

Maxwell Resources, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 19, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- ☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD
ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013
- ☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 333-173972

Maxwell Resources, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

33-1219696
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

848 N. Rainbow Blvd. #2741
Las Vegas, NV
(Address of principal executive offices)

89107
(Zip Code)

(702) 706-5576
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes ☒ No ☐

Edgar Filing: Maxwell Resources, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

As of November 10, 2013, there were 57,545,526 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, issued and outstanding.

FORM 10-Q
Maxwell Resources, Inc.
INDEX

	Page
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.	12
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	16
Item 4. Controls and Procedures.	17
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.	17
Item 1A. Risk Factors.	18
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	18
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.	18
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.	18
Item 5. Other Information.	18
Item 6. Exhibits.	18
Signatures	19

MAXWELL RESOURCES, INC.
(formerly known as Mericol, Inc.)
AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY

BALANCE SHEETS

		SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	MARCH 31, 2013
	ASSETS		
Current Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 244,870	\$ 508,192
Total Current Assets		244,870	508,192
Mineral rights		37,935,904	37,935,904
Total Assets		\$ 38,180,774	\$ 38,444,096
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Liabilities			
Current Liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$ 11,253	\$ 53,574
Total Liabilities		11,253	53,574
Commitments and Contingencies			
Stockholders' Equity			
Preferred Stock, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 2,400,000 designated as Series A; no shares issued and outstanding		-	-
2,400,000 designated as Series B; 2,400,000 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and March 31, 2013		-	-
Common stock, par value \$0.001; 75,000,000 shares authorized, 57,545,526 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and March 31, 2013		57,546	57,546
Additional Paid-in-capital		39,962,690	39,553,903
Deficit accumulated prior to the exploration stage		(34,489))	(34,489)
Deficit accumulated during the exploration stage		(1,816,226))	(1,186,438)
Total Stockholders' Equity		38,169,521	38,390,522
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		\$ 38,180,774	\$ 38,444,096

See accompanying notes to unaudited financial statements

MAXWELL RESOURCES, INC.
(formerly known as Mericol, Inc.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		For the Six Months Ended September 30, 2013		For the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012		For the Period From July 27, 2012 (entry into exploration stage) to September 30, 2013
Revenues	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0
Operating Expenses									
General and Administrative Expenses		228,798		63,163		629,858		70,257	1,817,540
Total Operating Expenses		228,798		63,163		629,858		70,257	1,817,540
Net Loss From Operations		(228,798)		(63,163)		(629,858)		(70,257)	(1,817,540)
Interest Earned		68		408		208		408	1,314
Net Loss	\$	(228,730)	\$	(62,755)	\$	(629,650)	\$	(69,849)	\$ (1,816,226)
Net Loss Per Share: Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.00)	
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding: Basic and Diluted		57,545,526		73,499,147		57,545,526		93,525,459	

See accompanying notes to unaudited financial statements

MAXWELL RESOURCES, INC.
(formerly known as Mericol, Inc.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	For the Six Months Ended September 30, 2013	For the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012	For the Period From July 27, 2012 (entry into exploration stage) to September 30, 2013
Operating Activities			
Net loss for the period	\$ (629,650)	\$ (69,849)	\$ (1,816,226)
Amortization of stock compensation expense	408,649	-	1,046,291
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase)/decrease in prepaid expenses	-	(2,730)	3,333
Increase/(decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(42,321)	125	11,252
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities	(263,322)	(72,454)	(755,350)
Investing Activities			
Purchase of mineral rights		(500,000)	(500,000)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(500,000)	(500,000)
Financing Activities			
Proceeds from sale of common stock	-	1,500,000	1,500,000
Loans from related party	-	4,475	(7)
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities	-	1,504,475	1,499,993
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash	(263,322)	932,021	244,643
Cash, beginning of period	508,192	846	227
Cash, end of period	\$ 244,870	\$ 932,867	\$ 244,870
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Income taxes paid	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Non Cash Investing and Financing Activities			
Stock issued in purchase of mineral rights	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 37,435,904

See accompanying notes to unaudited financial statements

MAXWELL RESOURCES, INC.
(formerly known as Mericol, Inc.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2013

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

Mericol, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on November 17, 2010 with the original intent of providing 3D printing technologies. On August 22, 2012 the Company changed its name to Maxwell Resources, Inc. and shifted its business focus to natural resource mining and exploration. The Company has not generated any revenues and the primary activity to date has been the acquisition and exploration of certain gold, silver, iron ore, copper and coal interests in New Mexico. The Company is considered to be an exploration stage company and its financial statements are presented in a manner similar to a development stage company as defined in FASC 915-10-05, and interpreted by the Securities and Exchange Commission for mining companies in Industry Guide 7. Since inception the Company has accumulated losses of \$1,850,715.

