

GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES INC
Form 10-K
March 28, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

- or -

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-23325

GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

43-1792717

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
or Organization)

1341 West Battlefield, Springfield, Missouri 65807

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (417) 520-4333

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share

(Title of Class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ___ No X

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes ___ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No ___

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ___ Accelerated filer ___ Non-accelerated filer ___
Smaller reporting company X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ___ No X

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the average bid and asked prices of the registrant's Common Stock as quoted on the Global Market of The NASDAQ Stock Market on June 30, 2013 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter) was \$22.3 million. As of March 17, 2014 there were 4,260,025 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

1. Portions of the Annual Report to Stockholders (the "2013 Annual Report") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 (Parts I and II).
 2. Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") to be held on May 28, 2014 (Part III).
-

GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES, INC.

Form 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item</u>	<u>Page</u>
PART I	
1 Business	4
1A Risk Factors	32
1B Unresolved Staff Comments	40
2 Properties	40
3 Legal Proceedings	41
4 Mine Safety Disclosures	41
PART II	
5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	41
6 Selected Financial Data	41
7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42
7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	42
9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	42
9A Controls and Procedures	42
9B Other Information	43
PART III	
10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	44

11	Executive Compensation	44
12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	44
13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	46
14	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	46
PART IV		
15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	47
	Signatures	

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES, INC. (THE "COMPANY") MAY FROM TIME TO TIME MAKE WRITTEN OR ORAL "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS", INCLUDING STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THE COMPANY'S FILINGS WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (INCLUDING THIS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K AND THE EXHIBITS THERETO), IN ITS REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS AND IN OTHER COMMUNICATIONS BY THE COMPANY, WHICH ARE MADE IN GOOD FAITH BY THE COMPANY PURSUANT TO THE "SAFE HARBOR" PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. WHEN USED IN THIS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K, WORDS SUCH AS "ANTICIPATES," "ESTIMATES," "BELIEVES," "EXPECTS," AND SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS ARE INTENDED TO IDENTIFY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS BUT ARE NOT THE EXCLUSIVE MEANS OF IDENTIFYING SUCH STATEMENTS.

THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, SUCH AS STATEMENTS OF THE COMPANY'S PLANS, OBJECTIVES, EXPECTATIONS, ESTIMATES AND INTENTIONS, THAT ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE BASED ON VARIOUS IMPORTANT FACTORS (SOME OF WHICH ARE BEYOND THE COMPANY'S CONTROL). THE FOLLOWING FACTORS, AMONG OTHERS, COULD CAUSE THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THE PLANS, OBJECTIVES, EXPECTATIONS, ESTIMATES AND INTENTIONS EXPRESSED IN SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS: THE STRENGTH OF THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY IN GENERAL AND THE STRENGTH OF THE LOCAL ECONOMIES IN WHICH THE COMPANY CONDUCTS OPERATIONS; THE EFFECTS OF, AND CHANGES IN, TRADE, MONETARY AND FISCAL POLICIES AND LAWS, INCLUDING INTEREST RATE POLICIES OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, INFLATION, INTEREST RATES, MARKET AND MONETARY FLUCTUATIONS; THE TIMELY DEVELOPMENT OF AND ACCEPTANCE OF NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES OF THE COMPANY AND THE PERCEIVED OVERALL VALUE OF THESE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES BY USERS, INCLUDING THE FEATURES, PRICING AND QUALITY COMPARED TO COMPETITORS' PRODUCTS AND SERVICES; THE WILLINGNESS OF USERS TO SUBSTITUTE COMPETITORS' PRODUCTS AND SERVICES FOR THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS AND SERVICES; THE SUCCESS OF THE COMPANY IN GAINING REGULATORY APPROVAL OF ITS PRODUCTS AND SERVICES, WHEN REQUIRED; THE IMPACT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL SERVICES' LAWS AND REGULATIONS (INCLUDING LAWS CONCERNING TAXES, BANKING, SECURITIES AND INSURANCE); TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES; ACQUISITIONS; CHANGES IN CONSUMER SPENDING AND SAVING HABITS; THE SUCCESS OF THE COMPANY AT MANAGING THE RISKS RESULTING FROM THESE FACTORS; AND OTHER FACTORS SET FORTH IN REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED BY THE COMPANY WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION FROM TIME TO TIME. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THESE AND OTHER RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND FACTORS, PLEASE REVIEW THE DISCLOSURE INCLUDED IN ITEM 1A. OF THIS FORM 10-K.

THE COMPANY CAUTIONS THAT THE LISTED FACTORS ARE NOT EXCLUSIVE. THE COMPANY DOES NOT UNDERTAKE TO UPDATE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT, WHETHER WRITTEN OR ORAL, THAT MAY BE MADE FROM TIME TO TIME BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Business of the Company

Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") is a Delaware-chartered corporation that was formed in September 1997. The Company became a unitary savings and loan holding company for Guaranty Federal Savings Bank, a federal savings bank (the "Bank") on December 30, 1997, in connection with a plan of conversion and reorganization involving the Bank and its then existing mutual holding company. The mutual holding company structure had been created in April 1995 at which time more than a majority of the shares of the Bank were issued to the mutual holding company and the remaining shares were sold in a public offering. In connection with the conversion and reorganization on December 30, 1997, the shares of the Bank held by the mutual holding company were extinguished along with the mutual holding company, and the shares of the Bank held by the public were exchanged for shares of the Company. All of the shares of the Bank which remained outstanding after the conversion are owned by the Company.

On June 27, 2003, the Bank converted from a federal savings bank to a state-chartered bank with trust powers in Missouri, and the Company became a bank holding company. On this date, the name of the Bank was changed from Guaranty Federal Savings Bank to Guaranty Bank. The primary activity of the Company is to oversee its investment in the Bank. The Company engages in few other activities. For this reason, unless otherwise specified, references to the Company include operations of the Bank. Further, information in a chart or table based on Bank only data is identical to or immaterially different from information that would be provided on a consolidated basis. In addition to the Bank, the Company owns Guaranty Statutory Trust I and Guaranty Statutory Trust II, both Delaware statutory trusts.

Business of the Bank

The Bank's principal business has been, and continues to be, attracting retail deposits from the general public and investing those deposits, together with funds generated from operations, in commercial real estate loans, multi-family residential mortgage loans, construction loans, permanent one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, business, consumer and other loans. The Bank also invests in mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Government and federal agency securities and other marketable securities. The Bank's revenues are derived principally from interest on its loans and other investments and fees charged for services provided, and gains generated from sales of loans and investment

securities, and the Bank's results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest margin, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. The Bank's primary sources of funds are: deposits; borrowings; amortization and prepayments of loan principal; and amortizations, prepayments and maturities of investment securities.

The Bank is regulated by the Missouri Division of Finance ("MDF") and its deposits are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). See discussion under section captioned "Regulation" in this report. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (the "FHLB"), which is one of twelve regional Federal Home Loan Banks.

Information regarding (i) average balances related to interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and an analysis of net interest income for the last three fiscal years and (ii) changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in average balances and average rates for the last two fiscal years is provided under the section captioned "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation – Average Balances, Interest and Averages Yields" of the 2013 Annual Report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Internet Website

The Company's internet website address is www.gbankmo.com. The information contained on that website is not included as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company makes available through its website its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. These materials are also available free of charge (other than a user's regular internet access charges) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov.

Market Area

The Bank's primary market areas are Greene and Christian Counties, which are in the southwestern corner of Missouri and includes the cities of Springfield, Nixa and Ozark, Missouri. There is a large regional health care presence with two large regional hospitals. There also are four accredited colleges and one major university. Part of the area's growth can be attributed to its proximity to Branson, Missouri, which has developed a strong tourism industry related to country music and entertainment. Branson is located 30 miles south of Springfield, and attracts between five and six million tourists each year, many of whom pass through Springfield. The Bank also has a Loan Production Office in Webster County, Missouri.

Lending Activities

Like many commercial banks in our market, our loan portfolio is comprised of different types of industries. However, real estate lending is a significant portion of our business and accounted for more than 77% of our loan portfolio by value as of December 31, 2013. We were not immune to asset quality issues as a result of the very challenging economic environment and real estate market that began in 2008. This created loan losses over the last several years that were above historical levels. For example, in fiscal years 2009 and 2008, the Bank's net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans was 1.86% and 0.70%, respectively, compared to pre-recession net charge-off percentages of 0.14%, 0.08% and 0.02% for fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our nonperforming assets peaked at \$42.1 million at June 30, 2012. We have made significant progress since then, having reduced nonperforming assets to \$19.7 million at December 31, 2013. Set forth below is selected data relating to the composition of the Bank's loan portfolio at the dates indicated:

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31,
 2013 2012 2011 2010 2009
 \$ % \$ % \$ % \$ % \$ %
 (Dollars in Thousands)

Mortgage loans (includes loans held for sale):											
One to four family	\$94,422	20 %	\$102,225	21 %	\$101,734	21 %	\$105,737	20 %	\$111,587	21 %	
Multi-family	46,188	10 %	46,405	10 %	43,166	9 %	44,138	9 %	35,904	7 %	
Construction	43,266	9 %	48,917	10 %	44,912	9 %	63,308	12 %	75,391	14 %	
Commercial real estate	179,079	38 %	167,761	35 %	194,856	39 %	195,890	38 %	196,727	36 %	
Total mortgage loans	362,955	77 %	365,308	77 %	384,668	78 %	409,073	79 %	419,609	77 %	
Commercial business loans	92,722	20 %	95,227	20 %	88,089	18 %	85,428	16 %	92,534	17 %	
Consumer loans	17,303	4 %	16,717	4 %	20,758	4 %	23,426	5 %	30,568	6 %	
Total consumer and other loans	110,025	23 %	111,944	23 %	108,847	22 %	108,854	21 %	123,102	23 %	
Total loans	472,980	100 %	477,252	100 %	493,515	100 %	517,927	100 %	542,711	100 %	
Less:											
Deferred loan fees/costs, net	175		136		238		179		132		
Allowance for loan losses	7,802		8,740		10,613		13,083		14,076		
Total Loans, net	\$465,003		\$468,376		\$482,664		\$504,665		\$528,503		

The following table sets forth the maturity of the Bank's loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013. The table shows loans that have adjustable rates as due in the period during which they contractually mature. The table does not include prepayments or scheduled principal amortization.

Loan Maturities	Due in	Due	Due	Total
	One	After	After	
	Year or	One	Five	
	Less	Through	Years	
		Five		
		Years		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
One to four family	\$10,393	\$44,983	\$39,046	\$94,422
Multi-family	1,331	30,978	13,879	46,188
Construction	28,009	11,532	3,725	43,266
Commercial real estate	36,855	94,210	48,014	179,079
Commercial loans	39,786	37,374	15,562	92,722
Consumer loans	3,776	8,427	5,100	17,303
Total loans (1)	\$120,150	\$227,504	\$125,326	\$472,980
Less:				
Deferred loan fees/costs				175
Allowance for loan losses				7,802
Loans receivable net				\$465,003
(1) Includes mortgage loans held for sale of \$623				

The following table sets forth the dollar amount, before deductions for unearned discounts, deferred loan fees/costs and allowance for loan losses, as of December 31, 2013 of all loans due after December 2014, which have pre-determined interest rates and which have adjustable interest rates.

	Fixed	Adjustable	Total	%	
	Rates	Rates		Adjustable	
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
One to four family	\$27,071	\$56,958	\$84,029	68	%
Multi-family	28,131	16,726	44,857	37	%
Construction	9,444	5,813	15,257	38	%
Commercial real estate	66,818	75,406	142,224	53	%
Commercial loans	18,093	34,843	52,936	66	%
Consumer loans	1,289	12,238	13,527	90	%

Total loans (1) \$150,846 \$201,984 \$352,830 57 %

(1) Before deductions for unearned discounts, deferred loan fees/costs and allowances for loan losses.

One- to Four-Family Mortgage Loans. The Bank offers fixed- and adjustable-rate (“ARM”) first mortgage loans secured by one- to four-family residences in the Bank's primary lending area. Typically, such residences are single family homes that serve as the primary residence of the owner. However, there are a number of loans originated by the Bank which are secured by non-owner occupied properties. Loan originations are generally obtained from existing or past customers, members of the local community, attorney referrals, established builders and realtors within the Bank's market area. Originated mortgage loans in the Bank's portfolio include due-on-sale clauses which provide the Bank with the contractual right to deem the loan immediately due and payable in the event that the borrower transfers ownership of the property without the Bank's consent.

As of December 31, 2013, \$94.4 million or 20% of the Bank's total loan portfolio consisted of one- to four-family residential loans. The Bank currently offers ARM and balloon loans that have fixed interest rate periods of one to seven years. Generally, ARM loans provide for limits on the maximum interest rate adjustment ("caps") that can be made at the end of each applicable period and throughout the duration of the loan. ARM loans are originated for a term of up to 30 years on owner-occupied properties and generally up to 25 years on non-owner occupied properties. Typically, interest rate adjustments are calculated based on U.S. treasury securities adjusted to a constant maturity of one year (CMT), plus a 2.50% to 2.75% margin. Interest rates charged on fixed-rate loans are competitively priced based on market conditions and the cost of funds existing at the time the loan is committed. The Bank's fixed-rate mortgage loans are made for terms of 15 to 30 years which are currently being sold on the secondary market.

