

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
March 25, 2015
Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- (3) Filing Party:

- (4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

March 25, 2015

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of SunCoke Energy, Inc., on Thursday, May 7, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Hotel Arista, 2139 CityGate Lane, Naperville, Illinois 60563.

The following pages contain our notice of annual meeting and proxy statement. Please review this material for information concerning the business to be conducted at the 2015 Annual Meeting, including the nominees for election as directors.

As we have in the past, we are furnishing our proxy statement and other proxy materials to our stockholders over the Internet and mailing paper copies to stockholders who have requested them. For further details, please refer to the section entitled About the Annual Meeting beginning on page 1 of the proxy statement.

Whether or not you plan to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares be represented. Please vote via telephone, the Internet, proxy card, or voter instruction form.

Thank you for your continued support of SunCoke Energy.

Sincerely,

Frederick A. Henderson

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

SunCoke Energy, Inc. | 1011 Warrenville Road | Suite 600 | Lisle, Illinois 60532 | tel (630) 824-1000 www.suncoke.com

Table of Contents

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

to be held on May 7, 2015

The 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of SunCoke Energy, Inc. will be held on Thursday, May 7, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Hotel Arista, 2139 CityGate Lane, Naperville, Illinois 60563, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two directors, Frederick A. Henderson and Alvin Bledsoe, to the class of directors whose term expires in 2018;
 2. To hold a non-binding advisory vote to approve our executive compensation; and
 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the 2015 Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.
- You may vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 9, 2015. To ensure that your vote is properly recorded, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting. Most stockholders have three options for submitting their vote: (1) via telephone, (2) over the Internet, or (3) by mail. You may still vote in person if you attend the 2015 Annual Meeting. For further details about voting, please refer to the section entitled "About the Annual Meeting" beginning on page 1 of the proxy statement.

If your shares are held in street name in a stock brokerage account, or by a bank or other nominee, you must provide your broker with instructions on how to vote your shares in order for your shares to be voted on important matters presented at the 2015 Annual Meeting. If you do not instruct your broker on how to vote in the election of directors and on executive compensation, your shares will not be voted on these matters.

The approximate date of mailing of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders is March 25, 2015, and the attached proxy statement, together with our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, will be made available to our stockholders on that same date. We also will begin mailing paper copies of our proxy statement and other proxy materials to stockholders who have requested them on or about that date.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Denise R. Cade

Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer

March 25, 2015

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u> | 1 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u> | 5 |
| <u>Board of Directors</u> | 5 |
| <u>Certain Information Regarding Directors</u> | 5 |
| <u>THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES</u> | 11 |
| <u>Meeting Attendance</u> | 11 |
| <u>Executive Committee</u> | 11 |
| <u>Audit Committee</u> | 11 |
| <u>Compensation Committee</u> | 12 |
| <u>Governance Committee</u> | 12 |
| <u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u> | 12 |
| <u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | 13 |
| <u>Director Independence</u> | 13 |
| <u>Board Leadership Structure</u> | 13 |
| <u>Director Qualifications</u> | 13 |
| <u>Risk Oversight</u> | 13 |
| <u>Executive Sessions</u> | 14 |
| <u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u> | 14 |
| <u>Review of Related Person Transactions</u> | 14 |
| <u>Director Attendance Policy</u> | 15 |
| <u>Indemnification Agreements</u> | 15 |
| <u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u> | 15 |
| <u>Communications with the Board</u> | 15 |
| <u>Governance Committee Process for Director Nominations</u> | 15 |
| <u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u> | 17 |
| <u>Annual Retainer</u> | 17 |
| <u>Retainer Stock Plan</u> | 17 |
| <u>Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan</u> | 17 |
| <u>Director Stock Ownership Guidelines</u> | 18 |
| <u>Director Compensation Table</u> | 18 |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 19 |
| <u>Compensation Committee Report</u> | 19 |
| <u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u> | 19 |
| <u>Summary Compensation Table</u> | 31 |
| <u>2014 Grant of Plan-Based Awards Table</u> | 32 |
| <u>2014 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table</u> | 34 |
| <u>2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u> | 35 |
| <u>2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table</u> | 35 |
| <u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u> | 36 |
| <u>PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 40 |
| <u>BENEFICIAL STOCK OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND PERSONS OWNING MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT OF COMMON STOCK</u> | 41 |
| <u>AUDIT COMMITTEE MATTERS</u> | 43 |
| <u>Audit Committee Report</u> | 43 |
| <u>Audit Fees</u> | 44 |
| <u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u> | 44 |

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 45 |
| <u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u> | 45 |
| <u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u> | 45 |
| <u>Future Stockholder Proposals</u> | 45 |
| <u>Solicitation of Proxies</u> | 46 |

Table of Contents

ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

References to the Company, SunCoke Energy, SunCoke, we, us and our in this proxy statement mean SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Who is soliciting my vote?

The Board of Directors of SunCoke Energy, Inc. is soliciting your vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting.

Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, we have elected to furnish our proxy statement and other proxy materials to stockholders on the Internet rather than mailing paper copies to each stockholder. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or Notice of Internet Availability, in the mail, you will not receive a paper copy of these materials unless you have requested to receive paper copies. All stockholders have the ability to access our proxy statement and other proxy materials. Instructions on how to do so or to request a printed copy may be found on the Notice of Internet Availability. In addition, stockholders may request to receive these materials in printed form by mail on an ongoing basis. The Notice of Internet Availability also will instruct you on how you may vote your shares and how you may vote over the Internet. Note that if you are a participant in the SunCoke 401(k) Plan and have shares of our common stock allocated to your Plan account, you have the right to direct the Plan trustee regarding how to vote those shares. You automatically received a paper copy of these materials in the mail.

What am I voting on?

You are voting on:

Proposal 1: Election of Frederick A. Henderson and Alvin Bledsoe to the class of directors whose term expires in 2018 (*see pages 5 and 6*);

Proposal 2: Non-binding advisory approval of our executive compensation (*see page 40*);

Any other business properly coming before the meeting.

How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote my shares?

The Board of Directors' recommendations can be found with the description of each Proposal in this proxy statement. In summary, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote:

Proposal 1: FOR the election of each of the two nominees for director; and

Proposal 2: FOR the advisory approval of our executive compensation.

Who is entitled to vote?

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 9, 2015 are entitled to vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting. As of that date, there were 66,407,713 shares of our common stock outstanding. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote. There is no cumulative voting.

How many votes must be present to hold the meeting?

Your shares are counted as present at the 2015 Annual Meeting if you attend the meeting and vote in person or if you properly return a proxy by telephone, Internet or mail. In order for us to hold our meeting,

Table of Contents

holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock as of the close of business on March 9, 2015 must be present in person or by proxy at the meeting. This is referred to as a *quorum*. Proxy cards or voting instruction forms that reflect abstentions will be counted as shares present to determine whether a quorum exists to hold the 2015 Annual Meeting. Broker non-votes will not be counted for quorum purposes.

What is a broker non-vote?

Under the rules that govern brokers who have record ownership of shares that they hold in *street name* for their clients who are the beneficial owners of the shares, brokers do not have the discretion to vote such shares on non-routine matters, such as Proposals 1 and 2. Broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker nominee for a beneficial owner are not voted on a proposal because the broker nominee has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and lacks discretionary authority to vote the shares. Therefore, if your shares are held in *street name* and you do not provide instructions as to how your shares are to be voted on Proposals 1 and 2, your broker will not be able to vote your shares on these proposals. We urge you to provide instructions to your broker so that your votes may be counted on these important matters.

How are votes counted? How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals?

For Proposal 1, you may vote **FOR** or **WITHHOLD**. For Proposal 1, the affirmative plurality of the votes properly cast on the proposal at the 2015 Annual Meeting is required to elect a nominee to the Board of Directors. A properly executed proxy marked **WITHHOLD** with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated.

For Proposal 2, you may vote **FOR**, **AGAINST**, or **ABSTAIN**. For Proposal 2, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the proposal at the 2015 Annual Meeting is required to approve the proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote **AGAINST** this proposal.

Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of any of the matters to be voted on.

How do I vote?

You can vote either in person at the 2015 Annual Meeting or by proxy without attending the meeting. Most stockholders have three options for submitting their votes:

- (1) via telephone, using the toll-free number listed on your proxy card (if you are a stockholder of record) or voting instruction form (if your shares are held by a broker, financial institution, or other nominee);
- (2) over the Internet, at the address provided on the Notice of Internet Availability or on your proxy card or voting instruction form; or
- (3) by marking, signing, dating and mailing your proxy card or voting instruction form and returning it in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card or voting instruction form but do not mark the boxes showing how you wish to vote, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors for each of the proposals for which you did not indicate a vote.

If you are the registered stockholder (that is, if you hold your stock in your name), you can vote via telephone or over the Internet by following the instructions provided on the Notice of Internet Availability or on your proxy card.

If your shares are held in *street name* (that is, they are held in the name of a broker, financial institution, or other nominee), you will receive instructions with your materials that you must follow in order to have your shares voted. Please review your voting instruction form to determine whether you will be able to vote via the telephone or over the Internet.

Table of Contents

The deadline for voting via the telephone or over the Internet for the 2015 Annual Meeting is 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time, May 6, 2015.

Even if you plan to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares by proxy. If your shares are held in street name, you must request a legal proxy from your broker, financial institution or other nominee and bring that proxy to the meeting to vote in person at the meeting.

Can I change or revoke my vote?

Yes. You can change or revoke your vote at any time before the polls close at the 2015 Annual Meeting by:

- (1) re-voting via telephone or over the Internet (only your latest telephone or Internet vote will be counted),
- (2) signing and dating a new proxy card and submitting it (only your latest proxy card will be counted),
- (3) if you are a registered stockholder, delivering timely notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary, SunCoke Energy, Inc., 1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600, Lisle, Illinois 60532; or
- (4) attending the 2015 Annual Meeting and voting in person.

If your shares are held in street name, please contact your broker, financial institution or other nominee and comply with the broker's, financial institution's or other nominee's procedures if you want to change or revoke your previous voting instructions. Attending the 2015 Annual Meeting in person will not in and of itself revoke a previously submitted proxy unless you specifically request it.

Who counts the votes?

We have retained Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. to act as independent inspector of election and as proxy vote tabulator. Broadridge will determine whether or not a quorum is present, will count the shares voted (including shares voted during the Annual Meeting) and will certify the election results.

Can other matters be decided at the 2015 Annual Meeting?

We are not aware of any other matters that will be considered at the 2015 Annual Meeting. If any other matters arise, the named proxies will vote in accordance with their best judgment.

Who can attend the meeting?

The 2015 Annual Meeting is open to all SunCoke Energy stockholders. You may contact Investor Relations for directions at investorrelations@suncoke.com. When you arrive at the Hotel Arista, 2139 CityGate Lane, Naperville, Illinois 60563, signs will direct you to the meeting room. You need not attend the 2015 Annual Meeting to vote.

If you wish to attend the 2015 Annual Meeting, please check the box on your proxy or voter instruction form, or as indicated on the Internet voting site, or press the appropriate key if voting by telephone. If your shares are held in street name and you would like to attend, please also e-mail investorrelations@suncoke.com or write to Investor Relations, SunCoke Energy, Inc., 1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600, Lisle, Illinois 60532. Include a copy of your brokerage account statement or an omnibus, or legal, proxy (which you can get from your broker).

At the 2015 Annual Meeting, each stockholder may be asked to present valid picture identification (for example, a driver's license or passport). If your shares are held in street name, you must bring a copy of a brokerage statement, proxy or letter from the broker, financial institution or other nominee confirming ownership of shares of our common stock at the close of trading on March 9, 2015, the record date for the 2015 Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

For security purposes, no cameras, recording equipment, electronic devices, large bags, backpacks, briefcases or packages will be permitted in the meeting room or adjacent areas, and other items will be subject to search.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on May 7, 2015.

This proxy statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 are available in the Investor Relations section of our website at the following internet address: <http://www.suncoke.com>

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently has seven members and is divided into three classes, each serving staggered three-year terms. Directors for each class are elected at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the year in which the term for their class expires.

Two directors are proposed to be elected at the 2015 Annual Meeting. Each is a current director. The terms of Alvin Bledsoe and Frederick A. Henderson expire this year, and the Board of Directors has nominated each of them for a new three-year term that will expire at the annual meeting in 2018, or until their respective successors are elected and qualified. If any nominee is unable to serve as a director at the time of the 2015 Annual Meeting, your proxy may be voted for the election of another nominee proposed by the Board of Directors or the Board of Directors may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the 2015 Annual Meeting.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote **FOR** the election of the two nominees for director.

Certain Information Regarding Directors

Below, please find information regarding the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that qualify the nominees and the directors whose terms of office will continue after the 2015 Annual Meeting to serve as a director of SunCoke Energy.

Nominees to Serve in a Class Whose

Term Expires in 2018

Frederick A. Henderson (Chairman)

Age: 56

Committee

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Membership: Executive (Chair)

Mr. Henderson was elected as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in December 2010. He also served as a Senior Vice President of Sunoco, Inc. (a transportation fuel provider with interests in logistics) from September 2010 until our initial public offering in July 2011. In July 2012, Mr. Henderson was named Chief Executive Officer and appointed as Chairman of the Board of Directors of SunCoke Energy Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of the publicly traded master limited partnership of which we are sponsor. From February 2010 until September 2010, he was a consultant for General Motors LLC, and from March 2010 until August 2010, he was a consultant for AlixPartners LLC (a business consulting firm). He was President and Chief Executive Officer of General Motors (a global automotive company) from April 2009 until December 2009. He was President and Chief Operating Officer of General Motors from March 2008 until March 2009. He was Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of General Motors from January 2006 until February 2008. He was

Table of Contents

Chairman of General Motors Europe from June 2004 until December 2005. In addition, Mr. Henderson is a director of Marriott International, Inc. (a worldwide lodging and hospitality services company), where he serves as chair of the Audit Committee. Mr. Henderson also is a trustee of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and is the chair of its Audit Committee. Mr. Henderson previously served as a director of Compuware Corp. (a technology performance company), where he served as chair of its Audit Committee, and was a member of its Nominating/Governance and Advisory Committees.

