

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT LTD
Form 10QSB
May 21, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-QSB

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007

Transition Report pursuant to 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-30653

Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

80-0068489

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

12202 North Scottsdale Road, Phoenix, AZ 85054

(Address of principal executive offices)

949 851-1069

(Issuer's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 2,896,820 Common Shares as of May 15, 2007.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and</u> <u>Analysis or Plan of Operation</u> <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> <u>15</u> |
| <u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity</u> <u>Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of</u> <u>Security Holders</u> <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> <u>16</u> |

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Our unaudited financial statements included in this Form 10-QSB are as follows:

| | |
|------------|---|
| <u>F-1</u> | <u>Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2007;</u> |
| <u>F-2</u> | <u>Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006;</u> |
| <u>F-3</u> | <u>Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006;</u> |
| <u>F-4</u> | <u>Notes to Financial Statements;</u> |

These unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the SEC instructions to Form 10-QSB. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the interim period ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the full year.

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet
March 31, 2007

ASSETS

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Properties, net of accumulated depreciation of \$116,376 | \$ 1,531,661 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 2,640 |
| Prepaid expenses | 7,119 |
| Restricted cash | 72,288 |
| Asset held for sale | 23,544 |
| Other assets | 3,400 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,640,653 |

**LIABILITIES AND
STOCKHOLDERS'
DEFICIT**

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Mortgages payable | \$ 1,136,207 |
| Mortgages payable, related parties | 138,630 |
| Notes payable, related parties | 3,275 |
| Interest payable | 39,433 |
| Payroll liabilities | 22,607 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 195,589 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,535,740 |

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Minority Interest | 89,870 |
|-------------------|--------|

**STOCKHOLDERS'
DEFICIT**

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Series A Preferred Stock, 375,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, 355,978 shares issued & outstanding | 3,559 |
| Series B Preferred Stock, 1,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, 8,044 shares issued & outstanding | 80 |
| Series C Preferred Stock, 1,125,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value, 0 shares issued & outstanding | - |
| | 2,897 |

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Common Stock, 100,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, 2,896,820 shares issued and outstanding | |
| Paid In Capital | 8,812,272 |
| Unissued shares | 5,830 |
| Accumulated Deficit | (8,733,445) |
| Net Income | (76,152) |
| Total Stockholders' Deficit | 15,042 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Deficit | \$ 1,640,653 |

See accompanying notes

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD
Consolidated Statement of Operations
(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended March 31 | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| REVENUES | | |
| Rental | 78,485 | \$ 76,940 |
| Brokerage | 0 | 0 |
| Total Revenues | 78,485 | 76,940 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| General and Administrative Expenses | 135,781 | 247,568 |
| Operating (Loss) | (57,297) | (170,628) |
| Other Income and (Losses) | | |
| Interest Expense | (21,313) | (37,369) |
| Interest Income | 143 | 143 |
| Minority Interest | 5,336 | 5,336 |
| Other | 14,753 | 137,048 |
| Total Other Income and Losses | (1,080) | 105,158 |
| Net Income (Loss) | (58,377) | (65,470) |
| Net income (loss) per share | | |
| | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.06) |
| Basic and diluted weight average shares | | |
| | 1,697,249 | 1,183,724 |

See accompanying notes

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow
(Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31
2007 **2006**

Cash flows from / (to)**operating activities:**

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Net Income (Loss) | (58,377) | (65,470) |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and Amortization | | 10,646 |
| Consulting prepaid expense | 0 | 0 |
| Minority Interest | | (5,336) |
| Shares cancelled | 0 | (11,250) |
| Increase (decrease) in assets and liabilities | | |
| Receivables | | 1,164 |
| Note Receivable | | 32,277 |
| Accounts payable and other | | (143,188) |
| Accrued interest added to notes payable | | 8,507 |
| Payroll liabilities | | (910) |
| Prepaid Expenses and other | | 598 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | | (172,963) |

Cash flow to investing activities:

| | | |
|---|---|-----------|
| Investment in real estate | 0 | (200,000) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | (200,000) |

Cash flows from financing activities:

| | | |
|---|---|----------|
| Payments on notes payable - related party | 0 | (25,000) |
| Payments on mortgage payable | 0 | (5,220) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 0 | (30,220) |

| | | |
|--|--------|------------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 12,885 | (403,183) |
| Cash and cash equivalent, beginning period | 12,885 | 1,230,404 |
| Cash and cash equivalent, end of period | 2,640 | \$ 827,221 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | 21,313 | 21,313 |

See accompanying notes

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 - Basis of presentation and Going Concern

Basis of presentation:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for the presentation of financial information, and include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Going concern:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principle, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has accumulated deficit of \$8,735,061 as of December 31, 2006. The Company reported net loss of \$740,202 at December 31, 2006. Additionally, the Company reported cash of only \$112,885 at December 31, 2006. The Company does not have adequate cash reserves to pay its existing obligations and does not appear to be able to raise the necessary capital to meet its obligations for the next 12 months. Since our inception we have been unsuccessful in pursuing revenues with our investment properties. Several of our acquired properties, including the T-Rex Plaza, the Hospitality Inn, and the Katella Center, among others, were or became impaired assets that were underperforming. These properties were incapable of generating adequate revenues. A major contributing factor to the lack of revenues for these properties was high-cost of debt and ground lease obligations underlying these properties. The assets that sufficiently produced cash to service their obligations, but not sufficient cash to support the Company's overhead, such as Decatur Center, Spencer Springs and the Cannery West, had to be sold to continue our operations, including the high costs associated with being a public company, in addition to absorbing the costs associated with our impaired assets. Current management has restructured the Company's operations by selling many of its poorly performing properties and reducing the associated high cost of debt and ground leases. The Company significantly reduced overhead and rolled backed the stock in order to restructure the Company's capital structure. As a result of the problems with our properties, our ability to raise capital was met with failure in several instances. Management continues with efforts to find business partners. Our company stands in financial jeopardy and may not continue as a going concern. We are not likely to raise capital and we are forced to consider other business opportunities.

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to raise additional capital to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 - Nature of Operations

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the state of Utah on November 22, 1978. On July 23, 2002, the shareholders approved a change in domicile from Utah to Nevada. In accordance with Nevada corporate law, a change of domicile is effected by merging the foreign corporation with and into a Nevada corporation. On August 9, 2002, a merger between the Company and Book Corporation of America was completed. Upon completion of the merger Book Corporation of

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

America was dissolved. On September 18, 2002, the OTCBB symbol for the Company's common stock was changed from BCAM to SCDI. The shareholders also approved amendments to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to change the par value of the Company's Common Stock from \$.005 to \$.001 and to authorize 50,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock (Series A, B and C), par value \$0.01. On November 15, 2002, the Company changed its fiscal year end from October 31 to December 31.