Generally, ARM loans pose credit risks different from the risks inherent in fixed-rate loans, primarily because as interest rates rise, the underlying payments of the borrower rise, thereby increasing the potential for default. At the same time, the marketability of the underlying property may be adversely affected by higher interest rates. The Bank does not originate ARM loans that provide for negative amortization.

The Bank generally originates both owner occupied and non-owner occupied one- to four-family residential mortgage loans in amounts up to 80% of the appraised value or the selling price of the mortgaged property, whichever is lower. The Bank on occasion may make loans up to 95% of appraised value or the selling price of the mortgage property, whichever is lower. However, the Bank typically requires private mortgage insurance for the excess amount over 80% for mortgage loans with loan to value percentages greater than 80%.

Multi-Family Mortgage Loans. The Bank originates multi-family mortgage loans in its primary lending area. As of December 31, 2013, \$46.2 million or 10% of the Bank's total loan portfolio consisted of multi-family residential real estate loans. With regard to multi-family mortgage loans, the Bank generally requires personal guarantees of the principals as well as a security interest in the real estate. Multi-family mortgage loans are generally originated in amounts of up to 80% of the appraised value of the property. A portion of the Bank's multi-family mortgage loans have been originated with adjustable rates of interest which are quoted at a spread to the FHLB advance rate for the initial fixed rate period with subsequent adjustments based on the Wall Street prime rate. The loan-to-one-borrower limitation, \$18.3 million as of December 31, 2013, is the maximum the Bank will lend on a multi-family residential real estate loan.

Loans secured by multi-family residential real estate generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans and carry larger loan balances. This increased credit risk is a result of several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effects of general economic conditions on income producing properties, and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. Furthermore, the repayment of loans secured by multi-family residential real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate property. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired.

Construction Loans. As of December 31, 2013, construction loans totaled \$43.3 million or 9% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Construction loans originated by the Bank are generally secured by permanent mortgage loans for the construction of owner-occupied residential real estate or to finance speculative construction secured by residential real estate or owner-operated commercial real estate. This portion of the Bank's loan portfolio consists of speculative loans, i.e., loans to builders who are speculating that they will be able to locate a purchaser for the underlying property prior to or shortly after the time construction has been completed.

Construction loans are made to contractors who have sufficient financial strength and a proven track record, for the purpose of resale, as well as on a "pre-sold" basis. Construction loans made for the purpose of resale generally provide for interest only payments at floating rates and have terms of six months to fifteen months. Construction loans to a borrower who will occupy a home, or to a builder who has pre-sold the home, typically have loan to value ratios of up to 80%. Construction loans for speculative purposes, models, and commercial properties typically have loan to value ratios of up to 80%. Loan proceeds are disbursed in increments as construction progresses and as inspections warrant.

Construction lending by its nature entails significant additional risks as compared with one-to four-family mortgage lending, attributable primarily to the fact that funds are advanced upon the security of the project under construction prior to its completion. As a result, construction lending often involves the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment dependent on the success of the ultimate project and the ability of the borrower or guarantor to repay the loan. Because of these factors, the analysis of the prospective construction loan projects requires an expertise that is different in significant respects from that which is required for residential mortgage lending. The Bank attempts to address these risks through its underwriting and construction monitoring procedures.

Commercial Real Estate Loans. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has commercial real estate loans totaling \$179.1 million or 38% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Commercial real estate loans are generally originated in amounts up to 80% of the appraised value of the mortgaged property. The majority of the Bank's commercial real estate loans have been originated with adjustable rates of interest, the majority of which are quoted at a spread to the Wall Street Prime rate for the initial fixed rate period with subsequent adjustments at a spread to the Wall Street Prime rate. The Bank's commercial real estate loans are generally permanent loans secured by improved property such as office buildings, retail stores, small shopping centers, medical offices, motels, churches and other non-residential buildings.

To originate commercial real estate loans, the Bank generally requires a mortgage and security interest in the subject real estate, personal guarantees of the principals, a security interest in the related personal property, and a standby assignment of rents and leases. The Bank has established its loan-to-one borrower limitation, which was \$18.3 million as of December 31, 2013, as its maximum commercial real estate loan amount. Because of the small number of commercial real estate loans and the relationship of each borrower to the Bank, each such loan has differing terms and conditions applicable to the particular borrower.

Loans secured by commercial real estate are generally larger and involve a greater degree of risk than residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate are often dependent on successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of such loans may be subject, to a greater extent, to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. The Bank seeks to minimize these risks by careful underwriting, requiring personal guarantees, lending only to established customers and borrowers otherwise known by the Bank, and generally restricting such loans to its primary market area.

As of December 31, 2013, the Bank's commercial real estate loan portfolio included approximately \$11.6 million, or 2.4% of the Bank's total loan portfolio, in loans to develop land into residential lots. The Bank utilizes its knowledge of the local market conditions and appraisals to evaluate the development cost and estimate projected lot prices and absorption rates to assess loans on residential subdivisions. The Bank typically loans up to 75% of the appraised value over terms up to two years. Development loans generally involve a greater degree of risk than residential mortgage loans because (1) the funds are advanced upon the security of the land which has a materially lower value prior to completion of the infrastructure required of a subdivision, (2) the cash flow available for debt repayment is a function of the sale of the individual lots, and (3) the amount of interest required to service the debt is a function of the time required to complete the development and sell the lots.

Commercial Business Loans. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has commercial business loans totaling \$92.7 million or 20% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Commercial business loans are generally secured by business assets, such as accounts receivable, equipment and inventory. Unlike residential mortgage loans, which generally are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from his or her employment and other income and which are secured by real property whose value tends to be more easily ascertainable, commercial business loans are of higher risk and typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself. Further, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business. The Bank expects to continue to expand its commercial business lending as opportunities present themselves.

Consumer and Other Loans. The Bank also offers consumer loans, primarily consisting of loans secured by certificates of deposit, automobiles, boats and home equity loans. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has such loans totaling \$17.3 million or 4% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. The Bank expects to continue to expand its consumer lending as opportunities present themselves.

Director and Insider loans. Management believes that loans to Directors and Officers are prudent and within the normal course of business. These loans reflect normal credit terms and represent no more collection risk than any other loan in the portfolio.

Delinquencies, Non-Performing and Problem Assets.

Delinquent Loans. As of December 31, 2013, the Bank has eight loans 90 days or more past due with a principal balance of \$6,831,333 and eight loans between 30 and 89 days past due with an aggregate principal balance of \$603,655. The Bank generally does not accrue interest on loans past due more than 90 days.

The following table sets forth the Bank's loans that were accounted for on a non-accrual basis or 90 days or more delinquent at the dates indicated.

Delinquency Summary	As of				
	December 31,		2011	2010	2009
	2013	2012			
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis or contractually past due 90 days or more					
Mortgage Loans:					
One to four family	\$816	\$2,281	\$1,671	\$3,120	\$5,060
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	6,042
Construction	4,530	6,274	8,514	8,935	11,254
Commercial real estate	3,663	3,664	4,083	2,980	921
	9,009	12,219	14,268	15,035	23,277
Non-mortgage loans:					
Commercial loans	6,776	2,793	2,377	7,743	5,640
Consumer and other loans	63	319	357	234	5,368
	6,839	3,112	2,734	7,977	11,008
Total non-accrual loans	15,848	15,331	17,002	23,012	34,285
Accruing loans which are contractually past maturity or past due 90 days or more:					
Mortgage Loans:					
One to four family	-	-	-	-	-
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	-	-	-	-	-
Commercial real estate	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
Non-mortgage loans:					
Commercial loans	-	-	-	-	-
Consumer and other loans	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
Total past maturity or past due accruing loans	-	-	-	-	-
Total accounted for on a non-accrual basis or contractually past maturity or 90 days or more past due	\$15,848	\$15,331	\$17,002	\$23,012	\$34,285
Total accounted for on a non-accrual basis or contractually past maturity or 90 days or more past due as a percentage of net loans	3.41 %	3.27 %	3.52 %	4.55 %	6.49 %
Total accounted for on a non-accrual basis or contractually past maturity or 90 days or more past due as a percentage of total assets	2.56 %	2.32 %	2.62 %	3.37 %	4.65 %

Non-Performing Assets. Loans are reviewed on a regular basis and are placed on non-accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the collection of all interest at contractual rates becomes doubtful. As part of such review, mortgage loans are placed on non-accrual status generally when either principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, or when other circumstances indicate the collection of principal or interest is in doubt. Interest accrued and unpaid at the time a loan is placed on non-accrual status is charged against interest income.

Real estate acquired by the Bank as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is deemed a foreclosed asset held for sale until such time as it is sold. When a foreclosed asset held for sale is acquired it is recorded at its estimated fair value, less estimated selling expenses. Valuations of such foreclosed assets are periodically performed by management, and any subsequent decline in estimated fair value is charged to operations.

The following table shows the principal amount of non-performing assets (i.e. loans that are not performing under regulatory guidelines) and all foreclosed assets, including assets acquired in settlement of loans and the resulting impact on interest income for the periods then ended.

Non-Performing Assets	As of									
	December 31, 2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
	(Dollars in Thousands)									
Non-accrual loans:										
Mortgage loans:										
One to four family	\$816	\$2,281	\$1,671	\$3,120	\$5,060					
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	6,042					
Construction	4,530	6,274	8,514	8,935	11,254					
Commercial real estate	3,663	3,664	4,083	2,980	921					
	9,009	12,219	14,268	15,035	23,277					
Non-mortgage loans:										
Commercial loans	6,776	2,793	2,377	7,743	5,640					
Consumer and other loans	63	319	357	234	5,368					
	6,839	3,112	2,734	7,977	11,008					
Total non-accrual loans	15,848	15,331	17,002	23,012	34,285					
Real estate and other assets acquired in settlement of loans	3,822	4,530	10,012	10,540	6,760					
Total non-performing assets	\$19,670	\$19,861	\$27,014	\$33,552	\$41,045					
Total non-accrual loans as a percentage of net loans	3.41	%	3.27	%	3.52	%	4.55	%	6.49	%
Total non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets	3.17	%	3.01	%	4.17	%	4.91	%	5.56	%
Impact on interest income for the period:										
Interest income that would have been recorded on non-accruing loans	\$572	\$484	\$243	\$855	\$1,400					

Problem Assets. Federal regulations require that the Bank review and classify its assets on a regular basis to determine those assets considered to be of lesser quality. In addition, in connection with examinations of insured institutions, bank examiners have authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, require them to be classified. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful, and loss. "Substandard assets" must have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. "Doubtful assets" have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values highly questionable, and improbable. An asset classified "loss" is considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the institution is not warranted. The regulations have also created a "special mention" category, described as assets which do not currently expose an insured institution to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant classification but do possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving management's close attention. Federal regulations require the Bank to establish general allowances for loan losses from assets classified as substandard or doubtful. If an asset or portion thereof is classified as loss, the insured institution must either establish specific allowances for loan losses in the amount of 100% of the portion of the asset classified loss or charge off such amount. A portion of general loss allowances established to cover possible losses related to assets classified substandard or doubtful may be included in determining an institution's regulatory capital.

For management purposes, the Bank also designates certain loans for additional attention. Such loans are called "Special Mention" and have identified weaknesses, that if the situation deteriorates, the loans would merit a substandard classification.

The following table shows the aggregate amounts of the Bank's classified assets as of December 31, 2013.

	Special Mention		Substandard		Doubtful		Total	
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount
	(Dollars in Thousands)							
Loans:								
One to four family	49	\$8,954	19	\$1,503	-	\$-	68	\$10,457
Multi-family	1	420	-	-	-	-	1	420
Construction	7	7,253	4	683	1	3,897	12	11,833
Commercial real estate	7	4,721	6	5,224	-	-	13	9,945
Commercial	43	9,161	12	2,738	4	2,201	59	14,100
Consumer and Other	2	107	5	453	-	-	7	560
Total loans	109	30,616	46	10,601	5	6,098	160	47,315
Foreclosed assets held-for-sale:								
One to four family	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land and other assets	-	-	10	3,822	-	-	10	3,822
Total foreclosed assets	-	-	10	3,822	-	-	10	3,822
Total	109	\$30,616	56	\$14,423	5	\$6,098	170	\$51,137

Allowance for Loan Losses and Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on management's evaluation of the risk inherent in its loan portfolio and the general economy. Such evaluation, which includes a review of all loans on which full collectability may not be reasonably assured, considers among other matters, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate loan loss allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses and valuation of foreclosed assets held for sale. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

As of December 31, 2013, the Bank's total allowance for loan losses was \$7.8 million or 1.65% of gross loans outstanding (excluding mortgage loans held for sale), a decrease of \$938,000 from December 31, 2012. The Bank experienced loan charge offs in excess of recoveries as management charged off specific loans that had been identified and classified as impaired at December 31, 2012. Also, the Bank experienced a decline in loan balances during 2013 that has reduced allowance for loan loss reserve requirements. This allowance reflects not only management's determination to maintain an allowance for loan losses consistent with regulatory expectations for non-performing or problem assets, but also reflects the regional economy and the Bank's policy of evaluating the risks inherent in its loan portfolio.

