

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corp
Form 10-K

February 22, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-34146

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

20-3594554

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

601 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1100

Spokane, Washington

99201

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (509) 344-5900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH
REGISTERED

Common Stock (\$0.0001 par value per share)

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2015 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter), the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1.08 billion. Shares of common stock beneficially held by each officer and director and by each person who owns 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of February 17, 2016, 17,447,155 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the registrant's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III hereof.

Part I

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Our disclosure and analysis in this report contains, in addition to historical information, certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including statements regarding our strategy, raw materials and input usage and costs, including energy costs and usage, strategic capital projects and related costs, energy conservation, cash flows, capital expenditures, return on investment from capital projects, tax rates, operating costs, selling, general and administrative expenses, timing of and costs related to major maintenance and repairs, liquidity, benefit plan funding levels, capitalized interest and interest expenses. Words such as “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “target,” “project,” “believe,” “schedule,” “estimate,” “may,” and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on management’s current expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections that are subject to change. Our actual results of operations may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. Important factors that could cause or contribute to such differences in operating results include those risks discussed in Item 1A of this report, as well as the following:

- competitive pricing pressures for our products, including as a result of increased capacity as additional manufacturing facilities are operated by our competitors in North America and abroad;

- changes in the U.S. and international economies and in general economic conditions in the regions and industries in which we operate;

- customer acceptance, timing and quantity of purchases of our tissue products;

- changes in customer product preferences and competitors' product offerings;

 - the loss of or changes in prices in regards to a significant customer;

- announced price increases for our products may not be accepted in whole or part;

- changes in transportation costs and disruptions in transportation services;

- manufacturing or operating disruptions, including IT system and IT system implementation failures, equipment malfunction and damage to our manufacturing facilities;

- changes in the cost and availability of wood fiber and wood pulp;

- cyclical industry conditions;

- labor disruptions;

- changes in the cost and availability of packaging supplies, chemicals, energy and maintenance and repairs;

- environmental liabilities or expenditures;

- changes in expenses and required contributions associated with our pension plans;

- reliance on a limited number of third-party suppliers for raw materials;

- inability to successfully implement our operational efficiencies and expansion strategies;

- inability to fund our debt obligations;

- restrictions on our business from debt covenants and terms; and

- changes in laws, regulations or industry standards affecting our business.

Forward-looking statements contained in this report present management’s views only as of the date of this report.

Except as required under applicable law, we do not intend to issue updates concerning any future revisions of management’s views to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC.

ITEM 1.

Business

GENERAL

Clearwater Paper manufactures quality consumer tissue, away-from-home, or AFH, tissue, parent roll tissue, bleached paperboard and pulp at manufacturing facilities across the nation. The company is a premier supplier of private label tissue to major retailers and wholesale distributors, including grocery, drug, mass merchants and discount stores. In addition, the company produces bleached paperboard used by quality-conscious printers and packaging converters. Clearwater Paper's employees build shareholder value by developing strong customer partnerships through quality and service.

On December 30, 2014, we sold our specialty business and mills to a private buyer. The specialty mill's production consisted predominantly of machine-glazed tissue and also included parent rolls and other specialty tissue products such as absorbent materials and dark-hued napkins. The sale included five Clearwater Paper subsidiaries with facilities located at East Hartford, Connecticut; Menominee, Michigan; Gouverneur, New York; St. Catharines, Ontario; and Wiggins, Mississippi.

Company Strengths

Leading private label tissue manufacturer with a broad U.S. footprint. Our consumer products business is a premier private label tissue manufacturer. We have production facilities, including through-air-dried, or TAD, tissue manufacturing facilities in Shelby, North Carolina and Las Vegas, Nevada, and tissue manufacturing facilities in Ladysmith, Wisconsin, Lewiston, Idaho, and Neenah, Wisconsin, as well as converting operations strategically located across the United States. We believe we were the sixth largest manufacturer in the North American tissue market as of December 31, 2015, based on tissue parent roll capacity. Our broad manufacturing footprint allows us to better and more cost effectively service a diverse customer base, including major grocery store chains and retailers across the entire U.S.

High quality brand-equivalent tissue and other products to meet retailers' private label strategies. Our consumer products business produces high-quality products that match the quality of the leading national brands. We focus on high value tissue products across a wide variety of categories and retail channels. We also manufacture a broad range of cost-competitive consumer products, as well as recycled tissue and tissue parent rolls.

High quality premium bleached paperboard products. Our pulp and paperboard business produces premium paperboard products with ultra-smooth print surfaces, superior cleanliness, and excellent forming and sealing ability. Products are available in several thicknesses to provide the rigidity and strength needed for a wide range of applications. The high quality of our paperboard allows buyers to use our products for packaging where branding and quality are critical, such as ice cream containers, health and beauty packaging, pharmaceutical packaging, and point of purchase displays.

Long-standing customer relationships. Our consumer products business supplies private label tissue products to several of the largest national retail chains. Our top 10 consumer products customers in 2015 accounted for approximately 70% of our total consumer products net sales. The average tenure of these customers was approximately 13 years. In addition to these long-standing customer relationships, throughout the year we maintained a diverse base of 108 customers across a broad geographic area. We also have long-standing customer relationships with our paperboard customers. Our top 10 paperboard customers in 2015 accounted for approximately 50% of our total paperboard net sales. The average tenure of these customers was approximately 31 years.

Strategically positioned pulp and paperboard facilities. Our pulp and paperboard mill in Lewiston, Idaho is one of only two solid bleach sulfate, or SBS, paperboard mills, and the only coated SBS paperboard mill, in the Western U.S. to offer a full range of specialized products to meet the needs of customers for traditional folding carton, plates, cup and liquid packaging. This facility's geographic location reduces transportation costs to customers in the Western U.S. as well as Asia, which allows us to compete on a cost-advantaged basis relative to East Coast competitors. Our Cypress Bend, Arkansas mill is centrally located, which reduces transportation costs to the Midwestern and Eastern U.S. and complements the Lewiston mill in shipping to customers nationwide.

Largely integrated pulp and tissue operations. Our consumer products business sources a significant portion of its pulp supply internally from our pulp and paperboard operations in Idaho. This relationship provides our consumer products business with a secure pulp supply as well as significant transportation and drying cost savings, provides our pulp and

paperboard business with a steady demand source and helps mitigate input cost volatility associated with purchasing external pulp.

2

Strategy

Our long-term strategy is to grow the size and scope of our business and optimize the profitability of both our consumer products business and our paperboard business. In the near-term, our focus is on optimizing the operating efficiencies and cost effectiveness of both segments of our company.

ORGANIZATION

Our businesses are organized into two operating segments: Consumer Products and Pulp and Paperboard. Additional information relating to the amounts of net sales, operating income, depreciation and amortization, identifiable assets and capital expenditures attributable to each of our operating segments for 2013-2015, as well as geographic information regarding our net sales, is set forth in Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this report.

Consumer Products Segment

Our Consumer Products segment manufactures and sells a complete line of at-home tissue products as well as AFH products. Our integrated manufacturing and converting operations and geographic footprint enable us to deliver a broad range of cost-competitive products with brand equivalent quality to our customers. In 2015, our Consumer Products segment had net sales of \$959.9 million. A listing of our Consumer Products segment facilities is included under Part I, Item 2 of this report.

Tissue Industry Overview

Consumer Tissue Products. The U.S. tissue market can be divided into two market segments: the at-home or consumer retail purchase segment, which represents approximately two-thirds of U.S. tissue sales; and the AFH segment, which represents the remaining one-third of U.S. tissue market sales and includes locations such as airports, restaurants, hotels and office buildings.

The U.S. at-home tissue segment consists of bath, paper towels, facial and napkin products categories. Each category is further distinguished according to quality segments: ultra, premium, value and economy. As a result of process improvements and consumer preferences, the majority of at-home tissue sold in the U.S. is ultra and premium quality. At-home tissue producers are comprised of companies that manufacture branded tissue products, private label tissue products, or both. Branded tissue suppliers manufacture, market and sell tissue products under their own nationally branded labels. Private label tissue producers sell tissue products to retailers to sell as their store brand.

In the U.S., at-home tissue is primarily sold through grocery stores, mass merchants, warehouse clubs, drug stores and discount dollar stores. Tissue has historically been one of the strongest segments of the paper industry due to its steady demand growth and the relative absence of severe supply imbalances that occur in a number of other paper industry segments. In addition to economic and demographic drivers, tissue demand is affected by product innovations and shifts in distribution channels.

Our Consumer Products Business

We believe that we are the only U.S. consumer tissue manufacturer that solely produces a full line of quality private label tissue products for large retail trade channels. Most U.S. tissue producers manufacture only branded products, or both branded and private label products, or in the case of certain smaller or midsize manufacturers, only produce a limited range of tissue products or quality segments. Branded producers generally manufacture their private label products at a quality grade or two below their branded products so as not to impair sales of the branded products. Because we do not produce and market branded tissue products, we believe we are able to offer products that match the quality of leading national brands, but generally at lower prices. We utilize independent companies to routinely test our product quality.

In bathroom tissue, the majority of our sales are high quality two-ply ultra and premium products, including TAD tissue products. In paper towels, we produce and sell ultra quality TAD towels as well as premium and value towels. In the facial category, we sell ultra-lotion three-ply and a complete line of two-ply premium products as well as value facial tissue. In napkins, we manufacture ultra two- and three-ply dinner napkins, as well as premium and value one-ply luncheon napkins. Recycled fiber value grade products are also available to customers who wish to further diversify their product portfolio. We compete primarily in the at-home portion of the U.S. tissue market, which made up approximately 90% of our Consumer Products segment sales in 2015.

We manufacture and sell a line of AFH products to customers with commercial and industrial tissue needs. Products include conventional one- and two-ply bath tissue, two-ply paper towels, hard wound towels and dispenser napkins.

3

During 2015, our consumer products were manufactured on 12 paper machines in facilities located throughout the U.S. Parent rolls from our paper machines are then converted and packaged at our converting facilities located across the U.S. Two of our paper machines, located in Nevada and North Carolina, produce TAD tissue that we convert into national brand comparable, ultra quality towels and bath tissue.

In 2015 and 2014, through multi-outlet channels, which include grocery, drug, dollar, super and club stores, as well as military purchasing, we sold approximately 26% and 25%, respectively, of the total private label tissue products in the U.S.

We had one customer in the Consumer Products segment, the Kroger Company, that accounted for approximately \$215 million, or 12.3%, of our total company net sales in 2015 and approximately \$204 million, or 10.8%, of our total company net sales in 2013. In 2014, we did not have any single customer that accounted for 10% or more of our total net sales.

We sell private label tissue products through our own sales force and compete based on product quality, customer service and price. We deliver customer-focused business solutions by assisting in managing product assortment, category management, and pricing and promotion optimization.

Pulp and Paperboard Segment

Our Pulp and Paperboard segment manufactures and markets bleached paperboard for the high-end segment of the packaging industry and is a leading producer of SBS paperboard. This segment also produces hardwood and softwood pulp, which is primarily used as the basis for our paperboard products, and slush pulp, which it supplies to our Consumer Products segment. In 2015, our Pulp and Paperboard segment had net sales of \$792.5 million. A listing of our Pulp and Paperboard segment facilities is included under Part I, Item 2 of this report.

Pulp and Paperboard Industry Overview

SBS paperboard is a premium paperboard grade that is most frequently used to produce folding cartons, liquid packaging, cups and plates as well as commercial printing items. SBS paperboard is used for such products because it is manufactured using virgin fiber combined with the kraft bleaching process, which results in superior cleanliness, brightness and consistency. SBS paperboard is often manufactured with a clay coating to provide superior surface printing qualities. SBS paperboard can also be extrusion coated with a plastic film to provide a moisture barrier for some uses.

In general, the process of making paperboard begins by chemically cooking wood fibers to make pulp. The pulp is bleached to provide a white, bright pulp, which is formed into paperboard. Bleached pulp that is to be used as market pulp is dried and baled on a pulp drying machine, bypassing the paperboard machines. The various grades of paperboard are wound into rolls for shipment to customers for converting to final end uses. Liquid packaging and cup stock grades are often coated with polyethylene, a plastic coating, in a separate operation to create a resistant and durable liquid barrier.

Folding Carton Segment. Folding carton is the largest portion of the SBS category of the U.S. paperboard industry, comprising approximately 39% of the category in 2015. Within the folding carton segment there are varying qualities of SBS paperboard. The high end of the folding carton category in general requires a premium print surface and includes uses such as packaging for pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and other premium retail goods. SBS paperboard is also used in the packaging of frozen foods, beverages and baked goods.

Liquid Packaging and Cup Segment. SBS liquid packaging paperboard is primarily used in the U.S. for the packaging of juices. In Japan and other Asian countries, SBS liquid packaging paperboard is primarily used for the packaging of milk and other consumable liquids. The cup segment of the market consists primarily of hot and cold drink cups and food packaging. The hot and cold cups are primarily used to serve beverages in quick-service restaurants, while round food containers are often used for packaging premium ice-cream and dry food products.

Commercial Printing Segment. Commercial printing applications use bleached bristols, which are heavyweight paper grades, to produce postcards, signage and sales literature. Bristols can be clay coated on one side or both sides for applications such as brochures, presentation folders and paperback book covers. Customers in this segment are accustomed to high-quality paper grades, which possess superior printability and brightness compared to most paperboard packaging grades. Suppliers to this segment must be able to deliver small volumes, often within 24 hours.

Market Pulp. The majority of the pulp manufactured worldwide is used in paper and paperboard production, usually at the same mill. In those cases where a paper mill does not produce its own pulp or requires pulp with different

production qualities, it must purchase pulp on the open market. Market pulp is defined as pulp produced for sale to these customers and it excludes tonnage consumed by the producing mill or shipped to any of its affiliated mills within the same company.

4

Our Pulp and Paperboard Business

Our Pulp and Paperboard segment operates facilities in Idaho, which has two paperboard machines, and Arkansas, which has one paperboard machine. As of December 31, 2015, we were one of the five largest producers of bleached paperboard in North America with approximately 11% of the available production capacity.

Our overall pulp and paperboard production consists primarily of folding carton, liquid packaging, cup, plate, commercial printing grades and hardwood and softwood pulp.

Folding carton board used in pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and other premium packaging, such as those that incorporate foil and holographic lamination, accounts for the largest portion of our total paperboard sales. We focus on high-end folding carton applications where the heightened product quality requirements provide for differentiation among suppliers, resulting in margins that are more attractive than less critical packaging applications.

Our liquid packaging paperboard is known for its cleanliness and printability, and is engineered for long-lived performance due to its three-ply, softwood construction. Our reputation for producing liquid packaging meeting the most demanding standards for paperboard quality and cleanliness has resulted in meaningful sales in Japan, where consumers have a particular tendency to associate blemish-free, vibrant packaging with the cleanliness, quality and freshness of the liquids contained inside.

We also sell cup stock and plate stock grades for use in food service products. A majority of our sales in this area consist of premium clay coated cup stock grades used for high-end food packaging, such as premium ice cream.

We do not produce converted paperboard end-products, so we are not simultaneously a supplier of and a competitor to our customers. Of the five largest SBS paperboard producers in the U.S., we are the only producer that does not also convert SBS paperboard into end products. We believe our position as a non-integrated supplier has resulted in a diverse group of loyal customers because when there is decreased market supply of paperboard, we do not divert our production to internal uses.

At our Idaho facility we produce bleached softwood pulp primarily for internal use, including in our Consumer Products segment.

Our pulp mills are currently capable of producing approximately 856,000 tons of pulp on an annual basis. In 2015, we produced approximately 802,000 tons of pulp in the aggregate and utilized approximately 81% of that production, or approximately 650,000 tons, to produce approximately 773,000 tons of paperboard. The increase in tonnage from pulp to paperboard production is due to the addition of coatings and other manufacturing processes. We also used approximately 19% of our pulp production, or approximately 150,000 tons, in our Consumer Products segment to produce tissue products. The remaining pulp production of less than 1%, or approximately 2,000 tons, was sold externally by our Consumer Products segment.

We utilize various methods for the sale and distribution of our paperboard and softwood pulp. The majority of our paperboard is sold to packaging converters domestically through sales offices located throughout the U.S., with a smaller percentage channeled through distribution to commercial printers. The majority of our international paperboard sales are conducted through sales agents and are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. Our principal methods of competing are product quality, customer service and price.

RAW MATERIALS AND INPUT COSTS

For our manufacturing operations, the principal raw material used is wood fiber, which consists of purchased pulp and chips, sawdust and logs. During 2015, our purchased pulp costs were 12.3% of our cost of sales, while chips, sawdust and logs accounted for 9.7%. In 2015, our Consumer Products segment sourced approximately 44% of its total pulp supply from our Pulp and Paperboard segment, with the remainder purchased from external suppliers. In 2016 we expect that our Consumer Products segment will source approximately 44% of its total pulp supply from our Pulp and Paperboard segment. We own and operate a wood chipping facility located in Clarkston, Washington, near our Lewiston, Idaho, facility, which we believe bolsters our wood fiber position and provides short-term and long-term cost savings.