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Accordingly, the results from operations for the six-month period ended September 30, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2014. The unaudited condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the March 31, 2013, financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on July 10, 2013.

The financial statements as of March 31, 2013 included herein, have been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but do not include all disclosures required by the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 2 – GOING CONCERN

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which assumes the Company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The Company has incurred losses since inception resulting in a total accumulated deficit of \$1,850,715 as of September 30, 2013 and further losses are anticipated in the development of its business, raising substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon the Company generating profitable operations in the future and/or procuring the necessary financing to meet its obligations. Management estimates the Company will require a minimum of \$3.2 million additional capital within the next six to nine months to commence exploratory drilling and meet its short-term operating requirements.

NOTE 3– SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation - Exploration Stage Company

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, related to exploration stage companies. An exploration stage company is one that is in search for mineral properties (reserves) which are not in either the production or development stage. These financial statements are presented in US dollars.

The Company accounts for mineral properties in accordance with ASC 930: Extractive Activities-Mining. Costs of acquiring mineral rights and leases are capitalized by project area upon purchase of the associated claims (Note 5). Mineral properties are periodically assessed for impairment of value.

The Company accounts for mineral exploration and development costs in accordance with ASC 932: Extractive Activities. All exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred, previously capitalized costs are expensed in the period the property is abandoned. Expenditures to develop new mines, to define further mineralization in existing ore bodies, and to expand the capacity of operating mines, are capitalized and amortized on units of production basis over proven and probable reserves.

The amounts shown for mineral properties and rights do not necessarily represent present or future values. Their recoverability is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete the development, and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company had \$244,870 cash and \$0 cash equivalents as of September 30, 2013.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts of cash approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these items. Fair value estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes, nor do we utilize derivative instruments.

The FASB ASC clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. It also requires disclosure about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities and establishes a hierarchy for which these assets and liabilities must be grouped, based on significant levels of inputs as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

The determination of where assets and liabilities fall within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Impairment of Long Lived Assets

The Company reviews and evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment at each balance sheet date and documents such impairment testing. The tests include an evaluation of the assets and events or changes in circumstances that would indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Mineral properties in the exploration stage are monitored for impairment based on factors such as the Company's continued right to explore the area, exploration reports, assays, technical reports, drill results and the Company's continued plans to fund exploration programs on the

property, whether sufficient work has been performed to indicate that the carrying amount of the mineral property cost carried forward as an asset will not be fully recovered, even though a viable mine has been discovered.

The tests for long-lived assets in the exploration, development or producing stage that would have a value beyond proven and probable reserves would be monitored for impairment based on factors such as current market value of the mineral property and results of exploration, future asset utilization, business climate, mineral prices and future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the related assets. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, including evaluating its reserves beyond proven and probable amounts.

The Company's policy is to record an impairment loss in the period when it is determined that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable either by impairment or by abandonment of the property. The impairment loss is calculated as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds its fair value.

The Company has not recorded any impairment loss during the exploration stage through September 30, 2013.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes as required by the Income Tax Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Management has analyzed filing positions in all of the federal and state jurisdictions where we are required to file income tax returns, as well as all open tax years in these jurisdictions. The Company has identified its federal tax return as a "major" tax jurisdiction, as defined. We are not currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service or any other jurisdiction. The Company believes that its income tax filing positions and deductions will be sustained on audit and does not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for at fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 718. On December 21, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and reserved for issuance under the Plan, 8,600,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Also, on December 21, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved the grant of 5,132,224 options to its sole director and 1,026,445 options to its sole officer.