Management records a provision for loan losses to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered adequate based on the Bank's internal analysis and methodology. During 2013, the Bank recorded a provision for loan loss expense, as shown in the table below. Management anticipates the need to continue adding to the allowance through charges to provision for loan losses as growth in the loan portfolio or other circumstances warrant.

The following tables set forth certain information concerning the Bank's allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

Allowance for Loan Losses	Year ended				
	December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Beginning balance	\$8,740	\$10,613	\$13,083	\$14,076	\$16,728
Gross loan charge offs					
Mortgage Loans:					
One to four family	(139)	(265)	(966)	(906)	(1,256)
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	(556)
Construction	(879)	(1,335)	(2,381)	(3,893)	(690)
Commercial real estate	(277)	(985)	(2,744)	(373)	(37)
	(1,295)	(2,585)	(6,091)	(5,172)	(2,539)
Non-mortgage loans:					
Commercial loans	(1,268)	(5,547)	(1,362)	(1,847)	(999)
Consumer and other loans	(164)	(73)	(322)	(366)	(6,229)
	(1,432)	(5,620)	(1,684)	(2,213)	(7,228)
Total charge offs	(2,727)	(8,205)	(7,775)	(7,385)	(9,767)
Recoveries					
Mortgage Loans:					
One to four family	23	25	45	25	24
Multi-family	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	50	28	77	10	163
Commercial real estate	-	94	221	12	-
	73	147	343	47	187
Non-mortgage loans:					
Commercial loans	110	198	322	60	8
Consumer and other loans	56	37	1,290	1,085	20
	166	235	1,612	1,145	28
Total recoveries	239	382	1,955	1,192	215
Net loan charge-offs	(2,488)	(7,823)	(5,820)	(6,193)	(9,552)
Provision charged to expense	1,550	5,950	3,350	5,200	6,900
Ending balance	\$7,802	\$8,740	\$10,613	\$13,083	\$14,076
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans, net	0.53 %	1.68 %	1.19 %	1.25 %	1.86 %
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of average loans, net	1.67 %	1.88 %	2.17 %	2.65 %	2.74 %
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total non-performing loans	49 %	57 %	62 %	57 %	41 %

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table shows the amount of the allowance allocated to the mortgage and non-mortgage loan categories and the respective percent of that loan category to total loans.

	As of									
	December 31,		2012		2011		2010		2009	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Mortgage Loans	\$5,652	72 %	\$6,642	76 %	\$7,358	69 %	\$9,913	76 %	\$10,883	77 %
Non-Mortgage Loans	2,150	28 %	2,098	24 %	3,255	31 %	3,170	24 %	3,193	23 %
Total	\$7,802	100%	\$8,740	100%	\$10,613	100%	\$13,083	100%	\$14,076	100%

Investment Activities

The investment policy of the Company, which is established by the Company's Board of Directors and reviewed by the Asset/Liability Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, is designed primarily to provide and maintain liquidity, to generate a favorable return on investments, to help mitigate interest rate and credit risk, and to complement the Bank's lending activities. The policy currently provides for held-to-maturity and available-for-sale investment security portfolios. The Company does not currently engage in trading investment securities and does not anticipate doing so in the future. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has investment securities with an amortized cost of \$101.8 million and an estimated fair value of \$97.8 million. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for description of the accounting policy for investments. Based on the carrying value of these securities, \$97.7 million, or 99.9%, of the Company's investment securities portfolio are available-for-sale.

From time to time, the Company will sell a security to change its interest rate risk profile or restructure the portfolio and its cash flows. In 2013, the Company sold \$31.2 million in securities and recognized \$219,845 of gain.

The Company has the authority to invest in various types of liquid assets, including United States Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies, trust preferred securities, certain certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, certain bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, and sale of federal funds.

Composition of Investment Securities Portfolio

The following tables set forth the amortized cost and approximate fair market values of the available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities.

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Approximate Fair Value
As of December 31, 2013				
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES:				
Equity Securities	\$ 102,212	\$ 16,007	\$(18,913)	\$ 99,306
Debt Securities:				
U. S. government agencies	33,198,865	-	(1,437,478)	31,761,387
Corporates	990,663	3,609	-	994,272
Municipals	14,133,821	18,827	(660,021)	13,492,627
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	53,245,297	265,038	(2,165,242)	51,345,093
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES:				
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	79,162	1,927	-	81,089
	\$ 101,750,020	\$ 305,408	\$(4,281,654)	\$ 97,773,774

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Approximate Fair Value
As of December 31, 2012				
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES:				
Equity Securities	\$ 102,212	\$ 306	\$(31,604)	\$ 70,914
Debt Securities:				
U. S. government agencies	38,188,554	202,213	(39,706)	38,351,061
Corporates	1,839,976	67,889	-	1,907,865
Municipals	10,212,376	250,269	(84,456)	10,378,189
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	50,366,374	1,304,242	(398,001)	51,272,615
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES:				
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	181,042	12,440	-	193,482
	\$ 100,890,534	\$ 1,837,359	\$(553,767)	\$ 102,174,126

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized (Losses)	Approximate Fair Value
As of December 31, 2011				
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES:				
Equity Securities	\$ 102,212	\$-	\$(39,950)	\$ 62,262
Debt Securities:				
U. S. government agencies	34,668,833	122,093	(64,264)	34,726,662
U. S. treasuries	2,037,168	5,469	-	2,042,637
Municipals	4,049,701	138,736	(44,038)	4,144,399
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	38,950,955	1,148,789	(10,826)	40,088,918
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES:				
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	218,571	17,003	-	235,574
	\$ 80,027,440	\$ 1,432,090	\$(159,078)	\$ 81,300,452

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the weighted average yields and maturities of the Bank's investment securities portfolio as of December 31, 2013.

Investment Portfolio Maturities and Average Weighted Yields	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield	Approximate Fair Value
Due in one to five years	16,697,391	1.19 %	16,346,447
Due in five to ten years	22,547,532	3.52 %	21,282,335
Due after ten years	9,078,426	3.87 %	8,619,504
Equity securities not due on a single maturity date	102,212	0.00 %	99,306
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities not due on a single maturity date	53,324,459	4.12 %	51,426,182
	\$ 101,750,020	3.34 %	\$ 97,773,774

	Securities					
	After One Through Five Years	After Five Through Ten Years	After Ten Years	Not Due on a Single Maturity Date	Equity Securities	Total
As of December 31, 2013						
Equity Securities	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 99,306	\$99,306
Debt Securities:						
U. S. government agencies	14,330,446	17,430,941	-	-	-	31,761,387
Corporates	994,272	-	-	-	-	994,272
Municipals	1,021,729	3,851,394	8,619,504	-	-	13,492,627
Government sponsored mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	51,426,182	-	51,426,182
	\$ 16,346,447	\$ 21,282,335	\$ 8,619,504	\$ 51,426,182	\$ 99,306	\$ 97,773,774

Sources of Funds

General. The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, amortization and prepayments of loans and amortization, prepayments and maturities of investment securities.

Deposits. The Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts having a range of interest rates and terms. The Bank has concentrated on a diverse deposit mix, such that transaction accounts make a greater percent of funding than in the past. The Bank offers various checking accounts, money markets, savings, fixed-term certificates of deposit and individual retirement accounts.

The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in money market and prevailing interest rates, local competition, and competition from non-bank financial service providers. The Company closely manages its deposit position and mix to manage interest rate risk and improve its net interest margin. The Bank's deposits are typically obtained from the areas in which its offices are located. The Bank relies primarily on customer service and long-standing relationships with customers to attract and retain these deposits.

The Bank seeks to maintain a high level of stable core deposits by providing high quality service through its employees and its convenient office and banking center locations.

Deposit Account Types

The following table sets forth the distribution of the Bank's deposit accounts at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands).

	As of December 31, 2013				As of December 31, 2012				As of December 31, 2011			
	Average Interest Rate	Amount	Percent of Total Deposits		Average Interest Rate	Amount	Percent of Total Deposits		Average Interest Rate	Amount	Percent of Total Deposits	
NOW	0.35 %	\$86,601	18 %		0.41 %	\$86,422	17 %		0.56 %	\$81,805	17 %	
Savings	0.21 %	23,726	5 %		0.14 %	23,660	5 %		0.44 %	21,296	4 %	
Money Market	0.47 %	204,740	42 %		0.63 %	191,055	38 %		0.77 %	169,759	35 %	
Non-interest bearing demand	0.00 %	48,678	10 %		0.00 %	48,863	10 %		0.00 %	56,315	12 %	
Total		363,745	75 %			350,000	70 %			329,175	68 %	
Certificates of Deposit: (fixed-rate, fixed-term)												
1-11 months	0.58 %	63,789	13 %		1.01 %	83,130	17 %		1.53 %	75,992	16 %	
12-23 months	0.87 %	37,225	8 %		1.08 %	34,181	7 %		1.58 %	50,123	10 %	
24-35 months	1.26 %	9,588	2 %		1.23 %	19,243	4 %		1.51 %	16,298	3 %	
36-47 months	1.44 %	6,954	1 %		1.68 %	7,109	1 %		1.81 %	6,846	1 %	
48-59 months	1.38 %	3,416	1 %		1.51 %	5,587	1 %		1.87 %	5,496	1 %	
60-71 months	1.42 %	2,433	0 %		1.47 %	541	0 %		1.66 %	654	0 %	
72-95 months	1.44 %	169	0 %		1.44 %	224	0 %		0.00 %	-	0 %	
Total		123,574	25 %			150,015	30 %			155,409	32 %	
Total Deposits		\$487,319	100 %			\$500,015	100 %			\$484,584	100 %	

Maturities of Certificates of Deposit of \$100,000 or More

In 2013, management continued to place emphasis on reducing the dependence on jumbo deposits (\$100,000 or more). The following table indicates the approximate amount of the Bank's certificate of deposit accounts of \$100,000 or more by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2013.

	(Dollars in thousands)
	As of December 31, 2013
Three months or less	\$ 16,346
Over three through six months	7,303
Over six through twelve months	12,619
Over twelve months	24,673
Total	\$ 60,941

Borrowings

The Company's borrowings consist primarily of FHLB advances, Federal Reserve advances, issuances of junior subordinated debentures and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Deposits are the primary source of funds for the Bank's lending activities and other general business purposes. However, during periods when the supply of lendable funds cannot meet the demand for such loans, the FHLB System, of which the Bank is a member, makes available, subject to compliance with eligibility standards, a portion of the funds necessary through loans (advances) to its members. Use of FHLB advances is a common practice, allowing the Bank to provide funding to its customers at a time when significant liquidity is not present, or at a rate advantageous relative to current market deposit rates. FHLB advances, due to their structure, allow the Bank to better manage its interest rate and liquidity risk. The following table presents certain data for FHLB advances as of the dates indicated.

	As of December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Remaining maturity:						
Less than one year	\$-		\$15,700		\$-	
One to two years	-		-		15,700	
Two to three years	250		250		-	
Three to four years	-		-		250	
Four to five years	50,000		-		-	
Over five years	2,100		52,100		52,100	
Total	\$52,350		\$68,050		\$68,050	
Weighted average rate at end of period	2.26	%	2.23	%	2.23	%
For the period:						
Average outstanding balance	\$56,144		\$68,050		\$86,800	
Weighted average interest rate	2.28	%	2.23	%	2.52	%
Maximum outstanding as of any month end	\$67,950		\$68,050		\$93,050	

Junior Subordinated Debentures:

On December 15, 2005, the Company completed an offering of \$15 million of "Trust Preferred Securities" (defined hereinafter). The Company formed two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Guaranty Statutory Trust I ("Trust I") and Guaranty Statutory Trust II ("Trust II") each a Delaware statutory trust (each a "Trust", and collectively, the "Trusts"), for the purpose of issuing the \$15 million of Trust Preferred Securities. The proceeds of the sale of Trust Preferred Securities, together with the proceeds of the Trusts' sale of their common securities to the Company, were used by each Trust to purchase certain debentures from the Company. The Company issued 30-year junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures to the Trusts in the principal amount of \$5,155,000 ("Trust I Debentures") and \$10,310,000 ("Trust II Debentures", and together with the Trust I Debentures, the "Debentures") pursuant to the terms of Indentures dated December 15, 2005 by and between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The Trust I Debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.92%, payable quarterly. The Trust II Debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.47% for 5 years, payable quarterly, after issuance and thereafter at a floating rate equal to the three month LIBOR plus 1.45%. The interest payments by the Company to the Trusts will be used to pay the dividends payable by the Trusts to the holders of the

Trust Preferred Securities.

