO also makes recommendations on compensation for executive officers other than himself and the Committee takes these recommendations into account in reaching its compensation decisions.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. None.

Executive Officers of MSCI. The executive officers of MSCI and their ages and titles as of March 19, 2013 are set forth below. Business experience for the past five years is provided in accordance with SEC rules.

Henry A. Fernandez (54) has served as Chairman since October 2007 and has served as CEO, President and Director since 1998.

Roveen Bhansali (49) has served as the Head of the Risk Management Analytics Product Line since June 2010. From 2004 to June 2010, Mr. Bhansali was Head of MSCI Barra's Portfolio Analytics business, which was comprised of Equity, Fixed Income and Multi-Asset Class Analytics product lines.

David C. Brierwood (52) has served as Chief Operating Officer since 2006. Prior to joining us, Mr. Brierwood worked for Morgan Stanley from 1986 to 2006, most recently as Chief Operating Officer of Morgan Stanley's Institutional and Retail Securities Group.

Robert Qutub (51) has served as Chief Financial Officer since August 2012. Prior to joining MSCI, Mr. Qutub worked for Bank of America from 1994 to 2012 in several senior finance positions, most recently as Chief Financial Officer of Consumer and Business Banking.

C.D. Baer Pettit (48) has served as Head of the Index Product Line since September 2011. Prior to that he served as Head of Client Coverage from 2001 to August 2012 and Head of Marketing from 2005 to August 2012. Prior to joining MSCI, Mr. Pettit worked for Bloomberg L.P. from 1992 to 1999, most recently as Deputy Head of European Sales.

Gary Retelny (54) was appointed President of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) and Head of the Governance Business Segment in September 2011. Mr. Retelny has also served as Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate Secretary since November 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Retelny served as Head of Strategy and Business Development from December 2003 to July 2012. He also served as the Global Head of Human Resources from June 2010 to August 2012 and Head of Internal Audit from 2008 to November 2012.

Peter Zangari (46) has served as the Head of the Portfolio Management Analytics Product Line since August 2011. Prior to joining MSCI, Mr. Zangari held progressively senior-level positions at Goldman Sachs since 1998, most recently as the Head of Risk and a member of the leadership team for the Quantitative Investment Strategies (QIS) business of Goldman Sachs Asset Management (GSAM). Prior to joining QIS, Mr. Zangari was responsible for building out and managing GSAM's proprietary equity risk and attribution platform.

Table of Contents**Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock**

Stock Ownership of Executive Officers and Directors. We require our executive officers and directors to own our common stock; owning our common stock aligns their interests with the interests of our shareholders. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Stock Ownership Guidelines and Director Compensation and Stock Ownership Guidelines Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines below for additional information regarding our ownership guidelines for our executive officers and directors, respectively. Executive officers and directors are prohibited from pledging the Company's common stock or engaging in short sales, purchases or sales of puts or calls and trading on a short-term basis in the Company's common stock.

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each of our named executive officers and directors, and by all of our directors and executive officers as of March 6, 2013, as a group. The address for each of the named executive officers and directors is 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, 49th Floor, New York, NY 10007. Percentage of share ownership amounts are based on 120,654,101 shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 6, 2013.

	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned			Percent of Class ⁽⁴⁾
	Shares ⁽¹⁾	Right to Acquire ⁽²⁾	Beneficial Ownership Total ⁽³⁾	
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS				
Henry A. Fernandez	1,287,807 ⁽⁵⁾	569,720	1,857,527	1.53%
David C. Brierwood	790,986	177,618	968,604	%
Robert Qutub				%
C.D. Baer Pettit	107,225	80,431	187,656	%
Gary Retelny	38,277		38,277	%
DIRECTORS				
Benjamin F. duPont	15,536	2,556	18,092	%
Alice W. Handy	6,912		6,912	%
Catherine R. Kinney	5,641		5,641	%
Linda H. Riefler	2,572	2,556	5,128	%
George W. Siguler	14,397	2,556	16,953	%
Patrick J. Tierney	4,056	2,556	6,612	%
Rodolphe M. Vallee	20,438	3,267	23,705	%
ALL EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS AS OF MARCH 6, 2013 AS A GROUP (14 PERSONS)	2,326,652	852,152	3,178,804	1.93%

- (1) Excludes shares of our common stock that may be acquired through vesting of restricted stock units (RSUs), including performance based RSUs, or the exercise of stock options. Excludes 2,414 and 710 shares for Mmes. Handy and Kinney, respectively, for which such directors have elected to defer receipt of their respective shares until the 60th day after such director's separation from service as a director.
- (2) Includes shares of our common stock that can be acquired through vesting of RSUs and the exercise of stock options within 60 days of the date of this table. See the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table herein for additional information regarding RSUs and stock options held by executive officers as of December 31, 2012. Excludes 2,556 RSUs for each of Mmes. Handy and Kinney for which such directors have elected to defer receipt of their respective shares issuable upon vesting until the 60th day after such director's separation from service as a director.
- (3) Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table, we believe that each executive officer and director as of March 6, 2013, had sole voting and investment power with respect to his or her shares. Beneficial Ownership Totals may differ from those set forth in Form 4s filed with the SEC.
- (4) All executive officers and directors (other than Mr. Fernandez) each beneficially owned less than 1.0% of the shares of our common stock outstanding. Percentages for each beneficial owner are calculated in accordance with Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Exchange Act. Percentages for all executive officers and

Table of Contents

directors as of March 6, 2013 as a group are based on the number of our shares outstanding as of March 6, 2013, which does not include shares of common stock that can be acquired through vesting of RSUs and the exercise of stock options.

- (5) Includes 371,349 shares of our common stock held by Grantor Retained Annuity Trusts in which Mr. Fernandez is the trustee and sole annuitant; 213,541 shares of our common stock held by the Fernandez 2007 Childrens Trust in which the spouse of Mr. Fernandez is the trustee and his children are the beneficiaries; and 12,255 shares of common stock held by his children under the Uniform Transfer to Minors Act.

Principal Shareholders. The following table contains information regarding the only persons we know of that beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock. Percentage of class amounts are based on 120,654,101 shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 6, 2013.

Name and Address	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	
	Number of Shares	Percentage of Class ⁽¹⁾
BlackRock, Inc.	6,377,563 ⁽²⁾	5.29%
40 East 52 nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		
Generation Investment Management LLP	7,826,633 ⁽³⁾	6.49%
20 Air Street, 7 th Floor		
London W1B 5AN		
United Kingdom		
Independent Franchise Partners, LLP	7,159,936 ⁽⁴⁾	5.93%
20 Balderton Street		
London, W1K 6TL		
United Kingdom		
Janus Capital Management LLC	7,489,156 ⁽⁵⁾	6.21%
151 Detroit Street		
Denver, Colorado 80206		
Morgan Stanley, Morgan Stanley Investment Management, Inc.	13,915,317 ⁽⁶⁾	11.53%
1585 Broadway		
New York, NY 10036		
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.	13,817,288 ⁽⁷⁾	11.45%
100 E. Pratt Street		
Baltimore, MD 21202		
ValueAct Capital Master Fund, L.P., VA Partners I, LLC, ValueAct Capital Management, L.P., ValueAct Capital Management, LLC, ValueAct Holdings, L.P., and ValueAct Holdings GP, LLC	6,159,213 ⁽⁸⁾	5.10%
435 Pacific Avenue, Fourth Floor		
San Francisco, CA 94133		

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Because percentage of class ownership is based on the total number of shares outstanding as of a date that differs from the date used by the principal shareholders to calculate the percentages for purposes of filing the applicable Schedule 13D, 13D/A, 13G or 13G/A, percentages of class ownership presented herein may differ from amounts reported in the applicable Schedule 13D, 13D/A, 13G or 13G/A filed with the SEC by the relevant principal shareholder.
- (2) Based on information in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 30, 2013. The Schedule 13G discloses that BlackRock, Inc. had sole voting power and sole investment power as to 6,377,563 shares of our common stock. In addition, the Schedule 13G discloses that the person filing the report is a parent holding company or control person in accordance with §240.13(d)-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) and the identification and classification of the subsidiaries which acquired the securities being reported on are provided on Exhibit A thereto.