During 2002, the Company began pursuing the acquisition of ownership interests in real estate properties that are geographically and functionally diverse in order to be more stable and less susceptible to devaluation resulting from regional economic downturns and market shifts. Currently, the Company owns a shopping center in Orange, California; the Company also owns a single story office building in Newport Beach, California through its majority owned subsidiary Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC. During the first quarter of 2006 the Company acquired two additional properties in Phoenix, Arizona.

NOTE 3 - Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority owned subsidiary, Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC (53.8%). All material inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures; for example, the estimated useful lives of assets and the fair value of real property. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Credit and concentration risk. The Company maintains deposit accounts in numerous financial institutions. From time to time, cash deposits may exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits. The Company maintains no certificates of deposit in excess of federal deposit insurance limits; however, the Company's general operating account exceeds federal deposit insurance limits.

Revenue recognition. The Company's revenues are derived from rental income. Rental revenues are recognized in the period services are provided.

As a lessor, the Company has retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the Office Properties and account for our leases as operating leases. Income on leases, which includes scheduled increases in rental rates during the lease term and/or abated rent payments for various periods following the tenant's lease commencement date, is recognized on a straight-line basis. Property leases generally provide for the reimbursement of annual increases in operating expenses above base year operating expenses (excess operating expenses), payable to the Company in equal installments throughout the year based on estimated increases. Any differences between the estimated increase and actual amounts incurred are adjusted at year end.

Cash and cash equivalents. The Company considers all short term, highly liquid investments, that are readily convertible to known amounts within ninety days as cash equivalents. The Company currently has no such investments.

Restricted cash. The Company is required by a lender to maintain a \$70,000 deposit in a bank account at the lenders financial institution. The deposit and 1st trust deed on real property serve as collateral for the loan. The deposit is returnable subject to the borrower meeting certain payment and financial reporting conditions.

Property and equipment. Property and equipment are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the lease term or the estimated

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

life of the asset. Depreciation and amortization is computed on the straight-line method. Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Investments. The consolidated method of accounting is used for investments in associated companies in which the company's interest is 50% or more. Under the consolidated method, the Company recognizes its share in the net earnings or losses of these associated companies as they occur rather than as dividends are received. Dividends received are accounted for as a reduction of the investment rather than as dividend income.

Fair value. The carrying value for cash, prepaid, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. Based upon the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of long-term debt approximates its carrying value.

Long-lived assets. Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"), which addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of," and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations for a Disposal of a Segment of a Business." The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used in accordance with SFAS 144. SFAS 144 requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amounts. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived assets. Loss on long-lived assets to be disposed of is determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost of disposal.

Issuance of shares for service. The Company accounts for the issuance of equity instruments to acquire goods and services. The stocks were valued at the average fair market value of the freely trading shares of the Company as quoted on OTCBB on the date of issuance.

Income (Loss) per share. Basic loss per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, all potential common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share, as the effect of which was anti-dilutive.

Stock-based compensation. In October 1995, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". SFAS No. 123 prescribes accounting and reporting standards for all stock-based compensation plans, including employee stock options, restricted stock, employee stock purchase plans and stock appreciation rights. SFAS No. 123 requires compensation expense to be recorded (i) using the new fair value method or (ii) using the existing accounting rules prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for stock issued to employees" (APB 25) and related interpretations with pro forma disclosure of what net income and earnings per share would have been had the Company adopted the new fair value method. The company uses the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB25 and has opted for the disclosure provisions of SFAS No.123.

In November of 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Employee Stock Incentive Plan and the 2003 Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan, (collectively the "2003 Plans"). The 2003 Plans authorized the grant of stock options, restricted stock awards, stock in lieu of cash compensation and stock purchase rights covering up to a total of

15,000,000 shares of common stock to key employees, consultants, and members our Board of Directors and also provides for ongoing automatic grants of stock options to non-

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

employee directors. Effective April 1, 2005, the 2003 Employee Plan had been eliminated. The officers rescinded their employment agreements thereby forgiving and rescinding their respective grant of options under the 2003 Employee Plan. The options were part of the 2003 employment agreements (see Footnote 12 Commitments and Contingencies *Officer Employment Agreements*). The former officers of the Company were collectively granted a total of \$2,500,000 shares of which 1,250,000 were vested at December 31, 2004. The Company recorded the expense of the vested options at that date. However, a majority of the non-employee directors who received grants have resigned and were required to exercise such options within six months of resigning from the board or the options would expire and automatically cancel. All grants of stock options have expired and thus cancelled. There are no outstanding stock options as of December 31, 2006.

Gain recognition on sale of real estate assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, the Company performs evaluations of each real estate sale to determine if full gain recognition is appropriate and of each sale or contribution of a property to a joint venture to determine if partial gain recognition is appropriate. The application of SFAS No. 66 can be complex and requires the Company to make assumptions including an assessment of whether the risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred, the extent of the purchaser's investment in the property being sold, whether its receivables, if any, related to the sale are collectible and are subject to subordination, and the degree of its continuing involvement with the real estate asset after the sale. If full gain recognition is not appropriate, the Company accounts for the sale under an appropriate deferral method.

Income Taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed annually for differences between the consolidated financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income (loss). Valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Advertising. The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred.

Segment Reporting. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 ("SFAS 131"), "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" requires use of the "management approach" model for segment reporting. The management approach model is based on the way a company's management organizes segments within the company for making operating decisions and assessing performance. Reportable segments are based on products and services, geography, legal structure, management structure, or any other manner in which management disaggregates a company.

During 2005, the Company sold two improved real properties and our unimproved parcel of land located Dickinson, North Dakota and Las Vegas, Nevada. By the end of 2005, our remaining portfolio consisted of a 100% ownership interest in the Katella Business Center in Orange, California, and a 53.8% ownership interest in the Campus Drive Office Building in Newport Beach, California.

During the first quarter of 2006, the Company acquired investment interest in two separate properties in Arizona.

On January 6, 2006, the Company acquired a 25 percent Tenant-in-Common interest in a commercial property located in Paradise Valley, Arizona for \$300,000. The tenant-in common partners include a director of the Company, 25 percent, and an unrelated third party, 50 percent and SDI 25%. The unrelated third party will be responsible for all costs of operation including, but not limited to, landscaping, maintenance, taxes, insurance, property management and debt payments.