20

The Debentures mature on February 23, 2036. Subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board, the Debentures and the Trust Preferred Securities are each callable by the Company or the Trusts, respectively and as applicable, at its option after five years from issuance, and sooner in the case of a special redemption at a special redemption price ranging up to 103.2% of the principal amount thereof, and upon the occurrence of certain events, such as a change in the regulatory capital treatment of the Trust Preferred Securities, either Trust being deemed an investment company or the occurrence of certain adverse tax events. In addition, the Company and the Trusts may defer interest and dividend payments, respectively, for up to five consecutive years without resulting in a default. An event of default may occur if the Company declares bankruptcy, fails to make the required payments within 30 days or breaches certain covenants within the Debentures. The Debentures are subordinated to the prior payment of any other indebtedness of the Company.

Pursuant to two guarantee agreements by and between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, the Company issued a limited, irrevocable guarantee of the obligations of each Trust under the Trust Preferred Securities whereby the Company has guaranteed any and all payment obligations of the Trusts related to the Trust Preferred Securities including distributions on, and the liquidation or redemption price of, the Trust Preferred Securities to the extent each Trust does not have funds available.

The following table sets forth certain information as to the Company's subordinated debentures issued to the Trusts at the dates indicated.

	As of December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in Thousands)		
Subordinated debentures	\$15,465	\$15,465	\$15,465
Weighted average interest rate of subordinated debentures	3.47 %	3.60 %	3.95 %

Federal Reserve Bank Borrowings

During 2008, the Bank established a borrowing line with Federal Reserve Bank. The Bank had an outstanding balance of \$3.0 million and the ability to borrow an additional \$27.1 million as of December 31, 2013. The Federal Reserve Bank requires the Bank to maintain collateral in relation to borrowings outstanding. The Bank had no borrowings on

this line as of December 31, 2012. The line is regarded by management as a contingency source of funds, and is not utilized in day to day operating activity except for planning.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

The Company borrowed \$30.0 million under three structured repurchase agreements in January 2008. Interest is based on a fixed weighted average rate of 2.65% until maturity in January 2018. Beginning in February 2010, the counterparty, Barclay's Capital, Inc., has the option to terminate the agreements on a quarterly basis until maturity. Prior to the stated maturity, the Company paid off one of these agreements in the amount of \$5.0 million in November 2011 and an additional \$15.0 million in May 2013.

The Company has pledged certain investment securities with a fair value of \$12.1 million and \$29.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, to these repurchase agreements.

Management monitors these transactions closely, and management has determined to keep them in place due to the net income relief these types of transactions provide in the low interest rate environment. The spread and interest rate risk on the transactions remain healthy.

Subsidiary Activity and Segment Information

The Company has three wholly-owned subsidiaries: (i) the Bank, the Company's principal subsidiary and a state-chartered bank with trust powers in Missouri; (ii) Trust I; and (iii) Trust II. As discussed in more detail above, Trust I and Trust II were formed in December 2005 for the exclusive purpose of issuing trust preferred securities to acquire junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company. Those debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. The interest payments by the Company on the debentures are the sole revenues of the Trusts and are used by the Trusts to pay the dividends to the holders of the trust preferred securities. The Company has guaranteed any and all payment obligations of the Trusts related to the trust preferred securities. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the Trusts are not consolidated with the Company.

The Bank has one service corporation subsidiary, Guaranty Financial Services of Springfield, Inc., a Missouri corporation. This service corporation, which has been inactive since February 1, 2003, had agreements with third party providers for the sale of securities and casualty insurance products.

The Company's banking operation conducted through its principal subsidiary, the Bank, is the Company's only reportable segment. Other information about the Company's business segment is contained in the section captioned "Segment Information" in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in the 2013 Annual Report. This information is incorporated herein by reference.

Critical Accounting Policies

"Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in Item 7 of this report is based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. On an on-going basis, management evaluates

its estimates and judgments.

Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates. If actual results are different than management's judgments and estimates, the Company's financial results could change, and such change could be material to the Company.

Material estimates and judgments that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed assets held for sale, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

The Company has identified the accounting policies for the allowance for loan losses and related significant estimates and judgments as critical to its business operations and the understanding of its results of operations. For a detailed discussion on the application of these significant estimates and judgments and our accounting policies, also see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Report.

Return on Equity and Assets

The following table sets forth certain dividend, equity and asset ratios of the Company for the periods indicated.

	Year ended		Year ended		Year ended	
	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Common Dividend Payout Ratio	0	%	0	%	0	%
Return on Average Assets	0.82	%	0.30	%	0.57	%
Return on Average Equity	10.34	%	3.67	%	7.08	%
Stockholders' Equity to Assets	8.12	%	7.70	%	8.36	%
EPS Diluted	\$ 1.58		\$ 0.30		\$ 1.01	
Dividends on Common Shares	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, the Bank had 134 full-time employees and 33 part-time employees. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had no salaried employees. None of the Bank's employees are represented by a collective bargaining group. The Bank believes that its relationship with its employees is good.

Competition

The Bank experiences substantial competition both in attracting and retaining deposit accounts and in the making of mortgage and other loans. The Bank's primary competitors are the financial institutions near each of the Bank's offices. In the Springfield metropolitan area, where the Bank's main office and branch offices are located, primary competition consists of commercial banks, credit unions, and savings institutions.

Direct competition for deposit accounts comes from other commercial banks, credit unions, regional bank and thrift holding companies, and savings institutions located in its primary market area. Significant competition for the Bank's other deposit products and services come from money market mutual funds, brokerage firms, insurance companies, and retail stores. Recently, online firms have offered attractive financial service products to consumers, irrespective of location. The primary factors in competing for loans are interest rates and loan origination fees and the range of services offered by various financial institutions. Competition for origination of real estate and other loans normally comes from commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage bankers, mortgage brokers, and insurance companies.

The Bank believes it is able to compete effectively in its primary market area by offering competitive interest rates and loan fees, and a variety of deposit products, and by emphasizing personal customer service.

Supervision and Regulation

General

Financial institutions, their holding companies, and their affiliates are extensively regulated under federal and state law. As a result, our growth and earnings performance may be affected not only by management decisions and general economic conditions, but also by the requirements of federal and state statutes and by the regulations and policies of various bank regulatory authorities, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), the MDF, the FDIC, and the newly-created Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB). Furthermore, taxation laws administered by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities, accounting rules developed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), and securities laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and state securities authorities have an impact on our business. The effect of these statutes, regulations, regulatory policies, and accounting rules are significant to our operations and results, and the nature and extent of future legislative, regulatory, or other changes affecting financial institutions are impossible to predict with any certainty.

Set forth below is a brief description of certain laws which relate to the regulation of the Company and the Bank. These laws, and regulations adopted under these laws, are primarily intended for the protection of the Bank's customers and depositors and not for the benefit of the stockholders of the Company. The following description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the applicable laws and regulations.

Dodd-Frank Act

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act is sweeping legislation intended to overhaul regulation of the financial services industry. Its goals are to establish a new council of “systemic risk” regulators, create a new consumer protection division within the FRB, empower the Federal Reserve to supervise the largest, most complex financial companies, allow the government to seize and liquidate failing financial companies, and give regulators new powers to oversee the derivatives market. The provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are so extensive and far reaching that full implementation may require several years, and an assessment of its full effect on the Company is not possible at this time. However, some provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have impacted the Bank’s current and future operations, including but not limited to:

A new agency, the CFPB, was created to have authority with respect to new and existing consumer financial protection laws.

Modification to deposit insurance coverage.

Changes in the calculation of a bank’s deposit insurance assessments.

Increase in the minimum ratio of net worth to insured deposits of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% and require the FDIC to offset the effect of the increase on institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion.

Repeal of the prohibition on payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting banks to pay interest on business accounts.

Provide for new disclosure relating to executive compensation and corporate governance and a prohibition on compensation arrangements that encourage inappropriate risks or that could provide excessive compensation.

Require new capital rules and apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to most bank holding companies. See “New Capital Rules” below.

Enhance the authority of the Federal Reserve Board to examine the Company and its non-bank subsidiaries.

Require all bank holding companies to serve as a source of financial strength to their subsidiary banks in the event such subsidiaries suffer from financial distress.

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act and American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

In response to the financial crisis affecting the banking system and financial markets, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act (“EESA”) was signed into law on October 3, 2008 and authorized the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the “Treasury”) to purchase from financial institutions and their holding companies up to \$700 billion in mortgage loans, mortgage-related securities and certain other financial instruments under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (“TARP”). As part of TARP, the Treasury established the Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”) to provide up to \$250 billion of funding to eligible financial institutions through the purchase of debt or equity securities from participating institutions.

On January 30, 2009, the Company issued and sold, and the Treasury purchased, (1) 17,000 shares of the Company’s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series A, and (2) a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 459,459

shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.55 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$17.0 million. The Series A preferred shares pay a dividend at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and at a rate of 9% per annum thereafter. The Series A preferred shares qualify as Tier 1 capital.

On June 13, 2012, with regulatory approval, the Company redeemed \$5 million of the Series A Preferred Stock, including accrued and unpaid dividends of \$19,444. The Company may redeem additional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock for \$1,000 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, in whole or in part, subject to regulatory approval. The Company filed a Registration Statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission in August of 2012. The purpose of the filing had been to register the offering by the Treasury in an auction the remaining \$12.0 million of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock. Pursuant to the agreement under which the Series A Preferred Stock had been sold to Treasury, Treasury had the right to compel the Company to register the sale by Treasury of all or any portion of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock held by Treasury. After the auction terminated in accordance with its terms, Treasury decided not to accept the two bids submitted offering to purchase a portion of the Series A Preferred Stock for 92% of their liquidation value. Accordingly, Treasury continues to own all of the \$12.0 million of Series A Preferred Stock issued and outstanding and the warrant.

The Company entered into a Placement Agency Agreement with the Treasury on April 15, 2013 in connection with a private auction by the Treasury of the remaining 12,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock conducted immediately thereafter. On April 29, 2013, the Treasury settled the sale of such shares of Series A Preferred Stock to the winning bidders in the private auction, consisting of six parties unrelated to the Company.

On May 8, 2013, the Company notified the Treasury of its intent to repurchase the Warrant at its fair market value. The Board of Directors of the Company had previously determined that it would be in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders to repurchase the Warrant and had also determined the Warrant's fair market value to be \$2,003,250 (the "Fair Market Value"). On May 10, 2013, the Treasury notified the Company that it had accepted the Company's offer to repurchase the Warrant at its Fair Market Value. Accordingly, on May 15, 2013, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement with Treasury pursuant to which the Company repurchased the Warrant for \$2,003,250 in cash. As a result of the aforementioned, the Warrant is no longer issued or outstanding and the Company's participation in the CPP is completed. In addition, though the Series A Preferred Stock remains outstanding, as a result of the Treasury's sale of the Series A Preferred stock to third-party investors on April 29, 2013, the Treasury no longer possesses any securities issued by the Company. Any repurchase or redemption of the Series A Preferred Stock by the Company would require regulatory approval.

On February 17, 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "ARRA") was signed into law. The ARRA imposes certain new executive compensation and corporate expenditure limits on all current and future CPP recipients that are in addition to those previously announced by the Treasury, until the institution has repaid the Treasury, which is now permitted under ARRA without penalty and without the need to raise new capital, subject to the Treasury's consultation with the recipient's appropriate regulatory agency. As a result of our participation in the CPP, the restrictions and standards established in the ARRA are applicable to the Company. The ARRA restrictions do not apply to any TARP recipient during such time when the federal government (i) only holds any warrants to purchase common stock of such recipient or (ii) holds no preferred stock or warrants to purchase common stock of such recipient.

The Treasury released an interim final rule (the “IFR”) on TARP standards for compensation and corporate governance on June 10, 2009, which implemented and further expanded the limitations and restrictions imposed on executive compensation and corporate governance by EESA and AARA. The rules clarify prohibitions on bonus payments, provide guidance on the use of restricted stock units, expand restrictions on golden parachute payments, mandate enforcement of clawback provisions unless unreasonable to do so, outline the steps compensation committees must take when evaluating risks posed by compensation arrangements, and require the adoption and disclosure of a luxury expenditure policy, among other things. New requirements under the rules include enhanced disclosure of perquisites and the use of compensation consultants, and prohibitions on tax gross-up payments. The Treasury has not yet published a final version of the IFR.

New Capital Rules

In December 2010, the internal Basel Committee on Bank Supervision (“Basel Committee”) released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified as “Basel III,” which, when fully phased-in, would require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital than currently required, with a greater emphasis on common equity. The Basel III capital framework, among other things:

introduces as a new capital measure, Common Equity Tier 1 (“CET1”), more commonly known in the United States as “Tier 1 Common,” and defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital, and expands the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations;

when fully phased in, requires banks to maintain: (i) a newly adopted international standard, a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7%); (ii) an additional “SIFI buffer” for those large institutions deemed to be systemically important, ranging from 1.0% to 2.5%, and up to 3.5% under certain conditions; (iii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation); (iv) a minimum ratio of Total (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation); and (v) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum leverage ratio of 3%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to balance sheet exposures plus certain off-balance sheet exposures (as the average for each quarter of the month-end ratios for the quarter); and an additional “countercyclical capital buffer,” generally to be imposed when national regulators determine that excess aggregate credit growth becomes associated with a buildup of systemic risk, that would be a CET1 add-on to the capital conservation buffer in the range of 0% to 2.5% when fully implemented.

