

UMB FINANCIAL CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 17, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

UMB Financial Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**NOTICE OF THE
2015 ANNUAL MEETING
OF SHAREHOLDERS
AND PROXY STATEMENT**

April 28, 2015, at
9:00 a.m. CDT

UMB Financial Corporation
1010 Grand Boulevard
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Table of Contents

**NOTICE OF THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF
SHAREHOLDERS OF UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

Date and Time: Tuesday, April 28, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. CDT

Place: UMB Financial Corporation

1010 Grand Boulevard

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Items of Business: The following matters will be presented to our shareholders:

1. the election of 13 directors for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders;
2. the ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015;
3. if properly introduced at the meeting, a shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of our Board of Directors; and
4. any other business that may be properly considered at the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Afterward, we will present a report on our business and operations.

Record Date: You may vote at the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting only if you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on March 2, 2015.

Voting: It is important that your shares be represented at the meeting, regardless of how many you own, and we strongly encourage you to vote by proxy even if you are planning to attend in person. Please submit your proxy through the internet or by telephone, or please complete, sign, date, and return your proxy card in the provided envelope. You may revoke your proxy and vote your shares in person according to the procedures described in the attached proxy statement.

The date of this notice is March 17, 2015. The attached proxy statement and the related form of proxy are first being sent, given, or made available to shareholders on or about March 17, 2015.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Scott A. Stengel

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Secretary

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials

for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on April 28, 2015:

The Proxy Statement and the Annual Report to Shareholders are available

at www.edocumentview.com/umbf

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING, PROXY MATERIALS, AND VOTING</u>	2
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	6
<u>Principal Shareholders</u>	6
<u>Stock Owned by Directors, Nominees, and Executive Officers</u>	8
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	9
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	10
<u>Overview</u>	10
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	10
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	10
<u>The Board of Directors</u>	10
<u>Overview</u>	10
<u>The Board's Leadership Structure</u>	11
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	12
<u>Independent Directors</u>	13
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	13
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	13
<u>Audit Committee</u>	14
<u>Governance Committee</u>	15
<u>Risk Committee</u>	16
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	16
<u>Attendance at Board Meetings, Committee Meetings, and Annual Meetings of Shareholders</u>	17
<u>Communications with the Board of Directors</u>	17
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	17
<u>Statement of Policy and Process</u>	17
<u>Transactions Since January 1, 2014</u>	18
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u>	20
<u>Overview</u>	20
<u>Objectives of Our Executive-Compensation Program</u>	20
<u>Design of Our Executive-Compensation Program</u>	21
<u>2014 Business Highlights</u>	21
<u>Elements of Executive Compensation</u>	23
<u>Base Salary</u>	23
<u>Short-Term Incentive Compensation</u>	23
<u>Long-Term Incentive Compensation</u>	23
<u>Other Benefits and Perquisites</u>	24
<u>The Compensation Committee and Our Executive-Compensation Process</u>	24
<u>Other Executive-Compensation Policies and Practices</u>	25
<u>No Employment Agreements</u>	25

<u>Ownership of UMB Stock</u>	25
<u>No Hedging of UMB Stock</u>	26
<u>Claw-Back of Compensation</u>	26
<u>Say-on-Pay Advisory Vote</u>	26
<u>Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)</u>	27
<u>Options</u>	27

Table of Contents

<u>Compensation Awarded to the Executives for 2014</u>	27
<u>General Considerations for 2014</u>	27
<u>Considerations Involving Short-Term Incentive Compensation for 2014</u>	29
<u>Considerations Involving Long-Term Incentive Compensation for 2014</u>	29
<u>Mr. Kemper's Compensation for 2014</u>	30
<u>Mr. Walker's Compensation for 2014</u>	31
<u>Mr. deSilva's Compensation for 2014</u>	32
<u>Mr. Hagedorn's Compensation for 2014</u>	33
<u>Mr. Iseman's Compensation for 2014</u>	34
<u>Mr. Anderson's Compensation for 2014</u>	35
<u>Performance Shares Certified in January 2015 as Having Vested under the 2012 LTIP</u>	36
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	36
<u>Benefits and Perquisites</u>	37
<u>Additional Payments or Benefits</u>	37
<u>Executive-Compensation Actions in 2015</u>	38
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	39
<u>COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES RELATING TO RISK MANAGEMENT</u>	40
<u>COMPENSATION TABLES</u>	41
<u>2014 Summary Compensation</u>	41
<u>2014 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	42
<u>2014 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	43
<u>2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	46
<u>2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	47
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	47
<u>2014 Director Compensation</u>	51
<u>DIRECTOR QUALIFICATIONS</u>	53
<u>PROPOSAL #1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	57
<u>PROPOSAL #2 RATIFICATION OF THE CORPORATE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S ENGAGEMENT OF KPMG LLP AS UMB'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2015</u>	60
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees</u>	61
<u>Report of the Corporate Audit Committee</u>	61
<u>PROPOSAL #3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL FOR THE ADOPTION OF A POLICY REQUIRING AN INDEPENDENT CHAIR OF THE BOARD</u>	63
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	66
<u>SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	66

Table of Contents

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

1010 Grand Boulevard

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

This proxy statement and the related form of proxy are first being sent, given, or made available on a website of UMB Financial Corporation (**we** or **UMB**) on or about March 17, 2015, to the shareholders of record of our common stock, par value of one dollar (\$1.00) per share (**UMB stock**), at the close of business on March 2, 2015 (the **record date**), in connection with our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders and any adjournment or postponement of that meeting (the **Annual Meeting**).

The Annual Meeting will be held at 9:00 a.m. CDT on April 28, 2015, at our principal executive offices located at 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, for the purposes described in this proxy statement.

The following matters will be presented to our shareholders:

1. the election of 13 directors for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders;
2. the ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015;
3. if properly introduced at the meeting, a shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of our Board of Directors; and
4. any other business that may be properly considered at the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Afterward, we will present a report on our business and operations.

No shareholder has a dissenter's right of appraisal or similar right in connection with any of these matters.

Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be limited to shareholders of record or their proxies, beneficial owners of UMB stock who present proof of ownership, and our guests. Attendees may be required to present a valid form of government-issued photo identification (such as a driver's license) in order to gain admittance.

Proxies are being solicited to afford all shareholders of record an opportunity to vote on matters presented at the Annual Meeting.

It is important that your shares be represented at the Annual Meeting, regardless of how many you own, and we strongly encourage you to vote by proxy even if you are planning to attend in person.

Table of Contents

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT
THE ANNUAL MEETING, PROXY MATERIALS, AND VOTING**

Why did I receive these proxy materials?

You received our proxy statement, annual report, or notice of internet availability of proxy materials, as applicable, because UMB's Board of Directors (the **Board**) is soliciting your proxy to vote at the Annual Meeting. This proxy statement contains information that we are required to provide you under the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the **SEC**) and is intended to assist you in voting your shares.

What is a proxy?

A proxy is your grant of authority to another person to vote your shares. The person granted this authority is also called a proxy. When you designate a proxy, you may direct the proxy how to vote your shares.

Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

Shareholders of record at the close of business on the record date may vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date, 45,754,629 shares of UMB stock were issued and outstanding and, therefore, eligible to be voted at the Annual Meeting. Each share of UMB stock is entitled to one vote.

Who is a shareholder of record or a beneficial owner?

Shareholders of record or **record holders** have shares of UMB stock registered in their names with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company. **Beneficial owners**, in contrast, own shares of UMB stock that are held in street name through a broker, bank, or other nominee.

Beneficial owners generally cannot vote their shares directly and must instead instruct their brokers, banks, or other nominees how to vote the shares. If you are a beneficial owner of UMB stock, your proxy is being solicited through your broker, bank, or other nominee.

What are my voting rights?

You may vote **FOR** or **WITHHOLD** on the nominees under Proposal #1. You may vote **FOR**, **AGAINST**, **ABSTAIN** on Proposals #2 and #3.

Cumulative voting will apply in connection with Proposal #1 election of directors. *See* **What vote is required for each proposal?** later in this section. Cumulative voting will not apply in connection with any other matter at the Annual Meeting.

How does the Board recommend that I vote?

The Board recommends that you vote as follows:

Proposal #1: **FOR** the election of each of the 13 nominees to our Board.

Proposal #2: **FOR** the ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

Proposal #3: **AGAINST** the shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of our Board.

Table of Contents

What vote is required for each proposal?

Proposal #1: Plurality voting will apply that is, the 13 nominees receiving the highest number of **FOR** votes will be elected.

Cumulative voting will also apply that is, each shareholder will have a total number of votes equal to the holder's number of shares multiplied by the number of directors to be elected, and the shareholder may cast all of those votes for a single nominee or may distribute whole (though not fractional) votes among more than one nominee in any proportion desired. If you want to utilize cumulative voting, please notify our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, at (636) 600-1714 prior to the Annual Meeting or vote by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

Voting **WITHHOLD** for one or more of the nominees will have no effect on the election of directors. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, your broker, bank, or other nominee is not permitted to vote your shares on this matter if no instruction is received from you.

Proposal #2: Majority voting will apply that is, ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 will require the affirmative (**FOR**) vote of the majority of the shares cast at the Annual Meeting.

Voting **ABSTAIN** on this matter will have no effect on the outcome. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, your broker, bank, or other nominee can exercise discretion in voting your shares on this matter if no instruction is received from you.

Proposal #3: Majority voting will apply that is, approval of the shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of our Board will require the affirmative (**FOR**) vote of the majority of the shares cast at the Annual Meeting.

Voting **ABSTAIN** on this matter will have no effect on the outcome. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, your broker, bank, or other nominee is not permitted to vote your shares on this matter if no instruction is received from you.

How do I vote my shares?

We strongly encourage all shareholders to submit their votes in advance of the Annual Meeting.

Record Holders: You may vote your shares (1) through the internet, (2) by telephone, (3) by completing, signing, dating, and returning your proxy card in the provided envelope, or (4) in person by ballot at the Annual Meeting. Other proxy materials that you receive together with this proxy statement contain the website address and the telephone number for internet or telephone voting. Internet or telephone votes must be received by 1:00 a.m. CDT on April 28, 2015, in order to be counted. Completed, signed, and dated proxy cards must be received prior to the Annual Meeting in order to be counted.

Beneficial Owners:

You may not vote your shares directly but instead may instruct your broker, bank, or other nominee how to vote your shares. You should receive materials from your broker, bank, or other nominee with directions on how to provide voting instructions. Those materials also will identify the time by which your broker, bank, or other nominee must receive your voting instructions. The availability of internet or telephone voting will depend on the processes adopted by your broker, bank, or

Table of Contents

other nominee. If you want to vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank, or other nominee in advance and present that proxy to the inspectors of election together with a valid form of government-issued photo identification (such as a driver's license).

UMB Plans:

Holders of shares through the UMB Profit-Sharing and 401(k) Savings Plan (the **Profit-Sharing Plan**) or the UMB Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the **ESOP**) may not vote your shares directly but instead may instruct the trustee for the Profit-Sharing Plan or the ESOP how to vote your shares. Each holder who is a current employee of UMB and who has a valid UMB e-mail address will receive an e-mail from our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, describing how to access our proxy materials and how to provide voting instructions to the trustee. If you hold shares through the Profit-Sharing Plan and the ESOP, you will receive only one e-mail about both of them. Each holder who is not a current employee of UMB or who does not have a valid UMB e-mail address will receive our proxy materials in the mail and will be able to provide voting instructions to the trustee in the same manner as record holders. In all cases, voting instructions must be received by the trustee by 1:00 p.m. CDT on April 23, 2015, in order for the related votes to be counted.

If I am a record holder, what happens if I submit a valid proxy prior to the Annual Meeting but do not provide voting instructions?

If you as a record holder submit a valid proxy prior to the Annual Meeting but do not provide voting instructions, your shares will be voted according to the recommendations of the Board. *See* **How does the Board recommend that I vote?** earlier in this section.

If I am a beneficial owner, will my broker, bank, or other nominee vote for me if I do not provide voting instructions?

If you are a beneficial owner and do not provide voting instructions, your broker, bank, or other nominee has discretionary authority to vote your shares on Proposal #2 ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015. Your broker, bank, or other nominee, however, does not have discretionary authority to vote your shares on Proposals #1 or #3.

If I hold shares through the Profit-Sharing Plan or the ESOP, will the trustee vote for me if I do not provide voting instructions?

If you hold shares through the Profit-Sharing Plan and do not provide voting instructions, the trustee will vote your shares in the same proportion that the other shares in the Profit-Sharing Plan are voted. If you hold shares through the ESOP and do not provide voting instructions, the trustee can exercise discretion in voting your shares.

Can other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

When this proxy statement was printed, we did not know of any matter to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this proxy statement. If any other matter may be properly considered at the Annual Meeting, your proxy can exercise discretion in voting your shares on the matter. We do not anticipate that any other matter will be presented at the Annual Meeting.

Can I revoke or change my proxy?

You may revoke or change your proxy at any time before the vote is taken at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

If you are the record holder of UMB stock, you may revoke or change your proxy in the following ways:

by executing and delivering a later-dated proxy for the same shares in compliance with the requirements described in this proxy statement;

by voting the same shares again over the internet or telephone by 1:00 a.m. CDT on April 28, 2015;

by voting a ballot at the Annual Meeting; or

by notifying the Corporate Secretary of your revocation of the proxy prior to the Annual Meeting.

If you are the beneficial owner but not the record holder of UMB stock, you must follow the directions provided to you by your broker, bank, or other nominee. Any beneficial owner of shares who wants to revoke a proxy at the Annual Meeting will need to present to the inspectors of election a legal proxy from the broker, bank, or other nominee indicating that the person is the beneficial owner of the shares.

If you hold shares through the Profit-Sharing Plan or the ESOP, you must follow the directions provided to you by the trustee.

Who pays the costs of preparing the proxy materials and soliciting proxies?

We will pay the costs of preparing the proxy materials and soliciting proxies, including the reasonable charges and expenses of brokers, banks, and other nominees for forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners and updating proxy cards and directions. We also have engaged Okapi Partners LLC to assist in the solicitation of proxies for an estimated fee of \$10,000 plus disbursements.

In addition to our solicitation of proxies by mail, your proxy may be solicited by telephone, facsimile, internet, or e-mail or in person by directors, officers, or regular employees of UMB or its affiliates who will receive no additional compensation for doing so.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP****Principal Shareholders**

The following persons owned of record or beneficially owned more than 5% of UMB stock which is the only class of UMB's voting securities at the close of business on March 2, 2015:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
J. Mariner Kemper 1010 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, Missouri 64106	5,004,895 (1)	10.94%
Blackrock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10022	3,299,844 (2)	7.21%
The Vanguard Group. 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	2,930,861 (3)	6.41%

(1) The total stock ownership reported for J. Mariner Kemper is comprised of the following:

- (a) 83,081 shares are owned directly.
- (b) 1,799 shares are owned through the ESOP.
- (c) 48,682 shares of unvested restricted stock are under Mr. Kemper's authority to vote.
- (d) Options for 137,404 shares are owned directly, currently vested, and in the money.
- (e) 290,397 shares are owned by Kemper Realty Company, and 395,989 shares are owned by Pioneer Service Corporation. Each of these are entities through which voting and investment decisions may be controlled, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Kemper.
- (f)

4,047,543 shares are held by UMB Bank, National Association as either sole trustee or co-trustee. In each case, Mr. Kemper has or shares voting power. Of these shares:

- (i) 2,161,386 shares are owned by the R. Crosby Kemper Irrevocable Trust, but sole voting and dispositive authority is held by Mr. Kemper.
- (ii) 87,888 shares are owned by trusts under the will of Rufus Crosby Kemper, and 70,362 shares are owned by the Enid and Crosby Kemper Foundation. In each case, UMB Bank, National Association as trustee has sole voting and dispositive authority but may act only on the direction of Mr. Kemper, Alexander C. Kemper, and Heather Kemper Miller, or any two of them.
- (iii) 579,810 shares are owned by the R.C. Kemper Charitable Trust and Foundation, but sole voting and dispositive authority is held by the co-trustees: Mr. Kemper, Thomas J. Wood III, and Sheila Kemper Dietrich.
- (iv) 1,029,756 shares are owned by the R. C. Kemper, Jr. Charitable Trust and Foundation, but sole voting and dispositive authority is held by the majority of the non-corporate co-trustees: Mr. Kemper, Mary S. Kemper, R. Crosby Kemper III, and Mary Kemper Wolf.

Table of Contents

- (v) 59,775 shares are owned by trusts created by R. Crosby Kemper, Jr. for five of his children, but sole voting authority is held by the majority of Mr. Kemper, Mary S. Kemper, R. Crosby Kemper III, Sheila Kemper Dietrich, Alexander C. Kemper, Heather Kemper Miller, and Mary Kemper Wolf.

- (vi) 58,566 shares are owned by the R. Crosby Kemper Irrevocable Dynasty Trust, but sole voting and dispositive authority is held by the majority of Mr. Kemper, R. Crosby Kemper III, Sheila Kemper Dietrich, Alexander C. Kemper, Heather Kemper Miller, and Mary Kemper Wolf.

- (2) This is according to information provided to UMB in a Schedule 13G filed by Blackrock, Inc. with the SEC on January 26, 2015.

- (3) This is according to information provided to UMB in a Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group with the SEC on February 10, 2015.

Table of Contents**Stock Owned by Directors, Nominees, and Executive Officers**

This table sets forth the number of shares of UMB stock which is the only class of UMB's voting securities that are beneficially owned (as defined in SEC Rule 13d-3) at the close of business on March 2, 2015, by a director, a nominee, or an Executive (as defined in **Compensation Discussion and Analysis Overview** later in this proxy statement). It also includes the shares beneficially owned by all directors and Section 16 Officers (as defined in **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance** later in this section) as a group. The individuals designated as our Section 16 Officers are also our executive officers as defined in SEC Rule 3b-7.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Holdings (1)	Percent of Class
Craig L. Anderson	35,660	*
Warner L. Baxter	1,329	*
Robin C. Beery.	0	*
Nancy K. Buese	4,453	*
Peter J. deSilva	222,545	*
Terrence P. Dunn	18,300	*
Kevin C. Gallagher	12,429	*
Greg M. Graves	14,249	*
Michael D. Hagedorn	88,455	*
Andrew J. Iseman	31,643	*
Alexander C. Kemper	279,369 (2)	*
J. Mariner Kemper	5,004,895	10.94%
Kris A. Robbins	7,128	*
Thomas D. Sanders	6,956	*
L. Joshua Sosland	6,686	*
Paul Uhlmann III	13,683	*
Brian J. Walker	12,166	*
Thomas J. Wood III	1,489,952 (3)	3.26%
All Directors and Section 16 Officers as a Group	6,397,777 (4)	13.98%

* Less than 1% of the outstanding shares.

- (1) These numbers include (a) shares owned directly by the individuals or members of their immediate families who share the same household, (b) shares owned in trust, (c) shares otherwise held through indirect forms of

Table of Contents

ownership and over which the individuals exercise sole or shared voting or investment power, (d) shares of restricted stock owned by the Executives and the Section 16 Officers that have not vested but over which the Executives and the Section 16 Officers have voting power, and (e) shares that are subject to outstanding options exercisable within 60 days. The following Executives have options that are exercisable within 60 days for the amounts shown: J. Mariner Kemper 137,404 shares; Brian J. Walker 4,554 shares; Peter J. deSilva 111,532 shares; Michael D. Hagedorn 40,634 shares; and Craig L. Anderson 13,898 shares. Section 16 Officers other than the Executives collectively hold options, exercisable within 60 days, to acquire 490 shares.