On February 15, 2006, the Company acquired a 33.3 percent interest in a property located in Phoenix, Arizona for \$200,000. The property consists of a 2,180 square foot structure on approximately 38,587

SECURED DIVERSIFIED INVESTMENT, LTD.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

square feet of land. The Company's interest was purchased from Ms Jan Wallace, an officer and director of the Company. The property will be used to house the Company's headquarters. The Company is not responsible for any of the expenses and does not share in the revenue stream associated with these properties.

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, all of the Companies properties are located in California except for the investment properties which are located in Arizona. Properties in Arizona does not contribute to the income or expense stream of the Company.

Recent accounting pronouncements. In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123" ("FAS No. 123R"). FAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the statement of operations the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees. FAS No. 123R is effective beginning in the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2006. The Company believes that the adoption of this standard will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2006, FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments". SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS No 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", and SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities". SFAS No. 155, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interest in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on the qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006 FASB issued SFAS 156 'Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets' this Statement amends FASB Statement No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement:

1. Requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract.
2. Requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable.
3. Permits an entity to choose 'Amortization method' or Fair value measurement method' for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities:
4. At its initial adoption, permits a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities by entities with recognized servicing rights, without calling into question the treatment of other available-for-sale securities under Statement 115, provided that the available-for-sale securities are identified in some manner as offsetting the entity's exposure to changes in fair value of servicing assets or servicing liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value.

This Statement is effective as of the beginning of the Company's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management believes that this statement will not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, FASB issued SFAS 157 'Fair Value Measurements'. This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, the Board having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is the relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this Statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this Statement will change current practice. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The management is currently evaluating the effect of this pronouncement on financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158 ("SFAS 158"), "*Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)*". This statement requires an employer to recognize the over funded or under funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity. This statement also requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. The Company will be required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008, or fiscal 2009 for the Company. Adoption of SFAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 ("SFAS 159"), "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*". SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 159 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassification

Certain items in the accompanied financial statements are reclassified for comparative purpose.

NOTE 4 - Property and Equipment

The Company acquires income-producing real estate assets in the normal course of business. During 2005, the Company sold a shopping center and vacant lot in Dickinson, North Dakota and a shopping center in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Depreciation expense at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$42,583 and \$43,950, respectively. No interest was capitalized in either period.

During 2003, the Company acquired the T-Rex Plaza Mall and recognized an impairment loss of \$448,000, representing the entire basis of the property, because the Company does not anticipate any future cash flows from existing leases. The impairment was included in "Other income (loss)" in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003. On November 9, 2005, the Company sold the T-Rex Plaza Mall, Dickinson North Dakota, to an unrelated third party for total consideration of \$274,840 which entailed \$50,000 in cash and assumption of the underlying debt in the amount of \$224,840. The Company was also released from the land lease obligation totaling \$14,401 per month and the payment of property taxes in the amount of \$27,834, including penalties, of which \$10,039 was delinquent. The Company recorded a gain in the amount of \$276,173. A commission of \$5,000 was paid to Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc, a former subsidiary of the Company. The sale of the property netted no cash.

On October 18, 2005, the Company sold its vacant lot in Dickinson, North Dakota to Morgan Rose Investment, LLC for \$110,000. The lot was acquired in connection with the sale of the Hotel in October 2004. The Company recognized a gain on the sale of the lot in the amount of \$63,700. The sale of the lot resulted in the payoff of a mortgage and accrued interest to Prime Time Auctions in the amount of \$61,475 and the Company netting \$37,683 in cash.

During 2003, the Company acquired the Katella Business Center. The property is encumbered by a first trust deed in the amount of \$370,000 bearing an interest rate of 11.5% per annum maturing June 25, 2007, and a second trust deed in the amount of \$25,000 bearing an interest rate of 15% per annum. The second trust deed was paid off on January 19, 2006. In 2005, the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$214,977, representing 45% of the property's basis. The impairment was included in "Operating Expenses" in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. During 2006, the Company recognized an additional impairment loss of \$248,137. In June 2007 the ground lease payment will increase to \$4,760 per month with annual CPI increases, resulting in reduced cash flow of \$2,300 per month. As a result of the impairments in 2005 and 2006 Katella Business Center is 100% impaired as of December 31, 2006.

NOTE 5 - Related Party Transactions

Seashore Diversified Investment Company (SDIC). Certain of the Company's directors and officers were also directors and officers of SDIC and continue to be major shareholders of SDIC. During 2004, 2003 and 2002, SDIC advanced monies to the Company, of which \$55,000 bearing interest at 9% is evidence by a note. The advance has matured and is due on demand. At December 31, 2005, the outstanding advances totaled \$162,143 with \$38,143 in accrued interest. However, while the Company has recorded the liability and accrued interest, it is not evidence by a written instrument. Further, SDIC, whose president and major shareholders were former officers and directors of the Company agreed and acknowledge the forgiveness of all but \$35,000 of the advances and accrued interest. This forgiveness was verbally granted in 2004 and again in 2005 and acknowledged in a letter dated December 13, 2005. However, in the same letter, SDIC retracted its forgiveness. SDIC has not confirmed the debt or provide the required documentation. As of December 31, 2006 the Company recognized debt forgiveness of \$200,285.

Robert Leonard. In July 2005, the Company sold its entire interest in Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc. ("NCB") to Robert J. Leonard, a large shareholder of the Company for \$50,000. Prior to the sale, NCB had borrowed \$20,000, due on demand, from Mr. Leonard during 2005 and 2004. The Company realized a loss of \$21,352 from discontinued operations and a gain of \$75,382 on the disposal of the subsidiary. On November 1, 2005, the Company relocated its offices to 5030 Campus Drive, Newport Beach, California, which is owned by the Company's subsidiary, DCB. NCB assumed the Company's former offices at 4940 Campus Drive indemnifying and holding the Company harmless from

any and all claims, demands, causes of action, losses, costs (including without limitation reasonable court costs and attorneys' fees), liabilities or damages of any kind or nature whatsoever that the Company may sustain by reason of NCB's breach or non-fulfillment (whether by action or inaction), at any time. Leonard and NCB breached the subject agreement with the Company.

Sutterfield Family Trust and C. Wayne Sutterfield (Sutterfield). At December 31, 2005, the Company owed Sutterfield, a former director and shareholder, two notes, \$67,000 and \$71,630 both secured by trust deeds on 5030 Campus Drive. The notes bear interest at 8% and mature on February 17 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively. The Company is in default on the \$71,630 note and subsequently on the other note of \$67,000. Sutterfield is a minority owner in DCB. In addition to the interest payment on the 3rd trust deed, the Company, pursuant to the terms of the operating agreement, pays Sutterfield a preferred return on his investment. Payments to Sutterfield in 2006 and 2005 totaled \$14,034.40 and \$22,177, respectively. There is also \$25,003 in accrued interest payable. The Company retains the right to acquire all his interests in DCB. Pursuant to the operating agreement, the Company is responsible for any all cash flow deficiencies.