Basic Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic income (loss) per share is calculated by dividing the Company's net loss applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing the Company's net income available to common shareholders by the diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding is the basic

weighted number of shares adjusted for any potentially dilutive debt or equity.

The Company has 3,079,334 potentially diluted securities issuable upon the exercise of stock options which were not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

NOTE 4 –INDEBTEDNESS TO RELATED PARTY

The Company's former sole officer/director loaned \$15,442 to the Company to pay for incorporation and organization fees and other miscellaneous requirements. The indebtedness was forgiven in September 2012 and recorded as additional paid-in capital.

NOTE 5 – ACQUISITION OF MINERAL RIGHTS

On July 27, 2012, the Company acquired from Sun River Energy, Inc. ("Sun River") certain gold, silver, iron ore, copper and coal interests in Colfax County, New Mexico. A U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) study has stated the area contains high-grade coking coal, as well as gold, silver and other rare earth minerals. The property consists of approximately 170,000 acres, and is located approximately 200 miles northeast of Santa Fe, New Mexico. The property area is accessed by New Mexico State Highway 64. Since the acquisition at July 27, 2012, the Company has commenced an exploration program to determine the extent of mineralization on the property.

As part of the acquisition, the Company was also granted a three (3) year option to acquire a 5% working interest in any oil and/or gas (including coalbed methane) wells drilled on any properties owned by Sun River in New Mexico. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company paid Sun River \$500,000 cash, and issued Sun River 29,948,723 shares of its common stock valued at \$37,435,904 based on the value of the stock issued in the most recent private placement prior to the acquisition.

As part of the acquisition, Sun River was granted the right to nominate one director to the Company's Board. The Company also agreed to spend at least \$1,000,000 towards obtaining a National Instrument 43-101 report regarding the acquired mineral interests on or before the three-year anniversary of the acquisition. If the Company fails to achieve that milestone, then Sun River will have the right to appoint an additional number of directors to the Company's board such that Sun River will have a majority representation on the board. The Company is contractually obligated to obtain Sun River's written approval to sell or transfer the mineral rights within eighteen months following acquisition.

NOTE 6 – CAPITAL STOCK

In July 2012, the Company issued 1,200,003 shares of Common stock for cash proceeds of \$1,500,000 at \$1.25 per share.

In July 2012, the Company's former sole officer/director cancelled 87,600,000 common shares which the Company had previously issued to him.

In July 2012, the Company issued 29,948,723 shares of Common Stock to a third party as partial consideration for the purchase of certain gold, silver, iron ore, copper and coal interests in Colfax County, New Mexico. See Note 5 above for further description of the purchase transaction.

On July 27, 2012, the Company authorized 10,000,000 shares of blank check preferred stock, of which 2,400,000 million were designated as the Company's Series A Preferred Stock with a par value \$0.001. The Series A Preferred stock is convertible into common stock at a ratio of 1-for-1, and has voting rights at 15 times common stock voting

rights. Pursuant to the July 27, 2012 agreement with Phillip Dias and Lisa Holyfield the Company was obligated to issue 2,400,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. (See Note 8 below.) Effective November 20, 2012, the Company rescinded its obligation to issue the Series A Preferred Stock to its Chief Executive Officer and sole Director. As of September 30, 2013, there was no Series A Preferred Stock outstanding.

On August 2, 2012, the Company implemented a forward stock split through a common stock dividend payable on the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. The Company issued 10.68 additional shares of common stock to its stockholders of record as of August 10, 2012, for each share then held. All common stock share quantities displayed in this report are on a post-split basis.

On December 26, 2012, the Company authorized 2,400,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock with a par value of \$0.001. Upon the date 18 months after the issuance date of the Series B Preferred Stock, the Company shall redeem the Series B Preferred Stock shares at a purchase price equal to \$1.00 for all outstanding Series B Preferred Stock. The Series B Preferred Stock will vote as a single class with the common stock and the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock will have the number of votes equal to 15 times the number of shares of Series B Preferred Stock.

On December 26, 2012, the Company issued 2,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock to its sole director and 400,000 to its Chief Executive Officer for services rendered. The value of the shares were de minimis, as determined by an independent outside valuation firm due to the lack of income stream or economic benefit associated with holding the Series B Preferred shares.