Table of Contents

- (3) Based on information in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013. The Schedule 13G/A discloses that Generation Investment Management LLP had sole voting power as to 5,485,793 shares of our common stock and sole investment power as to 7,826,633 shares of our common stock.
- (4) Based on information in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 25, 2013. The Schedule 13G reported that Independent Franchise Partners, LLP had sole voting power as to 4,887,532 shares of our common stock, shared voting power as to 157,204 shares of our common stock and sole dispositive power as to 7,159,936 shares of our common stock. In addition, the Schedule 13G discloses that the person filing the report is a parent holding company or control person in accordance with §240.13(d)-1(b)(1)(ii)(G).
- (5) Based on information in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013. The Schedule 13G disclosed that 7,489,156 shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by Janus Capital Management LLC (Janus Capital) an investment adviser as well as a parent holding company, and on behalf of INTECH Investment Management, a registered investment adviser in which Janus Capital has a direct ownership stake of 95.67%; Perkins Investment Management LLC, a registered investment adviser, in which Janus Capital has a direct ownership stake of 77.8%.
- (6) Based on information in a Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No. 5) filed with the SEC on February 13, 2013. The Schedule 13G/A discloses that the securities reported to be held by Morgan Stanley as a parent holding company are owned, or may be deemed to be beneficially owned, by Morgan Stanley Investment Management, Inc. (MSIM), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley and investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E). The Schedule 13G/A reported that: (i) Morgan Stanley had sole voting power as to 13,729,010 shares of our common stock and sole investment power as to 13,915,317 shares of our common stock and (ii) MSIM had sole voting power as to 13,729,010 shares of our common stock and sole investment power as to 13,915,317 shares of our common stock.
- (7) Based on information in a Schedule 13G/A (Amendment No 5) filed with the SEC on February 7, 2013. The Schedule 13G/A discloses that T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates) had sole dispositive power for 13,817,288 shares of our common stock and sole voting power for 3,210,804 shares of our common stock. These securities are owned by various individual and institutional investors which Price Associates serves as investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. For purposes of the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities.
- (8) Based on information in a Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on November 28, 2012. The Schedule 13D discloses that ValueAct Capital Master Fund, L.P. is the beneficial owner of 6,159,213 shares of our common stock, which shares may also be deemed to be beneficially owned by VA Partners I, LLC, ValueAct Capital Management, L.P., ValueAct Capital Management, LLC, ValueAct Holdings, L.P. and ValueAct Holdings GP, LLC.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This compensation discussion and analysis summarizes our general philosophy with regard to the compensation of our CEO, our CFO and our three next highest paid executive officers (together with our former CFO, collectively referred to as named executive officers or NEOs) during fiscal 2012. It provides a context for the executive compensation disclosure, both narrative and tabular that follows. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee of the Board (referred to as the Committee in this Executive Compensation section) reviews the Company's compensation strategy and reviews and approves NEO compensation as well as the Company's compensation and benefits policies generally. The Committee approved the compensation structure and amounts for each of our named executive officers for fiscal 2012.

Executive Summary

Our executive compensation program is designed to link pay to performance, encourage prudent decision making and risk management, and create a balanced focus on short-term and long-term performance. MSCI's overriding objective is to create value for our shareholders. For us to accomplish this objective, we need to drive growth through increased product penetration and innovation while maximizing operational efficiency. In the dynamic environment in which we operate, it is imperative that we maintain an adaptive executive compensation program that encourages and rewards our leaders for achieving these results. Set forth below, we have highlighted some of the key aspects of our financial performance in fiscal 2012 and the compensation actions taken with respect to fiscal 2012 which demonstrate the link between compensation and performance.

2012 Business Highlights

The Company delivered strong financial and operating performance in fiscal 2012. Some key highlights of our fiscal 2012 performance are outlined below.

Grew operating revenues by 5.5%, from \$900.9 million in fiscal 2011 to \$950.1 million in fiscal 2012.

Increased net income by 6.2%, from \$173.5 million in fiscal 2011 to \$184.2 million in fiscal 2012.

Increased Adjusted EBITDA¹ by 3.8%, from \$418.7 million in fiscal 2011 to \$434.5 million in fiscal 2012, with an Adjusted EBITDA margin of 45.7%.

Grew diluted EPS by 5.0%, from \$1.41 in fiscal 2011 to \$1.48 in fiscal 2012.

Completed the acquisition of IPD, a leading provider of real estate performance analysis.

Repaid more than \$200 million of debt, entered into a \$100 million accelerated share repurchase agreement and authorized an additional \$200 million share repurchase program.

Key Fiscal 2012 Compensation Decisions

We believe the Company's executive compensation program continues to provide effective incentives to our named executive officers in leading the Company to achieve strong performance and motivate them to position the Company for future growth and success. As a result, we did not implement major changes to our executive compensation program, although we reviewed our program to determine whether any changes were appropriate to further the Company's strategic objectives. Instead, we continued to focus on and reinforce the link between executive pay and company performance by emphasizing the use of equity compensation as a significant

- ¹ Net Income before income taxes, other net expense and income, depreciation, amortization, non-recurring stock-based compensation, restructuring costs, and the lease exit charge. See Annex A for reconciliations to GAAP.

Table of Contents

component of total reward and, in particular, utilizing performance stock units as a substantial portion of equity compensation as described below.

Our key fiscal 2012 compensation decisions included the following:

While the Company's overall 2012 financial performance was strong despite a difficult operating environment, performance fell short with regard to several key 2012 objectives. In recognition of this performance, consistent with the pay for performance philosophy that drives our executive compensation program, we decreased the CEO's total reward by 10% as compared to fiscal 2011. Furthermore, total reward amounts for the other named executive officers (excluding Mr. Obstler, our former CFO, and Mr. Qutub who joined MSCI on July 9, 2012) were decreased in comparison to 2011. Total reward is comprised of base salary, annual cash bonus and an annual equity bonus.

Our compensation program continued to be weighted heavily toward incentive compensation, with base salary being the only component of an NEO's total reward that was fixed. Incentive compensation averaged 80% of total compensation awarded to NEOs (excluding Mr. Obstler) for fiscal 2012.

We again emphasized our focus on Company financial performance and growth by awarding 50% of annual equity compensation in the form of performance stock units (PSUs) that will be subject to both time vesting and performance vesting requirements. The remaining 50% of NEOs' equity compensation for fiscal 2012 was awarded in the form of restricted stock units (RSUs).

Stock ownership guidelines were introduced to further ensure that long-term interests of our named executive officers and other senior leaders are aligned with those of shareholders.

A clawback policy was established that applies to all incentive compensation awarded to executive officers, beginning with their awards for fiscal 2012.

With the help of its external compensation consultant, Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (Semler Brossy), the Committee invested considerable time to understand the relevant external and internal economic and business factors affecting NEO pay for fiscal 2012. The Committee reviewed peer compensation analyses, kept apprised of changing government regulations affecting pay and reviewed the performance of our named executive officers and the Company as a whole. Based on its evaluation of these factors, with particular emphasis on Company and individual performance, and in consideration of each NEO's experience and abilities, the Committee believes the NEO pay levels approved for fiscal 2012 performance are appropriate and reflect the implementation of our compensation philosophy. See page 30 for the Committee's 2012 total reward decisions.

Executive Compensation Philosophy and Goals

Our compensation philosophy continues to be straightforward. We aim to maintain a compensation program for our named executive officers that promotes achievement of our strategic goals. These Company strategic goals include sustaining, protecting and building our existing businesses, developing next generation products and pursuing growth opportunities. Our executive compensation program is designed to provide a framework to implement this philosophy and encourage our named executive officers to make a long-term commitment to the Company.

The primary objectives of our compensation program are to:

Promote achievement of the Company's strategic goals.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Attract, retain and motivate top-level talent. Our compensation program should provide each named executive officer with a total compensation program that is market competitive and rewards individual performance. We seek to maintain a competitive posture in attracting and retaining executives, while maintaining an appropriate cost structure. Accordingly, we use market data prepared by third parties to

Table of Contents

annually assess the competitiveness of our compensation levels and, where appropriate, adjust compensation amounts to account for such factors as the executive's level of experience, responsibilities and expected future contributions.

Reward executives for individual and team accomplishments, as well as Company performance. Our compensation program is structured to tie rewards to performance. Accordingly, the program is weighted toward variable compensation, with base salary being the only component of named executive officers' total reward that is fixed each year.

Align the long-term interests of our executives with those of our shareholders. Our compensation program is designed to do this by promoting actions that will increase long-term total shareholder return. Accordingly, a significant element of the program is equity-based because we believe that aligning the incentives of management with the interests of shareholders will promote our long-term success.

The Committee recognizes that our executives are essential to our continued business success. Therefore, we have implemented an executive compensation program based largely on pay-for-performance principles that is expected to encourage each named executive officer to strive for outstanding individual performance and drive Company performance. In making compensation decisions for fiscal 2012, the Committee did not utilize pre-set formulas to determine precise amounts for named executive officer incentive compensation other than those required to achieve tax deductibility of compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (discussed below).

Consistent with our historical approach, our executive compensation philosophy for fiscal 2012 was implemented through a compensation structure which paid our named executive officers base salaries that represent a relatively small percentage of their potential total compensation, while offering them the opportunity to earn significant incentive compensation in the form of annual cash bonuses and equity-based awards. We refer to the annual cash bonus and annual equity-based award collectively as variable compensation.

We evaluate our compensation structure on an annual basis to ensure alignment with our compensation philosophy and business strategy. Our total reward approach to compensation of the named executive officers has historically been comprised of three components, base salary, annual cash bonus and annual equity bonus, and we determined that no major deviations from this approach were appropriate for fiscal 2012. We also determined that the relative weighting of cash and equity compensation remained appropriate.

The compensation decisions made by the Committee for fiscal 2012 were based on its judgment and consideration of the importance of each executive to the Company, historical compensation, individual performance during the year, Company performance and peer compensation data. For fiscal 2012, Company performance and the individual contributions of the named executive officers to that performance were key factors in the total reward decisions made by the Committee. For more information on the factors used by the Committee in determining fiscal 2012 named executive officer compensation and the process followed, please see [Determination of Executive Compensation Considerations & Process](#) and [Fiscal 2012 NEO Compensation Decisions](#) below.

Compensation Consultant

The Committee selected and engaged Semler Brossy as its independent compensation consultant to assist it on a range of executive compensation matters, including the overall design of our executive compensation program, selection of peer group companies, provision of competitive market data and other matters related to our named executive officer compensation program.