Mr. Henderson, having worked for over 27 years at General Motors and over four years at SunCoke, is a highly experienced senior-level executive, with general operations, manufacturing and marketing experience, as well as senior-level strategic planning, business development, managerial and management development and compensation experience. Mr. Henderson also possesses diverse international experience (by virtue of his prior experience at General Motors, including his service as Vice President and Managing Director of General Motors do Brasil; Group Vice President and President of General Motors, Latin America, Africa and Middle East; President of General Motors Asia Pacific; and Chairman of General Motors Europe) and health, environment and safety experience (by virtue of his oversight experience at General Motors). Additionally, Mr. Henderson possesses financial expertise by virtue of his education (an MBA from Harvard Business School) and experience (including Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of General Motors).

Alvin Bledsoe

Age: 67

Committee

Membership: Audit (Chair)

Mr. Bledsoe was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in June 2011. From 1972 until his retirement from the firm in 2005, Mr. Bledsoe served in various senior roles at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC (an international accounting firm). He joined the Board of Directors of Crestwood Gas Services GP LLC (the general partner of Crestwood Midstream Partners LP, a master limited partnership in the natural gas industry), formerly Quicksilver Gas Services, in 2007. Following the October 2013 merger and subsequent related corporate restructuring between Crestwood Midstream Partners LP, Inergy, L.P. and Inergy Midstream, L.P., Mr. Bledsoe is now a director of Crestwood Equity GP LLC, the general partner of Crestwood Equity Partners LP (a natural gas and crude oil logistics master limited partnership holding company), where he is chair of the Audit Committee. He also is a director of Crestwood Midstream GP LLC, the general partner of Crestwood Midstream Partners LP (a natural gas and crude oil logistics master limited partnership), where he is also chair of the Audit Committee. From May 2007 to August 2010, Mr. Bledsoe served as a member of the Archuleta County Colorado Financial Advisory Task Force.

Mr. Bledsoe is an experienced finance and public accounting executive, having spent his entire 33-year career with PwC. By virtue of his experience, Mr. Bledsoe is knowledgeable about finance, merger and acquisition transactions and major cost restructurings and possesses knowledge of the mining, utilities and energy industries. In addition, he brings relevant industry expertise, having served clients within these industry sectors and having served as the global leader for PwC's Energy, Mining and Utilities Industries Assurance and Business Advisory Services Group. While at PwC, Mr. Bledsoe also gained experience working with boards of directors by interfacing with the boards of directors of his clients.

Table of Contents

Continuing Directors Term Expires in 2016

Peter B. Hamilton

Age: 68

Committee

Membership: Compensation (Chair)

Mr. Hamilton was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in June 2011. Mr. Hamilton is the former Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Brunswick Corporation (a global designer, manufacturer and marketer of recreation products), a position he held from September 2008 until his retirement in February 2013. Mr. Hamilton returned to Brunswick Corporation in September 2008 after retiring from the company in 2007. He was President of the Life Fitness division of Brunswick Corporation from 2005 to 2006 and President of the Brunswick Boat Group from 2006 to 2007. He also served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Brunswick Corporation from 2000 until his initial retirement in 2007. Mr. Hamilton has served on the Board of Directors of Spectra Energy Corp. (a natural gas and crude oil infrastructure company) since 2007, where he currently chairs the Audit Committee and is a member of the Corporate Governance Committee. He joined the Board of Directors of Oshkosh Corporation (a designer, manufacturer and marketer of specialty vehicles and vehicle bodies) in 2011 and is the chair of its Human Resources Committee and a member of its Audit Committee. Mr. Hamilton currently serves as a director of the American Arbitration Association.

Mr. Hamilton is an experienced corporate executive with a background in management, law, finance and government. Prior to joining Brunswick, Mr. Hamilton served in various positions at Cummins Inc., or Cummins (a diesel and natural gas engine designer, manufacturer and distributor), including Chief Financial Officer. Prior to his tenure at Cummins, Mr. Hamilton was a partner in a Washington, D.C. law firm, held a number of senior positions in the federal government and was an officer in the U.S. Navy.

Karen B. Peetz

Age: 59

Committee

Membership: Audit, Governance

Ms. Peetz was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in January 2012. Ms. Peetz is President of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation, or BNY Mellon (a global financial services company), and oversees that company's global client management, regional

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

management, and its treasury services business. She also leads BNY Mellon's strategic growth, global innovation and regulatory oversight efforts. She has served in that role since January 2013. From June 2008 until her appointment as President in January 2013, Ms. Peetz was a Vice Chairman at BNY Mellon and led its Financial Markets and Treasury Services group, comprised of the alternative investment services, broker-dealer and advisor services, corporate trust, depository receipts, and treasury services businesses. From March 1998 until May 2008, Ms. Peetz served in senior management positions at BNY

Table of Contents

Mellon, including as Executive Vice President of the Corporate Trust Division from May 2003 until May 2008. She has consistently been named among American Banker's 25 Most Powerful Women in Banking. Ms. Peetz is the chairperson for BNY Mellon's Women's Initiatives Network, which acts as a global resource for the professional development and advancement of women who work at BNY Mellon through chapters in 50 locations globally. She also serves on BNY Mellon's Diversity Council. Before joining BNY Mellon in 1998 to run the domestic corporate trust business, Ms. Peetz spent 16 years with JPMorgan Chase (a global financial services company) in a variety of sales and business management positions, including an assignment in London. Ms. Peetz is on the Board of Directors of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association and is a member of the Board of Directors of the Private Export Funding Corporation. Ms. Peetz is a member of the Board of the American Red Cross Greater New York Region. She is also a member of the Business Committee for The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Ms. Peetz is the former Chair of the Board for United Way of New York City and former Chair of Pennsylvania State University's Board of Trustees.

Ms. Peetz is an experienced executive with over 32 years in the financial services industry with global business line responsibility. As a senior-level executive at BNY Mellon, Ms. Peetz has strategic planning, business development and managerial responsibilities.

John W. Rowe

Age: 69

Committee

Membership: Compensation, Governance

In January 2012, Mr. Rowe was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc., effective April 1, 2012. On March 12, 2012, Mr. Rowe retired as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and director of Exelon Corporation, or Exelon (an electric utility company), and as a director of Commonwealth Edison Company and PECO Energy Company, both subsidiaries of Exelon. He served as a director and Chief Executive Officer or Co-Chief Executive Officer of Exelon since its formation in October 2000. He served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Exelon since April 2002. At various times since 2000, he also held the title of President of Exelon. He previously served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Unicom Corporation and Commonwealth Edison Company from March 1998 until October 2000. Mr. Rowe is a director of Northern Trust Corporation (an international financial services company), where he serves as Lead Director, chair of its Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of its Compensation and Benefits and Executive Committees. Mr. Rowe also joined the Board of Directors of The Allstate Corporation (an insurance company) in 2012 and serves as a member of its Compensation and Succession Committee and its Nominating and Governance Committee. In October 2013, Mr. Rowe was elected to the board of directors of American DG Energy, Inc. (a supplier of low-cost energy to customers through distributed power generating systems). Effective December 31, 2011, Mr. Rowe retired as a director of Sunoco, Inc. (a transportation fuel provider with interests in logistics) and as chair of its Corporate Responsibility Committee and as a member of its Compensation and Executive Committees. Mr. Rowe finished his term as Chairman of the Illinois Institute of Technology in 2013. He currently serves as Chairman of the Field Museum and the Illinois Holocaust Museum, and is a board member of the Morgridge Institute for Research.

Mr. Rowe, with nearly 30 years of experience with electric utility companies in various positions, including serving as Chief Executive Officer of Exelon, has senior management-level experience and general operations and manufacturing experience. Mr. Rowe possesses senior management-level strategic planning, business development and managerial experience, as well as health, environment and safety oversight experience. Additionally, Mr. Rowe possesses government relations, regulatory agency and legal experience by virtue of his position as Chief Executive Officer at Exelon and prior business experience and education.

Table of Contents

Continuing Directors Term Expires in 2017

Robert J. Darnall (Lead Director)

Age: 77

Committee

Membership: Executive, Governance (Chair)

Mr. Darnall was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in June 2011. Following a 36-year career at Inland Steel Industries, Inc., or Inland (a carbon steel manufacturer and processor/distributor of industrial materials), Mr. Darnall retired as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer in late 1998 and joined Ispat International N.V., or Ispat International (a carbon steel manufacturer), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Ispat North America until early 2000. Mr. Darnall served as an independent director of United States Steel Corporation (an integrated steel producer of flat-rolled and tubular products) from 2001 until 2010, Sunoco, Inc. (a transportation fuel provider with interests in logistics) from 2000 until 2010, Cummins, Inc. (a diesel and natural gas engine designer, manufacturer and distributor) from 1989 to 2010 and Pactiv Corporation (a consumer and foodservice/food packaging products producer) from 2000 to 2010. Mr. Darnall currently serves on the board of trustees of Rush University Medical Center, the Museum of Science and Industry and the Glenwood Academy.

Mr. Darnall is an experienced corporate executive with over 38 years of senior-level management experience in the steel industry and expertise in sourcing and logistics. Mr. Darnall also possesses health, environment and safety experience by virtue of his oversight experience as the former Chief Executive Officer of Inland and the head of Ispat International's North American operations, both companies having a health, environment and safety risk profile similar to SunCoke's steel industry customers.

James E. Sweetnam

Age: 62

Committee

Membership: Audit, Compensation

Mr. Sweetnam was elected as a director of SunCoke Energy, Inc. in January 2012. Mr. Sweetnam served as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Dana Holding Corporation, or Dana (a motor vehicle parts supplier), from July 2009 until November 2010. From 1997 until June 2009, Mr. Sweetnam served in senior management positions at Eaton Corporation, or Eaton (a global diversified power management company), including as President of the Truck Group from 2001 until June 2009. Prior to joining Eaton, Mr. Sweetnam spent 10 years with

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Cummins, Inc. (a diesel and natural gas engine designer, manufacturer and distributor) in a variety of senior management positions. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of LMI (a private, not-for-profit corporation that provides management consulting, research and analysis to governments and other nonprofit organizations) and is a member of its Audit and Governance Committees. From February 2007 until its

Table of Contents

acquisition by Berkshire Hathaway Inc. in September 2011, Mr. Sweetnam served as a director of Lubrizol Corporation (a specialty chemicals company) and as a member of its Audit, Nominating and Governance and Organization and Compensation Committees. Mr. Sweetnam is currently a member of the faculty of the Ross School of Business at the University of Michigan.

Mr. Sweetnam is an experienced corporate executive with senior-level management experience, including service as Chief Executive Officer at Dana, with general operations, manufacturing and engineering experience and a background in international business development and management. Mr. Sweetnam also possesses health, environment and safety oversight experience by virtue of his oversight experience as a senior-level executive at Eaton.

Table of Contents**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES**

Our Board of Directors is composed of a majority of independent directors and our Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees are each composed entirely of independent directors. Our Executive Committee is composed of one employee director and one independent director.

The following table shows the current membership of our Committees:

| Name | Executive | Audit | Compensation | Governance |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|--------------|------------|
| Frederick A. Henderson | ü* | | | |
| Robert J. Darnall | ü | | | ü* |
| Alvin Bledsoe | | ü* | | |
| Peter B. Hamilton | | | ü* | |
| Karen B. Peetz | | ü | | ü |
| John W. Rowe | | | ü | ü |
| James E. Sweetnam | | ü | ü | |

* Denotes Committee Chair

Meeting Attendance

The Board of Directors held seven regular meetings and three special meetings in fiscal 2014. Each director who served in fiscal 2014 attended at least 90% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and 100% of the meetings of the Committees on which he or she served during the periods that he or she served in fiscal 2014.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee is composed of Messrs. Henderson and Darnall and is chaired by Mr. Henderson. The Executive Committee exercises the powers and authority of the Board of Directors to direct the business and affairs of SunCoke Energy in intervals between meetings of the Board of Directors and to implement the policy decisions of the Board of Directors. Actions taken by the Executive Committee are reported to the Board of Directors at its next meeting. There were no meetings of the Executive Committee in fiscal 2014.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Executive Committee, which is available on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is composed of Messrs. Bledsoe and Sweetnam and Ms. Peetz. It is chaired by Mr. Bledsoe. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Bledsoe and Sweetnam and Ms. Peetz are independent directors for purposes of serving on an audit committee under applicable SEC and New York Stock Exchange (or NYSE) requirements. The Board of Directors also has determined that Messrs. Bledsoe and Sweetnam and Ms. Peetz are financially literate and have accounting or related financial management expertise as required by the applicable rules of the NYSE and qualify as audit committee financial experts as defined by the applicable rules of the SEC.

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in (1) the appointment, evaluation and compensation of the Company's independent auditor, (2) the review and monitoring of the Company's financial statements and disclosures, (3) pre-approval of audit services, internal control-related services and permitted non-audit services, (4) oversight and monitoring of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditors and (5) monitoring compliance by the Company with legal and regulatory requirements, including the Company's Code of Business Conduct and

Ethics.

Table of Contents

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com. The Audit Committee met 11 times in fiscal 2014.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is composed of Messrs. Hamilton, Rowe and Sweetnam and is chaired by Mr. Hamilton. The Compensation Committee is responsible for the approval, evaluation and oversight of all compensation plans, policies and programs for the executive officers and certain other employees of SunCoke Energy and its subsidiaries. The Compensation Committee also has sole authority over the appointment, evaluation and compensation of any independent compensation consultant it uses in the evaluation of executive officer compensation.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee, which is available on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com. The Compensation Committee met four times in fiscal 2014.