We utilize a significant amount of chemicals in the production of pulp and paper, including caustic, polyethylene, starch, sodium chlorate, latex and specialty process paper chemicals. A portion of the chemicals used in our manufacturing processes, particularly in the pulp-making process, are petroleum-based or are impacted by petroleum prices. During 2015, chemical costs accounted for 11.9% of our cost of sales.

Transportation is a significant cost input for our business. Fuel prices impact our transportation costs for delivery of raw materials to our manufacturing facilities and delivery of our finished products to customers. Our total transportation costs were 12.2% of our cost of sales in 2015.

We consume substantial amounts of energy, such as electricity, hog fuel, steam and natural gas. During 2015, energy costs accounted for 7.0% of our cost of sales. We purchase a significant portion of our natural gas and electricity under supply contracts, most of which are between a specific facility and a specific local provider. Under most of these contracts, the providers have agreed to provide us with our requirements for a particular type of energy at a specific facility. Most of these contracts have pricing mechanisms that adjust or set prices based on current market prices. In addition, we use firm-price contracts to mitigate price risk for certain of our energy requirements.

As a significant producer of private label consumer tissue products, we also incur expenses related to packaging supplies used for retail chains, wholesalers and cooperative buying organizations. Our total packaging costs for 2015 were 6.0% of our cost of sales.

Our maintenance and repairs represented 6.0% of our cost of sales for 2015 and are expensed as incurred. We perform routine maintenance on our machines and equipment and periodically replace a variety of parts such as motors, pumps, pipes and electrical parts.

We also record depreciation expense associated with our plant and equipment. Depreciation expense was 5.0% of our cost of sales for 2015.

SEASONALITY

Our Consumer Products segment experiences a decrease in shipments during the fourth quarter generally as a result of decreased consumer demand, retail brand holiday promotions, and end of year inventory management by non-retail customers. In addition, customer buying patterns for our paperboard generally result in lower sales for our Pulp and Paperboard segment during the first and fourth quarters, when compared to the second and third quarters of a given year.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Information regarding environmental matters is included under Part II, Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of this report, and is incorporated herein by reference.

WEBSITE

Interested parties may access our periodic and current reports filed with the SEC, at no charge, by visiting our website, www.clearwaterpaper.com. In the menu select “Investor Relations,” then select “Financial Information & SEC Filings.” Information on our website is not part of this report.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately 3,300 employees, of which approximately 2,040 were employed by our Consumer Products segment, approximately 1,110 were employed by our Pulp and Paperboard segment and approximately 150 were corporate administration employees. This workforce consisted of approximately 720 salaried employees and approximately 2,580 hourly and fixed rate employees. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 52% of our workforce was covered under collective bargaining agreements.

Unions represent hourly employees at three of our manufacturing sites. There are three hourly union labor contracts that expire in 2016:

CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATE	DIVISION AND LOCATION	UNION	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOURLY EMPLOYEES
May 31, 2016	Pulp & Paperboard Division-Lewiston, Idaho, No. 4 Power Boiler Unit	International Association of Machinists (IAM)	40
May 31, 2016	Consumer Products Division-Neenah, Wisconsin	United Steel Workers (USW)	365
July 31, 2016	Pulp & Paperboard Division-Cypress Bend, Arkansas	United Steel Workers (USW)	250

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following individuals are deemed our “executive officers” under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of December 31, 2015. Executive officers of the company are generally appointed as such at the annual meeting of our board, and each officer holds office until the officer’s successor is duly elected and qualified or until the earlier of the officer’s death, resignation, retirement, removal by the board or as otherwise provided in our bylaws. There are no arrangements or understandings between any of our executive officers and any other persons pursuant to which they were selected as officers. No family relationships exist among any of our executive officers.

Linda K. Massman (age 49), has served as President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as a director, since January 2013. Ms. Massman served as President and COO from November 2011 to December 2012. She served as CFO and Senior Vice President, Finance from May 2011 to November 2011, and as CFO and Vice President, Finance from December 2008 to May 2011. From September 2008 to December 2008, Ms. Massman served as Vice President of Potlatch Corporation pending completion of the spin-off of Clearwater Paper Corporation. From May 2002 to August 2008, Ms. Massman was Group Vice President, Finance and Corporate Planning, for SUPERVALU Inc., a grocery retail company. Ms. Massman also serves as a director of Black Hills Corporation, an energy company, and as a member of Black Hills Corporation's Compensation Committee.

John D. Hertz (age 49) joined the company in June 2012 as Senior Vice President, and has served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer since August 2012. From June 2010 to June 2012, Mr. Hertz was the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Novellus Systems, Inc. From October 2007 to June 2010, he served as Novellus' Vice President of Corporate Finance and Principal Accounting Officer and as Vice President and Corporate Controller from June 2007 to October 2007. From 2000 to 2007, Mr. Hertz worked for Intel Corporation where he held a number of positions, including Central Finance Controller of the Digital Enterprise Group, Finance Controller of the Enterprise Platform Services Division and Accounting Policy Controller. Prior to that, Mr. Hertz was a Senior Manager with KPMG.

Patrick T. Burke (age 55) has served as Senior Vice President, Group President since October 2015, and served as Senior Vice President and President, Consumer Products Division from April 2015 to October 2015. From May 2014 to April 2015, he served as Vice President, Supply Chain. From March 2011 to April 2014, Mr. Burke served as the Director of West for Pepsi Beverage Company, and from January 2008 to February 2011, as the Director of the Western Region for Gatorade, for Pepsi America Beverages.

Michael S. Gadd (age 51) has served as Senior Vice President since May 2011 and General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since December 2008. He served as Vice President from December 2008 to May 2011. From March 2006 to December 2008, Mr. Gadd served as Associate General Counsel of Potlatch Corporation, and served as Corporate Secretary of Potlatch from July 2007 to December 2008. From January 2001 to January 2006, Mr. Gadd was an attorney with Perkins Coie, LLP in Portland, Oregon.

Danny G. Johansen (age 65) has served as Senior Vice President since January 2013 and served as President of Pulp and Paperboard from January 2013 to October 2015. From December 2008 through December 2012, he served as Vice President, Sales and Marketing, for Pulp and Paperboard. Prior to December 2008, Mr. Johansen was employed by Potlatch Corporation for nearly 36 years. From 2002 to December 2008, he served as the Director of Sales, Idaho Pulp and Paperboard division, for Potlatch.

Kari G. Moyes (age 48) has served as Senior Vice President, Human Resources since February 2015, and served as Vice President, Labor Relations from July 2013 through January 2015. From November 2010 through June 2013, Ms. Moyes served as National Director of Human Resources for Nestlé, a food manufacturer. Prior to her tenure with Nestle, Ms. Moyes spent 10 years with Pepsico in various capacities.

ITEM 1A.

Risk Factors

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described below, and as a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

Increases in tissue supply could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Over the past few years, several new or refurbished TAD paper machines have been completed or announced by our competitors, including private label competitors, that will result in a substantial increase in the supply of TAD tissue in the North American market. Additionally, several new or refurbished conventional tissue machines have been installed or announced, including as a result of foreign competitors increasing their presence and operations in North America. The increase in supply of TAD products, as well as the effects of that increased supply in displacing existing conventional tissue product sales, and the increase in conventional tissue production could each have a material adverse effect on the price of TAD tissue products and on the market demand and price for conventional tissue products, which will continue to represent a majority of our total production for the foreseeable future.

United States and global economic conditions could have adverse effects on the demand for our products and financial results.

U.S. and global economic conditions have negatively affected and may continue to negatively affect our business and financial results. Recessed global economic conditions and a strong U.S. dollar can affect our business in a number of ways, including causing declines in global demand for consumer tissue and paperboard, which increases the likelihood or the pace of foreign manufacturers entering into or increasing sales into the U.S. market.

Increased competition and supply from foreign manufacturers could have adverse effects on the demand for our products and financial results.

Foreign manufacturers in Asia and Europe are currently increasing, and are expected to continue to increase, their paper production capabilities, particularly with respect to paperboard. This, in turn, may result in increased competition in the North American paper markets from direct sales by foreign competitors into these markets and/or increased competition in the U.S. as domestic manufacturers seek increased U.S. sales to offset displaced overseas sales caused by increased sales by foreign suppliers into Asia and European markets. An increased supply of foreign paper products could cause us to lower our prices or lose sales to competitors, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Competitors' branded products and private label products could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

Our consumer products compete with well-known, branded products, as well as other private label products. Inherent risks include new product offerings by competitors, the effects of consolidation within retailer and distribution channels price competition from companies that may have greater financial resources than we do, and whether our products will receive direct and retail customer acceptance. If we are unable to offer our existing customers, or new customers, tissue products comparable to branded products or private label products in terms of quality and/or price, we may lose business or we may not be able to grow our existing business and be forced to sell lower-margin products, all of which could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The loss of, or a significant reduction in, orders from, or changes in prices in regards to, any of our large customers could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

We derive a substantial amount of revenues from a concentrated group of customers. For example, our top 10 consumer products customers in 2015 accounted for approximately 70% of our total consumer products net sales. Our top 10 paperboard customers in 2015 accounted for approximately 50% of our total paperboard net sales. If we lose any of these customers or a substantial portion of their business or if the terms of our relationship with any of them becomes less favorable to us, our net sales would decline, which would harm our business, results of operations and financial condition. We have experienced increased price and promotion competition for our consumer products customers, particularly in regards to TAD products, and this competition has decreased our gross margins and adversely affected our financial condition. Some of our customers have the capability to produce the parent rolls or products that they purchase from us. While our Pulp and Paperboard segment sells its products to a large number of customers, our top 10 paperboard customers have historically accounted for approximately half of our sales.

We do not have long-term contracts with many of our customers, including some of our largest customers, that ensure a continuing level of business from them. In addition, our agreements with our customers are not exclusive and

generally do not contain minimum volume purchase commitments. Our relationship with our large customers will depend on our

8

ability to continue to meet their needs for quality products and services at competitive prices. If we lose one or more of these customers or if we experience a significant decline in the level of purchases by any of them, we may not be able to quickly replace the lost business volume and our operating results and business could be harmed. In addition, our focus on these large accounts could affect our ability to serve our smaller accounts, particularly when product supply is tight and we are not able to fully satisfy orders for these smaller accounts.

We have in the past announced and implemented price increases. These or other price increases may not be accepted in whole or part and could result in a loss of customers or a reduction in sales.

Disruptions in our transportation services or increases in our transportation costs could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Shipments of products and raw materials may be delayed or disrupted due to weather conditions, labor shortages or strikes, regulatory actions or other events. If any transportation providers are unavailable or fail to deliver our products in a timely manner, we may incur increased costs. If any transportation providers are unavailable or fail to deliver raw materials to us in a timely manner, we may be unable to manufacture products on a timely basis.

Our business, particularly our consumer products business, is dependent on transportation services to deliver our products to our customers and to deliver raw materials to us. In 2015, our transportation costs were 12.2% of our cost of sales. The costs of these transportation services are influenced by the factors described above as well as fuel prices, which are affected by geopolitical and economic events. We have not been in the past, and may not be in the future, able to pass along part or all of any fuel price increases to customers. If we are unable to increase our prices as a result of increased fuel or transportation costs, our gross margins may be materially adversely affected.

We incur significant expenses to maintain our manufacturing equipment and any interruption in the operations of our facilities may harm our operating performance.

We regularly incur significant expenses to maintain our manufacturing equipment and facilities. The machines and equipment that we use to produce our products are complex, have many parts and some are run on a continuous basis. We must perform routine maintenance on our equipment and will have to periodically replace a variety of parts such as motors, pumps, pipes and electrical parts. In addition, our pulp and paperboard facilities require periodic shutdowns to perform major maintenance. These scheduled shutdowns of facilities result in decreased sales and increased costs in the periods in which a shutdown occurs and could result in unexpected operational issues in future periods as a result of changes to equipment and operational and mechanical processes made during the shutdown period. We had two scheduled major maintenance shutdowns in 2015 - one during the first quarter at our Lewiston, Idaho pulp and paperboard facility and one during the second quarter at our Cypress Bend, Arkansas facility.

Unexpected production disruptions could cause us to shut down or curtail operations at any of our facilities. For example, we experienced ongoing operational issues through the first quarter of 2015 with the recovery furnace at our Cypress Bend, Arkansas facility associated with a 2013 upgrade project and certain of our facilities have had to curtail operations as the result of an electrical malfunction and a fire in previous years. Disruptions could occur due to any number of circumstances, including prolonged power outages, mechanical or process failures, shortages of raw materials, natural catastrophes, disruptions in the availability of transportation, labor disputes, terrorism, changes in or non-compliance with environmental or safety laws and the lack of availability of services from any of our facilities' key suppliers. Any facility shutdowns may be followed by prolonged startup periods, regardless of the reason for the shutdown. Those startup periods could range from several days to several weeks, depending on the reason for the shutdown and other factors. Any prolonged disruption in operations at any of our facilities could cause significant lost production, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We rely on information technology in critical areas of our operations, and a disruption relating to such technology could harm our financial condition.

We use information technology, or IT, systems in various aspects of our operations, including enterprise resource planning, or ERP, management of inventories and customer sales. Some of these systems have been in place for long periods of time. We have different legacy IT systems that we are continuing to integrate, including the implementation of a single company-wide ERP system. If one of these systems or the ERP implementation was to fail or cause operational or reporting interruptions, or if we decide to change these systems or hire outside parties to provide these systems, we may suffer disruptions, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and

financial condition. In addition, we may underestimate the costs and expenses of developing and implementing new systems.

9

We depend on external sources of wood pulp and wood fiber, which subjects our business and results of operations to potentially significant fluctuations in the price of market pulp and wood fiber.

Our Consumer Products segment sources a significant portion of its wood pulp requirements from external suppliers, which exposes us to price fluctuation. In 2015, it sourced approximately 56% of its pulp requirements externally, comprising approximately 12.3% of our cost of sales.

Pulp prices can, and have, changed significantly from one period to the next. The volatility of pulp prices can adversely affect our earnings if we are unable to pass cost increases on to our customers or if the timing of any price increases for our products significantly trails the increases in pulp prices. We have not hedged these risks.

Wood fiber is the principal raw material used to create wood pulp, which in turn is used to manufacture our pulp and paperboard products and consumer products. In 2015, our wood fiber costs were 9.7% of our cost of sales. Much of the wood fiber we use in our pulp manufacturing process in Lewiston, Idaho, is the by-product of sawmill operations. As a result, the price of these residual wood fibers is affected by operating levels in the lumber industry. The significant reduction in home building over the past several years resulted in the closure or curtailment of operations at many sawmills. The price of wood fiber is expected to remain volatile until the housing market recovers and sawmill operations increase. Additionally, the supply and price of wood fiber can also be negatively affected by weather and other events. For example, our Arkansas pulp and paperboard facility relies on whole log chips for a significant portion of its wood fiber, and in 2015 this facility experienced increases in the costs for that wood fiber due to extremely wet weather conditions in the Southeastern U.S. that limited accessibility and availability.

The effects on market prices for wood fiber resulting from various governmental programs involving tax credits or payments related to biomass and other renewable energy projects are uncertain and could result in a reduction in the supply of wood fiber available for our pulp and paperboard manufacturing operations. Additionally, wood pellet facilities or fluff pulp facilities, such as a fluff pulp facility recently announced in Arkansas, can increase demand and prices for wood fiber. If we and our pulp suppliers are unable to obtain wood fiber at favorable prices or at all, our costs will increase and financial results, operations and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

Cyclical industry conditions have in the past affected and may continue to adversely affect the operating results and cash flows of our pulp and paperboard business.

Our pulp and paperboard business has historically been affected by cyclical market conditions. We may be unable to sustain pricing in the face of weaker demand, and weaker demand may in turn cause us to take production downtime. In addition to lost revenue from lower shipment volumes, production downtime causes unabsorbed fixed manufacturing costs due to lower production levels. Our results of operations and cash flows may be materially adversely affected in a period of prolonged and significant market weakness. We are not able to predict market conditions or our ability to sustain pricing and production levels during periods of weak demand.

Our business and financial performance may be harmed by future labor disruptions.

As of December 31, 2015, 52% of our full-time employees are represented by unions under collective bargaining agreements. As these agreements expire, we may not be able to negotiate extensions or replacement agreements on terms acceptable to us. In 2016, three collective bargaining agreements are scheduled to expire and will be subject to negotiation. Any failure to reach an agreement with one of the unions may result in strikes, lockouts, work slowdowns, stoppages or other labor actions, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

The cost of chemicals and energy needed for our manufacturing processes significantly affects our results of operations and cash flows.