The Committee recognizes that it is important to receive objective advice from its outside advisor. Therefore, Semler Brossy reports directly to the Committee, and the Committee, pursuant to its charter, retains sole responsibility for retaining or terminating the compensation consultant. Semler Brossy did not provide any

Table of Contents

other services to MSCI during fiscal 2012. Consistent with SEC rules regarding the independence of advisors retained by compensation committees, we reviewed Semler Brossy's relationship with the Committee and did not identify any conflicts of interest that compromise the independence of that relationship.

Determination of Executive Compensation Considerations & Process

Our named executive officers are employees at will, and therefore, the Company does not have any employment, severance, change in control or similar agreements with its named executive officers. As a result, the Company is not bound by any contractual requirements to compensate the named executive officers in a specific manner or amount and has the flexibility to alter or amend its compensation programs as circumstances dictate. The CEO makes recommendations on compensation for named executive officers other than himself and the Committee takes these recommendations into account in reaching its compensation decisions. In addition, the Human Resources Department provides data and analyses to aid the Committee in its decisions. The Committee has sole authority for final compensation decisions relating to the named executive officers.

Generally, there is no dominant factor driving the Committee's compensation decisions. Instead, the Committee takes into account many factors in determining compensation components and setting compensation amounts. Among others, these factors include peer group analyses provided by Semler Brossy, named executive officer performance against annual objectives, compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (as described below) and various financial and operational metrics. The importance of each individual factor may change from time to time, depending on the factual situation surrounding such decisions. We believe this continues to be the best approach for the Company, as it enables the Committee to balance competing interests, address evolving concerns and sufficiently meet Company objectives.

In fiscal 2012, the Committee applied a framework that identified key financial considerations for each product and functional area and provided comparisons to the Company's peers. Although this framework did not include pre-set formulaic performance targets, it was intended to be used as a compensation monitoring tool that (i) established performance considerations, (ii) facilitated discussions with respect to the Company's financial performance, the influences on such performance and actions to address any related issues and (iii) provided guidance with respect to quarterly and annual incentive accruals and final incentive compensation spending.

Pursuant to this framework, the Committee reviewed on a quarterly and annual basis actual performance with respect to the following financial measures: revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA margin, compensation expenses (excluding non-recurring equity grants), non-compensation expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization), net income and earnings per share measures. While these financial measures were relevant to compensation decisions for fiscal 2012, the Committee plans to review the elements of the framework and identify the appropriate key financial considerations on a yearly basis.

In addition to product and functional goals, formal individual performance plans were established for the CEO and NEOs for fiscal 2012. The CEO's plan was approved by the Committee at the beginning of fiscal 2012. Plans for the other NEOs were developed with the CEO and approved by the Committee in early fiscal 2012. Actual achievements versus the plan goals were reviewed by the Committee at year-end and considered in making fiscal 2012 total reward decisions.

Elements of Executive Compensation

Our current compensation program is based on the concept of total reward, which is consistent with our compensation program in prior years. The compensation program incorporates both fixed and variable components. The fixed component of the program is comprised solely of annual base salary. The variable component is comprised of incentive compensation in the form of an annual cash bonus and annual equity-based compensation. For fiscal 2012, we continued our prior practice of determining variable compensation levels based on performance during the completed fiscal year, while at the same time subjecting a significant portion of the ultimate value of such variable compensation to prospective Company performance through the use of equity

Table of Contents

awards subject to both time and performance vesting requirements. Please note that the following discussion relates to compensation decisions made with respect to service in fiscal 2012 and that, in accordance with SEC disclosure rules, equity grants described below which were made following the completion of fiscal 2012 are not reflected in the Summary Compensation Table (and will instead be reported in the Summary Compensation Table included in next year's proxy statement).

For fiscal 2012, cash (actual base salary and actual cash bonus) represented 35% and 54% of the total reward for the CEO and the other named executive officers (excluding Mr. Obstler), respectively. The portion of each compensation element as a percentage of fiscal 2012 total reward for the CEO and the other named executive officers was as follows:

Table of Contents

The primary elements of our executive compensation program are described below.

Fixed Compensation

Annual Base Salary. Base salary is the only fixed component of our executive compensation program. The annual base salary element offers our named executive officers a measure of certainty and predictability to meet ongoing living and other financial commitments. In setting base salaries for our named executive officers, the Committee has sought to establish them at rates that are competitive with those provided for similar positions in our peer group. The Committee reviews the base salaries of our named executive officers on an annual basis.

Following completion of fiscal 2012, the Committee reviewed the base salaries paid to each of the named executive officers. Based on the review of peer data provided by Semler Brossy, the Committee determined that the current named executive officer base salaries were competitive with those paid for similar positions at companies in our Peer Group. Therefore, the Committee did not increase named executive officers' base salaries for fiscal 2013.

Name	2012 Salary (\$)
Henry A. Fernandez	900,000
Robert Qutub	500,000
David C. Brierwood	500,000 ⁽¹⁾
C.D. Baer Pettit	500,000 ⁽¹⁾
Gary Retelny	500,000

⁽¹⁾ Base salaries for Messrs. Brierwood and Pettit are paid in GBP. The current base salary rate for Messrs. Brierwood and Pettit is £322,416. This rate was established in USD in December 2010 and converted to GBP based on an exchange rate of £1 to \$1.550794.

Variable Performance-Based Compensation

The variable elements of our compensation program include both an annual cash bonus and an annual equity bonus. In determining actual variable compensation amounts to be paid to the named executive officers for the completed performance period, the Committee takes into account a number of factors including Company and individual performance, historical compensation and peer group analyses. Committee considerations and decisions with respect to each of the named executive officers are further described below. Fiscal 2012 variable compensation payable to each named executive officer was subject to an annual cap established in accordance with a performance plan implemented under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (discussed below).

There is no minimum or guaranteed amount of annual variable compensation payable to the named executive officers, meaning that the Committee could choose to not pay variable compensation to named executive officers with respect to any fiscal year. If the Committee makes a decision to pay variable compensation, it determines the total amount of variable compensation to be paid to each named executive officer based on the factors described above, subject to the annual cap, and such amount is then allocated between a cash bonus and an equity bonus as described below. Other than the annual cap, no set formula is utilized to determine variable compensation amounts.

Annual Cash Bonus. For fiscal 2012, cash bonuses represented a significant component of our named executive officers' total cash compensation. Other than the limitations imposed by the annual cap under our 162(m) plan, we did not establish a cash bonus program with pre-set performance goals that were required to be met. The annual cash bonuses approved by the Committee for our named executive officers in respect of services for fiscal 2012 were primarily based on Company and individual performance, and took into account a review of historical compensation paid to each named executive officer. See Fiscal 2012 NEO Compensation Decisions below for the factors taken into consideration.

Table of Contents

Annual Equity Bonus. Equity-based awards are intended to align the incentives of our named executive officers with the long-term performance of the Company and to promote long-term retention of key members of our management team. Equity bonuses awarded to each named executive officer represent a fixed percentage of total reward. For fiscal 2012, equity represented 65% of the CEO's total reward and 45% of the annualized total rewards of other named executive officers. The equity awards were delivered in an equal split of 50% PSUs and 50% RSUs. The RSUs granted as part of the annual equity-based awards vest and convert to MSCI shares in one-third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of grant.

The number of PSUs earned will be determined based on a combination of the Company's two-year cumulative Revenue and two-year cumulative Net Income Per Share. The actual number of PSUs earned may decrease to 50% or increase to 150% of grant amounts based on actual performance. The variation in potential payout of the PSUs is consistent with the Company's policy of tying variable compensation to the Company's financial performance. In formulating the performance targets for the PSUs, the Committee balanced the consideration of the likelihood of achievement for these performance targets with the expected effectiveness of such targets in incentivizing our NEOs' performance. In addition, the Committee considered historical and budgeted Revenue and Net Income Per Share. We believe that performance resulting in payout at target is challenging but reasonably likely to be achieved if the Company's performance is consistent with our business plan and that achievement of the maximum performance levels would require extraordinary efforts and results. Following completion of the two-year performance period, the Company will disclose the cumulative Revenue and Net Income Per Share performance necessary for the NEOs to earn 100% of the grant amounts. The earned PSUs will time-vest 50% on the final day of the performance period and 50% one year later. The following table sets forth the matrix that will be used to adjust the PSUs following the end of the performance period.

Performance Stock Unit Payouts. Two PSU cycles concluded in fiscal 2012. On June 1, 2010, the Committee approved special one-time performance-based equity awards for Messrs. Obstler, Brierwood, Pettit and Retelny in recognition of their key roles in connection with the acquisition and integration of RiskMetrics. These awards were intended to provide value to the key executives if the transaction had a positive impact on the selected Company performance metrics. The grants were made in the form of PSUs tied to three-year cumulative Subscription Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA of the combined company. The three-year performance period for these awards concluded on December 31, 2012, and resulted in the following levels of achievement.

Performance Metric	Performance Period: 2010-2012 (MMs)		
	Target	Achievement	% of Target
Subscription Revenue	\$ 2,383.0	\$ 2,285.6	95.9%
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 1,321.5	\$ 1,219.8	92.3%

Based on the above levels of Subscription Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA achievement versus the target levels established in 2010, the combined PSU payout percentage was 76.29%. The target numbers and actual

Table of Contents

adjusted numbers of PSUs earned by the named executive officers with respect to this grant are set forth below. These awards time vested in equal portions on December 31, 2011 and on December 31, 2012, with delivery made in the form of MSCI common shares following the conclusion of the performance period and the Committee's certification of the performance results.