- (2) The total stock ownership reported for Alexander C. Kemper is comprised of the following: (a) 2,778 shares owned directly, (b) 118,341 shares held by UMB Bank, National Association as either sole trustee or co-trustee, where voting or investment power is shared with other family members (including J. Mariner Kemper), and (c) 87,888 shares owned by trusts under the will of Rufus Crosby Kemper and 70,362 shares owned by the Enid and Crosby Kemper Foundation, where UMB Bank, National Association as trustee has sole voting and dispositive authority but may act only on the direction of Mr. Kemper, J. Mariner Kemper, and Heather Kemper Miller, or any two of them.
- (3) The total stock ownership reported for Thomas J. Wood III is comprised of the following: (a) 392,514 shares owned directly, (b) 13,546 shares owned by Mr. Wood and his spouse jointly, (c) 76 shares owned by Mr. Wood and his son jointly, (d) 2,402 shares owned by Mr. Wood's spouse, (e) 501,604 shares held in fiduciary accounts, where Mr. Wood has the authority to vote or dispose of the shares, and (f) 579,810 shares owned by the R.C. Kemper Charitable Trust and Foundation, of which Mr. Wood is a co-trustee with J. Mariner Kemper and Sheila Kemper Dietrich.
- (4) Shares held in foundations, trusts, or companies over which more than one director or Executive share voting or investment power have been included only one time in this total.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the **Exchange Act**) requires each officer (as defined in Section 16(a) and SEC Rule 16a-1, a **Section 16 Officer**), each director on the Board, and any person who beneficially owns more than 10% of UMB stock (collectively, the **reporting persons**) to file with the SEC reports of ownership and changes in ownership of UMB stock. SEC rules also require each reporting person to send or deliver to UMB a copy of each statement filed with the SEC by that person under Section 16(a).

Based solely on a review of the copies furnished to UMB during or with respect to 2014 and written representations from reporting persons that no Forms 5 were required to be filed, UMB believes that each person who was a reporting person during 2014 timely filed the reports required by Section 16(a) during 2014, except as follows: Greg M. Graves, a director on the Board, filed late Forms 4 reporting the purchase of (a) 15.75 shares of UMB stock on January 2, 2014, (b) 132.73 shares of UMB stock on February 3, 2014, (c) 16.82 shares of UMB stock on March 3, 2014, and (d) 234.62 shares on May 1, 2014. Craig L. Anderson, a Section 16 Officer during 2014, filed a late Form 4 reporting the discretionary sale of 234.62 shares of UMB stock in his 401(k) account on May 1, 2014.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Overview

UMB is committed to strong corporate-governance principles and practices. In addition to the information provided in this proxy statement, we maintain the following documents in the Corporate Governance menu at www.umb.com/investor:

Corporate Governance Guidelines (last revised on January 27, 2015)

Code of Ethics (last revised on January 28, 2014)

Charter of the Compensation Committee (last revised on January 28, 2014)

Charter of the Corporate Audit Committee (last revised on July 22, 2014)

Charter of the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee (last revised on January 26, 2010)

Charter of the Risk Committee (last revised on July 22, 2014)

You may request a copy of any of these documents by sending a written request for one to UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines (the **Governance Guidelines**) to assist the Board in exercising its responsibilities to UMB and its shareholders. These Governance Guidelines serve as a flexible framework within which the Board may conduct business and were last revised on January 27, 2015.

Code of Ethics

UMB believes that integrity is paramount. While all business is based to some degree on trust, our business has trust as a core principle. Being honest and fair to our customers, shareholders, and associates is not just a value but a moral imperative. In keeping with these principles, the Board has adopted a Code of Ethics (the **Code of Ethics**).

The Code of Ethics applies to all directors (including advisory directors) and all officers and other associates of UMB, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Chief Accounting Officer. It was last revised on January 28, 2014.

There were no waivers from any provision of the Code of Ethics in 2014. We will post any amendment to the Code of Ethics, as well as any waiver that is required to be disclosed under applicable rules of the SEC or The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (**NASDAQ**), in the Corporate Governance menu at www.umb.com/investor. A copy of the Code

of Ethics will be provided without charge to any person who sends a written request for one to UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

The Board of Directors

Overview

Our Bylaws allow for not less than 8 and not more than 18 directors, with the exact number to be set by the Board from time to time. The Board currently has 14 seats and, effective at the time of the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, will have 13 seats. The Board believes that this size is appropriate based on our present circumstances. All seats on the Board are up for election annually.

Table of Contents

Of the 14 current directors, 11 have been determined by the Board to be independent under Item 407(a) of SEC Regulation S-K and Independent Directors under NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2) (each **independent** and an **independent director**). Of the 13 director candidates nominated by the Board for election at the Annual Meeting, 10 have been determined by the Board to be independent.

The primary responsibility of the directors is to exercise their business judgment to oversee and direct the business and affairs of UMB. Specific responsibilities of the Board include:

selecting and evaluating the Chief Executive Officer, overseeing the selection and performance of senior management, and working with the Chief Executive Officer on succession planning;

reviewing, approving, and advising management on the business strategies of UMB, significant corporate actions, and major transactions;

understanding, reviewing, and monitoring the implementation of strategic plans and budgets;

reviewing assessments of, and advising management with respect to, significant risks and issues facing UMB; and

confirming the establishment of, and monitoring compliance with, processes designed to ensure the integrity of UMB's actions, including in connection with (1) financial statements and financial reporting, (2) relationships with customers, suppliers, and other constituencies, and (3) compliance with applicable law and the Code of Ethics.

The Board's Leadership Structure

The Board appoints one of its members to serve as Chair. The Board, in consultation with the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee (the **Governance Committee**), evaluates from time to time whether an independent Chair would be in the best interests of UMB and its shareholders. Among the factors considered by the Board are the qualifications and performance of any non-independent Chair, the percentage of independent directors on the Board, the degree of independent oversight exercised by the Board, the soundness of UMB's corporate-governance structure and policies, and the performance of UMB.

Based on this evaluation, the Board has determined that the best interests of UMB and its shareholders are currently served by J. Mariner Kemper holding the positions of Chair and Chief Executive Officer. For more about this conclusion, *see* the Board's response to **Proposal #3 Shareholder Proposal for the Adoption of a Policy Requiring an Independent Chair of the Board** later in this proxy statement.

Under our Bylaws and Governance Guidelines, whenever the Chair does not qualify as an independent director, the independent directors elect acting by majority vote at a meeting consisting solely of them one of their number as the Board's lead independent director (the **Lead Director**). The Lead Director is responsible for the following:

presiding at meetings of the Board when the Chair is not present;

convening and presiding over periodic meetings of the independent directors (at which only independent directors are present);

approving agendas for meetings of the Board and information to be sent to the Board;

approving schedules of meetings of the Board to ensure that sufficient time is afforded to discuss all agenda items;

serving as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chair;

holding periodic meetings with the Chair and Chief Executive Officer to discuss matters of importance to the independent directors, acting as the informal spokesperson for the independent directors, and helping to facilitate the Board's oversight of management;

Table of Contents

serving as an advocate for the interests of UMB's shareholders;

ensuring, if requested by major shareholders of UMB, that the Lead Director is available for consultation and direct communications; and

coordinating the activities of the other independent directors and performing such other duties and responsibilities as a majority of the independent directors may specify from time to time.

Our current Lead Director is Terrence P. Dunn, who is also the Chair of the Governance Committee. Mr. Dunn is a past director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, has served as an independent director on other public-company boards, and has led one of the largest construction companies in the United States.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Among the Board's specific responsibilities is oversight of the risk-management policies of UMB's global operations and the operation of UMB's global risk-management framework.

The Board has created a Risk Committee (the **Risk Committee**) that is comprised only of independent directors and that is charged with approving and periodically reviewing the risk-management policies of our global operations (the **Enterprise Risk Management Policy**), including statements of risk appetite, and adapting the Enterprise Risk Management Policy when and as appropriate to changes in our structure, risk profile, complexity, activities, or size.

The Board also has created three committees comprised of senior officers of UMB or its subsidiaries to support the Risk Committee in developing and overseeing the operation of the Enterprise Risk Management Policy:

the Asset and Liability Committee, which assists in the oversight of (1) the assets and liabilities of UMB and UMB Bank, National Association (the **Bank**), (2) the liquidity, interest-rate, market, or similar risk-management practices of UMB and the Bank, and (3) the capital positions of UMB and the Bank;

the Credit Committee, which assists in the oversight of the credit, counterparty, or similar risk-management practices of UMB and the Bank; and

the Enterprise Risk Committee, which assists in the oversight of the strategic, operational, reputational, compliance, or similar risk-management practices of UMB and the Bank.

In addition, the Corporate Audit Committee (the **Audit Committee**) assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee the quality and integrity of the accounting, financial-reporting, and internal-control functions of UMB and its subsidiaries. The Compensation Committee (the **Compensation Committee**) likewise assists the Board in ensuring that UMB's compensation programs incent balanced risk-taking within established appetites, tolerances, and limits and promote the sustained operating and financial performance of UMB.

UMB maintains as well, under the leadership of its Chief Risk Officer, a robust enterprise risk-management program designed to identify, quantify, monitor, report, and control risks that we face. The Chief Risk Officer supplies the Board directly or through the Risk Committee with regular reports on the operation of this program, the evolving risks

to our businesses, and the controls and other mitigants utilized to manage those risks. The Board, in turn, considers these reports, as well as other information from management or third parties, in reviewing and approving our strategic direction and otherwise overseeing and directing our business and affairs.

Table of Contents
Independent Directors

The Board has determined that the following directors are independent directors:

Warner L. Baxter	Kevin C. Gallagher	Thomas D. Sanders
Robin C. Beery	Greg M. Graves	L. Joshua Sosland
Nancy K. Buese	Kris A. Robbins	Paul Uhlmann III
Terrence P. Dunn		

These directors comprise over two-thirds of the Board. In addition, the Board has determined that Thomas J. Wood III is an independent director. Because he is a first cousin of J. Mariner Kemper and Alexander C. Kemper, however, the Board has decided not to appoint Mr. Wood to any committee of the Board (a **Committee**) that must be comprised only of independent directors.

Ms. Beery was appointed by the Board as a director on January 27, 2015, to fill the vacancy that had been left by the resignation of David R. Bradley, Jr. on July 22, 2014. She had been recommended to the Governance Committee by J. Mariner Kemper, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB. The Board had previously determined that Mr. Bradley was an independent director during his tenure.

The remaining directors J. Mariner Kemper, Peter J. deSilva, and Alexander C. Kemper have been found not to be independent due to their employment by UMB or familial relationship to UMB's Chief Executive Officer.

In evaluating the independence of each director, the Board reviewed and deliberated on transactions, relationships, and arrangements between the director or any related interest and UMB or any of its subsidiaries. In particular, the Board considered the following matters: (1) independent directors or their related interests have varying degrees of banking relationships with UMB or its subsidiaries, such as deposit accounts, extensions of credit, trust services, or investment services; (2) eight of the independent directors or their related interests are associated with commercial entities that received commitments or extensions of credit from UMB or its subsidiaries; and (3) three of the independent directors or their related interests are associated with commercial entities that provided services in the ordinary course of business to UMB or its subsidiaries. All of these transactions, relationships, and arrangements, in the judgment of the Board, were made on terms and under circumstances at least as favorable to UMB or its subsidiaries as those that were prevailing at the time for comparable transactions, relationships, or arrangements with unrelated persons or interests or those that would have applied to unrelated persons or interests. The Board also concluded that none of these transactions, relationships, or arrangements require disclosure under Item 404(a) of SEC Regulation S-K. *See **Transactions with Related Persons*** later in this section. The Board determined as well that no independent director has a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board maintains four standing Committees that are comprised only of independent directors: the Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, and the Risk Committee. The charter for each of these Committees can be found in the Corporate Governance menu at www.umb.com/investor.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is currently comprised of five independent directors: Greg M. Graves (Chair), Robin C. Beery, Thomas D. Sanders, L. Joshua Sosland, and Paul Uhlmann III.

Table of Contents

Messrs. Graves and Sanders served on the Compensation Committee throughout all of 2014. Mr. Uhlmann was appointed in January 2014 to replace Kris A. Robbins, who had been named Chair of the newly established Risk Committee. Mr. Sosland was appointed in October 2014 to replace David R. Bradley, Jr., who had resigned from the Board in July 2014. Ms. Beery was appointed in January 2015 in anticipation of the retirement of Mr. Sanders from the Board effective at the Annual Meeting.

The Board has determined that all of the current members are qualified to serve on the Compensation Committee under applicable rules of the SEC, NASDAQ, or the Department of the Treasury (including the independence, non-employee-director, and outside-director requirements for compensation-committee members). The Board also determined that Messrs. Bradley and Robbins, during their tenures, were qualified to serve on the Compensation Committee under those applicable rules.

Among the Compensation Committee's primary functions are the following:

assisting the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities to oversee compensation programs, including long- and short-term incentive compensation plans, for the executive officers of UMB;

assisting UMB's management in its preparation of the disclosures and other information relating to executive-compensation matters that are required by applicable law to be contained in UMB's proxy statement;

recommending to the Board the compensation of non-employee directors of UMB;

establishing and administering the principal components of compensation (including salary, bonuses, incentive programs, and retention awards) for the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and other designated executive officers of UMB; and

administering or overseeing the administration of UMB's equity-based compensation plans, including grants of restricted stock or options.

The Compensation Committee also (1) reviews and makes recommendations in connection with matters involving say-on-pay and say-when-on-pay votes by UMB's shareholders and (2) reviews and approves or ratifies related-person transactions involving compensation.

A narrative description of the processes for considering and determining executive and director compensation including (a) the Compensation Committee's authority and the extent to which that authority may be delegated and (b) the roles of UMB's executive officers and compensation consultants in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive and director compensation can be found in **Compensation Discussion and Analysis The Compensation Committee and Our Executive-Compensation Process** and **Compensation Tables 2014 Director Compensation** later in this proxy statement.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is currently comprised of four independent directors: Nancy K. Buese (Chair), Warner L. Baxter, Kevin C. Gallagher, and Kris A. Robbins. Each of these directors served on the Audit Committee throughout all of 2014.

The Board has determined that all of the current members are qualified to serve on the Audit Committee under applicable rules of the SEC or NASDAQ (including the independence requirements for audit-committee members) and that Ms. Buese and Messrs. Baxter and Robbins are audit committee financial experts and financially sophisticated under those applicable rules.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee is a separately designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities to oversee the quality and integrity of the accounting, financial-reporting, and internal-control functions of UMB and its subsidiaries. In particular, the Audit Committee's role includes assisting the Board in overseeing:

the integrity of UMB's financial statements and related reporting processes;

each independent auditor's qualifications, independence, and performance;

the performance of UMB's internal audit function; and

UMB's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

The Audit Committee has sole authority over the appointment and replacement of UMB's independent auditors and is directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of UMB's independent auditors. The Audit Committee also approves the risk-assessment methodology, risk assessment, and annual audit plan of the internal audit function and all decisions on the appointment, removal, and compensation of UMB's Director of Corporate Audit Services. In addition, the Audit Committee (1) reviews and approves or ratifies related-person transactions (other than those involving compensation that are reviewed and addressed by the Compensation Committee), (2) reviews the summary of any complaint reporting a violation of the Code of Ethics, applicable law, or UMB's policies and monitors any authorized internal investigation of such a complaint, and (3) establishes procedures for the receipt, retention, and treatment of any complaint about accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters and for the confidential, anonymous submission by UMB associates of any concern about questionable accounting or auditing matters.

Governance Committee

The Governance Committee is currently comprised of four independent directors: Terrence P. Dunn (Chair), Greg M. Graves, L. Joshua Sosland, and Paul Uhlmann III. Messrs. Dunn, Sosland, and Uhlmann served on the Governance Committee throughout all of 2014. Mr. Graves was appointed in January 2014 to replace Thomas J. Wood III.

Among the Governance Committee's primary functions are the following:

making recommendations about the size, organization, and composition of the Board as well as its committee structure and make-up;

identifying and evaluating candidates to become or remain members of the Board;

recommending director nominees for each Committee (including the Chair of each Committee);

leading the Board in its periodic reviews of its and each Committee's performance;

assisting the Board in attracting and electing qualified and experienced independent directors;

recommending the Governance Guidelines, including amendments, for approval by the Board;

monitoring the effectiveness of the Board;

evaluating and making recommendations to the Board about corporate-governance policies and practices; and

providing consultation or assistance to the Board on other corporate-governance matters that may be referred by the Board from time to time.

The Governance Committee has incorporated its policies on the nomination process for directors into the Governance Guidelines. *See* **Proposal #1 Election of Directors** later in this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

Risk Committee

The Risk Committee is currently comprised of six independent directors: Kris A. Robbins (Chair), Warner L. Baxter, Robin C. Beery, Nancy K. Buese, Kevin C. Gallagher, and Thomas D. Sanders. Messrs. Robbins, Baxter, Gallagher, and Sanders have served on the Risk Committee since its creation in January 2014. Ms. Buese was appointed in October 2014 as an additional member. Ms. Beery was appointed in January 2015 in anticipation of the retirement of Mr. Sanders from the Board effective at the Annual Meeting.

Among the Risk Committee's primary functions are the following:

approving and periodically reviewing the Enterprise Risk Management Policy, including statements of risk appetite, and adapting the Enterprise Risk Management Policy when and as appropriate to changes in UMB's structure, risk profile, complexity, activities, or size;

overseeing the operation of UMB's global risk-management framework commensurate with UMB's structure, risk profile, complexity, activities, and size;

ensuring that UMB's global risk-management framework includes:

- i appropriate policies and procedures establishing risk-management governance, risk-management procedures, and risk-control infrastructure for UMB's global operations,
- i appropriate processes and systems, such as strategic risk assessments and key risk indicators, for identifying and reporting risks and risk-management deficiencies (including in connection with emerging risks) and for ensuring effective and timely implementation of actions to address emerging risks and risk-management deficiencies for UMB's global operations,
- i appropriate processes and systems for establishing managerial and employee responsibility for risk management,
- i appropriate processes and systems for ensuring the independence of the risk-management function,
- i appropriate processes and systems for integrating risk management and associated controls with management goals and UMB's compensation structure for its global operations,
- i appropriate processes and systems for conducting internal loan reviews according to annual or other periodically established plans, and

- i appropriate processes and systems for otherwise implementing and monitoring compliance with UMB's policies and procedures establishing risk-management governance, risk-management procedures, and risk-control infrastructure for its global operations;

receiving and reviewing reports from the Chief Risk Officer, the officer in charge of the internal loan-review function, the Asset and Liability Committee, the Credit Committee, and the Enterprise Risk Committee;

receiving and reviewing examination reports and other communications from regulatory agencies that supervise or otherwise exercise authority over UMB or any of its subsidiaries; and

ensuring that appropriate resources of UMB are allocated to its global risk-management framework.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No person who served as a member of the Compensation Committee during 2014 - Greg M. Graves, David R. Bradley, Jr., Kris A. Robbins, Thomas D. Sanders, L. Joshua Sosland, and Paul Uhlmann III (1) is or has been an officer or employee of UMB or its subsidiaries or (2) has or had any relationship requiring disclosure by UMB under any paragraph of Item 404 of SEC Regulation S-K. No relationship described in Item 407(e)(4)(iii) of SEC Regulation S-K existed during 2014.

Table of Contents

Attendance at Board Meetings, Committee Meetings, and Annual Meetings of Shareholders

The Board met five times in 2014, and the independent directors met in executive session chaired by the Lead Director four times. In addition, during the year, the Audit Committee met six times, the Compensation Committee met four times and took action seven times by unanimous written consent, the Governance Committee met three times and took action one time by unanimous written consent, the Risk Committee met three times, and the Executive Committee took action three times by unanimous written consent.

Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of (1) the total number of Board meetings held in 2014 during the period when the director was serving in that capacity and (2) the total number of meetings held in 2014 by all applicable Committees during the period when the director was serving on those Committees.

Under a policy approved by the Board in January 2004, directors are strongly encouraged to attend the annual meeting of shareholders in order to provide an opportunity for informal communication between directors and shareholders and to enhance the Board's understanding of shareholder priorities and perspectives. All but one of the directors who sat on the Board at the time of the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders were present at that meeting.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Under a policy approved by the Board in January 2004, if any shareholder wishes to communicate with the Board or an individual director, the communication must be in writing, addressed to the Board or the director, and delivered to the following address: UMB Financial Corporation, c/o the Corporate Secretary and the Chair of the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The Corporate Secretary will acknowledge and review the communication and will provide the Chair of the Board and the Chair of the Governance Committee with a copy or a summary. The Governance Committee may take any or no action in response to the communication as is, in its judgment, appropriate or advisable and consistent with applicable law. Any director may request and review a log of all communications that have been received by the Corporate Secretary and addressed to the Board or an individual director and may obtain from the Corporate Secretary a copy of those communications. Any communication from a shareholder that expresses a concern about any accounting, financial-reporting, or internal-control matter will be promptly conveyed to the Chair of the Audit Committee and will be addressed consistent with the processes or procedures adopted by the Audit Committee.