We use a variety of chemicals in our manufacturing processes, including petroleum-based polyethylene and certain petroleum-based latex chemicals. In 2015, our chemical costs were 11.9% of our cost of sales. Prices for these chemicals have been and are expected to remain volatile. In addition, chemical suppliers that use petroleum-based products in the manufacture of their chemicals may, due to supply shortages and cost increases, ration the amount of chemicals available to us, and therefore we may not be able to obtain at favorable prices the chemicals we need to operate our business, if we are able to obtain them at all.

Our manufacturing operations utilize large amounts of electricity and natural gas and our energy requirements, particularly natural gas, have increased significantly as a result of operations at our North Carolina facility. In 2015, our energy costs were 7.0% of our cost of sales. Energy prices have fluctuated widely over the past decade, which in turn affects our cost of sales. We purchase on the open market a substantial portion of the natural gas necessary to produce our products, and, as a result, the price and other terms of those purchases are subject to change based on factors such as worldwide supply and demand, geopolitical events, government regulation, and natural disasters. Our energy costs in future periods will depend principally on our ability to produce a substantial portion of our electricity needs internally, on changes in market prices for natural gas and on reducing energy usage.

Any significant energy shortage or significant increase in our energy costs in circumstances where we cannot raise the price of our products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Any disruption in the supply of energy could also affect our ability to meet customer demand in a timely manner and could harm our reputation.

We are subject to significant environmental regulation and environmental compliance expenditures, which could increase our costs and subject us to liabilities.

We are subject to various federal, state and foreign environmental laws and regulations concerning, among other things, water discharges, air emissions, hazardous material and waste management and environmental cleanup. Environmental laws and regulations continue to evolve and we may become subject to increasingly stringent environmental standards in the future, particularly under air quality and water quality laws and standards related to climate change issues, such as reporting of greenhouse gas emissions. Increased regulatory activity at the state, federal and international level is possible regarding climate change as well as other emerging environmental issues associated with our manufacturing sites, such as water quality standards based on elevated fish consumption rates. Compliance with regulations that implement new public policy in these areas might require significant expenditures on our part or even the curtailment of certain of our manufacturing operations.

We are required to comply with environmental laws and the terms and conditions of multiple environmental permits. In particular, the pulp and paper industry in the United States is subject to several performance based rules associated with effluent and air emissions as a result of certain of its manufacturing processes. Federal, state and local laws and regulations require us to routinely obtain authorizations from and comply with the evolving standards of the appropriate governmental authorities, which have considerable discretion over the terms of permits. Failure to comply with environmental laws and permit requirements could result in civil or criminal fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing our operations or requiring us to take corrective measures, install pollution control equipment, or take other remedial actions, such as product recalls or labeling changes. We also may be required to make additional expenditures, which could be significant, relating to environmental matters on an ongoing basis.

We own properties, conduct or have conducted operations at properties, and have assumed indemnity obligations for properties or operations where hazardous materials have been or were used for many years, including during periods before careful management of these materials was required or generally believed to be necessary. Consequently, we will continue to be subject to risks under environmental laws that impose liability for historical releases of hazardous substances and to liability for other potential violations of environmental laws or permits at existing sites or ones for which we have indemnity obligations.

There can be no assurance that future environmental permits will be granted or that we will be able to maintain and renew existing permits, and the failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Larger competitors have operational and other advantages over our operations.

The markets for our products are highly competitive, and companies that have substantially greater financial resources compete with us in each market. Some of our competitors have advantages over us, including lower raw material and labor costs and better access to the inputs of our products.

Our consumer products business faces competition from companies that produce the same type of products that we produce or that produce alternative products that customers may use instead of our products. Our consumer products business competes with the branded tissue products producers, such as Procter & Gamble, and branded label producers who manufacture branded and private label products, such as Georgia-Pacific and Kimberly-Clark. These

companies are far larger than us, have more sales, marketing and research and development resources than we do, and enjoy significant cost advantages due to economies of scale. For example, in 2015 the net sales of our Consumer Products' segment was negatively impacted in part as a result of increased promotional activity for branded tissue

products. In addition, because of their size and resources, these companies may foresee market trends more accurately than we do and develop new technologies that render our products less attractive or obsolete.

Our ability to successfully compete in the pulp and paperboard industry is influenced by a number of factors, including manufacturing capacity, general economic conditions and the availability and demand for paperboard substitutes. Our pulp and paperboard business competes with International Paper, WestRock, Georgia-Pacific, and international producers, most of whom are much larger than us. Any increase in manufacturing capacity by any of these or other producers could result in overcapacity in the pulp and paperboard industry, which could cause downward pressure on pricing. For example, several newer facilities in China have large paperboard manufacturing capacities, the output of which is expected to increase paperboard supplies on the international market. Also a large European manufacturer is expected to begin paperboard production at a new facility with products intended for the North American market. Furthermore, customers could choose to use types of paperboard that we do not produce or could rely on alternative materials, such as plastic, for their products. An increased supply of any of these products could cause us to lower our prices or lose sales to competitors, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The consolidation of paperboard converting businesses, including through the acquisition and integration of such converting business by larger competitors of ours, could result in a loss of customers and sales on the part of our pulp and paperboard business, which does not include paperboard converting facilities or capabilities. A loss of paperboard customers or sales as a result of consolidations and integrations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our company-sponsored pension plans are currently underfunded, and we are required to make cash payments to the plans, reducing cash available for our business.

We have company-sponsored pension plans covering certain of our salaried and hourly employees. The volatility in the value of equity and fixed income investments held by these plans, coupled with a low interest rate environment resulting in higher liability valuations, has caused these plans to be underfunded as the projected benefit obligation has exceeded the aggregate fair value of plan assets by varying year-end amounts since 2008. At December 31, 2015, and 2014, our company sponsored pension plans were underfunded in the aggregate by \$24.4 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. As a result of underfunding, we may be required to make contributions to our qualified pension plans. In 2015, we contributed \$3.2 million to these pension plans. We may be required to make increased annual contributions to our pension plans in future years, which would reduce the cash available for business and other needs.

We may be required to pay material amounts under multiemployer pension plans.

We contribute to two multiemployer pension plans. The amount of our annual contributions to each of these plans is negotiated with the plan and the bargaining unit representing our employees covered by the plan. In 2015, we contributed approximately \$6 million to these plans, and in future years we may be required to make increased annual contributions, which would reduce the cash available for business and other needs. In addition, in the event of a partial or complete withdrawal by us from any multiemployer plan that is underfunded, we would be liable for a proportionate share of such multiemployer plan's unfunded vested benefits, referred to as a withdrawal liability. A withdrawal liability is considered a contingent liability. In the event that any other contributing employer withdraws from any multiemployer plan that is underfunded, and such employer cannot satisfy its obligations under the multiemployer plan at the time of withdrawal, then the proportionate share of the plan's unfunded vested benefits that would be allocable to us and to the other remaining contributing employers, would increase and there could be an increase to our required annual contributions. In renegotiations of collective bargaining agreements with labor unions that participate in these multiemployer plans, we may decide to discontinue participation in these plans.

One of the multiemployer pension plans to which we contribute, the PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund, or PIUMPF, was certified to be in "critical status" for the plan year beginning January 1, 2010, and continued to be in critical status through the plan year beginning January 1, 2014. For the plan year beginning January 1, 2015, PIUMPF was certified to be in "critical and declining status" under the Multiemployer Pension Plan Reform Act of 2014. In 2013, two large employers withdrew from PIUMPF and subsequent to December 31, 2015, we learned that the largest employer in PIUMPF has also withdrawn. Further withdrawals by contributing employers could cause a "mass withdrawal" from, or effectively a termination of, PIUMPF or alternatively we could elect to withdraw. Although we have no current intention to withdraw from PIUMPF, if we were to withdraw, either completely or partially, we

would incur a withdrawal liability based on our share of PIUMPF's unfunded vested benefits. Based on information as of December 31, 2014 provided by PIUMPF and reviewed by our actuarial consultant, we estimate that, as of December 31, 2015, the payments that we would be required to make to PIUMPF in the event of our complete withdrawal would be approximately \$5.7 million per year on a pre-tax basis. These payments would continue for 20 years, unless we were deemed to be included in a "mass withdrawal" from PIUMPF, in which case these payments would continue in perpetuity.

However, we are not able to determine the exact amount of our withdrawal liability because the amount could be higher or lower depending on the nature and timing of any triggering event, the funded status of the plan and our level of contributions to the plan prior to the triggering event. These withdrawal liability payments would be in addition to pension contributions to any new pension plan adopted or contributed to by us to replace PIUMPF, all of which would reduce the cash available for business and other needs. Adverse changes to pension laws and regulations could increase the likelihood and amount of our liabilities arising under PIUMPF.

Our pension and health care costs are subject to numerous factors that could cause these costs to change.

In addition to our pension plans, we provide health care benefits to certain of our current and former U.S. salaried and hourly employees. There is a risk of increased costs due to the Affordable Care Act's individual mandate and required coverage. Our health care costs vary with changes in health care costs generally, which have significantly exceeded general economic inflation rates for many years. Our pension costs are dependent upon numerous factors resulting from actual plan experience and assumptions about future investment returns. Pension plan assets are primarily made up of equity and fixed income investments. Fluctuations in actual equity market returns as well as changes in general interest rates may result in increased pension costs in future periods. Likewise, changes in assumptions regarding current discount rates, expected rates of return on plan assets and mortality rates could also increase pension costs. Significant changes in any of these factors may adversely impact our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

We face cyber-security risks.

Our business operations rely upon secure information technology systems for data capture, processing, storage and reporting. Despite careful security and controls design, implementation and updating, our information technology systems could become subject to cyber-attacks. Network, system, application and data breaches could result in operational disruptions or information misappropriation, which could result in lost sales, business delays, negative publicity and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We rely on a limited number of third-party suppliers for certain raw materials required for the production of our products.

Our dependence on a limited number of third-party suppliers, and the challenges we may face in obtaining adequate supplies of raw materials, involve several risks, including limited control over pricing, availability, quality, and delivery schedules. We cannot be certain that our current suppliers will continue to provide us with the quantities of these raw materials that we require or will continue to satisfy our anticipated specifications and quality requirements. Any supply interruption in limited raw materials could materially harm our ability to manufacture our products until a new source of supply, if any, could be identified and qualified. Although we believe there are other suppliers of these raw materials, we may be unable to find a sufficient alternative supply channel in a reasonable time or on commercially reasonable terms. Any performance failure on the part of our suppliers could interrupt production of our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our efforts to increase operational efficiencies may not be fully achieved.

Our near term strategy of increasing operational efficiencies and cost effectiveness may not be fully achieved. The capital projects we invest in may not achieve expected operational or financial results in the timeframes we anticipate, or at all. Such delays or failures could materially affect our business, cash flows and financial condition.

Additional expansion of our business through construction of new facilities or acquisitions may not proceed as anticipated.

In the future, we may build other converting and papermaking facilities, pursue acquisitions of existing facilities, or both. We may be unable to identify future suitable building locations or acquisition targets. In addition, we may be unable to achieve anticipated benefits or cost savings from construction projects or acquisitions in the timeframe we anticipate, or at all. Any inability by us to integrate and manage any new or acquired facilities or businesses in a timely and efficient manner, any inability to achieve anticipated cost savings or other anticipated benefits from these projects or acquisitions in the time frame we anticipate or any unanticipated required increases in promotional or capital spending could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Large construction projects or acquisitions can result in a decrease in our cash and short-term investments, an increase in our indebtedness, or both, and also may limit our ability to access additional capital when needed and divert management's attention from other business concerns.

To service our substantial indebtedness, we must generate significant cash flows. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

As of December 31, 2015, we had \$569 million of outstanding indebtedness, and we could incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, including our outstanding notes, and to fund planned capital expenditures, will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under our senior secured revolving credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness, including the notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our senior secured revolving credit facility and our existing notes, on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

The indentures for our outstanding notes that we issued in 2013 and the credit agreement governing our senior secured revolving credit facility, contain various covenants that limit our discretion in the operation of our business.

The indentures governing our outstanding notes that we issued in 2013 and the credit agreement governing our senior secured revolving credit facility, contain various provisions that limit our discretion in the operation of our business by restricting our ability to:

- undergo a change in control;
- sell assets;
- pay dividends and make other distributions;
- make investments and other restricted payments;
- redeem or repurchase our capital stock;
- incur additional debt and issue preferred stock;
- create liens;
- consolidate, merge, or sell substantially all of our assets;
- enter into certain transactions with our affiliates;
- engage in new lines of business; and
- enter into sale and lease-back transactions.

These restrictions on our ability to operate our business at our discretion could seriously harm our business by, among other things, limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, merger and acquisition and other corporate opportunities. In addition, our senior secured revolving credit facility requires, among other things, that we maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.0-to-1.0 when availability falls below \$50 million or an event of default exists. Events beyond our control could affect our ability to meet this financial test, and we cannot assure you that we will meet it.

Our failure to comply with the covenants contained in our senior secured revolving credit facility or the indentures governing our outstanding notes, including as a result of events beyond our control, could result in an event of default that could cause repayment of the debt to be accelerated.

If we are not able to comply with the covenants and other requirements contained in the indentures governing our outstanding notes, our senior secured revolving credit facility or our other debt instruments, an event of default under the relevant debt instrument could occur. If an event of default does occur, it could trigger a default under our other debt instruments, prohibit us from accessing additional borrowings, and permit the holders of the defaulted debt to declare amounts outstanding with respect to that debt to be immediately due and payable. Our assets and cash flow may not be sufficient to fully repay borrowings under our outstanding debt instruments. In addition, we may not be able to refinance or restructure the payments on the applicable debt. Even if we were able to secure additional financing, it may not be available on favorable terms.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law may make it difficult for stockholders to change the composition of our Board of Directors and may discourage hostile takeover attempts that some of our stockholders may consider to be beneficial.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control if our Board of Directors determines that such changes in control are not in the best interests of the company and our stockholders. The provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws include, among other things, the following:

a classified Board of Directors with three-year staggered terms;

the ability of our Board of Directors to issue shares of preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms, including preferences and voting rights, of those shares without stockholder approval;

stockholder action can only be taken at a special or regular meeting and not by written consent;

advance notice procedures for nominating candidates to our Board of Directors or presenting matters at stockholder meetings;

removal of directors only for cause;

allowing only our Board of Directors to fill vacancies on our Board of Directors; and

supermajority voting requirements to amend our bylaws and certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation.

While these provisions have the effect of encouraging persons seeking to acquire control of the company to negotiate with our Board of Directors, they could enable the Board of Directors to hinder or frustrate a transaction that some, or a majority, of the stockholders might believe to be in their best interests and, in that case, may prevent or discourage attempts to remove and replace incumbent directors. We are also subject to Delaware laws that could have similar effects. One of these laws prohibits us from engaging in a business combination with a significant stockholder unless specific conditions are met.

ITEM 1B.

Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

ITEM 2.

Properties

FACILITIES

We own and operate facilities located throughout the United States. The following table lists each of our facilities and its location, use, and 2015 capacity and production:

	USE	LEASED OR OWNED	CAPACITY	PRODUCTION ¹
CONSUMER PRODUCTS				
Tissue manufacturing facilities:				
Ladysmith, Wisconsin	Tissue	Owned	56,000 tons	51,000 tons
Las Vegas, Nevada	TAD tissue	Owned	38,000 tons	35,000 tons
Lewiston, Idaho	Tissue	Owned	185,000 tons	182,000 tons
Neenah, Wisconsin	Tissue	Owned	84,000 tons	80,000 tons
Shelby, North Carolina ²	TAD tissue	Owned/Leased	75,000 tons	67,000 tons
			438,000 tons	415,000 tons
Tissue converting facilities:				
Elwood, Illinois ²	Tissue converting	Owned/Leased	76,000 tons	55,000 tons
Las Vegas, Nevada	Tissue converting	Owned	61,000 tons	52,000 tons
Lewiston, Idaho	Tissue converting	Owned	90,000 tons	70,000 tons
Neenah, Wisconsin	Tissue converting	Owned	106,000 tons	63,000 tons
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma ²	Tissue converting	Owned/Leased	29,000 tons	22,000 tons
Shelby, North Carolina ²	Tissue converting	Owned/Leased	73,000 tons	63,000 tons
			435,000 tons	325,000 tons
PULP AND PAPERBOARD				
Pulp Mills:				
Cypress Bend, Arkansas	Pulp	Owned	316,000 tons	290,000 tons
Lewiston, Idaho	Pulp	Owned	540,000 tons	512,000 tons
			856,000 tons	802,000 tons
Bleached Paperboard Mills:				
Cypress Bend, Arkansas	Paperboard	Owned	353,000 tons	326,000 tons
Lewiston, Idaho	Paperboard	Owned	465,000 tons	447,000 tons
			818,000 tons	773,000 tons
CORPORATE				
Alpharetta, Georgia	Operations and administration	Owned/Leased	N/A	N/A
Spokane, Washington	Corporate headquarters	Leased	N/A	N/A

¹ Production amounts are approximations for full year 2015.

