STATE STREET CORP Form DEF 14A April 04, 2013 Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant To Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

" Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

STATE STREET CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

" Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

" Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Joseph L. Hooley

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

April 4, 2013

Dear Shareholder:

We cordially invite you to attend the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders of State Street Corporation. The meeting will be held at One Lincoln Street, 36th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, May 15, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.

Details regarding admission to the meeting and the business to be conducted are more fully described in the accompanying notice of annual meeting and proxy statement.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please carefully review the enclosed proxy statement and then cast your vote. We urge you to vote regardless of the number of shares you hold. Please mark, sign, date and mail promptly the accompanying proxy card. If you hold your shares in street name, meaning they are held for your account by a broker, bank or other nominee, you will receive separate voting instructions for your shares from your broker, bank or other nominee. Registered shareholders may also vote electronically by telephone or over the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card. For shares held in street name, please follow the relevant instructions for telephone and Internet voting provided by the broker, bank or other nominee. To be sure that your vote will be received in time, please cast your vote by your choice of available means at your earliest convenience.

We are pleased to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rule allowing companies to furnish proxy materials to their shareholders over the Internet. We believe that this e-proxy process expedites shareholders receipt of proxy materials and lowers the costs and reduces the environmental impact of the annual meeting. Shareholders receiving e-proxy materials have been sent a notice containing instructions on how to access the proxy statement and annual report over the Internet and how to vote.

We look forward to seeing you at the annual meeting. Your continuing interest in State Street is very much appreciated.

Sincerely,

PLEASE NOTE: If you plan to attend the meeting, please allow time for registration and security clearance. You will be asked to present a valid picture identification acceptable to our security personnel, such as a driver s license or passport. If your State Street shares are held in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee, you should also bring proof of beneficial ownership (for further details, see Meeting Admission in the attached *Notice of State Street Corporation 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders*). For security reasons, you and your bags are subject to search prior to your admittance to the meeting, and no large bags, packages or electronic devices, including cell phones, are permitted in the meeting. Public fee-based parking is available at State Street s headquarters at One Lincoln Street (entrance from Kingston Street). Other public fee-based parking facilities available near One Lincoln Street include the LaFayette Corporate Center and the Hyatt Hotel (entrances from Rue de LaFayette). South Station is the closest MBTA station to One Lincoln Street.

State Street Corporation

One Lincoln Street

Boston, MA 02111-2900

NOTICE OF STATE STREET CORPORATION 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Time	10:00 a.m., Eastern Time	
Date	Wednesday, May 15, 2013	
Place	One Lincoln Street, 36th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts	
Purpose	1. To elect 11 directors;	
	2. To approve an advisory proposal on executive compensation;	
	3. To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as State Street s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013; and	
	4. To act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting and any adjournments thereof.	
Record Date	The directors have fixed the close of business on March 15, 2013, as the record date for determining shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.	
Meeting Admission	If you plan to attend the meeting, please allow time for registration and security clearance. You will be asked to present a valid picture identification acceptable to our security personnel, such as a driver s license or passport. If your State Street shares are held in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee, your name does not appear on our list of shareholders and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank or other nominee. If you are a street name holder and wish to attend the annual meeting, in addition to a valid form of picture identification, you will be required to present a letter or account statement showing that you were a beneficial owner of our shares on the record date. For security purposes, you and your bags are subject to search prior to your admittance to the meeting. In addition, no cameras, recording equipment, cell phones or other electronic devices, large bags or packages will be permitted into the meeting.	
Voting by Proxy	Please submit a proxy card or, for shares held in street name, voting instruction form, as soon as possible so your shares can be voted at the meeting. You may submit your proxy card or voting instruction form by mail. If you are a registered shareholder, you may also vote electronically by telephone or over the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card. If your shares are held in street name, you will receive instructions for the voting of your shares from your broker, bank or other nominee, which may permit telephone or Internet voting. Follow the instructions on the voting instruction form that you receive from your broker, bank or other nominee to ensure that your shares are properly voted at the annual meeting.	

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Jeffrey N. Carp Secretary

April 4, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
GENERAL INFORMATION	1
Why am I receiving these materials?	
Can I access State Street s proxy materials and annual report electronically?	1
How do I request a printed copy of the proxy materials for future shareholder meetings?	1
What are the directions to the meeting?	1
What is the record date for the meeting?	2
How many votes can be cast by all shareholders? How do I vote?	2 2
What are the Board s recommendations on how to vote my shares?	2
Who pays the cost for soliciting proxies by State Street?	3
What is householding?	3
May I change my vote?	3
What constitutes a quorum?	
What vote is required to approve each item?	3
How is the vote counted?	4
Could other matters be decided at the meeting?	4
What happens if the meeting is postponed or adjourned?	4
What are my rights as a participant in the Salary Savings Program?	4
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	5
ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	7
ITEM 2 APPROVAL OF ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	15
ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	16
OTHER MATTERS	16
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AT STATE STREET	17
Composition of the Board and Director Selection Process	18
Board Leadership Structure	20
Meetings of the Board of Directors	21
Committees of the Board of Directors	21
Non-Employee Director Compensation	23
Related Person Transactions	26
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	28
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	28
Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Committee Report	20 45
Alignment of Incentive Compensation and Risk	45
Summary Compensation Table	48
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2012	50
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End December 31, 2012	52
2012 Option/SAR Exercises and Stock Vested	53
Pension Benefits as of December 31, 2012	54
2012 Nongualified Deferred Compensation	57
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control	58
EXAMINING AND AUDIT COMMITTEE MATTERS	62
Examining and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	62
Audit and Non-Audit Fees	62
Report of the Examining and Audit Committee	62
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	64
PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS BY SHAREHOLDERS	64

Appendix A: Excerpt from State Street s Corporate Governance Guidelines

STATE STREET CORPORATION

One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02111

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

Why am I receiving these materials?

State Street s Board of Directors is soliciting your vote by proxy at the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders. This proxy statement includes information that we are required to provide to you under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and that is designed to assist you in voting your shares.

Can I access State Street s proxy materials and annual report electronically?

As permitted by SEC rules, we are making this proxy statement and our annual report, including our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, available to our shareholders over the Internet. On April 4, 2013, we mailed to our U.S. shareholders as of the record date for the annual meeting, March 15, 2013, a notice containing instructions on how to access these proxy materials online and how to vote. Also on April 4, 2013, we began mailing printed copies of these proxy materials to shareholders that have requested printed copies and to shareholders outside the United States. If you received a notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail unless you request a copy. Instead, the notice instructs you on how to access and review online all of the important information contained in the proxy statement and annual report. The notice also instructs you on how you may submit your vote over the Internet. If you received a notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the notice.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on May 15, 2013.

The proxy statement and annual report, and the means to vote electronically, are available at *www.proxyvote.com*. To view this material, you must have available the 12-digit control number located on the notice mailed on April 4, 2013 or the proxy card or, if shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee, the voting instruction form.

How do I request a printed copy of the proxy materials for future shareholder meetings?

To request a printed copy of the proxy statement, annual report and form of proxy relating to our future shareholder meetings, visit *www.proxyvote.com*, telephone 1-800-579-1639 or send an email to *sendmaterial@proxyvote.com*. You must have available the 12-digit control number described above.

What are the directions to the meeting?

Directions to the meeting are as follows:

From the North:

Take Expressway (I-93) South to exit 20 (Purchase Street/South Station) and follow the signs for South Station. Follow exit ramp and cross Summer Street. Turn right onto Lincoln Street. Take first left onto Bedford Street. Take first left onto Kingston Street. Entrance to the garage is on the left.

From the South:

Take Expressway (I-93) North to exit 20 (South Station). Bear left at the ramp to South Station/Chinatown. You will see State Street Financial Center directly ahead. You will be on Lincoln Street. Follow Lincoln Street through the major intersection at the lights (pass the entrance to the building). Take left onto Bedford Street. Take first left onto Kingston Street. Entrance to the garage is on the left.

From the West:

Take Mass Turnpike (I-90) to exit 24A (South Station). Turn left on Kneeland Street towards Chinatown. Turn right onto Lincoln Street at the light. Follow Lincoln Street through the major intersection at the lights (pass the entrance to the building). Take left onto Bedford Street. Take first left onto Kingston Street. Entrance to the garage is on the left.

Via Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority:

Take the MBTA Red Line train to the South Station MBTA stop. Exit the train station and walk across Atlantic Avenue (towards Summer Street and Federal Street). Follow Summer Street to Lincoln Street.

What is the record date for the meeting?

Our Board of Directors has fixed the record date for the annual meeting as of the close of business on March 15, 2013.

How many votes can be cast by all shareholders?

As of the record date, 455,748,012 shares of our common stock were outstanding and entitled to be voted at the meeting. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter.

How do I vote?

If your shares are registered in your name, you may vote in person at the annual meeting or by proxy without attending the meeting. Registered shareholders may also vote by telephone or over the Internet by following the instructions included with your proxy card or the notice we mailed to you on April 4, 2013. In addition, if you received a printed proxy card, you may mark, sign, date, and mail the proxy card you received from State Street in the postage-paid return envelope. If you vote by any of the available methods, your shares will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions. If you sign and return the proxy card or vote by telephone or over the Internet but do not provide voting instructions on some or all of the proposals, your shares will be voted by the persons named in the proxy card on all uninstructed proposals in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors given below.

If your shares are held in street name by a broker, bank or other nominee, that person, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. Your bank, broker or other nominee will send you directions on how to vote those shares, which may include the ability to instruct the voting of your shares by telephone or over the Internet.

If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the meeting, you must obtain from the record holder, and bring with you to the meeting, a proxy from the record holder issued in your name. Please note that this proxy from the record holder is in addition to the picture identification and proof of beneficial ownership required for your admission into the meeting.

What are the Board s recommendations on how to vote my shares?

The Board of Directors recommends a vote:

- n Item 1 FOR election of the 11 nominees named herein as directors (page 7)
- n Item 2 **FOR** approval of the advisory proposal on executive compensation (page 15)

n Item 3 **FOR** ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm (page 16) Additionally, if other matters are presented at the annual meeting, the persons named in the proxy card as proxy holders are authorized to vote on the additional matters as they determine.

Who pays the cost for soliciting proxies by State Street?

State Street will pay the cost for the solicitation of proxies by the Board. That solicitation of proxies will be made primarily by mail and electronic means. State Street has retained Georgeson Inc. to aid in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$19,000, plus expenses. Proxies may also be solicited by employees of State Street and its subsidiaries personally, or by mail, telephone, fax or e-mail, without any remuneration to such employees other than their regular compensation. State Street will reimburse brokers, banks, custodians, other nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding these materials to their principals to obtain authorization for the execution of proxies.

What is householding?

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be householding our proxy statements, annual reports and related materials. Householding means that only one copy of these documents may have been sent to multiple shareholders in one household. If you would like to receive your own set of State Street s proxy statements, annual reports and related materials, or if you share an address with another State Street shareholder and together both of you would like to receive only a single set of these documents, please contact your bank, broker or other nominee.

May I change my vote?

If you are a registered shareholder, you may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is voted by notifying the Secretary in writing, by returning a signed proxy with a later date or submitting an electronic proxy as of a later date or by attending the meeting and voting in person. If your shares are held in street name, you must contact your bank, broker or other nominee for instructions on changing your vote.

What constitutes a quorum?

A majority of the votes entitled to be cast on a matter constitutes a quorum for action on that matter. A share once represented for any purpose at the annual meeting will be deemed present for determination of a quorum for the entire meeting and for any adjournment of the meeting (unless (1) a shareholder attends solely to object to lack of notice, defective notice or the conduct of the meeting on other grounds, and the shareholder does not vote the shares or otherwise consent that they are to be deemed present, or (2) in the case of an adjournment, a new record date is set for that adjourned meeting).

What vote is required to approve each item?

Since it is an uncontested election of directors at the annual meeting, a nominee for director will be elected to the Board of Directors if the votes cast for the nominee s election exceed the votes cast against the nominee s election (Item 1). If the votes cast against the nominee s election exceed the votes cast for the nominee s election, the nominee will not be elected to the Board of Directors. However, under Massachusetts law, if a nominee that is an incumbent director is not elected to the Board of Directors, that incumbent director will hold over in office as a director until his or her successor is elected or until there is a decrease in the number of directors, any director/nominee who does not receive more votes cast for his or her election than votes cast against his or her election, will submit to the Board a letter of resignation for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. After consideration, that committee would make a recommendation to the Board on action to be taken regarding the resignation. No such tendered resignation will be deemed effective unless and until it is accepted by action of the Board.

The actions concerning the advisory proposal on executive compensation (Item 2) and the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm (Item 3) will be approved if the votes cast for the action exceed the votes cast against the action. Item 2 is a non-binding proposal.

How is the vote counted?

Votes cast by proxy or in person at the annual meeting will be counted by the persons appointed by State Street to act as tellers for the meeting.

Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted as votes with respect to any of the items to be voted on at the annual meeting.

If you hold shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, generally the nominee may vote the shares for you in accordance with your instructions. Stock exchange rules permit a broker to vote shares held in a brokerage account on routine proposals if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you. Stock exchange and SEC rules, however, prohibit brokers from voting uninstructed shares in the election of directors and executive compensation matters, including the advisory proposal on executive compensation. Accordingly, of the matters to be voted on at the 2013 Annual Meeting, the only routine proposal is the ratification of the selection of the independent registered accounting firm (Item 3).

Could other matters be decided at the meeting?

We do not know of any matters that may be properly presented for action at the meeting other than Items 1 through 3. Under our by-laws, the deadline for shareholders to notify us of any proposals or director nominations to be presented for action at the 2013 Annual Meeting has passed. However, should any other business properly come before the meeting, the persons named on the enclosed proxy will have discretionary authority to vote the shares represented by such proxies in accordance with their best judgment.