Governance Committee

The Governance Committee is composed of Messrs. Darnall and Rowe and Ms. Peetz and is chaired by Mr. Darnall. The Governance Committee (1) assists the Board in identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, (2) recommends to the Board director nominees to be considered by stockholders, (3) recommends Corporate Governance Guidelines to the Board, (4) leads the Board in its annual review of Board performance, (5) recommends to the Board nominees for each Board committee, and (6) reviews the form and amount of director compensation and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the Company's director compensation program.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Governance Committee, which is available on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com. The Governance Committee met two times in fiscal 2014.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Compensation Committee is or ever was an officer or employee of SunCoke Energy or any of our subsidiaries. In addition, none of our executive officers served on any compensation committee or any board of directors of another company, of which any of our directors was also an executive officer.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Director Independence

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Governance Committee, has determined that each of our non-management directors who served as a director during the fiscal year is independent under the applicable rules of the NYSE and the SEC and is free of any direct or indirect material relationship with SunCoke Energy or its management.

Board Leadership Structure

The current leadership structure of the Board of Directors includes our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and an independent Lead Director. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chairman of the Governance Committee acts in the role of Lead Director.

The Board of Directors believes that combining the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is the most appropriate for SunCoke Energy at this time. Having one person as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides unified leadership and direction to SunCoke Energy and strengthens the ability of the Chief Executive Officer to develop and implement strategic initiatives and respond efficiently in crisis situations. The Board of Directors also believes the combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions is appropriate in light of the substantial independent oversight provided by the Board of Directors.

The Lead Director's duties are described in our Corporate Governance Guidelines and include: (1) the authority to chair those meetings of the Board of Directors at which the Chairman is not present and (2) the authority to preside at executive sessions of the independent directors. The Lead Director also provides advice and counsel, as needed, to the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer on various strategic issues and Board of Directors and Committee matters. In addition, the Lead Director leads the Board of Directors and Committee self-evaluation process and leads the independent directors in an annual evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer.

Except for our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Henderson, the Board of Directors is composed entirely of independent directors. The Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees are composed solely of independent directors. Consequently, independent directors directly oversee critical matters and appropriately monitor the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

Director Qualifications

The Governance Committee annually reviews the qualifications and experience of current directors and identifies specific competencies required in director nominees. Director nominees should have a proven record of professional success and leadership and demonstrate the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values. The Board of Directors also considers ethnic and gender diversity. Directors also are expected to devote sufficient time and effort to their duties as a member of the Board of Directors.

Risk Oversight

In accordance with NYSE requirements, the Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and discussing SunCoke Energy's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures, including our risk assessment and risk management policies. On a regular basis, our officers who are responsible for monitoring and managing SunCoke Energy's risks, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer, make reports to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee, in turn, reports to the full Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

While the Audit Committee has primary responsibility for overseeing risk management, our entire Board of Directors is actively involved in overseeing risk management by engaging in periodic discussions with our officers as it may deem appropriate. In addition, each of our Committees considers the risks within its areas of responsibility. For example, the Audit Committee focuses on risks inherent in our accounting, financial reporting and internal controls, and the Compensation Committee considers the risks that may be implicated by our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee's assessment of risk related to compensation practices is discussed in more detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement. We believe that the leadership structure of our Board of Directors supports its effective oversight of our risk management.

Executive Sessions

The independent directors met in executive sessions separate from management six times during fiscal 2014. The Lead Director presides at executive sessions of the independent directors.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that address the following matters, among others: (1) Board of Directors composition and director qualifications, (2) operations of the Board of Directors, (3) responsibilities of the Board of Directors and (4) Committee structure and responsibilities. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are posted on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com.

Review of Related Person Transactions

The Board of Directors has adopted a written policy that applies to interested transactions with related parties. For purposes of the policy, interested transactions include transactions, arrangements or relationships involving amounts greater than \$100,000 in the aggregate in which we are a participant and a related person has a direct or indirect interest. Related persons are deemed to include executive officers, directors, director nominees, owners of more than five percent of our common stock or an immediate family member of the preceding group. The policy provides that the Governance Committee is responsible for the review and approval of all related person transactions.

The Governance Committee reviews the material facts of all interested transactions that require its approval and either approves or disapproves of the entry into the interested transaction, subject to certain exceptions described below. The policy prohibits any director from participating in any discussion or approval of an interested transaction for which such director is a related person, except that such director is required to provide all material information concerning the interested transaction to the Governance Committee. As part of its review and approval of a related person transaction, the Governance Committee considers, among other things, whether the transaction is made on terms no less favorable than terms that would be generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction.

Our related person transactions policy also provides that certain interested transactions will have standing pre-approval from the Governance Committee. These include: (1) employment of executive officers if the compensation is disclosed in the proxy statement or approved by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee; (2) employment of an immediate family member of a director, director nominee or executive officer with compensation that does not exceed \$120,000; (3) director compensation that is disclosed in the proxy statement; (4) transactions with companies where the business is less than the larger of \$1 million or two percent of the other company's total revenues; (5) certain charitable contributions; (6) transactions where all stockholders receive proportional benefits; (7) transactions involving competitive bids; (8) regulated transactions; (9) certain banking services; and (10) certain transactions available to all employees or third parties generally.

Table of Contents

Director Attendance Policy

Directors are expected to attend Board of Directors meetings and meetings of Committees on which they serve, as well as our annual meeting of stockholders. All the directors attended our annual meeting of stockholders in fiscal 2014.

Indemnification Agreements

Our directors are asked to enter into individual Indemnification Agreements with SunCoke Energy when joining the Board of Directors. The Indemnification Agreement is the same for each director and provides contractual indemnification in addition to the indemnification provided in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws. The Indemnification Agreement provides each director with indemnification to the fullest extent permitted by law. Subject to certain limitations and exceptions, the Indemnification Agreement provides, among other things, that we will indemnify each director against expenses, liabilities, losses, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement incurred in connection with any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that the director is or was our director or by reason of the fact that the director is or was serving at our request as a director, officer, manager, trustee, fiduciary, employee or agent of another entity, with certain stated exceptions. In addition, under the Indemnification Agreement, we are obligated to advance payment to each director for all expenses reasonably incurred by such director with respect to the events or occurrences specified above, provided that the director must repay the advanced expenses to the extent that it is ultimately determined that the director is not entitled to indemnification under the terms of the Indemnification Agreement.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our officers, directors and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Controller and other senior financial officers. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com.

Communications with the Board

Stockholders and other interested persons may communicate any concerns they may have regarding SunCoke Energy to the attention of the Board of Directors or to any specific member of the Board of Directors, including the Lead Director, by writing to the following address:

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

c/o Corporate Secretary

1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600

Lisle, Illinois 60532

Communications directed to the independent directors as a group should be sent to the attention of the Lead Director, c/o the Corporate Secretary, at the address indicated above.

Any stockholder or other interested person who has a particular concern regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or other audit matters that he or she wishes to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors may communicate those concerns to the Audit Committee or its Chair, c/o the Corporate Secretary, using the address indicated above.

Governance Committee Process for Director Nominations

The Governance Committee evaluates potential director candidates and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors. Candidates may be identified by current directors, by a search firm or by stockholders. The

Table of Contents

Governance Committee may engage the services of a third-party consultant to assist in identifying and screening potential candidates. The Governance Committee's evaluation of a candidate generally includes inquiries as to the candidate's reputation and background, examination of the candidate's experience and skills in relation to the Board of Director's requirements at the time, consideration of the candidate's independence as measured by the Board of Director's independence standards and any other considerations that the Governance Committee deems appropriate. Candidates should have a proven record of professional success and leadership and demonstrate the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values. Ethnic and gender diversity also are considered. At least annually, the Governance Committee reviews the criteria for the nomination of director candidates and approves changes to the criteria, as appropriate. Following its evaluation process, the Governance Committee recommends candidates to the full Board of Directors. The Board of Directors makes the final determination regarding a candidate based on its consideration of the Governance Committee's recommendation. Candidates recommended by our stockholders will be evaluated on the same basis as candidates recommended by current directors, search firms, or third-party consultants.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

The compensation program for our independent directors is designed to attract experienced and highly qualified directors, provide appropriate compensation for their time, efforts, commitment and contributions to SunCoke Energy and our stockholders and align the interests of the independent directors and our stockholders.

Annual Retainer

During 2014, the annual retainer for our independent directors was comprised of \$65,000 in cash and \$100,000 of our common stock. Effective as of January 1, 2015, the cash portion of the annual retainer was increased to \$70,000, and the stock portion was increased to \$110,000 of our common stock. The Lead Director, all Committee Chairs and all Audit Committee members receive an additional annual retainer for their service. During 2014, the Lead Director received a \$25,000 cash retainer, the Audit Committee Chair received a \$20,000 cash retainer, the Compensation Committee Chair received a \$15,000 cash retainer, all other Committee Chairs received a \$10,000 cash retainer, and all Audit Committee members received a \$10,000 cash retainer. Effective as of January 1, 2015, the annual cash retainer for the Lead Director was increased to \$30,000, and the annual cash retainer for the Audit Committee Chair was increased to \$25,000. The table below summarizes the current structure of our independent director compensation program:

| BOARD SERVICE | |
|--|------------|
| Annual Retainer (Cash Portion) | \$ 70,000 |
| Annual Retainer (Stock Portion) | \$ 110,000 |
| COMMITTEE SERVICE | |
| Annual Lead Director Retainer | \$ 30,000 |
| Annual Committee Chair Retainers: | |
| Audit Committee Chair | \$ 25,000 |
| Compensation Committee Chair | \$ 15,000 |
| All Other Committee Chairs | \$ 10,000 |
| Annual Audit Committee Member Retainer | \$ 10,000 |

Retainer Stock Plan

The SunCoke Energy, Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors, or Retainer Stock Plan, provides for the payment of a portion of the independent directors' annual retainer in the form of our common stock. The Retainer Stock Plan also allows each independent director to elect to receive payment of all or a portion of his or her cash retainer(s) in the form of our common stock. Payments pursuant to the Retainer Stock Plan are made quarterly in the number of shares of our common stock determined by dividing one-fourth of the aggregate portion of the annual retainer payable in our common stock by the average closing price for a share of our common stock for the ten trading days on the NYSE immediately prior to the payment date.

Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan

The SunCoke Energy, Inc. Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, or Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, permits independent directors to defer a portion of their stock and cash compensation. Payments of compensation deferred under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan will be made at, or commence on, January 15 of the calendar year following the calendar year in which an independent director ceases to provide services to SunCoke Energy, with any successive annual installment payments to be made no earlier than January 15 of each such year. Each independent director has the option to designate his or her deferred compensation as share units, cash units or a combination of both. Cash units accrue interest at a rate set annually by the Governance Committee. A share unit is treated as if it were invested in shares of our common stock, but it does not have voting rights. If share units are chosen, dividend equivalents are credited in the form of additional share units. Share units are settled in cash based upon the average closing price for a share of our common stock for the ten trading days on the NYSE immediately prior

to the payment date.

Table of Contents**Director Stock Ownership Guidelines**

Each independent director is expected to own the lesser of (i) 15,000 shares of our common stock or (ii) shares of our common stock with a market value equal to at least five times the independent director's annual cash retainer. Our directors are allowed a five-year phase-in period to reach their respective stock ownership goals in order to comply with the applicable guidelines. As of December 31, 2014, all of our independent directors were in compliance with the guidelines.

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation for our independent directors in fiscal 2014:

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Robert J. Darnall | 100,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 200,000 |
| Alvin Bledsoe | 85,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 185,000 |
| Peter B. Hamilton | 80,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 180,000 |
| Karen B. Peetz | 75,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 175,000 |
| John W. Rowe | 65,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 165,000 |
| James E. Sweetnam | 75,000 | 100,000 | | | | | 175,000 |

- (1) The amounts in this column include all retainer and meeting fees paid or deferred pursuant to the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. Ms. Peetz and Mr. Sweetnam deferred their cash compensation into the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (2) The amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of the stock retainer payments paid to each director in fiscal 2014 as of the date of each quarterly payment, calculated pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The number of shares granted to each non-employee director was determined by dividing the \$25,000 quarterly stock retainer payment by the average closing price of a share of common stock for the ten trading days preceding the date of grant. Ms. Peetz and Messrs. Bledsoe, Darnall, Sweetnam and Rowe deferred their awards into the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) section of this proxy statement with management. Based on our review and discussion with management, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Members of the Compensation Committee:

Peter B. Hamilton (Chair)

John W. Rowe

James E. Sweetnam

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following CD&A describes the material elements of the 2014 compensation and benefits programs for our named executive officers, or NEOs. Our NEOs for 2014, which consist of those executive officers who appear in the Summary Compensation Table, were (1) Frederick A. Henderson, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer; (2) Michael J. Thomson, our President and Chief Operating Officer; (3) Fay West, our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; (4) Denise R. Cade, our Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer and (5) Mark E. Newman, our former Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

The CD&A is organized into six sections:

SECTION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SECTION 2 OUR COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

SECTION 3 ELEMENTS OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SECTION 4 ROLE OF MANAGEMENT, COMPENSATION CONSULTANTS AND USE OF MARKET DATA

SECTION 5 PAY MIX, OPPORTUNITY AND LEVERAGE

SECTION 6 OTHER COMPENSATION INFORMATION

SECTION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Executive pay is linked to the execution of the Company's business strategy and operating plan. SunCoke's business strategy consists of the following elements:

Maintain a consistent focus on operational excellence, safety and environmental stewardship

Pursue long-term growth through expansion and transformation

Maintain our technology advantage through the development or acquisition of new technologies

Maintain liquidity and financial flexibility to facilitate growth

During 2014, our safety and environmental performance continued to improve. Our safety performance continued to be in the top quartile of the cokemaking and coal mining industries. However, our financial and operational performance fell short of expectations. Our Domestic Coke operations were impacted by the effects of the unusually cold winter weather, as well as slower than expected improvement at our Indiana Harbor facility. Our cokemaking joint venture in India was negatively impacted by low-priced imports of Chinese coke.