Transactions with Related Persons

Statement of Policy and Process

We have adopted a written Statement of Policy and Process (the **Statement of Policy and Process**) that requires the Audit Committee to review and to approve or ratify any transaction or series of similar transactions other than those involving compensation that are reviewed and addressed by the Compensation Committee for which disclosure under Item 404(a) of SEC Regulation S-K is mandated.

Item 404(a) covers a transaction or currently proposed transaction where (1) UMB was or will be a participant, (2) the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and (3) any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. The term **related person** under Item 404(a) means, at the applicable time, (a) any director or executive officer of UMB, (b) any nominee for the Board, (c) any beneficial owner of more than 5% of UMB stock, and (d) any immediate family member (as defined in Item 404) of any of those directors, executive officers, nominees, or beneficial owners. An indirect material interest can arise from a related person's position or relationship with a firm, corporation, or other entity that engages in a transaction with UMB (excluding any interest arising only from the

person's position as a director of such an entity, the person's direct or indirect ownership of less than a 10% equity interest in such a corporate or similar entity, or the person's position as a limited partner with less than a 10% direct or indirect interest in such a partnership entity).

Table of Contents

No review, approval, or ratification, however, is required under the Statement of Policy and Process for a transaction (i) where the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids, (ii) involving the rendering of services as a common or contract carrier or a public utility at rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority, (iii) involving services as a bank depository of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture, or similar services, (iv) where the interest of the related person arises solely from the ownership of UMB stock and all holders of UMB stock receive the same benefit on a pro rata basis, or (v) involving indebtedness extended by any of UMB's banking or broker-dealer subsidiaries if the extension of credit was made in the ordinary course of business, was made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons, and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Key personnel in businesses or operations of UMB or its subsidiaries that could possibly engage in transactions with related persons are responsible for monitoring and reporting to the General Counsel any existing or contemplated transaction that may be covered by Item 404(a). Under the Statement of Policy and Process, the General Counsel will review this and other appropriate information, will inform the Audit Committee of any transaction that may require review, and will provide the Audit Committee with the information necessary to conduct the review. If any transaction is executed without the Audit Committee's prior approval and the Audit Committee decides not to ratify it, UMB's management will be directed by the Audit Committee to rescind or terminate the transaction as promptly and on as favorable of terms as feasible. No member of the Audit Committee or the Compensation Committee participates in any review or consideration of any transaction involving the member, the member's immediate family, or a related entity.

Under the Statement of Policy and Process, when considering whether to approve or ratify a transaction covered by Item 404(a), the Audit Committee will consider (A) the terms of the transaction, (B) whether consummation of the transaction is consistent with the best interests of UMB and its shareholders, (C) the benefits likely to accrue to UMB, (D) the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction, (E) whether the transaction presents a heightened risk of conflicts of interest or improper valuation or the perception of such a conflict or valuation, (F) any impact that the transaction may have on a director's independence, (G) the availability of comparable products or services from sources other than the related person, (H) whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than those generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances or on terms comparable to those provided to UMB's employees generally, and (I) whether UMB is obtaining products or services of a nature, quantity, or quality or on other terms that are not readily available from alternative sources.

Transactions Since January 1, 2014

The Audit Committee has not approved any related-person transaction since January 1, 2014.

The Audit Committee approved the following continuing transaction prior to January 1, 2014: For more than 20 years, UMB has leased from Pioneer Service Corporation (**Pioneer**) one or more commercial billboards located in the Kansas City metropolitan area and has used these billboards exclusively for UMB purposes. A majority of the stock of Pioneer is collectively owned by Alexander C. Kemper, J. Mariner Kemper, and members of their immediate families or related entities. Stock of Pioneer also was owned directly or indirectly by R. Crosby Kemper, Jr. (the late father of Alexander C. Kemper and J. Mariner Kemper) prior to his passing on January 2, 2014, and by Thomas J. Wood III prior to January 1, 2015. Each of these named individuals also serve or served as executive officers of Pioneer. In December 2012, the then-existing three-year lease of two billboards (previously reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee) at an annual rental rate of \$124,000 expired, and UMB negotiated an additional three-year renewal (2013-2015) at an annual rental rate of \$122,000. Lease payments made to Pioneer during each of 2013 and 2014 totaled \$122,000 and, under the renewed lease, are expected to total \$122,000 in 2015.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee also has recognized that many of UMB's related persons have engaged in credit or other banking transactions with one or more of UMB's banking or broker-dealer subsidiaries in the ordinary course of the subsidiary's business. Each transaction was made on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved the following transactions since January 1, 2014:

Heather Kemper Miller who is the daughter of the late R. Crosby Kemper, Jr. and the sister of J. Mariner Kemper and Alexander C. Kemper is employed as the Executive Vice President of Sales, Marketing, and Communications for UMB. Ms. Miller's compensation in 2014 totaled \$358,767, which included (1) \$190,767 in salary, (2) \$75,000 under UMB's 2013 short-term incentive compensation program, and (3) performance-based restricted stock, non-qualified stock options, and service-based restricted stock under UMB's 2014 long-term incentive compensation program that were valued at \$93,000 on the grant date. Ms. Miller's salary effective March 16, 2015, will be \$198,000, and she has been awarded in 2015 (a) \$66,877 under UMB's 2014 short-term incentive compensation program and (b) performance-based restricted stock, non-qualified stock options, and service-based restricted stock under UMB's 2015 long-term incentive compensation program that were valued at \$96,000 on the grant date.

Gary Wolf who is the son-in-law of the late R. Crosby Kemper, Jr. and the brother-in-law of J. Mariner Kemper and Alexander C. Kemper was employed during 2014 as a Senior Vice President Institutional Investment Management Specialist for the Bank and as a Senior Vice President Commercial Relationship Manager for the Bank. Mr. Wolf's compensation in 2014 totaled \$118,821, which included (1) \$88,952 in salary, (2) \$14,584 under variable-pay plans, (3) \$13,067 in severance and healthcare-subsidy amounts, and (4) \$2,218 for accrued but unused personal time off. Mr. Wolf's employment with the Bank ended on August 1, 2014.

There has been no transaction since January 1, 2014, that is required to be reported under Item 404(a) but that did not require review and approval or ratification under the Statement of Policy and Process or for which the Statement of Policy and Process was not followed.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes material elements of the compensation that has been awarded to, earned by, or paid to the following named executive officers (the **Executives**) for all services rendered in all capacities to UMB and its subsidiaries for 2014:

J. Mariner Kemper, who is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB;

Brian J. Walker, who until January 31, 2014, was the Senior Vice President Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of UMB and who since January 31, 2014, has been the Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer of UMB;

Peter J. deSilva, who until January 31, 2014, was the President and Chief Operating Officer of UMB and the Bank and who since January 31, 2014, has been the President and Chief Operating Officer of UMB and the Vice Chairman of the Bank;

Michael D. Hagedorn, who until January 31, 2014, was the Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Administrative Officer of UMB and who since January 31, 2014, has been the Vice Chairman of UMB and the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank;

Andrew J. Iseman, who is the Chief Executive Officer of Scout Investments, Inc. (**Scout**); and

Craig L. Anderson, who is the President of Commercial Banking for UMB.

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis also describes relevant actions involving the compensation of the Executives since the end of 2014 until the date of this proxy statement.

Objectives of Our Executive-Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee has adopted executive-compensation principles (the **Executive-Compensation Principles**) to guide its policies, practices, and decisions.

These Executive-Compensation Principles identify three goals for UMB's executive-compensation program:

Table of Contents

Design of Our Executive-Compensation Program

The following key considerations guide the Compensation Committee in structuring our executive-compensation program and in making individual compensation decisions:

2014 Business Highlights

Our performance in 2014 reflected all three goals of the executive-compensation program.

Most important, we continued to create long-term value for our shareholders.

Table of Contents

TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURN

December 2004 December 2014

Source: SNL Financial

In addition, while our earnings of \$120.7 million (\$2.65 per diluted share) for 2014 represented a decrease of \$13.3 million compared to 2013, we stayed true to our commitment to balanced risk-taking despite challenging interest-rate and other economic conditions.

High-Quality Credit

- i Average loans for 2014 were \$7.0 billion, a 12.1% increase compared to 2013.
- i Our nonperforming loans as a percentage of loans at December 31, 2014, was 0.37%. Our net loan charge-offs as a percentage of average loans for 2014 was 0.22%.

Diverse Revenue Streams

- i Revenue from our fee-based businesses represented 58.8% of total revenue for 2014.
- i Total assets under management increased 3.4% year-over-year to \$42.8 billion as of December 31, 2014.
- i Total assets under administration increased 3.8% year-over-year to \$198.3 billion as of December 31, 2014.

Low-Cost Funding

- i Our cost of interest-bearing liabilities for 2014 was 0.15%. Factoring in non-interest-bearing demand deposits which represented 41.4% of total deposits as of December 31, 2014 the cost of funds was 0.10%.

Strong Balance Sheet

i Our Tier 1 capital ratio remained strong at 13.29%.

Noteworthy too was our announcement, on December 15, 2014, that we had executed a definitive agreement to acquire Marquette Financial Companies, a privately held \$1.3 billion financial-services company, in an all-stock transaction. This acquisition which is pending regulatory approval and is anticipated to close in mid-2015 holds the potential to expand our banking presences in Phoenix-Scottsdale and Dallas-Ft. Worth, to broaden our product offerings with two national specialty-lending businesses, and to add a balance sheet that complements our own.

Table of Contents

And as is the case every year, no element of our success in 2014 would have been possible without a focus on our customers including those who have been loyal to us for generations and those whom we are just coming to know. To them we owe *the* unparalleled customer experience, and without them we cannot continue to thrive.

Elements of Executive Compensation

We utilize four fundamental elements of compensation: (1) base salary, (2) short-term incentive compensation, (3) long-term incentive compensation, and (4) other benefits and perquisites.

Base Salary

Salary provides a market-competitive baseline of cash compensation, generally in the form of fixed bi-weekly payments. The salaries of our Executives are established by (1) using peer-group and industry data to identify comparative medians and quartiles and (2) adjusting off the median and quartiles to reflect the Executive's individual performance, strategic value, leadership, responsibilities, competency, and experience.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Short-term incentive compensation generally takes the form of an annual cash bonus and is used to reward superior performance primarily over the short term.

Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson participate in the annual programs that are established for designated officers and other associates of UMB and its subsidiaries under the Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (as adopted by the Board, the **Umbrella STIP Plan**). In February, the Compensation Committee approves the annual short-term incentive compensation program (the **STIP**) under the Umbrella STIP Plan, and a target bonus percentage of the Executive's salary is identified based on comparative peer-group and industry data and the Executive's position, strategic value, leadership, responsibilities, competency, and experience. In February of the following year, the Compensation Committee adjusts this percentage and the related bonus payment under the STIP based on the Executive's individual risk-based performance during the prior year and bonus-pool availability (which is a function of company-wide performance during the prior year).

Due to competitive considerations in the asset-management industry, Mr. Iseman participates in an individually tailored, annual variable-pay plan. In February as with the other Executives a target bonus percentage of Mr. Iseman's salary is identified based on comparative peer-group and industry data and Mr. Iseman's position, strategic value, leadership, responsibilities, competency, and experience. In February of the following year, the Compensation Committee adjusts this percentage and the related bonus payment based on Mr. Iseman's risk-based performance during the prior year and bonus-pool availability (which is largely a function of the performance of Scout during the prior year).

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Long-term incentive compensation takes the form of performance-based restricted UMB stock (the **Performance Shares**), non-qualified options involving UMB stock (the **Options**), service-based restricted UMB stock (the **Service Shares**), and together with the Performance Shares and the Options, the **Equity-Based Awards**), and deferred cash awards. All of these are used to attract and retain talent and to reward superior performance over the long or short term. In addition, grants of Equity-Based Awards are generally designed to satisfy the exemption from Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.

Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson participate in the annual programs that are established for designated officers and other associates of UMB and its subsidiaries under the Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (as adopted by the Board and approved by our shareholders, the **Umbrella LTIP Plan**). In February, the Compensation Committee (1) approves the annual long-term incentive compensation program (the **LTIP**) under

Table of Contents

the Umbrella LTIP Plan, (2) establishes for Performance Shares under the LTIP one or more long-term objective performance standards from among those permitted under the Umbrella LTIP Plan such as core earnings per share that further the goals of our executive-compensation program, and (3) identifies the mix, values, and vesting periods for the Executive's potential Equity-Based Awards based on comparative peer-group and industry data and the Executive's position, strategic value, leadership, responsibilities, competency, and experience. In January of the year or years when the performance standard for Performance Shares must be measured, the Compensation Committee makes that determination and certifies whether and to what extent the Performance Shares have been earned and have vested.

Mr. Iseman participates in the Scout Investments Retention and Annual Performance Program (the **Scout Program**) associated with the Umbrella LTIP Plan. Under the Scout Program, if Scout's operating margin for a year (the **performance year**) equals or exceeds a specified threshold, an annual bonus pool is created the following February using a percentage of the net income generated by Scout during the performance year in excess of a baseline. Each award from this bonus pool (a) is approved by the Compensation Committee that following February, (b) is granted one-half in the form of deferred cash and one-half in the form of Service Shares, and (c) vests in three equal installments on the first business day of the first, second, and third calendar years following the year of the grant. Mr. Iseman's maximum percentage share of a potential bonus pool is set by the Compensation Committee in February of the applicable performance year and cannot later be adjusted upward. The structure of the Scout Program, including the allocations of awards, is influenced in a meaningful way by competitive considerations in the asset-management industry.

The use of Equity-Based Awards, in the view of the Compensation Committee, generally aligns the interests of the Executives with those of our shareholders, incents forward-looking and sustained performance, and drives balanced risk-taking. In selecting the mix of Equity-Based Awards, the Compensation Committee judges (i) Performance Shares to be especially useful for encouraging behavior that increases the fundamental value of UMB and creates long-term value for our shareholders, (ii) Options to be especially useful for encouraging behavior that increases the share price of UMB stock, and (iii) Service Shares to be especially useful for retaining talent. The Compensation Committee also utilizes vesting periods for Performance Shares, Options, Service Shares, and deferred cash awards to promote retention and to reward consistent and sustained performance.

Other Benefits and Perquisites

A variety of non-cash benefits and perquisites are offered in the markets and regions where UMB competes for executive talent. The Compensation Committee, however, generally favors the provision of standard benefits to all UMB associates (including the Executives) and a restrained and judicious use of perquisites.

The Compensation Committee and Our Executive-Compensation Process

The Compensation Committee has exclusive authority to determine the compensation of each Section 16 Officer and to determine the equity-based compensation of all directors, officers, and associates of UMB and its subsidiaries. The Compensation Committee may not delegate this authority to any officer or other associate of UMB or its subsidiaries but has authorized the Chair of the Compensation Committee (as well as any other member of the Compensation Committee designated by the Chair) to approve, on behalf of the entire Compensation Committee, compensation that falls within its exclusive jurisdiction and that is being offered to prospective new hires or at-risk associates.

Compensation decisions, including those for Section 16 Officers, are primarily made in February after our Board has held its first meeting of the year and we have announced earnings and other financial results for the prior year. Messrs. Kemper, deSilva, and Hagedorn (collectively, the **Executive Management Team**), with assistance from our Organizational Effectiveness Department, review the performance of the other Section 16 Officers with the

Compensation Committee and offer recommendations on the amount and mix of their compensation. Mr. Kemper does the same for Messrs. deSilva and Hagedorn. No Section 16 Officer is present or participates with the Compensation Committee in its review of that officer's performance or in its determination of that officer's compensation.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee also receives research, analytical services, advice, and recommendations from Hay Group, Inc. (**Hay**). Hay is a global management consulting firm and has served as a consultant to the Compensation Committee since 2008. The Compensation Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of Hay. As in prior years, Hay supplied the Compensation Committee with advice on our Executive-Compensation Principles, assessments of the structure and design of our executive-compensation program, comparative peer-group and industry data and analyses, updates on regulatory developments, and recommendations on the amount and mix of compensation for members of the Executive Management Team and our directors. Representatives of Hay attended, in person or by telephone, all of the Compensation Committee's meetings since the beginning of 2014 except one.

The Compensation Committee, in January 2014 and January 2015, considered the independence factors enumerated in SEC Rule 10C-1(b) and NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(d) before selecting or receiving further advice from Hay. The Compensation Committee has not identified any work of Hay that raises a conflict of interest. Hay did not provide additional services to UMB or its affiliates as opposed to the Compensation Committee in an amount in excess of \$120,000 during 2014.

Hay has provided the Compensation Committee with comparative analyses based on (1) proxy data from the peer group approved by the Compensation Committee and (2) industry data from the Hay Group General Market Compensation Report. The peer group is selected primarily on the basis of asset size and standard industry classification codes and secondarily on the basis of other factors judged by the Compensation Committee to be relevant (such as annual revenue, geographic scope, and mix of business lines). The peer group used in February 2014 and February 2015 is the same one that was used in 2013:

BancorpSouth, Inc.	FirstMerit Corporation
BOK Financial Corporation	National Penn Bancshares, Inc.
Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc.	Old National Bancorp
City National Corporation	Susquehanna Bancshares, Inc.
Commerce Bancshares, Inc.	Trustmark Corporation
Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.	Valley National Bancorp
First Citizens BancShares, Inc.	Webster Financial Corporation
First Midwest Bancorp, Inc.	Wintrust Financial Corporation

Other Executive-Compensation Policies and Practices***No Employment Agreements***

The Compensation Committee generally disfavors executive employment agreements, and no Section 16 Officer is a party to one with us. *See* **Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control** later in this proxy statement for more information.

Ownership of UMB Stock

The Board believes that stock-ownership guidelines for directors and senior officers further align their interests with those of our shareholders. As a result, stock-ownership guidelines have been incorporated into our Governance Guidelines.

Each director is expected, at a minimum, to own 4,000 shares of UMB stock, vested options with an in-the-money equivalent value, or a combination of the foregoing. Each of the following senior officers is expected, at a minimum, to own shares of UMB stock with the applicable market value, vested options with an in-the-money equivalent value, or a combination of the foregoing:

Chief Executive Officer 5 times base salary;

Table of Contents

President, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer 4 times base salary; and

other Executive Vice Presidents and Senior Vice Presidents who participate in a long-term incentive compensation plan with a target award level of 30% or more 2 times base salary.

Unvested shares held through the Profit-Sharing Plan or the ESOP and unvested Performance Shares and Service Shares are counted toward these minimums.

Each director or senior officer is expected to come into compliance with these stock-ownership guidelines within five years of being employed in or promoted to an applicable position. In January 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed the holdings of our directors and Section 16 Officers as of December 31, 2014. All were found to be within a conformance period or in full compliance as of that date. The Executives fell within a range of 156% to 286% of their minimum amounts, excluding Mr. Walker whose promotion to Chief Financial Officer in January 2014 significantly raised his minimum and placed him in a conformance period.

No Hedging of UMB Stock

Our Governance Guidelines prohibit directors and Executives from engaging in transactions to derive profit from short-term speculative swings in the value of UMB's securities. Prohibited transactions include (1) a short sale (that is, a sale of borrowed securities by an investor who hopes to buy the securities later at a lower price and thus make a profit), (2) a short sale against the box (that is, a short sale of owned securities to lock in gains or prevent additional losses), (3) a put or call option (that is, a right to sell or buy securities at a specified price within a specified period of time), including a covered call, and (4) a hedge or any other type of derivative or speculative arrangement that has a similar economic effect without the full risk or benefit of ownership.

The Board believes that this prohibition further aligns the interests of directors and Executives with those of shareholders, facilitates compliance with insider-trading and other applicable laws, and aids in preventing directors and Executives from subjecting themselves to an actual or potential conflict of interest with UMB or creating the appearance of such a conflict.

Claw-Back of Compensation

In January 2012, the Board approved a claw-back policy (the **Claw-Back Policy**) to formalize UMB's right to recover cash- or equity-based incentive compensation that was awarded on the basis of incorrect or incomplete measurements of performance or illegal, dishonest, fraudulent, or intentional misconduct. The Claw-Back Policy was modeled on a similar policy that had been adopted by the Compensation Committee in February 2010.