In December 2004, the Company sold 37% interest, equal to \$350,000, in its Spencer Springs subsidiary to Biddle and Robert Leonard (large shareholder) for \$200,000. In March 2005, the Company sold its remaining interest in Spencer Springs to Biddle for \$577,777, comprised of \$300,000 in cash and a promissory note for \$277,777 accruing interest at 3% per annum, all due and payable on October 28, 2007. Biddle repaid the note, including accrued interest, in full on May 2, 2005. The note was secured by a \$950,000 second trust deed on a shopping center located in Las Vegas, Nevada, formerly owned by the Company (Spencer Springs). The Company realized a income of \$3,491 from discontinued operations and a gain of \$285,125 on the disposal of the subsidiary.

NOTE 6 - Mortgages Payable

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Mortgage note, bearing interest at 11.5%, due on June 25, 2007, secured by 1 st trust deed on Katella Center | \$ 370,000 |
| Mortgage note, bearing interest at the "1 year constant maturity treasury rate" plus 3.5%, adjusting annually, currently 8.0%, principal and interest monthly, maturing February 2, 2013, secured by 1 st trust deed on 5030 Campus | 656,207 |
| Mortgage note, bearing interest at 8%, due on Feb. 4, 2008, secured by 2 nd trust deed on 5030 Campus | 110,000 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total mortgages payable | \$ 1,141,174 |

Interest expense on the Mortgages payable amounted to \$104,592 and \$138,963 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

NOTE 7 - Mortgages Payable - Related Parties

| | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Mortgage note, bearing interest at 8%, due on Feb. 17, 2007, secured by 5030 Campus Drive | \$ 67,000 |
| Mortgage note, bearing interest at 8%, due on Dec. 31, 2006, secured by 3 rd trust deed on 5030 Campus | 71,630 |
| Total mortgages payable- related parties | <u>\$ 138,630</u> ===== |

Interest expense on the Mortgages payable - related parties amounted to \$31,441 and \$38,521 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company is in default on both the notes as of December 31, 2006.

NOTE 8 - Stockholders' Equity

In February 2003, the Company created three series of preferred stock, all of which are convertible at the option of the holder: (1) Series A consisting of 7,500,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$1.00 per share, convertible into an equal number of common shares 36 months after issuance, with the same voting rights as common stock; (2) Series B consisting of 20,000,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$0.50 per share, and convertible into an equal number of common shares 24 months after issuance; and (3) Series C consisting of 22,500,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, a liquidation preference of \$3.00 per share, and convertible into an equal number of common shares 24 months after issuance. In the event the price of common stock is less than the purchase price of the preferred stock on the conversion date, the holder is entitled to convert at a rate equal to the purchase price divided by the common stock price.

On August 19, 2004, the Company obtained a written consent from the holders of a majority of its outstanding shares of Common Stock and Series B Preferred Stock to amend the Certificate of Designation. Such consent amends the terms of the Series B Preferred Stock to permit the Board of Directors to permit conversion of the Series B Preferred Stock into Common Stock prior to the expiration of the two-year prohibition on conversion. All 250,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock also consented to the amendment. The amendment to the Certificate of Designation became effective October 28, 2004. After approval to amend the Certificate of Designation, 5,839,479 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted to Common Stock.

On August 1, 2006, our Board of Directors resolved to amend the Articles of Incorporation pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes 78.207 to decrease the number of authorized shares of our common stock, par value \$.001, from 100,000,000 to 5,000,000 shares. Correspondingly, our Board of Directors affirmed a reverse split of twenty to one in which each shareholder will be issued one common share in exchange for each twenty common share of their currently issued common stock. At the same time and under the same authority, our Board of Directors resolved to amend the Articles of Incorporation to decrease the number of authorized shares of our preferred stock, par value \$0.01, from 50,000,000 to 2,500,000 shares. Correspondingly, our Board of Directors affirmed a reverse split of twenty to one in which each shareholder will be issued one common share in exchange for each twenty common share of their currently issued common stock. A record date of August 14, 2006 was established in order to provide the NASD ten days notice pursuant to Rule 10b-17 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 as amended. All shareholders of this record date will receive one share of our common stock for each twenty shares owned. These share certificates will be issued upon surrender. On August 1, 2006, we filed a Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation with the Nevada Secretary of State to reflect the decrease in authorized shares. Under Nevada Revised Statutes 78.207, shareholder approval was not required.

All the issued and outstanding shares have been retroactively restated for the effect of the reverse stock split of 20:1.

During the year ended December 31, 2006:

On February 2, 2006, Iomega converted its 250,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock for 15,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares were converted at a price of \$0.05 per share.

On October 23, 2006, the Company issued 400,000 bonus shares to its Chief Executive Officer and 200,000 bonus shares to its Chief Financial Officer. These shares were recorded at the fair market value close to the date of issuance and charged to operations.

On October 24, 2006, the Company issued 400,000 shares for consulting services. These shares were issued at the fair market value close to the date of issuance and charged to operations.

NOTE 9 - Stock Incentive Plans

In November 2003, the Board of Directors adopted and the Shareholders approved two stock incentive plans: the Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. 2003 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (2003 Employee Plan) and the Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. 2003 Non-employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (2003 Directors Plan). The Plans authorized the grant of stock options, restricted stock awards, stock in lieu of cash compensation and stock purchase rights covering up to a total of 15,000,000 shares of common stock to key employees, consultants, and members our Board of Directors and also provides for ongoing automatic grants of stock options to non-employee directors. Effective April 1, 2005, The 2003 Employee Plan had been eliminated. The officers rescinded their employment agreements thereby forgiving the entire amount of their accrued salaries, shares issued and their grant of options under the 2003 Employee Plan. The former officers of the Company were collectively granted stock options totaling 2,500,000 shares of which 1,250,000 were vested at December 31, 2004. The Company recorded the expense of the vested options See Footnote 12 Commitments and Contingencies *Officer Employment Agreements* and Footnote 13 Litigation.

The 2003 Director Plan has also been eliminated in 2006. However, a majority of the non-employee directors who received grants have resigned and were required to exercise such options within six months of resignation or the options would expire and automatically cancel. At December 31, 2006, all grants of stock options have expired and been cancelled.