What happens if the meeting is postponed or adjourned?

Your proxy may be voted at the postponed or adjourned meeting. You will still be able to change your proxy until it is voted.

What are my rights as a participant in the Salary Savings Program?

As part of its employee benefits program, State Street maintains a Salary Savings Program, or SSP. If you participate in the SSP with company stock in the SSP and have invested part or all of your account in the Employee Stock Ownership Plan fund as of the record date, you may direct the trustee how to vote your allocated share of State Street Corporation common stock held in your SSP account. You may give the trustee direction over the Internet, by telephone or by mail. If you do not provide timely direction to the trustee as to how to vote your allocated share, the trustee will vote your allocated share on the same proportional basis as the shares that are directed by other participants. If a matter arises at the meeting, or such other time as affords no practical means for securing participant direction, the trustee will follow the direction of State Street, unless the trustee determines that doing so would result in a breach of the trustee s fiduciary duty.

You must direct the trustee in advance of the meeting so that the trustee, the registered owner of all of the shares held in the SSP, can vote in a timely way. Regardless of what method you use to direct the trustee, the trustee must receive your direction no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 13, 2013 for your direction to be counted. Your direction will be held in confidence by the trustee. You may not provide this direction at the annual meeting. You may change your direction to the trustee by timely submitting a new direction. The last direction the trustee receives by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 13, 2013, will be the only one counted. If your direction by mail is received on the same day as one received electronically, the electronic direction will be followed.

The trustee is providing the annual report and the notice of annual meeting and proxy statement electronically to SSP participants with company stock in the SSP who are active employees and have a company-provided e-mail account and Internet access. Instead of receiving these materials in paper form mailed to your

home, you will have on-line access to these materials over the Internet, thus expediting the delivery of materials and reducing printing and mailing costs. An e-mail will be sent to all such participants with detailed instructions to access materials and give your direction to the trustee. You may request that paper copies be sent to you, thereby permitting you to send in your direction by mail if you prefer that method. All other participants will receive their materials in the mail.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Beneficial Owners

The table below sets forth the number of shares of common stock of State Street beneficially owned as of the close of business on December 31, 2012 by each person or entity known to State Street to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership 26,512,290 ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class 5.7%
Massachusetts Financial Services Company 111 Huntington Avenue	27,707,655 ⁽²⁾	6.0

Boston, MA 02199

- (1) This information is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 6, 2013 by BlackRock, Inc., in which it reported sole voting power of 26,512,290 shares and sole dispositive power of 26,512,290 shares.
- (2) This information is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2013 by Massachusetts Financial Services Company, in which it reported sole voting power of 23,271,350 shares and sole dispositive power of 27,707,655 shares.

Management

The table below sets forth the number of shares of common stock of State Street beneficially owned as of the close of business on March 1, 2013 by (1) each director, (2) the named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2012, as identified in the Summary Compensation Table on page 48 of this proxy statement, and (3) all current directors and executive officers as a group. For this purpose, beneficial ownership is determined under the rules of the SEC. As of March 1, 2013, there were 455,661,978 shares of State Street common stock outstanding. On March 1, 2013, neither the current executive officers or directors listed below individually, nor those individuals as a group, owned beneficially as much as 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	
Joseph C. Antonellis	171,079	
Kennett F. Burnes	45,047	
Peter Coym	17,367	
Patrick de Saint-Aignan	11,786	
Amelia C. Fawcett	22,432	
David P. Gruber	22,076	
Linda A. Hill	35,254	
Joseph L. Hooley	550,786 ⁽²⁾	
Robert S. Kaplan	19,206	
James S. Phalen	279,003 ⁽³⁾	
Scott F. Powers	153,889	
Edward J. Resch	420,837	
Richard P. Sergel	38,128	
Ronald L. Skates	39,532	
Gregory L. Summe	56,130	
Robert E. Weissman	55,437	
Thomas J. Wilson	1,657	
All current directors and executive officers, as a group (25 persons)		

- (1) Information in this table includes shares that the individual or group has the right to acquire within 60 days of March 1, 2013 through the exercise of stock options, the exercise of stock appreciation rights (based on the closing price of common stock on March 1, 2013) and shares that have vested under deferred retirement benefits, as follows: Mr. Antonellis, 94,869; Mr. Hooley, 139,827; Mr. Phalen, 17,053; Mr. Powers, 52,136; Mr. Resch, 170,629; and the group of current directors and executive officers, 553,230.
- (2) Includes 2,800 shares as to which Mr. Hooley has shared voting power and investment power.
- (3) Includes 29,477 shares held in a trust for which Mr. Phalen disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- (4) Includes 402,000 shares held in trust by one current executive officer not individually named, for which this executive officer disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote

FOR

each of the nominees for director (Item 1 on your proxy card)

Each director elected at the 2013 annual meeting will serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders, except as otherwise provided in State Street s by-laws. Prior to the 2013 annual meeting, the State Street Board of Directors will consist of 13 members. Effective as of the 2013 annual meeting, the State Street Board of Directors will consist of 11 members. Of the 11 director nominees, 10 are non-management directors and one is the chief executive officer of State Street. All of the non-management directors are independent directors, as determined by the Board in its opinion, under the applicable definition in the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, listing standards and the State Street Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Pursuant to State Street s by-laws, on February 21, 2013, the Board fixed the number of directors at 11 effective as of the 2013 annual meeting. Each of the nominees for election as a director is currently a director. Unless contrary instructions are given, shares represented by proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted for the election of the 11 nominees listed below as directors. We have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unavailable for election at the annual meeting. In the event that one or more nominees is unexpectedly not available to serve, proxies may be voted for another person nominated as a substitute by the Board, or the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the annual meeting. Information relating to each nominee for election as director is described below, including:

- n his or her age and period of service as a director of State Street;
- n his or her business experience during the past five years (including directorships at other public companies);
- n his or her community activities; and
- n the other experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to conclude he or she should continue to serve as a director of State Street.

See Corporate Governance at State Street Composition of the Board and Director Selection Process beginning on page 18 of this proxy statement for a further discussion of the Board s process and reasons for nominating these candidates.

KENNETT F. BURNES Board Roles: Lead Director; and Executive Committee

Age 70, Director since 2003

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Cabot Corporation, an NYSE-listed manufacturer of specialty chemicals and performance materials (2001 to 2008); also President (1995 to 2008)
- n Director, Watts Water Technologies, Inc., an NYSE-listed supplier of products for use in the water quality, water safety, water flow control and water conservation markets (2009 to present), chair of Nominating Committee

- n Trustee, Dana Farber Cancer Institute
- n Chairman of Board of Visitors and member of the Board of Trustees, Executive Committee and Audit Committee Chairman, New England Conservatory
- n Chairman of Board of Trustees, Schepens Eye Research Institute
- n Chairman of Board of Trustees, Epiphany School

Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Burnes significant experience in leading a global organization, with facilities and operations in approximately 20 countries, brings to State Street s Board a focus on developing new products and new businesses in diverse, international environments. Prior to joining Cabot Corporation in 1987, Mr. Burnes was a partner at the Boston-based law firm of Choate, Hall & Stewart where he practiced corporate and business law for nearly 20 years. Mr. Burnes obtained experience in evaluating complex legal issues that arise in the types of material transactions boards of directors are called on to consider, including mergers and acquisitions and financing transactions. Mr. Burnes holds both an LL.B. and B.A. degree from Harvard University.

PETER COYM Board Roles: Examining and Audit Committee; and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Age 71, Director since 2006

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Retired head of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., Germany; and former Member of the Lehman Brothers Bankhaus Management Board, and its European Management Group (1993 to 2005)
- n Managing Director and Office Manager, Salomon Brothers AG, and Managing Director of Salomon Brothers, Inc. (1986 to 1993)
- n Deputy Chairman of Supervisory Board, Magix AG, an international provider of software, online services and digital content in multimedia communication (2003 to present)
- n Member of Supervisory Board, Deutsche Börse AG, one of the world s leading exchange organizations (1994 to 2003)
- n Member of the Supervisory Board (Börsenrat), Eurex, a German options and futures exchange (1994 to 2005); also Chairman of the Supervisory Board (2003 to 2005)
- n Board Member, Association of Foreign Banks (1992 to 2005); also Chairman of Executive Committee (2001 to 2005)
- n Board member, German Deposit Protection Fund (1999 to 2005)
- n Member, German Central Capital Market Committee (1997 to 2005)
- n Member, Advisory Council of the German Bundesbank (2003 to 2006)

n Member, Advisory Council to the German Minister of Finance (2002 to 2005) Qualifications and Attributes

A German citizen, Dr. Coym s more than 35 years of experience in investment banking, based primarily in Europe, provides, among other things, insight into the complexities and trends within and influencing the financial services industry, including its participants, customers and regulators, particularly in a region of strategic importance to State Street. Dr. Coym, through his broad advisory experience in foreign markets, brings to the Board an international perspective on the factors motivating and affecting major corporate strategic and financing transactions and product development initiatives. Dr. Coym received an undergraduate degree and a Ph.D. in business studies from The University of Hamburg.

PATRICK DE SAINT-AIGNAN Board Roles: Examining and Audit Committee; and Risk and Capital Committee

Age 64, Director since 2009

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

n Retired Managing Director and Advisory Director for Morgan Stanley (1974 to 2007), including firm-wide head of the company s risk management function (1995 to 2002)

- n Director, Allied World Assurance Company Holdings AG, an NYSE-listed specialty insurance and reinsurance company (2008 to present); also member of the Enterprise Risk Committee (chair), Compensation Committee, Audit Committee and Investment Committee (2008 to present)
- n Director, Member of the Compensation Committee, Forerun Inc., a private healthcare information technology company (2007 to present)
- n Censeur of Supervisory Board, IXIS Corporate and Investment Bank, the Corporate and Investment Banking arm of Groupe Caisse d Epargne (2005 to 2007)
- n Director, Bank of China Limited (2006 to 2008); also member of the Audit Committee (chair), the Risk Policy Committee, and the Personnel and Remuneration Committee (2006 to 2008)

n Director, Non-Executive Chairman, European Kyoto Fund (2008 to 2012) Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. de Saint-Aignan s extensive experience in risk management, corporate finance, capital markets and firm management brings to the Board a sophisticated understanding of risk, particularly with respect to the implementation of risk evaluation and monitoring programs within a global financial services organization. Mr. de Saint-Aignan s service on the board of directors and committees of several other companies give him additional perspective on global management and governance. A dual citizen of the United States and France, he was honored with Risk Magazine s Lifetime Achievement Award in 2004. Mr. de Saint-Aignan holds his B.B.A. degree from the Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales and an M.B.A. from Harvard University.

AMELIA C. FAWCETT Age 56, Director since 2006 Board Roles: Executive Committee; Executive Compensation Committee; and Risk and Capital Committee (Chair)

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Non-Executive Chairman, Guardian Media Group plc, a privately held diversified multimedia business headquartered in London (2009 to present)
- n Chairman, Hedge Fund Standards Board, a standard setting body for the hedge fund industry, headquartered in London (2011 to present)
- n Non-Executive Director, Investment AB Kinnevik, investment manager of a portfolio of long-term investments in a number of listed and unlisted growth companies around the globe including a long history of investing in emerging markets (2011 to present)
- n Non-Executive Chairman, powerPerfector Group plc, a privately-held UK energy efficiency company focused on voltage optimization (2012 to present)
- n Non-Executive Chairman, Pensions First LLP, a privately held financial services and systems company (2007 to 2010)

- n Former Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of European Operations (2002 to 2006), Morgan Stanley International Limited, London (2006 to 2007); also Vice President (1990 to 1992), Executive Director (1992 to 1996), Managing Director and Chief Administrative Officer for European Operations (1996 to 2002), and Senior Adviser (2006 to 2007)
- n Former Member of the Court of Directors and Chairman of the Audit Committee, The Bank of England (2004 to 2009)
- n Chairman, The Prince of Wales Charitable Foundation (2012 to present); Trustee since 2011
- n Chairman of the American Friends of the National Portrait Gallery (2011 to present); Former Deputy Chairman, National Portrait Gallery (2002 to 2011); also Chairman of Development Board (2002 to 2011)

- n Governor, London Business School (2009 to present)
- n Director, Project Hope (U.K.) (2009 to present)
- n Commissioner, US-UK Fulbright Commission (2010 to present)

n Advisory Board Member, Cambridge (University) Programme for Sustainability Leadership **Qualifications and Attributes**

Dame Amelia Fawcett, a dual American and British citizen, has many years of extensive and diverse financial services experience. At Morgan Stanley, she had responsibility for development and implementation of the company s business strategy (including business integration), as well as oversight of the company s operational risk functions, infrastructure support and corporate affairs. Prior to joining Morgan Stanley, she was an attorney at the New York-based law firm of Sullivan & Cromwell, practicing primarily in the areas of corporate and banking law in both New York and Paris. In 2002 Dame Amelia was awarded a CBE (Commander of the Order of the British Empire) by the Queen and in 2010 she was awarded a DBE (Dame Commander of the Order of the British Empire) by the Queen, in both instances for services to the finance industry. In addition, she received His Royal Highness The Prince of Wales Ambassador Award in 2004, an award recognizing responsible business activities that have a positive impact on society and the environment. Dame Amelia s public policy experience and experience in the European banking markets provides a valuable international financial markets perspective to the Board. Dame Amelia received a B.A. degree from Wellesley College, a J.D. degree from the University of Virginia and an honorary degree from the American University in London (Richmond).