The Compensation Committee is charged with determining whether a recovery of incentive compensation is appropriate under the Claw-Back Policy and, if so, in what amount. The amount to be recovered, however, may not be less than that required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. A recipient must be notified within 36 months after the date when cash-based incentive compensation is received or equity-based incentive compensation vests in order for its recovery to be sought.

Say-on-Pay Advisory Vote

In 2014, the Compensation Committee considered the results of the non-binding say-on-pay advisory vote that was held at our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders. The compensation paid to our named executive officers at that time was overwhelmingly approved, with 98.2% of the votes represented being in favor.

In 2015, the Compensation Committee considered the results of the non-binding say-on-pay advisory vote that was held at our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders. The compensation paid to our named executive officers at that time was also overwhelmingly approved, with 97.1% of the votes represented being in favor.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee has interpreted these votes as an endorsement of our Executive-Compensation Principles and the overall design and structure of our executive-compensation program.

Also at our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, in what is commonly known as a non-binding say-when-on-pay advisory vote, our shareholders voted in favor of us holding say-on-pay advisory votes every third calendar year. Section 14A of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 14a-21 require us to hold a non-binding say-when-on-pay advisory vote at least every sixth calendar year. As a result, we expect that the next non-binding say-on-pay and say-when-on-pay advisory votes will be held at our 2017 annual meeting of shareholders.

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)

The use of Performance Shares under the Umbrella LTIP Plan is influenced in part by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which precludes a publicly held corporation from deducting specified compensation paid to an Executive in excess of one million dollars for the taxable year. Performance-based compensation, however, is fully deductible if conditions identified in Section 162(m) and Treasury Regulation § 1.162-27 are satisfied.

In structuring performance-based awards, the Compensation Committee considers though is not rigidly constrained in its decisionmaking by the provisions of Section 162(m) and associated consequences for UMB's tax position. Compensation that cannot be deducted under Section 162(m) may be approved to the extent judged by the Compensation Committee to be appropriate and in the best interests of UMB and its shareholders.

Options

The Compensation Committee generally grants Options at a price equal to the closing market price of UMB stock on the grant date and uses the Black-Scholes model to establish their value. The Compensation Committee has exclusive authority over the grant date for each Option. No grant date is selected for the purpose of affording an advantage to directors, officers, or associates of UMB due to an actual or anticipated public disclosure of material information relating to UMB (positive or negative) or any other information that would be likely to affect the value of the related Options.

Compensation Awarded to the Executives for 2014

General Considerations for 2014

The Compensation Committee weighed a number of general considerations in setting the compensation of each Executive for 2014. In doing so, rigid and formulaic approaches were avoided in favor of more holistic assessments that took account of both quantitative and qualitative factors.

Prominent among the Compensation Committee's considerations was the performance of UMB and the long-term value created for shareholders.

When making compensation assessments and decisions in February 2014 which covered 2014 salary, long-term incentive compensation under the 2014 LTIP, and 2014 benefits and perquisites the Compensation Committee reviewed UMB's performance in 2013. That year, we had record annual net income of \$134.0 million (\$3.20 per diluted share), which represented a 9.2% increase compared to 2012. Revenue from our fee-based businesses represented 59.6% of total revenue for 2013. Net interest income for the year increased

\$13.2 million, or 4.1%, compared to 2012, and noninterest income increased \$33.7 million or 7.4%. Total assets under management increased 27.9% year-over-year to \$41.4 billion as of December 31, 2013, and total assets under administration increased 22.4% year-over-year to \$191.0 billion as of December 31, 2013. And we marked the 15th consecutive quarter of year-over-year loan growth, with average loan balances for the fourth quarter of 2013 reaching \$6.5 billion or an increase of 19.2% over the same period in 2012.

Table of Contents

When taking actions involving 2014 compensation in February 2015 which covered short-term incentive compensation under the 2014 STIP and awards for 2014 under variable-pay plans and the Scout Program the Compensation Committee reviewed UMB's performance in 2014. See **2014 Business Highlights** earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

In addition, Hay presented the Compensation Committee with comparative peer-group and industry analyses of the compensation previously paid to the Executive Management Team. See **The Compensation Committee and Our Executive-Compensation Process** earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Our Organizational Effectiveness Department gathered industry data from several sources and, likewise, supplied comparative analyses of the compensation previously paid to Messrs. Walker, Iseman, and Anderson.

In February 2014, data drawn from 2013 was reviewed by the Compensation Committee, and the analyses took into account the new roles assumed by Messrs. Walker, deSilva, and Hagedorn effective January 31, 2014. See **Overview** earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. For total compensation on a peer-group basis, Mr. Kemper stood at 83% of the 50th percentile (**P50**), Mr. deSilva at 127% of P50, and Mr. Hagedorn at 69% of P50. On an industry basis, Mr. Kemper stood at 96% of P50, Mr. deSilva at 139% of P50, and Mr. Hagedorn at 104% of P50. Based on industry data from several sources, total compensation stood for Mr. Walker at 62% of P50 and for Mr. Anderson at 80% of P50. Based on industry data from McLagan, Mr. Iseman's total compensation stood at 42% of P50.

In February 2015, data taken from 2014 was reviewed by the Compensation Committee. For total compensation on a peer-group basis, Mr. Kemper stood at 93% of P50, Mr. deSilva at 184% of P50, and Mr. Hagedorn at 103% of P50. On an industry basis, Mr. Kemper stood at 108% of P50, Mr. deSilva at 135% of P50, and Mr. Hagedorn at 120% of P50. Based on industry data from several sources, Mr. Walker's total compensation stood at 87% of P50. Based on industry data from McLagan, Mr. Iseman's total compensation stood at 51% of P50. Mr. Anderson was not included among the Section 16 Officers designated by the Compensation Committee and the Board in January 2015, and as a result, industry data on his compensation was not reviewed.

The Compensation Committee noted as well that 59.6% and 58.8% of our total revenue in 2013 and 2014, respectively, had been derived from fee-based businesses and that the diversity in our business model had contributed significantly to the financial, business, and operational successes enjoyed in 2013 and 2014. In this context, the Compensation Committee observed that the industry data better reflected our mix of business lines than that of the peer group and, as a result, afforded more weight to the industry analyses.

To aid its deliberations on how the different elements of compensation relate to one another, fit together to form a total compensation package, and further the goals of our executive-compensation program, the Compensation Committee in February 2014 and February 2015 reviewed a tally sheet for each Section 16 Officer. This tally sheet reflected the value of each element of compensation realized by the officer in 2013 or 2014 respectively, any additional element that was realizable but not realized by the officer in 2013 or 2014 respectively (for example, options that had vested in or prior to 2013 or 2014 but that had not yet been exercised), and contingent payments and benefits approved for the officer in 2013 or 2014 respectively (for example, cash- or equity-based awards that could be received upon death, disability, qualified retirement, or a change in control of UMB).

Table of Contents***Considerations Involving Short-Term Incentive Compensation for 2014***

In February 2014 similar to its approach in the prior year and consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, market-based compensation, and company-wide goals the Compensation Committee approved a 2014 STIP with a bonus pool tied to UMB's core after-tax net income (with interpolation between rows):

2014 Core After-Tax Net Income as a Percentage of the Financial Target	2014 Core After-Tax Net Income	2014 STIP Bonus Pool Funding as a Percentage of the Target Bonus Pool	2014 STIP Bonus Pool (as adjusted in February 2015 to accommodate changes in participants during 2014)
Less Than 80%	\$109.3 Million or Less	0%	\$0
80%	\$109.4 Million	33%	\$2.6 Million
90%	\$123.0 Million	67%	\$5.2 Million
100%	\$136.7 Million	100%	\$7.8 Million
110%	\$150.4 Million	133%	\$10.4 Million
120%	\$164.0 Million	167%	\$13.0 Million
130% or Greater	\$177.7 Million or More	200%	\$13.7 Million (Capped)

Core income, earnings, or similar financial results are based on the corresponding results as reported under generally accepted accounting principles, with discretion reserved to make objective adjustments for gains, losses, or circumstances that the Compensation Committee identifies as being fair and appropriate such as (1) a gain or loss on the sale of non-earning assets, (2) a gain or loss on the sale or discontinuance of a business, product, or service, (3) a gain or loss on a branch closing, (4) expenses associated solely with the acquisition of a business, (5) severance costs, and (6) litigation reserves. The Compensation Committee retained exclusive authority over the calculation of core after-tax net income under the 2014 STIP and the right to consult with the Audit Committee to the extent appropriate.

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that core after-tax net income under the 2014 STIP had been \$136.5 million, which represented 99.9% of the target and resulted in a bonus pool of \$7.8 million. The Compensation Committee also exercised its discretion under the 2014 STIP to increase the pool by \$0.8 million to \$8.6 million. Individual awards approved and distributed to Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson from the pool are described later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

For Mr. Iseman consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, market-based compensation, and, in this case, primarily business-line goals the Compensation Committee in February 2014 approved a variable-pay plan with the following goals: (a) Scout's financial performance in 2014, with a focus on both revenue and pre-allocation net income (80%), (b) Scout's net inflows for funds and separately managed accounts (10%), and (c) UMB's net income (10%). The award approved and distributed to Mr. Iseman under this variable-pay plan is described later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Considerations Involving Long-Term Incentive Compensation for 2014

In February 2014 consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, longer performance periods, equity-based compensation, market-based compensation, and company-wide goals the Compensation Committee approved a 2014 LTIP with a performance standard for Performance Shares based on three-year (2014, 2015, and 2016) cumulative core after-tax earnings per share (**3-Year EPS**). A threshold level and

Table of Contents

a target level for 3-Year EPS were established under the 2014 LTIP, using the budget that had been approved by the Board in January 2014 as a baseline and historical compound annual growth rates in core net income for projections in 2015 and 2016. Achieving or exceeding the target level of 3-Year EPS would result in 100% of the Performance Shares being earned, while reaching the threshold level would result in 50% of the Performance Shares being earned. If 3-Year EPS were to fall between those two levels, the percentage earned would be interpolated. Failing to meet the threshold level would result in 0% of the Performance Shares being earned.

The Compensation Committee concluded that achieving the target level would require strong financial performance from UMB, meaningful growth in its businesses, and improved efficiency in its operations and that, especially given the increase in UMB's outstanding shares after its equity offering in September 2013, a 100% award would be commensurate with such a result. The threshold level also was viewed as a challenging goal in light of existing and forecasted conditions and, therefore, as commensurate with a 50% award. In establishing these levels, the Compensation Committee also noted the lack of any upside award if performance were to exceed the target level and the hard floor at the threshold level. As with the 2014 STIP, the Compensation Committee retained exclusive authority over the calculation of 3-Year EPS, the right to consult with the Audit Committee to the extent appropriate, and the right to make objective adjustments in the calculations.

To promote retention and to reward consistent and sustained performance, the Compensation Committee decided on the following vesting periods for Equity-Based Awards under the 2014 LTIP:

Years after Grant	Service Shares	Performance Shares	Options
Less Than 3 Years	0%	0%	0%
3 Years	50%	Percentage Based on 3-Year EPS (2014, 2015, and 2016)	50%
4 Years	75%		75%
5 Years	100%		100%

As discussed earlier for Mr. Iseman consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, equity-based compensation, market-based compensation, and, in this case, primarily business-line goals the Compensation Committee in February 2014 approved his participation in the Scout Program rather than the 2014 LTIP.

Mr. Kemper's Compensation for 2014

The Compensation Committee believes that, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Kemper should be evaluated primarily on the basis of the risk-based performance of UMB and its segments such as financial results against budget, continued success in diversifying revenue streams, increased efficiency, and succession planning as well as his individual leadership and strategic vision.

In February 2014, the Compensation Committee assessed Mr. Kemper's performance during 2013 in this context. Based on the successful risk-based performance of UMB and its segments, his sustained level of achievement in leading UMB through the aftermath of the global financial crisis, and his tenure in the role, the Compensation Committee concluded that his total compensation should be moved gradually over time to a point between the 50th and 75th percentiles of comparable chief executive officers and should have an increasing emphasis on equity-based compensation. This medium-term plan would strike the proper balance between rewarding current performance and creating forward-looking incentives and would enable the Compensation Committee to alter course if performance

were to fall off. As a result consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, longer performance periods, equity-based compensation, market-based compensation, and company-wide goals the Compensation Committee (1) raised Mr. Kemper's salary for 2014 to \$862,110 (an 8% increase compared to 2013), (2) held his 2014 STIP target percentage at 100% of salary, and (3) based his grant under the 2014 LTIP on 2014 rather than 2013 salary and approved an award at 139% with a value equal to \$1.2 million.

Table of Contents

In addition, due to Mr. Kemper's role as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Executive Management Team, the Compensation Committee concluded that his Equity-Based Awards should continue to be weighted more toward Performance Shares and Options. This approach, in the Compensation Committee's view, further orients his incentives toward increasing the fundamental value of UMB, creating long-term value for shareholders, and increasing the share price of UMB stock. On this basis, the Equity-Based Awards for Mr. Kemper under the 2014 LTIP took the following form: 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee adopted the same approach to evaluating Mr. Kemper's contributions. The \$13.3 million decrease in UMB's full-year earnings from 2013 to 2014 was acknowledged, but the Compensation Committee also noted that UMB's equity earnings on alternative investments on Prairie Capital Management, LLC (PCM) equity method investments had decreased \$15.1 million over the same period and that challenging interest-rate and other economic conditions had persisted throughout 2014 and into 2015. As a result, a change in the medium-term plan for Mr. Kemper's compensation was not judged to be warranted.

Prior to the Compensation Committee's deliberations, however, Mr. Kemper had highlighted UMB's public disclosures about higher equipment and compliance expenses due to regulatory and other demands, the significant net outflows from Scout's equity strategies, the costs of integrating Marquette Financial Companies (assuming receipt of all regulatory approvals), and the need for continuing investments in UMB's other diversified businesses. Against this backdrop, Mr. Kemper had suggested that his salary for 2015 not be raised and that, while the average percentage bonus for the top 50 officers under the 2014 STIP was 115% of target, his bonus under the 2014 STIP not exceed 110% of target.

The Compensation Committee respected the example that Mr. Kemper had proposed to set and decided (a) to award him a bonus under the 2014 STIP at 110% of his 100% target, which equaled \$948,321, (b) to hold his salary for 2015 at \$862,110, and (c) to approve a grant for him under the 2015 LTIP with a value equal to \$1.5 million. His Equity-Based Awards under the 2015 LTIP retained the same split with 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

This is an outline of Mr. Kemper's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			STIP Award		LTIP Grant	
	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
J. Mariner Kemper	\$862,110	\$798,250	8%	\$948,321	\$1,077,638	Value of \$1,200,000	Value of \$852,500
				(110% of 12/31/14	(135% of 12/31/13	(139% of 3/17/14	(110% of 12/31/12

	Salary from a Target of 100% of 12/31/14 Salary)	Salary from a Target of 100% of 12/31/13 Salary)	Salary)	Salary)
--	--	--	---------	---------

Mr. Walker s Compensation for 2014

Mr. Walker s objectives in 2013 were focused, in particular, on external reporting, *the* unparalleled customer experience, efficiency, the controller function, and teamwork. The Compensation Committee found that Mr. Walker had performed well in 2013 and had generally met or exceeded his objectives. In addition, on January 31, 2014, he

Table of Contents

had been named Chief Financial Officer of UMB while retaining his title as Chief Accounting Officer. As a result consistent with the same executive-compensation preferences noted in connection with Mr. Kemper the Compensation Committee in February 2014 (1) raised Mr. Walker's salary for 2014 to \$240,000 (an 18% increase compared to 2013), (2) increased his 2014 STIP target percentage to 35% of salary (compared to 25% in 2013), and (3) approved a grant for him under the 2014 LTIP at 35% of his 2013 salary with a value equal to \$71,050. The Equity-Based Awards for Mr. Walker under the 2014 LTIP took the following form: 25% in Options and 75% in Service Shares. Further, on February 25, 2014, the Chair of the Compensation Committee separately exercised his authority to approve, on behalf of the entire Compensation Committee, an effective date of January 31, 2014, for Mr. Walker's increase in salary.

For 2014, Mr. Walker's objectives spanned external reporting, capital adequacy and stress testing, *the* unparalleled customer experience, teamwork, and internal reporting. Based on his promotion to Chief Financial Officer and his continuing development in 2014, the Compensation Committee in February 2015 (a) awarded him a bonus under the 2014 STIP at 100% of his 35% target, which equaled \$83,597, (b) raised his salary for 2015 to \$265,000 (a 10% increase compared to 2014), and (c) approved a grant for him under the 2015 LTIP at 50% of his 2014 salary with a value equal to \$120,000. Due to Mr. Walker's new executive leadership role within UMB in 2014, the Compensation Committee also concluded that his Equity-Based Awards should include Performance Shares and a higher percentage of Options to further align his interests with those of shareholders. As a result, the Equity-Based Awards for Mr. Walker under the 2015 LTIP took the following form: 35% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 30% in Service Shares.

This is an outline of Mr. Walker's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			STIP Award		LTIP Grant	
	2014 (Effective January 31, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
Brian J. Walker	\$240,000	\$203,000	18%	\$83,597	\$66,000	Value of \$71,050	Value of \$39,071
				(35% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of	(33% of 12/31/13 Salary from a Target of	(35% of 12/31/13 Salary)	(20% of 12/31/12 Salary)
				35% of 12/31/14 Salary)	25% of 12/31/13 Salary)		

Mr. deSilva's Compensation for 2014

Mr. deSilva's objectives in 2013 encompassed the performance of PCM, the strategic growth of healthcare services, sales in corporate and institutional banking, and operational priorities, with other responsibilities extending to consumer products, private wealth management, and asset servicing. In addition, on January 31, 2014, he was named Vice Chairman of the Bank and shifted his focus even more to the growth and efficiency of our fee-based businesses as President and Chief Operating Officer of UMB. Consistent with the same executive-compensation preferences noted in connection with Mr. Kemper and based on Mr. deSilva's results in 2013, his continued leadership of our diversified business lines, and his new responsibilities in 2014, the Compensation Committee in February 2014 (1) raised his salary for 2014 to \$669,500 (a 4% increase compared to 2013), (2) held his 2014 STIP target percentage at 65% of salary, and (3) based his grant under the 2014 LTIP on 2014 rather than 2013 salary and approved an award at 97% with a value equal to \$650,000.

In addition, due to Mr. deSilva's role as a member of the Executive Management Team, the Compensation Committee concluded that his Equity-Based Awards like those for Mr. Kemper should be weighted more toward

Table of Contents

Performance Shares and Options to further align his interests with those of shareholders. On this basis, the Equity-Based Awards for Mr. deSilva under the 2014 LTIP took the following form: 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

For 2014, Mr. deSilva's objectives reflected an emphasis on the performance of UMB's nonbank subsidiaries, strategic corporate partnerships, scalable platforms for technology and operations, the risk-management framework for UMB's nonbank subsidiaries, and individual leadership. The Compensation Committee concluded that Mr. deSilva had performed well in 2014 and had generally met or exceeded his objectives. For the same reasons noted in connection with his own compensation, however, Mr. Kemper had recommended that Mr. deSilva's salary for 2015 not be raised more than 2.5% and that his bonus under the 2014 STIP not exceed 110% of target.

On this basis, the Compensation Committee decided (a) to award Mr. deSilva a bonus under the 2014 STIP at 110% of his 65% target, which equaled \$478,693, (b) to raise his salary for 2015 to \$686,000 (a 2.5% increase compared to 2014), and (c) to approve a grant for him under the 2015 LTIP with a value equal to \$697,000. His Equity-Based Awards under the 2015 LTIP retained the same split with 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

This is an outline of Mr. deSilva's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			STIP Award		LTIP Grant	
	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
Peter J. deSilva	\$669,500	\$643,750	4%	\$478,693	\$564,891	Value of \$650,000	Value of \$625,000
				(72% of 12/31/14	(88% of 12/31/13	(97% of 3/17/14 Salary)	(100% of 12/31/12 Salary)
				Salary from a Target of	Salary from a Target of		
				65% of 12/31/14 Salary)	65% of 12/31/13 Salary)		<i>Plus</i> Value of \$528,193
							(Grant of 10,985 Service Shares with Ratable

Mr. Hagedorn's Compensation for 2014

Mr. Hagedorn's objectives in 2013 were centered around financial reporting and related controls, management of our capital expenditures, and a reorganization of our functional lines. In addition, on January 31, 2014, he was named President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank while retaining his title as Vice Chairman of UMB. Based on his productivity and leadership in 2013, the sustained upward trajectory in his performance, and his expanded responsibilities in 2014, the Compensation Committee in February 2014 concluded that total compensation for Mr. Hagedorn like Mr. Kemper should be moved gradually over time to a point between the 50th and 75th percentiles of comparable executives and should have an increasing emphasis on equity-based compensation. A decision was thus made consistent with the same executive-compensation preferences noted in connection with Mr. Kemper (1) to raise his salary for 2014 to \$428,274 (an 8% increase compared to 2013), (2) to increase his

Table of Contents

2014 STIP target percentage to 65% of salary (compared to 60% in 2013), and (3) to base his grant under the 2014 LTIP on 2014 rather than 2013 salary and to approve an award at 105% with a value equal to \$450,000.