NOTE 10 - Warrants

At December 31, 2006, the Company had the following subscriptions for warrants outstanding:

| Date | Number of Warrants | Exercise Price | Expiration Date |
|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| April 4, 2005 | 400,000 | Range from \$0.50 to \$2.00 | April 4, 2010 |

Following is a summary of the warrant activity:

- Warrants Aggregate

Outstanding Intrinsic Value
 Outstanding at December 31, 2005 400,000 - \$ -
 Granted -
 Forfeited -
 Exercised = -
 Outstanding at December 31, 2006 400,000 \$ -

Following is a summary of the status of warrants outstanding at December 31, 2006:

Outstanding Warrants Exercisable Warrants

| | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Weighted | Weighted | | | | |
| Remaining | Average | Average | | | |
| Exercise | Contractual | Exercise | Exercise | | |
| <u>Price</u> | <u>Number</u> | <u>Life</u> | <u>Price</u> | <u>Number</u> | <u>Price</u> |
| \$ 0.50 - \$2.00 | 400,000 | 3.25 years | \$ 1.25 | 75,000 | \$1.25 |

The fair value was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model assuming no dividends, a risk-free interest rate of 6.5%, an expected life of 5 years and expected volatility of 100%.

NOTE 11 - Commitment and Contingencies

Lease agreements. The Company is obligated under various ground leases (Katella Center and 5030 Campus). Future ground lease payments will be adjusted by a percentage of the fair market value of the land.

Future annual minimum lease payments and principal payments under existing agreements are as follows:
 [Missing Graphic Reference]

The lease expenses were \$79,290 and \$222,657 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On November 1, 2005, the Company relocated its offices to 5030 Campus Drive, Newport Beach, California. 5030 Campus is owned by the Company's subsidiary, Diversified Commercial Brokers. Nationwide Commercial Brokers, a former subsidiary of the Company owned by Robert Leonard a major shareholder of the Company, assumed the Company's former offices at 4940 Campus Drive and indemnify and hold the Company harmless from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, losses, costs (including without limitation reasonable court costs and attorneys' fees), liabilities or damages of any kind or nature whatsoever that the Company may sustain by reason of Nationwide Commercial Brokers' breach or non-fulfillment (whether by action or inaction), at any time. Nationwide has breached the agreement.

Officer employment agreements. During 2003, the Company executed employment agreements with its officers that extend through 2006. On May 11, 2005 and effective April 1, 2005, the officers have rescinded their employment agreements and forgiven the entire amount of their accrued salaries and their respective grant of options under the

Company's 2003 Employee Stock Incentive Plan. The Company entered into new employment agreements with the officers. Shares and stock options issued under the previous agreements will be rescinded. The employment agreements will provide for a reduced issuance of common stock and options vesting over the term of the agreement. Since then three officers have agreed to resign, and the Company has decided to set aside \$177,000 in contingent liabilities as potential payout and settlement to these officers. The Company is now in a dispute with these former officers (See Note 13 - Litigation).

Unpaid taxes. The Company has not paid approximately \$19,909 in property taxes and penalties on 5030 Campus Drive. These amounts are currently delinquent. At March 31, 2007, the Company had \$1,606 in unpaid payroll tax liabilities.

NOTE 12 - Litigation

On January 13, 2006, Alliance Title Company, Inc. ("Alliance") filed a complaint in the matter of Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02129) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. The complaint alleges that Alliance, our escrow agent, was entrusted with \$267,000 pursuant to escrow instructions, and that a mutual written agreement among the parties to the escrow was required to properly disperse the funds. Alliance further alleges that no instructions were provided to disperse the funds, but instead, competing claims for the funds were made by Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd., Clifford L. Strand, William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf, Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc., and Prime Time Auctions, Inc. Alliance has deposited the funds with the court and has asked for a declaration of rights regarding the funds. Alliance has requested that its reasonable costs and attorney's fees be paid from the deposited funds.

This matter was dismissed by Alliance Title Company in open court in February 2007.

The Company received \$33,803 as its share out of the funds and recognized as gain on equity investment as of December 31, 2006.

On January 20, 2006, Clifford L. Strand, William S. Biddle, Gernot Trolf, our former management, and Nationwide Commercial Brokers, Inc., our former subsidiary (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), filed a complaint in the matter of Clifford L. Strand v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02350) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. The complaint contains causes of action for fraud and misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, conversion, common counts, money had and received, and declaratory relief. These allegations arise out of the hold over of funds at issue in Alliance Title Company, Inc. v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC02129), described above. To date, however, the matters have not been consolidated. The Company has set aside \$177,000 in contingent liabilities as potential payout and settlement to these officers. As of December 31, 2006 the Company paid \$45,000 to William S. Biddle and \$42,000 to Gernot Trolf.

This matter has been settled as of April 5, 2007 (See note 20 for details)

On March 10, 2006, some of our shareholders, including Clifford L. Strand, Robert J. Leonard, William S. Biddle, and Gernot Trolf (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed a complaint in the matter of William S. Biddle v. Secured Diversified Investment, Ltd. (case no. 06CC03959) in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange. Plaintiff seek declaratory relief as to whether we are a foreign corporation under California Corporation Code Section 2115(a) and whether Plaintiff's alleged demand for our shareholder list and for an inspection of the accounting books and records and minutes of shareholders, board of directors and committees of such board is governed under California Corporation Code Sections 1600 and 1601. The Company is contesting this case vigorously and is proceeding with discovery. At this time, the Company cannot make any evaluation of the outcome of this litigation.

Note 13 - Sale of a Subsidiary

During 2006 the Company established a new wholly owned subsidiary, Secured Lending, LLC, to engage in mortgage banking activities in the state of Arizona. The new subsidiary was incorporated on June 15th, 2006 and it began funding loans in July. However, Secured Lending was not able to sustain its mortgage banking activities and these relationships were mutually terminated. The Company discontinued its mortgage banking activity at December 31, 2006. The Company recognized a loss of \$153,672 as a result of discontinued operations and recorded net assets held for sale of \$23,544.

Note 14 - Subsequent Events

On February 17, 2006, the \$67,000 note, secured by 5030 Campus Drive, payable to the Sutterfield Family Trust (Wayne Sutterfield) matured. The note is in default.

On April 29, 2007, the Company's subsidiary Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC, opened escrow to sell the office building located at 5030 Campus Drive, Newport Beach, California. The sales price is \$1,300,000.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives, and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believes," "project," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "strategy," "plan," "may," "will," "would," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. V such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe-harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and future prospects on a consolidated basis include, but are not limited to: changes in economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, availability of capital, interest rates, competition, and generally accepted accounting principles. These risks and uncertainties should also be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information concerning our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included herein and in our other filings with the SEC.