Table of Contents

Finally, while ultimately unsuccessful in our efforts to sell our Coal Mining business, we took steps to exit the business and to reduce corporate expenses in line with business requirements.

In terms of capital structure, we successfully executed the contribution of interests in our Haverhill and Middletown facilities to SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. (our first drop down transaction), as part of a larger previously announced plan to contribute our cokemaking operations in their entirety to SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. We also established a quarterly cash dividend payable on shares of our common stock, and implemented a share repurchase program. Finally, although the extensive work we did in 2014 to identify growth opportunities did not result in an investment, these efforts provide a platform to continue the execution of our growth strategy in 2015.

As a result of our overall performance, our 2014 annual incentive awards to NEOs averaged only 58% of target, down from 111% in 2013. Moreover, the value of prior years unvested equity compensation awards, which constitute 40% to 64% of an NEO's total compensation, fell by approximately 53%.

SECTION 2 OUR COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

The principles of our compensation strategy are tied to increasing stockholder value over the long-term and are as follows:

Our compensation structure has a strong performance orientation, with a significant portion of pay at risk based on performance. The level of pay at risk increases progressively at positions of greater responsibility.

Our compensation levels use the median of the market as a reference point, with flexibility for individual experience and performance.

The market is defined by reference to general industry, as well as a specific peer group.

Leadership compensation is aligned with stockholders' interests, and leadership will be rewarded when the interests of stockholders are advanced.

The compensation structure supports our need to attract and retain top level talent, individuals with critical skills and top performers.

We provide competitive benefits in a manner that emphasizes flexibility and the avoidance of legacy liabilities. For example, we have no active defined benefit pension plan or retiree medical plan.

Table of Contents

Below we summarize certain executive compensation practices both the practices we have implemented and the practices we have avoided:

WHAT WE DO:

- ü Tie a high percentage of executive pay to performance
- ü Establish measurable goals and objectives in the beginning of the performance period for performance-based grants
- ü Structure our compensation programs to avoid incentives to take excessive risk
- ü Maintain double-trigger vesting provisions on severance and equity upon a change in control
- ü Pay dividend or dividend equivalents on performance shares only to the extent shares are earned and vested
- ü Review tally sheets which illustrate the total payment from all programs to executives under certain termination scenarios
- ü Require our executive officers and directors to hold Company stock pursuant to stock ownership guidelines
- ü Have a recoupment, or claw back policy
- ü Prohibit the following activities by executive officers or directors:
 - ı Hedging transactions, and/or short sales involving Company stock
 - ı Pledging Company stock, or depositing or holding Company stock in a margin account
- ü Rely on the advice of an independent compensation consultant who provides no other services to the Company

WHAT WE DON'T DO:

- × No perquisites (other than standard relocation and a supplemental savings plan that allows for contributions in excess of the IRS limits in the qualified savings plan)
- × No tax gross-ups on change in control, or on perquisites
- × No re-pricing or cash buyout of out-of-the-money stock options
- × No individual employment contracts or change of control agreements (unless required by law)
- × No inclusion of the value of equity awards in pension or severance calculations

SECTION 3 ELEMENTS OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Our 2014 compensation program emphasized performance-based compensation that promoted the achievement of short- and long-term business objectives that were aligned with our business strategy and rewarded performance when those objectives were met. The basic elements of our compensation program are as follows:

Base Salary: Base salary is intended to provide a certain level of fixed cash pay that compensates an executive for job performance and reflects the scope and level of responsibility. Competitive salary helps to recruit and retain executives.

Annual Cash Incentives: Annual cash incentives are paid after the end of each year based on the level of attainment of performance goals. This component, which could have resulted in a payment of 0-200% of target opportunity for 2014, promotes achievement of

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

our short-term business objectives. The use of five metrics, which include financial, safety and environmental measures, provides a holistic view of performance, which balances financial and operational performance while limiting reliance on any one metric which could encourage excessive risk-taking.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Incentives: These awards are designed to provide a strong incentive for executives to pursue business strategies intended to increase our stock price and thus provide strong alignment with stockholders' interests. These awards also promote executive retention. Generally, when equity is awarded, restricted share units and stock options vest ratably over three years. In addition, the performance share units granted in 2014 will vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant, provided that certain performance goals are met.

SECTION 4 ROLE OF MANAGEMENT, COMPENSATION CONSULTANTS AND MARKET DATA

The CEO reviews the performance of our NEOs, other than himself, and makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee with respect to their compensation including salary, annual cash incentive opportunities and grants of long-term incentive awards. The Compensation Committee approves the compensation of these executives after considering the CEO's input and recommendations, and its own judgment of each executive's performance during the period.

Each year, the Board of Directors establishes measurable performance goals and objectives for the CEO and the Company, and reviews and evaluates the CEO's performance in light of these goals and objectives. The Compensation Committee annually provides a recommendation to the full Board regarding the compensation levels and incentive payouts applicable to the CEO, based upon the Board's review and assessment of the CEO's performance. In its review of the incentive components of CEO compensation, the Committee also may consider a number of factors, including, but not limited to the Company's performance and relative shareholder return, the value of similar incentive awards to CEOs at comparable companies and the awards given to the CEO in past years. The Board then makes a determination regarding CEO compensation, after considering the Committee's recommendations. The Compensation Committee and the CEO also discuss the financial metrics to be used to measure the performance of the Company and its business units. The CEO attends Compensation Committee meetings but is not present for, and does not participate in, discussions concerning his own compensation. In addition, the CEO does not attend the executive sessions of the Compensation Committee.

Under its charter, the Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant used in the evaluation of executive compensation and has the sole authority to approve the retention terms of the consultant, including fees. Since 2011, the Compensation Committee has retained Compensation Advisory Partners, or CAP, an independent compensation consulting firm, to provide advice on executive compensation matters. Pursuant to the NYSE listing standards, the Committee regularly reviews the consultant's independence relative to key factors, including: (i) whether the consultant provides any other services to the Company; (ii) the amount of fees paid relative to the total revenue of the firm; (iii) policies in place to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any personal or business relationships with members of the Compensation Committee; (v) ownership of SunCoke stock and (vi) any personal or business relationships with executive officers. Based on its assessment, the Committee concluded that CAP is independent and that no conflicts of interest exist.

CAP provides advice on emerging trends, competitive pay levels and regulatory developments as they relate to executive compensation. CAP's services included evaluating our NEO total compensation competitive positioning, developing our 2014 compensation peer group, assisting in our long-term incentive plan design, assessing potential risks in our incentive plans and assisting in the preparation of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. CAP performs no other work for us.

We operate in a relatively unique sector of the industry, with no public companies that are direct competitors. The market data that the Compensation Committee considers when making executive compensation decisions is based in part on information from a nationally recognized survey conducted by Towers Watson. During 2014, the SunCoke Energy survey data was a blend of general industry survey data for companies with revenues of between \$1 billion and \$3 billion.

Table of Contents

To supplement the survey data when making compensation decisions in 2014, management recommended and the Compensation Committee approved, a peer group of 18 companies to be used to supplement the survey data. Our 2014 compensation peer group reflects companies that align with our business, and with which we compete for executive talent and for customers. Our 2014 compensation peer group also includes companies to which investors look for alternative investments (*i.e.*, compete for capital) and companies with a size comparable to ours in terms of revenue and market capitalization. Our 2014 compensation peer group, which was unchanged from our 2013 compensation peer group, had revenues ranging from \$758 million to \$5.6 billion, with a median of \$3.1 billion. The companies in our 2014 compensation peer group are:

Airgas, Inc.
AK Steel Holding Corporation
Albemarle Corporation
Allegheny Technologies Incorporated
AM Castle & Co.
Cabot Corporation
Carpenter Technology Corporation
Cloud Peak Energy Inc.
CONSOL Energy Inc.

Ferro Corporation
Globe Specialty Metals, Inc.
GrafTech International Ltd.
Koppers Holdings Inc.
Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.
Materion Corporation
Vulcan Materials Company
Worthington Industries, Inc.
W. R. Grace & Co.

SECTION 5 PAY MIX, OPPORTUNITY AND LEVERAGE

The total direct compensation opportunity for each NEO in 2014 was based on the NEO's annual salary rate, annual target cash incentive award opportunity and annual target long-term incentive award opportunity. In making its 2014 decisions, the Compensation Committee considered both the survey data and peer group data. The Compensation Committee retained the flexibility to adjust compensation levels based upon other factors such as individual experience and performance. Based upon its review, the Compensation Committee decided to adjust the 2014 compensation levels for Ms. Cade and Mr. Newman in order to provide better alignment of their compensation levels to both survey and peer group data. The compensation opportunities for Mr. Henderson and Mr. Thomson were unchanged from 2013. The 2014 targeted total compensation for these NEOs was generally within 20 percent of the median of both the peer group data and the general survey data.

Mr. Henderson's total compensation was at the 72nd percentile of the peer group and at the 70th percentile of the general industry survey data. The Compensation Committee felt that the deviation from the median in each case reflected his individual experience and performance.

Mr. Henderson's variance was the result of his status as a former chief executive of one of the largest and most closely followed industrial companies in the world. Having worked for over 26 years at General Motors, Mr. Henderson is a highly experienced senior-level executive with general operations, manufacturing and marketing expertise, as well as senior-level financial, strategic planning, business development, managerial experience, management development and compensation experience. Mr. Henderson also has diverse international experience, and health, environment and safety experience critical to our operational mission.

Table of Contents

As discussed earlier, our philosophy is to drive a performance-oriented culture. To this end, performance-based compensation makes up a meaningful portion of each NEO's compensation as demonstrated in the chart below. We consider the compensation we pay through annual cash incentives under the Annual Incentive Plan, or AIP, and long-term equity grants under the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, or LTPEP, to be performance-based.

Note: These percentages are based on salary, annual and long-term incentive targets at year-end 2014.

Base Salary

Base salary is the only fixed portion of total direct compensation for our NEOs. We focus on setting base salaries at the median of the market data, though actual positioning may vary based on factors such as individual performance, responsibilities associated with the position, prior experience, internal equity and the competitive market at the time of recruiting. In its annual review of the competitiveness and appropriateness of compensation paid to the NEOs, the Compensation Committee determined that, effective March 2, 2014, Ms. Cade's annual base salary should be increased from \$365,000 to \$380,000.

Mr. Newman resigned from the Company effective November 3, 2014. Ms. West was appointed to succeed Mr. Newman as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In connection with her promotion to that position, effective October 27, 2014, Ms. West's annual base salary was increased from \$309,000 to \$400,000.

Annual Cash Incentive Awards

Overview: The NEOs participated in the SunCoke Annual Incentive Plan, or AIP, which is a performance-based annual cash incentive plan designed to promote the achievement of our short-term business objectives by providing competitive incentive opportunities to executives who can significantly impact our performance. The payout under the AIP for each NEO is based on a combination of financial and operating goals, as well as individual performance. An executive's annual incentive payment, if any, may not exceed 200% of his or her target incentive opportunity and is determined by the following formula:

$$\text{Payout} = \text{Base Salary} \times \text{Target Incentive Opportunity} \times \text{Company Payout Factor (0-200\%)} \times$$

$$\text{Individual Performance Factor (0-150\%)}$$

Target Incentive Opportunity: Each executive has a target incentive opportunity that is expressed as a percentage of salary. The 2014 target incentives for our NEOs were as follows: Mr. Henderson: 110%; Mr. Thomson: 75%; Mr. Newman: 70%; and Ms. Cade: 60%. The targets for Mr. Henderson, Mr. Thomson and Ms. Cade did not change from 2013. Ms. West's target was increased from 45% to 70% effective with her appointment to the role of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

Table of Contents

Performance Criteria: For 2014, the AIP used the following corporate performance goals:

| Metric | Weighting | Rationale |
|--|-----------|--|
| <i>Adjusted Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (Adj. EBITDA)</i> | 50% | Adjusted EBITDA was selected as the primary measure since we believe it best aligns with key measures of our business strategy and strongly correlates with stockholder value creation. |
| <i>Pre-Tax Return on Invested Capital (Pre-Tax ROIC)</i> | 10% | Pre-Tax ROIC assesses how effectively we use our capital invested in our operations and how much value we create. Pre-Tax Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) is defined as Adjusted EBITDA less depreciation expense plus net income attributable to non-controlling interests divided by average invested capital (stockholders' equity plus total debt net of cash and cash equivalents). |
| <i>Operating Cash Flow</i> | 10% | Operating cash flow measures the cash generated by our business activities. The cash generated by our business activities includes receipts from customers and payments for operating expenses. |
| <i>Safety Performance</i> | 15% | Safety performance consists of three metrics: (i) the Coke Plant Safety Rate (73.3% weighting); (ii) the Mining Safety Rate (20.0% weighting); and (iii) the Terminals Safety Rate (6.7% weighting), all of which are measured using the regulatory (Occupational Safety and Health Administration and Mine Safety and Health Administration) Recordable Incident Rate (actual recordable incidents x 200,000, which is the approximate number of hours a person works each year multiplied by 100, divided by total man-hours worked). |
| <i>Environmental Performance</i> | 15% | Environmental performance is determined by a comprehensive assessment of (i) the number and magnitude of deviations, which are defined as noncompliance with an Environmental Protection Agency air permit term; (ii) venting levels relative to each plant operating permit; (iii) finalization of the Consent Decree at our Haverhill and Granite City locations; and (iv) various other factors, including satisfactory progress to resolve notices of violation at our facilities. In conducting its assessment of these factors, the Compensation Committee does not use a formulaic approach, but applies its judgment as to whether and at what level they have been satisfied. |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the quantitative performance goals for 2014 and the achievement factors based on a percentage of target. The threshold or 0% achievement is typically set at a level which would represent minimum acceptable performance by the Company in the context of the business conditions and other challenges facing the Company. The target or 100% achievement is set at a level which would represent performance that is more demanding, but still reasonably attainable. The maximum or 200% achievement is set at a level which would represent extraordinary performance.

| Achievement Factor ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Performance Goal | 0% | 85% | 100% | 115% | 200% |
| Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾ | <\$195,000,000 | \$230,000,000 | \$242,500,000 | \$255,000,000 | >\$290,000,000 |
| Pre-Tax ROIC | <6.4% | 9.0% | 9.9% | 10.8% | 13.4% |
| Operating Cash Flow | <\$122,500,000 | \$155,000,000 | \$170,000,000 | \$185,000,000 | \$217,500,000 |
| Coke Plant Safety Rate | >1.60 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 0.85 ⁽³⁾ | <0.60 |
| Mining Safety Rate | >2.95 | 2.65 | 2.50 | 2.20 ⁽³⁾ | <1.60 |
| Terminals Safety Rate | >2.50 | 1.90 | 1.50 | 1.00 ⁽³⁾ | 0.00 |

- (1) The achievement factors for results falling between the percentages set forth above are determined by straight line interpolation.
- (2) Adjusted EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (EBITDA), adjusted for asset and goodwill impairment, costs related to exiting our Coal business, sales discounts and the interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization attributable to our equity method investment (as defined in Item 7 of the Company's Form 10K).
- (3) In addition, in order to achieve performance in excess of 115%, the safety rate must be within the top quartile of the respective industry performance.