² The buildings located at these facilities are leased by Clearwater Paper or a subsidiary, and the operating equipment located within the building is owned by Clearwater Paper or a subsidiary.

In addition to the manufacturing facilities listed in this table, we lease a chip shipment facility in Columbia City, Oregon and own a wood chipping facility in Clarkston, Washington.

ITEM 3.

Legal Proceedings

On August 13, 2012, we were notified that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, submitted a civil referral to the U.S. Department of Justice, or DOJ, alleging violations of the Clean Air Act stemming from an EPA investigation that included an inspection of our Lewiston, Idaho pulp facility in July 2009 and a subsequent information request dated February 24, 2011. We reached an agreement with the DOJ and EPA in connection with this matter and paid a fine in the amount of \$0.3 million in the third quarter of 2015.

In addition to the matters discussed above, we may from time to time be involved in claims, proceedings and litigation arising from our business and property ownership. We believe, based on currently available information, that the results of such proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

ITEM 4.

Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Part II
ITEM 5.

Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The following table sets forth, for each period indicated, the high and low sales prices of our common stock during our two most recent years.

	Common Stock Price	
	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2015:		
Fourth Quarter	\$51.79	\$42.63
Third Quarter	59.70	42.64
Second Quarter	67.99	55.93
First Quarter	75.69	58.43
Year Ended December 31, 2014:		
Fourth Quarter	\$71.58	\$60.20
Third Quarter	72.94	59.48
Second Quarter	67.20	59.07
First Quarter	68.30	49.88

HOLDERS

On February 17, 2016, the last reported sale price for our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$37.49 per share. As of February 17, 2016, there were approximately 910 registered holders of our common stock.

DIVIDENDS

We have not paid any cash dividends and do not anticipate paying a cash dividend in 2016. We will continue to review whether payment of a cash dividend on our common stock in the future best serves the company and our stockholders. The declaration and amount of any dividends, however, would be determined by our Board of Directors and would depend on our earnings, our compliance with the terms of our notes and revolving credit facility that contain certain restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, and any other factors that our Board of Directors believes are relevant.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

Please see Part III, Item 12 of this report for information relating to our equity compensation plans.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On December 15, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a new stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. The repurchase program authorizes purchases of our common stock from time to time through open market purchases, negotiated transactions or other means, including accelerated stock repurchases and 10b5-1 trading plans in accordance with applicable securities laws and other restrictions. We have no obligation to repurchase stock under this program and may suspend or terminate the program at any time.

On December 15, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program in the fourth quarter of 2015. In total, we repurchased 1,881,921 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$53.13 per share under this program.

On February 5, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program during the third quarter of 2014. In total, we repurchased 1,574,748 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$63.50 per share under this program.

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

The following table provides information about share repurchases that we made during the three months ended December 31, 2015 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program
October 1, 2015 to October 31, 2015	131,113	\$ 46.97	131,113	\$10
November 1, 2015 to November 30, 2015	—	\$ —	—	\$10
December 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	—	\$ —	—	\$10
Total	131,113	\$ 46.97	131,113	

ITEM 6.

Selected Financial Data

All of the data listed below has been derived from our audited financial statements. Our historical financial and other data is not necessarily indicative of our future performance. In addition, all amounts for 2011 forward are reflective of the sale of our Lewiston, Idaho sawmill in November 2011. Amounts for 2014 forward reflect the sale of our specialty business and mills on December 30, 2014.

(In thousands, except net earnings (loss) per share amounts)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Net sales	\$1,752,401	\$1,967,139	\$1,889,830	\$1,874,304	\$1,927,973
Income from operations	123,670	79,811	99,328	145,387	115,445
Net earnings (loss) ¹	55,983	(2,315)	106,955	64,131	39,674
Working capital ^{2,4}	199,010	302,069	374,416	292,047	389,153
Long-term debt, net of current portion ⁴	568,987	568,221	640,410	515,570	513,646
Stockholders' equity	474,866	497,537	605,094	540,894	484,904
Capital expenditures ³	134,104	99,600	86,508	207,115	137,743
Property, plant and equipment, net	866,538	810,987	884,698	877,377	735,566
Total assets ⁴	1,527,369	1,579,149	1,735,235	1,625,093	1,561,270
Net earnings (loss) per basic common share	\$2.98	\$(0.11)	\$4.84	\$2.75	\$1.73
Average basic common shares outstanding	18,762	20,130	22,081	23,299	22,914
Net earnings (loss) per diluted common share	\$2.97	\$(0.11)	\$4.80	\$2.72	\$1.66
Average diluted common shares outstanding	18,820	20,130	22,264	23,614	23,952

¹ Income from operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, includes the reversal of uncertain tax positions. For additional discussion, see Note 8, "Income Taxes," in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

² Working capital is defined as our current assets less our current liabilities, as presented on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

³ Capital expenditures in 2012 and 2011 primarily include expenditures related to our through-air-dried tissue expansion project at our Shelby, North Carolina and Las Vegas, Nevada manufacturing and converting facilities.

⁴

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Certain 2011-2014 amounts were reclassified to conform with the 2015 presentation. See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards," in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto that appear elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements reflecting our current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report.

Unless the context otherwise requires or unless otherwise indicates, references in this report to "Clearwater Paper Corporation," "we," "our," "the company" and "us" refer to Clearwater Paper Corporation and its subsidiaries.

OVERVIEW

Recent Events

Strategic Capital Projects

As part of our focus on strategic capital spending on projects that we expect to provide a positive return on investments, we announced on September 8, 2015, the construction of a continuous pulp digester project at our Lewiston, Idaho, pulp and paperboard facility. We estimate that the total cost for this pulp optimization project will be approximately \$150-\$160 million, excluding estimated capitalized interest. We spent \$29.3 million on this project in 2015, and expect to spend approximately \$60 million in 2016 and the remaining balance thereafter. The project construction began in 2015, and is expected to be completed in the second half of 2017. We anticipate that this project will significantly reduce air emissions, result in operational improvements through increased pulp quality and production, and lower our costs through the more efficient utilization of wood chips.

Mill Divestitures and Facility Closures

On December 30, 2014, we sold our specialty business and mills to a private buyer for \$113.5 million, before related expenses and adjustments. The specialty business and mills sold consisted predominantly of machine-glazed tissue and also included parent rolls and other specialty tissue products such as absorbent materials and dark-hued napkins. The sale included five facilities located at East Hartford, Connecticut; Menominee, Michigan; Gouverneur, New York; St. Catharines, Ontario; and Wiggins, Mississippi. Included in the sale related expenses and adjustments was the impact of certain indemnity and working capital escrow clauses in the sales agreement. These escrowed amounts totaled \$3.8 million of restricted cash on our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the second quarter of 2015, the working capital escrow account established in connection with the sale of the specialty business and mills was settled, resulting in the release of \$1.5 million from the restricted cash escrow account and the recognition of a corresponding gain recorded in "Gain (loss) on divested assets" within our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

On February 17, 2014, we announced the permanent and immediate closure of our Long Island, New York, tissue converting and distribution facility. As of December 31, 2015, we have incurred \$21.3 million of costs associated with the closure and the relocation of related converting lines to other of our converting facilities, of which \$2.5 million was incurred in 2015 and was primarily related to a facility lease that expires in 2017.

On March 6, 2013, we announced the permanent closure of our Thomaston, Georgia converting and distribution facility. The shutdown occurred gradually as converting lines were relocated and installed at our other facilities, with all operations at Thomaston ceasing at the end of 2013 and the closure being finalized in 2014. We incurred a total of \$7.2 million of costs in 2013 and 2014 associated with this closure.

Capital Allocation

On December 15, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a new stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. The repurchase program authorizes purchases of our common stock from time to time through open market purchases, negotiated transactions or other means, including accelerated stock repurchases and 10b5-1 trading plans in accordance with applicable securities laws and other restrictions. We have no obligation to repurchase stock under this program and may suspend or terminate the program at any time.

On December 15, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program during the fourth quarter of 2015. In total, we repurchased 1,881,921 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$53.13 per share under this program.

On July 29, 2014, we issued \$300 million of aggregate principal amount senior notes, which we refer to as the 2014 Notes. The 2014 Notes mature on February 1, 2025, have an interest rate of 5.375% and were issued at their face value. All of the net proceeds from the issuance, as well as company funds and short-term borrowings from our senior secured revolving credit facility, were used to redeem all of our \$375 million aggregate principal amount of 7.125% senior notes due 2018, which we refer to as the 2010 Notes.

On February 5, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program during the third quarter of 2014. In total, we repurchased 1,574,748 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$63.50 per share under this program.

Business

We are a leading producer of private label tissue and premium bleached paperboard products. Our products are primarily wood pulp based and manufactured in the U.S.

Our business is organized into two reporting segments:

Our Consumer Products segment manufactures and sells a complete line of at-home tissue products in each tissue category, including bathroom tissue, paper towels, napkins and facial tissue. We also manufacture away-from-home tissue, or AFH, and parent rolls for external sales. Our integrated manufacturing and converting operations and geographic footprint enable us to deliver a broad range of cost-competitive products with brand equivalent quality to our consumer products customers. In 2015, our Consumer Products segment had net sales of \$959.9 million, representing approximately 55% of our total net sales.

Our Pulp and Paperboard segment manufactures and markets bleached paperboard for the high-end segment of the packaging industry and is a leading producer of solid bleach sulfate paperboard. This segment also produces hardwood and softwood pulp, which is primarily used as the basis for our paperboard products, and slush pulp, which it supplies to our Consumer Products segment. In 2015, our Pulp and Paperboard segment had net sales of \$792.5 million, representing approximately 45% of our total net sales.

Developments and Trends in our Business

Net Sales

Prices for our consumer tissue products are affected by competitive conditions and the prices of branded tissue products. Tissue has historically been one of the strongest segments of the paper and forest products industry due to its steady demand growth. In recent years, the industry has seen an increase in TAD tissue products as industry participants have added or improved TAD production capacity. Our Consumer Products segment competes based on product quality, customer service and price. We deliver customer-focused business solutions by assisting in managing product assortment, category management, and pricing and promotion optimization.

Our pulp and paperboard business is affected by macro-economic conditions around the world and has historically experienced cyclical market conditions. As a result, historical prices for our products and sales volumes have been volatile. Product pricing is significantly affected by the relationship between supply and demand for our products. Product supply in the industry is influenced primarily by fluctuations in available manufacturing production, which tends to increase during periods when prices remain strong. In addition, currency exchange rates affect U.S. supplies of paperboard, as non-U.S. manufacturers are attracted to the U.S. market when the dollar is relatively strong.

Paperboard pricing decreased in 2015 compared to 2014.

The markets for our products are highly competitive. Our business is capital intensive, which leads to high fixed costs and large capital outlays and generally results in continued production as long as prices are sufficient to cover variable costs. These conditions have contributed to substantial price competition, particularly during periods of reduced demand. Some of our competitors have lower production costs and greater buying power and, as a result, may be less adversely affected than we are by price decreases.

Net sales consist of sales of consumer tissue and paperboard, net of discounts, returns and allowances and any sales taxes collected.

Operating Costs

Prices for our principal operating cost items are variable and directly affect our results of operations. For example, as economic conditions improve, we normally would expect at least some upward pressure on these operating costs. Competitive market conditions can limit our ability to pass cost increases through to our customers.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		2014 ³		2013 ³	
	2015	Percentage of	Cost	Percentage of	Cost	Percentage of
	Cost	Cost of Sales	Cost	Cost of Sales	Cost	Cost of Sales
Purchased pulp	\$186,065	12.3 %	\$295,889	17.3 %	\$294,911	17.6 %
Transportation ¹	184,824	12.2	191,774	11.2	180,188	10.8
Chemicals	179,812	11.9	206,054	12.1	191,473	11.5
Chips, sawdust and logs	147,498	9.7	151,331	8.9	139,456	8.3
Energy	105,984	7.0	139,756	8.2	126,687	7.6
Maintenance and repairs ²	90,709	6.0	84,309	4.9	97,006	5.8
Packaging supplies	90,696	6.0	103,769	6.1	103,286	6.2
Depreciation	76,379	5.0	80,094	4.6	80,758	4.8
	\$1,061,967	70.1 %	\$1,252,976	73.3 %	\$1,213,765	72.6 %

¹ Includes internal and external transportation costs.

² Excluding related labor costs.

³ Results include the specialty business and mills, which were sold in December 2014.

Purchased pulp. We purchase a significant amount of the pulp needed to manufacture our consumer products, and to a lesser extent our paperboard, from external suppliers. For 2015, total purchased pulp costs decreased by \$109.8 million, compared to 2014, as a direct result of the sale of our former specialty business and mills in December 2014. Excluding pulp costs associated with the specialty business and mills, purchased pulp costs increased \$3.1 million during 2015. The increase for 2015 was primarily due to an increase in pulp purchased during the first half of 2015 resulting from the scheduled major maintenance outages taken at our Idaho and Arkansas pulp and paperboard facilities. This increase was partially offset by favorable external pulp pricing and a higher utilization of internally sourced pulp compared to 2014.

Transportation. Fuel prices, mileage driven and line-haul rates largely impact transportation costs for the delivery of raw materials to our manufacturing facilities, internal inventory transfers and delivery of our finished products to customers. Changing fuel prices particularly affect our margins for consumer products because we supply customers throughout the U.S. and transport unconverted parent rolls from our tissue mills to our tissue converting facilities. Our transportation costs for 2015 decreased \$7.0 million compared to 2014 primarily due to the sale of our specialty business and mills, as discussed above. Excluding transportation costs associated with the specialty business and mills, transportation costs during 2015 were slightly lower compared to 2014. In the first quarter of 2015, we improved inventory levels and implemented network optimization measures, which resulted in fewer internal transfers and miles per shipment and lower overall transportation costs. In addition, milder weather conditions in 2015 favorably affected transportation costs as extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast negatively affected carrier costs in the first quarter of 2014 by limiting vendor availability. These improvements more than offset higher line-haul rates and increases in carrier costs during 2015 primarily attributable to tighter carrier supply.

Chemicals. We consume a substantial amount of chemicals in the production of pulp and paperboard, as well as in the production of TAD tissue. The chemicals we use include polyethylene, caustic, starch, sodium chlorate, latex and paper processing chemicals. A portion of the chemicals used in our manufacturing processes, particularly in the paperboard extrusion process, are petroleum-based and are impacted by petroleum prices.

Our chemical costs decreased \$26.2 million during 2015, primarily due to lower usage resulting from the sale of our specialty business and mills. Excluding chemical costs associated with the specialty mills, chemical costs for 2015 decreased \$9.9 million compared to 2014. This favorable comparison was primarily due to decreased pricing for polyethylene and other paper making chemicals, as well as lower consumption for the year due to the scheduled major maintenance downtime at our pulp and paperboard facilities in the first half of 2015. In addition, the comparable 2014 period had higher chemical costs due to operational issues at our Arkansas pulp and paperboard facility that caused

both the pulp mill and paper machine to consume elevated levels of chemicals.

22

Chips, sawdust and logs. We purchase chips, sawdust and logs that we use to manufacture pulp. We source residual wood fibers under both long-term and short-term supply agreements, as well as in the spot market. Overall costs decreased by \$3.8 million for chips, sawdust and logs for 2015 compared to 2014. The decreases were due to lower pulp and paperboard production as a result of major maintenance activities in 2015 that did not occur in 2014.

Energy. We use energy in the form of electricity, hog fuel, steam and natural gas to operate our mills. Energy prices have fluctuated widely from period-to-period due primarily to volatility in weather and electricity and natural gas rates. We generally strive to reduce our exposure to volatile energy prices through conservation. In addition, a cogeneration facility that produces steam and electricity at our Lewiston, Idaho manufacturing site helps to lower our energy costs. TAD tissue production involves increased natural gas usage compared to conventional tissue manufacturing and, as a result, our natural gas requirements have increased in connection with the increase of production from our North Carolina TAD paper machine.

Energy costs for 2015 were \$33.8 million lower than those for 2014 due largely to lower usage resulting from the sale of our specialty business and mills. Excluding costs associated with the specialty mills, energy costs decreased \$8.4 million during 2015. The decrease for the year was primarily the result of lower natural gas pricing and lower usage at many of our facilities due primarily to the absence of the extremely cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast that occurred in 2014, as well as the absence of operational issues at our Arkansas facility that occurred during 2014.