LINDA A. HILL Age 50 Board Roles: Executive Compensation Committee; and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Harvard Business School: Wallace Brett Donham Professor of Business Administration (1984 to present); and former Faculty Chair for the Leadership Initiative, the High Potentials Leadership Program, and the Organizational Behavior Unit
- n Director, Eaton Corp., an NYSE-listed power management company providing energy-efficient solutions that effectively manages electrical, hydraulic and mechanical power, and a member of the Governance Committee and Compensation and Organization Committee (1994 to 2012 as Cooper Industries, Inc. prior to merger with Eaton Corp from 2012 to present)
- n Director, Harvard Business Publishing
- n Special Representative to the Board of Trustees, Bryn Mawr College
- n Trustee, The Bridgespan Group
- n Trustee, Nelson Mandela Children s Fund USA

Age 56, Director since 2000

n Trustee, The Art Center College of Design Qualifications and Attributes

Ms. Hill is the author of several books and articles focusing on the principles and qualifications for effective leadership and management. Through her research and academic perspectives, affiliation with Harvard Business School, and experience as a public company director, Ms. Hill brings to the Board an effective understanding of market and competitive trends in executive talent development and corporate governance matters. Ms. Hill received an A.B. degree in psychology from Bryn Mawr College, an M.A. in educational psychology from the University of Chicago, and a Ph.D. in behavioral sciences from the University of Chicago and completed her post-doctoral research fellowship at the Harvard Business School.

JOSEPH L. HOOLEY

Board Roles: Chairman of the Board; Executive Committee (Chair); and Risk and Capital Committee

Age 56, Director since 2009

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n State Street Corporation, Chairman (2011 to present), President (2008 to present), and Chief Executive Officer (2010 to present); also President and Chief Executive Officer, National Financial Data Services (1988 to 1990), President and Chief Executive Officer, Boston Financial Data Services (1990 to 2000)
- n Board of Trustees, Boston College (2012 to present)
- n Director, Boys and Girls Clubs of Boston (2002 to present)
- n Director, President s Council of Massachusetts General Hospital (2009 to present)
- n Chairman, Boston College Center for Asset Management (2007 to present)

n Member of the Corporate Advisory Board, The Boston Club (2006 to present) **Qualifications and Attributes**

Mr. Hooley joined State Street in 1986 and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since March 2010, prior to which he had served as President and Chief Operating Officer since April 2008. From 2002 to April 2008, Mr. Hooley served as Executive Vice President and head of Investor Services and, in 2006, was appointed Vice Chairman and Global Head of Investment Servicing and Investment Research and Trading. Mr. Hooley was elected to serve on the Board of Directors effective October 22, 2009, and he was appointed Chairman of the Board effective January 1, 2011. Mr. Hooley s leadership experience and core understanding of the company s full range of services brings to the Board a detailed and thorough perspective on the company s key operations, strategic initiatives and customer relationships globally. He received his B.S. degree from Boston College.

ROBERT S. KAPLAN A Board Roles: Executive Compensation Committee; and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Age 55, Director since 2009

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Co-Chairman, Draper, Richards, Kaplan Foundation, a global venture philanthropy firm (2010 to present)
- n Professor of Management Practice, Harvard Business School (2005 to present)
- n Advisory Director, Berkshire Partners LLC, a private equity firm (2009 to present)

- n Senior Advisor, Indaba Capital Management, LLC, a hedge fund manager (2011 to present)
- n Director, Harvard Management Company, manager of Harvard University s endowment and related financial assets; also served as President, Chief Executive Officer (2007) and Interim Chief Executive Officer (2007 to 2008)
- n Former Vice Chairman, Goldman Sachs Group; and Senior Director, Management Committee Member (2002 to 2006); also Global Co-Head, Investment Banking Division (1999 to 2002), Co-chief Operating Officer, Global Investment Banking (1998 to 1999), Head, Americas Corporate Finance Department (1994 to 1999), Co-head, Asia-Pacific Investment Banking (1990 to 1994)
- n Director, Bed, Bath & Beyond, Inc, a NASDAQ-listed retailer (2004 to 2009)
- n Co-Chairman, Project A.L.S., a not-for-profit dedicated to neurodegenerative disease research (2000 to present)
- n Co-Chairman, Teak Fellowship, a not-for-profit which provides academic support to low-income students from New York City (1998 to present)
- n Trustee, Ford Foundation (2008 to present)

n Trustee, The Jewish Theological Seminary (2004 to present)

n Founding Co-Chair of the Harvard NeuroDiscovery Center Advisory Board

n Member, Kansas Healthcare Policy Authority Board (2006 to 2010) **Qualifications and Attributes**

As a professor of management practice at Harvard Business School, and through his broad range of financial services experience while at Goldman Sachs and other roles in the industry, Mr. Kaplan adds to the Board a multi-faceted, regionally diverse and practical knowledge of financial, operational and regulatory issues within the financial services industry. Mr. Kaplan s commitment to community service is represented by his extensive service to several not-for-profit organizations. Mr. Kaplan received his B.S. from the University of Kansas and an M.B.A. from Harvard University and also was previously employed as a Certified Public Accountant for Peat Marwick Mitchell & Co.

RICHARD P. SERGEL Age 63, Director since 1999 Board Roles: Executive Committee; Executive Compensation Committee (Chair); and Examining and Audit Committee

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Retired President, Chief Executive Officer, North American Electric Reliability Corporation, a self-regulatory organization for the bulk electricity system in North America (2005 to 2009)
- n President, Chief Executive Officer, New England Electric System (and its successor company, National Grid USA), an NYSE-listed electric utility (1998 to 2004)
- n Director, Emera, Inc., a Toronto Stock Exchange-listed energy and services company (2010 to present)
- n Former Director of Jobs for Massachusetts
- n Former Director of The Greater Boston Chamber of Commerce
- n Former Trustee for the Merrimack Valley United Way, and the Worcester Art Museum
- n Former Chairman for the Consortium for Energy Efficiency

n Former Audit Committee member for the Town of Wellesley, Massachusetts **Qualifications and Attributes**

Mr. Sergel s responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation included imposing statutory responsibility and regulating the industry through adoption and enforcement of standards and practices. To do so, he led NERC to establish the

first set of legally enforceable standards for the U.S. bulk power system. Prior to joining NERC, he spent twenty-five years with the New England Electric System, where he oversaw the merger with National Grid in 2000. His extensive practical and technical expertise in navigating the energy market through regulatory change and major transactions offers to the Board important perspective on the evolving financial services industry and regulatory environment. Mr. Sergel received a B.S. degree from Florida State University, an M.S. from North Carolina State University, and an M.B.A. from the University of Miami. He served in the United States Air Force reserve from 1973 to 1979.

RONALD L. SKATES

Age 71, Director since 2002 Board Roles: Examining and Audit Committee (Chair); Executive Committee; Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee; and **Risk and Capital Committee**

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

n Former Chief Executive Officer and President, Data General Corp., a manufacturer of multi-user computer systems such as minicomputers, workstations, servers and storage devices (acquired by EMC

Corp. in 1999) (1989 to 1999); also Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration (1986 to 1988), Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (1988 to 1989), Chief Financial Officer (1986 to 1987)

- n Audit Partner, PricewaterhouseCoopers (1976 to 1986)
- n Director, Raytheon Company, an NYSE-listed developer of technological products specializing in defense, homeland security and other government markets throughout the world (2003 to present); also serves on the Audit Committee (chair), the Public Affairs Committee, and the Management Development and Compensation Committee
- n Director, Courier Corporation, a NASDAQ-listed full-service book manufacturer and specialty publisher (2003 to present); also serves on the Audit and Finance Committee (chair), the Compensation Management Development Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
- n Director, Gilbane, Inc., a privately held, family owned construction and real-estate company (2002 to present)
- n Director, Cabot Microelectronics Corp., an NYSE-listed supplier of sophisticated polishing compounds and a provider of polishing pads which serve as components for modern electronics (2000 to 2005); also served on the Compensation Committee (chair), and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (2001 to 2005)
- n Trustee Emeritus, Massachusetts General Hospital

n Trustee, Massachusetts General Physicians Organization **Qualifications and Attributes**

Mr. Skates currently is a private investor. His management experience with a large information technology company, combined with his extensive accounting background and board service for varied and significant organizations, provides the State Street Board with a balanced and detailed insight into the Company s operations, organization and administration. He holds B.A. (cum laude) and M.B.A. degrees from Harvard University.

GREGORY L. SUMME Board Roles: Executive Committee; and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Chair)

Age 56, Director since 2001

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Managing Director and Vice Chairman of Global Buyout, Carlyle Group, a leading private equity firm (2009 to present)
- n Director, Automatic Data Processing, Inc., a NASDAQ-listed provider of business outsourcing solutions (2007 to present)
- n Director, Freescale Semiconductor, an NYSE listed provider of embedded processing solutions (2010 to present)

- n Senior Advisor, Goldman Sachs Capital Partners, a private equity business affiliated with Goldman Sachs (2008 to 2009)
- n Chairman (1999 to 2009), Chief Executive Officer (1999 to 2008) and President (1998 to 2007) of PerkinElmer, Inc., an NYSE-listed global health science company
- n President of General Aviation Avionics, Aerospace Engines, and Automotive Products Group for AlliedSignal, an NYSE-listed aerospace, automotive and engineering company (1993 to 1998)
- n General Manager of commercial motors, General Electric (1992 to 1993)
- n Associate and then Partner, McKinsey & Co. (1983 to 1992)

Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Summe has extensive management experience leading large and complex corporate organizations in evolving environments. In particular, at PerkinElmer he led that company s transformation from a diversified defense contractor to a technology leader in health sciences. His experience in private equity since his tenure at PerkinElmer has afforded him a deepened exposure to understanding varied business models, practices, strategies and environments and assessing value in varied international regions. At the Carlyle Group, he is responsible for buyout funds in financial services, infrastructure, Japan, the Middle East and Africa. Mr. Summe holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Kentucky and the University of Cincinnati, and an M.B.A. with distinction from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. He has published a number of articles in the Harvard Business Review, Electronic Business, and various technical journals and is in the Engineering Hall of Distinction at the University of Kentucky.

THOMAS J. WILSON Board Roles: No committee assignments at this time

Age 55, Director since 2012

Career Highlights and Community Involvement

- n Chairman, President and CEO, Allstate Corporation; Joined Allstate in 1995 as Chief Financial Officer and has held various positions throughout his tenure (2007 to the present as CEO and President; 2008 appointed as Chairman)
- n Chairman, Property and Casualty CEO Roundtable
- n Former Chairman, Financial Services Roundtable (ended March 2013)
- n Trustee, Rush University Medical Center
- n Trustee, Museum of Science and Industry (Chicago)
- n Director, U.S. Chamber of Commerce
- n Director, World Business Chicago
- n Director, Economic Club of Chicago

n Director, Catalyst, Inc. **Qualifications and Attributes**

As the CEO and chairman of the Allstate Corporation, the largest publicly held personal lines property and casualty insurer in America, Mr. Wilson brings an exceptional background of successful business leadership to the Board. With extensive experience in business strategy, finance, mergers and acquisitions, risk management and workforce development, Mr. Wilson provides important insight and perspective on operating a large public company in a highly regulated industry. During his tenure at Allstate, Mr. Wilson has served in a number of capacities prior to becoming president and chief executive officer in 2007. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Wilson was president of Allstate Protection,

Table of Contents

responsible for the company s property-casualty insurance operations. In 2005 and 2006, he also served as the president and chief operating officer of The Allstate Corporation. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Wilson was the chairman and president of Allstate Financial, which offers a range of life insurance, retirement and investment products. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Wilson served as Allstate s chief financial officer. Mr. Wilson joined Allstate in 1995 from Sears, Roebuck and Co., where he was vice president of strategy and analysis. He was managing director of mergers and acquisitions at Dean Witter Reynolds from 1986 to 1993 and held various financial positions at the Amoco Corporation from 1980 to 1986. Mr. Wilson has a strong commitment to community service and the advancement of women in business and serves several not-for-profit organizations including Catalyst, Inc.

David P. Gruber s and Robert E. Weissman s terms as directors will expire at the annual meeting, and the Board thanks them for their long service as they retire from the Board. Mr. Gruber is the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wyman-Gordon Company, a manufacturer of forging, investment casting and composite airframe structures for the commercial aviation and defense industries and has served as a director of State Street since 1997. Mr. Weissman, a director since 1989, is the Chairman of Shelburne Investments, a private investment company and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of IMS Health, Inc, an NYSE-listed provider of information to the pharmaceutical and healthcare industries.

ITEM 2 APPROVAL OF ADVISORY PROPOSAL ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote

FOR

this proposal (Item 2 on your proxy card)

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders approve the advisory proposal on executive compensation set forth below. Unless contrary instructions are given, shares represented by proxies solicited by the Board will be voted for the approval of the advisory proposal. This is the fifth consecutive year that our shareholders have had the opportunity to vote on an advisory proposal on executive compensation. In each of the past four years, the say-on-pay vote was approved by a significant majority of the votes cast.

The goals of State Street s compensation program for executive officers are to attract, retain and motivate superior executives and reward them for meeting short-term and long-term financial and strategic objectives, and to drive long-term shareholder value and financial stability, in a manner aligned with appropriate risk management principles. Our executive compensation program is described below in this proxy statement under the heading Executive Compensation.

The advisory vote is provided in accordance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, and is non-binding. The outcome of this advisory vote does not overrule any decision by State Street or the Board of Directors (or any of its committees), create or imply any change to the fiduciary duties of State Street or the Board of Directors (or any of its committees), or create or imply any additional fiduciary duties for State Street or the Board of Directors (or any of its committees). Although non-binding, the Executive Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements. Currently, the Board of Directors has determined that State Street will hold an annual advisory vote on executive compensation.