Name	Target No. of PSUs	Actual PSUs Earned
David M. Obstler	33,727	25,729
David C. Brierwood	67,454	51,460
C.D. Baer Pettit	67,454	51,460
Gary Retelny	67,454	51,460

Fiscal 2010 was the first year PSUs were included as a component of annual equity compensation. On December 14, 2010, the named executive officers (other than Mr. Qutub) were awarded PSUs tied to two-year cumulative Revenue and two-year cumulative Net Income Per Share performance. That two-year performance period concluded on December 31, 2012, and resulted in the following levels of achievement.

Performance Metric	Performance Period: 2011-2012		
	Target	Achievement	% of Target
Revenue (MMs)	\$ 1,879.6	\$ 1,851.1	98.5%
Net Income Per Share	\$ 2.56	\$ 2.89	112.9%

The combined PSU payout percentage for this award was 126.96% based on the above levels of Revenue and Net Income Per Share achievement. The target numbers and the actual numbers of PSUs earned by the named executive officers with respect to this cycle are set forth below. With the exception of Mr. Obstler, 50% of the final PSUs earned by each named executive officer became vested and were delivered following the completion of the performance period and 50% will remain subject to satisfaction of time vesting requirements for one year following the completion of the performance period. Per the PSU award terms, 100% of Mr. Obstler's earned PSUs time vested upon termination of his employment and were delivered in the form of MSCI common shares following the completion of the performance period.

Name	Target No. of PSUs	Actual PSUs Earned
Henry A. Fernandez	36,237	46,005
David M. Obstler	5,278	6,700
David C. Brierwood	11,436	14,517
C.D. Baer Pettit	8,259	10,483
Gary Retelny	7,412	9,408

Benefits

The Company provides health, welfare and other benefits to remain competitive in hiring and retaining its employees. Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in these benefit plans on the same terms and conditions as all other employees.

In the United States and the United Kingdom, the Company has established defined contribution plans for all eligible employees. Contributions by the Company to these defined contribution plans for our named executive officers for the applicable period are disclosed in the "All Other Compensation" column in the "Summary Compensation Table" below.

Table of Contents

No Employment Agreements, Perquisites or Other Arrangements

The named executive officers are employed at will. Based on the Company's philosophy that its executive compensation program should be simple and directly linked to performance, the compensation program for the named executive officers does not include any of the following pay practices:

Employment agreements;

Perquisites;

Cash payments connected to a change in control of the Company;

Tax gross-ups; or

Supplemental executive retirement benefits.

In connection with the hiring of Robert Qutub as Chief Financial Officer in May 2012, the Company entered into a one-time offer letter agreement with Mr. Qutub that stipulated compensation awarded to him upon his hire and for fiscal 2012. For fiscal 2013 and beyond, there will be no special arrangements pertaining to Mr. Qutub.

In the event of a termination of employment or a change in control of the Company, the only benefits required to be provided to the named executive officers are those set forth in the agreements governing outstanding equity awards. Information on post-termination and change in control payments to our named executive officers as of December 31, 2012 is provided in the section titled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control," on page 38 of this Proxy Statement.

As we have previously disclosed, the Company and Mr. Obstler entered into a Change of Employment Status and Release Agreement executed on August 2, 2012 (the "Agreement"). Under the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Obstler remained employed with the Company and received his base salary until August 31, 2012, after which he received severance in the amount of \$965,600. This severance payment consisted of a lump sum cash amount of \$950,000, representing a value equal to his 2011 cash bonus and long-term incentive award and six months' base salary, and a benefits replacement payment of \$15,600. In addition, the Agreement confirmed that his outstanding equity awards would be subject to the involuntary termination provisions of such awards and the exercise period for all unexercised vested and unvested stock options would be extended until August 31, 2013. Furthermore, the Agreement required that Mr. Obstler comply with certain confidentiality, non-disparagement and non-solicitation restrictive covenants. It also included a general release by Mr. Obstler of claims against the Company.

Peer Groups

To ensure competitiveness of compensation structures and pay levels for our named executive officers, the Committee conducts an annual review of peer company compensation data. Prior to beginning this review, the Committee examines the peers to make certain that the companies continue to be relevant as evaluated according to the following screening criteria:

Scale, to reflect similar complexity

Geographic footprint, to reflect business structure and international complexity

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Ownership structure, to reflect similarity of responsibilities and availability of data

Competitors for talent

Opportunity cost comparators with respect to talent and careers

Similar business model

In fiscal 2012, the Committee decided to discontinue the use of a secondary peer group that had been used in prior years. The Committee concluded that the companies represented in what had previously been referred to as the primary peer group comprised a sufficiently representative and appropriate group according to an evaluation using the above criteria. They decided that this group, with the addition of Intercontinental Exchange Inc., would be used for the 2012 competitive analysis and review.

Table of Contents

The 2012 peer group used for benchmarking included the following ten companies:

Dun & Bradstreet Corp.	Intercontinental Exchange Inc.
Equifax Inc.	Moody's Corp.
FactSet Research Systems Inc.	Morningstar, Inc.
Fair Isaac Corporation	SEI Investments Company
IHS Inc.	Verisk Analytics, Inc.

The compensation analysis prepared using this group considered base salary, total cash compensation and total direct compensation, which includes annual cash incentives and equity-based compensation. The analysis served as a reference for pay levels and practices, without benchmarking to a specified target. Based on this analysis, the Committee believes that, in aggregate, the total reward levels in respect of services for fiscal 2012 for our named executive officers are both appropriate and competitive.

Fiscal 2012 NEO Compensation Decisions

In determining the variable component of the fiscal 2012 total reward (cash and equity bonuses) for the named executive officers, the Committee took into account the performance of the Company, as highlighted in the Executive Summary above, the significance of each NEO's role, and the performance of each individual as described below:

Henry A. Fernandez. Mr. Fernandez has been the CEO of the Company since 1998 and has been instrumental in its organization as a stand-alone business and its growth from essentially a single product company to a fully independent public company offering an array of investment decision support tools to financial services institutions around the world. Following are key points considered by the Committee in making its compensation decision for Mr. Fernandez:

As described above, the Company achieved strong financial performance in a difficult operating environment. However, while growth rates in operating revenue and Adjusted EBITDA were good and the Company continued to maintain a high Adjusted EBITDA margin, results on each metric fell somewhat short of fiscal 2012 goals.

Aided by a broadened product offering, the governance business achieved renewed growth with an 8% increase in its Run Rate.

Run Rate from passive investment products grew by 6% in spite of the loss of Vanguard ETF business. (See Appendix A for additional information regarding the Vanguard loss and the definition of Run Rate)

Executed on a balanced capital deployment strategy that included continued funding of organic investments, the strategic addition of IPD and \$100 million to repurchase MSCI shares.

Increased operational efficiency by growing employee headcount in emerging markets to represent 44% (excluding IPD) of the Company workforce, up from 39% as of December 31, 2011.

The Committee approved a total reward for Mr. Fernandez in the amount disclosed in this Proxy Statement. His total reward was based on our compensation philosophy and the Committee's subjective evaluation of his performance, the unique contributions he makes to the Company and the various other factors described above, including our goal of providing incentives for key talent.

David C. Brierwood. Mr. Brierwood has been our Chief Operating Officer since 2006, leading our research, data, operations and technology efforts. In fiscal 2012, he continued to make headway in deepening the Company's focus on research and innovation in support of new product development and existing product enhancement.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

In addition, Mr. Brierwood successfully led initiatives aimed at bolstering IT security and improving systems stability and performance through the upgrade of major data centers. Mr. Brierwood restructured the

Table of Contents

Data, Operations and Technology functions to be more directly aligned with our product lines and made a number of strategic, senior level hires in support of those businesses.

C.D. Baer Pettit. Mr. Pettit was Head of Client Coverage from 2001 through August 2012, and took on the additional responsibility of heading the Global Index and ESG product line in September 2011. In fiscal 2012, Mr. Pettit transitioned from his Client Coverage responsibilities to devote his full energy and attention to leading the continued growth and expansion of our Index product line globally.

In his capacity as Head of Index and ESG products, Mr. Pettit led the business to a 9% increase in fiscal 2012 revenue. Despite the loss of fees related to Vanguard's decision to switch from the use of MSCI indices as the basis for a number of its ETFs, the Run Rate in asset-based fees as of December 2012 had increased by 6% over December 2011. Mr. Pettit was also instrumental in the acquisition of IPD, a leading provider of real estate performance analysis, with a December 2012 Run Rate of \$39.5 million.

Gary Retelny. After holding a number of leadership positions at the Company, including Head of Strategy and Business Development, Chief Administrative Officer, Head of Internal Audit, Head of Human Resources and Corporate Secretary, Mr. Retelny assumed the leadership role for our Governance business segment and became President of ISS in September 2011. In Mr. Retelny's first full year leading the Governance business, the segment experienced restored growth with a 3% increase in fiscal 2012 revenue and an 8% increase in December 2012 year-over-year Run Rate.

In addition to the Governance business segment, he has maintained his Chief Administrative Officer, Strategy and Business Development and Corporate Secretary responsibilities. He has continued to provide valuable support to our Board of Directors as MSCI Corporate Secretary, serving as an effective liaison between the Board and management. Mr. Retelny continues to work very closely with the CEO on all strategic matters.

Robert Qutub. Mr. Qutub joined MSCI in July 2012 and became Chief Financial Officer in August 2012, succeeding Mr. Obstler. Prior to joining MSCI, Mr. Qutub was employed at Bank of America (since 1994) where he held several senior finance positions including the Chief Financial Officer of the consumer business, the global banking and securities businesses, global wealth and investment management businesses and corporate infrastructure.