In addition, due to Mr. Hagedorn's role as a member of the Executive Management Team, the Compensation Committee concluded that his Equity-Based Awards like those for Mr. Kemper should be weighted more toward Performance Shares and Options to further align his interests with those of shareholders. On this basis, the Equity-Based Awards for Mr. Hagedorn under the 2014 LTIP took the following form: 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

For 2014, Mr. Hagedorn's objectives covered management of the Bank's reorganized leadership team, regulatory compliance, community involvement, individual leadership, and support for Mr. Walker as the newly promoted Chief Financial Officer. The Compensation Committee concluded that Mr. Hagedorn had performed well in 2014 and had generally met or exceeded his objectives. For the same reasons noted in connection with his own compensation, however, Mr. Kemper had recommended that Mr. Hagedorn's salary for 2015 not be raised more than 5% and that his bonus under the 2014 STIP not exceed 110% of target.

On this basis, the Compensation Committee decided (a) to award Mr. Hagedorn a bonus under the 2014 STIP at 110% of his 65% target, which equaled \$306,216, (b) to raise his salary for 2015 to \$450,000 (a 5% increase compared to 2014), and (c) to approve a grant for him under the 2015 LTIP with a value equal to \$550,000. His Equity-Based Awards under the 2015 LTIP retained the same split with 40% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 25% in Service Shares.

This is an outline of Mr. Hagedorn's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			STIP Award		LTIP Grant	
	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
Michael D. Hagedorn	\$428,274	\$396,550	8%	\$306,216	\$321,206	Value of \$450,000	Value of \$308,000
				(72% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 65% of 12/31/14 Salary)	(81% of 12/31/13 Salary from a Target of 60% of 12/31/13 Salary)	(105% of 3/17/14 Salary)	(80% of 12/31/12 Salary) <i>Plus</i> Value of \$300,000

(Grant of
6,581 Service
Shares with
Ratable

3-Year
Vesting)

Mr. Iseman's Compensation for 2014

Mr. Iseman's objectives in 2013 were grounded primarily in Scout's performance (including revenue, pre-allocation net income, and net inflows) and secondarily in his leadership of Scout and the performance of UMB. In February 2014, the Compensation Committee used both quantitative and qualitative assessments in judging his performance in 2013 and against the backdrop of its preference to retain a heavier emphasis on incentive compensation for Mr. Iseman through his variable-pay plan and the Scout Program (1) held his salary at \$415,000, (2) increased the target percentage under his variable-pay plan to 125% of salary (compared to 100% in 2013), and (3) kept his maximum percentage share of the potential 2014 Scout Program bonus pool at 17.15%.

Table of Contents

Objectives for Mr. Iseman in 2014 were cast in substantially the same form as those in 2013 but with even more of an emphasis on Scout's performance. *See Compensation Awarded to the Executives for 2014 Considerations Involving Short-Term Incentive Compensation for 2014* earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. In February 2015, the Compensation Committee used both quantitative and qualitative assessments in judging his performance in 2014 and awarded a bonus under his variable-pay plan at 103% of salary on December 31, 2014, which equaled \$426,000. In addition, under the Scout Program, the Compensation Committee determined that Scout's operating margin during 2014 had exceeded the required threshold and that the annual bonus pool totaled \$4.4 million. Mr. Iseman's maximum percentage share of this bonus pool had been set by the Compensation Committee in February 2014 at 17.15%, but in light of the significant net outflows from Scout's equity strategies and the desire to share more of the profit with others at Scout, he was awarded 13.75%: \$303,639 in a ratable three-year deferred cash award and \$303,639 in a ratable three-year grant of Service Shares. *See Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation* earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis for more information on the Scout Program.

Further consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, equity-based compensation, market-based compensation, and, in this case, primarily business-line goals the Compensation Committee decided to keep Mr. Iseman's salary at the same level for 2015 and hold the target percentage under his variable-pay plan at 125% of salary as of December 31, 2015, but to increase his maximum percentage share of the potential 2015 Scout Program bonus pool to 19.45%.

This is an outline of Mr. Iseman's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			Variable-Pay Award		Scout Program Award	
	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Maximum Percentage Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Maximum Percentage Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
Andrew J. Iseman	\$415,000	\$415,000	0%	\$426,000	\$625,000	\$607,278	\$719,958
				(103% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 125% of 12/31/14 Salary)	(151% of 12/31/13 Salary from a Target of 100% of 12/31/13 Salary)	(13.75% of Scout Program Pool Half in Deferred Cash Award and Half in Service Shares with Ratable 3-Year Vesting)	(17.15% of Scout Program Pool Half in Deferred Cash Award and Half in Service Shares with Ratable 3-Year Vesting)

Mr. Anderson's Compensation for 2014

Mr. Anderson's objectives in 2013 were tied to the net income, loan growth, and loan performance of the commercial-banking business and to his continued refinement of its strategic plan. The Compensation Committee found that Mr. Anderson had performed well in 2013 and had generally met or exceeded his objectives. As a result consistent with the same executive-compensation preferences noted in connection with Mr. Kemper the Compensation Committee in February 2014 (1) raised Mr. Anderson's salary for 2014 to \$376,200 (an 8% increase compared to 2013), (2) increased his 2014 STIP target percentage to 50% of salary (compared to 45% in 2013), and (3) approved a grant for him under the 2014 LTIP at 50% of his 2013 salary with a value equal to \$175,000. Due to his leadership role within UMB, the Equity-Based Awards for Mr. Anderson under the 2014 LTIP took the following form: 35% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 30% in Service Shares.

Table of Contents

For 2014, Mr. Anderson's objectives retained the same focus, and he performed well again in meeting or exceeding them. Based on this contribution, the Compensation Committee in February 2015 (a) awarded him a bonus under the 2014 STIP at 110% of his 50% target, which equaled \$207,200, and (b) approved a grant for him under the 2015 LTIP at 50% of his 2014 salary with a value equal to \$188,100. His Equity-Based Awards under the 2015 LTIP retained the same split with 35% in Performance Shares, 35% in Options, and 30% in Service Shares. Because Mr. Anderson had not been included as a Section 16 Officer for 2015, Mr. Hagedorn was charged with setting his salary for the year and did so at \$387,000 (a 3% increase compared to 2014).

This is an outline of Mr. Anderson's compensation for 2014 compared to 2013:

Executive	Salary			STIP Award		LTIP Grant	
	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	2013 (Effective March 18, 2013)	Increase	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2013 (Determined and Paid in February 2014)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)	2013 (Determined and Fixed in February 2013)
Craig L. Anderson	\$376,200	\$350,000	8%	\$207,200	\$220,000	Value of \$175,000	Value of \$169,950
				(55% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 50% of 12/31/14 Salary)	(63% of 12/31/13 Salary from a Target of 45% of 12/31/13 Salary)	(50% of 12/31/13 Salary)	(50% of 12/31/12 Salary)

Performance Shares Certified in January 2015 as Having Vested under the 2012 LTIP

In February 2012 consistent with the preference for performance-based compensation standards, longer performance periods, equity-based compensation, market-based compensation, and company-wide goals the Compensation Committee had approved an LTIP with a performance standard for Performance Shares based on 3-Year EPS (2012, 2013, and 2014). Its design and structure were substantially similar to the 2014 LTIP described earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

In January 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed UMB's financial results from 2012 through 2014 and certified that the 3-Year EPS under the 2012 LTIP had exceeded the target level and that 100% of the performance standard had been achieved. As a result, the following Performance Shares (including additional shares of UMB stock that had been purchased with dividends on the Performance Shares initially granted) were released to the Executives free of restrictions and risk of forfeiture: (1) 7,531 shares to Mr. Kemper, (2) 6,276 shares to Mr. deSilva, (3) 2,562 shares to Mr. Hagedorn, (4) 1,595 shares to Mr. Iseman, and (5) 1,509 shares to Mr. Anderson. Mr. Walker had not participated in the 2012 LTIP.

Deferred Compensation Plan

In October 2008, the Compensation Committee approved a deferred compensation plan that permits the Executives and other specified participants, at their option, to defer a portion of their compensation payable for a calendar year until retirement or termination or until another specified event occurs. UMB has an unsecured obligation to pay each deferred amount at the applicable time together with a rate of return equal to the yield produced by a mutual fund selected by the participant from among those available under the Profit-Sharing Plan. UMB does not match any amount that a participant may choose to defer. All of the Executives were eligible to participate in this plan, and two elected to defer income in 2014.

Table of Contents

Benefits and Perquisites

Each Executive is offered standard benefits including health insurance, disability insurance, life insurance, 401(k)-plan matching contributions, and profit-sharing contributions which are provided on the same terms to all UMB associates who have met minimum service requirements, except to the extent that a benefit (such as disability insurance) is calculated as a percentage of salary. We regularly assess these benefits against those of our peer group to remain competitive.

The Compensation Committee generally approves limited perquisites when appropriate to attract or retain talent, when a particular benefit inures to UMB, or when the value to the Executive or other officer is greater than UMB's cash outlay. For example, club dues and fees are paid on behalf of Executives and other designated officers who are charged with meaningful business-generation responsibilities and who appreciate the administrative convenience associated with a corporate-paid membership. Similarly, affording a modest allowance to the Executives and other senior officers for tax preparation and financial planning (1) enables UMB to ensure that no potential conflict of interest arises in a senior officer's choice of such a professional, (2) can result in cost savings based on the number of officers using a common professional, and (3) is administratively convenient for the participating officers. *See Compensation Tables 2014 Summary Compensation* later in this proxy statement for detailed information about the perquisites provided to the Executives.

Additional Payments or Benefits

The Executives, in addition to other officers, may be entitled to receive accelerated payments or other awards under the Umbrella LTIP Plan, the Scout Program, the Umbrella STIP Plan, or a variable-pay plan in limited circumstances (such as death, disability, retirement, or a change in control of UMB). *See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control* later in this proxy statement for additional information.

Table of Contents**Executive-Compensation Actions in 2015**

Earlier discussions of each Executive's compensation for 2014 address actions that were taken by the Compensation Committee in 2015 and that could affect a fair understanding of 2014 compensation. See **Compensation Awarded to the Executives for 2014** earlier in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. These are outlines of those actions:

Executive	Salary			STIP		LTIP Grant	
	2015 (Effective March 16, 2015)	2014 (Except as Noted, Effective March 17, 2014)	Increase	2015 (Determined and Paid in February 2016)	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2015 (Determined and Fixed in February 2015)	2014 (Determined and Fixed in February 2014)
J. Mariner Kemper	\$862,110	\$862,110	0%	Target of 100% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$948,321 (110% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 100% of 12/31/14 Salary)	Value of \$1,500,000	Value of \$1,200,000
Brian J. Walker	\$265,000	\$240,000 (Effective January 31, 2014)	10%	Target of 35% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$83,597 (35% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 35% of 12/31/14 Salary)	Value of \$120,000	Value of \$71,050
Peter J. deSilva	\$686,000	\$669,500	2.5%	Target of 65% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$478,693 (72% of 12/31/14	Value of \$697,000	Value of \$650,000

					Salary from a Target of 65% of 12/31/14 Salary)		
Michael D. Hagedorn	\$450,000	\$428,274	5%	Target of 65% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$306,216	Value of \$550,000	Value of \$450,000
					(72% of 12/31/14		
					Salary from a Target of 65% of 12/31/14 Salary)		
Craig L. Anderson	\$387,000	\$376,200	3%	Target of 50% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$207,200	Value of \$188,100	Value of \$175,000
					(55% of 12/31/14		
					Salary from a Target of 50% of 12/31/14 Salary)		

Table of Contents

Executive	Salary			Variable-Pay Plan		Scout Program	
	2015 (Effective March 16, 2015)	2014 (Effective March 17, 2014)	Increase	2015 (Determined and Paid in February 2016)	2014 (Determined and Paid in February 2015)	2015 (Maximum Percentage Determined and Fixed in February 2015)	2014 (Maximum Percentage Determined and Fixed in February 2014)
Andrew J. Iseman	\$415,000	\$415,000	0%	Target of 125% of 12/31/15 Salary	\$426,000 (103% of 12/31/14 Salary from a Target of 125% of 12/31/14 Salary)	19.45% of Potential Scout Program Pool	\$607,278 (13.75% of Scout Program Pool Half in Deferred Cash Award and Half in Service Shares with Ratable 3-Year Vesting)

A detailed discussion of each named executive officer's compensation for 2015 will be included in the proxy statement for our 2016 annual meeting of shareholders.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth earlier in this proxy statement. Based on that review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in UMB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, and this proxy statement.

Greg M. Graves, Chair

Robin C. Beery

Thomas D. Sanders

L. Joshua Sosland

Paul Uhlmann III

As provided by SEC Regulation S-K, this Compensation Committee Report is not deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by UMB under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES RELATING TO RISK MANAGEMENT

At least annually, an incentive-compensation risk assessment is prepared by our Corporate Risk Services and Organizational Effectiveness Departments and is presented to the Compensation Committee. This risk assessment is designed to ascertain whether our incentive-compensation arrangements generate incentives that properly balance risk and reward, are compatible with effective controls and risk management (including the Interagency Guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies issued by the federal banking agencies), are overseen through a strong corporate-governance structure, and ultimately ensure that UMB's safety and soundness are adequately protected.

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed and deliberated on (1) the annual incentive-compensation risk assessment, (2) the Executive-Compensation Principles, (3) UMB's compensation policies and practices, (4) whether or how UMB's compensation policies and practices may incent an employee to engage in higher-risk activities, (5) whether or how any short-term incentives may have an impact on long-term risk, (6) whether or how claw-backs or hold-backs are utilized or deemed appropriate, (7) whether or how changes in UMB's risk profiles may require changes in its compensation policies and practices, (8) how to appropriately monitor UMB's compensation policies and practices to ensure that its risk-management objectives are being met, and (9) the existence and effectiveness of any controls, policies, or practices that may be in place to mitigate or balance the risks associated with UMB's compensation policies or practices. Based on this review, the Compensation Committee concluded that the compensation policies and practices relating to executive officers and other employees of UMB and its subsidiaries do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on UMB. This conclusion will be reported to the Board at its next regular meeting.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION TABLES****2014 SUMMARY COMPENSATION**

This table summarizes the compensation of the Executives for each of our last three completed fiscal years. Their compensation for 2014 is discussed in more detail in **Compensation Discussion and Analysis** earlier in this proxy statement.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock	Option	Non-Equity	Change in	All	Total (\$)
				Awards (\$) (2)	Awards (\$) (3)	Plan Compensation (\$) (4)	Pension Value and Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (5)	Other Compensation (\$) (6)	
J. Mariner Kemper Chairman and CEO	2014	847,373		779,951	419,996	948,321		31,930 (6)	3,027,571
	2013	792,885		554,070	298,366	1,077,638		26,495	2,749,454
	2012	762,308		467,969	251,997	761,438		27,001	2,270,713
Brian J. Walker (1) CFO and CAO	2014	253,873		53,297	17,760	83,597		10,241	418,768
Peter J. deSilva President and COO	2014	663,558		422,464	227,491	478,693		55,123 (7)	1,847,329
	2013	639,423		934,402	218,748	564,891		55,296	2,412,760
	2012	619,231		389,947	209,996	450,313		48,190	1,717,677
Michael D. Hagedorn President and CEO of UMB Bank, N.A.	2014	420,953		292,396	157,494	306,216		36,976 (8)	1,214,035
	2013	393,885		500,104	107,796	321,206		37,771	1,360,762
	2012	376,923		159,201	85,743	277,393		36,555	935,815
Andrew J. Iseman Chairman and CEO of Scout Investments, Inc.	2014	415,000		303,639	-	729,639		10,241	1,458,519
	2013	415,000		144,306	-	984,979		11,358	1,555,643
	2012	410,385		569,214	69,116	600,917		20,558	1,670,190

Craig L. Anderson President Commercial Banking	2014	370,154	113,709	61,241	207,200	168,437 (9)	920,741
--	------	---------	---------	--------	---------	-------------	---------

- (1) Mr. Walker was named Chief Financial Officer of UMB in January 2014 and was not an Executive before then.
- (2) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Information regarding the assumptions made in the valuation of grants is included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 2014, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015, under the heading "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" in Note 1, Summary of Accounting Policies, and in Note 11, Employee Benefits. The value of performance-based grants is based on the assumption that the highest level of performance conditions is achieved.
- (3) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Information regarding the assumptions made in the valuation of grants is included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 2014, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015, under the heading "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" in Note 1, Summary of Accounting Policies, and in Note 11, Employee Benefits.
- (4) Amounts are actual amounts earned by the Executives during 2014 under the 2014 STIP and paid on February 19, 2015.

Table of Contents

(5) Amounts reflected in All Other Compensation include UMB's match and allocation of forfeitures under the Profit-Sharing Plan and the ESOP as well as perquisites and other personal benefits.

(6) This amount includes perquisites and other personal benefits, such as:

the cost attributable to personal use of an automobile provided by UMB; and

country-club and dining-club membership fees.

(7) This amount includes perquisites and other personal benefits, such as:

a car allowance;

the cost of professional financial-consulting services;

country-club and dining-club membership fees; and

the cost of an executive physical examination.

(8) This amount includes perquisites and other personal benefits, such as:

a car allowance;

the cost of professional financial-consulting services; and

country-club membership fees.

(9) This amount includes perquisites and other personal benefits, such as:

a car allowance;

the cost of professional financial-consulting services;

country-club membership fees; and

a moving bonus in the amount of \$75,000, the reimbursement of a moving expense in the amount of \$703, and the reimbursement of taxes owed on the moving bonus and the moving expense in the aggregate amount of \$60,672.

2014 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

This table summarizes each grant of an award made to an Executive in 2014 under the 2014 STIP, the variable-pay plan for Mr. Iseman, the 2014 LTIP, and the Scout Program. These plans and the grants in 2014 are discussed in more detail in **Compensation Discussion and Analysis** earlier in this proxy statement.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target	Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		(1)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	(2)	(2)		
J. Mariner Kemper	2/10/14	862,110	4,181	8,362	8,362	5,226	32,233	\$ 57.40	\$ 1,199,947
Brian J. Walker	2/10/14	84,000	-	-	-	928	1,363	\$ 57.40	\$ 71,027
Peter J. deSilva	2/10/14	435,175	2,264	4,529	4,529	2,831	17,459	\$ 57.40	\$ 649,955
Michael D. Hagedorn	2/10/14	278,378	1,567	3,135	3,135	1,959	12,087	\$57.40	\$ 449,889
Andrew J. Iseman	2/10/14	518,750	-	-	-	6,271	-	-	\$ 359,955
Craig L. Anderson	2/10/14	188,100	533	1,067	1,067	914	4,700	\$57.40	\$ 174,950

Table of Contents

(1) These amounts reflect the target payment levels approved by the Compensation Committee on February 10, 2014, under the 2014 STIP or the variable-pay plan for Mr. Iseman. There are no thresholds or maximums for individuals under these plans, and the Compensation Committee has the discretion to increase or decrease each Executive's compensation from the target amount shown based on bonus-pool availability and the Executive's individual risk-based performance.

(2) These numbers reflect grants made under the 2014 LTIP and the Scout Program.