The text of the proposal presented for your approval is as follows:

VOTED: That the compensation of State Street s executives, as disclosed pursuant to the SEC s compensation disclosure rules, as set forth in this proxy statement under the heading Executive Compensation, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and related material, is approved; provided, that, this resolution shall not be binding on State Street s Board of Directors or any of its committees and may not be construed as overruling any decision by the Board or any of its committees.

ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED

PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote

FOR

this proposal (Item 3 on your proxy card)

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders approve the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm described below. The Examining and Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as State Street s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013. Ernst & Young LLP has acted as our independent auditor since 1972. We have been advised by Ernst & Young LLP that it is a registered public accounting firm with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and complies with the auditing, quality control and independence standards and rules of that Board and the SEC.

We expect that representatives of Ernst & Young LLP will be present at the annual meeting to respond to appropriate questions, and they will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire. While shareholder ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required, the Board of Directors is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to the shareholders for ratification to learn the opinion of shareholders on the selection. Unless contrary instructions are given, shares represented by proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted for the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP not be ratified by the shareholders, the Examining and Audit Committee will reconsider the matter. Even in the event the selection of Ernst & Young LLP is ratified, the Examining and Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if in its view such a change is in the best interests of State Street and its shareholders.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors does not know of any other matters that may be presented for action at the annual meeting. Under our by-laws, the deadline for shareholders to notify us of any proposals or director nominations to be presented for action at the 2013 Annual Meeting has passed. Should any other business properly come before the meeting, the persons named on the enclosed proxy will, as stated therein, have discretionary authority to vote the shares represented by such proxies in accordance with their best judgment. See the discussion above in this proxy statement under the heading General Information Could other matters be decided at the meeting?

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AT STATE STREET

State Street is a financial holding company whose principal subsidiary is State Street Bank and Trust Company, or the Bank. State Street and the Bank are each organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In accordance with Massachusetts law and State Street s by-laws, our Board of Directors has responsibility for overseeing the conduct of our business. Our Board is committed to strong corporate governance practices and is intent on maintaining State Street s reputation for quality, integrity and high ethical standards.

State Street s Board of Directors, in its role of overseeing the conduct of our business, is guided by our Corporate Governance Guidelines, or the Guidelines. Among other things, the Guidelines describe the role of the Board of Directors, its responsibilities and functions, the director qualification and selection process and the role of the Lead Director. The Guidelines also contain categorical standards for determining director independence under NYSE listing standards. In general, a director would not be independent under these standards if the director (and in certain circumstances, a member of the director s immediate family) has, or in the past three years had, certain relationships or affiliations with State Street, its external or internal auditors, or other companies that do business with State Street (including employment by State Street, receipt of a specified level of direct compensation from State Street other than director fees and compensation committee interlocks). The categorical standards also provide specified relationships that, by themselves, would not impair independence. The portion of the Guidelines addressing director independence is attached as *Appendix A* to this proxy statement. The full Guidelines are available under the corporate governance section in the investor relations portion of our website at *www.statestreet.com*. In addition to the Guidelines, the charters for each principal committee of the Board and our Standard of Conduct for Employees, Standard of Conduct for Directors and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers are also available in the same location of our website. Except as may be specifically incorporated by reference in this proxy statement, information on our website is not part of this proxy statement.

Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Board undertook a review of director independence in February 2013. As provided in the Guidelines, the purpose of this review was to determine whether any relationship or transaction was inconsistent with a determination that the director was independent. As a result of this review, the Board, after review and recommendation by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, determined that each of our non-management directors (Dame Amelia, Ms. Hill and Messrs. Burnes, Coym, de Saint-Aignan, Gruber, Kaplan, Sergel, Skates, Summe, Weissman and Wilson) meets the categorical standards for independence under the Guidelines, has no material relationship with State Street and satisfies the qualifications for independence under listing standards of the NYSE. In making this determination, the Board considered that the following individuals, or their respective family members, have the following relationships or arrangements that are deemed to be immaterial under the categorical standards for independence included in the Guidelines: commercial relationships with an entity for which the State Street director or family member serves as non-employee director, and with respect to which the director was uninvolved in the negotiations (Ms. Hill and Messrs. de Saint-Aignan, Sergel, Skates, Summe and Weissman); and commercial relationships with an entity for which the State Street director or family member serves as an employee, consultant or executive officer where the director does not receive any special benefits from the transaction and the annual payments to or from the entity are equal to or less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the consolidated gross annual revenues of the other entity during the most recent completed fiscal year (Ms. Hill and Messrs. Kaplan, Summe and Wilson). None of these commercial relationships involved amounts paid or received by State Street in 2012 greater than 0.1% of the director-affiliated entity s annual gross revenue or 0.1% of State Street s annual

Although State Street does not have a formal policy regarding attendance of directors at the annual meeting, all directors are encouraged to attend. Of the 12 directors then on the Board, all 12 attended the 2012 annual meeting.

State Street has established and maintains internal controls and procedures designed to ensure the integrity and accuracy of its consolidated financial statements and control of its assets. State Street has established and

maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that State Street is able to timely record, process and report the information required for public disclosure. State Street is dedicated to maintaining its high standards of financial accounting and reporting.

We have a Standard of Conduct for Directors, which together with the Standard of Conduct for Employees, promotes ethical conduct and the avoidance of conflicts of interest in conducting our business. We also have a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers (including the Chief Executive Officer), as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC rules. Only our Board may grant a waiver for directors, senior financial officers or executive officers from a provision of the Standard of Conduct for Directors, the Standard of Conduct for Employees or the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and any waivers will be posted under the corporate governance section in the investor relations portion of our website at *www.statestreet.com*.

Composition of the Board and Director Selection Process

In connection with nominating directors for election each year and evaluating the need for new director candidates as appropriate, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, with input from the entire Board and management, focuses on the Board s capabilities and functioning as a whole, including skill sets, diversity, specific business background and global or international experience. The Board expects all nominees to possess the following attributes or characteristics:

- n unquestionable business ethics, irrefutable reputation and superior moral and ethical standards;
- n informed and independent judgment with a balanced perspective, financial literacy, mature confidence, high performance standards and incisiveness;
- n ability and commitment to attend Board and committee meetings and to invest sufficient time and energy in monitoring management s conduct of the business and compliance with State Street s operating and administrative procedures; and

n a global vision of business with the ability and willingness to work closely with the other Board members. Taken as a whole, the Board expects one or more of its members to have the following skill sets, specific business background and global or international experience:

- n experience in the financial services industry;
- n experience as a senior officer of a well-respected public company;
- n experience as a senior business leader of an organization active in the company s key international growth markets;
- n experience in key disciplines, such as risk management, of significant importance to the company s overall operations; and
- n qualification as an audit committee financial expert (as defined by applicable SEC rules).

The director biographies set forth in this proxy statement above under the heading Item 1-Election of Directors indicate each nominee s experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led the Board to conclude he or she should continue to serve as a director of State Street. The Board believes that individually each of the nominees has had substantial achievement in his or her personal and professional pursuits, and has talents, experience and integrity that will contribute to the best interests of State Street and to long-term shareholder value, and the nominees as a

group possess the skill sets, specific business background and global or international experience that the Board desires.

State Street does not have a formal or informal policy with respect to diversity, but taken as a whole, strives to have a Board that reflects the diversity (in terms of a number of characteristics, including, gender, race,

national origin, age and tenure on the Board) of the company s key stakeholders and of the various communities in which the company operates. Presently, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board believe the composition of the Board, which currently reflects a range of personal and professional backgrounds, experiences and other characteristics, is reflective of this diversity. As noted above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee includes diversity as a consideration in making its recommendations for nominees for director. The Committee, however, does not assign specific weight to the various factors it considers and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for nomination.

In carrying out its responsibility to find the best qualified candidates for directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider proposals from a number of sources, including recommendations for nominees from shareholders submitted upon written notice to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o the Office of the Secretary of State Street Corporation, One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02111 (facsimile number (617) 664-8209). The Committee seeks to identify individuals qualified to become directors, consistent with the above criteria established by the Board for director candidates.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s process for identifying and evaluating candidates includes actively seeking to identify qualified individuals by reviewing lists of possible candidates, and considering proposals from a number of sources, such as from members of the Board, members of management, employees, shareholders and industry contacts. The Committee s charter grants it the authority to retain a search firm to assist in conducting this search. Upon identifying a possible candidate, from whatever source, the Committee makes an initial evaluation as to whether the individual would be expected to qualify under the criteria established by the Board for director candidates. A possible candidate whom the Committee feels is an individual who could qualify under the criteria established by the Board is then further evaluated through a process which may include obtaining and examining the individual s resume, speaking with the person who has recommended the individual, speaking with others who may be familiar with the individual, interviews by members of the Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with the individual, discussion at the Committee level of the individual s possible contribution to State Street and, if appropriate, voting on the individual as a candidate. The Committee evaluates possible nominees for director without regard to whether an individual is recommended by a shareholder or otherwise.

Mr. Thomas J. Wilson is a nominee for election as director by shareholders for the first time at this meeting. He was elected to the Board by the other directors in October 2012. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended Mr. Wilson to the directors for appointment to the Board in October 2012, and also as candidate for election by the shareholders at this meeting, after evaluating his candidacy. The candidate was first identified by a third-party search firm that was retained to identify potential director candidates. At the request of the Committee, the search firm discussed with the members of the Committee the priority characteristics in a new director candidate, and reviewed it with the Committee. The search firm identified potential candidates that met the criteria as established by the Committee reviewed this process and the resulting list with the Board. After conducting a preliminary check to determine conflicts and eliminating from consideration those candidates whose Board commitments precluded them from considering an appointment to the Board, the search firm approached the candidates to determine their interest in serving on the Board. The search firm gave the Committee a list of candidates who were interested, and members of the Committee and the Chairman personally interviewed them. The Committee recommended Mr. Thomas J. Wilson to the Board, after determining that he met several of the criteria identified by the Board for new directors, had the background and potential to make significant contributions to State Street through service as a director and was independent under the Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Board Leadership Structure

Mr. Hooley, as State Street s Chairman of the Board, presides at all meetings of the Board during which he is present. State Street has adopted a leadership structure that also includes an independent Lead Director of the Board. This position is currently held by Kennett F. Burnes. The Chairman works with the independent Lead Director in setting Board agendas and coordinating other Board activities. The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Hooley s role as Chairman and Mr. Burnes role as Lead Director is the most effective leadership structure for State Street and is in the best interests of the Board, the company and its shareholders at this time. Among the factors leading the Board to this conclusion are:

- n As our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hooley is more familiar with our business and strategy than an independent, non-management Chairman would be and is thus better positioned to focus our Board s agenda on the key issues facing State Street.
- n A single Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides strong, consistent and accountable leadership for State Street, without risking overlap or conflict of roles.
- n Oversight of State Street is the responsibility of our Board as a whole, and this responsibility can be properly discharged without an independent Chairman.

n The Chairman and our Lead Director work together to play a strong and active role in the oversight of State Street s leadership. Mr. Burnes was appointed Lead Director to serve a one-year term as the presiding director of the independent directors of the Board (all directors, except for Mr. Hooley) in May 2012. Mr. Burnes has served in this capacity for three terms. In appointing Mr. Burnes to this position, the Board intended to enhance the role of Lead Director within our corporate governance framework. As Lead Director, Mr. Burnes:

- n is actively involved in all the matters of the Board and each of its committees;
- n participates in, and attends, meetings of all of the Board s committees providing valuable committee membership overlap to enable optimal agenda coordination;
- n presides at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present, including all executive sessions of independent directors;
- n serves as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors;
- n establishes the agenda and oversees the executive sessions of the independent directors;
- n communicates with the Chairman to provide feedback and also to implement the decisions and recommendations of the independent directors; and
- n approves, in consultation with the Chairman, the agendas for Board meetings, information sent to the Board and the schedule of Board meetings.

The independent directors meet in executive session at every regularly scheduled meeting of the Board and otherwise as needed. The Lead Director is authorized to call additional meetings of the independent directors. The meetings of the independent directors promote additional

opportunities, outside the presence of management, for the directors to engage together in discussion of pending and other important matters, and the regularity of these meetings fosters continuity for these discussions and allows for a greater depth and scope to the matters discussed. The role of the Lead Director provides another method to communicate the perspectives of the independent directors, including the matters discussed at the separate meetings of the independent directors, and to effectively integrate those perspectives into Board agendas and materials.

A review of the role, responsibilities and effectiveness of the Lead Director is conducted annually by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

conducts a review of the current Lead Director by soliciting feedback from members of the Board, and based upon the review, recommends that the Board of Directors elect a member of the Board as its Lead Director for a one-year term.

Communication with the Board of Directors

Shareholders and interested parties who wish to contact the Board of Directors or the Lead Director should address correspondence to the Lead Director in care of the Corporate Secretary. The Corporate Secretary will review and forward correspondence to the Lead Director or appropriate person or persons for response.

Lead Director of State Street Corporation

c/o Office of the Secretary

One Lincoln Street

Boston, MA 02111

In addition, State Street has established a procedure for communicating directly with the Lead Director by utilizing a third-party independent provider, regarding concerns about State Street or its conduct, including complaints about accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters. An interested party who wishes to contact the Lead Director may use any of the following methods, which are also described on State Street s website at *www.statestreet.com*:

Telephone:	Posted Mail:	Internet:			
From within the United States and Canada: 1-888-736-9833 (toll-free)	The Network ATTN: State Street 333 Research Court Norcross, GA 30092 USA	www.tnwinc.com/webreport			
En anne is a bar anne bar alana si it anne stat at a ta an					

For country specific phone numbers please visit www.statestreet.com.