In July 2013, the U.S. banking agencies approved the U.S. version of Basel III. The federal bank regulatory agencies’ adopted version of Basel III revises the risk-based and leverage capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with Basel III and to meet the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. Although many of the rules contained in these final regulations are applicable only to large, internationally active banks, some of them will apply on a phased in basis to all banking organizations, including the Company and the Bank. Among other things, the rules establish a new minimum common equity Tier 1 ratio (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), a higher minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital requirement (6.0% of risk-weighted assets) and a minimum non-risk-based leverage ratio (4.00% eliminating a 3.00% exception for higher rated banks). The new additional capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk weighted assets over each of the required capital ratios will be phased in from 2016 to 2019 and must be met to avoid limitations on the ability of the Company and the Bank to pay dividends, repurchase shares or pay discretionary bonuses. The additional “countercyclical capital buffer” is also required for larger and more complex institutions. The new rules assign higher risk weighting to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The rules also change the permitted composition of Tier 1 capital to exclude trust preferred securities, mortgage servicing rights and certain deferred tax assets and include unrealized

gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities (with a one-time opt out option for Standardized Banks (banks with less than \$250 billion of total consolidated assets and less than \$10 billion of foreign exposures) which the Company and the Bank intend to exercise). The rules, including alternative requirements for smaller community financial institutions like the Company and the Bank, would be phased in through 2019. The implementation of the Basel III framework is to commence January 1, 2015. The Company has reviewed and will continue to evaluate the new Basel III regulatory capital requirements.

Volcker Rule

Effective April 15, 2014, the federal banking agencies have adopted regulations with a conformance period for certain features lasting until July 21, 2015, to implement the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act known as the Volcker Rule. Under the regulations, FDIC-insured depository institutions, their holding companies, subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, “banking entities”), are generally prohibited, subject to certain exemptions, from proprietary trading of securities and other financial instruments and from acquiring or retaining an ownership interest in a “covered fund.”

Trading in certain government obligations is not prohibited. These include, among others, obligations of or guaranteed by the United States or an agency or government-sponsored entity of the United States, obligations of a State of the United States or a political subdivision thereof, and municipal securities. Proprietary trading generally does not include transactions under repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions and purchases and sales for the purpose of liquidity management if the liquidity management plan meets specified criteria; nor does it generally include transactions undertaken in a fiduciary capacity.

The term “covered fund” can include, in addition to many private equity and hedge funds and other entities, certain collateralized mortgage obligations, collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations, and other items, but it does not include wholly owned subsidiaries, certain joint ventures, or loan securitizations generally if the underlying assets are solely loans. The term “ownership interest” includes not only an equity interest or a partnership interest, but also an interest that has the right to participate in selection or removal of a general partner, managing member, director, trustee or investment manager or advisor; to receive a share of income, gains or profits of the fund; to receive underlying fund assets after all other interests have been redeemed; to receive all or a portion of excess spread; or to receive income on a pass-through basis or income determined by reference to the performance of fund assets. In addition, “ownership interest” includes an interest under which amounts payable can be reduced based on losses arising from underlying fund assets.

Activities eligible for exemptions include, among others, certain brokerage, underwriting and marketing activities, and risk-mitigating hedging activities with respect to specific risks and subject to specified conditions.

Regulation of the Bank

General. The Bank is regulated as a bank under state and federal law, including being regulated and supervised by the MDF. Its deposits are insured by the Depository Insurance Fund (“DIF”) of the FDIC, which was created in 2006 in the merger of the Bank Insurance Fund and the Savings Association Insurance Fund under the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act. Lending activities and other investments must comply with various federal statutory and regulatory requirements. The Bank is also subject to certain reserve requirements promulgated by the FRB.

The MDF, in conjunction with the FDIC, will regularly examine the Bank and provide reports to the Bank's Board of Directors on any deficiencies that are found in the Bank's operations. The Bank's relationship with its depositors and borrowers is also regulated to a great extent by federal and state law, especially in such matters as the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of the Bank's loan documents.

The Bank must file reports with the MDF and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition, in addition to obtaining regulatory approvals prior to entering into certain transactions such as mergers with or acquisitions of other banks or savings institutions. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution can engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the DIF and depositors. The regulatory structure also gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts and Assessments. The deposit accounts held by the Bank are insured by the DIF (as defined by law and regulation). The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions, and credit unions to \$250,000 per insured depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009. Although the legislation provided that noninterest-bearing transaction accounts had unlimited deposit insurance coverage through December 31, 2012.

Effective April 1, 2011, the FDIC adopted rules in which insurance assessments will be based on the institution's average total assets less its average tangible equity, rather than total deposits which were previously used in the assessment calculation.

On November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule to collect, in advance, insurance premiums for 2010, 2011 and 2012 in lieu of an additional special assessment. The payment in the amount of \$4,135,875 was made on December 30, 2009, which represents total premiums for these three years as estimated by the FDIC. The unamortized balance of \$1,305,631 was reimbursed in full on June 30, 2013.

The FDIC may terminate its insurance of deposits if it finds that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

Prompt Corrective Action. The FDIC is required to take prompt corrective action if a depository institution for which it is the regulator, including the Bank, does not meet its minimum capital requirements. The FDIC establishes five capital tiers: "well capitalized", "adequately capitalized", "under capitalized", "significantly under capitalized" and "critically under capitalized". A depository institution's capital tier will depend upon where its capital levels are in relation to various relevant capital measures, which, among others, include a Tier 1 and total risk-based capital measure and a leverage ratio capital measure. A depository institution is considered to be significantly undercapitalized if it has a Total Capital Ratio of less than 6.0%; a Tier I Capital ratio of less than 3.0%; or a Leverage Ratio of less than 3.0%. An institution that has a tangible equity capital to assets ratio equal to or less than 2.0% is deemed to be critically undercapitalized. "Tangible equity" includes core capital elements counted as Tier 1 Capital for purposes of the risk-based capital standards, plus the amount of outstanding cumulative perpetual preferred stock (including related surplus), minus all intangible assets, with certain exceptions.

The FDIC may, under certain circumstances, reclassify a well capitalized insured depository institution as adequately capitalized. It is also permitted to require an adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution to comply with the supervisory provisions as if the institution were in the next lower category (but not treat a significantly undercapitalized institution as critically undercapitalized) based on supervisory information other than the capital levels of the institution. An institution may be reclassified if the FDIC determines (after notice and opportunity for hearing) that the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition or deems the institution to be engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice.

As stated previously, the Company and the Bank met their minimum capital adequacy guidelines, and the Bank was categorized as well capitalized, as of December 31, 2013. Applicable capital and ratio information is contained under the section titled "Regulatory Matters" in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Report.

Safety and Soundness Standards. Federal bank regulators are required to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to the internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest-rate-risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation and compensation, fees and benefits and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies may deem appropriate. The federal bank regulatory agencies have adopted guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards, which require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. The Bank is a member of the FHLB, which is one of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. As a member, the Bank is required to purchase and maintain stock in FHLB in an amount equal to 0.12% of assets plus 4.00% of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. At December 31, 2013, the Bank had \$2,885,100 in FHLB stock, which was in compliance with this requirement.

Anti-Terrorism Legislation. The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, of which the majority was reauthorized into law in March 2006, contains anti-money laundering measures affecting insured depository institutions, broker-dealers and certain other financial institutions. U.S. financial institutions are required to adopt policies and procedures to combat money laundering and the Treasury Secretary is granted broad authority to establish regulations and to impose requirements and restrictions on financial institutions' operations. The Bank is in compliance with this Act.

Dividend Limitations. The amount of dividends that the Bank may pay is subject to various regulatory limitations. In addition, under Missouri law dividends paid by banks are restricted by a statutory formula, which provides for the maintenance of a surplus fund and prohibits the payment of dividends which would impair the surplus fund.

Regulation of the Company

General. The Company is a registered bank holding company subject to regulation and supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 ("BHCA").

Capital. The FRB has adopted risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies. The minimum guideline for the ratio ("Risk-Based Capital Ratio") of total capital ("Total Capital") to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance-sheet commitments such as standby letters of credit) is 8%. At least one-half of Total Capital must be composed of Tier 1 Capital which generally consists of common shareholders' equity, minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of cumulative perpetual preferred stock and certain nonfinancial equity investments, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets. The remainder, denominated "Tier 2 Capital," generally consists of limited amounts of subordinated debt, qualifying hybrid capital instruments, other preferred stock, loan loss reserves and unrealized gains on certain equity securities.

In addition, the FRB has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide for a minimum ratio of Tier 1 Capital to average total assets less goodwill ("Leverage Ratio") of 3% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria, including those having the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies generally are required to maintain a Leverage Ratio of at least 4%. The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies anticipating or experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions are expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance upon intangible assets. Furthermore, the FRB has indicated that it will consider a "tangible Tier 1 Leverage Ratio" (after deducting all intangibles) and other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

The Bank is subject to Risk-Based Capital and Leverage Ratio requirements adopted by the FDIC, which are substantially similar to those adopted by the FRB. See “Regulation of the Bank – Prompt Corrective Action.” In addition, a bank's capital classifications may affect its activities. For example, under regulations adopted by the FDIC governing the receipt of brokered deposits, a bank may not lawfully accept, roll over or renew brokered deposits unless either (i) it is well capitalized or (ii) it is adequately capitalized and receives a waiver from the FDIC.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company met its minimum capital adequacy guidelines. Applicable capital and ratio information is contained under the section titled “Regulatory Matters” in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Report.

Dividend Restrictions and Share Repurchases. The Company's source of cash flow (including cash flow to pay dividends to stockholders) is dividends paid to it by the Bank. The right of the Company to receive dividends or other distributions from the Bank is subject to the prior claims of creditors of the Bank, including depositors.

The amount of dividends that the Company may pay is subject to various regulatory limitations. Future dividends will depend primarily upon the level of earnings of the Bank. Banking regulators also have the authority to prohibit banks and bank holding companies from paying a dividend if they should deem such payment to be an unsafe or unsound practice.

Unless a bank holding company is well capitalized immediately before and after the repurchase of its equity securities, is well managed and is not subject to any unresolved supervisory issues, it must notify the FRB prior to the purchase or redemption of its outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration (gross consideration paid minus the gross consideration received from the sale of equity securities) paid by the Company during the preceding twelve months, is equal to 10% or more of the Company's consolidated net worth. The FRB may disapprove of the purchase or redemption if it determines, among other things, that the proposal would constitute an unsafe or unsound business practice.

Support of Banking Subsidiaries. Under FRB policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank and, where required, to commit resources to support the Bank. Moreover, if the Bank should become undercapitalized, the Company would be required to guarantee the Bank's compliance with its capital restoration plan in order for such plan to be accepted by the FDIC.

Acquisitions. Under the BHCA, the Company must obtain the prior approval of the FRB before it may acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank, acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any bank, or merge or consolidate with any other bank holding company. The BHCA also restricts the Company's ability to acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of 5% or more of any class of voting shares of any nonbanking corporation. The FRB is required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding companies and banks concerned and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. Consideration of financial resources generally focuses on capital adequacy. Consideration of convenience and needs issues includes the involved institutions' performance under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, as amended (the "CRA"). Under the CRA, all financial institutions have a continuing and affirmative obligation consistent with safe and sound operation to help meet the credit needs of their entire communities, including low-to-moderate income neighborhoods. Based on its most recent CRA compliance examinations, the Bank has received a "satisfactory" CRA rating.

Transactions With Affiliates. There are various legal restrictions on the extent to which a bank holding company may borrow or otherwise obtain credit from or sell assets or affiliate securities to its bank subsidiary. In general, covered transactions with a bank subsidiary must be on nonpreferential terms and cannot exceed, as to any one of the

holding company or the holding company's nonbank subsidiaries, 10% of the bank's capital stock and surplus, and as to the holding company and all of its nonbank subsidiaries in the aggregate, 20% of such capital stock and surplus. Special collateral requirements also apply to covered extensions of credit.

Corporate Governance. The Dodd-Frank Act addresses many investor protection, corporate governance, and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies. The Dodd-Frank Act increases stockholder influence over boards of directors by requiring companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called “golden parachute” payments, and authorizing the SEC to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate and solicit voters for their own candidates using a company's proxy materials. The legislation also directs the FRB to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Set forth below is information concerning the executive officers of the Company. Each executive officer is annually elected to a one-year term by the Board of Directors of the Company.