On December 21, 2012, the Company granted its sole director options to purchase 5,132,224 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.52 per share. The options vested 25 % immediately upon issuance and 25% every six months through June 21, 2014 and have a term of 4.5 years. The fair value of the options was determined to be \$1,059,200 using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. The significant assumptions used in the valuation were: the exercise prices noted above; the market value of the Company's common stock on December 21, 2012, of \$0.46 per share; expected volatility of 105%; risk free interest rate of 0.21%; and an expected term of 1.5 years based upon management's estimated term of liquidation. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, \$111,281 of this fair value was expensed.

On December 21, 2012, the Company granted its Chief Executive Officer options to purchase 1,026,445 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.52 per share. The options vested 25 % immediately upon issuance and 25% every six months through June 21, 2014 and have a term of 4.5 years. The fair value of the options was determined to be \$211,840 using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. The significant assumptions used in the valuation were: the exercise prices noted above; the market value of the Company's common stock on December 21, 2012, of \$0.46 per share; expected volatility of 105%; risk free interest rate of 0.21%; and an expected term of 1.5 years based upon management's estimated term of liquidation. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, \$ 22,256 of this fair value was expensed.

There were 57,545,526 shares of common stock; 0 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, and 2,400,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013.

NOTE 7 – INCOME TAXES

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$1,498,000 that may be available to reduce future years' taxable income in varying amounts through 2033. Future tax benefits which may arise as a result of these losses have not been recognized in these financial statements, as their realization is determined not likely to occur and accordingly, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the deferred tax asset relating to these tax loss carry-forwards.

NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On July 27, 2012, Phillip Dias was appointed by the Company's Board of Directors to serve as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Dias also serves as the Company's principal financial officer. In connection

with Mr. Dias's appointment, the Company and Mr. Dias entered into a one-year employment agreement, which was subsequently extended through July 26, 2014. The employment agreement may be terminated by the Company upon death or for "cause", or by the employee at any time upon fifteen days notice. If the Company terminates the Agreement for a reason other than cause, then Mr. Dias will be entitled to a \$40,000 cash severance, payable in four equal installments. The agreement calls for a base salary of \$80,000 per year. In addition, pursuant to the agreement, the Company agreed to issue to Mr. Dias 400,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Effective November 20, 2012, the Company rescinded its obligation to issue the Series A Preferred Stock to Mr. Dias. On December 26, 2012, the Company issued Mr. Dias 400,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock (see Note 6 above).

On July 27, 2012, Lisa Holyfield was appointed to the Company's board of directors to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of Mr. Pojoga. Ms. Holyfield will be paid a monthly directors fee of \$2,000. In addition, the Board approved the issuance to Ms. Holyfield of 2,000,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Effective November 20, 2012, the Company rescinded its obligation to issue the Series A Preferred Stock to Ms. Holyfield. On December 26, 2012, the Company issued Ms. Holyfield 2,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock (see Note 6 above).

A complaint that was filed on June 7, 2012 in Texas State District Court, Dallas County, 134th Judicial District by Plaintiff, Colin Richardson, et al, derivatively on behalf of Sun River Energy, Inc. ("Sun River") against Donal R. Schmidt, Jr., Timothy S. Wafford, James Pennington, Judson F. Hoover, Robert B. Fields, Stephen W. Weathers, Mark Hall, Daniel M. Cofall, Sierra Foxtrot, LP, Sierra Genpar LLC. A second amended complaint, containing claims against Maxwell Resources, was filed on November 5, 2012 (the "Richardson Matter").

The Richardson Matter is brought by Plaintiff derivatively primarily against the officers, and directors of Sun River alleging numerous actions of corporate malfeasance that have materially harmed the business prospects of Sun River. The complaint contains two causes of action against the Company: (1) aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty and (2) for a constructive trust. The claims against the Company surround the July 30, 2012 agreement (the "Agreement") executed between Sun River and Mericol Inc. (n/k/a Maxwell Resources). The complaint states that under the Agreement, the Company allegedly purchased from Sun River gold, silver, iron ore and coal interests on property Sun River owned in Colfax County, New Mexico for \$500,000 (plus stock) (the "Maxwell/Sun River Deal"). The complaint alleges that due to the structure of the Agreement complete control over the Company was given to Sun River. The complaint alleges that members of Sun River used the Company as a shell company to improperly transfer the Colfax County land to the Company for their own benefit and control to the detriment of Sun River and its shareholders. The complaint alleges that by acquiescing and participating in this deal, the Company aided and abetted the Sun River defendants in breaching the fiduciary duty such defendant owed to Sun River and its shareholders.