In connection with his hire, the Company entered into an offer letter agreement with Mr. Qutub. According to the terms of the offer letter, Mr. Qutub's base salary was set at \$500,000 per year and he was guaranteed a 2012 bonus of \$1,600,000 to be split between cash and equity compensation as determined by the Committee (see below cash and equity amounts). Mr. Qutub also received a one-time \$325,000 cash payment for relocation expenses and a \$1,000,000 RSU grant to compensate him for equity awards that he forfeited at his prior employer as a result of his resignation. Beginning with compensation related to fiscal 2013, Mr. Qutub's total reward will be determined in a manner consistent with other named executive officers.

Total Reward

Based on Company performance factors, individual performance factors, the importance of the individual to the Company, historical compensation and the compensation of executive officers in our peer groups (used as a reference without benchmarking to a specified target), the Committee determined the annual variable compensation awarded for fiscal 2012 as shown in the table below.

The values in this table differ from those shown in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns of the Summary Compensation Table on page 35 due to SEC regulations providing that equity awards are reported based on the year of grant, rather than the service year to which they relate. The equity awards granted in January 2013 (relating to fiscal 2012) performance will be disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table in

Table of Contents

our proxy statement next year. The 2012 Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement includes disclosure for equity awards granted in February 2012 for fiscal 2011 performance.

2012 Total Reward Detail

Name	Base Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Cash Bonus (\$)	Value of RSU Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Value of PSU Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Total Reward (\$)	% of Performance-Based Compensation
Henry A. Fernandez	900,000	1,053,000	1,813,500	1,813,500	5,580,000	84%
Robert Qutub ⁽³⁾	500,000	655,000	472,500	472,500	2,100,000	76%
David C. Brierwood	510,999	825,501	546,750	546,750	2,430,000	79%
C.D. Baer Pettit	510,999	561,501	438,750	438,750	1,950,000	74%
Gary Retelny	500,000	531,250	421,875	421,875	1,875,000	73%

⁽¹⁾ Represents base salary rates paid during fiscal 2012. Salaries paid to Messrs. Brierwood and Pettit were converted to U.S. dollars using an exchange rate of £1 to \$1.584909, the average of fiscal 2012 month-end exchange rates used for internal total reward calculations.

⁽²⁾ Represents the dollar value of RSU and PSU awards granted on January 29, 2013 as the equity-based component of their fiscal 2012 annual variable compensation (and in respect of service during fiscal 2012). The number of RSUs and PSUs awarded was determined by dividing the dollar value of the award by \$34.02, the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported by the NYSE on January 28, 2013 rounded down to the nearest whole share. Based on this approach, 53,306, 13,888, 16,071, 12,896 and 12,400 RSUs were awarded to Messrs. Fernandez, Qutub, Brierwood, Pettit and Retelny, respectively. The RSUs generally vest over three years with 33% of the award vesting each year beginning January 2014. Also, based on this approach, 53,306, 13,888, 16,071, 12,896 and 12,400 PSUs were awarded to Messrs. Fernandez, Qutub, Brierwood, Pettit and Retelny, respectively. These amounts reflect the grant date number of PSUs awarded to each NEO and may decrease to 50% of the awarded amount or increase to 150% of the awarded amount based on Company performance. The PSUs time-vest 50% on the last day of the performance period and 50% one year later. See Elements of Executive Compensation Variable Performance-Based Compensation Annual Equity Bonus above for additional information with respect to the vesting of the PSUs.

⁽³⁾ Mr. Qutub started with the Company on July 9, 2012. The base salary included in this table is Mr. Qutub's annualized base salary rate for comparison purposes. Mr. Qutub's actual 2012 earned base salary was \$238,782.

Table of Contents

To further supplement the SEC-required information provided in the Summary Compensation table, we have included an additional table below, which shows the annual total reward amounts as approved by the Committee for fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and November 30, 2010.

Three-Year Total Reward History

Name	Fiscal Year	Total Reward (\$)
Henry A. Fernandez	2012	5,580,000
	2011	6,200,000
	2010	5,750,000
Robert Qutub	2012	2,100,000
David C. Brierwood	2012	2,430,000
	2011	2,700,000
	2010	2,700,000
C.D. Baer Pettit	2012	1,950,000
	2011	2,125,000
	2010	1,950,000
Gary Retelny	2012	1,875,000
	2011	1,910,000
	2010	1,750,000

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In order to further align the interests of our senior leaders with the long-term interests of shareholders, we have adopted stock ownership guidelines for our NEOs and other members of the Company's Executive Committee (the Company's senior leadership group, consisting of ten members on December 31, 2012). The CEO is expected to hold stock with a value equal to six times his annual base salary. Other NEOs and Executive Committee members are expected to hold stock with a value equal to three times and two times their annual base salaries, respectively.

All Executive Committee members should meet the applicable ownership requirements within five years of the Committee's adoption of the policy or within five years of the date they become members of the Executive Committee, whichever is later. Until the expected ownership levels are achieved, covered Executive Committee members are required to hold stock with a value equal to 50% of the estimated after-tax net proceeds of all vested equity-based awards. For the purpose of calculating satisfaction of these stock ownership requirements, shares held directly or indirectly, and the estimated after-tax value of vested stock options (after exercise cost), restricted stock units and unvested performance stock units (at the award minimum) are considered.

Clawback Policy

To the extent permitted by law, if the Committee determines that all or part of any cash or equity-based incentive compensation was awarded to an executive officer of the Company based on financial results or operating metrics that were achieved as a result of that officer's willful misconduct, intentional fraudulent or illegal conduct, or with that officer's knowledge of such conduct by another person, then the Committee may recover from the officer such compensation as it deems appropriate under the circumstances. This policy applies to all current and former executive officers who received incentive compensation applicable to fiscal year 2012 and beyond. When the final clawback requirements of the

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act are released, we will review our policy and modify it if necessary to ensure compliance.

Table of Contents

Anti-Hedging Policy

We prohibit all employees, including all NEOs, from pledging the Company's common stock or engaging in short sales, purchases or sales of puts or calls and trading on a short-term basis in the Company's common stock.

Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and the supporting regulations generally limit tax deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to a named executive officer, other than the Chief Financial Officer, during any taxable year. However, this limitation does not apply to qualified performance-based compensation. For all of our named executive officers, annual cash bonus and annual equity compensation is generally determined under the terms of a performance-based plan implemented under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and approved by our shareholders. This plan provides that each Section 162(m) participant will be eligible under the plan to earn an annual variable compensation award for each fiscal year up to a maximum amount equal to the following percentages of Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the plan) for that fiscal year:

5% for the CEO,

4% for the second highest compensated Section 162(m) participant, and

2% for each of the other Section 162(m) participants.

The Committee is comprised of outside directors as defined under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. In determining the annual variable compensation amounts payable under the plan, the Committee may pay a Section 162(m) participant up to but not in excess of the maximum amounts described above, taking into account factors that they may deem relevant to the assessment of individual or corporate performance for the year. The Committee reviewed and approved the fiscal 2012 annual variable compensation awards. As part of its approval of fiscal 2012 incentive compensation for our named executive officers, the Committee reviewed the maximum amount yielded by the application of the shareholder-approved performance formula described above, and certified that the Company's financial results for fiscal 2012 satisfied the performance criteria of Section 162(m).

Maintaining tax deductibility is only one consideration in the design of the compensation program for our senior executives. The Committee considers the anticipated tax treatment both to the Company and the executive in its review and approval of compensation grants and awards. The Committee may, from time to time, conclude that certain compensation arrangements are in the best interest of MSCI and its shareholders and consistent with its compensation philosophy and strategy despite the fact that the arrangements might not qualify for tax deductibility.

2012 Say-on-Pay Vote Results

In connection with our 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, the proposal to approve the executive compensation of the Company's named executive officers for fiscal 2011 received 100,577,522 votes, or 89% of votes cast. The Committee viewed this result as supportive of the Company's fiscal 2011 compensation program. Although this vote was advisory (and therefore not binding on the Company or the Board), the Committee carefully reviewed these results and considered them in evaluating the Company's compensation practices and in determining total reward amounts for fiscal 2012.

Compensation Risk Assessment

The Committee believes that the design, implementation and governance of our executive compensation program are consistent with high standards of risk management. Our executive compensation program reflects an appropriate mix of compensation elements, balancing current and long-term performance objectives, cash and equity compensation, and risks and rewards.

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Most importantly, the compensation framework used for making compensation decisions is multi-faceted and subject to the application of informed judgment by the Committee.

Table of Contents

Rather than tying compensation decisions to one or several fixed metrics, we consider a broad array of factors that are subject to change based on facts and circumstances.

To further ensure that the interests of our named executive officers are aligned with those of our shareholders, a significant portion of their incentive compensation is awarded as long-term equity. This equity is paid out over three years and a portion is subject to adjustment based on future, multi-year Company performance.

The Company's Executive Committee members are required to meet the applicable stock ownership guidelines discussed under [Stock Ownership Guidelines](#) above.

Incentive compensation is subject to the Clawback Policy discussed under [Clawback Policy](#) above.

Based on these features, we believe our executive compensation program effectively (i) ensures that our compensation opportunities do not encourage excessive risk taking, (ii) keeps our named executive officers focused on the creation of long-term, sustainable value for our shareholders, and (iii) provides competitive and appropriate levels of compensation over time.