2014 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

This table summarizes unexercised options, stock that has not vested, and equity incentive-plan awards for each Executive outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The market value of each stock award was computed by multiplying the closing market price of UMB stock on December 31, 2014, by the applicable number of shares of UMB stock shown in the table for each grant.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of
								Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
. Mariner Kemper	14,774			\$ 34.84	1/1/2016				
	20,467			\$ 38.84	1/1/2017				
	25,735			\$ 37.73	1/1/2018				
	22,151			\$ 41.37	1/1/2019				
	17,352	5,785 (4)		\$ 37.84	1/1/2020	990 (5)	\$ 56,321		
	11,061	11,061 (6)		\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	1,967 (7)	\$ 111,903		
		29,099 (8)		\$ 39.97	1/1/2022	4,707 (9)	\$ 267,781	7,531 (10)	\$ 428,439
		29,309 (11)		\$ 45.58	1/1/2023	4,801 (12)	\$ 273,129	7,683 (13)	\$ 437,086
	32,233 (14)		\$ 57.40	1/1/2024	5,284 (15)	\$ 300,607	8,484 (16)	\$ 480,948	
Brian J. Walker	500			\$ 38.54	12/20/2017				
	900			\$ 40.93	11/17/2018				
	949			\$ 41.37	1/1/2019				
	756	253 (4)		\$ 37.84	1/1/2020	182 (5)	\$ 10,354		
	446	447 (6)		\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	334 (7)	\$ 19,001		

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

	1,054 (8)	\$ 39.97	1/1/2022	716 (9)	\$ 40,733		
				1,142 (17)	\$ 64,968		
	959 (11)	\$ 45.58	1/1/2023	659 (12)	\$ 37,491		
				517 (18)	\$ 29,412		
	1363 (14)	\$ 57.40	1/1/2024	938 (15)	\$ 53,363		
Peter J. deSilva	14,444	\$ 38.84	1/1/2017				
	25,392	\$ 37.73	1/1/2018				
	21,749	\$ 41.37	1/1/2019				
	17,037	5,679 (4)	\$ 37.84	1/1/2020	972 (5)	\$ 55,297	
	10,071	10,072 (6)	\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	1,792 (7)	\$ 101,947	
		24,249 (8)	\$ 39.97	1/1/2022	3,922 (9)	\$ 223,123	6,276 (10) \$ 357,042
		21,488 (11)	\$ 45.58	1/1/2023	3,520 (12)	\$ 200,253	5,632 (13) \$ 320,404
					4,793 (19)	\$ 272,674	
					2,717 (19)	\$ 154,570	
	17,459 (14)	\$ 54.70	1/1/2024	2,862 (15)	\$ 162,819	4,579 (16)	\$ 260,499

Table of Contents

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Michael D. Hagedorn	2,450			\$ 38.84	1/1/2017				
	9,607			\$ 37.73	1/1/2018				
	8,457			\$ 41.37	1/1/2019				
	6,846	2,282 (4)		\$ 37.84	1/1/2020	392 (5)	\$ 22,301		
	4,028	4,029 (6)		\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	717 (7)	\$ 40,790		
		9,901 (8)		\$ 39.97	1/1/2022	1,601 (9)	\$ 91,081	2,562 (10)	\$ 145,752
		10,589 (11)		\$ 45.58	1/1/2023	1,734 (12)	\$ 98,647	2,775 (13)	\$ 157,870
						4,506 (19)	\$ 256,346		
		12,087 (14)		\$ 54.70	1/1/2024	1,980 (15)	\$ 112,642	3,169 (16)	\$ 180,284
Andrew J. Iseman						190 (20)	\$ 22,301		
		3,372 (6)		\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	723 (7)	\$ 41,131		
		6,665 (8)		\$ 45.07	1/1/2022	1,373 (21)	\$ 78,110	1,601 (10)	\$ 91,081
						10,364 (22)	\$ 589,608		
						2,180 (23)	\$ 124,020		
						6,367 (24)	\$ 362,219		
Craig L. Anderson	1,418			\$ 34.84	1/1/2016				
	2,210			\$ 38.84	1/1/2017				
	2,779			\$ 37.73	1/1/2018				
	2,416			\$ 41.37	1/1/2019				
	2,602	868 (4)		\$ 37.84	1/1/2020	179 (5)	\$ 10,183		
	2,473	2,473 (6)		\$ 41.71	1/1/2021	528 (7)	\$ 30,038		
		6,666 (8)		\$ 39.97	1/1/2022	1,294 (9)	\$ 73,616	1,509 (10)	\$ 85,847
		5,843 (11)		\$ 45.58	1/1/2023	1,148 (12)	\$ 65,310	1,340 (13)	\$ 76,233
		4,700 (14)		\$ 57.40	1/1/2024	924 (15)	\$ 52,566	1,078 (16)	\$ 61,327

- (1) The number of underlying securities has been adjusted to reflect a 2-for-1 stock split in May 2006.
- (2) The exercise price has been adjusted to reflect a 2-for-1 stock split in May 2006.
- (3) These numbers include shares acquired through the reinvestment of dividends or distributions on restricted UMB stock during the vesting period. Dividends and distributions on restricted UMB stock are used to purchase additional shares through UMB's dividend reinvestment plan. These shares are subject to the same rights, restrictions, and other provisions applicable to the restricted UMB stock on which the dividends or distributions were paid or made.
- (4) These are non-qualified stock options that vested 100% on January 1, 2015.
- (5) This is service-based restricted stock that fully vested on February 18, 2015.
- (6) These are non-qualified stock options that vested and became exercisable for 50% of the option shares on January 1, 2015. The remaining option shares will vest on January 1, 2016.
- (7) This is service-based restricted stock that vested 50% on February 18, 2015. The final 50% will vest on February 18, 2016.

Table of Contents

- (8) These are non-qualified stock options that vested and became exercisable for 50% of the option shares on January 1, 2015. The next 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2016. The final 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2017.
- (9) This is service-based restricted stock that vested 50% on February 10, 2015. The next 25% will vest on February 10, 2016. The final 25% will vest on February 10, 2017.
- (10) This is performance-based restricted stock that vested as to service on January 1, 2015. The Compensation Committee determined on January 26, 2015, that the performance standard had been met and the shares vested.
- (11) These are non-qualified stock options that will vest and become exercisable for 50% of the option shares on January 1, 2016. The next 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2017. The final 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2018.
- (12) This is service-based restricted stock that will vest 50% on February 12, 2016. The next 25% will vest on February 12, 2017. The final 25% will vest on February 12, 2018.
- (13) This is performance-based restricted stock that will vest on January 1, 2016, if the performance standard is met.
- (14) These are non-qualified stock options that will vest and become exercisable for 50% of the option shares on January 1, 2017. The next 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2018. The final 25% will vest and become exercisable on January 1, 2019.
- (15) This is service-based restricted stock that will vest 50% on February 10, 2017. The next 25% will vest on February 10, 2018. The final 25% will vest on February 10, 2019.
- (16) This is performance-based restricted stock that will vest on January 1, 2017, if the performance standard is met.
- (17) This is service-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Walker on October 26, 2012, that will vest on October 26, 2015.
- (18) This is service-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Walker on July 26, 2013, that will vest on July 26, 2016.
- (19) This is service-based restricted stock awarded to Messrs. Hagedorn and deSilva that vested one-half on February 12, 2015. The final half will vest on February 12, 2016.

- (20) This is service-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Iseman on November 4, 2010, that will vest on November 4, 2015.
- (21) This is service-based restricted stock that will vest 50% on March 14, 2015. The next 25% will vest on March 14, 2016. The final 25% will vest on March 14, 2017.
- (22) This is service-based restricted stock awarded to Mr. Iseman on October 26, 2012, that will vest on October 26, 2015.
- (23) This is service-based restricted stock that vested one-half on January 1, 2015. The final one-half will vest on January 1, 2016.
- (24) This is service-based restricted stock that vested one-third on January 1, 2015. The next third will vest on January 1, 2016. The final third will vest on January 1, 2016.

Table of Contents**2014 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

This table summarizes each exercise of stock options, stock appreciation rights, and similar instruments and each vesting of stock (including restricted stock, restricted stock units, and similar instruments) during 2014 for each of the Executives on an aggregated basis.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
J. Mariner Kemper	17,494	\$ 544,894	10,063	\$ 629,043
Brian J. Walker	-	-	1,830	\$ 104,791
Peter J. deSilva	11,796	\$ 323,971	19,096	\$ 1,139,086
Michael D. Hagedorn	-	-	7,268	\$ 435,771
Andrew J. Iseman	3,372	\$ 74,439	3,627	\$ 229,311
Craig L. Anderson	4,150	\$ 120,882	2,033	\$ 126,868

(1) These numbers include shares acquired through the reinvestment of dividends or distributions on restricted UMB stock during the vesting period.

Table of Contents**2014 NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION**

In October 2008, the Compensation Committee approved a deferred compensation plan that permits the Executives and other specified participants, at their option, to defer a portion of their compensation payable for a calendar year until retirement or termination or until another specified event occurs. UMB has an unsecured obligation to pay each deferred amount at the applicable time together with a rate of return equal to the yield produced by a mutual fund selected by the participant from among those available under the Profit-Sharing Plan. UMB does not match any amount that a participant may choose to defer. If a participant has an account that terminates upon retirement under the plan, the participant may choose to have the benefit paid out in a lump sum or in installments over two to ten years. Specified-date accounts are paid in a lump sum or in installments, as elected by the participant, over two to five years. If employment is terminated other than through retirement, the amount in all accounts is paid in a lump sum.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
J. Mariner Kemper	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Brian J. Walker	\$ -	\$ 140	\$ 6,214	\$ 7,310
Peter J. deSilva	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Michael D. Hagedorn	\$ 21,048	\$ 2,543	\$ 20,869	\$ 42,673
Andrew J. Iseman	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Craig L. Anderson	\$ 29,508	\$ 2,228	\$ -	\$ 47,143

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL**Payments upon Termination**

All of the Executives are employees at will and may be terminated at any time. No Executive is entitled to receive any payment or award upon termination, except as described in this section in circumstances involving death, disability, qualified retirement, a change in control of UMB, or involuntary termination of a participant under the Scout Program. Each of these payments and awards, other than those arising under the variable-pay plan of Mr. Iseman, is available to all participants in the applicable plan. Any additional payment or benefit that an Executive would receive is available generally to all of UMB's associates.

Change in Control

The Umbrella STIP Plan, the Umbrella LTIP Plan, and the Scout Program include provisions for accelerating the vesting of awards under those plans in the event of a change in control of UMB. The Compensation Committee concluded that the use of this single trigger was appropriate in order to assure the Executives, who would not have authority over the decision to effect a change in control but who would be needed to successfully implement it, that they would not be adversely affected by it. This conclusion was reinforced by the fact that no Executive is entitled to a severance payment due to a change in control and by market considerations in attracting and retaining talent.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

The Umbrella STIP Plan provides that, if a change in control of UMB were to occur, any award for a completed performance period would be immediately payable in cash based on actual results. If the change in control were to occur before the performance period has ended, applicable performance standards would be adjusted to reflect the

Table of Contents

shortened period, and awards would be immediately payable in cash on a pro-rated basis based on actual results. Discretionary reductions in these awards would not be allowed in the event of a change in control. The table later in this section describes the payments to which the Executives would have been entitled had a change in control of UMB occurred on December 31, 2014.

Options

Under the Umbrella LTIP Plan, unvested Options would accelerate and vest immediately if a change in control of UMB were to occur. The table later in this section describes the value of the Options held by the Executives that would have been accelerated had a change in control of UMB occurred on December 31, 2014.

Restricted Stock

Service Shares granted under the Umbrella LTIP Plan would accelerate and vest immediately upon a change in control of UMB. Performance Shares would do the same but only to the extent that the performance standard which typically covers a multi-year period has been met by that time. The table later in this section describes the value of the Service Shares and the Performance Shares held by the Executives that would have accelerated and vested had a change in control of UMB occurred on December 31, 2014.

Scout Program

Deferred awards of Service Shares under the Scout Program, if not assumed by the acquirer in a change in control of UMB, would immediately become vested and nonforfeitable on the 15th day prior to the effective date of the change in control. Deferred cash awards also would become immediately payable under the same circumstances upon a change in control. The table later in this section describes the value of the Service Shares held by Mr. Iseman that would have accelerated and vested and the payments to which Mr. Iseman would have been entitled, in each case, had a change in control of UMB occurred on December 31, 2014.

Change-in-Control Table

Under the Umbrella STIP Plan, the Umbrella LTIP Plan, or the Scout Program, the Executives would have been entitled to the following payments or value had a change in control of UMB occurred on December 31, 2014.

Name	Acceleration of Unvested Non- qualified Options and Restricted Stock			Total
	Cash Payments (1)	(2)	Vested Options (3)	
J. Mariner Kemper	\$ 862,110	\$ 2,964,587	\$ 2,030,524	\$ 5,857,221
Brian J. Walker	\$ 84,000	\$ 295,607	\$ 59,440	\$ 439,047
Peter J. deSilva	\$ 435,175	\$ 2,724,734	\$ 1,562,202	\$ 4,722,111
Michael D. Hagedorn	\$ 278,274	\$ 1,314,825	\$ 551,107	\$ 2,144,206

Andrew J. Iseman	\$ 456,191	\$ 1,460,937	\$ -	\$ 1,917,128
Craig L. Anderson	\$ 188,110	\$ 617,547	\$ 249,008	\$ 1,054,665

(1) For Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson, these are the amounts that would have been payable under the 2014 STIP based on their target percentages. For Mr. Iseman, this is the aggregate amount of

Table of Contents

deferred cash awards under the Scout Program that would have been accelerated. See **Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation** earlier in this proxy statement.

- (2) For each non-qualified stock option, the value is based on the amount by which the option was in the money as of December 31, 2014. For service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock, each value is based on the closing price of UMB stock on December 31, 2014. In addition, for performance-based restricted stock, the values assume that 100% of the performance standard under the 2012 LTIP had been achieved, 63% of the performance standard under the 2013 LTIP had been achieved, and 31% of the performance standard under the 2014 LTIP had been achieved.
- (3) These amounts reflect the value of stock options under Umbrella LTIP Plan that had already fully vested but not been exercised as of December 31, 2014. These amounts are listed solely to reflect the value that would have been available to the Executives on December 31, 2014, irrespective of any change in control.

Death or Disability

Awards may accelerate and vest under the variable-pay plan for Mr. Iseman, the Umbrella LTIP Plan, or the Scout Program in specified cases of death or disability. The Compensation Committee concluded that these provisions are required by market considerations in attracting and retaining talent and are appropriate.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson must be employed by UMB on the last day of the performance period to be eligible for an award under the 2014 STIP. Mr. Iseman must be employed by UMB on the last business day of the performance period to be eligible for an award under his variable-pay plan, except that a pro-rated payment may be made in the case of death.

Options

Under the Umbrella LTIP Plan, unvested Options would accelerate and vest immediately in the case of death or permanent and total disability. The table later in this section describes the value of the Options held by the Executives that would have been accelerated had such an event occurred on December 31, 2014.

Restricted Stock

Service Shares granted under the Umbrella LTIP Plan would accelerate and vest immediately in the case of death or permanent and total disability. Performance Shares would accelerate and vest immediately in such a case on a proportional basis, computed by dividing the number of full years of continuous service after the grant date by three, irrespective of whether the performance standard had been achieved.

Scout Program

If Mr. Iseman were to die or become significantly disabled, all previously granted but not-yet-vested deferred awards under the Scout Program would immediately accelerate and vest and, in the case of deferred cash awards, be paid within 60 days of the event to him or his beneficiary or estate. In addition, if Mr. Iseman were to die or become significantly disabled after the end of a performance period but before the applicable deferred awards of cash or

Service Shares had been granted under the Scout Program, an amount equal to their full value would be paid on the grant date to him or his beneficiary or estate.

Table of Contents**Death-or-Disability Table**

Under the variable-pay plan for Mr. Iseman, the Umbrella LTIP Plan, or the Scout Program, the Executives would have been entitled to the following payments or value had an applicable event of death or disability occurred on December 31, 2014.

Name	Cash payment (1)	Acceleration of Unvested Options (2)	Acceleration of Restricted Stock (3)	Total Death and Disability
J. Mariner Kemper	\$ -	\$ 1,101,950	\$ 1,441,062	\$ 2,543,012
Brian J. Walker	\$ -	\$ 40,285	\$ 255,322	\$ 295,607
Peter J. deSilva	\$ -	\$ 914,400	\$ 1,515,512	\$ 2,429,912
Michael D. Hagedorn	\$ -	\$ 391,919	\$ 771,599	\$ 1,163,518
Andrew J. Iseman	\$ 974,941	\$ 163,959	\$ 1,266,618	\$ 2,405,518
Craig L. Anderson	\$ -	\$ 232,949	\$ 314,355	\$ 547,304

- (1) For Mr. Iseman, this is the sum of (a) the amount of deferred cash awards that would have been accelerated under the Scout Program and (b) in the case of his death, the amount of the targeted award that would have been paid under his variable-pay plan.
- (2) For each non-qualified stock option, the value is based on the amount by which the option was in the money as of December 31, 2014.
- (3) For service-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock, each value is based on the closing price of UMB stock on December 31, 2014. In addition, for performance-based restricted stock, the values assume the acceleration of one-third of that stock under the 2013 LTIP and two-thirds of that stock under the 2012 LTIP.

Qualified Retirement

Awards may accelerate and vest under the variable-pay plan for Mr. Iseman or the Umbrella LTIP Plan in specified cases of retirement. The Compensation Committee concluded that these provisions are required by market considerations in attracting and retaining talent and are appropriate.

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Messrs. Kemper, Walker, deSilva, Hagedorn, and Anderson must be employed by UMB on the last day of the performance period to be eligible for an award under the 2014 STIP. Mr. Iseman must be employed by UMB on the last business day of the performance period to be eligible for an award under his variable-pay plan, except that a pro-rated payment may be made in the case of a **qualified retirement** (which is a retirement at or after age 60 with 10 or more years of continuous service to UMB).

Options

Under the Umbrella LTIP Plan, unvested Options would accelerate and vest immediately in the case of a qualified retirement.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock

For Service Shares, upon the qualified retirement of an Executive but subject to the Compensation Committee's approval, each applicable tranche under the Umbrella LTIP Plan would accelerate and vest immediately on a proportional basis. This would be computed by dividing the number of full years of the Executive's continuous service after the grant date for the tranche by the number of full years of continuous service required for the tranche to vest.

For Performance Shares, despite an Executive's earlier qualified retirement but subject to the Compensation Committee's approval, the retired Executive would become vested if, when, and to the extent that the applicable performance standard under the Umbrella LTIP Plan were achieved in Performance Shares that had been granted during the time of employment in a percentage amount equal to the percentage of the performance standard that had been achieved as of the effective date of the qualified retirement.

For the Executives, as of December 31, 2014, (1) no Service Shares under the 2014 LTIP would have vested, (2) one-third of the first tranche, one-fourth of the second tranche, and one-fifth of the third tranche of Service Shares under the 2013 LTIP would have vested, (3) two-thirds of the first tranche, one-half of the second tranche, and two-fifths of the third tranche of Service Shares under the 2012 LTIP would have vested, (4) three-fourths of the second tranche and three-fifths of the third tranche of Service Shares under the 2011 LTIP would have vested, (5) four-fifths of the third tranche of Service Shares under the 2010 LTIP would have vested, and (6) 31% of the Performance Shares under the 2014 LTIP and 63% of the Performance Shares under the 2013 LTIP would have vested if, when, and to the extent that the applicable performance standard were achieved.

Scout Program

No qualified or other retirement would cause an award under the Scout Program to accelerate and vest.

Qualified-Retirement Status

As of December 31, 2014, none of the Executives were eligible to be considered for qualified retirement.

Involuntary Termination of a Participant under the Scout Program

If UMB were to terminate Mr. Iseman without cause or he were to resign for good reason, all previously granted but not-yet-vested deferred awards under the Scout Program would immediately accelerate and vest and, in the case of deferred cash awards, be paid within 60 days of the event to him. In addition, if UMB were to terminate Mr. Iseman without cause or he were to resign for good reason after the end of a performance period but before the applicable deferred awards of cash or Service Shares had been granted under the Scout Program, an amount equal to their full value would be paid on the grant date to him.

2014 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee, with the benefit of advice and analyses from Hay and UMB's Organizational Effectiveness Department, reviews non-employee director compensation at least annually and, if appropriate, recommends changes to the Board.