Overview

We have undertaken a business model includes investing in properties that will provide immediate appreciation with little debt service strategically located in Arizona, Nevada and Utah.

Since our inception, however, we have been unsuccessful in pursuing revenues with our investment properties the majority of these properties were acquired in an asset purchase from Seashore Investment Company, Inc. a related party. Several of our acquired properties, including the T-Rex Plaza, the Hospitality Inn, and the Katella Center, among others, became impaired and or were assets that underperformed. These properties were incapable of generating sufficient revenues. A major contributing factor to the lack revenues from these properties was high-cost ground lease obligations underlying these properties. The assets that were cash-producing such as the Decatur Center, Spencer Springs and the Cannery, had to be sold to continue our operations, including the high costs associated with being a public company, in addition to absorbing the costs associated with our impaired and underperforming assets. As a result of the problems with our properties, our ability to raise capital was met with failure in several instances prior to and during the reporting period. At the date of this quarterly report, our company stands in financial jeopardy and may not

continue as a going concern. We are not likely to raise capital and therefore are forced to consider other business opportunities.

Katella Center, Orange, California

We own a 100% interest in the Katella Center, a strip mall consisting of six retail rental units of various sizes totalling approximately 9,500 square feet, located at 632-650 E. Katella Avenue in Orange, California. The property is in fair condition. Currently, the building is subject to a first trust deed held by Val-Chris Investments with a The building was subject to a second trust deed held by Prime Time Auctions, Inc. with a principal balance of \$25,000 and a fixed interest rate of 15%. The loan matured on January 1, 2006 and has been paid in full off. Prime Time Auctions, Inc. is a minority shareholder of our company.

As of March 31, 2007, the Katella Center generated monthly net cash flow of approximately \$3,000. The property is located on approximately 35,800 square feet of leased ground owned by a non-affiliated third party. The lease has a 52 -year term that expires in March 2017. The ground lease payment is currently \$3,000 per month.

Commencing June 1, 2007, the ground lease payment shall increase to \$4,760 per month with annual CPI adjustments. The ground lease adjustment will decrease monthly cash flow to approximately \$2,300 per month. Additionally, one of the tenants is behind with their rent, and a bad debt provision has been established. The financial difficulties of this quarterly statement will impair cash flow. We may be required to find another tenant which may be difficult in the current environment.

The \$370,000 loan underlying the first deed of trust matures on June 25th, 2007. We have requested an extension of the first trust, however, the lender is requiring a substantial pay down of approximately \$100,000. We do not have the resources to comply with this condition. In light of the short term remaining on the ground lease and the maturity of the first trust deed on June 25th, 2007, we have very limited options. We are attempting to refinance the property but efforts as of this filing have been unsuccessful. There are no assurances that we will be able to refinance the property. Management has thoroughly reviewed the issues concerning this property and as a result had listed the property for sale with Voit Commercial Brokerage for \$350,000, on September 30, 2006. We received no offers and the property is no longer listed. We have impaired this property for \$512,533 as of December 31, 2006. We face a potential liability in the lender foreclosing on the property, as well as deficiency claims on any remaining amounts under the loan.

In light of the impairment the aggregate undepreciated tax basis of the Katella Center for federal income tax purposes was \$-0- as of December 31, 2006. Depreciation and amortization are computed for federal income tax purposes on the straight-line method over lives which range up to 39 years. The current real estate tax rate for the Katella Center is \$.02247 per \$100 of assessed value. Property taxes (including penalties) due for the Katella Center for the 2006 tax year are \$5,644. The April 10th, 2007 property tax installment is delinquent.

The property is managed by PSG Enterprises, an unrelated third party. PSG Enterprises charges us \$750 per month in management fees. The property is adequately covered by insurance.

Campus Drive Office Building, Newport Beach, California

We are the managing member and own a 53.8% membership interest in a limited liability company known as Diversified Commercial Brokers, LLC (“Diversified”). Wayne Sutterfield, our former director and current large shareholder, owns the remaining 46.2% membership interest in Diversified. The primary asset of Diversified is an 8,685 square office building located at 5030 Campus Drive in Newport Beach, California 92660. The property is in good condition. The building is subject to a first trust deed held by Pacific Western Bank with a principal balance of \$661,174 at December 31, 2006 and a yearly variable rate of interest currently at 8% and capped at 10.875%. Monthly payments of principal and interest are amortized over a period of 20 years and will mature on at February 2, 2013. There is no prepayment penalty after March 2, 2006. We have a \$70,000 certificate of deposit with Pacific Western Bank to further secure the loan.

The building is also subject to a second deed of trust held by CGC Professional Bldg, the entity that sold us the building, with a principal balance of \$110,000 and fixed interest rate of 8%. Our monthly payments on the loan are interest only. The loan matures on February 4, 2008, at which time the principal balance is due.

In addition, the building is subject to a third deed of trust held by Wayne Sutterfield, our former director, with a principal balance of \$71,630 and fixed interest rate of 8%. Mr. Sutterfield receives an 8% preferential treatment on his investment. Our monthly payments on the loan are interest only. The loan matured on December 31, 2006. We are in default and have been so notified by Mr. Sutterfield. We are in discussion with Mr. Sutterfield to resolve a matter, if we are unable to sell the properties.

We also encumbered the property with a \$67,000 note due to Mr. Sutterfield. This note was originally secured by the T-Rex property when it was acquired in 2003. The note called for interest only payments at 8% per annum. We made no payments and accrued all interest. The sale of the T-Rex property did not generate cash proceeds and we did not have sufficient liquidity to pay this note.

In order to complete the T-Rex property sale, Mr. Sutterfield agreed to forebear payment at the closing and extended the debt by securing it with the Campus Drive Office Building. The loan was originally set to mature August 16, 2006, but has been extended to February 16, 2007, at which time the principal balance and all accrued interest, approximately \$25,003, was due. The loan remains unpaid and we are in default of this note.

We lease the land on which the office building sits. The lease has a 55-year term that expires in June 30, 2034. The ground lease payment is currently \$3,608 per month. In June 2009, the ground lease payment will adjust to 8% of the fair market value of the land through June 2019 and in June 2019 the lease will gain adjust to 8% of the fair market value of the land through maturity. Fair market value is determined as if the leased premises were vacant, unimproved and unencumbered and free from zoning restrictions so as to permit all uses permitted as of commencement date of lease. The lease contains options for two additional terms of ten years each. For each term the ground lease payment will adjust to 8% of the fair market value of the land. The ground lease adjustment may adversely affect the property.