To help mitigate our exposure to changes in natural gas prices, we use firm-price contracts to supply a portion of our natural gas requirements. As of December 31, 2015, these contracts covered approximately 44% of our expected average monthly natural gas requirements for 2016, which includes approximately 59% of the expected average monthly requirements for the first quarter. Our energy costs in future periods will depend principally on our ability to produce a substantial portion of our electricity needs internally, on changes in market prices for natural gas and on our ability to reduce our energy usage through conservation.

Maintenance and repairs. We regularly incur significant costs to maintain our manufacturing equipment. We perform routine maintenance on our machines and periodically replace a variety of parts such as motors, pumps, pipes and electrical parts.

Major equipment maintenance and repairs in our Pulp and Paperboard segment also require maintenance shutdowns approximately every 18 months to 24 months at both our Idaho and Arkansas facilities, which increase costs and may reduce net sales in the quarters in which the major maintenance shutdowns occur. During the first quarter of 2015, we had eleven days of paper machine downtime at our Idaho facility at a cost of approximately \$15 million. During the second quarter of 2015, we had four days of paper machine downtime at our Arkansas facility at a cost of approximately \$7 million. We did not have any major maintenance outages during the third and fourth quarters of 2015, nor did we have any major maintenance outages during 2014. We expect our 2016 planned major maintenance costs to be approximately \$15 million at our Idaho facility during the third quarter of 2016. This planned major maintenance is expected to result in five days of paper machine downtime.

In addition to ongoing maintenance and repair costs, we make capital expenditures to increase our operating capacity and efficiency, improve safety at our facilities and comply with environmental laws. For example, in 2015 we initiated a strategic capital spending project at our Lewiston, Idaho pulp and paperboard facility with an estimated \$150-\$160 million cost, excluding capitalized interest. During 2015, excluding capitalized interest, we spent \$133.7 million on capital expenditures, which includes \$73.2 million of strategic capital spending on projects designed to reduce future manufacturing costs and provide a positive return on investment. During 2014, we spent \$99.6 million on capital expenditures. We expect our total estimated capital expenditures to be approximately \$155 million in 2016.

Packaging supplies. As a significant producer of private label consumer tissue products, we package to order for retail chains, wholesalers and cooperative buying organizations. Under our agreements with those customers, we are responsible for the expenses related to the unique packaging of our products for direct retail sale to their consumers. For 2015, packaging costs decreased \$13.1 million compared to 2014 due largely to lower production resulting from the sale of our specialty business and mills. Excluding packaging costs associated with the specialty mills, packaging costs for 2015 decreased \$8.8 million compared to 2014 due to lower case sales and favorable pricing for packaging supplies.

Depreciation. We record substantially all of our depreciation expense associated with our plant and equipment in "Cost of sales" on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Depreciation expense for 2015 decreased \$3.7 million, compared to 2014, primarily as a result of the sale of our specialty business and mills, partially offset by increased depreciation related to capital spending during recent periods.

Other. Other costs not included in the above table primarily consist of wage and benefit expenses and miscellaneous operating costs. Although period cut-offs can impact cost of sales amounts, we would expect this impact to be relatively steady as a percentage of costs on a period-over-period basis. We experienced lower benefit expenses in 2015, compared to 2014, due to the sale of our specialty business and mills and the closure of our Long Island facility, partially offset by costs associated with a new collective bargaining agreement at our consumer products and pulp and paperboard facilities in Lewiston, Idaho.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses primarily consist of compensation and associated costs for sales and administrative personnel, as well as commission expenses related to sales of our products. Our total selling, general and administrative expenses were \$117.1 million in 2015, compared to \$130.1 million in 2014. The lower expense was primarily a result of a \$4.1 million mark-to-market benefit in 2015 related to our directors' common stock units, which will ultimately be settled in cash, compared to \$4.6 million of mark-to-market expense in 2014, and reduced headcount and administrative costs related to the sale of the specialty business and mills and the closure of our Long Island facility. These were partially offset by \$2.0 million of non-routine legal expenses and settlement costs, including those related to a dispute involving one of our closed facilities, as well as \$1.4 million of reorganization related expenses.

Interest expense

Interest expense is primarily comprised of interest on our 2013 Notes and 2014 Notes and short-term borrowings from our revolving credit facility. In 2014, we also incurred interest expense through a portion of the third quarter on our 2010 notes that were paid off in that quarter. Interest expense also includes amortization of deferred issuance costs associated with all of our notes and our revolving credit facility. As a result of the issuance of the 2014 Notes at an interest rate lower than that of our 2010 Notes, interest expense was lower in 2015 than 2014.

Income taxes

Income taxes are based on reported earnings and tax rates in jurisdictions in which our operations occur and offices are located, adjusted for available credits, changes in valuation allowances and differences in reported earnings and taxable income using current law and enacted tax rates.

The following table details our tax provision and effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$36,505	\$18,556	\$(68,721)
Effective tax rate	39.5	% 114.3	% (179.7)%

Our provision for income taxes for 2014 was unfavorably impacted primarily by a non-recurring tax provision of 65.0% related to losses on divested assets recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations that did not have a corresponding tax benefit. Additionally, the rate was unfavorably impacted by changes in valuation allowances of 14.4%. In 2013, our provision for income taxes was favorably impacted primarily by non-recurring tax benefits of 180.9% related to the release of an uncertain tax position and 32.7% related to federal credits and net operating losses. The estimated annual effective tax rate for 2016 is expected to be approximately 37%.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our business is organized into two reporting segments: Consumer Products and Pulp and Paperboard. Intersegment costs for pulp transferred from our Pulp and Paperboard segment to our Consumer Products segment are recorded at cost, and thus no intersegment sales or cost of sales for these transfers are included in our segments' results. Our financial and other data are not necessarily indicative of our future performance.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table sets forth data included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of net sales.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,					
	2015		%	2014		%
Net sales	\$1,752,401	100.0	%	\$1,967,139	100.0	%
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of sales	(1,512,849)	86.3		(1,708,840)	86.9	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(117,149)	6.7		(130,102)	6.6	
Gain (loss) on divested assets	1,267	0.1		(40,159)	2.0	
Impairment of assets	—	—		(8,227)	0.4	
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,628,731)	92.9		(1,887,328)	95.9	
Income from operations	123,670	7.1		79,811	4.1	
Interest expense, net	(31,182)	1.8		(39,150)	2.0	
Debt retirement costs	—	—		(24,420)	1.2	
Earnings before income taxes	92,488	5.3		16,241	0.8	
Income tax provision	(36,505)	2.1		(18,556)	0.9	
Net earnings (loss)	\$55,983	3.2	%	\$(2,315)	0.1	%

Net sales—Net sales for 2015 decreased by \$214.7 million, or 10.9%, compared to 2014, primarily due to a decline in non-retail tissue shipments as a result of the sale of our specialty business and mills in December 2014, as well as decreases in tissue converted product cases sold and lower pricing for commodity grade paperboard. These items are discussed below under “Discussion of Business Segments.”

Cost of sales—Cost of sales was 86.3% of net sales for 2015 and 86.9% of net sales for 2014. Our overall cost of sales was 11.5% lower compared to 2014 primarily due to the absence of operating costs in 2015 associated with our former specialty business and mills, incremental costs in the same period of 2014 associated with the extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast and operational issues at our Arkansas pulp and paperboard facility. In addition, cost of sales for 2014 included \$14.8 million of costs related to the closure of our Thomaston, Georgia and Long Island, New York facilities, compared to \$2.5 million of Long Island closure costs in 2015. These favorable comparisons were partially offset by approximately \$22 million of planned major maintenance costs that were incurred at our pulp and paperboard facilities in 2015.

Selling, general and administrative expenses—Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$13.0 million during 2015 compared to 2014, due primarily to a \$4.1 million mark-to-market benefit in 2015, compared to \$4.6 million of mark-to-market expense in 2014, related to our directors' common stock units, which will ultimately be settled in cash, as well as reduced headcount and administrative costs related to the sale of the specialty business and mills and the closure of our Long Island facility. These were partially offset by \$2.0 million of non-routine legal expenses and settlement costs, including those related to a dispute involving one of our closed facilities, as well as \$1.4 million of reorganization related expenses.

Gain (loss) on divested assets— During 2015, we recognized a \$1.3 million gain primarily related to the release of restricted cash balances pertaining to the settlement of a working capital escrow account established in connection with the December 30, 2014 sale of our specialty business and mills. We received approximately \$108 million of net proceeds in 2014 from the sale of the mills. In total, \$40.2 million was recorded as a loss on divested assets in 2014, which included losses on \$105.7 million of net assets sold, write-offs of \$20.4 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, of goodwill and intangible assets associated with the specialty business and mills, and other expenses related to the sale, net of proceeds received.

Impairment of assets—During 2014, as a result of the permanent closure of our Long Island facility, we assessed both our intangible and long-lived assets for recoverability. As a result of this assessment, we recorded non-cash

impairment

25

losses during 2014 for intangible and long-lived assets in the amounts of \$5.1 million. In addition, we determined during the fourth quarter of 2014 that a customer relationship intangible asset associated with the Pulp and Paperboard segment's wood chipping facility was fully impaired, and as a result we recorded an additional \$3.1 million non-cash impairment loss.

Interest expense—Interest expense decreased \$8.0 million during 2015, compared to 2014. The decrease was largely attributable to reduced interest rates on our debt as a result of the third quarter 2014 redemption of the 2010 Notes and the issuance of the lower interest bearing 2014 Notes.

Debt retirement costs—Debt retirement costs for 2014 consist of a one-time \$24.4 million charge in connection with the redemption of the 2010 Notes on August 28, 2014. These costs were comprised of cash charges of \$19.8 million, which consisted of a "make-whole" premium of \$17.6 million plus unpaid interest of \$2.2 million, and a non-cash charge of \$4.6 million related to the write-off of deferred issuance costs.

Income tax provision—We recorded an income tax provision of \$36.5 million in 2015, compared to \$18.6 million in 2014. The effective tax rate determined under generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, for 2015 was approximately 39.5%, compared to 114.3% for 2014. The higher rate in 2014 was primarily the result of adjustments for losses on divested assets. During 2015 and 2014, there were a number of items that were included in the calculation of our income tax provision that we do not believe were indicative of our core operating performance.

Excluding these items, the adjusted tax rate for 2015 would have been approximately 38%, compared to approximately 36% in 2014. The following table details these items:

Non-GAAP Adjusted Income Tax Provision	Years Ended December	
	31,	
(In thousands)	2015	2014
Income tax (provision) benefit	\$(36,505)	\$(18,556)
Special items, tax impact:		
Debt retirement costs	—	(8,643)
Costs associated with Long Island facility closure	(780)	(6,677)
Gain (loss) associated with optimization and sale of the specialty mills	395	(3,774)
Directors' equity-based compensation benefit (expense)	1,288	(1,625)
Loss on impairment of Clearwater Fiber intangible asset	—	(1,054)
Discrete tax item related to state tax rate changes	—	1,388
Costs associated with Thomaston facility closure	—	(448)
Discrete tax items related to foreign tax credits	1,309	—
Legal expenses and settlement costs	(626)	—
Costs associated with labor agreement	(533)	—
Reorganization related expenses	(470)	—
Adjusted income tax provision	\$(35,922)	\$(39,389)

DISCUSSION OF BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Consumer Products

(Dollars in thousands - except per ton amounts)	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net sales	\$959,894	\$1,183,385
Operating income (loss)	55,704	(6,028)
Percent of net sales	5.8	% (0.5)%
Shipments (short tons)		
Non-retail	90,178	233,943
Retail	292,438	293,907
Total tissue tons	382,616	527,850
Converted products cases (in thousands)	52,149	55,501
Sales price (per short ton)		
Non-retail	\$1,469	\$1,504
Retail	2,825	2,822
Total tissue	\$2,505	\$2,238

Net sales for our Consumer Products segment decreased by \$223.5 million, or 18.9%, in 2015 compared to 2014, due to a decline in non-retail shipments resulting from the sale of our specialty business and mills. The segment's net sales were also lower due to a decrease of 2.3% in non-retail average net selling prices.

The segment reported \$55.7 million in operating income for 2015, compared to an operating loss of \$6.0 million in 2014. The increase was primarily driven by a \$40.2 million loss on the sale of our specialty business and mills in 2014. In addition, the segment incurred \$2.5 million of costs related to the closure of our Long Island facility during 2015, compared to \$20.1 million of costs related to the closure of our Thomaston and Long Island facilities incurred during the same period of 2014. Operating costs for 2014 also included incremental costs associated with the extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast. The favorable comparisons in 2015 were partially offset by slightly higher purchased pulp and the absence of income generated by our specialty business and mills.

Pulp and Paperboard

(Dollars in thousands - except per ton amounts)	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net sales	\$792,507	\$783,754
Operating income	120,861	144,171
Percent of net sales	15.3	% 18.4 %
Paperboard shipments (short tons)	796,733	774,665
Paperboard sales price (per short ton)	\$990	\$1,009

Net sales for our Pulp and Paperboard segment increased by \$8.8 million for 2015 compared to 2014. This increase was primarily attributable to a 2.8% increase in shipments, partially offset by a 1.9% decrease in average net selling prices.

Operating income for the segment decreased \$23.3 million, or 16.2%, during 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to approximately \$22 million in planned major maintenance costs incurred at our Idaho and Arkansas facilities during the first half of 2015. These unfavorable comparisons were partially offset by lower chemical consumption related to the resolution of operational issues at our Arkansas facility experienced during 2014 and lower energy costs due primarily to favorable natural gas pricing throughout the segment.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

The following table sets forth data included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of net sales.

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,					
	2014		2013			
Net sales	\$1,967,139	100.0	%	\$1,889,830	100.0	%
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of sales	(1,708,840)	86.9	(1,671,371)	88.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(130,102)	6.6	(119,131)	6.3
Loss on divested assets	(40,159)	2.0	—		—
Impairment of assets	(8,227)	0.4	—		—
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,887,328)	95.9	(1,790,502)	94.7
Income from operations	79,811		4.1	99,328		5.3
Interest expense, net	(39,150)	2.0	(44,036)	2.3
Debt retirement costs	(24,420)	1.2	(17,058)	0.9
Earnings before income taxes	16,241		0.8	38,234		2.0
Income tax (provision) benefit	(18,556)	0.9	68,721		3.6
Net (loss) earnings	\$(2,315)	0.1	% \$106,955		5.7

Net sales—Net sales for 2014 increased by \$77.3 million, or 4.1%, compared to 2013, due primarily to higher average net selling prices and shipments for paperboard, increased sales of higher priced TAD tissue products and increased average net selling prices and shipments for non-retail tissue. These items are discussed below under “Discussion of Business Segments.”

Cost of sales—Cost of sales was 86.9% of net sales for 2014 and 88.4% of net sales for 2013. The decrease as a percentage of net sales was primarily a result of higher net sales, including sales of higher margin products, during 2014. Our overall cost of sales during 2014 increased \$37.5 million, or 2.2%, when compared to 2013, due primarily to \$14.8 million of costs recorded in 2014 related to the closure of our Thomaston and Long Island facilities, compared to \$6.0 million of costs related to the closure of our Thomaston facility in 2013, higher incremental costs in 2014 associated with the extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast during the first quarter, and higher costs related to operational issues during 2014 at our Arkansas pulp and paperboard facility. These unfavorable comparisons were partially offset by the absence of \$22.5 million of total major maintenance costs that were incurred at our pulp and paperboard facilities in 2013.

Selling, general and administrative expenses—Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$11.0 million during 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to higher information technology systems and incentive-based compensation expenses in 2014.

Loss on divested assets—Due to the sale of our specialty business and mills in 2014, \$40.2 million was recorded as a loss on divested assets. This included losses on \$105.7 million of net assets sold, write-offs of \$20.4 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, of goodwill and intangible assets associated with the specialty business and mills, and other expenses related to the sale, net of proceeds received.

Impairment of assets—During 2014, as a result of the permanent closure of our Long Island facility, based on our recoverability assessment, we recorded non-cash impairment losses totaling \$5.1 million for intangible and long-lived assets. In addition, we determined during the fourth quarter of 2014 that a customer relationship intangible asset associated with the Pulp and Paperboard segment's wood chipping facility was fully impaired, and as a result we recorded an additional \$3.1 million non-cash impairment loss.

Interest expense—Interest expense decreased \$4.9 million during 2014, compared to 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to reduced interest rates on our debt as a result of the third quarter 2014 refinancing of the 2010 Notes and the issuance of the lower interest bearing 2014 Notes, partially offset by short-term borrowings from our credit facility.

Debt retirement costs—Debt retirement costs for 2014 consist of the one-time \$24.4 million charge in connection with the redemption of the 2010 Notes on August 28, 2014. These costs were comprised of cash charges of \$19.8 million, which consisted of a "make-whole" premium of \$17.6 million plus unpaid interest of \$2.2 million, and a non-cash charge of \$4.6 million related to the write-off of deferred issuance costs. Debt retirement costs of \$17.1 million for 2013 include a one-time charge in connection with the redemption of the 2009 Notes on February 22, 2013, consisting of an approximate \$14 million "make whole" premium plus accrued and unpaid interest and a non-cash charge of approximately \$3 million related to the write-off of deferred issuance costs and unamortized discounts.