Shaun A. Burke joined the Bank in March 2004 as President and Chief Executive Officer and was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company on February 28, 2005. He has over 30 years of banking experience. Mr. Burke received a Bachelor of Science Degree from Missouri State University and is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking of Colorado. He is a Board Member of the Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce and is currently Vice Chairman of Economic Development, a Board Member of the Springfield Business Development Corporation, the economic development subsidiary of the Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce, and a Member of the Missouri Bankers Association Board serving on the Audit Committee. He is also a past Member of the United Way Allocations and Agency Relations Executive Committee, Salvation Army Board, and Big Brothers Big Sisters Board.

Carter Peters is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank and the Company. He joined the Bank and the Company in August 2005. Mr. Peters has over 21 years of experience in the financial services and public accounting industries. He is a Certified Public Accountant with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Missouri State University. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Missouri Society of Certified Public Accountants. He is also the past Chairman of the Southwest Missouri Regional Board of the Make-A-Wish Foundation of Missouri.

H. Michael Mattson is Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank. He joined the Bank in June 2006. Mr. Mattson has over 30 years of commercial banking experience. Mr. Mattson is currently a member of the Springfield Area Chamber of Commerce and has served on its board nominating committee and venture capital committee. He is on the board of directors of Ozarks Food Harvest, previously serving as its president and co-chair of their capital campaign. He is a member of Leadership Springfield Class XI and a graduate of Rockhurst University and the Graduate School of Banking of The South at Baton Rouge, LA.

Sheri Biser is Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of the Bank. She joined the Bank in February 2009. Ms. Biser has 27 years of banking experience. Prior to joining the Bank, Ms. Biser served as Chief Credit Officer of Metropolitan National Bank for nearly eight years and worked in credit administration for fourteen years at another financial institution. She received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Fort Hays State University.

Robin E. Robeson is Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank. She joined the Bank in July 2012. Ms. Robeson has over 20 years of experience in the financial services industry and 3 years of executive

management experience in the technology industry. She has a Bachelor of Art Degree in Communication from the University of Missouri-Columbia and a Master of Business Administration Degree from Drury University. In addition, Ms. Robeson was awarded the Certified Trust & Financial Advisor (CTFA) professional designation from the Institute of Certified Bankers. She currently serves as a Board Member for City Utilities of Springfield and the Ozarks Transportation Organization and is Past President of the Big Brothers/Big Sisters of the Ozarks and Rotary Club of Springfield boards. She is a graduate of Leadership Springfield Class XIII, and has been recognized by the Springfield Business Journal as one of the “20 Most Influential Women in Business” and been named a “40 Under 40” honoree.

As of December 31, 2013, the age of these individuals was 50 for Mr. Burke, 44 for Mr. Peters, 60 for Mr. Mattson, 50 for Ms. Biser and 48 for Ms. Robeson.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company's business and operations are subject to, and may be adversely affected by, certain risks and uncertainties. An investment in our common stock is subject to risks inherent in our business. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included and incorporated by reference in this report. In addition to the risks and uncertainties described below, other risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The value or market price of our common stock could decline due to any of these identified or other risks, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Our business has been and may continue to be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally.

Since late 2007, the U.S. economy has generally experienced challenging economic conditions. Business activity across a range of industries and regions remains reduced from historical levels, and some businesses have experienced difficulty in remaining profitable. Likewise, many local governments have been experiencing lower tax revenues, impacting their ability to cover costs. Unemployment also generally increased during this period and remains at elevated levels. For the past few years, the financial services industry has generally been affected by significant declines in the values of many significant asset classes, reduced levels of liquidity and the lack of opportunities to originate new loans.

As a result of these economic conditions, the Bank experienced declines in the performance of its loans from historical norms. In addition, these economic conditions have also resulted in a decline of the values of real estate collateral supporting many of the Bank's loans, and this decline may continue. If the overall economic climate in the United States, generally, or our market areas, specifically, fails to continue to improve or declines further, this may affect consumer confidence levels and may cause adverse changes in payment patterns, causing increases in delinquencies and default rates, which may impact our charge-offs and provisions for credit losses. A worsening of these conditions likely would exacerbate the adverse effects of the recent market conditions on us.

Our loan/lease portfolio is comprised in part of real estate loans, which involve risks specific to real estate values.

Real estate lending comprises a significant portion of our lending business. Real estate loans were \$363.0 million, or approximately 76.7% of our total loan/lease portfolio, as of December 31, 2013. The market value of real estate securing our real estate loans can fluctuate significantly in a short period of time as a result of market conditions in the

geographic area in which the real estate is located, and in the past several years our market areas have experienced a general weakening in real estate valuations. Continued adverse developments affecting real estate values in one or more of our markets could increase the credit risk associated with our loan portfolio. Additionally, real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of the loans generally is dependent, in large part, on sufficient income from the properties securing the loans to cover operating expenses and debt service. Economic events or governmental regulations outside of the control of the borrower or lender could negatively impact the future cash flow and market values of the affected properties.

The problems that have occurred in the residential real estate and mortgage markets throughout much of the United States in recent years also affected the commercial real estate market. Our operations are heavily concentrated in Greene and Christian Counties, which are in the southwestern corner of Missouri, including the cities of Springfield, Nixa and Ozark, Missouri (our "Market Area"). In our Market Area, we generally experienced a downturn in credit performance by our commercial real estate loan customers in recent years relative to historical norms. Despite recent improvements in certain aspects of the economy, a level of uncertainty continues to exist in the economy and credit markets nationally and in our Market Area, and there can be no guarantee that we will not experience further deterioration in the performance of commercial real estate and other real estate loans in the future. In such case, we may not be able to realize the amount of security that we anticipated at the time of originating the loan, including the support of personal guarantees, if any, which could cause us to increase our provision for loan losses and adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and/or capital.

Rapidly changing interest rate environments could reduce net interest margin and otherwise negatively impact our results of operations.

Interest and fees on loans and securities, net of interest paid on deposits and borrowings, are a large part of our net income. Interest rates are the key drivers of the Company's net interest margin and are subject to many factors beyond the control of management. As interest rates change, our net interest income is affected. Rapid increases in interest rates in the future could result in our interest expense increasing faster than interest income because of mismatches in the maturities of the Company's assets and liabilities. Furthermore, substantially higher rates generally reduce loan demand and may result in slower loan growth for us. Decreases or increases in interest rates could have a negative effect on the spreads between our interest rates earned on assets and our rates of interest paid on liabilities, and therefore decrease our net interest income.

Interest rate changes may affect borrowers' repayment schedules, negatively impacting our financial condition.

Interest rate increases often result in larger payment requirements for our borrowers, which increases the potential for default. At the same time, the marketability of underlying collateral may be adversely affected by any reduced demand resulting from higher interest rates. In a declining interest rate environment, there may be an increase in prepayments on certain of our loans as borrowers refinance at lower rates. Fluctuation in interest rates may therefore change borrowers' timing of repayment of, or ability to repay, loans, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

Changes in interest rates could negatively impact our nonperforming assets, decreasing net interest income.

Changes in interest rates also can affect the value of loans. An increase in interest rates that adversely affects the ability of borrowers to pay the principal or interest on loans may lead to an increase in our nonperforming assets and a reduction of income recognized, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows. Further, when we place a loan on nonaccrual status, we reverse any accrued but unpaid interest receivable, which decreases interest income. Subsequently, we continue to have a cost to fund the loan, which is reflected as interest expense, without any interest income to offset the associated funding expense. Thus, an increase in the amount of nonperforming assets would have an adverse impact on net interest income.

The financial condition of the Bank's customers and borrowers could adversely affect the Bank's liquidity.

Two of the Bank's primary source of funds are customer deposits and loan repayments. Though scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of liquidity, they are subject to the ability of the borrowers to repay their loans. The ability of the borrowers to repay their loans can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including changes in the economic conditions, adverse trends or events affecting the business environment, natural disasters and various other factors. Customer deposit levels may be affected by a number of factors, including the competitive interest rate environment in both the national market and our Market Area, local and national economic conditions, natural disasters and other various events.

A decrease in cash flows from our investment portfolio may adversely affect our liquidity.

Another primary source of liquidity for the Bank is cash flows from investment instruments. Cash flows from the investment portfolio may be affected by changes in interest rates, resulting in excessive levels of cash flow during periods of declining interest rates and lower levels of cash flow during periods of rising interest rates. These changes may be beyond our control and could significantly influence our available cash.

Difficult U.S. economic conditions could adversely affect the Company's ability to borrow or raise capital.

As discussed above, since late 2007, the U.S. economy has experienced challenging economic conditions. As a result of such market conditions, the Company's stock prices have generally been negatively affected over this time period, and the ability of the Company to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets has become more difficult than it had been prior to 2007. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed or desired, our ability to continue or expand our operations could be materially impaired and our financial condition and liquidity could be materially adversely affected.

Liquidity needs could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Liquidity issues have been particularly acute for the Bank, as a community bank, as many of the larger financial institutions have significantly curtailed their lending to regional and community banks to reduce their exposure to the risks of other banks. In addition, many of the larger correspondent lenders have reduced or even eliminated federal funds lines for their correspondent customers. Furthermore, regional and community banks, including the Bank, generally have less access to the capital markets than do the national and super-regional banks because of their smaller size and limited analyst coverage. Any decline in available funding could adversely impact the Company's ability to originate loans, invest in securities, meet its expenses, pay dividends to its stockholders, or fulfill obligations such as repaying its borrowings or meeting deposit withdrawal demands, any of which could have a material adverse impact on its liquidity, business, results of operations and financial condition.

If the Company is required to rely on secondary sources of liquidity, those sources may not be immediately available.

The Company may be required from time to time to rely on secondary sources of liquidity to meet withdrawal demands or otherwise fund operations. Such sources include the Federal Home Loan Bank advances, brokered deposits and federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks. The Company may also pledge investments as collateral to borrow money from third parties. In certain cases, the Company may sell investment instruments for sizable losses to meet liquidity needs, reducing net income. While the Company believes that these sources are currently adequate, there can be no assurance they will be sufficient to meet future liquidity needs.

Our future success is dependent on our ability to compete effectively in the highly competitive banking industry.

We face competition in attracting and retaining deposits, making loans, and providing other financial services throughout our market area. Our competitors include other community banks, regional and super-regional banking institutions, national banking institutions, and a wide range of other financial institutions such as credit unions, government-sponsored enterprises, mutual fund companies, insurance companies, brokerage companies, and other non-bank businesses. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources than the Company and are not subject to the same regulatory restrictions as the Company is subject. Many of our unregulated competitors compete across geographic boundaries and are able to provide customers with a feasible alternative to traditional banking services. In addition, challenging economic conditions nationally and in our Market Area have resulted in an increase in competition for the Bank with other depository institutions for deposits and quality loans.

Increased competition in our markets may result in a decrease in the amounts of our loans and deposits, reduced spreads between loan rates and deposit rates or loan terms that are more favorable to the borrower as we try to meet our competitors' terms and pricing. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on its ability to grow and remain profitable. If increased competition causes us to significantly discount the interest rates we offer on loans or increase the amount we pay on deposits, our net interest income could be adversely impacted. If increased competition causes us to modify our underwriting standards, we could be exposed to higher losses from lending activities.

Inability to hire or retain certain key professionals, management and staff could adversely affect our revenues, net income and growth plans.

We rely on key personnel to manage and operate our business, including major revenue generating functions such as our loan and deposit portfolios. None of our employees, including those who comprise our key management team on whom we rely to operate the Company successfully and to grow it, are subject to employment contracts with us. Such employees are at-will and thus are not restricted from terminating their employment with us. The loss of key management and staff may adversely affect our ability to maintain and manage these portfolios effectively, which could negatively affect our revenues. In addition, loss of key personnel could result in increased recruiting, hiring, and training expenses, resulting in lower net income. The lack of employment contracts with key employees could also have a material adverse impact on our ability to retain such employees to implement our acquisition strategy and therefore effectively use capital for such purposes.

The Company is subject to extensive regulation that can limit or restrict its activities.

The Company operates in a highly regulated industry and is subject to examination, supervision, and comprehensive regulation by various agencies, including the FRB, the MDF and FDIC. The Company's regulatory compliance is costly. The Company is also subject to capitalization guidelines established by its regulators, which require it and the Bank to maintain adequate capital to support its and the Bank's growth. The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry can change at any time, and the Company cannot predict the effects of these changes on its business. To the extent activities of the Company and/or the Bank are restricted or limited by regulation or regulators' supervisory authority, the Company's future profitability may be adversely affected.

Financial reform legislation has, among other things, tightened capital standards, resulted in the creation of a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and resulted in and will result in new regulations that have already increased and are expected to further increase our costs of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law on July 21, 2010 and, although it became generally effective in July 2010, many of its provisions have extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities although some new regulations are already effective. The Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those rules, could result in a number of adverse impacts on us.

The levels of capital and liquidity with which the Company must operate may be subject to more stringent capital requirements, as described in more detail below.