The following chart sets forth each performance goal, the weighting of each goal, the actual performance, achievement factor, approved adjustments to the performance results and achievement factor, as well as the resulting payout factor:

| Performance Goal | Weighting | Actual Performance | Approved Performance ⁽¹⁾ | Achievement Factor | Approved Achievement Factor ⁽¹⁾ | Company Payout Factor |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Adjusted EBITDA | 50% | \$210,700,000 | \$217,100,000 | 38.4% | 53.7% | 26.8% |
| Pre-tax ROIC | 10% | 4.6% | 5.0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Operating Cash Flow | 10% | \$112,300,000 | \$116,800,000 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Safety ⁽²⁾ | 15% | N/A | N/A | 186.9% | 186.9% | 28.0% |
| Environmental ⁽³⁾ | 15% | N/A | N/A | 110.0% | 110.0% | 16.5% |
| Total Payout Factor | | | | | | 71.4% |

- (1) In calculating the Achievement Factor for Adjusted EBITDA, the Compensation Committee excluded the adverse impact of \$12.3M related to the significant and unbudgeted increase in our black lung reserve, created by an unprecedented increase in claim acceptance rates due to recent regulatory changes. This was partially offset by the exclusion of favorable results totaling \$5.9M related to: (a) \$4.5M resulting from the reversal of a contingent consideration liability related to the acquisition of Harold Keene Coal Corporation, and (b) \$1.4M to offset the favorable December operating results in the Coal business which resulted from a reduction in the Coal workforce. The net effect of these adjustments increased the approved Company payout from 63.7% to 71.4%.

Table of Contents

- (2) Based on the weighted average of actual performance: Coke Plant Safety of 0.56 (200% payout), Coal Mining Safety rate of 1.98 (146% payout) and Terminals Safety rate of 0.41 (165% payout).
- (3) Based on achieving improvement in resolving notices of violation and exceeding goals related to deviations and venting levels. Individual performance is also a component of the annual cash incentive. Concurrent with the determination of the Company component, the CEO reviews the individual contributions of each of the other NEOs against their respective individual goals set at the beginning of the year. Based on this review, the CEO makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee regarding the individual component and the resulting total award for each of the other NEOs. Based upon the Board's review and assessment of the CEO's performance, the Compensation Committee annually provides a recommendation to the full Board regarding the compensation levels and incentive payouts applicable to the CEO.

In 2014, the Compensation Committee awarded bonuses to each NEO, including the CEO, which reflected attainment of the individual performance goals. With respect to Mr. Henderson and Mr. Thomson, based on the Company's overall operational and financial performance, as well as the change in share price, management recommended and the Compensation Committee approved an individual performance factor of 80%. Ms. Cade's individual performance factor was 110%, based on her support of successful capital markets transactions at both SunCoke Energy and SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., her development and implementation of company-wide business conduct compliance training programs, and legal work on the consent decree with federal and state regulators to resolve certain alleged permit violations at our Haverhill and Granite City facilities. Ms. West's individual performance factor was 115%, based on her strong support for plant budgeting and performance improvement initiatives, support of successful capital market transactions, execution of public reporting requirements and Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance work while Vice President and Controller, as well as her successful transition to the CFO role.

The total bonus amounts paid to each NEO for 2014 were: Mr. Henderson: \$612,612; Mr. Thomson: \$218,484; Ms. West \$133,463; Mr. Newman: \$0; and Ms. Cade: \$179,079.

The AIP works in conjunction with the Senior Executive Incentive Plan, or SEIP, which acts as an overlay to the AIP and sets a performance-based ceiling on the bonuses paid under the AIP, so that they meet the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. For 2014, the SEIP covered all NEOs other than Mr. Newman and Ms. West (CFOs are not subject to Section 162(m)). With Adjusted EBITDA as the performance metric, the Compensation Committee established a bonus pool under the SEIP equal to 5% of Adjusted EBITDA, with Mr. Henderson eligible for a bonus opportunity of 50% of the bonus pool and each of Mr. Thomson and Ms. Cade eligible for a bonus opportunity of 25% of the bonus pool. As discussed above, the Compensation Committee also established performance goals for each NEO pursuant to the AIP and exercised its discretion to adjust the SEIP bonus pool amounts on the basis of achievement of the AIP criteria and pursuant to the terms of the AIP. To the extent that an NEO is awarded a bonus amount above the calculated bonus under the AIP, any such incremental amount is paid under the SEIP. For 2014, the only amount paid under the SEIP was \$33,845 to Ms. Cade.

Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan (LTPEP)

Equity awards under the LTPEP are designed to align the executives' compensation with the interests of stockholders by creating a direct linkage between the executives' rewards and stockholders' gains, provide management with the ability to increase equity ownership in SunCoke Energy, provide competitive compensation opportunities that can be realized through attainment of performance goals and provide an incentive to attract and retain executives. There are three elements to our long-term incentive program, defined as follows:

Stock Options. Stock options are a form of compensation that allows the executive to purchase SunCoke Energy common stock at a fixed price (typically the closing price on the date of grant), within

Table of Contents

a specified period. The number of stock options granted is determined by dividing the value to be granted by the option's value based on a Black-Scholes model. The options generally vest ratably over three years on each anniversary of the grant date.

Restricted Share Units. Restricted share units, or RSUs, represent rights to receive shares of Company common stock, conditioned upon continued employment with the Company through the end of the applicable restriction period. The number of RSUs granted is determined by dividing the value of the grant by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. RSU awards generally vest ratably over three years on each anniversary of the grant date. However, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to authorize awards that provide for cliff vesting of the entire award only at the end of a multi-year restriction period.

Performance Share Units. Performance share units, or PSUs, represent rights to receive shares of Company common stock, with vesting conditioned upon the attainment of performance goals established by the Committee for the applicable performance period as well as the participant's continued employment with SunCoke. The number of PSUs granted is determined by dividing the value of the grant by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The two performance metrics for the February 2014 grants were: (1) percentile ranking for the Company's three-year total shareholder return, or TSR, average *versus* that of the S&P 600; and (2) the Company's average three-year pre-tax return on capital invested (or ROIC) in our coke making and terminals operations versus a target pre-tax ROIC of 17%, based on the Company's three-year business plan. Each of these metrics is equally weighted. The February 2014 grants have a three-year performance period, ending on December 31, 2016. The Compensation Committee determines the level of achievement of the goals after the end of the performance period. The level of payout of the PSUs varies from zero to 200%, although the Compensation Committee retains the discretion to reduce, but not to increase, the ultimate level of payout of such awards.

Each year, the Compensation Committee evaluates the appropriate compensation mix and reviews data from the peer companies and other survey data regarding the typical mix of medium- and long-term incentive awards. Based upon the NEO's long-term incentive target and position, and factoring in peer company practices, as well as our compensation philosophy, the Compensation Committee determines the appropriate mix of equity vehicles for each executive as well as the target long-term incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary.

Our long-term incentive awards are subject to other terms and conditions set forth in the applicable award agreements. For 2014, the Compensation Committee made the following equity awards to the NEOs:

| Name | Stock Options | RSUs | PSUs | Total Award Value |
|---------------|---------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Mr. Henderson | 201,724 | 40,989 | 57,385 | \$3,657,827 |
| Mr. Thomson | 36,931 | 12,006 | 10,291 | \$765,000 |
| Ms. West | 8,069 | 6,121 | 0 | \$195,000 |
| Mr. Newman | 32,103 | 10,437 | 8,946 | \$665,000 |
| Ms. Cade | 16,740 | 5,442 | 4,665 | \$361,000 |

For Mr. Henderson, the allocation was 40% stock options, 25% RSUs and 35% PSUs. For the NEOs other than Mr. Henderson and Ms. West, the allocation was 35% stock options, 35% RSUs and 30% PSUs. Ms. West's allocation 30% stock options and 70% RSUs. She received no PSUs in 2014, since she was not eligible for PSUs at the time the 2014 PSU grants were made.

Table of Contents

SECTION 6 OTHER COMPENSATION INFORMATION

Perquisites

We do not provide our NEOs with perquisites or other personal benefits such as company vehicles, club memberships, financial planning assistance or tax preparation. The Company may reimburse relocation costs for newly retained or relocated NEOs.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Under our stock ownership guidelines, our executives are required to maintain direct ownership in our common stock in the following amounts:

CEO: Five times annual base salary

Senior Vice Presidents and above: Three times annual base salary

Vice Presidents: One times annual base salary

These guidelines were effective January 1, 2012, and an executive has five years in which to comply, with the expectation that the executive will accumulate approximately 20% each year. The number of shares required to be owned by each executive is determined by dividing the applicable salary amount described above by \$17.39, the average high and low price of our stock on July 21, 2011, the date of our IPO. Outstanding stock options (vested and unvested) as well as unearned performance-based restricted share units do not count toward these guidelines. Time-based restricted share units and shares held directly or indirectly, including shares acquired on exercise of stock options and shares held under our retirement plans, count toward these guidelines.

As of December 31, 2014, Mr. Henderson and Mr. Thomson had met more than 100% of their ownership requirement and Ms. Cade had met 64% of her ownership requirement. Ms. West's ownership requirement changed from one times salary to three times salary in conjunction with her appointment as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and she will have five years in which to meet the new requirement.

Hedging and Pledging Policies

Our Insider Trading Policy prohibits short sales of Company stock, as well as the purchase, sale, or exercise of any puts, calls, or other options (other than options granted pursuant to any incentive compensation plan of the Company) on Company stock, or hedging. In July 2013, we amended our Insider Trading Policy to also add provisions prohibiting employees, officers and directors of the Company from pledging Company stock as collateral for any loan, or depositing any Company stock in a margin account.

Recoupment Policy

In July 2013, we adopted a formal recoupment, or claw back, policy, effective January 2014, which allows for recoupment of incentive compensation, with a three-year look-back. Under this policy, if the Company restates its financial statements, or if an officer of the Company violates a Company policy or confidentiality covenant, or engages in conduct detrimental to the Company's business or reputation, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to cancel outstanding awards of, or opportunities to receive, cash or equity incentive compensation and to recoup incentive compensation already paid or awarded to an officer during the three-year period preceding the date the restatement obligation was determined or the date of the officer's misconduct.

Retirement Benefits

SunCoke 401(k) Plan: SunCoke Energy offers all of its employees, including the NEOs, the opportunity to participate in the SunCoke 401(k) Plan formerly known as SunCoke Energy Profit Sharing and

Table of Contents

Retirement Plan, which is a tax qualified defined contribution plan with 401(k) and profit sharing features designed primarily to help participating employees accumulate funds for retirement. Our employees may make elective contributions and, we make company contributions consisting of a matching contribution equal to 100% of employee contributions up to 5% of eligible compensation and an employer contribution equal to 3% of eligible compensation. All NEOs are eligible to receive these contributions.

Savings Restoration Plan: The Savings Restoration Plan, or SRP, is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is made available to participants in the SunCoke 401(k) Plan whose compensation exceeds the IRS limits on compensation that can be taken into account under that Plan (\$260,000 for 2014). Under the SRP, employees can make an advance election to defer on a pre-tax basis up to 50% of the portion of their salary and bonus that exceeds the compensation limit. Employer contributions will be credited to the accounts of each employee who elects to defer compensation and they consist of (1) a matching contribution equal to 100% of the first 5% of compensation deferred by the participant under the SRP and (2) an additional contribution equal to 3% of the compensation deferred by the participant under the SRP. SunCoke Energy can also make additional discretionary contributions.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Our NEOs participate in the SunCoke Energy Executive Involuntary Severance Plan and the SunCoke Energy Special Executive Severance Plan. The purpose of these plans is to recognize an executive's service to SunCoke Energy and provide a market competitive level of protection and assistance if an executive is involuntarily terminated. The Special Executive Severance Plan is also designed to reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of senior executives of SunCoke Energy in the event of a possible major transaction. These plans are described in detail in the Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control section of this proxy statement.