For their service on the Board during 2014, non-employee directors received an annual retainer of (1) \$25,000 in cash and (2) fully vested UMB stock having a value equal to \$40,000 on the grant date. No separate fee is paid for attendance at meetings of the Board. Directors who are also employees of UMB receive no separate compensation for

serving on the Board.

Table of Contents

In addition, for 2014, the Lead Director received a prorated retainer of \$18,750 in cash (annual retainer of \$25,000). The Chair of the Audit Committee received an annual retainer of \$12,000 in cash, the Chair of the Risk Committee received a prorated retainer of \$6,750 in cash (annual retainer of \$9,000), and the Chairs of the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee received annual retainers of \$6,000 in cash. Members of the Audit Committee received \$2,000 for each Committee meeting attended, and members of the Compensation Committee, the Governance Committee, and the Risk Committee received \$1,000 for each Committee meeting attended.

In October 2014, the Board approved several targeted changes in non-employee director compensation for 2015. The annual cash retainer for all non-employee directors has been increased from \$25,000 to \$35,000. The annual cash retainer for Committee Chairs also has been increased to (a) \$14,000 for the Chairs of the Audit Committee and the Risk Committee and (b) \$10,000 for the Chairs of the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee.

The total compensation received by UMB's non-employee directors for 2014 is reflected in the following table:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash		Stock Awards	Total
	(1)	(2)		
Warner L. Baxter	\$ 40,020	\$29,941		\$ 69,961
David R. Bradley, Jr.	\$ 20,760	\$ 39,961		\$ 60,721
Nancy K. Buese	\$ 48,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 87,981
Terrence P. Dunn	\$ 52,770	\$ 39,961		\$ 92,731
Kevin C. Gallagher	\$ 40,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 79,981
Greg M. Graves	\$ 37,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 76,981
Alexander C. Kemper	\$ 25,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 64,981
Kris A. Robbins	\$ 44,770	\$ 39,961		\$ 84,731
Thomas D. Sanders	\$ 32,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 71,981
L. Joshua Sosland	\$ 29,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 68,981
Paul Uhlmann III	\$ 31,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 70,981
Thomas J. Wood, III	\$ 26,020	\$ 39,961		\$ 65,981

- (1) These are the total fees earned during 2014, including an amount equal to the stub cash portion of the stock-retainer grant for 2014 that was paid during 2015.
- (2) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Information regarding the assumptions made in the valuation of grants is included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 2014, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015, under the heading "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" in Note 1, Summary of Accounting Policies, and in Note 11, Employee Benefits. The amount includes only the stock

retainer earned in 2013 and issued on January 31, 2014. The stock retainer for 2014 was issued on January 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR QUALIFICATIONS

Our directors are responsible for exercising their business judgment to oversee and direct the diverse array of businesses and affairs of UMB. The Board reviews, approves, and advises management on business strategies, significant corporate actions, and major transactions and also reviews assessments of and advises management on significant risks and issues facing UMB. In addition, the Board exercises oversight over the processes that are designed to ensure the integrity of UMB's actions.

The Governance Committee is dedicated to assembling a Board that excels in fulfilling these responsibilities, exercises independent leadership and oversight of management, and operates in a cohesive and effective manner. In identifying and recommending director candidates, the Governance Committee remains mindful as well of the evolving needs of UMB based on its strategic direction, business segments, growth objectives, risk appetites, geographic footprint, and tradition of providing *the* unparalleled customer experience.

The Governance Committee believes that each existing director brings unique talents, relationships, professional or business experience, specialized education or expertise, and other qualities to UMB and that all of the existing directors together form a robust Board that meaningfully contributes to increasing the fundamental value of UMB and creating long-term value for shareholders.

Set forth here is a brief description of the experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills that led the Governance Committee to conclude that all of these directors should be renominated for election to the Board at the Annual Meeting with the exception of Thomas D. Sanders, who will be retiring from the Board effective at the Annual Meeting.

Warner L. Baxter

On February 14, 2014, Mr. Baxter succeeded Thomas R. Voss as President of Ameren Corporation. Mr. Baxter succeeded Mr. Voss as Chief Executive Officer of Ameren Corporation on April 24, 2014, and as Chairman of the Board on July 1, 2014. Mr. Baxter has served as Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Ameren Corporation since July 1, 2014. Ameren Corporation is a regulated electric and gas utility that serves approximately 2.4 million electric and 900,000 natural gas customers in Missouri and Illinois. Ameren Corporation is a Fortune 500 company.

Prior to this role, Mr. Baxter served as Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Ameren Missouri since May 1, 2009. Ameren Missouri is the largest energy provider in the State of Missouri and is a subsidiary of Ameren Corporation. Prior to joining Ameren Corporation in 1995, Mr. Baxter was a Certified Public Accountant with 12 years of public accounting experience with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where he served as a Senior Manager. In 1997, he was promoted to Vice President and Controller of Ameren, and in 2001, he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Finance of Ameren Corporation and other Ameren Corporation subsidiaries. He was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of those companies in 2003 (where he served in those capacities until 2009). He was additionally promoted to Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chief Financial Officer of Ameren Services in 2007 and held those positions until 2009. In 2009, Mr. Baxter was promoted to Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Ameren Missouri.

Given Mr. Baxter's past experience in public accounting, and with his experience as chief executive officer for a publicly traded company (Ameren Corporation), Mr. Baxter brings to the Board valuable accounting and financial-control experience and executive experience in operating a company that is subject to extensive regulation. He also has extensive risk-management experience that is valuable in the Board's oversight of UMB's enterprise

risk-management processes and operations. He currently serves on the boards of Barnes Jewish Hospital, Edison Electric Institute, University of Missouri St. Louis Chancellor's Council, University of Missouri Missouri 100, St. Louis Regional Chamber, and Civic Progress, as well as a number of charitable organizations.

Table of Contents

Robin C. Beery

Ms. Beery served as Executive Vice President, Head of US Distribution, for Janus Capital Group, a publicly traded asset-management company headquartered in Denver, Colorado, from September 2009 until her retirement in August 2014. She also was the CEO and President of the Janus Mutual Funds business during that period and was a member of the Janus Executive Committee from 2003 to 2014. In her capacity leading US Distribution, Ms. Beery had direct oversight of sales, client service, product, marketing, and corporate communications. Previously, from April 2003 to September 2009, she served as Executive Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer, for Janus Capital Group. She was the President of the Janus Foundation from 2000 to 2014, overseeing the firm's philanthropic endeavors and community relations. She currently sits on the board of trustees for Lattice Strategies Trust, a registered investment company based in San Francisco, California, and oversees five portfolios in that exchange traded fund complex. Ms. Beery brings public-company executive experience to the Board, with over 25 years in the asset-management industry. She has specialized knowledge of mutual funds and alternative products and deep expertise in portfolio management, financial-services distribution, brand strategy, and reputation management.

Nancy K. Buese

Ms. Buese has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MarkWest Energy Partners, LLC, a midstream master limited partnership headquartered in Denver, Colorado, since October 2006. Prior to her appointment as Chief Financial Officer, she served as Chief Accounting Officer of MarkWest from November 2005 to October 2006. Ms. Buese is a former Partner with Ernst & Young LLP, having worked in public accounting for 12 years. Through her formal education in the areas of accounting, auditing, and tax, as well as her work as a Certified Public Accountant, Ms. Buese brings extensive financial literacy skills to the Board. In addition, through her current position as the chief financial officer of a publicly traded energy company, she has experience in corporate finance, risk management, and information technology.

Peter J. deSilva

Mr. deSilva has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of UMB since January 2004. He was named Vice Chairman of the Bank in January 2014 and, between July 2012 and January 2014, served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank. From May 2004 to July 2012, he was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank. Prior to joining UMB, Mr. deSilva was employed by Fidelity Investments from 1987 to 2004, the last seven years as Senior Vice President with principal responsibility for brokerage operations. Mr. deSilva has extensive direct experience with the operations, investment services, financial performance, and products of UMB, gained both through his position as Chief Operating Officer of UMB and through his prior operations experience at a national financial-services company. He brings to the Board specialized knowledge of the banking, mutual-fund, and financial-services industries, extensive experience in human-resources management, and community-relations experience.

Terrence P. Dunn

Mr. Dunn has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of JE Dunn Construction Group, Inc. (formerly known as Dunn Industries) since 1989. JE Dunn Construction Group, Inc. is headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri, and is the holding company for commercial-contractor and construction-company affiliates across the nation, including JE Dunn Construction Company. He also serves as a director of Kansas City Southern (NYSE: KSU), where he is a member of the audit committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee, and MGP Ingredients, Inc. (NASDAQ: MGPI), where he is chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee and a member of the audit committee and the human resources and compensation committee. Mr. Dunn brings significant board and

governance experience from his service as a past director of the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City and from his service on the boards of businesses having operations within UMB's geographic footprint. He also has extensive management skills as the chief executive of a large construction company with offices throughout the United States, including operations experience in project management with responsibilities for budgeting, and in the management of the significant growth of his company in geographic scope and volume over the past 20 years.

Table of Contents**Kevin C. Gallagher**

Mr. Gallagher is currently Chief Executive Officer of Little Pub Holdings, LLC, Denver, Colorado, which is an owner/operator of 25 neighborhood pubs and restaurants. He also serves as Chairman of West Creek Partners, LLC, a private investment firm, as well as Vice Chairman of Gallagher Industries, LLC, a private holding company of middle-market industrial companies. He has entrepreneurial experience and marketing experience gained from serving as chief executive officer of a large complex diversified operation with companies in both the manufacturing and distribution industries. He also brings to the Board community-relations experience and experience in investments, mergers, and acquisitions.

Greg M. Graves

Mr. Graves is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Burns & McDonnell, a consulting engineering company headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri, with offices and operations throughout the United States. Prior to being named as Chairman, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer from October 2003 until December 2008; from January 2003 through October 2003, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer. He served as General Manager of that company's Energy Division from November 1997 through June 2001 and as President of its Energy Group from July 2001 through December 2002. Mr. Graves' experience as chief executive officer of a large engineering company, with multiple offices and projects located throughout the United States and abroad, gives him leadership skills and growth-management skills. He also has human-resources experience gained through his management of a large number of professionals and managers.

Alexander C. Kemper

Mr. Kemper, a brother of J. Mariner Kemper and a first cousin of Thomas J. Wood III, is Chairman of the Board of the Collectors Fund, a private-equity fund focused on alternative asset classes. He is also Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of C2FO (formerly known as Pollenware), a leading provider of payment-optimization technology and cash-flow solutions for corporations. Prior to founding the Collectors Fund and Pollenware, Mr. Kemper founded and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from March 2000 to mid-2006 of Perfect Commerce, Inc. (formerly eScout LLC), a provider of supplier relationship-management technology. Mr. Kemper is a board member of AXA Art USA (Parent NYSE: AXA) and Sipvine. Prior to March 2000, he served as President of UMB from 1995, as Chief Executive Officer of UMB from July 1999, as Chief Executive Officer of the Bank from January 1996, and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank from January 1997. Mr. Kemper also serves as a director, the chairman of the compensation committee, and a member of the audit committee and the governance committee for NIC Inc. (NASDAQ: EGOV). In 2008, Mr. Kemper became a director of the BATS Exchange and serves on its executive committee, regulatory oversight committee, and compensation committee. Because of Mr. Kemper's prior experience as Chief Executive Officer of UMB and as chief executive officer and founder of multiple start-up companies, he brings entrepreneurial experience in managing growth, marketing skills, operations and investment experience, and information-technology skills and experience to the Board.

J. Mariner Kemper

Mr. Kemper, a brother of Alexander C. Kemper and a first cousin of Thomas J. Wood III, has served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB since May 2004, as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank between December 2012 and January 2014, and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB Bank Colorado, n.a. (a prior subsidiary of UMB) between 2000 and 2012. He was President of UMB Bank Colorado from 1997 to 2000. As Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB for the past 10 years, Mr. Kemper brings to the Board skills in leadership, consensus building, and the implementation of UMB's key strategies. He has detailed knowledge of

UMB's key business and operational strategies and branding and possesses operations experience and knowledge of every aspect of UMB's business. He also has specialized knowledge of the investments, banking, and financial-services industries as well as extensive community-relations experience, with involvement in civic and business organizations in Kansas City and Colorado.

Table of Contents**Kris A. Robbins**

Mr. Robbins served as Chairman of Security Benefit Corporation and its companies from January 2006 until his retirement in February 2010 and as Chief Executive Officer of Security Benefit from January 2001 until his retirement in February 2010. Security Benefit Corporation and its affiliates provide annuities, mutual funds, exchange-traded funds, retirement plans, and business-processing services throughout the United States. Following his retirement from Security Benefit, Mr. Robbins founded and owns KARobbins LLC, which provides private-equity, angel-investment, and advisory services. He also is a founding partner and Chief Executive Officer of a receivables finance/factoring company, ClearLeaf Finance, and Purestone Loan Services. Mr. Robbins served on the board and chaired the audit committee of Compliance Assurance /Corp (PA) until its sale in November 2012 to Stone River Risk and Compliance. Mr. Robbins brings to the Board financial-literacy skills, developed through education and professional experience in accounting and financial management. In addition, he has significant experience and knowledge relating to operations and investments, gained from his leadership of a large financial-services business that had significant growth and changes in products (including insurance and mutual funds). Mr. Robbins has specialized industry knowledge in areas of investments, risk management, and insurance as well.

L. Joshua Sosland

Mr. Sosland has served as Vice President of Sosland Companies, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, since 1993. Established in 1922, the Sosland Companies are primarily engaged in trade publications for the baking, flour-milling, and food-processing industries. Mr. Sosland has also served as editor of Milling & Baking News since 2000. Mr. Sosland contributes significant investment experience and expertise, as well as board and governance expertise, with over 15 years of service on UMB's Board and several years of service on the trust policy committee of the Bank. The economic analytical skills developed from his formal education (A.B. Economics from Harvard College), as well as his publishing experience covering and analyzing the food-processing industry, enable him to provide valuable analysis of investment and acquisition activities. Through his many years of service on and prior leadership of the Board's compensation committee, Mr. Sosland also has detailed knowledge of the development and implementation of UMB's executive incentive-compensation plans.

Paul Uhlmann III

Mr. Uhlmann has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of The Uhlmann Company, Kansas City, Missouri, since 1997. The Uhlmann Company is a grocery-products company. He brings to the Board operations experience and business-analytical skills, both from his formal education (MBA, University of Chicago 1975) and through his management of a privately held food manufacturing and distribution company. He has extensive governance and board experience and contributes valuable community-relations skills gained from his leadership of community social and philanthropic organizations.

Thomas J. Wood III

Mr. Wood, a first cousin of J. Mariner Kemper and Alexander C. Kemper, served as Chairman of the Board of American West Medical Company—a distribution, sales, and marketing company of medical supplies—from 1997 through 2005. From 1988 through 1995, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Golden Harvest, Inc., a manufacturing company headquartered in Kansas City with a manufacturing plant in Chicago. Mr. Wood brings to the Board leadership and management skills developed through his service as chief executive officer of a distribution company. He also brings extensive governance and board experience as well as marketing and investment expertise.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL #1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Number of Directors

The Governance Committee periodically evaluates whether a larger or smaller number of seats on the Board would enhance the Board's effectiveness and makes recommendations to the Board as appropriate. In assessing the size of the Board, the Governance Committee and the Board consider the need for unique talents or other qualities, the benefits associated with a diversity of perspectives and backgrounds, the availability of qualified candidates, the workloads and needs of Committees, and other relevant factors.

The Board currently has 14 seats and, effective at the time of the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, will have 13 seats. The Board believes that this size is appropriate based on UMB's present circumstances. All seats on the Board are up for election at the Annual Meeting for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders.

Nomination Process

The Governance Committee is responsible for periodically reviewing and recommending to the Board the desired characteristics of directors and the optimal composition of the Board as a whole.

The Governance Committee may consider existing directors for renomination and may use search firms or other resources to identify other potential director candidates. One search firm is currently on retainer to assist the Governance Committee in identifying and evaluating potential director candidates. The Governance Committee also considers potential director candidates who are recommended by shareholders in compliance with applicable law and our Bylaws. Any recommendation by shareholders must include the potential director candidate's name, biographical information, and qualifications and must be submitted in writing to the Corporate Governance & Nominating Committee, UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The Governance Committee uses the same criteria to evaluate all potential director candidates regardless of how they have been identified.

In recommending and nominating director candidates, the Governance Committee and the Board consider the following to be minimum qualifications:

The candidate should be an individual of the highest character and integrity and should have an inquiring mind, vision, a willingness to ask hard questions, and the ability to work well with others.

The candidate should have a personal and professional reputation that is consistent with the image and reputation of UMB.

The candidate should be free of any relationship or conflict of interest that is inconsistent with applicable law or that would interfere with the proper exercise of the fiduciary duties of a director.

The candidate should be willing and able to devote sufficient time to the affairs of UMB and to diligently fulfill the responsibilities of a director.

The candidate should have the capacity and desire to represent the balanced and best interests of the shareholders as a whole.

The Governance Committee and the Board also give weight to other factors that are expected to enhance the effectiveness of the Board and its Committees. Among these are diversity including in terms of geographic region, professional or business experience, gender, race, national origin, and specialized education or expertise and unique talents, relationships, or other qualities that are likely to contribute in a meaningful way to increasing the fundamental value of UMB and creating long-term value for shareholders.

The Governance Committee and the Board take into account as well the evolving needs of UMB based on its strategic direction, business segments, growth objectives, risk appetites, geographic footprint, and tradition of providing *the* unparalleled customer experience.

Table of Contents

The effectiveness of these processes and policies are assessed by the Governance Committee in connection with its periodic evaluation of the Board's and each Committee's performance under the Governance Guidelines.

Nominations

The Governance Committee has recommended, and the Board has nominated, the following slate of 13 director candidates for election to the Board for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders:

Name	Age	Positions or Offices with UMB	Director Since
Warner L. Baxter	53	Director	2013
Robin C. Beery	47	Director	2015
Nancy K. Buese	45	Director	2009
Peter J. deSilva	53	President, COO, and Director	2004
Terrence P. Dunn	65	Director	2003
Kevin C. Gallagher	46	Director	2007
Greg M. Graves	57	Director	2003
Alexander C. Kemper	49	Director	1992
J. Mariner Kemper	42	Chair, CEO, and Director	2004
Kris A. Robbins	56	Director	2000
L. Joshua Sosland	54	Director	1998
Paul Uhlmann III	64	Director	2000
Thomas J. Wood III	68	Director	2000

Each of these director candidates has agreed to be nominated and, if elected, to serve as a director. We do not anticipate that any nominee will become unavailable for election, but under our Bylaws, the shares represented by proxy and voting for any nominee who unexpectedly becomes unavailable prior to the election will be voted instead for a substitute candidate nominated by the Board.

Plurality voting will apply in these elections—that is, the 13 nominees receiving the highest number of **FOR** votes will be elected.

Cumulative voting will also apply—that is, each shareholder will have a total number of votes equal to the holder's number of shares multiplied by the number of directors to be elected, and the shareholder may cast all of those votes for a single nominee or may distribute whole (though not fractional) votes among more than one nominee in any proportion desired. If you want to utilize cumulative voting, please notify our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, at (636) 600-1714 prior to the Annual Meeting or vote by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

Voting **WITHHOLD** for one or more of the nominees will have no effect on the election of directors. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, your broker, bank, or other nominee is not permitted to vote your shares on this matter if no instruction is received from you.

Table of Contents

In an uncontested election of directors (that is, an election where the number of properly nominated director candidates does not exceed the number of directors to be elected), if any director receives a greater number of votes **WITHHELD** than **FOR**, our Governance Guidelines provide for the following: The affected director is expected to promptly submit a letter of resignation to the Chair of the Governance Committee and the Chair of the Board, specifying that the resignation will become effective upon acceptance by the Board. The director will not take part in any deliberations or actions of the Board or the Governance Committee relating to the letter of resignation. The Board may ask for the Governance Committee's recommendation on whether to accept or reject the letter of resignation. The Board will act on the letter of resignation within 90 days of the date when the election results were certified. In deciding how to act, the Board may consider any information that, in its judgment, is properly brought to its attention or is otherwise relevant. If the letter of resignation is accepted, the Board may fill the vacancy in compliance with the Bylaws and the Governance Guidelines or may leave the seat vacant and, if necessary or appropriate, amend the Bylaws to reduce the size of the Board. If the letter of resignation is rejected, the director will continue to serve in that capacity. UMB will publicly disclose the Board's action on the letter of resignation, including its reasons for so acting, within four business days of the action by filing a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC.