Another aspect of the Dodd-Frank Act that may adversely affect the Company is that it allows financial institutions to pay interest on business checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a Consumer Financial Protection Bureau as a new independent entity within the Federal Reserve. This entity has broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement authority over consumer financial products and services, including deposit products, residential mortgages, home-equity loans and credit cards. These and other provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act may impose significant additional costs on the Company, impede its growth opportunities and place it at a competitive disadvantage.

These provisions, as well as any other aspects of current or proposed regulatory or legislative changes to laws applicable to the financial industry, may impact the profitability of our business activities and may change certain of our business practices, including the ability to offer new products, obtain financing, attract deposits, make loans, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads, and could expose us to additional costs, including increased compliance costs. These changes also may require us to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes to operations in order to comply, and could therefore also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our management is actively reviewing the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, many of which are to be phased-in over the next several months and years, and assessing the probable impact on our operations. However, the ultimate effect of these changes on the financial services industry in general, and us in particular, is uncertain at this time.

The short-term and long-term impact of the changing regulatory capital requirements and anticipated new capital rules on the Company is uncertain.

In July 2013, the U.S. federal banking authorities approved the implementation of the Basel III capital framework issued rules effecting certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III framework is applicable to all U.S. banks that are subject to minimum capital requirements, as well as to bank and savings and loan holding companies other than “small bank holding companies” (generally bank holding companies with consolidated assets of less than \$500 million). The Basel III framework not only increases most of the required minimum regulatory capital ratios, but it introduces a new Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio and the concept of a capital conservation buffer. The Basel III framework also expands the definition of capital as in effect currently by establishing criteria that instruments must meet to be considered Additional Tier 1 Capital (Tier 1 Capital in addition to Common Equity) and Tier 2 Capital. A number of instruments that now generally qualify as Tier 1 Capital will not qualify, or their qualifications will change when the Basel III Rules are fully implemented. The Basel III framework also permits banking organizations with less than \$15.0 billion in assets to retain, through a one-time election, the existing treatment for accumulated other comprehensive income, which currently does not affect regulatory capital. The Basel III framework has maintained the general structure of the current prompt corrective action framework, while incorporating the increased requirements. The prompt corrective action guidelines were also revised to add the Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio. In order to be a “well-capitalized” depository institution under the new regime, a bank and holding company must maintain a Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio of 6.5% or more; a Tier 1 Capital ratio of 8% or more; a Total Capital ratio of 10% or more; and a leverage ratio of 5% or more. Generally, financial institutions become subject to the new Basel III Rules on January 1, 2015, with phase-in periods for many of the changes.

Our management is actively reviewing the provisions of the Basel III framework. The application of the more stringent capital requirements for the Company and the Bank could, among other things, result in lower returns on invested capital, require the issuance of additional capital, and result in regulatory actions if we were unable to comply with such requirements. However, the ultimate effect of these changes on the financial services industry in general, and us in particular, is uncertain at this time.

Management’s analysis of the necessary funding for the allowance for loan loss account may be incorrect or may suddenly change resulting in lower earnings.

The funding of the allowance for loan loss account is the most significant estimate made by management in its financial reporting to stockholders and regulators. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which are subject to material changes. Although management believes that the allowance for loan/lease losses as of December 31, 2013 was adequate to absorb losses on any existing loans/leases that may become uncollectible, in light of the current economic environment, which remains challenging, the Company cannot predict loan losses with certainty, and the Company cannot assure you that our allowance for loan losses will prove sufficient to cover actual loan losses in the future, particularly if economic conditions are more difficult than

management currently expects. If negative changes to the performance of the Company's loan portfolio were to occur, management may find it necessary or be required to fund the allowance for loan loss account through additional charges to the Company's provision for loan loss expense. These changes may occur suddenly and be dramatic in nature. Additional provisions to the allowance for loan losses and loan losses in excess of the Company's allowance for loan losses may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Series A Preferred Stock impacts net income available to our common stockholders and earnings per common share.

The dividends declared on the Series A Preferred Stock that the Company intends to attempt to redeem, reduce the net income available to common stockholders and our earnings per common share. The Series A Preferred Stock also receives preferential treatment in the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. Though we intend to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock as soon as practicable, there can be no assurance that we will receive the necessary regulatory approvals to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock.

System failure or breaches of our network security could subject us to increased operating costs as well as litigation and other liabilities.

The computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our computer equipment against damage from physical theft, fire, power loss, telecommunications failure or a similar catastrophic event, as well as from security breaches, denial of service attacks, viruses, worms and other disruptive problems caused by hackers. Any damage or failure that causes an interruption in our operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Computer break-ins, phishing and other disruptions could also jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our computer systems and network infrastructure, as well as that of our customers engaging in internet banking activities, which may result in significant liability to us and may cause existing and potential customers to refrain from doing business with us. Although we, with the help of third-party service providers, intend to continue to implement security technology and establish operational procedures to prevent such damage, there can be no assurance that these security measures will be successful. In addition, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms used to encrypt and protect customer transaction data. Any interruption in, or breach of security of, our computer systems and network infrastructure, or that of our internet banking customers, could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are dependent upon outside third parties for processing and handling of our records and data.

We rely on third-party service providers for a substantial portion of our communications, information, operating and financial control systems technology. While we have selected these third-party vendors carefully, we do not control their actions. If any of these third-party service providers experience financial, operational or technological difficulties, security breaches, or if there is any disruption in our relationships with them, we may be required to locate alternative sources for these services. There can be no assurance that we could negotiate terms as favorable to us or obtain services with similar functionality as we currently have without the expenditure of substantial resources. Any of these circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to certain operational risks, including, but not limited to, customer or employee fraud and data processing system failures and errors.

Employee errors and employee and customer misconduct could subject us to financial losses or regulatory sanctions and seriously harm our reputation. Misconduct by our employees could include hiding unauthorized activities from us, improper or unauthorized activities on behalf of our customers or improper use of confidential information. It is not always possible to prevent employee errors and misconduct, and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this

activity may not be effective in all cases. Employee errors could also subject us to financial claims for negligence.

We maintain a system of internal controls and insurance coverage to mitigate against operational risks, including data processing system failures and errors and customer or employee fraud. If our internal controls fail to prevent or detect an occurrence, or if any resulting loss is not insured or exceeds applicable insurance limits, such failures could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Monetary policies and regulations of the Federal Reserve could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to being affected by general economic conditions, including economic conditions specifically in our Market Area, our earnings and growth are affected by the policies of the Federal Reserve. An important function of the Federal Reserve is to regulate the money supply and credit conditions. Among the instruments used by the Federal Reserve to implement these objectives are open market operations in U.S. government securities, adjustments of the discount rate and changes in reserve requirements against bank deposits. These instruments are used in varying combinations to influence overall economic growth and the distribution of credit, bank loans, investments and deposits. Their use also affects interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits.

The effects of the monetary policies and regulations of the Federal Reserve upon our business, financial condition and results of operations in the future cannot be predicted, but have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks, including our Bank, in the past.

We could recognize losses on securities held in our securities portfolio, particularly if interest rates increase or economic and market conditions deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of our securities portfolio was approximately \$97.8 million. Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. For example, fixed-rate securities acquired by us are generally subject to decreases in market value when interest rates rise. Additional factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency downgrades of the securities, defaults by the issuer or individual mortgagors with respect to the underlying securities, and continued instability in the credit markets. Any of the foregoing factors could cause an other-than-temporary impairment in future periods and result in realized losses. The process for determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary usually requires us to make difficult, subjective judgments about the future financial performance of the issuer and any collateral underlying the security in order to assess the probability of receiving all contractual principal and interest payments on the security. Because of changing economic and market conditions affecting interest rates, the financial condition of issuers of the securities and the performance of the underlying collateral, we may recognize realized and/or unrealized losses in future periods, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our business is concentrated in and largely dependent upon the continued growth and welfare of the general geographical markets in which we operate.

Our operations are heavily concentrated in our Market Area and, as a result, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows are significantly impacted by changes in the economic conditions in those areas. Our success depends to a significant extent upon the business activity, population, income levels, deposits and real estate activity in these markets. Although our customers' business and financial interests may extend well beyond these market areas, adverse economic conditions that affect these market areas could reduce our growth rate, affect the ability of our customers to repay their loans to us, affect the value of collateral underlying loans and generally affect our financial condition and results of operations. Because of our geographic concentration, we are less able than other regional or national financial institutions to diversify our credit risks across multiple markets.

The soundness of other financial institutions could negatively affect the Company.

Our ability to engage in routine funding and other transactions could be negatively affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions, including the Bank, are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. Defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and losses of depositor, creditor and counterparty confidence and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. We could experience increases in deposits and assets as a result of the difficulties or failures of other banks, which would increase the capital we need to support our growth.

Our reputation could be damaged by negative publicity.

Reputational risk, or the risk to us from negative publicity, is inherent in our business. Negative publicity can result from actual or alleged conduct in a number of areas, including legal and regulatory compliance, lending practices, corporate governance, litigation, inadequate protection of customer data, ethical behavior of our employees, and from actions taken by regulators, ratings agencies and others as a result of that conduct. Damage to our reputation could impact our ability to attract new or maintain existing loan and deposit customers, employees and business relationships.

The repeal of federal prohibitions on payment of interest on business demand deposits could increase our interest expense and have a material adverse effect on us.

All federal prohibitions on the ability of financial institutions to pay interest on business demand deposit accounts were repealed as part of the Dodd-Frank Act. As a result, some financial institutions have commenced offering interest on these demand deposits to compete for customers. If competitive pressures require us to pay interest on these demand deposits to attract and retain business customers, our interest expense would increase and our net interest margin would decrease. This could have a material adverse effect on us. Further, the effect of the repeal of the prohibition could be more significant in a higher interest rate environment as business customers would have a greater incentive to seek interest on demand deposits.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments, which are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and which may differ from actual results.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and general reporting practices within the financial services industry, which require us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Some accounting policies, such as those pertaining to our allowance for loan losses, require the application of significant judgment by management in selecting the appropriate assumptions for calculating financial estimates. By their nature, these estimates and judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and actual results may differ from these estimates and judgments under different assumptions or conditions, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations in subsequent periods.

Our compensation expense may increase substantially now that Treasury no longer owns the Series A Preferred Stock and we are no longer subject to certain restrictions moving forward.

As a result of our participation in the CPP, among other things, we were subject to Treasury's standards for executive compensation and corporate governance for the period during which Treasury held any of our shares of Series A Preferred Stock. These standards are set forth in the Interim Final Rule on TARP Standards for Compensation and Corporate Governance, published June 15, 2009. Except as noted below, because Treasury sold all of its shares of Series A Preferred Stock, we will no longer be subject to any restrictions on executive compensation that we may pay in the future or additional certification obligations beyond 2013 that were previously imposed on us as participants in the CPP. We do, however, remain subject to the administration of a restriction on the Chief Executive Officer's previously awarded restricted stock and to the modified certification obligation to be satisfied in 2014 with respect to a portion of our fiscal 2013. Due to the restrictions on executive compensation no longer being applicable and the potential implementation of our intended growth strategy, our compensation expense for our executive officers and other senior employees, including expenses relating to the hiring of new employees to implement our growth strategy, may increase substantially.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the Bank's facilities as of December 31, 2013. All buildings owned are free of encumbrances or mortgages. The Bank's facilities are well maintained and considered adequate for the foreseeable future.

Location	Year Opened	Lease		Expiration (Including any renewal options)
		Owned or Leased		
<u>Main Office</u>				
1341 W Battlefield Road	Springfield, Missouri 65807	1995	Owned	N/A
<u>Operations Center</u>				
1414 W Elfindale	Springfield, Missouri 65807	2009	Owned	N/A
<u>Banking Center Offices</u>				
1510 E Sunshine	Springfield, Missouri 65804	1979	Owned	N/A
2109 N Glenstone	Springfield, Missouri 65803	1987	Owned	N/A
4343 S National	Springfield, Missouri 65810	2000	Owned	N/A
1905 W Kearney	Springfield, Missouri 65803	2004	Leased*	2044
2155 W Republic Road	Springfield, Missouri 65807	2006	Leased*	2046
709 W Mt. Vernon	Nixa, Missouri 65714	2005	Leased*	2044

291 East Hwy CC	Nixa, Missouri 65714	2008	Leased*	2038
1701 W State Hwy J	Ozark, Missouri 65721	2008	Owned	N/A

Loan Production Offices

1100 Spur Dr.	Marshfield, Missouri 65706	2007	Leased	2014
---------------	----------------------------	------	--------	------

* Building owned with land leased.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

(a) Material Legal Proceedings

The Company and the Bank, from time to time, may be parties to ordinary routine litigation, which arises in the normal course of business, such as claims to enforce liens, and condemnation proceedings, on properties in which the Bank holds security interests, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans, and other issues incident to the business of the Company and the Bank. While the ultimate outcome of such legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, after reviewing pending and threatened litigation with legal counsel, management believes at this time that the outcome of any such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

(b) Proceedings Terminated During the Last Quarter of the Fiscal Year Covered by This Report

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The information contained in the section captioned "Investor Information-Common Stock Prices and Dividends" on page 2 of the 2013 Annual Report is incorporated herein by reference.