The complaint seeks unspecified amount of damages arising from the aiding and abetting and breach of fiduciary duty claim. The Constructive Trust claim seeks that the Texas court place a constructive trust over any money or assets the Company derived from the Agreement.

In a separate action (which was consolidated with the Richardson Matter), Dr. Steven R. Henson (a creditor and former board member of Sun River) filed a complaint alleging direct causes of action against Sun River, Donal R. Schmidt, Jr., Timothy S. Wafford, James Pennington, Judson F. Hoover, Robert B. Fields, Stephen W. Weathers, Mark Hall, Daniel M. Cofall, Sierra Foxtrot, LP and Sierra Genpar LLC. A third amended complaint, containing claims against the Company, was filed on March 18, 2013 (the "Henson Matter").

The Henson Matter contains three causes of action. The first cause of action is for defamation against Sun River and Schmidt arising from statements Schmidt allegedly made accusing Henson of insider trading. The second cause of action is against all defendants for fraudulent transfer under certain sections of the Texas Business and Commerce Code. Regarding the Company, in this claim, Henson seeks to void the Maxwell/Sun River Deal on the ground that Sun River did not receive reasonably equivalent value for its sale of the mineral interests to the Company and that the Maxwell/Sun River Deal was executed to defraud the creditors of Sun River. The fraudulent transfer claim also seeks to void certain other transactions by Sun River and its officers, directors and board members. Finally, the Henson Matter seeks injunctive relief to prevent Sun River and its officers, directors and board members from engaging in any other sales/transfers that would further harm the company.

The Company disputes the allegations contained against it in the Richardson and Henson Matters and believes each complaint to be wholly without merit and intends to vigorously defend the claims asserted therein. As of the date of this report, the Company's management believes any potential liability that may results from this claim is not probable

or estimable.

11

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Report on Form 10-Q and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us may contain so-called "forward-looking statements," all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "plans," "will," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "estimates," and other words having similar meaning. Forward looking statements include, without limitation, statements relating to our business goals, planned exploration, business strategy, planned engineering studies, future operating results, and our liquidity and capital resources outlook. Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding our business, the economy and other future conditions. Our actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements, which are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements include without limitation results of future exploration and engineering studies on our Raton Basin properties; our ability to raise necessary capital to conduct our exploration activities and do so on acceptable terms or at all, reinterpretations of geological information; problems or delays in permitting or other government approvals; the risk factors set forth in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Form 10-Q to "we," "us," "our," or the "Company" refer to Maxwell Resources, Inc.

OVERVIEW

The Company was incorporated in the State of Nevada on November 17, 2010 with the original intent of providing 3D printing technologies. On August 22, 2012 the Company changed its name to Maxwell Resources, Inc. and shifted its business focus to that of natural resource mining and exploration. We have not generated any revenues and the only operation thus far has included acquiring certain gold, silver, iron ore, copper and coal interests in New Mexico.

Recent Developments

On July 27, 2012, the Company acquired from Sun River Energy, Inc. ("Sun River") certain gold, silver, iron ore, copper and coal interests in Colfax County, New Mexico. A U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) study has stated the area contains high-grade coking coal, as well as gold, silver and other rare earth minerals. The property consists of approximately 170,000 acres, and is located approximately 200 miles northeast of Santa Fe, New Mexico. The property area is accessed by New Mexico State Highway 64. Since the acquisition at July 27, 2012, the Company has commenced an exploration program to determine the extent of mineralization on the property.

As part of the acquisition, the Company was also granted a three (3) year option to acquire a 5% working interest in any oil and/or gas (including coalbed methane) wells drilled on any properties owned by Sun River in New Mexico. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company paid Sun River \$500,000 cash, and issued Sun River 29,948,723 shares of its common stock.