The Committee has reviewed our compensation policies as generally applicable to all of our employees and believes that our policies do not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking and that any level of risk they do encourage is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Compensation Committee Report

We, the Committee of the Board of Directors of MSCI Inc., have reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. Based on the review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin F. duPont (Chair)

Rodolphe M. Vallee

Catherine R. Kinney

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation paid or awarded from MSCI to the Company's named executive officers during the fiscal year 2010, the 2010 transition period (December 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010) and fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary \$(1)	Bonus \$(2)	Stock Awards \$(3)	Option Awards (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(4)	All Other Compensation \$(5)	Total (\$)
Henry A. Fernandez Chief Executive Officer and President	2012	900,000	1,053,000	4,029,952	0	0	17,850	6,000,802
	2011	900,000	1,270,000	0	0	0	17,640	2,187,640
	Dec. 2010	50,000	136,875	3,799,698	3,555,258	0	0	7,541,831
	2010	600,000	1,642,500	3,232,980	0	0	17,640	5,493,120
Robert Qutub Chief Financial Officer	2012	238,782	980,000	999,999	0	0	0	2,218,781
David M. Obstler (6) Chief Financial Officer	2012	333,333	0	539,980	7,221	0	1,002,681	1,883,216
	2011	500,000	160,000	0	0	0	10,290	670,290
	Dec. 2010	33,333	0	553,473	0	0	0	586,806
	2010	200,240	417,185	1,000,006	0	0	2,000	1,619,431
David C. Brierwood Chief Operating Officer	2012	510,988	825,501	1,214,939	0	0	0	2,551,428
	2011	516,892	968,128	0	0	0	0	1,485,020
	Dec. 2010	32,326	100,442	1,199,173	0	0	0	1,331,941
	2010	387,915	1,205,302	3,024,982	0	0	0	4,618,199
C.D. Baer Pettit Head of Global Index Business	2012	510,988	561,501	956,192	0	0	51,099	2,079,779
	2011	516,892	651,878	0	0	0	51,689	1,220,459
	Dec. 2010	32,326	63,567	866,083	0	0	3,233	965,209
	2010	387,915	762,802	2,737,986	0	0	38,791	3,927,494
Gary Retelny President of ISS, Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate Secretary	2012	500,000	531,250	859,472	0	0	17,850	1,908,572
	2011	500,000	550,500	0	0	0	17,640	1,068,140
	Dec. 2010	33,333	52,708	777,196	0	0	0	863,238
	2010	400,000	632,500	2,656,011	0	0	17,640	3,706,151

- (1) Base salaries for Messrs. Brierwood and Pettit were paid in British pounds sterling and converted to U.S. dollars using the fiscal year average of daily spot rates of £1 to \$1.584875, \$1.603186, and \$1.55166 for fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (2) Annual cash bonus amounts were paid in February 2013 for service with respect to fiscal 2012, in February 2012 for service with respect to fiscal 2011 and in January 2011 for service with respect to fiscal 2010 and the December 2010 transition period. Mr. Qutub's cash bonus amount includes a \$325,000 cash payment to compensate him for expenses related to his relocation.
- (3) Represents the grant date fair value of awards granted during each fiscal year, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, and do not reflect whether the named executive officers will actually receive a financial benefit from the award. Mr. Qutub was awarded RSUs on his July 9, 2012 hire date to compensate him for equity forfeited at his prior employer as a result of his resignation.

Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Units Granted During 2012 for Performance in 2011 (\$)

Name	RSUs	PSUs	Total
Henry A. Fernandez	2,014,976	2,014,976	4,029,952
David M. Obstler	269,990	269,990	539,980
David C. Brierwood	607,470	607,470	1,214,939
C.D. Baer Pettit	478,096	478,096	956,192

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Gary Retelny	429,736	429,736	859,472
--------------	---------	---------	---------

The grant date value of the PSUs awarded in 2012, assuming the highest level of performance conditions will be achieved (150% of grant amount), is \$3,022,447 for Mr. Fernandez, \$404,969 for Mr. Obstler,

Table of Contents

\$911,188 for Mr. Brierwood, \$717,144 for Mr. Pettit and \$644,587 for Mr. Retelny. Information regarding assumptions used to value the awards is set forth in notes 1 and 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- (4) The Company does not offer defined benefit pension plans or a nonqualified deferred compensation plan to named executive officers.
- (5) The All Other Compensation column includes Company matching contributions to the MSCI Inc. 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan of \$17,850 for Messrs. Fernandez, Obstler and Retelny. Company contributions to the MSCI Barra Group (UK) Personal Pension Plan for Mr. Pettit totaled £32,242 (\$51,099). Mr. Brierwood did not participate in the MSCI Barra Group (UK) Personal Pension Plan. The amount of British pounds sterling was converted to U.S. dollars using the fiscal year average of daily spot rates of £1 to \$1.584875.
- (6) Mr. Obstler resigned as an executive officer effective August 2, 2012 and as an employee effective August 31, 2012. The table includes \$7,221 of incremental fair value associated with extending the post termination expiration dates on 253,887 vested and 5,445 unvested stock options, as computed on the modification date per the terms of an agreement between Mr. Obstler and the Company. The All Other Compensation column includes \$965,600 in severance pay and \$19,231 in unused vacation pay for Mr. Obstler.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding awards granted to our named executive officers during fiscal 2012.

Name	Grant Date	Compensation Committee Action Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			All Other Stock Awards:	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
			Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	
Henry A. Fernandez	2/2/2012	1/19/2012				60,041 ⁽²⁾	2,014,976
	2/2/2012	1/19/2012	30,020	60,041	90,061		2,014,976
Robert Qutub	7/9/2012	5/30/2012				29,180 ⁽³⁾	999,999
David M. Obstler	2/2/2012	1/19/2012				8,045 ⁽²⁾	269,990
	2/2/2012	1/19/2012	4,022	8,045	12,067		269,990
David C. Brierwood	2/2/2012	1/19/2012				18,101 ⁽²⁾	607,470
	2/2/2012	1/19/2012	9,050	18,101	27,151		607,470
C.D. Baer Pettit	2/2/2012	1/19/2012				14,246 ⁽²⁾	478,096
	2/2/2012	1/19/2012	7,123	14,246	21,369		478,096
Gary Retelny	2/2/2012	1/19/2012				12,805 ⁽²⁾	429,736
	2/2/2012	1/19/2012	6,402	12,805	19,207		429,736

- (1) Represents PSUs granted during fiscal 2012 for services rendered during fiscal 2011. The actual number of PSUs that will be earned is based on Company performance during fiscal 2012 and 2013, and may be adjusted down to 50% or up to 150% of the target amount. The PSUs are subject to time-vesting of 50% on December 31, 2013 and 50% on December 31, 2014.
- (2) Represents RSUs granted during fiscal 2012 for services rendered during fiscal 2011. The RSUs vest ratably in three annual installments beginning on the one year anniversary of the grant date.
- (3) Represents RSUs granted to Mr. Qutub on his July 9, 2012 hire date to compensate him for equity forfeited at his prior employer as a result of his resignation. The RSUs vest ratably in three annual installments beginning on the one year anniversary of the grant date.
- (4) Represents the grant date fair value of stock awards as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value for these stock awards was based on the closing price of MSCI's common shares on the trading day preceding the grant date or service inception date, as the case may be. For PSUs, the grant date fair value is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table shows the number of shares covered by exercisable and unexercisable stock options and outstanding restricted stock units and performance stock units held by our named executive officers on December 31, 2012 that remain subject to forfeiture and cancellation provisions.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#) ⁽¹⁾	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽²⁾	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽⁴⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾
Henry A. Fernandez	569,720	208,175	18.00	11/14/2017	105,475	3,268,670	60,041	1,860,671
Robert Qutub			36.70	12/14/2020	29,180	904,288		
David M. Obstler	32,941		6.62	8/31/2013			4,023	124,673
	45,375		9.92	8/31/2013				
	27,223		21.07	8/31/2013				
	22,143		24.11	8/31/2013				
	21,780		16.48	8/31/2013				
David C. Brierwood	177,618		18.00	11/14/2017	48,219	1,494,307	18,101	560,950
C.D. Baer Pettit	80,431		18.00	11/14/2017	24,600	762,354	14,246	441,484
Gary Retelny					22,096	684,755	12,805	396,827

- ⁽¹⁾ The 208,175 unexercisable stock options held by Mr. Fernandez at an exercise price of \$36.70 represent special price-vested stock options consisting of four tranches that will not fully vest and become exercisable unless both time and price vesting conditions are satisfied. Effective December 14, 2012, 54,935 special price-vested stock options have satisfied the time vesting condition. However, as of December 31, 2012, they have not satisfied the price-vesting requirement. The price-vesting hurdles were established at \$5.00, \$10.00, \$15.00 and \$20.00 above the \$36.70 exercise price for each of the four respective tranches. The number of stock options included in this table time vest on the following dates for Mr. Fernandez.