The Board recommends that shareholders vote *FOR* the election of each of the 13 nominees to our Board.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL #2 RATIFICATION OF THE CORPORATE AUDIT COMMITTEE S

ENGAGEMENT OF KPMG LLP AS

UMB S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2015

As previously disclosed by UMB in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 16, 2014 (the **Auditor Current Report**), following a competitive review of independent registered public accounting firms, the Audit Committee on September 10, 2014, dismissed Deloitte & Touche LLP (**Deloitte**) and engaged KPMG LLP (**KPMG**) as the principal independent registered public accounting firm to audit UMB s financial statements. No report of Deloitte on UMB s financial statements for 2012 or 2013 contained an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion or was qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

During fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and subsequent interim periods preceding Deloitte s dismissal, there was no disagreement (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of SEC Regulation S-K and the related instructions) with Deloitte on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreement (if not resolved to the satisfaction of Deloitte) would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its report.

During fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and subsequent interim periods preceding Deloitte s dismissal, there was no reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K).

UMB provided Deloitte with a copy of the Auditor Current Report prior to its filing with the SEC and requested Deloitte to furnish UMB with a letter addressed to the SEC stating whether Deloitte agreed with the statements made by UMB in response to Item 304(a) of SEC Regulation S-K and, if not, stating the respects in which it did not agree. A copy of Deloitte s letter dated September 16, 2014, was attached as Exhibit 16.1 to the Auditor Current Report.

During fiscal years 2012 and 2013 and subsequent interim periods preceding KPMG s engagement, neither UMB nor anyone on its behalf consulted KPMG regarding (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on UMB s financial statements or (2) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of SEC Regulation S-K and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of SEC Regulation S-K).

The Audit Committee has decided to engage KPMG as the principal independent registered public accounting firm to examine and audit the consolidated financial statements of UMB for fiscal year 2015.

The Audit Committee, however, retains sole authority over the appointment and replacement of UMB s independent auditors and is directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of UMB s independent auditors. As a result, despite any ratification of this engagement of KPMG by our shareholders, the Audit Committee will continue to be authorized to terminate the engagement at any time during the year, to retain another independent registered public accounting firm to examine and audit the consolidated financial statements of UMB for fiscal year 2015, or to take any other related action if judged by the Audit Committee to be in the best interests of UMB.

The Audit Committee discussed and confirmed with KPMG its independence. The Audit Committee determined as well that KPMG s provision of non-audit services to UMB including those described in the table set forth after this Proposal was compatible with KPMG s independence.

The Audit Committee has not established pre-approval policies and procedures for the engagement of auditor services. All auditor services are approved by the Audit Committee under Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(A) of SEC Regulation S-X.

If our shareholders do not ratify this engagement of KPMG, the Audit Committee will consider that action in its ongoing exercise of authority over the appointment, replacement, compensation, and oversight of UMB's independent auditors.

Table of Contents

KPMG has examined and audited the consolidated financial statements of UMB as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. Representatives of KPMG are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will be afforded an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire. We also expect these representatives to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board recommends that shareholders vote *FOR* the ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as UMB's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees (including related expenses) for professional services rendered by KPMG related to fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

	Fiscal years ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Audit Fees	\$ 877,500	\$ 0
Audit-Related Fees (1)	\$ 32,500	\$ 0
Tax Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0
All Other Fees (2)	\$ 91,055	\$ 43,200
Total	\$ 1,001,055	\$ 43,200

- (1) The nature of the services comprising Audit-Related Fees in 2014 was the performance of regulatory compliance procedures for the Bank, Scout, and UMB Financial Services, Inc.
- (2) The nature of the services comprising All Other Fees in 2014 was the performance of an assessment of security vulnerabilities for UMB. The nature of the services comprising All Other Fees in 2013 was the performance of goodwill valuation procedures for UMB.

REPORT OF THE CORPORATE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee exercises general oversight, on behalf of the Board, over the accounting, financial-reporting, and internal-control functions of UMB. The Audit Committee has sole authority over the appointment and replacement of UMB's independent auditors and is directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of UMB's independent auditors. The Audit Committee also approves the risk-assessment methodology, risk assessment, and annual audit plan of the internal audit function and all decisions on the appointment, removal, and compensation of UMB's Director of Corporate Audit Services. Other duties, responsibilities, and authorities of the Audit Committee are set forth in its charter, which has been approved by the Board and can be found in the Corporate Governance menu at www.umb.com/investor.

As previously disclosed by UMB in the Auditor Current Report, following a competitive review of independent registered public accounting firms, the Audit Committee on September 10, 2014, dismissed Deloitte and engaged

KPMG as the principal independent registered public accounting firm to audit UMB's financial statements. The consolidated financial statements of UMB as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, were audited by KPMG as the independent auditor.

Table of Contents

Management is primarily responsible for UMB's accounting, financial-reporting, and internal-control functions and has represented to the Audit Committee that UMB's financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee discussed the interim financial information contained in each quarterly earnings announcement with management, the independent auditor at the time, and internal auditors including in separate executive sessions prior to the public release of the announcement. The Audit Committee has reviewed the audited financial statements of UMB as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, and has discussed them including in separate executive sessions with management, KPMG, and internal auditors.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (which superseded Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61).

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee also has discussed and confirmed with KPMG its independence. The Audit Committee has determined as well that KPMG's provision of non-audit services to UMB was compatible with KPMG's independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions described in this report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, the inclusion of UMB's audited financial statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee has decided to engage KPMG as the principal independent registered public accounting firm to audit UMB's financial statements for fiscal year 2015. This engagement is being presented to UMB's shareholders for ratification as described in Proposal #2.

Nancy K. Buese, Chair

Warner L. Baxter

Kevin C. Gallagher

Kris A. Robbins

As provided by SEC Regulation S-K, this Report of the Corporate Audit Committee is not deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by UMB under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL #3 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL FOR THE ADOPTION
OF A POLICY REQUIRING AN INDEPENDENT CHAIR OF THE BOARD**

UMB has been notified by an individual shareholder, who owns 92 shares of UMB stock, that he intends to propose the following resolution at the Annual Meeting. UMB will provide the name and address of the proponent to any shareholder promptly upon the Corporate Secretary's receipt of an oral or written request at UMB's principal executive offices.

The Board and UMB disagree with the proponent's supporting statement and accept no responsibility for the contents of the proposal or the supporting statement. **The Board recommends a vote AGAINST the proposal for the reasons set forth after the proponent's supporting statement.**

Shareholder Proposal

RESOLUTION

That the shareholders of UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION request its Board of Directors to adopt a policy, and amend the by-laws as necessary, to require the Chairman of the Board of Directors to be an independent member of the Board of Directors.

This policy should not be implemented to violate any contractual obligation and should specify: (a) how to select a new independent chairman if the current chairman ceases to be independent during the time between annual meetings of shareholders; and, (b) that compliance is excused if no independent director is available and willing to serve as Chairman.

STATEMENT

The concept of having separate individuals serve as President and Chairman has the support of governance experts who have cited it to be in the best interests of shareholders.

When the Kemper family was the majority shareholder of UMB Financial, it may have been justified that one person hold both positions; however, that family's ownership has dwindled greatly to be less than 1% direct ownership by Mariner Kemper, Alexander Kemper, and Thomas J. Wood although other shares are owned by family trusts and entities through which voting and investment decisions may be controlled directly or indirectly, by one or more of them (proxy statement for 2014 annual meeting).

Since the retirement of R. Crosby Kemper in 1994, he has been succeeded by three sons Alexander Kemper who resigned in 2000, R. Crosby Kemper, III, resigned in 2004, and J. Mariner Kemper, the current chairman and president. Other Kempers at UMB include Heather Kemper Miller, an officer in Kansas City and Denver, and Thomas J. Wood, a member of the Board.

Although nepotism is not illegal, the proponent deems this much nepotism as distasteful, impractical, and an unsound practice. He believes an independent chairman would end these practices.

A good example of over-reaching duties would be that of the person who served TARGET CORPORATION as its President and Chairman of the Board who had been challenged to maintain market share but fell after cyber security breaches which exposed massive amounts of credit card data of 40,000,000 card holders and personal information of

70,000,000 persons which caused significant losses to Target, its customers, shareholders, an banks providing credit/debit cards.

The proponent believes the over-extension of duties weakens leadership and may have caused these failings. He notes, too, that many successful corporations and financial holding companies have independent board chairmen.

Table of Contents

Norges Bank Investment Management, has stated in support of a similar proposal:

The roles of Chairman of the Board and CEO are fundamentally different and should not be held by the same person. There should be a clear division of responsibilities between these positions to insure a balance of power and authority on the Board. Approximately 48% of the S&P 1500 companies have separate CEO and Chairman positions.

If you agree, please vote FOR this proposal.

Response of the Board of Directors

The Board believes that this proposal runs counter to the best interests of UMB and its shareholders and, as a result, recommends a vote *AGAINST* it.

UMB's shareholders overwhelmingly rejected, each of the last two years, a substantially identical proposal that had been introduced by the same individual shareholder.

The proponent introduced a substantially identical resolution at our annual meetings in 2013 and 2014.

In 2013, the resolution garnered only 31.23% of the shares voted at the meeting. In 2014, the resolution engendered even less of a following with only 14.86% of the shares voted in favor.

This dwindling support for the proponent's resolution reflects a recognition among UMB's shareholders that a robust counterbalancing governance structure already exists and is functioning effectively.

The Lead Director and the Board provide independent leadership and oversight of management.

The Lead Director and the Board are vigilant in exercising independent leadership and oversight of management and in sustaining a governance structure that fosters their ability to do so.

10 of the 13 director candidates including the Lead Director have been determined to be independent.

All of the directors on the Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, and the Risk Committee have been determined to be independent.

The Lead Director who is a past director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, has served as an independent director on other public-company boards, and has led one of the largest construction companies in the United States meets separately with the Chair and Chief Executive Officer on a quarterly or more frequent basis to discuss matters of importance to the independent directors and to facilitate the Board's oversight of management.

The Lead Director also exercises the following responsibilities:

- i presiding at meetings of the Board when the Chair is not present,
- i convening and presiding over periodic meetings of the independent directors (at which only independent directors are present),
- i approving agendas for meetings of the Board and information to be sent to the Board,
- i approving schedules of meetings of the Board to ensure that sufficient time is afforded to discuss all agenda items,

Table of Contents

- i serving as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chair,
- i acting as the informal spokesperson for the independent directors, and as noted earlier, holding periodic meetings with the Chair and Chief Executive Officer to discuss matters of importance to the independent directors and helping to facilitate the Board's oversight of management,
- i serving as an advocate for the interests of UMB's shareholders,
- i ensuring, if requested by major shareholders of UMB, that the Lead Director is available for consultation and direct communications, and
- i coordinating the activities of the other independent directors and performing such other duties and responsibilities as a majority of the independent directors may specify from time to time.

The Chair and Chief Executive Officer meets separately with the Lead Director and the independent Chairs of the Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, and the Risk Committee on a semiannual basis to discuss and receive advice on UMB's strategic objectives, risks, and performance.

The independent directors meet in executive session on a quarterly or more frequent basis. In addition, together with the rest of the Board, the independent directors act to ensure that UMB maintains and operates under robust Corporate Governance Guidelines and are vigorously engaged in overseeing and directing the business and affairs of UMB, including the following:

- i selecting and evaluating the Chief Executive Officer, overseeing the selection and performance of senior management, and working with the Chief Executive Officer on succession planning,
- i reviewing, approving, and advising management on the business strategies of UMB, significant corporate actions, and major transactions, and
- i reviewing assessments of, and advising management with respect to, material risks and issues facing UMB.

The Board strikes a thoughtful balance between renominating independent directors who have deep experience with UMB and nominating new independent director candidates who bring fresh and diverse perspectives. For the 10 independent director candidates being nominated at this Annual Meeting, their years of first nomination span 2015, 2013, 2009, 2007, 2003 (2), 2000 (3), and 1998.

As notable as any other point, the Board which is over two-thirds independent already has the power to appoint an independent Chair if judged to be in the best interests of UMB and its shareholders. Mariner Kemper, however, possesses a wealth of institutional knowledge and industry expertise, acts as a valuable bridge between the Board and management, fosters an atmosphere of inclusion and openness within the Board, generates productive dialogue among the directors, and effectively moves the Board's deliberative and decisionmaking process forward while actively building consensus along the way. As a result, the Board has concluded that the appointment of an independent Chair at this time would only do a disservice to UMB and its shareholders.

The existing leadership and governance structure has served UMB's shareholders well.

The Board firmly believes that UMB's shareholders have been well served by the existing governance structure, by the leadership of Mariner Kemper as Chair and Chief Executive Officer, and by a core tenet instilled in UMB by Crosby Kemper, Jr. and now Mariner to do what's right, not what's popular. Nowhere is this more evident than in the stability of UMB through the recent financial crisis and the strong total shareholder return that has been generated over the years by its sound approach to banking and its diversified business model.

For all of these reasons, the Board recommends that shareholders vote *AGAINST* this proposal.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT THE DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS

SEC rules allow the delivery of one proxy statement, annual report, or notice of internet availability of proxy materials, as applicable, to all shareholders who share an address if specified conditions are met. This is called householding and can minimize the costs involved in printing and delivering proxy materials as well as the associated impact on the environment.

If you are the beneficial owner but not the record holder of UMB stock, your broker, bank, or other nominee may household our proxy statements, annual reports, or notices of internet availability, as applicable, for all shareholders at your address unless that nominee has received contrary instructions from one or more of the affected shareholders. If you want this householding to cease or if you want householding to commence, please notify your broker, bank, or other nominee.

If you did not receive a separate copy of our proxy statement, annual report, or notice of internet availability, as applicable, we will promptly provide you with a separate copy if you request one by writing us at UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106, or by calling us at (816) 860-7000 and asking for the Corporate Legal Department.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

For a shareholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders, we must receive the proposal in writing at our principal executive offices UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 on or before November 18, 2015. We recommend that any shareholder proposal be delivered by means that provide proof of the date of delivery, such as certified mail (return receipt requested). Please note that SEC Rule 14a-8 addresses when we must include a shareholder proposal in our proxy materials, including eligibility and procedural requirements that apply to the proponent.

For any shareholder proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in our proxy materials under SEC Rule 14a-8 or for any shareholder nomination, our Bylaws require that the proposing shareholder provide us with advance written notice. To be timely, the notice must be received by the Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices (1) if the meeting is to be held on a day that is not more than 30 days from the anniversary of the previous year's annual meeting, not later than the close of business on the 120th day and not earlier than the close of business on the 150th day before the date of the release of our proxy statement to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting or (2) otherwise not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the date when we provide notice or public disclosure of the date of the meeting. Our Bylaws also require that the proposing shareholder furnish specified information about the proponent and the proposal or nomination to afford us and other shareholders a reasonable opportunity to consider the business that is proposed to be brought before the meeting. For any shareholder proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders under SEC Rule 14a-8 but that is sought to be presented directly at that annual meeting under our Bylaws, we must receive the proposal in writing at our principal executive offices UMB Financial Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 not later than the close of business on November 18, 2015, and not earlier than the close of business on October 19, 2015. Otherwise, the proposal will be considered untimely under SEC Rule 14a-5(e)(2).

* * * * *

This proxy statement is provided to you by order of the Board of Directors

Scott A. Stengel

Secretary

Table of Contents

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

X

Annual Meeting Proxy Card

q PLEASE FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

A Proposals The Board recommends a vote **FOR** all nominees listed, **FOR** Proposal 2 and **AGAINST** Proposal 3.

1. The election of 13 directors for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders.

	For	Withhold		For	Withhold		For	Withhold
01 - Warner L. Baxter	02 - Robin C. Beery	03 - Nancy K. Buese
04 - Peter J. deSilva	05 - Terrence P. Dunn	06 - Kevin C. Gallagher
07 - Greg M. Graves	08 - Alexander C. Kemper	09 - J. Mariner Kemper
10 - Kris A. Robbins	11 - L. Joshua Sosland	12 - Paul Uhlmann III
13 - Thomas J. Wood III						

È

- | | For | Against | Abstain | | For | Against | Abstain |
|--|------------|----------------|----------------|--|------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2. The ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as UMB's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015. | .. | .. | .. | 3. A shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of UMB's Board of Directors. | .. | .. | .. |
| 4. Any other business that may be properly considered at the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting. | | | | | | | |

B Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**

Please sign exactly as name(s) appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, corporate officer, trustee, guardian, or custodian, please give full title.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

/ /

Table of Contents

q PLEASE FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

Proxy UMB Financial Corporation

1010 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64106

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING ON APRIL 28, 2015

The undersigned hereby appoints Peter J. deSilva, J. Mariner Kemper and Michael D. Hagedorn or any of them, with full power of substitution as proxies, to represent and vote all shares of Common Stock of UMB Financial Corporation, which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 28, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., and any adjournment of the meeting. This proxy revokes all prior proxies given by the undersigned.

Management knows of no other matters to be brought before the Annual Meeting; however, the persons named as proxy holders or their substitutes will vote in their discretion with respect to any other matters that are properly brought before the Annual Meeting or

any adjournment of the meeting. **This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned shareholder or absent instruction will be voted FOR all of the director nominees listed in Proposal 1, FOR Proposal 2 and AGAINST Proposal 3. Unless authority to vote for any director nominee is withheld, authority to vote FOR such nominee will be deemed and granted.**

In their discretion, the persons named as proxy holders or their substitutes are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

(Items to be voted appear on reverse side.)

Table of Contents

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 p.m., Central Time, on April 23, 2015.

Vote by Internet

Go to www.envisionreports.com/UMBF

Or scan the QR code with your smartphone

Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories
& Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

X

Employee Plan Card

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

A Proposals The Board recommends a vote FOR all nominees listed, FOR Proposal 2 and AGAINST Proposal 3.

1. The election of 13 directors for terms ending at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders.

	For	Withhold		For	Withhold		For	Withhold	
01 - Warner L. Baxter	02 - Robin C. Beery	03 - Nancy K. Buese	+
04 - Peter J. deSilva	05 - Terrence P. Dunn	06 - Kevin C. Gallagher	
07 - Greg M. Graves	08 - Alexander C. Kemper	09 - J. Mariner Kemper	
10 - Kris A. Robbins	11 - L. Joshua Sosland	12 - Paul Uhlmann III	
13 - Thomas J. Wood III							

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
2. The ratification of the Corporate Audit Committee's engagement of KPMG LLP as UMB's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.	3. A shareholder proposal for the adoption of a policy requiring an independent Chair of UMB's Board of Directors.

4. Any other business that may be properly considered at the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

B Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**

Please sign exactly as name(s) appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, corporate officer, trustee, guardian, or custodian, please give full title.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

/ /

IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE SECTIONS A - C ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.

Table of Contents

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE
BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

Employee Plan Card UMB Financial Corporation

È

1010 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64106

**CONFIDENTIAL VOTING INSTRUCTIONS TO: BMO HARRIS BANK N. A. AS TRUSTEE
UNDER THE EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN OF UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION
AND THE UMB PROFIT SHARING AND 401(K) SAVINGS PLAN**

I hereby direct that the voting rights pertaining to the common stock of UMB Financial Corporation held by the Trustee and attributable to my account(s) in the above-described plans shall be exercised at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held April 28, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., or any adjournment of the meeting, in accordance with the instructions on the reverse side, to vote upon Proposals 1-3 and on such other matters that may be properly considered at the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Please sign exactly as your name appears on the reverse side of this card. Your ESOP shares will be voted by the Trustee in the Trustee's discretion unless your vote is received by one of the methods shown on the reverse side no later than 1:00 p.m. Central time, April 23, 2015. Your 401(k) shares will be voted in proportion to the way that other 401(k) shares are voted unless your vote is received by one of the methods shown on the reverse side no later than 1:00 p.m. Central time, April 23, 2015.

(Items to be voted appear on reverse side.)

C Non-Voting Items

Change of Address Please print new address below.

¢

IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE SECTIONS A - C ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.

È