As part of the acquisition, Sun River was granted the right to nominate one director to the Company's Board. The Company also agreed to spend at least \$1,000,000 towards obtaining a National Instrument 43-101 report regarding the acquired mineral interests on or before the three-year anniversary of the acquisition. If the Company fails to achieve that milestone, then Sun River will have the right to appoint an additional number of directors to the Company's board such that Sun River will have a majority representation on the board. The Company is contractually

obligated to obtain Sun River's written approval to sell or transfer the mineral rights within eighteen months following acquisition.

Critical Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation - Exploration Stage Company

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, related to exploration stage companies. An exploration stage company is one that is in search for mineral properties (reserves) which are not in either the production or development stage. These financial statements are presented in US dollars.

The Company accounts for mineral properties in accordance with ASC 930: Extractive Activities-Mining. Costs of acquiring mineral rights and leases are capitalized by project area upon purchase of the associated claims. Mineral properties are periodically assessed for impairment of value.

The Company accounts for mineral exploration and development costs in accordance with ASC 932: Extractive Activities. All exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred, previously capitalized costs are expensed in the period the property is abandoned. Expenditures to develop new mines, to define further mineralization in existing ore bodies, and to expand the capacity of operating mines, are capitalized and amortized on units of production basis over proven and probable reserves.

The amounts shown for mineral properties and rights do not necessarily represent present or future values. Their recoverability is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete the development, and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts of cash approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these items. Fair value estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes, nor utilize derivative instruments.

The FASB ASC clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. It also requires disclosure about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities and establishes a hierarchy for which these assets and liabilities must be grouped, based on significant levels of inputs as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

The determination of where assets and liabilities fall within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Impairment of Long -Lived Assets.

The Company reviews and evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment at each balance sheet date and documents such impairment testing. The tests include an evaluation of the assets and events or changes in circumstances that would indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Mineral properties in the exploration stage are monitored for impairment based on factors such as the Company's continued right to explore the area, exploration reports, assays, technical reports, drill results and the Company's continued plans to fund exploration programs on the property, whether sufficient work has been performed to indicate that the carrying amount of the mineral property cost carried forward as an asset will not be fully recovered, even though a viable mine has been discovered.

The tests for long-lived assets in the exploration, development or producing stage that would have a value beyond proven and probable reserves would be monitored for impairment based on factors such as current market value of the mineral property and results of exploration, future asset utilization, business climate, mineral prices and future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the related assets. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset, including evaluating its reserves beyond proven and probable amounts.

The Company's policy is to record an impairment loss in the period when it is determined that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable either by impairment or by abandonment of the property. The impairment loss is calculated as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds its fair value.

The Company has not recorded any impairment loss during the exploration stage through September 30, 2013.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Management reviewed accounting pronouncements issued during the three months ended September 30, 2013, and no pronouncements were adopted during the period, which would have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we did not generate any revenue. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we incurred general and administrative expenses of \$228,798 compared to \$63,163 incurred during the three month period ended September 30, 2012. The approximate \$166,000 increase in general and administrative expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2013 over September 30, 2012 is primarily attributed to the following:

- 1) approximately \$133,000 in stock compensation expense associated with stock options issued to our Director and Chief Executive Officer
- 2) approximately \$24,000 in legal, tax, and accounting professional services expenses
- 3) approximately \$ 4,000 in consulting geology

Our net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$228,730 compared to a net loss of \$62,755 during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net loss is due to the increase in general and administrative expenses as outlined above.

The weighted average number of shares outstanding was 57,545,526 for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Six Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012.

During the six months ended September 30, 2013, we did not generate any revenue. During the six months ended September 30, 2013, we incurred general and administrative expenses of \$629,858 compared to \$70,257 incurred during the six month period ended September 30, 2012. The approximate \$559,000 increase in general and administrative expenses during the six months ended September 30, 2013 over September 30, 2012 is primarily attributed to the following:

- 1) approximately \$409,000 in stock compensation expense associated with stock options issued to our Director and Chief Executive Officer
- 2) approximately \$111,000 in legal, tax, and accounting professional services expenses
- 3) approximately \$34,000 in management compensation

Our net loss for the six months ended September 30, 2013 was \$629,650 compared to a net loss of \$69,489 during the six months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net loss is due to the increase in general and administrative expenses as outlined above.