Name	Number of Stock Options Vesting by Date		
	12/14/13	12/14/14	12/14/15
Henry A. Fernandez	52,631	50,835	49,774

- ⁽²⁾ Represents outstanding RSUs and PSUs for which performance conditions have been met, held on December 31, 2012, that remain subject to forfeiture and cancellation provisions. This column does not give effect to Full Career Retirement provisions for Messrs. Fernandez and Brierwood. Equity awards granted to employees eligible for Full Career Retirement vest on the date Full Career eligibility is attained. An employee becomes eligible for Full Career Retirement at age 50 with 12 years as a Managing Director, at age 50 with 15 years as an officer, at least age 55 with 5 years of service (the sum of age plus years of service must equal or exceed 65) or 20 years of service. The number of RSUs and PSUs for which performance conditions have been met included in this table vest on the following dates for each named executive officer:

Edgar Filing: MSCI Inc. - Form DEF 14A

	Number of RSUs Vesting by Date								
Name	1/7/13	12/14/13	12/31/13	2/2/13	7/9/13	2/2/14	7/9/14	2/2/15	7/9/15
Henry A. Fernandez	0	22,432	23,002	20,014	0	20,014	0	20,013	0
Robert Qutub	0	0	0	0	9,727	0	9,727	0	9,726
David C. Brierwood	15,782	7,079	7,257	6,034	0	6,034	0	6,033	0
C.D. Baer Pettit	0	5,113	5,241	4,749	0	4,749	0	4,748	0
Gary Retelny	0	4,587	4,704	4,269	0	4,268	0	4,268	0

Table of Contents

- (3) The market value of outstanding RSUs and PSUs is based on a share price of \$30.99, the closing price of MSCI Inc. common stock on December 31, 2012, rounded to the nearest whole number.
- (4) Represents outstanding PSUs held on December 31, 2012 that remain subject to performance adjustment, forfeiture and cancellation provisions. This column does not give effect to Full Career Retirement provisions for Messrs. Fernandez and Brierwood. These numbers represent PSUs each named executive officer would receive assuming the financial targets associated with the awards are achieved at 100%. The actual numbers of PSUs earned are based on Company performance. PSUs granted on February 2, 2012 can be adjusted down to 50% if threshold performance is not achieved and up to 150% if maximum performance is achieved. Per the terms of the February 2, 2012 PSU grant, Mr. Obstler's PSUs will vest on December 31, 2013 based on Involuntary Termination treatment. Therefore, this table shows 50% of Mr. Obstler's target number of PSUs that could be earned if the financial targets of the award are achieved at 100%. The number of PSUs included in this table time vest on the following dates for each named executive officer:

Name	Number of PSUs Vesting by Date	
	12/31/13	12/31/14
Henry A. Fernandez	30,021	30,020
David M. Obstler	4,023	0
David C. Brierwood	9,051	9,050
C.D. Baer Pettit	7,123	7,123
Gary Retelny	6,403	6,402

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table contains information with regard to stock options exercised by our named executive officers and vesting and conversion of restricted stock held by the named executive officers during fiscal 2012.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽¹⁾
Henry A. Fernandez	0	0	120,960	3,874,338
David M. Obstler	139,870	3,879,493	58,291 ⁽²⁾	1,938,788
David C. Brierwood	0	0	106,095	3,498,509
C.D. Baer Pettit	0	0	77,941	2,580,309
Gary Retelny	36,027	616,374	74,576	2,472,155

⁽¹⁾ The value realized is based on the closing price of MSCI common stock on the date of option exercise and stock vesting as applicable.

⁽²⁾ Per the terms of the February 2, 2012 PSU grant, Mr. Obstler's PSUs will vest on December 31, 2013 based on Involuntary Termination treatment. Therefore, this table includes 4,022 of the 8,045 target number of PSUs that were awarded to Mr. Obstler. This amount represents the 50% minimum number of PSUs Mr. Obstler will receive on the Adjustment Date.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Terminations Other than Death, Disability, Involuntary Termination without Cause, Governmental Service or Specified Terminations following a Change in Control. Upon termination of a named executive officer's employment for any reason other than death, disability, involuntary termination without cause, governmental service, or specified terminations following a change in control, his unvested RSUs, stock options and PSUs will generally be cancelled immediately. Equity awards granted to employees who are eligible for Full Career Retirement are cancelled following an involuntary termination for cause.

Table of Contents

Death or Disability. Upon termination of a named executive officer's employment due to death or disability, the RSUs will convert into shares, unvested stock options will become exercisable, and PSUs will time-vest but will remain subject to adjustment based on Company performance through the end of the performance period.

Involuntary Termination. If the Company terminates a named executive officer's employment under circumstances not involving cause and he signs an agreement and release satisfactory to the Company, his unvested RSUs will convert into shares, his unvested stock options will become exercisable and his PSUs will generally time-vest but will remain subject to adjustment based on Company performance through the end of the performance period.

Governmental Service. Upon termination of a named executive officer's employment as a result of commencing employment with a governmental employer (or his subsequent employment with a governmental employer following termination of employment), the RSUs will convert into shares, unvested stock options will become exercisable, and PSUs will time-vest on the date of termination of employment and will performance-vest and convert into shares based on the expected achievement of the performance metrics for the performance period (which will be determined by extrapolating the performance metrics that have been achieved as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter prior to the date, on which the named executive officer's employment terminates), *provided* that the named executive officer demonstrates that the divestiture of his continued interest in the equity awards is reasonably necessary to avoid the violation of U.S. federal, state or local or foreign ethics law or conflicts of interest law.

Change in Control. For the equity grants made in fiscal 2010, December 2010 and fiscal 2012 in respect of service in fiscal 2009, fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011, respectively, double trigger vesting continues to apply in most cases. For named executive officers, the awards are subject to single trigger accelerated vesting upon certain hostile change in control events or other qualifying change in control events (as defined in the applicable award certificate). In the event of a change in control, all unvested PSUs will time-vest, subject to continued employment on the date of the change in control, and will performance-vest and convert into shares on the effective date of a change in control based on the expected achievement of the applicable performance metrics for the relevant performance period (which will be determined by extrapolating the performance metrics that have been achieved as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter prior to the effective date of the change in control).

The following table represents the amounts to which our named executive officers or their estates would have been entitled had their employment been terminated on December 31, 2012.

Name	Termination Due to Death, Disability, Involuntary Termination without Cause, Government Service or Certain Terminations Following a Change in Control ⁽¹⁾	Termination Due to Death, Disability, Involuntary Termination without Cause, Government Service or Certain Terminations Following a Change in Control ⁽²⁾
Henry A. Fernandez ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
Robert Qutub	\$ 904,288	\$ 904,288
David C. Brierwood ⁽³⁾	\$ 0	\$ 0
C.D. Baer Pettit	\$ 1,203,838	\$ 1,424,579
Gary Retelny	\$ 1,081,582	\$ 1,279,980

⁽¹⁾ These amounts represent the values associated with the acceleration of unvested RSUs and stock options, and payout of PSUs at the target performance level. All values are based on a closing stock price of \$30.99 per share as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

- (2) These amounts represent the values associated with the acceleration of unvested RSUs and stock options, and payout of PSUs at the maximum performance level. All values are based on a closing stock price of \$30.99 per share as of December 31, 2012.
- (3) For Messrs. Fernandez and Brierwood, excludes unvested but outstanding RSU and PSUs at target, due to the awards Full Career Retirement provision. As of December 31, 2012, the values of these awards were \$5,129,341 and \$2,055,257 for Messrs. Fernandez and Brierwood, respectively. These awards would be cancelled following an involuntary termination for cause (as defined in the award agreement).

Mr. Obstler was terminated from the Company on August 31, 2012. According to the terms of a Change of Employment Status and Release Agreement entered into between Mr. Obstler and the Company, Mr. Obstler received severance of \$965,600 following his separation. In addition, the Company agreed to extend the exercise periods for all of Mr. Obstler's unexercised vested and unvested stock options until August 31, 2013. The incremental fair value associated with the extension of these stock option exercise periods, as computed on the modification date, was \$7,221.

Item 2 Advisory Approval of our Executive Compensation

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 enables MSCI's shareholders to vote to approve, on an advisory or non-binding basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with SEC rules.

Please refer to Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Summary above for an overview of the compensation of our named executive officers.

We are asking for shareholder approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with SEC rules, which disclosures include the disclosures under Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the narrative discussion following the compensation tables. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement.

This vote is advisory and therefore not binding on MSCI, the Compensation Committee of the Board, or the Board. The Board and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of MSCI's shareholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, we will consider those shareholders' concerns, and the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns. MSCI currently conducts annual advisory votes on executive compensation, and, following this annual meeting, we expect to conduct the next advisory vote at MSCI's 2014 annual meeting of shareholders.

Our Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the approval of the compensation of MSCI's named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation and Stock Ownership Guidelines**

In fiscal 2012, each non-employee director was entitled to receive an annual cash retainer of \$75,000, but could elect, under the terms of the MSCI Inc. Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan, as amended, (IDECP), to receive an equivalent amount of our common stock in lieu of the cash retainer. In addition to the annual retainer, non-employee directors were also entitled to the following cash retainers for serving as chairs and/or non-chair members of the Board's standing committees. These amounts were also subject to the election discussed in the preceding sentence. Directors do not receive meeting fees and employee directors do not receive any separate compensation for their Board activities.

	Retainer
Committee Chair	
Audit Committee	\$ 25,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 15,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 15,000
Committee Non-Chair Member	
Audit Committee	\$ 10,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 10,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 10,000

Each non-employee director was also entitled to receive an annual equity award payable in RSUs having an aggregate fair market value of \$90,000 for non-employee directors and \$115,000 for the Lead Director based on the closing price of our common stock as reported by the NYSE on the date of grant (any fractional shares are paid in cash).