The aggregate undepreciated tax basis of depreciable real property at the Campus Drive Office Building for federal income tax purposes was \$1,033,624 as of December 31, 2006. Depreciation and amortization are computed for federal income tax purposes on the straight-line method over lives which range up to 39 years. The current real estate tax rate for the Campus Drive Office Building is \$.02033 per \$100 of assessed value. Property taxes due for the Campus Drive Office Building for the 2006 tax year are \$8,676. There are also supplemental taxes due. The property taxes are delinquent.

The property is managed by PSG Enterprises, an unrelated third party. PSG Enterprises charges Diversified \$750 per month in management fees. The principal of PSG Enterprises is also a principal of CGC Professional Building from whom the property was acquired. The property is adequately covered by insurance.

Lincoln Drive Property

We own a 25% tenant -in-common interest in three buildings located at 5203 - 5205 East Lincoln Drive in Paradise Valley, Maricopa County, Arizona 85253. We acquired our 25% interest from Fazoql, Inc. as a joint venture investment with Fazoql, Inc. and Willowpoint, LLC. Fazoql, Inc. had previously obtained a 50% interest from Willowpoint, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, which retained a 50% ownership interest in the property. We then obtained our 25% interest directly from Fazoql, Inc. Patrick McNevin, a member of our board of directors, is President of Fazoql Inc. Currently, the property is subject to a first trust deed held by Marshall & Ilsey Bank with a principal balance of \$852,146 bearing an annual interest rate of 6.5% per annum. The loan matures May 1, 2010. The property is in very good condition. There is no ground lease on the property. The property is 100% leased and situated between two new residential/hospitality developments.

We will not receive any rental income from the leased units. We believe the property's adjacent developments and scheduled city improvements to the walkways in the front area are positive indicators that we will experience appreciable gain in any future sale of the property. Fazoql, Inc. and Willowpoint, LLC are jointly responsible for all costs of operating the buildings including landscaping, exterior maintenance, property management, and the payment of taxes, insurance and loan payments. We are not responsible for these items.

The current real estate tax rate for the Lincoln Drive property is unknown at this time. Property taxes due for the Lincoln Drive property for the 2006 tax year are \$6,158. We are not responsible for the payment of taxes.

Cactus Road Property

On February 15, 2006, we acquired a 33 1/3% tenant-in-common interest in property located at 12202 North Scottsdale Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85054. We acquired our interest for \$200,000 from Ms. Jan Wallace, our officer and director, who holds the remaining 66 2/3% ownership in the property. Currently, the property is subject to a first trust deed held by Chase Manhattan Mortgage with a principal balance of \$303,750 and a second deed of trust held by Ms. Wallace with a principal balance of \$226,200. There are no ground leases on the property.

The property consists of 2,180 square feet situated on approximately 38,587 square feet of land strategically located on a heavily trafficked corner. We invested in the property and plan to have it remodeled and retrofitted to house our headquarters. We also plan to lease a portion of the building to a mortgage company in which we plan to develop an interest. Because of the property's heavily trafficked location, we believe that it will appreciate and provide us a profit in the event we elect to sell it at some future date.

The property needs repair. Repairs and renovation costs are estimated at \$46,950, which include a complete repair and replacement of the roof, electrical retrofitting, plumbing repairs, HVAC repairs renovation and remodeling of the kitchen area to accommodate new tenants. Ms. Wallace will be responsible for these costs. We intend to hire a third party to manage the property. The property is adequately covered by insurance.

Depreciation and amortization are computed for federal income tax purposes on the straight-line method over lives which range up to 39 years, except component depreciation as permitted for tenant improvements, repairs and renovation costs. The current real estate tax rate for the Cactus Road property is unknown at this time. The property taxes for 2006 were \$2,523.

Results of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006

Comparison the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

Income. Income consists primarily of rental income from commercial properties pursuant to tenant leases. We reported income of \$_____ for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared with net income of \$_____ for the same period ended March 31, 2006.

General and Administrative Expenses. Operating and administrative expenses consist primarily of payroll expenses, legal and accounting fees and costs associated with the acquisition and ownership of real properties. These expenses decreased by \$_____ to \$_____ for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared to \$_____ for the same period ended March 31, 2006. The decrease is attributable to the reduction of overhead including payroll, payroll taxes, office rent, professional fees, and the sale of poorly performing properties resulting in the reduction of leasing commissions, land lease payments, property taxes and related carrying costs.

Depreciation. Depreciation for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$_____ compared to \$_____ in depreciation expense for same period ended March 31, 2006. The depreciation was attributable primarily to the Katella Business Center and 5030 Campus Drive.

Interest and Other Income and Expense. Interest expense consists of mortgage interest paid on our properties. Interest expense was \$_____ for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$_____ for the same period ended March 31, 2006. The decrease in interest expense is attributable to the sale of properties and the corresponding reduction in debt. Interest expense was attributable primarily to the Katella Business Center and 5030 Campus Drive properties. After recognizing an impairment of \$214,977 with respect to Katella Center in 2005, we recognized an additional impairment in the amount of \$_____ at March 31, 2007.

Net Income (Loss). We reported a net loss of \$_____ or \$(.____) per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to a net income of \$_____ or \$____ per share for the same period ended March 31, 2006. Net loss from continuing operations was \$_____ or \$(.____) per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to net income of \$_____ or \$____ per share for the same period ended March 31, 2006. Discontinued operations accounted for a loss of \$(_____) or \$(.____) for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The net income from disposal of discontinued operations was \$_____, or \$____ per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007. The net income in _____ was attributable to the sale of properties and subsidiaries.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Resources

As stated in financial statement Note 1 - Going Concern, we do not have an established source of revenues sufficient to continue to cover our operating costs over an extended period of time allowing us to continue as a going concern. Moreover, we do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing.

We anticipate that we will be dependent, for the short future, on additional investment capital to fund operating expenses, and additional property or business acquisitions before achieving operating profitability. Since our inception, we have covered our capital requirement shortfall through financing from our control shareholders, high cost debt from refinancing activities, or the disposition of assets.

At March 31, 2007, we had \$_____ of cash and cash equivalents as compared to \$_____ of cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2006 to meet our immediate short-term liquidity requirements. This increase in cash and cash equivalents is attributable to the sale of Las Vegas, Nevada shopping center. With the current infusion of cash we hope to generate new business opportunities to create and stabilize operating cash flow.