The weighted average number of shares outstanding was 57,545,526 for the six months ended September 30, 2013.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We are an exploration stage company with limited operations since our inception on November 17, 2010. As of September 30, 2013, we had total assets of \$38,180,774 and total liabilities of \$11,253. Since our company entered the exploration stage through September 30, 2013, we have accumulated a deficit of \$1,816,226. We anticipate that we will continue to incur substantial losses in the next 12 months. Our financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern.

As of the date of this Report (subsequent to our financial statements as of September 30, 2013), our current average monthly cash burn rate ranges from approximately \$20,000 to \$40,000. Our primary cash expenses at this time include (i) approximately \$8,000 in management compensation per month associated with our company's efforts to explore our prospective mineral deposits, and (ii) approximately \$25,000 in monthly legal fees to defend the claims against our company as described in Part II. Item 1. Legal Proceedings section of this Report set forth below.

We are unable to predict when our legal fees in connection with the defense of the claims against our company will decrease. We expect we will require a minimum of \$3.2 million additional capital within the next six to nine months to commence exploratory drilling and meet our short-term operating requirements. We expect to raise additional capital through, among other things, the sale of equity or debt securities. We have no commitments to obtain additional capital, and we may not be able to obtain any such additional capital on terms favorable to us, or at all. To the extent that we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience significant dilution. Any debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants that impact our ability to conduct our business. If we are unable to raise additional capital when required or on acceptable terms, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue our operations.

As of September 30, 2013, our current assets were \$244,870 compared to \$508,192 in current assets at March 31, 2013. Our current assets were comprised of cash. As of September 30, 2013, our current liabilities were \$11,253 compared to \$53,574 at March 31, 2013, consisting of accounts payable.

Stockholders' equity was \$38,169,521 as of September 30, 2013 compared to stockholders' equity of \$38,390,522 as of March 31, 2013.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

We have not generated positive cash flows from operating activities. For the six months ended September 30, 2013, net cash flows used in operating activities was \$263,322 primarily attributed to the net loss of \$629,650 partially offset by stock compensation expense of approximately \$409,000.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

For the six months ended September 30, 2013, there were no cash flows associated with investing activities

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

We have historically financed our operations from related party loans and the sale of the Company's stock. For the six months ended September 30, 2013, net cash used in financing activities was \$0.

PLAN OF OPERATION AND FUNDING

We expect that working capital requirements will continue to be funded through a combination of our existing funds and further issuances of securities. Our working capital requirements are expected to increase in line with the growth of our business.

We estimate that we will need to raise a minimum of \$3.2 million in additional capital within the next six to nine months in order to explore and develop the prospects of our mineral rights, and meet our short-term operating requirements. In connection with our business plan, management anticipates additional increases in operating expenses and capital expenditures relating to: (i) engineering consulting fees in our efforts to qualify the prospects of our mineral rights; (ii) legal fees associated with our mineral rights; and (iii) additional mineral exploration and development expenses, including surface access fees, leases, permits, surface land development, and grading access roads and easements. Thereafter, we will require additional capital to meet long-term operating requirements. We intend to finance these expenses and capital expenditures, both short-term and long-term, with further issuances of securities and/or debt issuances. Additional issuances of equity or convertible debt securities will result in dilution to our current shareholders. Further, such securities might have rights, preferences or privileges senior to our common stock. Additional financing may not be available to us upon acceptable terms, or at all.

We do not have any credit or equity facilities available with financial institutions, stockholders or third party investors, and will continue to rely on best efforts financings. There is no assurance that we can raise additional capital from external sources. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to take advantage of prospective new business endeavors or opportunities, which could significantly and materially restrict our business operations, and will likely cause us to curtail or cease operations

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements, including unrecorded derivative instruments that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. We have certain warrants and options outstanding but we do not expect to receive sufficient proceeds from the exercise of these instruments unless and until the trading price of our common stock is significantly greater than the applicable exercise prices of the options and warrants and mainly following any necessary registering of underlying securities.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, comprising of our Chief Executive Officer, our sole officer who is also presently serving as our Principal Financial & Accounting Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable level of assurance of reaching our desired disclosure control objectives. There are inherent limitation to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