Income tax provision—We recorded an income tax provision of \$18.6 million in 2014, compared to a benefit of \$68.7 million in 2013. The effective tax rate determined under GAAP for 2014 was a provision of 114.3%, compared to a benefit of 179.7% for 2013. The increase in the rate in 2014 was primarily the result of adjustments for losses on divested assets. The lower rate in 2013 was the result of the net impact of reporting discrete items, primarily relating to an additional benefit realized from a release of uncertain tax positions. During 2014 and 2013, there were a number of items that were included in the calculation of our income tax provision that we do not believe were indicative of our core operating performance. Excluding these items, the adjusted tax rate for 2014 would have been approximately 36%, compared to an adjusted approximately 33% in 2013. The following table details these items:

Non-GAAP Adjusted Income Tax Provision (In thousands)	Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Income tax (provision) benefit	\$(18,556)	\$68,721
Special items, tax impact:		
Debt retirement costs	(8,643)	(6,277)
Costs associated with Long Island facility closure	(6,677)	—
Loss associated with optimization and sale of the specialty mills	(3,774)	—
Directors' equity-based compensation expense	(1,625)	(1,399)
Loss on impairment of Clearwater Fiber intangible asset	(1,054)	—
Discrete tax item related to state tax rate changes	1,388	—
Costs associated with Thomaston facility closure	(448)	(2,033)
Discrete tax items related to settlement of uncertain tax positions	—	(67,457)
Discrete tax items related to tax credit conversions	—	(9,832)
Discrete tax items related to additional Cellulosic Biofuel Producer Credits	—	(3,495)
Adjusted income tax provision	\$(39,389)	\$(21,772)

DISCUSSION OF BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Consumer Products

(Dollars in thousands - except per ton amounts)	Years Ended December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Net sales	\$1,183,385	\$1,149,692		
Operating (loss) income	(6,028) 52,799		
Percent of net sales	(0.5)% 4.6		%
Shipments (short tons)				
Non-retail	233,943	231,243		
Retail	293,907	295,529		
Total tissue tons	527,850	526,772		
Converted products cases (in thousands)	55,501	55,135		
Sales price (per short ton)				
Non-retail	\$1,504	\$1,470		
Retail	2,822	2,740		
Total tissue	\$2,238	\$2,183		

Net sales for our Consumer Products segment increased by \$33.7 million, or 2.9%, for 2014, compared to 2013. The higher net sales were due to increases of 3.0% and 2.3%, respectively, in retail and non-retail average net selling prices, as well as an increase in non-retail tissue shipments. The higher average net selling prices for retail tissue were driven primarily by increased sales of higher-priced TAD tissue products. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in conventional tissue shipments and lower pricing for conventional retail tissue.

The segment reported a \$6.0 million operating loss for 2014, compared to operating income of \$52.8 million in 2013. The decline was primarily driven by a \$40.2 million loss on the sale of our specialty business and mills. In addition, the segment's operating income was impacted by \$20.1 million of costs related to the closure of our Thomaston and Long Island facilities, compared to \$6.0 million of costs related to the closure of our Thomaston facility in 2013, as well as other incremental costs associated with extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast during the first quarter of 2014, which negatively impacted our energy and transportation costs. These impacts were partially offset by lower depreciation and wage and benefit expenses due to the facility closures.

Pulp and Paperboard

(Dollars in thousands - except per ton amounts)	Years Ended December 31,			
	2014	2013		
Net sales	\$783,754	\$740,138		
Operating income	144,171	95,781		
Percent of net sales	18.4	% 12.9		%
Paperboard shipments (short tons)	774,665	765,052		
Paperboard sales price (per short ton)	\$1,009	\$958		

Net sales for our Pulp and Paperboard segment increased by \$43.6 million, or 5.9%, for 2014, compared to 2013. This increase was primarily attributable to a 5.3% increase in average net selling prices for paperboard due to increased demand resulting from positive market conditions and an improved sales mix. In addition, paperboard shipments increased 1.3%, as a result of higher market demand and backlogs during 2014.

Operating income for the segment increased \$48.4 million, or 50.5%, during 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to the improved paperboard pricing and volume, the absence of \$22.5 million of major maintenance costs incurred in the 2013 period and lower overall benefit expenses. These improvements were partially offset by increased energy and transportation costs associated with extreme cold weather conditions in the Midwest and Northeast during the first quarter of 2014, as well as operational issues at our Arkansas facility that caused elevated levels of energy and chemicals and lower throughputs.

EARNINGS BEFORE INTEREST, TAX, DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION (EBITDA) AND ADJUSTED EBITDA

We use earnings before interest (including debt retirement costs), tax, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, and EBITDA adjusted for certain items, or Adjusted EBITDA, as supplemental performance measures that are not required by, or presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as alternatives to net earnings, operating income or any other performance measure derived in accordance with GAAP, or as alternatives to cash flows from operating activities or a measure of our liquidity or profitability. In addition, our calculation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may or may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA have important limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for any of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash expenditures for capital assets;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not include cash pension payments;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA exclude certain tax payments that may represent a reduction in cash available to us;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our debt;

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect cash requirements for such replacements; and

other companies, including other companies in our industry, may calculate these measures differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as a comparative measure.

We present EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted income tax provisions because we believe they assist investors and analysts in comparing our performance across reporting periods on a consistent basis by excluding items that we do not believe are indicative of our core operating performance. In addition, we use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA: (i) as factors in evaluating management's performance when determining incentive compensation, (ii) to evaluate the effectiveness of our business strategies and (iii) because our credit agreement and the indentures governing the 2013 Notes and 2014 Notes use metrics similar to EBITDA to measure our compliance with certain covenants.

The following table provides our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented, as well as a reconciliation to net earnings:

(In thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net earnings (loss)	\$55,983	\$(2,315)	\$106,955
Interest expense, net ¹	31,182	63,570	61,094
Income tax provision (benefit)	36,505	18,556	(68,721)
Depreciation and amortization expense	84,732	90,145	90,272
EBITDA	\$208,402	\$169,956	\$189,600
Directors' equity-based compensation (benefit) expense	(4,073)	4,606	4,084
Legal expenses and settlement costs	1,972	—	—
Reorganization related expenses	1,470	—	—
Costs associated with Long Island facility closure	2,463	18,813	—
(Gain) loss associated with optimization and sale of the specialty mills	(1,267)	40,801	—
Costs associated with labor agreement	1,730	—	—
Loss on impairment of Clearwater Fiber intangible asset	—	3,078	—
Costs associated with Thomaston facility closure	—	1,257	5,977
Adjusted EBITDA	\$210,697	\$238,511	\$199,661

¹ Interest expense, net for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 includes debt retirement costs of \$24.4 million and \$17.1 million, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table presents information regarding our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Cash Flows Summary

(In thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net cash flows from operating activities	\$159,675	\$139,100	\$136,357
Net cash flows from investing activities	(78,548) 35,687	(140,593
Net cash flows from financing activities	(102,848) (171,131) 15,332

Operating Activities—Net cash flows from operating activities for 2015 increased by \$20.6 million compared to 2014. The increase in operating cash flows was largely due to a \$27.1 million increase in cash flows generated from working capital and a \$13.8 million decrease in contributions to our qualified pension plans compared to 2014, partially offset by a net \$13.6 million increase in taxes receivable in 2015 compared to \$9.2 million of cash from taxes receivable in 2014. The cash flows generated from working capital were primarily the result of a decrease in inventories and higher accounts payable and accrued liabilities, partially offset by higher accounts receivable, and prepaid expense balances in 2015 compared to 2014.

Net cash flows from operating activities for 2014 increased by \$2.7 million compared to 2013. The slight improvement was largely due to higher earnings, after adjusting for noncash related items, which increased \$5.9 million compared to 2013, as well as a \$2.8 million decrease in cash flows used for working capital. The decrease in cash flows used for working capital were driven primarily by a year-end increase in inventories beyond our normal cyclical amounts, which resulted from labor slowdowns at West Coast shipping ports, partially offset by a decrease in accounts receivable due primarily to the timing of sales collections, and slightly higher accounts payable and accrued liabilities driven by process improvements to our capital management. These improvements were partially offset by a \$1.9 million increase in contributions to our qualified pension plans in 2014 compared to 2013.

Investing Activities—Net cash flows from investing activities decreased \$114.2 million in 2015, compared to 2014. The decrease in cash flows from investing activities was largely due to \$107.7 million of net cash proceeds received in 2014 from divested assets, which related to the sale of our specialty business and mills. In addition, cash spent for plant and equipment increased \$35.9 million compared to 2014. These decreases were partially offset by a \$29.8 million increase in cash provided by the conversion of short-term investments into cash during 2015 compared to 2014.

Net cash flows from investing activities increased \$176.3 million in 2014, compared to 2013. The primary increase in cash flows from investing activities was largely due to the \$107.7 million of net cash proceeds from divested assets.

Investing cash flows also increased due to \$20.0 million of cash provided by the conversion of short-term investments into cash during 2014, as compared to \$50.0 million of cash converted into short-term investments in 2013. Cash spent for plant and equipment was \$93.0 million in 2014, compared to \$90.6 million in 2013.

Financing Activities—Net cash flows used for financing activities were \$102.8 million for 2015, and were largely driven by the completion of our 2015 \$100 million stock repurchase program.

Net cash flows used for financing activities were \$171.1 million for 2014, and were largely driven by the completion of our 2014 \$100 million stock repurchase program, as well as a \$75.0 million decrease in long-term debt associated with the issuance of the 2014 Notes and retirement of the 2010 Notes.

Capital Resources

Due to the competitive and cyclical nature of the markets in which we operate, there is uncertainty regarding the amount of cash flows we will generate during the next twelve months. However, we believe that our cash flows from operations, cash on hand, short-term investments and available borrowing capacity under our credit facility will be adequate to fund debt service requirements and provide cash required to support our ongoing operations, capital expenditures, stock repurchase program and working capital needs for the next twelve months.

We may choose to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity. We cannot be certain that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all. As of December 31, 2015, our short-term investments were not restricted and were largely invested in demand deposits.

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, our financial position included gross debt of \$575.0 million. Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2015 was \$474.9 million, compared to the December 31, 2014 balance of \$497.5 million. Our total debt

to total capitalization, excluding accumulated other comprehensive loss, was 52.0% at December 31, 2015, compared to 50.3% at December 31, 2014.

Debt Arrangements

\$300 Million Senior Notes Due 2025

On July 29, 2014, we issued the 2014 Notes, which mature on February 1, 2025, have an interest rate of 5.375% and were issued at their face value. The issuance of these notes generated net proceeds of approximately \$298 million after deducting offering expenses. We redeemed all of our 2010 Notes using the net proceeds from the 2014 Notes along with company funds and a draw from our senior secured revolving credit facility during the third quarter of 2014. The 2010 Notes had a maturity date of November 1, 2018, and an interest rate of 7.125%. On August 28, 2014, we redeemed all of the 2010 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of \$375 million and a “make whole” premium of \$17.6 million plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$8.7 million, for an aggregate amount of \$401.3 million.

The 2014 Notes are guaranteed by all of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries. The 2014 Notes will also be guaranteed by each of our future direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries that do not constitute an immaterial subsidiary under the indenture governing the 2014 Notes. The 2014 Notes are equal in right of payment with all other existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness and are senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness. The 2014 Notes are effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness, including borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, which is secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the 2014 Notes limit our ability and the ability of any restricted subsidiaries to incur certain liens, engage in sale and leaseback transactions and consolidate, merge with, or convey, transfer or lease substantially all of our or their assets to another person.

We may, on any one or more occasions, redeem all or a part of the 2014 Notes, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days notice, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2014 Notes redeemed, plus the applicable premium as of, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. Unless we default in the payment of the redemption price, interest will cease to accrue on the 2014 Notes or portions thereof called for redemption on the applicable redemption date. In addition, we may be required to make an offer to purchase the 2014 Notes upon the sale of certain assets and upon a change of control.

Our 2016 expected debt service obligation related to the 2014 Notes, consisting of cash payments for interest, is \$16.1 million.

\$275 Million Senior Notes Due 2023

In June 2009, we issued the 2009 Notes, in the aggregate principal amount of \$150 million. The 2009 Notes, which were due on June 15, 2016 and had an interest rate of 10.625%, were issued at a price equal to 98.792% of their face value.

On February 22, 2013, we exercised our option to redeem all of the 2009 Notes at a redemption price equal to approximately \$166 million, which consisted of 100% of the principal amount, plus an approximate \$13 million “make whole” premium and accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$3 million. Proceeds to fund the redemption of our 2009 Notes were made available through the sale of the 2013 Notes. The 2013 Notes mature on February 1, 2023, have an interest rate of 4.5% and were issued at their face value.

The 2013 Notes are guaranteed by our existing and future direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries, are equal in right of payment with all other existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, and are senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness. The 2013 Notes are effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness, including borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, which is secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the 2013 Notes limit our ability and the ability of any restricted subsidiaries to borrow money; pay dividends; redeem or repurchase capital stock; make investments; sell assets; create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from any restricted subsidiaries; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter into sale and lease back transactions; create liens; and consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

At any time prior to February 1, 2018, we may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2013 Notes, upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus the applicable premium as of, and accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the date of redemption. In

addition, we may be required to make an offer to purchase the 2013 Notes upon the sale of certain assets and upon a change of control.

On or after February 1, 2018, we may redeem all or a portion of the 2013 Notes at specified redemption prices plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, we may be required to make an offer to purchase the 2013 Notes upon the sale of certain assets and upon a change of control.

Our 2016 expected debt service obligation related to the 2013 Notes, consisting of cash payments for interest, is \$12.4 million.

Revolving Credit Facility

In November 2008, we entered into a \$125 million senior secured revolving credit facility with certain financial institutions. The amount available to us under the revolving credit facility is based on the lesser of 85% of our eligible accounts receivable plus approximately 65% of our eligible inventory, or \$125 million. The revolving credit facility, which was subsequently amended on September 28, 2015, expires on September 30, 2020.

As of December 31, 2015, there were no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility, but \$6.1 million of the credit facility was being used to support outstanding standby letters of credit. Loans under the credit facility bear interest (i) for LIBOR loans, LIBOR plus between 1.25% and 1.75% and (ii) for base rate loans, a per annum rate equal to the greater of the following rates plus between 0.25% and 0.75%: (a) the rate of interest announced by Bank of America from time to time as its prime rate for such day; (b) the weighted average of interest rates on overnight federal funds transactions with members of the Federal Reserve System arranged by federal funds brokers for such day, plus 0.50%; or (c) LIBOR for a 30-day interest period as determined on such day, plus 1.00%. The percentage margin on all loans is based on our fixed charge coverage ratio for the most recent four quarters. As of December 31, 2015, we would have been permitted to draw an additional \$118.9 million under the credit facility at LIBOR plus 1.25%, or base rate plus 0.25%.

A minimum fixed charge coverage ratio is the only financial covenant requirement under our credit facility and is triggered when there are any commitments or obligations outstanding and availability falls below 12.5% or an event of default exists, at which time the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio must be at least 1.0-to-1.0. As of December 31, 2015, the fixed charge coverage ratio for the most recent four quarters was 1.3-to-1.0.

Our obligations under the revolving credit facility are secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the credit facility contain various provisions that limit our discretion in the operations of our business by restricting our ability to, among other things, pay dividends; redeem or repurchase capital stock; create, incur or guarantee certain debt; incur liens on certain properties; make capital expenditures; enter into certain affiliate transactions; enter into certain hedging arrangements; and consolidate with or merge with another entity. The revolving credit facility contains usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants and usual and customary events of default.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015. Portions of the amounts shown are reflected in our financial statements and accompanying notes, as required by GAAP. See the footnotes following the table for information regarding the amounts presented and for references to relevant financial statement notes that include a detailed discussion of the item.

(In thousands)	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Long-term debt ¹	\$575,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$575,000
Interest on long-term debt ¹	246,001	28,500	57,000	57,000	103,501
Capital leases ²	42,759	2,576	5,293	5,358	29,532
Operating leases ²	39,946	12,990	15,187	5,669	6,100
Purchase obligations ³	285,304	262,174	20,757	2,373	—
Other obligations ^{4,5}	183,875	106,610	15,114	13,888	48,263
Total	\$1,372,885	\$412,850	\$113,351	\$84,288	\$762,396

Included above are the principal and interest payments that were due on our 2013 and 2014 Notes, which were outstanding as of December 31, 2015. For more information regarding specific terms of our long-term debt, see the discussion under the heading “Debt Arrangements,” and Note 10, “Debt,” in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

These amounts represent our minimum capital lease payments, including amounts representing interest, and our minimum operating lease payments. See Note 17, “Commitments and Contingencies,” in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Purchase obligations consist primarily of contracts for the purchase of raw materials (primarily pulp) from third parties, trade accounts payable as of December 31, 2015, and contracts with natural gas and electricity providers.