Other SunCoke Energy Benefits

Our NEOs participate in the same basic benefits package and on the same terms as other eligible SunCoke Energy employees. The benefits package includes the savings program described above, as well as medical and dental benefits, disability benefits, insurance (life, travel and accident), death benefits and vacations and holidays.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally precludes a public corporation from taking a deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million for its CEO and the three most highly compensated officers, other than the CFO, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation. While base salary and time-based restricted share units by their nature do not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m), we have structured the stock options and the performance share units under the LTPEP and the annual incentive awards under the SEIP and AIP to so qualify. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider Section 162(m) implications in making compensation recommendations and in designing compensation programs for our NEOs. However, the Compensation Committee reserves the right to pay non-deductible compensation if it determines that to be in the best interests of SunCoke Energy and its stockholders.

Assessment of Risk Related to Compensation Practices

In February 2014, our Compensation Committee, in consultation with CAP, considered whether our compensation policies and practices for our employees, including the NEOs, were reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on SunCoke Energy. In concluding that this was not the case, the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee determined that our executive compensation program was consistent with SunCoke Energy's risk management strategies. In the case of employees below the Senior Vice President level, salary is generally a significant portion of their compensation. In the case of the NEOs, annual cash incentive compensation awards were based on five different corporate metrics (which limited excessive reliance on any one metric), target goals were set at appropriate levels and payments were capped at 200% of target. Long-term incentive awards, which consist of stock options, restricted share units and performance share units, contain multi-year vesting periods, thus promoting employee retention and aligning management's interest with those of our stockholders. Our stock ownership requirements help further align the interests of executives with those of stockholders.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth compensation information for our NEOs for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| Named Executive Officer | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Option Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | (\$) ⁽³⁾ | | |
| Frederick A. Henderson Chairman & CEO | 2014 | \$975,000 | \$0 | \$2,411,390 | \$1,585,551 | \$612,612 | \$172,586 ⁽⁴⁾ | \$5,757,139 |
| | 2013 | \$965,385 | \$0 | \$2,270,327 | \$1,387,500 | \$1,182,324 | \$178,426 | \$5,983,962 |
| | 2012 | \$925,000 | \$0 | \$960,000 | \$2,238,367 | \$1,264,753 | \$90,280 | \$5,478,400 |
| Michael J. Thomson President & COO | 2014 | \$509,999 | \$0 | \$536,255 | \$290,278 | \$218,484 | \$74,159 ⁽⁵⁾ | \$1,629,175 |
| | 2013 | \$503,269 | \$0 | \$501,952 | \$249,378 | \$416,983 | \$72,086 | \$1,743,668 |
| | 2012 | \$475,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$413,298 | \$42,070 | \$980,368 |
| Fay West | | | | | | | | |
| Sr. VP & CFO | 2014 | \$321,269 | \$0 | \$136,498 | \$63,422 | \$133,463 | \$39,502 ⁽⁶⁾ | \$694,154 |
| Mark E. Newman ⁽⁷⁾ Former Sr. VP & CFO | 2014 | \$412,885 | \$42,308 ⁽⁸⁾ | \$466,171 | \$252,330 | \$0 | \$74,769 ⁽⁹⁾ | \$1,248,463 |
| | 2013 | \$472,115 | \$200,000 | \$405,089 | \$201,252 | \$380,294 | \$66,256 | \$1,725,006 |
| | 2012 | \$460,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$371,657 | \$45,723 | \$1,027,380 |
| Denise R. Cade Sr. VP, General Counsel, Corp. Secretary & Chief Compliance Officer | 2014 | \$377,115 | \$0 | \$243,080 | \$131,576 | \$179,071 | \$50,449 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | \$981,291 |
| | 2013 | \$362,115 | \$0 | \$221,926 | \$110,250 | \$253,497 | \$48,903 | \$996,691 |
| | 2012 | \$341,346 | \$75,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$249,118 | \$35,775 | \$701,239 |

- (1) The amounts reported in this column reflect the grant date fair value of restricted share unit and performance share unit awards made under the LTPEP to the NEOs listed in this table. The grant date fair value of the time-vesting restricted share units was determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The performance share unit amounts are based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions. See Note 21 to the Form 10-K in the 2014 Annual Report for a complete description of the assumptions used for these valuations. The grant date fair value of the performance share unit awards were as follows, assuming the performance conditions of such awards are achieved at their maximum potential levels:

| | 2014 (\$) |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Frederick A. Henderson | 2,994,671 |
| Michael J. Thomson | 537,042 |
| Fay West | 0 |
| Mark E. Newman | 466,852 |
| Denise R. Cade | 243,446 |

- (2) The amounts reported in this column reflect the grant date fair value of stock option awards made under the LTPEP to the NEOs, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See Note 21 to the Form 10-K in the 2014 Annual Report for a complete description of the assumptions used for these valuations.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect annual cash incentive payments to each NEO under our Annual Incentive Plan and Senior Executive Incentive Plan. A description of these plans can be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

- (4) The All Other Compensation column for 2014 includes (i) \$151,786 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the Savings Restoration Plan; and (ii) \$20,800 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the SunCoke 401(k) Plan.
- (5) The All Other Compensation column for 2014 includes (i) \$53,359 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the Savings Restoration Plan; and (ii) \$20,800 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the SunCoke 401(k) Plan.
- (6) The All Other Compensation column for 2014 includes (i) \$18,702 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the Savings Restoration Plan; and (ii) \$20,800 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the SunCoke 401(k) Plan.
- (7) Mr. Newman resigned from SunCoke Energy in November 2014 and therefore was not eligible for 2014 non-equity incentive plan compensation and forfeited any unvested restricted share units, unvested performance share units and unvested options.
- (8) Mr. Newman received \$42,308 as a relocation stipend.
- (9) The All Other Compensation column for 2014 includes (i) \$42,654 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the Savings Restoration Plan; and (ii) \$20,800 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the SunCoke 401(k) Plan. Also included is Mr. Newman's earned but unused vacation payout of \$11,314.
- (10) The All Other Compensation column for 2014 includes (i) \$29,649 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the Savings Restoration Plan; and (ii) \$20,800 representing Company matching and annual contributions to the SunCoke 401(k) Plan.

2014 Grant of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table sets forth the plan-based grants made during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014:

| Named Executive Officer | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|--|-----------|---------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan awards ⁽¹⁾ | | | Equity Incentive Plan awards ⁽³⁾ | | | All Other Stock Awards: | All Other Option Awards: | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Share) ⁽⁷⁾ | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁸⁾ |
| | | Threshold Target | Maximum | Threshold Target | Maximum | No. of Shares of Stock or Units ⁽⁵⁾ | No. of Securities Underlying Options ⁽⁶⁾ | | | | |
| | | (\$) ⁽²⁾ | (\$) | (\$) | (#) ⁽⁴⁾ | (#) | (#) | (#) | (#) | | |
| F.A. Henderson | 02/26/2014 | | 1,072,500 | 2,145,000 | | 57,385 | 114,770 | | | | 1,497,335 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | 40,989 | | | 914,055 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | 382,500 | 765,000 | | | | | 201,724 | 22.30 | 1,585,551 |
| M.J. Thomson | 02/26/2014 | | | | | 10,291 | 20,582 | | | | 268,521 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | 12,006 | | | 267,734 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | | 36,931 | 22.30 | 290,278 |
| F. West ⁽⁹⁾ | 02/26/2014 | | 162,542 | 325,084 | | 0 | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | 6,121 | | | 136,498 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | | 8,069 | 22.30 | 63,422 |
| M.E. Newman ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 02/26/2014 | | 0 | 0 | | 8,946 | 17,892 | | | | 233,426 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | 10,437 | | | 232,745 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | | 32,103 | 22.30 | 252,330 |
| D.R. Cade | 02/26/2014 | | 228,000 | 456,000 | | 4,665 | 9,330 | | | | 121,723 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | 5,442 | | | 121,357 |
| | 02/26/2014 | | | | | | | | 16,740 | 22.30 | 131,576 |

- (1) The amounts in these columns were established under the AIP. These estimated payouts were based on pre-established goals for 2014. Thus, the amounts shown in the columns reflect the range of potential payments when the performance goals were set in early 2014. Actual amounts paid for 2014 are shown in the Summary Compensation Table. A description of the AIP can be found in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement.
- (2) Under the AIP, no payment is made until a minimum performance level is met, and performance at or above such level will result in a payment ranging from \$1 to the maximum amount, subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee.

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

- (3) The amounts reported in these columns represent the target number of performance share units granted to each NEO, and the range of the potential number of performance share units that may be issued to each NEO for the 2014-2016 performance period. Each unit represents the right to receive a share of Company common stock. Terms applicable to the performance share units grant reported in this column are described in the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan (LTPEP) section of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. In general, these

Table of Contents

performance share units vest on the third anniversary date of the grant, subject to a risk of forfeiture by participant, with the payout of such PSUs being conditioned upon performance goals and continued employment at SunCoke Energy until the date the Compensation Committee determines the payout levels. The awards are also subject to prorata vesting upon retirement and accelerated vesting of the target amount upon death, disability, or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy. Dividend equivalents are paid to the extent the award vests.

- (4) Under the performance share unit award agreement, no payment is made until a minimum performance level is met, and performance at or above such level will result in a payment ranging from one share to the maximum amount, subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee.
- (5) This column reflects the number of restricted share units granted to our NEOs in 2014. In general, these restricted shares vest on the first, second and third anniversary date of the grant, subject to continued employment at SunCoke Energy and subject to accelerated vesting upon death, disability, or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy. Dividend equivalents are paid to the extent the award vests.
- (6) This column reflects the number of stock options granted to our NEOs in 2014. In general, these awards vest on the first, second and third anniversary date of the grant, subject to continued employment with SunCoke Energy and subject to continued vesting upon retirement after the year of grant, and accelerated vesting upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (7) The exercise price is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.
- (8) The grant date fair value was calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The value of the performance share units is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions. With respect to the performance share units, in accordance with ASC 718, the performance share units that are earned based on ROIC are valued at the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. Performance share units earned are based on the Total Shareholder Return metric, and are valued based on a Monte-Carlo simulation which, in this case, leads to a higher accounting value.
- (9) The estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards for Ms. West was prorated for her time and compensation in her prior role as Vice President and Controller and in her current role as Senior Vice President and CFO.
- (10) Mr. Newman resigned from SunCoke Energy in November 2014 and therefore was not eligible for 2014 non-equity incentive plan compensation and forfeited any unvested restricted share units, unvested performance share units and unvested options.

Table of Contents**2014 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table**

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2014:

| Named Executive Officer | Option Awards | | | | Stock awards | | | Equity Incentive Plan |
|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable | No. of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price | Option Expiration | No. of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: No. of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights that Have Not Vested | Awards: Market Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights that Have Not Vested |
| | (#) | (#) | (\$) | Date | (#) | (\$) ⁽¹²⁾ | (#) ⁽¹¹⁾ | (\$) ⁽¹²⁾ |
| F.A. Henderson | 584,894 | 61,570 ⁽²⁾ | 17.39 | 07/21/2021 | 154,169 ⁽⁶⁾ | 2,981,628 | 120,263 | 2,325,886 |
| | 262,254 | 131,132 ⁽³⁾ | 14.28 | 02/15/2022 | | | | |
| | 77,082 | 154,168 ⁽⁴⁾ | 16.55 | 02/20/2023 | | | | |
| | | 201,724 ⁽⁵⁾ | 22.30 | 02/26/2024 | | | | |
| | 59,992 ⁽¹⁾ | | 20.26 | 12/05/2017 | 33,524 ⁽⁷⁾ | 648,354 | 23,206 | 448,804 |
| | 50,519 ⁽¹⁾ | | 22.31 | 03/30/2017 | | | | |
| | 88,725 ⁽¹⁾ | | 11.18 | 12/03/2018 | | | | |
| M.J. Thomson | 74,832 ⁽¹⁾ | | 8.93 | 03/30/2020 | | | | |
| | 134,343 | | 17.39 | 07/21/2021 | | | | |
| | 13,854 | 27,709 ⁽⁴⁾ | 16.55 | 02/20/2023 | | | | |
| | | 36,931 ⁽⁵⁾ | 22.30 | 02/26/2024 | | | | |
| F. West | 31,515 | | 17.39 | 07/21/2021 | 13,343 ⁽⁸⁾ | 258,054 | | |
| | 2,677 | 5,357 ⁽⁴⁾ | 16.55 | 02/20/2023 | | | | |
| | | 8,069 ⁽⁵⁾ | 22.30 | 02/26/2024 | | | | |
| M.E. Newman ⁽⁹⁾ | 93,912 | | 17.39 | 07/21/2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 11,180 | 0 | 16.55 | 02/20/2023 | | | | |
| | | 0 | 22.30 | 02/26/2024 | | | | |
| D.R. Cade | 61,616 | | 17.39 | 07/21/2021 | 15,145 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 292,904 | 10,375 | 200,653 |
| | 6,124 | 12,251 ⁽⁴⁾ | 16.55 | 02/20/2023 | | | | |
| | | 16,740 ⁽⁵⁾ | 22.30 | 02/26/2024 | | | | |

- (1) These amounts represent previously granted Sunoco options that were converted to SunCoke options on January 21, 2012 in connection with the separation from Sunoco.
- (2) Five-sevenths of the option award vests in equal installments on the first, second and third anniversary of the July 21, 2011 grant date and two-sevenths of the award vests in equal installments on the third, fourth and fifth anniversary date of Mr. Henderson's September 1, 2010 Sunoco hire date. Vesting is continued upon retirement after the year of grant and accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (3) One-third of these options vest on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the February 15, 2012 grant date. Vesting is continued upon retirement after the year of grant and accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (4) One-third of these options vest on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the February 20, 2013 grant date. Vesting is continued upon retirement after the year of grant and accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (5) One-third of these options vest on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the February 26, 2014 grant date. Vesting is continued upon retirement after the year of grant and accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (6) 37,648 of these restricted share units will vest on the fifth anniversary of Mr. Henderson's Sunoco hire date of September 1, 2010. 33,614 of these restricted share units were granted on February 15, 2012, of which 16,807 will vest on the third anniversary of the grant date and 16,807 will vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. 41,918 of these restricted share units were granted on February 20, 2013, of which 20,959 will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date and 20,959 will vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. 40,989 of these restricted share units vest at a rate 1/3 on the first, second and third anniversary of the February 26, 2014 grant date. Vesting is accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control.