Cash retainers and RSU awards are prorated when a director joins the Board or a Committee at any time other than the annual meeting of shareholders. RSUs granted on the date of an annual meeting of shareholders vest one year from the date of grant. RSUs not granted on the date of an annual meeting of shareholders vest on the one year anniversary of the most recent annual meeting of shareholders held prior to the date of grant. RSUs are recognized as an expense in the Company's financial statements for financial reporting purposes with respect to fiscal 2012 in accordance with FASB ASC Subtopic 718-10.

Holders of these RSUs are entitled to participate in dividend equivalent payments and such payments may be settled in cash, shares of our common stock, or a combination thereof, to be decided by the Company in its sole discretion. Prior to the conversion, RSU holders will not have any rights as an MSCI shareholder, including the right to vote the underlying shares. The RSUs are generally non-transferable; however, our Board may, in its discretion, specify circumstances under which an award may become immediately transferable and nonforfeitable or under which the award will be forfeited. The RSUs will vest and convert immediately upon termination of service for reasons of death, disability or a change in control. If service as a director terminates for any other reason, all unvested restricted stock units will be cancelled and forfeited.

Non-employee directors are reimbursed for their expenses in connection with attending Board meetings.

Director Deferral Plan

On August 2, 2011, the Board adopted the MSCI Inc. Independent Directors Deferral Plan (Deferral Plan), which permits directors to defer receipt of shares of our common stock payable in lieu of cash retainers and/or upon conversion of RSUs vesting during the year for which an election has been submitted. Receipt of shares of our common stock may be deferred until a future date specified by the director, a separation from service (as defined by Treasury regulations), or the earlier of the two. While the Deferral Plan allows for it, the Board has not yet implemented a process for the deferral of cash payments.

Table of Contents**Fiscal 2012 Non-Employee Director Compensation**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Benjamin F. duPont ⁽⁶⁾	90,000	90,000		180,000
Alice W. Handy ⁽⁷⁾	85,000	90,000		175,000
Catherine R. Kinney ⁽⁸⁾	100,000	90,000		190,000
Linda H. Riefler ⁽⁹⁾	75,000	90,000		165,000
George W. Siguler ⁽¹⁰⁾	85,000	90,000		175,000
Patrick Tierney ⁽¹¹⁾	95,000	90,000		185,000
Rodolphe M. Vallee ⁽¹²⁾	110,000	115,000		225,000

- (1) Pursuant to MSCI's Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, directors hold office for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of shareholders. The 2012 Board term does not coincide with MSCI's January through December fiscal year. Amounts included in the table above represent the portion of cash earned or paid, or stock awards granted, as applicable, with respect to service during fiscal 2012. All stock awards were granted during fiscal 2012.
- (2) Cash amounts in the table above include the annual retainers and committee chair and member fees as well as cash payments in lieu of fractional RSUs.
- (3) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of RSUs granted in fiscal 2012 calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Subtopic 718-10. The fair value is calculated by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant by the number of units awarded. For assumptions regarding these calculations, please see notes 1 and 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2013. However, the values in this column may not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by the non-employee directors at the time the RSUs vest.
- (4) On May 2, 2012, Messrs. duPont, Siguler, and Tierney, and Mmes. Handy, Kinney and Riefler each received 2,556 RSUs (determined by dividing \$90,000 by \$35.20, which was the closing price per share of our common stock on the grant date and a fractional amount of \$28.80 was paid in cash) under the IDECP for the fiscal 2012 Board term. As the Lead Director, Mr. Vallee received 3,267 RSUs (determined by dividing \$115,000 by \$35.20, which was the closing price per share of our common stock on the grant date and a fractional amount of \$1.60 was paid in cash) under the IDECP for the fiscal 2012 Board term. The RSUs will vest on May 2, 2013. Mmes. Handy and Kinney elected to defer receipt of such shares issuable upon vesting until the 60th day after their respective separation from service as a director under the Deferral Plan.
- (5) As of December 31, 2012, each of our non-employee directors had the following outstanding stock awards in the form of RSUs: Messrs. duPont, Siguler, and Tierney and Mmes. Handy, Kinney and Riefler each had 2,556 RSUs outstanding and Mr. Vallee had 3,267 RSUs outstanding.
- (6) Mr. duPont elected to receive the first \$40,000 of his total fees in cash and the remainder, or \$50,000, in the form of our common stock, such fees consisting of an \$75,000 retainer fee for his service on the Board and a \$15,000 retainer fee for his service as the Chair of the Compensation Committee. He received a total of 1,420 shares of our common stock and \$16 as a cash payment for fractional shares.
- (7) Ms. Handy elected to receive 100% of the fees she is entitled to for the fiscal 2012 Board term in the form of our common stock, such fees consisting of a \$75,000 retainer fee for her service on the Board and a \$10,000 retainer fee for her service as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. She received a total of 2,414 shares of our common stock and \$27.20 as a cash payment for fractional shares. Ms. Handy elected to defer receipt of such shares until the 60th day after her separation from service as a director under the Deferral Plan.
- (8) Ms. Kinney received a \$75,000 retainer for her service on the Board for the fiscal 2012 Board term. She elected to receive 100% of the \$25,000 retainer for her service as Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee in the form of our common stock. She received a total of 710 shares of our common stock and \$8 as a cash payment for fractional shares. Ms. Kinney elected to defer receipt of such shares until the 60th day after her separation from service as a director under the Deferral Plan.

Table of Contents

- (9) Ms. Riefler received a \$75,000 retainer fee for her service on the Board.
- (10) Mr. Siguler received a \$75,000 retainer fee for his service on the Board and a \$10,000 retainer fee for his service as a member of the Audit Committee.
- (11) Mr. Tierney received a \$75,000 retainer fee for his service on the Board and a \$20,000 retainer fee for his service as a member of the Audit Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.
- (12) Mr. Vallee received a \$75,000 retainer fee for his service on the Board and a \$35,000 retainer fee for his service as Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the Compensation Committee.

Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

On January 12, 2011, the Board adopted stock ownership guidelines, under which commencing on the date of the 2013 annual shareholders meeting, non-employee directors are required to maintain a target level of ownership. The target level of ownership for each year is the number of shares equal to the sum of the RSUs granted to such non-employee director on the date of each of the three prior annual shareholders meetings. Shares counted toward these guidelines include any shares held by the director directly or indirectly and RSUs with respect to our common stock granted under the IDECP.

Item 3 Ratification of the Appointment of MSCI Inc. s Independent Auditor

The Audit Committee appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte & Touche) as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 and presents this selection to the shareholders for ratification. Deloitte & Touche will audit our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 and perform other permissible pre-approved services.

A Deloitte & Touche representative will attend the annual meeting of shareholders to respond to your questions and will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so. If shareholders do not ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider it.

Our Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Deloitte & Touche s appointment as our independent auditor. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted FOR this ratification unless otherwise instructed.

Table of Contents

Independent Auditor's Fees. The following table summarizes the aggregate fees (including related expenses; \$ in thousands) billed and accrued in fiscal 2012 and 2011 for professional services provided by Deloitte & Touche. These fees were approved pursuant to the pre-approval policies and procedures described below.

	2012	2011
Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,906	\$ 2,460
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 220	\$ 209
Tax fees ⁽³⁾	\$ 340	\$ 37
All other fees	\$	\$
Total	\$ 2,466	\$ 2,706

- (1) Audit fees consisted of fees billed and accrued for (i) audits of our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K and related services, (ii) reviews of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly financial statements, (iii) the annual audit of the 401(k) financial statements, (iv) comfort letters, consents and other services related to SEC and other regulatory filings, (v) audits of our internal control over financial reporting (as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) and (vi) accounting consultations. Audit fees for fiscal 2011 also included auditing services provided in connection with an ISS-specific audit.
- (2) In fiscal 2012 and 2011, audit-related fees consisted of fees billed for SSAE 16 audit fees for RiskMetrics.
- (3) In fiscal 2011, tax fees consisted of consulting for sales tax assistance and other tax advisory services. In fiscal 2012, those services also included advisory services related to international corporate restructuring.

Pre-approval Policy of the Audit Committee of Services Performed by Independent Auditors. The Audit Committee has implemented pre-approval policies and procedures related to the provision of audit and non-audit services by the independent auditor to ensure that the services do not impair the auditor's independence. Under these procedures, the Audit Committee pre-approves both the type of services to be provided by the independent auditors and the estimated fees related to those services. During the pre-approval process, the Audit Committee considers the impact of the types of services and the related fees on the independence of the auditor. Even if a service has received general pre-approval, if it involves a fee in excess of \$75,000 or relates to tax planning and advice, it requires a separate pre-approval, which has been delegated to our Chair. The Chair must report, for informational purposes, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The services and fees must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of the auditor's independence, including compliance with SEC and NYSE rules and regulations. Management reports the actual fees versus pre-approved amounts periodically throughout the year by category of service.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. The charter is available under the Corporate Governance link under the Investor Relations link at www.msci.com. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement or any other report filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee is responsible for the oversight of the integrity of the Company's consolidated financial statements, the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting, the Company's risk management, the qualifications and independence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditor), the performance of the Company's internal auditor and independent auditor and the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee has the sole authority and responsibility to appoint, compensate, evaluate and, when appropriate, replace the Company's independent auditor. The Board has determined that all of the Audit Committee's members are independent under the applicable independence standards of the NYSE and the Exchange Act.

The Audit Committee serves in an oversight capacity and is not part of the Company's managerial or operational decision-making.