To date, we have paid no dividends and do not anticipate paying dividends into the foreseeable future.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash used by operating activities was \$(_____) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 comparable to net cash used by operating activities of \$(_____) for the same period ended March 31, 2006.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities amounted to \$(_____) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to the \$_____ for same period ended March 31, 2006.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash used by financing activities amounted to \$(_____) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$(_____) for the same period ended March 31, 2006. The primary reason for the use of proceeds was due to repayments of notes due on the sale of properties.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2007, there were no off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company believes that its critical accounting policies are those that require significant judgments and estimates such as those related to revenue recognition and allowance for uncollectible receivables and impairment of real estate assets and deferred assets. These estimates are made and evaluated on an on-going basis using information that is currently available as well as various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates and those estimates could be different under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition and Allowance for Uncollectible Receivables

Base rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective lease agreements. Differences between rental income recognized and amounts contractually due under the lease agreements are credited or charged, as applicable, to rent receivable. The Company maintains, as necessary, an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of tenants to make required payments that will result in a reduction to income. Management determines the adequacy of this allowance by continually evaluating individual tenant receivables considering the tenant's financial condition, security deposits, letters of credit, lease guarantees and current economic conditions.

Impairment of Real Estate Assets

The Company assesses the impairment of a real estate asset when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable. Indicators management considers important that could trigger an impairment review include the following:

1. a significant negative industry or economic trend;
2. a significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operation results; and
3. a significant change in the manner in which the asset is used.

Going Concern

At March 31, 2007, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$9,022,083, reported net loss of \$1,023,746, and reported cash of only \$12,768.35. The Company does not have adequate cash reserves to may its existing obligations and does will not appear able to raise the necessary capital to meet its obligations for the next 12 months. Since our inception we have been unsuccessful in pursing revenues with our investment properties. Several of our acquired properties, including the T-Rex Plaza, the Hospitality Inn, and the Katella Center, among others, were or became impaired assets that were underperforming. These properties were incapable of generating adequate revenues. The assets that sufficiently produced cash to service their obligations, such as Decatur Center, Spencer Springs and the Cannery West, did not generate sufficient cash to support the Company's overhead, including the high costs associated with being a public company, in addition to absorbing the costs associated with our impaired assets. Current management has restructured the Company's operations by selling many of its poorly performing properties and reducing the associated high cost debt and ground leases. The Company significantly reduced overhead and rolled backed its stock in order to restructure the Company's capital structure. As a result of the problems with our properties, our ability to raise capital was met with failure in several instances. Management continues with efforts to find business partners. Our company stands in financial jeopardy and may not continue as a going concern. We are not likely to raise capital and we are forced to consider other business opportunities.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 158 ("SFAS 158"), *"Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)"*. This statement requires an employer to recognize the over funded or under funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity. This statement also requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. The Company will be required to initially recognize the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan and to provide the required disclosures as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008, or fiscal 2009 for the Company. Adoption of SFAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 ("SFAS 159"), *"The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115"*. SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS

159 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 159 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on the SEC's views regarding the process of quantifying materiality of financial statement misstatements. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Earlier application is encouraged. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN No. 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. This interpretation requires recognition and measurement of uncertain income tax positions using a "more-likely-than-not" approach. The provisions of FIN No. 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued FAS 156 (SFAS No. 156), Accounting for Servicing of financial Assets — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. This standard clarifies when to separately account for servicing rights, requires servicing rights to be separately recognized initially at fair value, and provides the option of subsequently accounting for servicing rights at either fair value or under the amortization method. The standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006 but can be adopted early as long as financial statements for the fiscal year in which early adoption is elected, including interim statements, have not yet been issued. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued FAS 155 (SFAS No. 155), Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. This statement permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that would otherwise have to be accounted for separately. The new statement also requires companies to identify interests in securitized financial assets that are freestanding derivatives or contain embedded derivatives that would have to be accounted for separately, clarifies which interest-and principal-only strips are subject to Statement No. 133, and amends Statement No. 140 to revise the conditions of a qualifying special purpose entity due to the new requirement to identify whether interests in securitized financial assets are freestanding derivatives or contain embedded derivatives. This statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006, but can be adopted early as long as financial statements for the fiscal year in which early adoption is elected, including interim statements, have not yet been issued. The

adoption of this accounting pronouncement is not expected to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections — a replacement of Accounting Principles Board Opinion ("APB") Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and changes required by an accounting pronouncement where no specific transition provisions are included. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. Retrospective application is limited to the direct effects of the change; the indirect effects should be recognized in the period of the change. This statement carries forward without change the guidance contained in APB Opinion No. 20 for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. However, SFAS No. 154 redefines restatement as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. The provisions of SFAS No. 154 are effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal periods that begin after December 15, 2005, although early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47 (FIN No. 47), Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, and Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143. This interpretation clarifies the timing for recording certain asset retirement obligations required by FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. The provisions of FIN No. 47 are effective for years ending after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment." SFAS No. 123R addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which a company receives employee services in exchange for either equity instruments of the company or liabilities that are based on the fair value of the company's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using the intrinsic method that is currently used and requires that such transactions be accounted for using a fair value-based method and recognized as expense in the consolidated statement of operations. The effective date of SFAS No. 123R was for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. After assessing the potential negative impact of the provisions of SFAS No. 123R on the consolidated financial statements in fiscal year 2006, it was decided to minimize exposure to the accounting pronouncement by accelerating the vesting of all outstanding unvested options. Effective July 20, 2005, all outstanding unvested options were accelerated so as to be fully vested as of such date (see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29." SFAS No. 153 is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions," provided an exception to its basic measurement principle (fair value) for exchanges of similar productive assets. Under APB

Opinion No. 29, an exchange of a productive asset for a similar productive asset was based on the recorded amount of the asset relinquished. SFAS No. 153 eliminates this exception and replaces it with an exception of exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. The provisions of this Statement are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs, an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS No. 151 requires that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) be recorded as current period charges and that the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS No. 151 was effective for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2005. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements

Item 3. Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of March 31, 2007. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Jan Wallace, and our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Munjit Johal. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2007.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Internal Controls

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material error. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving our objectives and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at that reasonable assurance level. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the internal control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material developments in the ongoing legal proceedings previously reported in which we are a party. A complete discussion of our ongoing legal proceedings is discussed in our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters have been submitted to our security holders for a vote, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007.

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit**Description of Exhibit**

Number

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| <u>31.1</u> | <u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> |
| <u>31.2</u> | <u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> |
| <u>32.1</u> | <u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u> |

16

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**Secured Diversified Investment,
Ltd.**

Date: May 21, 2007

By: /s/ Jan Wallace
Jan Wallace

Title: **Chief Executive Officer
and Director**