Included in other obligations are accrued liabilities and accounts payable (other than trade accounts payable) as of December 31, 2015, liabilities associated with supplemental pension and deferred compensation arrangements, and estimated payments on postretirement employee benefit plans.

Total excludes \$1.7 million of unrecognized tax benefits due to the uncertainty of timing of payment. See Note 8, “Income Taxes,” in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have had, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our financial conditions or consolidated financial statements.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Our operating facilities are subject to rigorous federal and state environmental regulation governing air emissions, wastewater discharges, and solid and hazardous waste management. Our goal is continuous compliance with all environmental regulations and we regularly monitor our activities to ensure compliance with all pertinent rules and requirements. Compliance with environmental regulations is a significant factor in our business and requires periodic capital expenditures as well as additional operating costs as rules change.

The new federal standard for hazardous air pollutants from boiler and process heaters was finalized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, and became effective in 2013 with a compliance date of January 2016. Our Lewiston, Idaho facility was granted a compliance extension and will be in compliance by January 2017. This project will require a significant capital expenditure to comply with this rule. Total cost estimates for the required compliance expenditure is expected to be approximately \$6 million, with approximately \$1 million spent in 2015 and the remainder expected to be spent in 2016. We expect no technical issues with meeting the new rule.

Concern over climate change, including the impact of global warming, may lead to future regulations. We believe there are no U.S. rules currently proposed that would have a material impact on our operations.

In 2012, we received notification of alleged Clean Air Act violations at our Lewiston facility. We reached an agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice and EPA in connection with this matter and paid a fine in the amount of \$0.3 million in the third quarter of 2015.

Our facilities are currently in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. We cannot be certain, however, that situations that may give rise to material environmental liabilities will not be discovered or that the enactment of new environmental laws or regulations or changes in existing laws or regulations will not require significant expenditures by us.

35

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires our management to select and apply accounting policies that best provide the framework to report the results of operations and financial position. The selection and application of those policies requires management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments concerning reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. As a result, it is possible that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.

See Note 3, “Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards” to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding recently adopted and new accounting pronouncements.

Goodwill. Our acquisitions are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting as prescribed by applicable accounting guidance. In accordance with the accounting guidance, we revalued the assets and liabilities acquired at their respective fair values on the acquisition date. Changes in assumptions and estimates during the allocation period affecting the acquisition date fair value of acquired assets and liabilities would result in changes to the recorded values, resulting in an offsetting change to the goodwill balance associated with the business acquired. Significant changes in assumptions and estimates subsequent to completing the allocation of purchase price to the assets and liabilities acquired, as well as differences in actual results versus estimates, could have a material impact on our earnings.

Goodwill from an acquisition represents the excess of the cost of a business acquired over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed. As a result of our acquisition of Cellu Tissue Holdings, Inc., or Cellu Tissue, on December 27, 2010, we recorded \$229.5 million of goodwill on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010, which was all assigned to our Consumer Products reporting unit. As a result of our December 30, 2014 sale of our specialty business and mills, a portion of goodwill was allocated to the divested mills and included in our loss on divested assets on our Consolidated Statement of Operations. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$209.1 million of goodwill included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Goodwill is not amortized but tested for impairment annually each November 1st and at any time when events suggest impairment may have occurred. When required, our goodwill impairment test is performed by comparing the fair value of the Consumer Products reporting unit to its carrying value. We incorporate assumptions involving future growth rates, discount rates and tax rates in projecting the future cash flows. In the event the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, an impairment loss would be recognized to the extent the carrying amount of the reporting unit’s goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

Long-lived assets. A significant portion of our total assets are invested in our manufacturing facilities. Also, the cyclical patterns of our businesses cause cash flows to fluctuate by varying degrees from period to period. As a result, long-lived assets are a material component of our financial position, with the potential for material change in valuation if assets are determined to be impaired. Accounting guidance requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable, as measured by its undiscounted estimated future cash flows.

We use our operational budgets to estimate future cash flows. Budgets are inherently uncertain estimates of future performance due to the fact that all inputs, including net sales, costs and capital spending, are subject to frequent change for many different reasons. Because of the number of variables involved, the interrelationship between the variables and the long-term nature of the impairment measurement, sensitivity analysis of individual variables is not practical. Budget estimates are adjusted periodically to reflect changing business conditions, and operations are reviewed, as appropriate, for impairment using the most current data available.

We believe we have adequate support for the carrying value of all of our long-lived assets based on anticipated cash flows that will result from our estimates of future demand, pricing, and production costs, assuming certain levels of capital expenditures.

Pension and postretirement employee benefits. The determination of pension plan expense and the requirements for funding our pension plans are based on a number of actuarial assumptions. Three critical assumptions are the discount rate applied to pension plan obligations, the rate of return on plan assets and mortality rates. For other postretirement employee benefit, or OPEB, plans, which provide certain health care and life insurance benefits to qualified retired

employees, critical assumptions in determining OPEB expense are the discount rate applied to benefit obligations, the assumed health care cost trend rates used in the calculation of benefit obligations and mortality rates.

Note 13, "Savings, Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans," to our consolidated financial statements includes information for the three years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, on the components of pension

and OPEB expense and the underlying actuarial assumptions used to calculate periodic expense, as well as the funded status for our pension and OPEB plans as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The discount rate used in the determination of pension benefit obligations and pension expense is determined based on a review of long-term high-grade bonds and management's expectations. At December 31, 2015, we calculated obligations using a 4.70% discount rate. The discount rates used at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were 4.25% and 5.20%, respectively. To determine the expected long-term rate of return on pension assets, we employ a process that analyzes historical long-term returns for various investment categories, as measured by appropriate indices. These indices are weighted based upon the extent to which plan assets are invested in the particular categories in arriving at our determination of a composite expected return. The long-term rates of return used for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 were 7.00%, 7.50% and 7.50%, respectively.

Total periodic pension plan expense in 2015 was \$7.8 million. An increase in the discount rate or the rate of expected return on plan assets, all other assumptions remaining the same, would decrease pension plan expense, and conversely, a decrease in either of these measures would increase plan expense. As an indication of the sensitivity that pension expense has to the discount rate assumption, a 25 basis point change in the discount rate would affect annual plan expense by approximately \$0.7 million. A 25 basis point change in the assumption for expected return on plan assets would affect annual plan expense by approximately \$0.7 million. The actual rates of return on plan assets may vary significantly from the assumptions used because of unanticipated changes in financial markets.

Our company-sponsored pension plans were underfunded by a net \$24.4 million at December 31, 2015 and \$16.9 million at December 31, 2014. As a result of being underfunded, we may be required to make contributions to our qualified pension plans. In 2015, we contributed \$3.2 million to these pension plans. We also contributed \$0.4 million to our non-qualified pension plan in 2015. We do not expect to make any cash contribution to our qualified pension plans in 2016.

For our OPEB plans, expense for 2015 was \$2.1 million. We do not anticipate funding our OPEB plans in 2016 except to pay benefit costs as incurred during the year by plan participants. The discount rates used to calculate OPEB obligations, which was determined using the same methodology we used for our pension plans, were 4.50%, 4.15% and 5.05% at December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The assumed health care cost trend rate used to calculate 2015 OPEB expense was 7.60%, grading to a range of 4.30% to 4.50% over approximately 70 years. The health care cost trend rate used to calculate December 31, 2015 OPEB obligations was 7.00% in 2016, grading to 4.50% over approximately 70 years, for participants whose benefits are not provided through Health Reimbursement Accounts (HRAs), and 2.50% annually for participants whose benefits are provided through HRAs.

As an indication of the sensitivity that OPEB expense has to the discount rate assumption, a 25 basis point change in the discount rate would affect plan expense by approximately \$0.4 million. A 1% change in the assumption for health care cost trend rates would have affected 2015 plan expense by approximately \$0.3 million to \$0.4 million and the total postretirement employee obligation by approximately \$4.3 million to \$4.9 million. The actual rates of health care cost increases may vary significantly from the assumption used because of unanticipated changes in health care costs. Periodic pension and OPEB expenses are included in "Cost of sales" and "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The expense is allocated to all business segments. In accordance with current accounting guidance governing defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, long-term assets are recorded for overfunded plans and liabilities are recorded for underfunded plans. The funded status of a benefit plan is measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the projected benefit obligation. For underfunded plans, the estimated liability to be payable in the next twelve months is recorded as a current liability, with the remaining portion recorded as a long-term liability.

Effective December 15, 2010, the salaried pension plan was closed to new entrants and after December 31, 2011, it was frozen and ceased accruing further benefits.

Income taxes. The conclusion that deferred tax assets are realizable is subject to certain assessments, projections and judgments made by management. In assessing whether deferred tax assets are realizable, the standard we use is whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets depends on the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences are deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities (including the impact of available carryforward periods), projected taxable income, and amounts of taxable income we would have

generated historically. In order to fully realize the deferred tax asset, we will need to generate future taxable income before the expiration of the deferred tax assets governed by the tax code.

Based on existing deferred tax liabilities and projected taxable income over the periods for which the deferred tax assets are deductible, we believe that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefits of these future deductible

differences, excluding items for which we have already recorded a valuation allowance. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced.

We operate in tax jurisdictions located in many areas of the United States and are subject to audit in these jurisdictions. Tax audits by their nature are often complex and can require several years to resolve. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, management exercises judgment in estimating the potential exposure to unresolved tax matters and applies the guidance pursuant to uncertain tax positions which employs a more likely than not criteria approach for recording tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions. While actual results could vary, in management's judgment, we have adequate tax accruals with respect to the ultimate outcome of such unresolved tax matters.

ITEM 7A.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risks on financial instruments includes interest rate risk on our secured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2015, there were no borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility. The interest rates applied to borrowings under the credit facility are adjusted often and therefore react quickly to any movement in the general trend of market interest rates. For example, a one percentage point increase or decrease in interest rates, based on assumed outstanding credit facility borrowings of \$10.0 million, would have a \$0.1 million annual effect on interest expense. We currently do not attempt to mitigate the effects of short-term interest rate fluctuations on our credit facility borrowings through the use of derivative financial instruments.

Commodity Risk

We are exposed to market risk for changes in natural gas commodity pricing, which we partially mitigate through the use of firm price contracts for a portion of the natural gas requirements of our manufacturing facilities. As of December 31, 2015, these contracts covered approximately 44% of the expected average monthly requirements for 2016, including approximately 59% of the expected average monthly requirements for the first quarter.

Foreign Currency Risk

We have minimal foreign currency exchange risk. Virtually all of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars.

Quantitative Information about Market Risks

(Dollars in thousands)	Expected Maturity Date						Total
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	
Long-term debt:							
Fixed rate	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$575,000	\$575,000
Average interest rate	—	% —	% —	% —	% —	% 4.957	% 4.957 %
Fair value at December 31, 2015							\$558,250

ITEM 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	PAGE NUMBER
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>40</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>41</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>42</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>43</u>
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>44</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>45-80</u>
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>81-83</u>

Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules have been omitted because the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Dollars in thousands – except per-share amounts)

	For The Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net sales	\$1,752,401	\$1,967,139	\$1,889,830
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales	(1,512,849)	(1,708,840)	(1,671,371)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(117,149)	(130,102)	(119,131)
Gain (loss) on divested assets	1,267	(40,159)	—
Impairment of assets	—	(8,227)	—
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,628,731)	(1,887,328)	(1,790,502)
Income from operations	123,670	79,811	99,328
Interest expense, net	(31,182)	(39,150)	(44,036)
Debt retirement costs	—	(24,420)	(17,058)
Earnings before income taxes	92,488	16,241	38,234
Income tax (provision) benefit	(36,505)	(18,556)	68,721
Net earnings (loss)	\$55,983	\$(2,315)	\$106,955
Net earnings (loss) per common share:			
Basic	\$2.98	\$(0.11)	\$4.84
Diluted	2.97	(0.11)	4.80

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(In thousands)

	For The Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net earnings (loss)	\$55,983	\$(2,315)) \$106,955
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement employee benefits:			
Net gain (loss) arising during the period, net of tax of \$5,814, \$(15,103), and \$32,346	8,944	(23,523)) 51,262
Curtailments, net of tax of \$ -, \$ - , and \$298	—	—	471
Prior service credit (cost) arising during the period, net of tax of \$ -, \$3,278, and \$(1,976)	—	5,106	(3,130)
Amortization of actuarial loss included in net periodic cost, net of tax of \$4,972, \$3,836, and \$5,742	7,647	5,975	9,098
Amortization of prior service credit included in net periodic cost, net of tax of \$(829), \$(772), and \$(64)	(1,276)) (1,202)) (101)
Foreign currency translation amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	874	—
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	15,315	(12,770)) 57,600
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$71,298	\$(15,085)) \$164,555

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands – except share data)

	At December 31,	
	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$5,610	\$27,331
Restricted cash	2,270	1,500
Short-term investments	250	50,000
Receivables, net	139,052	133,914
Taxes receivable	14,851	1,255
Inventories	255,573	286,626
Deferred tax assets ¹	—	21,760
Other current assets ²	9,331	3,424
Total current assets	426,937	525,810
Property, plant and equipment, net	866,538	810,987
Goodwill	209,087	209,087
Intangible assets, net	19,990	24,956
Pension assets	596	4,738
Other assets, net ²	4,221	3,571
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,527,369	\$1,579,149
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$220,368	\$215,826
Current liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	7,559	7,915
Total current liabilities	227,927	223,741
Long-term debt ²	568,987	568,221
Liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	89,057	118,464
Other long-term obligations	46,738	56,856
Accrued taxes	1,676	2,696
Deferred tax liabilities	118,118	111,634
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, 5,000,000 authorized shares, no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, 100,000,000 authorized shares-24,193,098 and 24,056,057 shares issued	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	340,095	334,074
Retained earnings	520,307	464,324
Treasury stock, at cost, common shares-6,380,309 and 4,498,388 shares repurchased	(329,990)	(230,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(55,548)	(70,863)
Total stockholders' equity	474,866	497,537
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,527,369	\$1,579,149

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

¹ Current deferred tax assets were classified as non-current in 2015 due to the prospective adoption of ASU 2015-17.

¹ See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

² Due to the retrospective adoption of ASU 2015-03, debt issuance costs in 2014 were reclassified to conform with the 2015 presentation. See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	For The Years Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net earnings (loss)	\$55,983	\$(2,315)) \$106,955
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	84,732	90,145	90,272
Equity-based compensation expense	4,557	12,790	10,960
Impairment of assets	—	8,227	—
Deferred tax provision	16,081	13,813	5,629
Employee benefit plans	3,011	2,115	10,131
Deferred issuance costs and discounts on long-term debt	928	6,141	4,964
Loss on divestiture of assets	—	29,059	—
Disposal of plant and equipment, net	1,492	959	1,493
Non-cash adjustments to unrecognized taxes	(1,020)) 328	(74,739)
Changes in working capital, net	14,841	(12,248)) (15,022)
Change in taxes receivable, net	(13,596)) 9,248	10,325
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	(1,433)) (864)) —
Funding of qualified pension plans	(3,179)) (16,955)) (15,050)
Other, net	(2,722)) (1,343)) 439
Net cash flows from operating activities	159,675	139,100	136,357
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Change in short-term investments, net	49,750	20,000	(50,000)
Additions to plant and equipment	(128,902)) (93,028)) (90,593)
Net proceeds from divested assets	—	107,740	—
Proceeds from sale of assets	604	975	—
Net cash flows from investing activities	(78,548)) 35,687	(140,593)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from long-term debt	—	300,000	275,000
Repayment of long-term debt	—	(375,000)) (150,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(99,990)) (100,000)) (100,000)
Payments for long-term debt issuance costs	—	(3,002)) (4,837)
Payment of tax withholdings on equity-based payment arrangements	(4,152)) (1,523)) (4,831)
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	1,433	864	—
Other, net	(139)) 7,530	—
Net cash flows from financing activities	(102,848)) (171,131)) 15,332
(Decrease) increase in cash	(21,721)) 3,656	11,096
Cash at beginning of period	27,331	23,675	12,579
Cash at end of period	\$5,610	\$27,331	\$23,675
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$28,195	\$34,418	\$36,147
Cash paid for income taxes	35,849	6,851	3,256
Cash received from income tax refunds	2,533	11,867	1,577
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Changes in accrued plant and equipment	\$5,202	\$6,187	\$(4,085)
Property acquired under capital lease	—	385	—

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION
 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
 (In thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount			Shares	Amount		
Balance at December 31, 2012	23,841	\$2	\$326,901	\$359,684	(853)	\$(30,000)	\$(115,693)	\$540,894
Net earnings	—	—	—	106,955	—	—	—	106,955
Performance share and restricted stock unit awards	167	—	(355)	—	—	—	—	(355)
Pension and OPEB, net of tax of \$36,346	—	—	—	—	—	—	57,600	57,600
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	(2,071)	(100,000)	—	(100,000)
Balance at December 31, 2013	24,008	\$2	\$326,546	\$466,639	(2,924)	\$(130,000)	\$(58,093)	\$605,094
Net loss	—	—	—	(2,315)	—	—	—	(2,315)
Performance share and restricted stock unit awards	48	—	7,528	—	—	—	—	7,528
Pension and OPEB, net of tax of \$(8,761)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(13,644)	(13,644)
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	(1,574)	(100,000)	—	(100,000)
Foreign currency translation amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	874	874
Balance at December 31, 2014	24,056	\$2	\$334,074	\$464,324	(4,498)	\$(230,000)	\$(70,863)	\$497,537
Net earnings	—	—	—	55,983	—	—	—	55,983
Performance share and restricted stock unit	137	—	6,021	—	—	—	—	6,021

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

awards									
Pension and OPEB, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,315	15,315	
of tax of \$9,957									
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	(1,882)	(99,990)	—	(99,990))
Balance at December 31, 2015	24,193	\$2	\$340,095	\$520,307	(6,380)	\$(329,990)	\$(55,548))	\$474,866

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Clearwater Paper manufactures quality consumer tissue, away-from-home tissue, parent roll tissue, bleached paperboard and pulp at manufacturing facilities across the nation. The company is a premier supplier of private label tissue to major retailers and wholesale distributors, including grocery, drug, mass merchants and discount stores. In addition, the company produces bleached paperboard used by quality-conscious printers and packaging converters. Clearwater Paper's employees build shareholder value by developing strong customer partnerships through quality and service.