The Lead Director may forward to the Examining and Audit Committee, or to another appropriate group or department, any concerns the Lead Director receives for appropriate review. The Lead Director periodically reports to the non-management directors as a group regarding concerns received.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

During 2012, the Board of Directors held nine meetings, and each of the incumbent directors attended 75% or more of the total of all meetings of the Board and all meetings of the committees of the Board for such period as the director served during the year. Each member of the Board is also a member of the Board of Directors of the Bank. The Board of Directors of the Bank held eight meetings during 2012. Each member of State Street s Executive Committee, Risk and Capital Committee and Examining and Audit Committee is also a member of the corresponding committee of the Bank, and members customarily hold joint meetings of both committees.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has the following principal committees to assist it in carrying out its responsibilities, each of which operates under a written charter, a copy of which is available under the corporate governance section in the investor relations portion of our website at *www.statestreet.com*. The charter for each committee, which establishes its roles and responsibilities and governs its procedures, has been approved by the Board.

Examining and Audit Committee. The Examining and Audit Committee has direct responsibility for the appointment, compensation, retention, evaluation and oversight of the work of the independent registered public

accounting firm for State Street, and sole authority to establish pre-approval policies and procedures for all audit engagements and for any non-audit engagements with the independent auditor. The Committee also oversees the operation of our system of internal controls covering the integrity of our financial statements and reports, compliance with laws, regulations, corporate policies, and the qualifications, performance and independence of State Street s independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee acts on behalf of the Board in monitoring and overseeing the performance of the internal audit function at State Street and in reviewing certain communications with bank regulatory authorities. The Committee reports periodically to the Board as appropriate, and its specific functions and responsibilities are set forth in the Committee s charter. The Committee s members are, Ronald L. Skates, Chair; Peter Coym, Patrick de Saint-Aignan, David P. Gruber and Richard P. Sergel. During 2012, the Committee held 20 meetings.

The Board of Directors has determined that the Examining and Audit Committee consists entirely of directors who meet the independence requirements of the listing standards of the NYSE and the rules and regulations of the SEC. Further, all of the members of the Committee are financially literate, based upon their education and experience, as such qualification under the listing standards of the NYSE is interpreted by the Board. The Board has determined, based upon education and experience as a principal accounting or financial officer or public accountant, or experience actively supervising a principal accounting or financial officer or public accountant, that the following members of the Committee: Messrs. Skates, de Saint-Aignan, Gruber and Sergel, each satisfy the definition of audit committee financial expert as set out in the rules and regulations under the Exchange Act, and have accounting or related financial management expertise as such qualification under the listing standards of the NYSE is interpreted by the Board. None of the members of the Committee serve on more than two other audit committees of public companies.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is authorized to exercise all the powers of the Board of Directors, except as otherwise limited by the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or the Committee s charter. The purpose and function of the Committee is to review, approve and act on matters on behalf of the Board of Directors at times when it is not practical to convene a meeting of the full Board to address such matters. The Committee, dependent on its meeting activities, if any, reports periodically to the Board, as appropriate, and its specific functions and responsibilities are set forth in the Committee s charter. The Committee s members are Joseph L. Hooley, Chair, Kennett F. Burnes, Amelia C. Fawcett, Richard P. Sergel, Ronald L. Skates and Gregory L. Summe. The Committee did not meet in 2012.

Executive Compensation Committee. The Executive Compensation Committee, sometimes referred to as the Compensation Committee, oversees the operation of all of our compensation plans, policies and programs in which executive officers participate and certain other incentive, retirement, welfare and equity plans in which all other employees participate. The Committee also oversees the alignment of our incentive compensation arrangements with our safety and soundness. Acting together with the other independent directors, the Committee annually reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the chief executive officer s compensation, evaluates the chief executive officer s performance, and reviews, determines and approves, in consultation with the other independent directors, the chief executive officer s compensation level. In addition, the Committee reviews, evaluates and approves the total compensation of all executive officer. The Committee adopts equity grant guidelines in connection with its overall responsible for approving the terms and conditions of employment and any changes thereto, including any restrictive provisions, severance arrangements, and special arrangements or benefits, of any executive officer. The Committee adopts equity grant guidelines in connection with its overall responsibility for all equity plans, and monitors stock ownership of executive officers. The Committee reports periodically to the Board, as appropriate, and its specific functions and responsibilities are set forth in the Committee s charter. Its members are Richard P. Sergel, Chair; Amelia C. Fawcett, Linda A. Hill, Robert S. Kaplan and Robert E. Weissman. None of these individuals is or has been an officer or employee of State Street or the Bank. The Board of Directors has determined that the Committee held six meetings.

The Board of Directors has formed a subcommittee of the Executive Compensation Committee and appointed Messrs. Sergel (Chair) and Weissman and Dame Amelia as members of the subcommittee. The purpose and authority of the subcommittee is to perform all functions of the Executive Compensation Committee related to the qualification of performance-based compensation for applicable exemptions under Section 162(m), including establishing and administering performance goals and certifying the attainment of those goals. Each of Messrs. Sergel and Weissman and Dame Amelia qualify as outside directors for purposes of Section 162(m) and as non-employee directors for purposes of SEC Rule 16b-3. All references to the Executive Compensation Committee in this proxy statement refer to the subcommittee, as appropriate.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s principal responsibilities are to assist the Board in overseeing the company s succession planning process, to identify and recommend nominees for directors of State Street, to recommend to the Board director nominees for each committee, to provide leadership in shaping our corporate governance, including the Corporate Governance Guidelines, and to lead the Board in its annual review of the Board s performance. The Committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving State Street s related-person transactions and reviewing the amount and form of director compensation. The Committee reports periodically to the Board, as appropriate, and its specific functions and responsibilities are set forth in the Committee s charter. Its members are Gregory L. Summe, Chair; Peter Coym, Linda A. Hill, Robert S. Kaplan and Ronald L. Skates. The Board of Directors has determined that the Committee consists entirely of directors who meet the applicable independence requirements of the listing standards of the NYSE. During 2012, the Committee held six meetings.

Risk and Capital Committee. The Risk and Capital Committee is responsible for the oversight relating to State Street s assessment and management of risk, including market, operational, fiduciary, interest-rate, liquidity, business and credit risks and related policies. In addition, the Committee provides oversight on strategic capital governance principles and controls and monitors capital adequacy in relation to risk. The Risk and Capital Committee is also responsible for discharging the duties and obligations of the Board under applicable Basel requirements. The Committee reports periodically to the Board, as appropriate, and its specific functions and responsibilities are set forth in the Committee s charter. Its members are Amelia C. Fawcett, Chair; Patrick de Saint-Aignan, David P. Gruber, Joseph L. Hooley and Ronald L. Skates. During 2012, the Committee held 11 meetings.

Non-Employee Director Compensation

General

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing annually the form and amount of director compensation and for making a related recommendation to the Board. The Committee s review focuses on compensation for our non-employee directors. Mr. Hooley is the only director that is also one of our employees, and the determination of his compensation is described in this proxy statement under the heading Executive Compensation. In conducting its review, the Committee has access to compensation consultants and other resources it deems appropriate, including peer group data. The Committee uses the same peer group as the Compensation Committee uses for purposes of executive compensation generally and, like the Compensation Committee, uses the services of Aon Hewitt as a compensation consultant.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee targets non-employee director compensation at the median of the companies in our peer group. Aon Hewitt prepares a review of director compensation at the peer group and provides the review to the Committee annually. The summary includes data on total compensation for directors at the peer group companies as well as on individual components of that compensation, such as annual retainers, meeting fees and equity awards. Data is also provided that differentiates compensation. For 2012 director compensation, such as committee chairs and lead directors. Aon Hewitt also provides data showing trends in director compensation. For 2012 director compensation, management and Aon Hewitt met to review the data with the Committee at a meeting in April 2012. The Committee then made its recommendation to the Board, which,

following the May 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, approved director compensation for all non-employee directors effective through the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders.

Director Compensation Arrangements

Compensation

For the period beginning after the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders and ending upon the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders, non-employee directors receive the following compensation:

- n annual retainer \$75,000, payable at the director s election in shares of State Street common stock or in cash;
- n meeting fees \$1,500 for each Board and committee meeting attended, together with reimbursement of expenses incurred as a result of attending such meetings, payable in cash;
- n an annual common stock award in an amount of shares equal to \$130,000 divided by the closing price of the stock on May 16, 2012 (with additional stock amounts to reflect dividends if the award is deferred);
- n a pro-rated annual retainer and annual common stock award for any director joining the Board prior to the 2013 annual meeting;
- n an additional annual retainer for the Lead Director of \$90,000, payable at the director s election in shares of State Street common stock or in cash;
- n an additional annual retainer for the Examining and Audit Committee Chair and for the Risk and Capital Committee Chair of \$25,000, payable at the director s election in shares of State Street common stock or in cash;
- n an additional annual retainer for the Chairs of the Executive Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of \$15,000, payable at the director s election in shares of State Street common stock or in cash; and

n an additional annual retainer for each member of the Examining and Audit Committee and for each member of the Risk and Capital Committee, other than the Chairs, of \$10,000, payable at the director's election in shares of State Street common stock or in cash.
Pursuant to State Street's Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, for 2012 directors could elect to defer the receipt of 0%, 50% or 100% of their (1) retainers, (2) meeting fees, and/or (3) annual award of shares of common stock. For 2013, directors may only defer 0% or 100% of such compensation. Directors also may elect to receive all of their retainers in cash or shares of common stock. Directors who elect to defer the cash payment of their retainers and/or meeting fees may also make notional investment elections with respect to such deferrals, with a choice of notional investment fund returns. To the extent the amounts are deferred they will (a) be paid as elected by the director, on either the date of the director's termination of service on the Board or on the earlier of such termination and a future date specified, and (b) be paid in the form elected by the director as either a lump sum or in installments over a two- to ten-year period (or for 2013 compensation, over a two- to five-year period).

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

We have stock ownership guidelines that apply to all directors. The target level of stock ownership is the lesser of 10,000 shares of common stock or common shares worth at least \$500,000. The value of those shares is calculated by reference to the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the date that we use for the beneficial ownership table in our annual meeting proxy statement. Directors are credited with the value and number of all shares they beneficially own for purposes of the beneficial ownership table as well as all shares awarded as director

Table of Contents

compensation, whether deferred, delayed or subject to vesting or other restrictions. The achievement of these levels is phased-in over a period of seven years, with the first year commencing on the date of election as director, for any director elected to the Board prior to May 2011. For directors elected to the board

after May 2011, the phase-in period is five years. As of March 1, 2013, the stock ownership of each of our directors exceeded the expected level of ownership under these guidelines or is consistent with a prorated accumulation of shares which would, if continued, exceed the guidelines for those directors whose holdings do not meet the applicable seven-year, or five-year, threshold.

2012 Director Compensation

	or Paid in				
	Cash	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽²⁾	Total	
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(g)	(h)	
Kennett F. Burnes	\$ 18,000	\$ 295,000	\$ 31,117	\$ 344,117	
Peter Coym ⁽³⁾	137,500	130,000		267,500	
Patrick de Saint-Aignan ⁽³⁾	135,500	130,000	35,721	301,221	
Amelia C. Fawcett ⁽³⁾	134,500	130,000		264,500	
David P. Gruber ⁽³⁾	153,500	130,000		283,500	
Linda A. Hill ⁽³⁾	109,500	130,000		239,500	
Robert S. Kaplan	25,500	205,000		230,500	
Charles R. LaMantia ⁽⁴⁾	19,500		12,217	31,717	
Richard P. Sergel ⁽³⁾	157,000	130,000	34,579	321,579	
Ronald L. Skates ⁽³⁾	183,500	130,000	36,117	349,617	
Gregory L. Summe	22,500	220,000	14,512	257,012	
Robert E. Weissman ⁽³⁾	102,000	130,000	12,117	244,117	
Thomas J. Wilson ⁽⁵⁾	45,250	75,833		121,083	

- (1) For the May 2012 April 2013 Board year, each director (with the exception of Mr. Wilson, who was elected to the Board in October 2012 (see note 5 below), and Mr. LaMantia, who retired from the Board in May 2012) received 3,111 shares of stock valued at \$130,000 on the date of grant for the annual equity award; each director electing to receive the annual retainer in stock also received 1,795 shares of stock valued at \$75,000 on the date of grant. Some directors elected to receive their additional committee chair or member retainers in stock in lieu of cash. All of these shares were valued based on the per share closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on May 16, 2012 of \$41.80.
- (2) Perquisites that Messrs. Burnes, de Saint-Aignan, LaMantia, Sergel, Skates, Summe and Weissman, received in 2012 include: director life insurance coverage paid for by State Street (\$512 for Mr. Summe, \$614 for Mr. LaMantia, \$721 for Mr. de Saint-Aignan and Mr. Sergel, and \$1,117 for Messrs. Burnes, Skates, and Weissman); matching charitable contributions that were made in the name of directors under State Street s matching gift program available to all directors (\$11,000 for Mr. Weissman, \$14,000 for Mr. Summe, \$30,000 for Mr. Burnes, \$33,858 for Mr. Sergel, and \$35,000 for Mr. de Saint-Aignan and Mr. Skates); and an \$11,603 retirement gift for Mr. LaMantia in recognition of his 19 years of service as a member of the State Street Board. The amount of perquisites and other personal benefits for Dame Amelia, Ms. Hill, and Messrs. Coym, Gruber, Kaplan, and Wilson has not been itemized because the total did not exceed \$10,000.
- (3) Annual retainer was paid in cash.
- (4) Mr. LaMantia retired from the Board in May 2012. Amounts reflected under Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (column (b)) reflect Board and committee meeting fees earned from January to May 2012, while Mr. LaMantia was still serving as a director.