The Company’s management, comprising of our Chief Executive Officer, our sole officer who is also presently serving as our Principal Financial & Accounting Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures as the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on this evaluation, it was concluded that the Company had a material weakness because it did not have a sufficient number of personnel with an appropriate level of knowledge and experience of generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) that are commensurate with the Company’s financial reporting requirements. As a result, management concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at September 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report, which has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

A complaint that was filed on June 7, 2012 in Texas State District Court, Dallas County, 134th Judicial District by Plaintiff, Colin Richardson, et al, derivatively on behalf of Sun River Energy, Inc. (“Sun River”) against Donal R. Schmidt, Jr., Timothy S. Wafford, James Pennington, Judson F. Hoover, Robert B. Fields, Stephen W. Weathers, Mark Hall, Daniel M. Cofall, Sierra Foxtrot, LP, Sierra Genpar LLC. A second amended complaint, containing claims against Maxwell Resources, was filed on November 5, 2012 (the “Richardson Matter”).

The Richardson Matter is brought by Plaintiff derivatively primarily against the officers, and directors of Sun River alleging numerous actions of corporate malfeasance that have materially harmed the business prospects of Sun River. The complaint contains two causes of action against Maxwell Resources: (1) aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty and (2) for a constructive trust. The claims against Maxwell Resources surround the July 30, 2012 agreement (the “Agreement”) executed between Sun River and Mericol Inc. (n/k/a Maxwell Resources). The complaint states that under the Agreement, Maxwell Resources allegedly purchased from Sun River gold, silver, iron ore and coal interests on property Sun River owned in Colfax County, New Mexico for \$500,000 (plus stock) (the

“Maxwell/Sun River Deal”). The complaint alleges that due to the structure of the Agreement complete control over Maxwell Resources was given to Sun River. The complaint alleges that members of Sun River used Maxwell Resources as a shell company to improperly transfer the Colfax County land to Maxwell Resources for their own benefit and control to the detriment of Sun River and its shareholders. The complaint alleges that by acquiescing and participating in this deal, Maxwell Resources aided and abetted the Sun River defendants in breaching the fiduciary duty such defendant owed to Sun River and its shareholders.

The complaint seeks unspecified amount of damages arising from the aiding and abetting and breach of fiduciary duty claim. The Constructive Trust claim seeks that the Texas court place a constructive trust over any money or assets Maxwell Resources derived from the Agreement.

In a separate action (which was consolidated with the Richardson Matter), Dr. Steven R. Henson (a creditor and former board member of Sun River) filed a complaint alleging direct causes of action against Sun River, Donal R. Schmidt, Jr., Timothy S. Wafford, James Pennington, Judson F. Hoover, Robert B. Fields, Stephen W. Weathers, Mark Hall, Daniel M. Cofall, Sierra Foxtrot, LP and Sierra Genpar LLC. A third amended complaint, containing claims against Maxwell Resources, was filed on March 18, 2013 (the “Henson Matter”).

The Henson Matter contains three causes of action. The first cause of action is for defamation against Sun River and Schmidt arising from statements Schmidt allegedly made accusing Henson of insider trading. The second cause of action is against all defendants for fraudulent transfer under certain sections of the Texas Business and Commerce Code. Regarding Maxwell Resources, in this claim, Henson seeks to void the Maxwell/Sun River Deal on the ground that Sun River did not receive reasonably equivalent value for its sale of the mineral interests to Maxwell Resources and that the Maxwell/Sun River Deal was executed to defraud the creditors of Sun River. The fraudulent transfer claim also seeks to void certain other transactions by Sun River and its officers, directors and board members. Finally, the Henson Matter seeks injunctive relief to prevent Sun River and its officers, directors and board members from engaging in any other sales/transfers that would further harm the company.

The Company disputes the allegations contained against it in the Richardson and Henson Matters and believes each complaint to be wholly without merit and intends to vigorously defend the claims asserted therein.

Except for the foregoing, we are currently not aware of any other legal proceedings or claims that we believe will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Not Applicable.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Copies of the following documents are included as exhibits to this report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Exhibit No. Title of Document

31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document*
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document*
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document*
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document*
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document*

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MAXWELL RESOURCES, INC.

Date: November 19, 2013

/s/ Phillip W. Dias
By: Phillip W. Dias
Title: Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial
and Accounting Officer)