Table of Contents

- (7) 11,473 of these restricted share units were granted to Mr. Thomson on July 21, 2011 and will vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. 10,045 of these restricted share units were granted on February 20, 2013, of which 5,023 will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date and 5,022 will vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. 12,006 of these restricted share units were granted on February 26, 2014, and these units will vest at a rate of 1/3 on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. Vesting is accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (8) 2,692 of these restricted share units were granted to Ms. West on July 21, 2011 and will vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. 4,530 of these restricted share units were granted on February 20, 2013, of which 2,265 will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date and 2,265 will vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. 6,121 restricted share units were granted on February 26, 2014, and will vest at a rate of 1/3 on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. Vesting is accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (9) Due to Mr. Newman's voluntary termination on November 3, 2014, all of his then-unvested options, restricted share units and performance share units were cancelled.
- (10) 5,262 of these restricted share units were granted to Ms. Cade on July 21, 2011 and will vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. 4,441 of these restricted share units were granted on February 20, 2013, of which 2,221 will vest on the second anniversary of the grant date and 2,220 will vest on the third anniversary of the grant date. 5,442 restricted share units were granted on February 26, 2014, and will vest at a rate of 1/3 on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. Vesting is accelerated upon death, disability or a qualifying termination following a change in control of SunCoke Energy.
- (11) These shares reflect the target number of performance share units granted on February 20, 2013 to each NEO for the 2013-2015 performance period and the target number of performance share units granted on February 26, 2014 to each NEO for the 2014-2016 performance period.
- (12) The market value of these shares is based on the closing price of SunCoke Energy on December 31, 2014 or \$19.34.

2014 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table sets forth the exercises of options and vested awards for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

| Named Executive Officer | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise | Value Realized on Exercise | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting | Value Realized on Vesting |
| | (#) | (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | (#) | (\$) ⁽²⁾ |
| Frederick A. Henderson | 0 | 0 | 75,414 | 1,740,902 |
| Michael J. Thomson | 0 | 0 | 8,847 | 195,357 |
| Fay West | 0 | 0 | 3,163 | 69,995 |
| Mark E. Newman | 51,600 | 99,588 | 6,964 | 153,809 |
| Denise R. Cade | 0 | 0 | 3,975 | 87,763 |

(1) The amount in this column represents the difference between the closing price of our common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares covered by the options.

(2) The amounts in this column represent the value realized by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on the date of vesting by the number of shares vested.

2014 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding the contributions, earnings and account balances under our Savings Restoration Plan, or SRP, for 2014:

| Named Executive Officer | Executive Contributions in 2014 | Registrant Contributions in 2014 | Aggregate Earnings in 2014 | Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2014 | Aggregate Balance as of December 31, 2014 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| | (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | (\$) ⁽²⁾ | (\$) ⁽³⁾ | (\$) | (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ |
| Frederick A. Henderson | \$94,866 | \$151,786 | \$89,195 | \$0 | \$815,639 |
| Michael J. Thomson | \$33,349 | \$53,359 | \$21,184 | \$0 | \$271,075 |
| Fay West | \$35,067 | \$18,702 | \$7,583 | \$0 | \$152,954 |
| Mark E. Newman | \$42,736 | \$42,654 | \$12,711 | \$0 | \$245,762 |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|
| Denise R. Cade | \$24,669 | \$29,649 | \$10,287 | \$0 | \$153,313 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|

(1) These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table, under either Salary or Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation .

Table of Contents

- (2) These amounts represent contributions made under our SRP, which include matching contributions equal to 100% of the first 5% and an annual contribution equal to 3% of compensation deferred by the participant under the SRP. These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table under All Other Compensation .
- (3) The earnings in this column are not included in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (4) The aggregate balances reported in this column for each NEO include amounts reported previously in prior years Summary Compensation Tables, as well as:
 - (i) contributions for 2014 which are reported in the Summary Compensation Table of this proxy statement, and (ii) the following earnings: Mr. Henderson: \$89,195; Mr. Thomson: \$21,184; Ms. West: \$7,583; Mr. Newman: \$12,711; Ms. Cade: \$10,287.

Savings Restoration Plan

On December 6, 2011, the Compensation Committee adopted the SRP, effective as of January 1, 2012. The SRP is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is made available to participants in our 401(k) Plan whose compensation is expected to exceed the IRS limit on compensation that can be taken into account under that Plan (\$260,000 for 2014). Under the SRP, employees can make an advance election to defer on a pre-tax basis up to 50% of the portion of their salary and bonus that exceeds the applicable IRS compensation limit. Such amounts will be credited to a bookkeeping account established for each participant as of the date the amounts would otherwise have been paid to the participant. Employer contributions will be credited to the accounts of each employee who elects to defer compensation, and they consist of (1) a matching contribution equal to 100% of the first 5% of compensation deferred by the participant under the SRP and (2) an additional contribution equal to 3% of the compensation deferred by the participant under the SRP.

Participants are always fully vested in their own deferrals as well as the 3% employer contribution, and they will vest in the employer matching contributions and discretionary contributions in accordance with the vesting schedule in the 401(k) Plan, which provides for 100% vesting after three years of service. Participants can direct the investment of their bookkeeping accounts among the same investment alternatives available under the 401(k) Plan. Unless the participant elects otherwise, distributions are made in a lump sum on the first day of the seventh month following termination of employment (or immediately to the participant's beneficiary in the event of the participant's earlier death). The participant can elect, prior to his or her first year of participation, to receive a distribution in installments over two to ten years instead of a lump sum if he or she terminates due to retirement, which is defined as termination after attaining age 55 with 10 years of service, or age 60 with 5 years of service. In addition, a participant can elect, concurrently with the annual deferral election, to receive an in-service lump sum distribution of the amount he or she elects to defer for such year, with such payment date not earlier than three years from the end of the year in which the election is made. A participant can change the time or method of distribution in limited circumstances.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We provide benefits to our NEOs upon termination of employment under certain circumstances. These benefits are in addition to the benefits to which the NEOs would be entitled upon a termination of employment generally (which include vested retirement benefits accrued as of the date of termination, stock-based awards that are vested as of the date of termination and the right to elect continued health coverage pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act, or COBRA). The incremental benefits payable to the NEOs are described as follows:

Executive Involuntary Severance Plan

The Executive Involuntary Severance Plan provides severance to designated executives whose employment is terminated by SunCoke Energy other than for cause (as defined in the Plan), death or disability. Severance is paid in monthly installments over a 13- to 26-month period, and ranges from one to two times the sum of the executive's annual base salary and target annual incentive, depending on the executive's position. Executives are also entitled to the continuation of medical plan benefits at active employee rates for the period during which the participant receives severance payments (which run concurrently with COBRA); continuation of life

Table of Contents

insurance coverage equal to one time s the executive s base salary and outplacement services. Severance is subject to the execution of a release of claims against SunCoke Energy at the time of termination of the executive s employment. The multiples of base salary and annual incentive for the NEOs are as follows: Mr. Henderson: 2x; Mr. Thomson: 1.5x; Ms. West: 1.5x; Mr. Newman: 1.5x; and Ms. Cade: 1.5x.

Special Executive Severance Plan

The Special Executive Severance Plan provides severance to designated executives whose employment is terminated by SunCoke Energy other than for cause, death or disability, or who resign for good reason (as such terms are defined in the Plan) within two years following a change in control of SunCoke Energy. Severance is generally payable in a lump sum, equal to two to three times the sum of the executive s annual base salary and the greater of (i) 100% of the executive s target annual incentive in effect immediately before the change in control or, if higher, employment termination date, or (ii) the average annual incentive awarded to the executive with respect to the three years ending before the change in control or, if higher, ending before the employment termination date, with the multiple depending on the executive s position. Executives are also entitled to the continuation of medical and dental plan benefits at active employee rates for either two or three years (with COBRA eligibility beginning at the end of the applicable continuation period), continuation of life insurance coverage equal to one time s the executive s base salary and outplacement services. In addition, if an executive is terminated without cause within two years of the change in control all equity awards will vest and stock options continue to be exercisable for one year following such termination, which is consistent with the LTPEP. If the benefits received by an executive upon a change in control would trigger an excise tax under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code, the benefits under the plan will either (i) be paid to the executive, in which case he or she will be responsible for the tax or, (ii) if it would result in a greater after-tax benefit to the executive, be reduced so that no excise tax is triggered. The multiples of base salary and annual incentive for the eligible NEOs are as follows: Mr. Henderson: 3x; Mr. Thomson: 2x; Ms. West: 2x; and Ms. Cade: 2x. Notwithstanding the general severance formula, Mr. Thomson will receive the greater of the severance provided under this plan or the severance that he would have received under Sunoco s severance plan had he terminated at the time of the IPO, which was based on a multiplier of 3x base salary and target annual incentive in effect at the time of IPO.

Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan

Under the LTPEP, if within 24 months following a change in control a participant s employment is terminated by SunCoke Energy other than for cause, death or disability or by the participant for good reason (as such terms are defined in the LTPEP), all equity awards will vest under the terms of the award agreements, and stock options continue to be exercisable for one year following such termination. In addition, stock options continue to vest if retirement occurs on or after December 31 of the calendar year in which the stock option was granted, and fully vest upon death or disability. Restricted share units fully vest upon death or disability.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control Table**

The table set forth below quantifies the additional benefits that would be paid to each NEO pursuant to the arrangements described above, assuming a termination of employment and/or change in control occurred on December 31, 2014:

| Named Executive Officer | Death/ Disability (\$) | Termination | Termination in |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | Prior to a Change in Control (\$) | Connection with a Change in Control (\$) |
| Frederick A. Henderson: | | | |
| Cash Severance ⁽¹⁾ | | 4,095,000 | 6,142,500 |
| Health & Welfare Continuation ⁽²⁾ | | 28,660 | 45,516 |
| Stock Option Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 1,213,718 | | 1,213,718 |
| Restricted Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 2,981,628 | | 2,981,628 |
| Performance Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 2,325,886 | 61,047 ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,325,886 |
| Outplacement ⁽⁵⁾ | | 9,500 | 9,500 |
| TOTAL | 6,521,233 | 4,194,207 | 12,718,749 |
| Michael J. Thomson: | | | |
| Cash Severance ⁽¹⁾ | | 1,338,750 | 2,422,500 |
| Health & Welfare Continuation ⁽²⁾ | | 14,021 | 29,607 |
| Stock Option Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 77,308 | | 77,308 |
| Restricted Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 648,354 | | 648,354 |
| Performance Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 448,804 | 11,166 ⁽⁴⁾ | 448,804 |
| Outplacement ⁽⁵⁾ | | 9,500 | 9,500 |
| TOTAL | 1,174,466 | 1,373,437 | 3,636,073 |
| Fay West: | | | |
| Cash Severance ⁽¹⁾ | | 1,050,000 | 1,400,000 |
| Health & Welfare Continuation ⁽²⁾ | | 1,868 | 2,491 |
| Stock Option Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 14,946 | | 14,946 |
| Restricted Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 258,054 | | 258,054 |
| Performance Share Unit Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | | ⁽⁴⁾ | 0 |
| Outplacement ⁽⁵⁾ | | 9,500 | 9,500 |
| TOTAL | 273,000 | 1,061,368 | 1,684,991 |
| Mark E. Newman: ⁽⁶⁾ | | | |
| Cash Severance | | | |
| Health & Welfare Continuation | | | |
| Stock Option Acceleration Value | | | |
| Restricted Share Unit Acceleration Value | | | |
| Performance Share Unit Acceleration Value | | | |
| Outplacement | | | |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denise R. Cade: | | | |
| Cash Severance ⁽¹⁾ | | 876,000 | 1,168,000 |
| Health & Welfare Continuation ⁽²⁾ | | 8,367 | 11,713 |
| Stock Option Acceleration Value ⁽³⁾ | 34,180 | | 34,180 |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

| | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Restricted Share Unit Acceleration Value⁽³⁾ | 292,904 | | 292,904 |
| Performance Share Unit Acceleration Value⁽³⁾ | 200,653 | 5,362⁽⁴⁾ | 200,653 |
| Outplacement⁽⁵⁾ | | 9,500 | 9,500 |
| TOTAL | 527,737 | 899,229 | 1,716,950 |

- (1) These amounts represent the cash severance payments of salary and bonus made in accordance with the Executive Involuntary Severance Plan for termination prior to a change in control and the Special Executive Severance Plan on or after a change in control. Mr. Thomson is entitled to receive the greater of the severance under the Special Executive Severance Plan or the severance that would have been paid to him under Sunoco's severance plan at the time of IPO. The amount, payable following a change in control, thus

Table of Contents

- represents the severance payable under Sunoco's Plan (*i.e.*, three times the sum of his former salary (\$475,000) and target bonus opportunity (70% of salary).
- (2) These amounts reflect the continuation of medical benefits and life insurance coverage under the Executive Involuntary Severance Plan and the continuation of medical and dental benefits and life insurance coverage under the Special Executive Severance Plan. Mr. Thomson is entitled to three years of continued medical, dental and life insurance coverage upon a qualifying termination of employment following a change in control.
 - (3) The market value of stock options, restricted share units and performance share units that would vest under the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2014 of \$19.34.
 - (4) In the case of retirement, the NEO is entitled to a *pro rata* portion of the shares the NEO would have received had the NEO remained employed through the end of the three-year performance period. Since this number cannot be currently determined, the table reflects a *pro rata* portion of the target number.
 - (5) These amounts represent the outplacement benefit our executives are eligible to receive under each Plan.
 - (6) Mr. Newman was not actively employed with SunCoke on December 31, 2014 and therefore was not eligible for benefits as outlined on this table.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Act enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure.