(5) Mr. Wilson was elected to the Board in October 2012, and chose to receive his prorated annual retainer of \$43,750 in cash. Mr. Wilson also received a prorated annual stock retainer of 1,657 shares of stock valued at \$75,833, based on a per share closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on November 23, 2012 of \$45.78.

Related Person Transactions

The Board has adopted written policies and procedures for the review of any transaction, arrangement or relationship in which State Street is a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and one of our executive officers, directors, director nominees or 5% shareholders (or their immediate family members), who we refer to as related persons, has a direct or indirect material interest.

A related person proposing to enter into such a transaction, arrangement or relationship must report the proposed related-person transaction to State Street s Chief Legal Officer. The policy calls for the proposed related-person transaction to be reviewed and, if deemed appropriate, approved by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Whenever practicable, the reporting, review and approval will occur prior to the transaction. If advance review is not practicable or was otherwise not obtained, the Committee will review, and, if deemed appropriate, may ratify the related-person transaction. The policy also permits the Chair of the Committee to review and, if deemed appropriate, approve proposed related-person transactions that arise between Committee meetings, in which case they will be reported to the full Committee at its next meeting. Any related-person transactions that are ongoing in nature will be reviewed annually.

A related-person transaction reviewed under the policy will be considered approved or ratified if it is authorized by the Committee (or the Committee Chair) after full disclosure of the related person s interest in the transaction. As appropriate for the circumstances, the Committee (or the Committee Chair) will review and consider:

- n the related person s interest in the related-person transaction;
- n the approximate dollar value of the amount involved in the related-person transaction;
- n the approximate dollar value of the amount of the related person s interest in the transaction without regard to the amount of any profit or loss;
- n whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of State Street s business;
- n whether the transaction with the related person is on terms no less favorable to State Street than terms that could be reached with an unrelated third party;
- n the purpose of, and the potential benefits to State Street of, the transaction; and
- n any other information regarding the related-person transaction or the related person in the context of the proposed transaction that would be material to investors in light of the circumstances of the particular transaction.

The Committee may approve or ratify the related-person transaction only if the Committee determines that, under all of the circumstances, the transaction is in, or is not inconsistent with, State Street s best interests. The Committee may, in its sole discretion, impose such conditions as it deems appropriate on State Street or the related person in connection with approval of the related-person transaction.

In addition to the transactions that are excluded by the instructions to the SEC s related-person transaction disclosure rule, the Board has determined that the following transactions do not create a material direct or indirect interest on behalf of related persons and, therefore, are not related-person transactions for purposes of this policy:

interests arising solely from the related person s position as an executive officer, employee or consultant of another entity (whether or not the person is also a director of such entity) that is a party to the transaction, where (1) the related person and his or her immediate family members do not receive any special benefits as a result of the transaction and (2) the annual amount involved in the transaction equals less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of the other entity that is a party to the transaction during that entity s last completed fiscal year; or

n a transaction that involves discretionary charitable contributions from State Street to a tax-exempt organization where a related person is a director, trustee, employee or executive officer, provided the related person and his or her immediate family members do not receive any special benefits as a result of the transaction, and further provided that, where a related person is an executive officer of the tax-exempt organization, the amount of the discretionary charitable contributions in any completed year in the last three fiscal years is not more than the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of that organization s consolidated gross revenues in the last completed fiscal year of that organization (in applying this test, State Street s automatic matching of employee charitable contributions to a charitable organization will not be included in the amount of State Street s discretionary contributions).

Based on information provided by the directors and executive officers, and obtained by the legal department, no related-person transactions were required to be reported in this proxy statement under applicable SEC regulations. In addition, neither State Street nor the Bank had extended a personal loan or extension of credit to any directors or executive officers.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The goals of State Street s compensation program for executive officers are to attract, retain and motivate superior executives and reward them for meeting short-term and long-term financial and strategic objectives, and to drive long-term shareholder value and financial stability, in a manner aligned with appropriate risk management principles. In this compensation discussion and analysis, we describe our approach to executive compensation, including philosophy, design, process and risk alignment. We also describe 2012 compensation determinations for specific executive officers, who we refer to as our named executive officers.

Overview

In making compensation decisions for our executive officers, each of whom has significant policy-making and other influence over our operations, the Compensation Committee evaluates overall corporate performance based on a number of relevant factors, including financial performance, strategic objective performance and risk management. The Committee also evaluates individual performance, market and competitive compensation practices and regulatory and shareholder perspectives in making these determinations. This overview reviews several key factors and procedural elements involved in the Committee s determinations of 2012 executive officer incentive compensation. Many of these factors and elements are further described later in this compensation discussion and analysis.

2012 Compensation Determinations for our Named Executive Officers

The following table provides a summary of the Compensation Committee s 2012 compensation decisions for our named executive officers, in comparison to 2011, consistent with how the Committee views total compensation for its named executive officers and as further described below in this compensation discussion and analysis. While the table below is presented to summarize how the Committee determines compensation, the table is not a substitute for the tables and disclosures required by the SEC s rules, which begin on page 48 of this proxy statement. An explanation of the differences between the Committee s calculations of compensation and compensation calculated under the SEC s rules can be found beginning on page 36 of this proxy statement.

			Total Incentive	Total
Named Executive Officer	Year	Base Salary Rate	Compensation	Compensation
Joseph L. Hooley	2012	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 11,000,000	\$ 12,000,000
Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer	2011	1,000,000	13,000,000	14,000,000
Edward J. Resch	2012	800,000	5,750,000	6,550,000
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2011	800,000	7,400,000	8,200,000
Joseph C. Antonellis	2012	800,000	5,500,000	6,300,000
Vice Chairman	2011	800,000	7,300,000	8,100,000
James S. Phalen	2012	750,000	5,900,000	6,650,000
Executive Vice President and Head of Global Operations, Technology,	2011	750,000	7,750,000	8,500,000
and Product Development				
Scott F. Powers	2012	800,000	6,400,000	7,200,000
Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Officer of State Street	2011	800,000	7,750,000	8,550,000
Global Advisors				

As noted above, overall corporate performance is a factor in determining incentive compensation for our named executive officers. State Street s 2012 corporate performance reflected its resilience and financial stability in an environment that included continuing global economic uncertainty. Within this challenging environment we continued to advance our business opportunities and strategic priorities to achieve positive and tangible results.

Overall, the Compensation Committee evaluated this corporate performance, as well as the individual performance of each of our named executive officers, to be within the Committee s expectations.

The Committee also recognized management s actions to reduce incentive compensation levels for 2012 throughout the company. With this additional factor in mind, the Committee concluded that reducing the incentive compensation levels for each of the named executive officers relative to 2011 was appropriate. Those determinations are reflected in the table above.

2012 Corporate Performance Highlights

Below are highlights reflecting several indicators by which we monitor our performance and which, in addition to the factors noted above under 2012 Compensation Determinations for our Named Executive Officers and elsewhere in this compensation discussion and analysis, were relevant in evaluating overall corporate performance for 2012 for compensation purposes.

- n <u>Overall Performance</u>. Consolidated total revenue for 2012 increased 0.6% to \$9.65 billion from \$9.59 billion in 2011, with an associated increase in consolidated diluted earnings per common share of 10.8% from \$3.79 in 2011 to \$4.20. Return on average common shareholders equity for 2012 was 10.3%, compared to 10.0% in 2011.
- n <u>Assets under Custody/Administration and Assets under Management</u>. Assets under custody and administration as of December 31, 2012 were \$24.37 trillion, an increase from \$21.81 trillion as of December 31, 2011. Asset servicing fees for 2012 increased by 1% compared to 2011. Assets under management as of December 31, 2012 were \$2.09 trillion, an increase from \$1.85 trillion as of December 31, 2012 were \$2.09 trillion, an increase from \$1.85 trillion as of December 31, 2012 were \$2.09 trillion, an increase from \$1.85 trillion as of December 31, 2011.
- n <u>Dividends and Share Purchases</u>. In March 2012, State Street restored its regular quarterly common stock dividend to its previous split-adjusted high of \$0.24 per share. State Street declared aggregate quarterly common stock dividends of \$0.96 per share in 2012, or approximately \$456 million, compared to aggregate quarterly common stock dividends of \$0.72 per share, or approximately \$358 million in 2011. In addition, we purchased approximately 33.4 million shares of our common stock in 2012 at an aggregate cost of approximately \$1.44 billion under our publicly announced share purchase program approved by our Board in March 2012, compared to purchases of approximately 16.3 million shares at an aggregate cost of approximately \$675 million in 2011.
- n <u>Capital Levels</u>. Our regulatory capital levels remained well above current regulatory minimum requirements in 2012. At December 31, 2012, State Street Corporation s tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 19.1%, our total risk-based capital ratio was 20.6% and our tier 1 leverage ratio was 7.1%.
- n <u>Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation Program¹</u>. We continued the implementation of our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program that we announced in late 2010. In 2012, we achieved approximately \$112 million of incremental pre-tax expense savings. This followed the \$86 million of incremental pre-tax expense savings we achieved in 2011.
- n <u>Hedge-Fund Administration Acquisition</u>. In October 2012, we completed our acquisition of Goldman Sachs Administration Services, a global hedge-fund administrator with approximately \$200 billion of hedge-fund assets under administration.

State Street s 2012 financial performance is reviewed in greater detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, accompanying this proxy statement and on file with the SEC.

Compensation Committee Process and Risk Alignment

The Compensation Committee has direct responsibility for executive officer compensation plans, policies and programs at State Street and for establishing the overall compensation philosophy for executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee, in consultation

Table of Contents

with the other independent directors,

¹ These pre-tax expense savings relate only to our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and are based on projected improvement from our total 2010 expenses from operations. Our actual total expenses have increased since 2010, and may in the future increase or decrease, due to other factors.

performs those same functions with respect to the Chief Executive Officer. References in this compensation discussion and analysis to the Committee s compensation determinations for the Chief Executive Officer also represent references to the other independent directors. In making compensation decisions for the other named executive officers, the Committee considers the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer and input from the other independent directors. The Committee met six times during the 2012 compensation cycle and received, considered and evaluated regular reports on a breadth of corporate performance factors, individual performance updates and market and other information.

During 2012, the Compensation Committee maintained its particular focus on its goal of aligning incentive compensation with appropriate risk management principles, such as providing incentives in a manner designed not to encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking and establishing additional process controls and oversight where appropriate. Over the past several years, State Street has implemented various compensation processes and design characteristics consistent with this goal. These features include: (1) recurring communications between the Committee and the Risk and Capital Committee of our Board of Directors to integrate the latter committee s input into compensation decisions; (2) periodic review by the Committee of a standardized corporate risk assessment evaluating firm-wide risk in a number of areas; (3) an annual risk presentation to the Committee by the Chief Risk Officer and the Chief Human Resources Officer regarding both compensation plan design and identification of executives and other individuals whose activities may expose State Street to material amounts of risk (referred to as material risk-takers); (4) maintaining significant levels of equity and deferral in incentive compensation awards; (5) integrating a two-pronged process for risk-based adjustments to incentive compensation awards, as appropriate, for material risk-takers both before and after such compensation is awarded, including forfeiture provisions in executive officer performance-based restricted stock unit and deferred share awards; and (6) review by the Committee of the activities of a multi-disciplinary control function committee created by management to formally review and assess incentive compensation arrangements throughout the organization. For a further discussion of the risk alignment of our compensation practices, see below under the heading Alignment of Incentive Compensation and Risk.

Incentive Compensation Design Elements

The design of the 2012 incentive compensation program for our named executive officers reflects the Compensation Committee s consideration of a number of factors, including:

- n alignment with the performance of the company over time, including performance-based metrics and risk management principles;
- n market practices in the design of deferred incentive compensation;
- n current economic conditions;
- n the current hiring environment;
- n the design of prior incentive compensation awards granted by the company; and
- n evolving regulatory standards and guidance.

Key elements of our incentive compensation program for our named executive officers for 2012 are described below.