With respect to the equity compensation plan information required by this item, see “Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters” in this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company has a repurchase plan which was announced on August 20, 2007. This plan authorizes the purchase by the Company of up to 350,000 shares of the Company’s common stock. There is no expiration date for this plan. There are no other repurchase plans in effect at this time. The Company had no repurchase activity of the Company’s common stock during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2013.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The information contained on page 4 under the section captioned “Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data” of the 2013 Annual Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information contained on pages 5 through 18 under the section captioned “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of the 2013 Annual Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information contained on page 12 and 13 under the sections captioned “Asset/Liability Management” and “Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis” of the 2013 Annual Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements set forth on pages 19 to 59 of the 2013 Annual Report and the financial information contained under the section captioned “Summary of Unaudited Quarterly Operating Results” set forth on page 18 of the 2013 Annual Report are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants On Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company’s Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and

communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ending December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL

OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention of overriding controls. Accordingly, even effective internal controls over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the framework set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information contained under the section captioned "First Proposal: Election of Directors" (excluding any information contained under the section captioned "Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors") of the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics, and it applies to all of the members of the board of directors, officers and employees of the Company (including the Bank), with special emphasis on compliance by the directors of the Company and the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer or Controller or persons performing similar functions for the Company. The Company's Code of Conduct and Ethics is available on the Company's website at www.gbankmo.com and may be accessed by logging onto the Company's website and clicking on the "About Us" link and then the "Code of Conduct" link. You will then be able to click on, and access, the Company's Code of Conduct and Ethics. Amendments to, and waivers granted under, the Company's Code of Conduct and Ethics, if any, will be posted to the Company's website as well.

The information required by Item 10 regarding an audit committee financial expert and the identification of the members of the audit committee, a separately designated committee of the Company's board of directors established in accordance with section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is contained under the section captioned "Report of the Audit Committee" of the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Additional information required by this item is contained (i) in the Proxy Statement under the section captioned "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and is incorporated herein by reference, and (ii) under the section captioned "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Item 1 of this report.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information contained in the Proxy Statement under the section captioned "Report of the Compensation Committee" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Except as set forth below, information required by this item is contained under the section captioned "Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

44

Equity Compensation Plan Information

<u>Plan category</u>	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans(excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	274,100	\$ 16.37	95,323
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	15,000	19.62	-
Totals	289,100	\$ 16.54	95,323

Description of Stock Plans Not Approved by Stockholders

2000 Stock Compensation Plan. During the year ended June 30, 2000, the directors of the Company established the 2000 Stock Compensation Plan (the “2000 SCP”) with both a stock award component and a stock option component for a term of ten years. A committee of the Bank’s Board of Directors (the “Committee”) administers the 2000 SCP and the 2001 SCP (discussed below). Stock options awarded under the 2000 SCP are considered non-qualified for federal income tax purposes. Officers, directors and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are eligible under the 2000 SCP. Stock awards and stock options vest at the rate of 20% per year over a five year period and become fully vested in the event of a “change in control” as defined in the 2000 SCP. In addition, the price of the stock options may not be less than the market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant, and the stock options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. Under the stock award component of the 2000 SCP, the committee awarded 7,125 restricted shares of the Company’s common stock. As of December 31, 2013, there are no restricted shares in the 2000 SCP that are not vested. Options to acquire 17,875 shares of the Company’s common stock have been granted under the 2000 SCP at an exercise price of \$10.50 per share. The maximum number of shares of the Company’s

common stock permitted to be awarded under the 2000 SCP (25,000) have been awarded. Previously issued awards or options which expire, become unexercisable, or are forfeited prior to their exercise may be granted as new awards or options under the 2000 SCP for the number of shares which were subject to such expired or forfeited awards or options.

2001 Stock Compensation Plan. During the year ended June 30, 2001, the directors of the Company established the 2001 Stock Compensation Plan (the “2001 SCP”) with both a stock award component and a stock option component for a term of ten years. Stock options awarded under the 2001 SCP are considered non-qualified for federal income tax purposes. Officers, directors and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are eligible to receive awards under the 2001 SCP. Stock awards and stock options vest at the rate of 20% per year over a five year period and become fully vested in the event of a “change in control” as defined in the 2001 SCP. In addition, the price of the stock options may not be less than the market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant, and the stock options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. Under the stock award component of the 2001 SCP, the Committee awarded 10,239 restricted shares of the Company’s common stock. As of December 31, 2013, all restricted shares in this plan are vested. Options to acquire 11,000 shares of the Company’s common stock have been granted under the 2001 SCP at a weighted-average exercise price of \$23.23 per share. The maximum number of shares of the Company’s Common Stock permitted to be awarded under the 2001 SCP is 25,000 shares. Previously issued awards or options which expire, become exercisable, or are forfeited prior to their exercise may be granted as new awards or options under the plan for the number of shares which were subject to such expired or forfeited awards or options.

2003 Stock Option Agreement. During the period ended December 31, 2003, the independent directors of the Company authorized the issuance of options to acquire 5,000 shares of the Company’s common stock as an employment inducement to a new officer of the Bank pursuant to an individual stock option agreement. Stock options awarded under this agreement are considered non-qualified for federal income tax purposes, vest at the rate of 20% per year over a five year period, become fully vested in the event of a “change in control” as defined in the agreement and expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. In addition, pursuant to the term of the stock option agreement which requires that the price of the stock options granted thereunder may not be less than the market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant, all of these options were granted at an exercise price of \$17.20 per share.

2004 Stock Option Agreement. Pursuant to the authorization of the independent directors of the Company, options to acquire 25,000 shares of the Company’s common stock were issued by the Company on March 9, 2004 as an employment inducement to a new officer of the Bank under an individual stock option agreement. Stock options awarded under this agreement are considered non-qualified for federal income tax purposes, vest at the rate of 20% per year over a five year period, become fully vested in the event of a “change in control” as defined in the agreement and expire no later than ten years from the date of grant. In addition, pursuant to the term of the stock option agreement which requires that the price of the stock options granted thereunder may not be less than the market value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant, all of these options were granted at an exercise price of \$19.62 per share.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is contained under the sections captioned "Indebtedness of Management and Directors and Transactions with Certain Related Persons" and “Director Independence” in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is contained under the section captioned "Principal Accountant Fees and Services" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Schedules

1. The following financial statements and the report of independent registered public accounting firm included in the 2013 Annual Report are filed as part of this Report and incorporated herein by reference.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

² Financial statement schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the SEC are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

3. The following exhibits are filed with this Report or incorporated herein by reference
Index to Exhibits

Exhibit

<u>Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
3(i).1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc. (1)
3(i).2	Certificate of Designations for the Series A Preferred Stock (21)
3(ii)	Bylaws of Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc., as amended (7)
4.1	Rights Agreement dated January 20, 1999 concerning the issuance of preferred stock and related rights. (2)
4.2	Form of Certificate for the Series A Preferred Stock (22)
4.3	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock (23)

The Company hereby agrees to furnish the SEC upon request, copies of (i) the instruments defining the rights of the holders of each issue of its junior subordinated debentures and (ii) the repurchase agreements between the Company and Barclay's Capital, Inc. dated September 2007 and January 2008.

10.1	1994 Stock Option Plan *(3)
10.2	Recognition and Retention Plan *(4)
10.3	1998 Stock Option Plan *(5)
10.4	Restricted Stock Plan *(6)
10.5	Form of Change in Control Severance Agreement *(6)

- 10.6 2000 Stock Compensation Plan *(6)
- 10.7 2001 Stock Compensation Plan *(6)
- 10.8 2003 Stock Option Agreement *(8)
- 10.9 Employment Agreement effective as of March 9, 2004 by and between the Bank and Shaun A. Burke *(9)
- 10.10 2004 Stock Option Agreement dated March 9, 2004 between the Company and Shaun A. Burke *(10)
- 10.11 2004 Stock Option Plan *(11)
- 10.12 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Stock Option Plan *(15)
- 10.13 Form of Non-Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Stock Option Plan *(16)
- 10.14 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 1994 Stock Option Plan *(12)
- 10.15 Form of Non-Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 1994 Stock Option Plan *(13)
- 10.16 Incentive Stock Option Agreement dated March 17, 2005 between the Company and Shaun A. Burke (issued pursuant to the 2001 Stock Option Plan) *(14)
- 10.19 Written Description of Compensatory Arrangement with Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer *(17)
- 10.20 Written Description of 2007 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-President and Chief Executive Officer *(18)
- 10.21 Written Description of 2008 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-President and Chief Executive Officer *(19)
- 10.22 Written Description of 2008 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-Chief Financial Officer *(20)
- 10.23 Letter Agreement dated January 30, 2009, including Securities Purchase Agreement – standard terms incorporated by reference therein, between the Company and the United States Department of the Treasury, with respect to the issuance and sale of Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrant (24)
- 10.24 Amendment and Waiver Regarding Compensation Arrangements dated January 28, 2009 by and among the Bank, the Company and its Senior Executive Officers* (25)
- 10.25 Written Description of 2009 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-President and Chief Executive Officer *(26)
- 10.26 Written Description of 2009 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer *(27)
- 10.27 Written Description of 2009 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plan-Chief Lending Officer *(28)
- 10.28 Written Description of 2010 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plans-Chief Financial, Chief Lending and Chief Credit Officers (29)
- 10.29 Written Description of 2010 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plans-Chief Operating Officer (30)
- 10.30 Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc. 2010 Equity Plan *(31)
- 10.31 Written Description of 2011 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plans-Chief Executive, Chief Financial, Chief Operating, Chief Lending and Chief Credit Officers *(32)
- 10.32 Written Description of 2012 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plans-Chief Executive, Chief Financial, Chief Operating, Chief Lending and Chief Credit Officers *(33)
- 10.33 Written Description of 2014 Employment Agreements and 2014 Executive Incentive Compensation Annual Plans-Chief Executive, Chief Financial, Chief Operating, Chief Lending and Chief Credit Officers *(34)

- 11 Computation of per share earnings is set forth in Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements under the section captioned “Earnings Per Common Share” in the 2013 Annual Report.
- 13 Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal period ended December 31, 2013 (only those portions incorporated by reference in this document are deemed “filed”)
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant (See Item 1. Business – Subsidiary and Segment Information)
- 23 Consent of BKD, LLP
- 31(i).1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act
- 31(i).2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act
- 32.1 CEO certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 32.2 CFO certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 101 The following materials from Guaranty Federal Bancshares, Inc.’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (unaudited), (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited), (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited), (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders’ Equity (unaudited), (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited), and (vi) related notes.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

-
- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Form 8A filed by Registrant on January 22, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on September 23, 1997 (SEC File No. 333-36141) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed by the Registrant on September 23, 1997 (SEC File No. 333-36141) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as Exhibit 4 to the Form S-8 Registration Statement filed by the Registrant on March 6, 2002 (SEC File No. 333-83822) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2001 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on December 3, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the transition period ended December 31, 2003 filed by the Registrant on March 30, 2004 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on January 24, 2005 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on January 24, 2005 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Filed as Appendix A to the proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders held on May 19, 2004 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Form S-8 Registration Statement filed by the Registrant on March 3, 1998 (SEC File No. 333-47241) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (13)

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Form S-8 Registration Statement filed by the Registrant on March 3, 1998 (SEC File No. 333-47241) and incorporated herein by reference.

- (14) Filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on March 22, 2005 (SEC File No. 0-23325) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (15) Filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed by the Registrant on March 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (16) Filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed by the Registrant on March 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (17) Filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 filed by the Registrant on March 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (18) Filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 filed by the Registrant on November 14, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (19) Filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on December 29, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (20) Filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on December 29, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (21) Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (22) Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (23) Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (24) Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (25) Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 3, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (26) Filed as Exhibit 10.23 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 9, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (27) Filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 9, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (28) Filed as Exhibit 10.25 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 9, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (29) Filed as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 2, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (30) Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on April 26, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (31) Filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Form S-8 Registration Statement filed by the Registrant on October 29, 2010 (SEC File No. 333-170205) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (32) Filed as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 28, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (33) Filed as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on February 2, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (34) Filed as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.10 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant on March 26, 2014 and incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GUARANTY FEDERAL BANCSHARES, INC.

Dated: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Shaun A. Burke
Shaun A. Burke
President and Chief Executive Officer

(Duly Authorized Representative)

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Shaun A. Burke
Shaun A. Burke
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Tim Rosenbury
Tim Rosenbury
Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Carter Peters
Carter Peters
EVP and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ John Griesemer
John Griesemer
Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Gregory V. Ostergren
Gregory V. Ostergren
Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Kurt D. Hellweg
Kurt D. Hellweg
Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ James R. Batten
James R. Batten
Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ Don M. Gibson
Don M. Gibson
Chairman of the Board and Director
Date: March 28, 2014

By: /s/ James L. Sivils, III
James L. Sivils, III
Director
Date: March 28, 2014