Our strategy with respect to compensation of our NEOs focuses upon tying compensation to increasing stockholder value over the long-term. Our compensation structure has a strong performance orientation with a significant portion of pay at risk based on performance. The level of pay at risk increases progressively at positions of greater responsibility. Our compensation levels use the median of the market as a reference point, with flexibility for individual experience and performance. The market is defined by reference to general industry and energy services companies, as well as a specific peer group. Leadership compensation is aligned with stockholders' interests, and leadership will be rewarded when the interests of stockholders are advanced. The compensation structure supports our need to attract and retain top level talent, individuals with critical skills and top performers. We provide competitive benefits in a manner that emphasizes flexibility and the avoidance of legacy liabilities (for example, no defined benefit plan or retiree medical plan).

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our NEO compensation structure as described in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our NEOs' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement.

The say-on-pay vote to approve our executive compensation is advisory, and therefore not binding on SunCoke Energy, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the NEO compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our stockholders' concerns and the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

The current frequency of our stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation is annually, and the next such vote will be held at our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the advisory approval of our executive compensation.

Table of Contents

**BENEFICIAL STOCK OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND
PERSONS OWNING MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT OF COMMON STOCK**

Beneficial Stock Ownership of Persons Owning More Than Five Percent of Common Stock

The following table shows the amount of our common stock beneficially owned by stockholders who we know to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of SunCoke Energy common stock. The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and dispositive power, unless otherwise noted.

| Name | Shares of | |
|---|-----------------|---|
| | Common Stock | Percent of Common Stock Outstanding |
| BlackRock, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ | 5,850,137 | 8.80% |
| Jet Capital Investors, L.P. ⁽²⁾ | 4,119,161 | 6.22% |
| The Vanguard Group ⁽³⁾ | 4,352,224 | 6.57% |

- (1) Number is based on information contained in Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 22, 2015. BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 5,691,359 shares. The mailing address of BlackRock, Inc. is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.
- (2) Number is based on information contained in Schedule 13D filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 20, 2015. The mailing address of Jet Capital Investors, L.P is 540 Madison Ave, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10022.
- (3) Number is based on information contained in Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 2015. The Vanguard Group has sole voting power with respect to 89,688 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 4,266,937 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 85,288 shares. The mailing address of The Vanguard Group is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

Beneficial Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows the amount of our common stock beneficially owned as of March 1, 2015 by each director of SunCoke Energy, by each of our NEOs and by all directors and executive officers of SunCoke Energy as a group. Each person has sole investment and voting power over the securities listed in the table.

| Name | Shares of Common Stock | Right to | | Percent of Common Stock Outstanding |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------|--|
| | | Acquire Within | | |
| | | 60 days After March 1, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ | Total | |
| Frederick A. Henderson | 152,429 | 1,199,690 | 1,352,119 | 2.04% |
| Robert J. Darnall | 15,909 ⁽²⁾ | | 15,909 | * |
| Alvin Bledsoe | 5,934 ⁽²⁾ | | 5,934 | * |
| Peter B. Hamilton | 21,513 | | 21,513 | * |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

| | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Karen B. Peetz | 0⁽²⁾ | | 0 | * |
| John W. Rowe | 5,000⁽²⁾ | | 5,000 | * |
| James E. Sweetnam | 31,715⁽²⁾ | | 31,715 | * |
| Michael J. Thomson | 142,943 | 448,429 | 591,372 | * |
| Fay West | 8,576 | 39,560 | 48,136 | * |
| Denise R. Cade | 7,616 | 79,446 | 87,062 | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons) | 391,635 | 1,767,125 | 2,158,760 | 3.35% |

* Less than one percent of our outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents

- (1) The amounts shown in this column reflect shares of SunCoke common stock which the persons listed have the right to acquire as a result of the exercise of stock options, and/or conversion of restricted share units, within 60 days after March 1, 2015 under certain plans, including the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan.
- (2) Certain directors have elected to defer their stock awards into common share units under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan described on page 17 of this proxy statement. Each common share unit is treated as if it were invested in shares of common stock, but these common share units do not have voting rights. Dividend equivalents are credited in the form of additional common share units. Such common share units will be settled in cash following termination of the director's service on the Board, based upon the average closing price for a share of our common stock for the ten trading days on the NYSE immediately prior to the payment date. The following directors hold such common share units: Mr. Bledsoe: 17,325 units; Mr. Darnall: 10,631 units; Ms. Peetz: 17,325 units; Mr. Rowe: 15,568 units; and Mr. Sweetnam: 17,325 units.

Certain of our directors and executive officers own common units representing limited partnership interests of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., a master limited partnership in which SunCoke Energy has a 58.1% ownership interest. The number of such common units beneficially owned by individuals listed in the Beneficial Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers Table as of March 1, 2015 is as follows (each person has sole investment and voting power over the securities listed):

| Name | Number of SXCP | | Right to Acquire Within 60 days After March 1, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ | Percent of SXCP Common Units Outstanding |
|--|----------------|--------|--|--|
| | Common Units | Total | | |
| Frederick A. Henderson | 16,000 | 16,000 | | * |
| Robert J. Darnall | 4,000 | 4,000 | | * |
| Alvin Bledsoe | 1,000 | 1,000 | | * |
| Peter B. Hamilton | 0 | 0 | | * |
| Karen B. Peetz | 0 | 0 | | * |
| John W. Rowe | 0 | 0 | | * |
| James E. Sweetnam | 16,100 | 16,100 | | * |
| Michael J. Thomson | 5,000 | 5,000 | | * |
| Fay West | 0 | 0 | | * |
| Denise R. Cade | 0 | 0 | | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons) | 42,100 | 42,100 | | *% |

* Less than one percent of the total number of the issued and outstanding common units, representing limited partnership interests in SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE MATTERS

Audit Committee Report

The following is the report of the Audit Committee dated February 23, 2015 with respect to SunCoke Energy's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. The information contained in this report shall not be deemed soliciting material or otherwise considered filed with the SEC, and such information shall not be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that SunCoke Energy specifically incorporates such information by reference in such filing.

The Audit Committee consists of three members: Messrs. Bledsoe and Sweetnam and Ms. Peetz. All of the members are independent directors under the NYSE and SEC audit committee membership requirements. The Audit Committee has certain duties and powers as described in its written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. A copy of the charter can be found on our corporate website at www.suncoke.com.

The Audit Committee is responsible primarily for assisting the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibility of reviewing the financial information that will be provided to stockholders and others, appointing the independent registered public accounting firm and reviewing the services performed by our independent registered public accounting firm and internal audit department. The Audit Committee does not itself prepare financial statements or perform audits and its members are not auditors or certifiers of SunCoke Energy's financial statements.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibility of appointing and reviewing the services performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee carefully reviews the policies and procedures for the engagement of our independent registered public accounting firm, including the scope of the audit, audit fees, auditor independence matters and the extent to which the independent registered public accounting firm may be retained to perform non-audit related services. SunCoke Energy maintains an auditor independence policy that mandates that the Audit Committee pre-approve the audit and non-audit services and related budget in advance.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 with SunCoke Energy's management and Ernst & Young LLP (E&Y). The Audit Committee also has discussed with E&Y the matters required to be discussed by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 16, Communications with Audit Committees.

The Audit Committee also has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from E&Y required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding E&Y's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with E&Y its independence from SunCoke Energy.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board that the financial statements referred to above be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Members of the Audit Committee:

Alvin Bledsoe (Chair)

Karen B. Peetz

James E. Sweetnam

Table of Contents**Audit Fees**

The following table sets forth the fees billed by our independent registered public accounting firm for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

| | Audit and Non-Audit Fees | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Ernst & Young LLP | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,378,376 | \$ 1,264,945 |
| Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Tax Fees ⁽³⁾ | 206,112 | 37,341 |
| All Other Fees | | |
| Total | \$ 1,584,488 | \$ 1,302,286 |

- (1) Audit fees relate to professional services rendered in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements, quarterly review of financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, fees for reviews of our registration statements filed with the SEC and audit services provided in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings.
- (2) Audit-related fees relate to assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements or that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor, such as employee benefit plan audits, and agreed upon procedures required to comply with financial, accounting or regulatory reporting.
- (3) Tax fees relate to professional services rendered in connection with tax audits, international tax compliance and international tax consulting and planning services.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

SunCoke Energy maintains an auditor independence policy that mandates that the Audit Committee pre-approve the audit and non-audit services and related budget in advance. The policy identifies: (1) the guiding principles that must be considered by the Audit Committee in approving services to ensure that the auditor's independence is not impaired, (2) describes the audit, audit-related and tax services that may be provided and the non-audit services that are prohibited and (3) sets forth pre-approval requirements for all permitted services. In some cases, pre-approval is provided by the full Audit Committee for the applicable fiscal year for a particular category or group of services, subject to an authorized amount. In other cases, the Audit Committee specifically pre-approves services. To ensure compliance with the policy, the policy requires that our Vice President and Controller report the amount of fees incurred for the various services provided by the auditor not less frequently than semiannually. The Audit Committee has delegated authority to its Chair to pre-approve one or more individual audit or permitted non-audit services for which estimated fees do not exceed \$100,000, as well as adjustments to any estimated pre-approval fee thresholds up to \$50,000 for any individual service. Any such pre-approvals must then be reported at the next scheduled meeting of the Audit Committee.

Table of Contents**OTHER INFORMATION****Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 regarding the number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the LTPEP and the Retainer Stock Plan.

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) ⁽¹⁾ | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 4,776,884 | \$ 17.37 | 3,329,223 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 4,776,884 | \$ 17.37 | 3,329,223 |

- (1) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding stock options (excludes restricted stock units, which were granted at no cost to participants).
- (2) Includes conversions of Sunoco, Inc. common stock to SunCoke Energy common stock upon completion of our IPO on July 21, 2011 and subsequent and grants made under the LTPEP. Consists of 4,314,058 stock options, 985,194 restricted share units, and 180,807 performance share units.
- (3) Consists of 2,865,579 shares available for issuance under the LTPEP and 463,344 shares available for issuance under the Retainer Stock Plan.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock, to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC and to furnish us with copies of the forms they file. Based on our review of filings made with the SEC and representations made by our directors and executive officers, we believe that all of our directors and executive officers timely filed all reports that were required under Section 16(a) during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Future Stockholder Proposals

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

In order for a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2016 Annual Meeting, the proposal must be received by our Corporate Secretary at SunCoke Energy, Inc., 1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600, Lisle, Illinois 60532, on or before November 26, 2015 and comply with the procedures and requirements set forth in Rule 14a-8(e)(2) under the Exchange Act.

In accordance with the advance notice requirements contained in our Amended and Restated Bylaws, for director nominations or other business to be brought before the 2016 Annual Meeting by a stockholder, other than Rule 14a-8 proposals described above, written notice must be delivered no earlier than the close of business on January 8, 2016 and no later than the close of business on February 7, 2016 to our Corporate Secretary at SunCoke Energy, Inc., 1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600, Lisle, Illinois 60532.

These stockholder notices must comply with the requirements of our Amended and Restated Bylaws and will not be effective otherwise.

Table of Contents

Solicitation of Proxies

The cost of soliciting proxies in the enclosed form will be borne by SunCoke Energy. In addition to solicitation by mail, our officers and other employees may solicit proxies personally, by telephone and by facsimile. We may request banks and brokers or other similar agents or fiduciaries to transmit the proxy materials to the beneficial owners for their voting instructions and will reimburse them for their expenses in so doing. We have retained Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Ave, Stamford, Connecticut 06902, to assist us in the solicitation of proxies for an estimated fee of \$7,500, plus reimbursement of certain out-of-pocket expenses.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Denise R. Cade

Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Corporate Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer

Lisle, Illinois

March 25, 2015

Table of Contents

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.

C/O PROXY SERVICES

P.O. BOX 9142

FARMINGDALE, NY 11735

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Vote the shares online and request future electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 6, 2015. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

Help us reduce the costs of printing and mailing proxy materials by consenting to receive all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via the Internet. To sign up, please follow the instructions to vote using the Internet above and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote the shares up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 6, 2015. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M81608-P59071

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

For All **Withhold All** **For All Except**

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **For All Except** and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

Vote on Directors

1. Election of Directors

Nominees:

- 01) Frederick A. Henderson
- 02) Alvin Bledsoe

Vote on Proposal

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following proposal:

For Against Abstain

2. Advisory approval of executive compensation.

The shares represented by this proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned Stockholder(s). **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR items 1 and 2.** If any other matters properly come before the meeting, the person(s) named in this proxy will vote in their discretion.

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.

Yes No

Please sign your name exactly as it appears hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please add your title as such. When signing as joint tenants, all parties in the joint tenancy must sign. If a signer is a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by duly authorized officer.

| Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] | Date | Signature (Joint Owners) | Date |
|------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
|------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com

M81609-P59071

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

MAY 7, 2015

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The stockholder(s) hereby appoint(s) Frederick A. Henderson and Michael J. Thomson, or either of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint a substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of Common Stock of SunCoke Energy, Inc. that the stockholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at 9:00 a.m. Central Time on May 7, 2015, at the Hotel Arista, 2139 CityGate Lane, Naperville, Illinois 60563, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED BY THE STOCKHOLDER(S). IF NO SUCH DIRECTION IS MADE, OR IS UNCLEAR, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS LISTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE AND FOR PROPOSAL 2.

IF YOU DO NOT VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR OVER THE INTERNET, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED REPLY ENVELOPE.

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any address changes/comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

PLEASE SIGN ON REVERSE SIDE