Element	Description	Considerations			
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	n Equity-based compensation	n RSUs represent approximately 45% of each named executive officer s 2012 incentive			
(RSUs)	n The number of RSUs actually earned is dependent	compensation ⁽³⁾			
	upon State Street s 2013 return on common shareholders equity performance, determined in accordance with GAAP, subject to adjustment for pre-established, objectively determinable factors ⁽¹⁾	n Subject to both performance and time vesting criteria			
	(ROE)	n Due to the performance-based conversion adjustment, the ultimate number of shares received			
	n RSUs convert at a rate of 100% if 2013 ROE performance meets or exceeds a threshold of approximately 85% of the ROE level included in the	under the award may be less than (but not more than) the initial number of RSUs awarded			
	2013 budget	n Performance threshold designed to create appropriate incentives consistent with applicable			
	n Conversion rate adjusts downward ratably if 2013 ROE performance is lower than the threshold, with a minimum conversion rate of 20% for ROE	regulatory guidance concerning risk management principles			
	minimum conversion rate of 30% for ROE performance at or below approximately 32% of the ROE level included in the 2013 budget (but no payout will be made if 2013 ROE is zero or negative)	n Equity-based form of compensation directly reflects the rewards and risks shared with shareholders			
	n RSUs converting based on 2013 ROE performance vest ratably in four annual installments beginning in 2014				
Deferred Share Awards (DSAs)	n Equity-based compensation	n DSAs represent approximately 45% of each named executive officer s 2012 incentive compensation ⁽³⁾			
	n Vest ratably in four annual installments beginning in 2014	n Subject to time vesting criteria			
Forfeiture Provisions (clawbacks)	n RSUs and DSAs are subject to post-award forfeiture provisions, sometimes referred to as clawbacks	 n Equity-based form of compensation directly reflects the rewards and risks shared with shareholders n Compensation Committee, in its discretion, determines whether forfeiture is appropriate 			
	n In general, amounts remaining to be paid under these awards are subject to forfeiture by the executive under the following circumstances: ⁽²⁾	n Forfeiture provisions apply to all RSUs and DSAs awarded as part of 2012 incentive compensation			
	n if the executive s actions exposed State Street to inappropriate risk(s) and the exposure resulted in (or could reasonably be expected to result in) material loss(es)				
	n if the executive engaged in fraud, gross negligence or any misconduct that was materially detrimental to the interests or business reputation of State Street				

n if, as a result of a material financial restatement or miscalculation or inaccuracy in the determination of performance metrics, financial results or other criteria, the executive would have received a smaller or no award
n Paid in immediately available cash

Cash Incentive

n Represents approximately 10% of each named executive officer s 2012 incentive compensation

- (1) Early in each compensation year, the Compensation Committee identifies specific types of objectively determinable factors that could affect performance measures if the factors occur during the year. In doing so, the Committee establishes that the effects of those factors will be excluded from the calculation of the performance measures. Factors that result in an adjustment to the calculation of performance measures include: acquisitions, dispositions and similar transactions and related securities issuances and expenses; changes in accounting principles or law; litigation or regulatory settlements; and restructuring charges and expenses. The Compensation Committee retains the power to exercise negative discretion, as it deems appropriate under the relevant circumstances, to reduce the actual payouts under performance awards below the payouts otherwise resulting from the application of adjustments for any of these factors.
- (2) For a description of these forfeiture provisions, see below under the heading, Other Elements of Compensation Forfeiture Provisions.
- (3) As a result of specific requirements of the U.K. Financial Services Authority and his role in our organization, the structure of Mr. Antonellis s incentive compensation differs from that of our other named executive officers. Among those requirements is that at least one-half of the non-deferred portion of Mr. Antonellis s incentive compensation award be in the form of equity that is immediately vested, but that cannot be sold for six months. Primarily as a result of this requirement, the composition of Mr. Antonellis s 2012 incentive compensation award was approximately as follows: 38% performance-based RSUs, 38% DSAs, 14% immediately vested common stock (with a six-month no-sale restriction) and 10% cash.

2012 Compensation Determinations

Philosophy

The goals of State Street s compensation program for executive officers are to attract, retain and motivate superior executives and reward them for meeting short-term and long-term financial and strategic objectives, and to drive long-term shareholder value and financial stability, in a manner aligned with appropriate risk management principles.

Total Compensation Approach

The Compensation Committee evaluates individual compensation by looking at total compensation, consisting of base salary and incentive compensation.

Base salary is a fixed annual cash amount and, for all named executive officers, historically has been a smaller portion of total compensation. Base salary rates for all of the named executive officers for 2012 remained unchanged from their levels in 2011 and 2010. In evaluating base salaries, the Committee is mindful of the \$1 million deduction limit on non-performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Incentive compensation is a variable amount, composed of both equity and cash. The Committee believes that a significant amount of the incentive compensation portion of total compensation should take the form of deferred equity-based awards, as this serves to align our compensation structure with the performance of our stock and therefore the interests of our shareholders. This approach is also consistent with regulatory guidance applicable to executive compensation at major financial institutions. For 2012, approximately 90% of total incentive compensation for each named executive officer, other than Mr. Antonellis, was in the form of four-year deferred equity awards, with approximately 45% of total incentive compensation taking the form of four-year performance-based RSUs payable based upon a one-year return on equity performance-metric and approximately 45% of total incentive compensation taking the form of cash. Each of the equity-based incentive awards vests ratably in four annual installments, except that the amount vesting under the performance-based awards is determined based upon achievement of specified levels of return on common shareholders — equity in 2013. By placing a significant component of our executives — compensation in the same form, equity, held by our shareholders and by requiring vesting of that component over a four-year ratable period from the date of award, the Committee believes it has created an incentive structure where the executives share directly with our shareholders in both the rewards and the risks of share ownership. The equity-based awards are described further above under the heading — Overview Incentive Compensation Design Elements.

Due to his role in our organization, the structure of Mr. Antonellis s incentive compensation is subject to specific requirements of the U.K. Financial Services Authority that are not applicable to our other named executive officers. Among those requirements is that at least one-half of the non-deferred portion of his incentive compensation be in the form of equity that is immediately vested, but that cannot be sold for six months. Therefore, primarily due to these regulatory requirements, while Mr. Antonellis received approximately 90% of his total 2012 incentive compensation in the form of equity, approximately 14% of his total incentive compensation was in the form of immediately vested shares of our common stock that he is prohibited from selling until August 2013. The complete composition of Mr. Antonellis s 2012 incentive compensation was approximately as follows: 38% performance-based RSUs; 38% DSAs; 14% immediately vested common stock (with a six-month no-sale restriction) and 10% cash.

In addition, our named executive officers are permitted to defer certain compensation and are entitled to retirement benefits, change in control protection and perquisites that may differ from those generally available to other employees. These other elements of our compensation program for our named executive officers are described below under Other Elements of Compensation.

Compensation Assessment Framework

For each named executive officer, the Compensation Committee makes a determination of the appropriate aggregate level of total compensation for the year. This determination of total compensation is based upon a subjective evaluation of many factors, including assessments of corporate performance, individual performance and market, regulatory and shareholder considerations. The determination of total compensation does not result from a specific formula, and the achievement of any particular goal or target does not automatically result in any particular level of compensation. In evaluating these factors and making 2012 compensation decisions for the named executive officers, the Committee used the following framework:

As it relates to evaluating corporate performance, the above framework incorporates a structured approach by using three separate standardized performance assessments: one focused on each of financial performance, strategic objective performance and risk management. The Committee received updates on each of these areas and progress against annual goals in each of July and December 2012, with a final evaluation in January 2013. The Committee s assessment of these standardized performance assessments factored significantly into the 2012 incentive compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

State Street s consolidated financial performance for 2012 exceeded its 2011 performance in many ways, with increases in revenue and diluted earnings per common share, on both a GAAP and an operating basis.² On a GAAP basis, our 2012 return on average common shareholders equity increased compared to 2011, but declined on an operating basis. On both a GAAP and an operating basis, the rate of revenue growth exceeded the rate of expense growth for 2012, relative to 2011. Our regulatory capital ratios at December 31, 2012 were well above current regulatory minimum requirements. In general, and recognizing the prevailing global economic uncertainty throughout the year, the Committee evaluated overall financial performance to be within expectations.

The Committee also believed management made significant overall progress toward our corporate strategic objectives. In this regard, the Committee recognized, in particular: our results in the Federal Reserve s March 2012 Comprehensive Capital Analysis Review, or CCAR, and our resulting significant enhancement of our program to return capital to our shareholders through dividends and share purchases; our execution on our multi-year Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program and the related expense savings; and our October 2012 acquisition of Goldman Sachs Administration Services and resulting expansion of our leadership in servicing alternative assets.

The Committee evaluated risk management for 2012 based on a separate standardized assessment developed with our Enterprise Risk Management group and the Risk and Capital Committee. The Compensation Committee received updates to the assessment in July and December of 2012, with a final evaluation in January 2013. On each occasion, the risk assessment was reviewed and approved by the Risk and Capital Committee and presented by a representative of that committee to the Compensation Committee for consideration in compensation decisions. The assessment evaluates firm-wide risk associated with a number of risk areas, including financial, operational, customer, capital and other matters, as well as stress scenarios. Under this evaluation, the Compensation Committee assessed that State Street s overall 2012 corporate risk management met expectations.

Individual Compensation Determinations

Each of the named executive officers, due to their position within our organization, has the opportunity to determine or influence broad corporate activities. Therefore, in determining the 2012 incentive compensation for each of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee weighed heavily the overall corporate performance assessments described above. In determining the total compensation for each named executive officer, the Committee also considered that officer s individual performance both overall and in relation to goals of driving our strategy, delivering valued services and solutions, enhancing our culture and engaging our personnel. On an individual performance basis, the Committee recognized the following contributions, in particular, of our named executive officers:

Mr. Hooley. During 2012, Mr. Hooley demonstrated strong leadership in emphasizing important corporate initiatives, despite an uncertain economic environment and the effects of that environment on revenue growth, particularly in market-driven businesses. Under his leadership:

- n We continued to prioritize the return of capital to our shareholders. This resulted in an increase of our return of capital to shareholders, consisting of common stock dividends and share purchases, relative to
- State Street measures and reports its financial performance in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, referred to as GAAP. State Street also separately measures and compares its financial performance on a non-GAAP basis, referred to as operating basis, because it believes that this presentation supports meaningful comparisons from period to period and the analysis of comparable financial trends with respect to State Street s normal ongoing business operations. State Street believes that operating-basis financial information, which reports revenue from non-taxable sources, such as interest revenue from tax-exempt investment securities and processing fees and other revenue associated with tax-advantaged investments, on a fully taxable-equivalent basis and excludes the impact of revenue and expenses outside of the normal course of business, facilitates an investor s understanding and analysis of State Street s underlying financial performance and trends in addition to financial information prepared and reported in conformity with GAAP. Operating-basis and other non-GAAP financial information should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for or superior to, financial information prepared in conformity with GAAP.

2011. In doing so, we also maintained regulatory capital ratios at year-end that were well above current regulatory minimum requirements.

- n We drove a focus on profitability, value delivery, transformation and efficiency. These efforts included optimizing the value of client relationships, implementing client-centric organizational and related changes to our sales interactions and processes and identifying, developing and deploying enhanced products and services to help clients address new and anticipated regulatory changes, particularly in our State Street Global Services businesses. In addition, we developed new data and analytics product offerings in our core business.
- n Our Business Operations and Information Technology program progressed ahead of schedule in delivering cost savings and is already offering contributions and efficiencies to the delivery of client services.
- n We stressed a disciplined acquisition strategy targeting specific attractive markets. This resulted in the identification and completion of our acquisition of Goldman Sachs Administration Services in October 2012, significantly expanding our client base in the important hedge-fund servicing category and improving our cross-selling opportunities.

Mr. Resch. Mr. Resch oversaw the Treasury function in responding to the economic climate to achieve significant contributions to overall 2012 corporate performance, including net interest revenue increases relative to 2011. This performance was achieved while adhering to established corporate risk parameters. He also played an effective leadership role in addressing critical regulatory processes such as responding to the Federal Reserve s CCAR stress test and preparing a resolution plan (a living will). In addition, he initiated a restructuring and process redesign in the Finance function to improve effectiveness and efficiency.

Mr. Antonellis. Mr. Antonellis further developed our international businesses by improving client satisfaction, attracting new business and developing and assembling increased talent in our senior staff. He also contributed significantly to cost savings achieved under our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program.

Mr. Phalen. Mr. Phalen led the further implementation of our Business Operations and Information Technology Transformation program, delivering significant cost savings and productive client service efficiencies. Cost savings achieved under the program in 2012 exceeded expectations. Separately, he also succeeded in delivering new product advances with the roll-out of several new products, including Form PF, collateral custody and Fund Insights. In addition, he improved risk management, thereby controlling operating losses, and implemented a program to further develop high potential employees.

Mr. Powers. Mr. Powers directed State Street Global Advisors, or SSgA, in its achievement of strong financial performance during 2012, including with respect to revenue, expense and business margin. He also realigned SSgA s business strategy to increase its focus on multi-asset class solutions and redesigned and constructed SSgA s personnel structure to strengthen its Solutions Business to produce significant revenue results. In addition, SSgA continued its focus on product development, launching 26 exchange-traded funds, or ETFs, globally (and its first three active ETFs), implemented an improved operating model with globally consistent, scalable platforms and other enhancements and further enhanced and developed the overall talent pool.

Further Considerations

In making incentive compensation decisions for our named executive officers for 2012, the Compensation Committee recognized overall performance, both corporate and individual, in a difficult economic environment. The Committee also recognized management s actions to reduce overall incentive compensation levels for 2012 throughout the company. With this additional factor in mind, the Committee concluded that reducing the incentive compensation levels for each of the named executive officers relative to 2011 was appropriate.

Based on all the above, the Committee determined the 2012 total compensation for each of the named executive officers as set forth in the table below. The table below reflects the process and philosophy by which the Committee calculated named executive officer compensation in respect of 2012, as well as for 2011 and 2010, and is intended to assist shareholders in understanding the elements of total compensation as determined by the Committee. This information differs from the calculation of total compensation in accordance with the rules of the SEC. The data under the heading Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement reflects compensation calculated in accordance with the SEC methodology. Footnotes (1)-(3) to the table below describe the relationship between the amounts reported in the table below and those amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table and related tables beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement. While the table below is presented to explain how the Committee determines compensation, the table and its accompanying disclosure are not a substitute for the tables and disclosures required by the SEC s rules.

Named			Annual I Awar	ds ⁽¹⁾	Performance	Equity-Based - Performance -	Compensation ⁽²⁾		
Executive		Base Salary	Non-Deferred	Deferred	Based	Based	Non-Deferred Stock	Deferred Stock	Total
Officer	Year	Rate ⁽¹⁾	Cash	Cash	RSUs	SSgA RSUs	Awards	Awards	Compensation ⁽³⁾
Joseph L. Hooley	2012	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 0	\$ 4,950,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 4,950,000	\$ 12,000,000
	2011	1,000,000	2,608,000	0	5,196,000	0	0	5,196,000	14,000,000
	2010	1,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	7,875,000	0	0	0	12,875,000
Edward J. Resch	2012	800,000	575,000	0	2,587,500	0	0	2,587,500	6,550,000
	2011	800,000	1,488,000	0	2,956,000	0	0	2,956,000	8,200,000
	2010	800,000	1,452,800	2,056,300	4,322,900	0	0	0	8,632,000
		,	, - ,	,,.	,- ,				-,,
Joseph C. Antonellis	2012	800.000	550,000	0	2,077,200	0	795,600 ⁽⁴⁾	2,077,200	6,300,000
•	2012	800,000	1.460.000	0	2,077,200	0	1,460,000	2,077,200	8,100,000
	2011	800,000	1,400,000	1,657,600	2,190,000	0	1,400,000	2,190,000	8,100,000
	2010	800,000	1,412,800	1,037,000	4,478,700	0	0	0	8,549,100
James S. Phalen	2012	750,000	590,000	0	2,655,000	0	0	2,655,000	6,650,000
	2011	750,000	1,558,000	0	3,096,000	0	0	3,096,000	8,500,000
	2010	750,000	1,512,800	1,557,600	4,996,300	0	0	0	8,816,700
Scott F. Powers	2012	800,000	720,000	0	2,840,000	0	0	2,840,000	7,200,000
	2011	800,000	1,558,000	0	3,096,000	0	0	3,096,000	8,550,000
	2010	800,000	1,502,800	2,222,200	2,268,800	1,862,500	0	0	8,656,300

- (1) Cash Compensation. The base salary rate for each named executive officer at year-end and the cash portion of annual incentive awards, both deferred and non-deferred, are reflected in the above table under the headings Base Salary Rate, Annual Incentive Awards Non-Deferred Cash and Annual Incentive Awards Deferred Cash, respectively. The base salary paid and the cash portion of the annual incentive awards, both deferred and non-deferred, are set forth in columns (c) and (g), respectively, of the Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement. For 2010, base salary paid reflected in the Summary Compensation Table is less than the base salary rate in the table above, as the base salary rate was adjusted effective partway through 2010. The Compensation Committee considers the year-end base salary rate in evaluating total compensation.
- (2) Equity-Based Compensation. As to equity-based compensation, the table above reflects incentive compensation equity awards for the compensation year they are awarded even if the Compensation Committee actually grants those awards at the conclusion of its incentive compensation process early in the subsequent fiscal year. In contrast, SEC rules require the Summary Compensation Table (column (e)) and related tables beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement to reflect the amount of equity compensation in the year it is actually awarded. Therefore, while both the above table and the Summary Compensation Table and related tables each reflect the amount of equity compensation, as calculated by the Committee, they reflect the equity compensation attributable to different calendar years, as viewed by the Committee. For example, from the Committee s perspective, the amounts in the equity compensation columns of the Summary Compensation Table for 2012 represent the equity-based compensation for the year 2011 that was actually awarded in 2012. This occurs because, as noted below, State Street s annual compensation cycle generally concludes in February of the succeeding year. In addition, the Summary Compensation Table (column (e)) includes defined contribution deferred stock awards under our Executive Supplemental

Retirement Plan. As described above under the heading Total Compensation Approach, the Committee does not view these retirement benefits conceptually as a component of total compensation. For 2010, the Summary Compensation Table (column (e)) also reflects the restricted stock award granted to Mr. Powers in June 2010. This award is not reflected in the table above, as, consistent with past practice, the Committee does not conceptually view it to be a part of total compensation awarded as part of the regular annual compensation cycle. The award was granted outside of the ordinary annual compensation cycle to recognize and highlight the progress SSgA had achieved under Mr. Powers leadership in advancing its management, organizational and operational structure and enhancing its risk and compliance functions to address issues arising out of the financial crisis. The award has four-year vesting and other provisions designed to promote retention of Mr. Powers services and skills.

- (3) *Total Compensation.* The amounts disclosed in the above table under the heading Total Compensation and the amounts reported in column (j) of the Summary Compensation Table beginning on page 48 of this proxy statement differ for two principal reasons. First, as described in note (2) above, (a) the equity awards attributed to one year (*e.g.*, 2012) in the Summary Compensation Table, as required by SEC rules, represent awards granted in that year (in our example, 2012) with respect to the prior compensation year (in our example, 2011), (b) the Summary Compensation Table includes defined contribution deferred stock awards under our Executive Supplemental Retirement Plan and (c) in the case of Mr. Powers, the Summary Compensation Table also includes the June 2010 restricted stock award. Second, the totals in the Summary Compensation Table include amounts related to the change in pension value during the relevant year, which the Compensation Committee did not view conceptually as a component of total compensation awarded as part of the regular annual compensation cycle, and therefore did not include in its calculations. The Committee was aware that, effective January 1, 2008, for our named executive officers, we began transitioning all of our defined benefit retirement plans to defined contribution plans and that all related transition periods were completed as of December 31, 2010. In addition, meaningful data from the peer group regarding increases in pension value are generally not available and, therefore, cannot be readily used as a quantitative factor in evaluating compensation compared to the peer group.
- (4) As discussed elsewhere in this proxy statement, as a result of specific requirements of the U.K. Financial Services Authority and his role in our organization, the structure of Mr. Antonellis s incentive compensation differs from that of our other named executive officers. Among those requirements is that at least one-half of the non-deferred portion of Mr. Antonellis s incentive compensation award be in the form of equity that is immediately vested, but that cannot be sold for six months.

Additional Key Considerations and Compensation Process Elements

Committee Meetings

The Compensation Committee s process for determining annual incentive compensation for executive officers for any year ordinarily concludes with its approval and award of compensation, usually in February of the succeeding year. The Committee met six times in connection with its activities for the 2012 compensation year and considered and evaluated a broad range of corporate performance factors, individual performance updates and market information, as well as evolving trends, practices and guidance in the design, regulation, risk alignment and governance of compensation matters in the U.S. and other jurisdictions. During these meetings, the Committee received regular updates, including from the Committee s independent compensation consultant and external legal counsel, on these and other matters, particularly with respect to the financial services industry.

Say-on-Pay Results

Since 2009, we have annually asked our shareholders to vote at our shareholder meeting to approve a non-binding advisory proposal on executive compensation. In 2011, consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act, we asked our shareholders to vote on the frequency on which we should hold these votes. We recommended that we continue to hold the vote annually, and our shareholders approved that recommendation with the vote of 92% of the votes cast. We are therefore continuing our practice of holding a say-on-pay vote at each annual shareholder meeting.

Each year we have presented a say-on-pay vote, the proposals have been approved by a significant majority of the votes cast. At our 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, the say-on-pay proposal received the approval of 88% of the votes cast. The Compensation Committee was aware of and considered this result, and prior say-on-pay votes, in making its compensation determinations for 2012. The Committee believes that the results of the 2012 and prior say-on-pay votes reflect an overall high level of shareholder support for State Street s compensation practices, as well as an indication that State Street should continue to monitor and, as appropriate, integrate evolving market and regulatory compensation practices into its compensation programs. In response to these market and regulatory developments, State Street has, among other things, continued a performance-based component to incentive compensation for its named executive officers, maintained a high level of equity composition and deferral for incentive compensation awards to its named executive officers and maintained its processes associated with the alignment of incentive compensation and appropriate risk management principles. These matters are all discussed in greater detail in other sections of this compensation discussion and analysis.

Peer Group and Benchmarking

Among the many factors used in determining executive compensation, we benchmark our total compensation against a peer group of other major financial services companies. The Compensation Committee did not treat peer group data as definitive relative to appropriate levels for the compensation of our named executive officers. Rather, it referenced peer group data as indicative of appropriate compensation levels for our executives, but formed its own perspective in determining compensation for our named executive officers based on a subjective evaluation of many factors, including assessments of corporate performance, individual performance and market, regulatory and shareholder considerations. The Committee s analysis of these multiple factors is described in this proxy statement above under the heading 2012 Compensation Determinations.

There are not many firms that serve as true comparators for the specific scope of our primary business activities, although we include in our peer group our two most direct competitors operating primarily as trust banks (Bank of New York Mellon and Northern Trust Corporation). Our peer group therefore consists of firms with which we compete in some aspects of our and their businesses and for executive talent, and it exhibits some variability in firm size and business lines. Our generally applicable peer group, approved by the Compensation Committee, consists of the following 12 firms:

American Express	Bank of New York Mellon
BlackRock	Franklin Resources
Goldman Sachs	JP Morgan Chase
Marsh & McLennan	Morgan Stanley
Northern Trust Corporation	PNC Financial Services
U.S. Bancorp	Wells Fargo

A subset of the above firms, consisting of American Express, Northern Trust Corporation, U.S. Bancorp, Bank of New York Mellon, JP Morgan Chase, PNC Financial Services and Wells Fargo, is used for Mr. Hooley. The Committee believes this subset contains the comparator companies most appropriate for evaluating compensation of the Chief Executive Officer position. For Mr. Powers, the Committee uses a separate peer group of 11 leading investment management firms.³ The Committee views this separate peer group as a more relevant comparator group for Mr. Powers, given his position as the Chief Executive Officer of SSgA, our investment management business unit.

During 2012, the Committee s independent compensation consultant, Aon Hewitt, compiled compensation paid to comparable executives for the generally applicable peer group for benchmarking purposes. At a December 2012 meeting of the Committee, Aon Hewitt presented a report in which it reviewed the total compensation paid to executives at companies in the peer group for 2011 (the most recent full year for which this specific data was available) and, where the comparable positions existed at State Street among our named executive officers, compared our total compensation for 2011 to the peer group. For Mr. Powers, the Committee uses supplemental data compiled by Towers Watson & Co. and McLagan Partners. For these purposes, and as otherwise discussed in this proxy statement, the Committee considers total compensation to consist of base salary and incentive compensation. In addition to this peer group data, the Committee received regular updates during 2012 and early 2013 regarding identified market trends and compensation actions at major financial services institutions.

The Committee recognizes that the peer group itself, although selected to comprise appropriate comparator companies, exhibits some variability in size and business lines. In addition, the nature of the roles of executives varies by firm, and several of our executive officers may not have many (or, possibly, any) direct equivalents within the peer group. Therefore, as noted above, the Compensation Committee referenced peer group data as indicative of appropriate compensation levels, but formed its own perspectives on appropriate compensation levels for our named executive officers on a subjective evaluation of many factors.

³ This peer group consists of the following: American Century Investments, Bank of New York Mellon, Columbia Management, Goldman Sachs, MFS Investment Management, Morgan Stanley, New York Life Investment Management, Northern Trust Corporation, Oppenheimer Funds, Principal Global Investors and Prudential Investment Management.

Incentive Compensation Guidelines

In the early part of each year, the Compensation Committee establishes guidelines for the amount of annual and other incentive awards for each named executive officer. These guidelines represent an initial payout opportunity based on State Street s consolidated operating-basis net income before income taxes and incentive compensation, which we refer to as Operating NIBTIC, with adjustments, determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion, based upon business, risk management, capital and other considerations. As applied to the annual component of incentive compensation under the senior executive annual incentive plan, or SEAIP, described below, to qualify for the performance-based compensation exception to the deduction limitation of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, these adjustments must only result in a reduction to Operating NIBTIC. For 2012, Operating NIBTIC was \$3.2 billion. The Committee did not exercise negative discretion to impose reductions to this performance determination; although, as described, below, the Committee did exercise negative discretion to award compensation for the named executive officers at levels lower than the payout opportunities provided for under the SEAIP and other, non-SEAIP, components of our incentive compensation program. For a discussion of the factors considered by the Committee in determining amounts awarded under the SEAIP and non-SEAIP components of our incentive compensation program, see 2012 Compensation

We use the SEAIP, approved by our shareholders in 2012, to provide our named executive officers with annual incentive compensation, the payment of which is dependent upon the achievement of annual financial performance goals. To implement the SEAIP, the Committee in early 2012 assigned each named executive officer a maximum payout opportunity expressed as a percentage of 2012 Operating NIBTIC. The payout opportunity for each of the named executive officers for 2012 was as follows: Mr. Hooley, 0.296472%; Mr. Resch, 0.168618%; Mr. Antonellis, 0.163801%; Mr. Phalen, 0.168618%; and Mr. Powers, 0.192707%. The SEAIP allows the Committee to exercise negative discretion to make awards that are less than the payout opportunity. For 2012, the Committee exercised this discretion, and each named executive officer received incentive compensation under the SEAIP in an amount less than the executive s payout opportunity. Amounts paid under the SEAIP for 2012 were in the form of cash and DSAs (and, in the case of Mr. Antonellis, also immediately vested shares with a six-month sale restriction). Awards under the SEAIP are intended to qualify for the performance-based compensation exception to the deduction limitation of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and are subject to an annual limit of \$10 million per individual.

The other, non-SEAIP, component of each named executive officer s 2012 incentive compensation was paid as long-term performance-based RSUs. The Committee determined the total amount for the non-SEAIP component of incentive compensation for each named executive officer with reference to a payout opportunity guideline expressed as a percentage of 2012 Operating NIBTIC. The 2012 payout opportunity for each of the named executive officers, set by the Committee in early 2012, was as follows: Mr. Hooley, 0.177883%; Mr. Resch, 0.090795%; Mr. Antonellis, 0.088200%; Mr. Phalen, 0.090795%; and Mr. Powers, 0.103765%. Unlike for SEAIP awards, the amount resulting from this payout opportunity was not subject to a maximum limit. The Committee used the payout opportunity calculation as a guideline in developing each named executive officer s total compensation and could increase or decrease this component of incentive compensation, in its discretion, based upon its subjective assessment of the appropriate amount of compensation. For 2012, the Committee exercised negative discretion and awarded to each named executive officer other incentive compensation in an amount less than the payout opportunity.

Prior-Year Performance Award Results

In February 2013, the Compensation Committee reviewed State Street s 2012 financial results for purposes of determining compensation results under performance-based compensation awarded in prior years, but based in whole or in part on metrics for periods ended December 31, 2012. The results under those awards are as follows:

n The performance period (2012) for the performance-based RSUs granted as part of 2011 incentive compensation to each of the named executive officers ended. The relevant performance threshold for a 1