Unless the context otherwise requires or unless otherwise indicated, references in this report to "Clearwater Paper Corporation," "we," "our," "the company" and "us" refer to Clearwater Paper Corporation and its subsidiaries.

On March 6, 2013, we announced the planned permanent closure of our Thomaston, Georgia converting and distribution facility. The shutdown occurred gradually as converting lines were relocated and installed at our other facilities, with all operations at Thomaston having ceased as of the end of 2013. We incurred \$7.2 million of costs associated with the closure, of which \$1.3 million was incurred in 2014 and \$5.9 million was incurred in 2013.

On February 17, 2014, we announced the permanent and immediate closure of our Long Island, New York, tissue converting and distribution facility. We have incurred \$21.3 million of costs associated with the closure, of which \$2.5 million was incurred in 2015 primarily related to a facility lease that expires in 2017.

On December 30, 2014, we sold our specialty business and mills to a private buyer for \$108 million in cash, net of sale related expenses and adjustments. The specialty business and mills' production consisted predominantly of machine-glazed tissue and also included parent rolls and other specialty tissue products such as absorbent materials and dark-hued napkins. The sale included five of our former subsidiaries with facilities located at East Hartford, Connecticut; Menominee, Michigan; Gouverneur, New York; St. Catharines, Ontario; and Wiggins, Mississippi. These consolidated financial statements include the financial condition and results of operations of Clearwater Paper Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances between operations within the company have been eliminated.

NOTE 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which we refer to in this report as GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net sales and expenses during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring the use of estimates and measurement of uncertainty include determination of valuation for deferred tax assets, uncertain income tax positions, assessment of impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, assessment of environmental matters, allocation of purchase price and fair value estimates for business combinations, equity-based compensation and pension and postretirement obligation assumptions. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS AND RESTRICTED CASH

Our short-term investments are invested primarily in demand deposits, which have very short maturity periods, and therefore earn an interest rate commensurate with low-risk instruments. We do not attempt to hedge our exposure to interest rate risk for our short-term investments. Our restricted cash in which the underlying instrument has a term of greater than twelve months from the balance sheet date is classified as non-current and is included in "Other assets, net" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$2.3 million of restricted cash classified as current on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. As of December 31, 2014, we had \$1.5 million of restricted cash classified as current and \$2.3 million of restricted cash classified as non-current on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

TRADE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Trade accounts receivable are stated at the amount we expect to collect. Trade accounts receivable do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the losses we expect will result from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We generally determine the allowance based on a combination of actual historical write-off experience and an analysis of specific customer accounts. As of both December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had allowances for doubtful accounts of \$1.4 million. Bad debt expense, net, charged to selling, general and administrative expenses during 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. All other activity impacting the allowance for doubtful accounts was immaterial for all periods.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, including assets acquired under capital lease obligations and any interest costs capitalized, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation of buildings, equipment and other depreciable assets is determined using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives generally range from 10 to 40 years for land improvements; 10 to 40 years for buildings and improvements; 5 to 25 years for machinery and equipment; and 2 to 15 years for office and other equipment. Assets we acquire through business combinations have estimated lives that are typically shorter than the assets we construct or buy new.

We review the carrying value of our property, plant and equipment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable. An impairment of property, plant and equipment exists when the carrying value is not considered to be recoverable through future undiscounted cash flows from operations and the carrying value of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value. During the first quarter of 2014, we permanently closed our Consumer Products segment's Long Island converting and distribution facility, the Long Island Closure. As a result of this closure, we impaired certain plant and equipment. In addition, as a result of the December 30, 2014, sale of our specialty business and mills, the Specialty Business Sale, certain property, plant and equipment associated with the divested mills were written off and included in our loss on divested assets. See Note 4, "Asset Divestiture" and Note 6, "Property, Plant and Equipment" for further discussion.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We use estimates in determining and assigning the fair value of the useful lives of intangible assets, the amount and timing of related future cash flows and fair values of the related operations. Our intangible assets have definite lives and are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We assess our intangible assets for impairment annually and when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

We recorded intangible assets as a result of our acquisition of Cellu Tissue Holdings, Inc., or Cellu Tissue, on December 27, 2010. We also recorded intangible assets as a result of our December 2012 acquisition of a wood chipping facility. As a result of the Long Island Closure, we impaired certain intangible assets. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2014 we determined that a customer relationship intangible asset related to our Pulp and Paperboard segment's wood chipping facility was fully impaired. Finally, as a result of the Specialty Business Sale, certain intangible assets associated with the divested mills were written off and included in our loss on divested assets. See Note 4, "Asset Divestiture" and Note 7, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for further discussion.

GOODWILL

Goodwill from an acquisition represents the excess of the cost of a business acquired over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed. We use estimates in determining and assigning the fair value of goodwill, including the amount and timing of related future cash flows and fair values of the related operations. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment annually as of November 1, as well as any time when events suggest impairment may have occurred. In the event the carrying value of the reporting unit in which our goodwill is assigned exceeds the estimated fair value of that reporting unit, an impairment loss would be recognized to the extent the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its implied fair value.

We recorded \$229.5 million of goodwill in connection with our acquisition of Cellu Tissue in December 2010. All of the recorded goodwill was assigned to our Consumer Products segment and reporting unit. As a result of the Specialty Business Sale, a portion of goodwill was allocated to the divested mills and included in our loss on divested assets, see Note 4, "Asset Divestiture" and Note 7, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for further discussion. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had \$209.1 million of goodwill included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The determination of pension plan expense and the requirements for funding our pension plans are based on a number of actuarial assumptions. Three critical assumptions are the discount rate applied to pension plan obligations, the rate of return on plan assets and mortality rates. For other postretirement employee benefit, or OPEB, plans, which provide certain health care and life insurance benefits to qualified retired employees, critical assumptions in determining OPEB expense are the discount rate applied to benefit obligations, the assumed health care cost trend rates used in the calculation of benefit obligations and mortality rates. We also participate in multiemployer defined benefit pension plans. We make contributions to these multiemployer plans, as well as make contributions to a trust fund established to provide retiree medical benefits for a portion of these employees.

The discount rate used in the determination of pension benefit obligations and pension expense is determined based on a review of long-term high-grade bonds and management's expectations. To determine the expected long-term rate of return on pension assets, we employ a process that analyzes historical long-term returns for various investment categories, as measured by appropriate indices. These indices are weighted based upon the extent to which plan assets are invested in the particular categories in arriving at our determination of a composite expected return.

An increase in the discount rate or the rate of expected return on plan assets, all other assumptions remaining the same, would decrease pension plan expense, and conversely, a decrease in either of these measures would increase plan expense. The actual rates of return on plan assets may vary significantly from the assumptions used because of unanticipated changes in financial markets.

The estimated net loss and prior service cost (credit) for the defined benefit pension and OPEB plans is amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic cost (benefit) in accordance with current accounting guidance.

Periodic pension and OPEB expenses are included in "Cost of sales" and "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The expense is allocated to all business segments. In accordance with current accounting guidance governing defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, at December 31, 2015 and 2014, long-term assets are recorded for overfunded single-employer plans and liabilities are recorded for underfunded single-employer plans. The funded status of a benefit plan is measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the projected benefit obligation. For underfunded single-employer plans, the estimated liability to be payable in the next twelve months is recorded as a current liability, with the remaining portion recorded as a long-term liability.

INCOME TAXES

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The determination of our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates, and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Significant judgment is required in assessing the timing and amounts of deductible and taxable items and the probability of sustaining uncertain tax positions. The benefits of uncertain tax positions are recorded in our consolidated financial statements only after determining a more-likely-than-not probability that the uncertain tax positions will withstand challenge, if any, from tax authorities. When facts and circumstances change, we reassess these probabilities and record any changes in the consolidated financial statements as appropriate.

REVENUE RECOGNITION

We recognize net sales when there is persuasive evidence of a sales agreement, the price to the customer is fixed and determinable, collection is reasonably assured, and title and the risk of loss passes to the customer. Shipping terms generally indicate when title and the risk of loss have passed. Revenue is recognized at shipment for sales when shipping terms are free on board, or FOB, shipping point. For sales where shipping terms are FOB destination, revenue is recognized when the goods are received by the customer. Revenue from both domestic and foreign sales of our products can involve shipping terms of either FOB shipping point or FOB destination or other shipping terms,

depending upon the sales agreement with the customer.

We had one customer in the Consumer Products segment, the Kroger Company, that accounted for approximately \$215 million, or 12.3%, of our total company net sales in 2015 and approximately \$204 million, or 10.8%, of our total

company net sales in 2013. In 2014, we did not have any single customer that accounted for 10% or more of our total net sales.

We provide for trade promotions, customer cash discounts, customer returns and other deductions as reductions to net sales in the same period as the related revenues are recognized. Provisions for these items are determined based on historical experience or specific customer arrangements.

Revenue is recognized net of any sales taxes collected. Sales taxes, when collected, are recorded as a current liability and remitted to the appropriate governmental entities.

ENVIRONMENTAL

As part of our corporate policy, we have an ongoing process to monitor, report on and comply with environmental requirements. Based on this ongoing process, accruals for environmental liabilities that are not within the scope of specific authoritative guidance related to accounting for asset retirement obligations or conditional asset retirement obligations are established in accordance with guidance related to accounting for contingencies. We estimate our environmental liabilities based on various assumptions and judgments, the specific nature of which varies in light of the particular facts and circumstances surrounding each environmental liability. These estimates typically reflect assumptions and judgments as to the probable nature, magnitude and timing of required investigation, remediation and monitoring activities and the probable cost of these activities. Currently, we are not aware of any material environmental liabilities and have accrued only for specific costs related to environmental matters that we have determined are probable and for which an amount can be reasonably estimated. Fees for professional services associated with environmental and legal issues are expensed as incurred.

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On December 15, 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a new stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. The repurchase program authorizes purchases of our common stock from time to time through open market purchases, negotiated transactions or other means, including accelerated stock repurchases and 10b5-1 trading plans in accordance with applicable securities laws and other restrictions. We have no obligation to repurchase stock under this program and may suspend or terminate the program at any time.

On December 15, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program during the fourth quarter of 2015. In total, we repurchased 1,881,921 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$53.13 per share under this program.

On February 5, 2014, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. We completed this program during the third quarter of 2014. In total, we repurchased 1,574,748 shares of our outstanding common stock at an average price of \$63.50 per share under this program.

On January 17, 2013, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock, which was completed in 2013. Under this program, we repurchased 1,039,513 shares of our outstanding common stock under an accelerated stock buyback agreement with a major financial institution at an average price of \$48.10 per share. We also made repurchases of 1,030,657 shares of our outstanding common stock on the open market at a total cost of \$50 million, representing an average price of \$48.51 per share, under this program.

DERIVATIVES

We had no activity during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 that required hedge or derivative accounting treatment. However, to partially mitigate our exposure to market risk for changes in utility commodity pricing, we use firm price contracts to supply a portion of the natural gas requirements for our manufacturing facilities. As of December 31, 2015, these contracts covered approximately 44% of the expected average monthly requirements for 2016, including approximately 59% of the expected average monthly requirements for the first quarter. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, approximately 57%, 58% and 16%, respectively, of our natural gas volumes were supplied through firm price contracts. These contracts qualify for treatment as "normal purchases or normal sales" under authoritative guidance and thus require no mark-to-market adjustment.

NOTE 3 Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the new standard is for companies to recognize revenue in a manner that depicts the transfer of goods or services to customers

in amounts that reflect the consideration, or payment, to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard will also result in enhanced disclosures about revenue, provide guidance for transactions that were not previously addressed comprehensively, such as service revenue and contract modifications, and clarify guidance for multiple-element arrangements. This standard was originally issued as effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption prohibited. However, in July 2015, the FASB approved deferring the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017 for annual reporting periods beginning after that date. In its approval, the FASB also permitted the early adoption of the standard, but not before the original effective date of fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. The standard may be applied under either a retrospective or cumulative effect adoption method. We plan on adopting the standard under the deferred effective date and are still evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This standard amends existing guidance to require the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of a deferred asset. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements, which clarified that debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements can be presented in the balance sheet as an asset and amortized over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement. These standards are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. We adopted these standards retrospectively at December 31, 2015. As a result, debt issuance costs totaling \$6.8 million at December 31, 2014 have been reclassified from "Other current assets" and "Other assets, net" to "Long-term debt" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-07, Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent), in which investments measured at fair value using the net asset value per share method (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not required to be categorized in the fair value hierarchy and are separately presented to permit reconciliation of total pension plan assets. See Note 13, "Savings, Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans." Certain prior year amounts were reclassified to conform to our current year presentation. This guidance did not affect our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB, issued ASU, 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. This standard is part of the FASB's simplification initiative and applies to entities that measure inventory using a method other than last-in, first-out (LIFO) or a retail inventory method (e.g., first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average cost). Under this ASU, entities that utilize FIFO and average cost must switch from the lower of cost or market to the lower of cost and net realizable value. This ASU requires prospective adoption for inventory measurements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years for public business entities. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Accounting Standard Update, or ASU, 2015-17, Balance sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which simplifies the presentation of deferred tax assets and liabilities by jurisdiction, along with any related valuation allowance. The new guidance requires companies to classify all deferred tax assets and liabilities as non-current on the balance sheet and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Companies may early adopt the new standard at the beginning of an interim or annual period, either prospectively or retrospectively. We adopted this guidance prospectively at December 31, 2015. Our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet was not adjusted as a result of this guidance.

We reviewed all other new accounting pronouncements issued in the period and concluded that they are not applicable to our business.

NOTE 4 Asset Divestiture

Specialty Business and Mills Divestiture

On December 30, 2014, we sold our specialty business and mills, which includes our former Menominee, Michigan; St. Catharines, Ontario; East Hartford, Connecticut; Gouverneur, New York; and Wiggins, Mississippi manufacturing, converting and distribution sites from our Consumer Products reporting segment for net proceeds of approximately \$108 million. We assessed the sale of our specialty business and mills under the relevant authoritative accounting guidance related to discontinued operations reporting and concluded that this divestiture of assets did not qualify for

discontinued operations reporting as the divestiture did not constitute a disposal of a component of our Consumer Products reporting segment. Furthermore, we concluded during our assessment that the sale of our specialty business and mills did not represent either a strategic shift in the Consumer Products segment, nor did it represent a major impact on our operations and financial results. Rather, consistent with our long-term corporate strategy, the sale of

the specialty business and mills was intended to sharpen our Consumer Products segment's focus on its core retail businesses by investing net proceeds from the sale into capital projects within our Consumer Products segment. In total, \$40.2 million was recorded as "Loss on divested assets" and included as a component of operating income within our Consolidated Statement of Operations, as well as a component of our Consumer Products segment's operating income as disclosed in Note 18, "Segment Information." Among other charges, the loss on divested assets included a \$20.4 million write-off of goodwill, which was originally recorded in connection with the Cellu Tissue acquisition and was allocated to the sale of the specialty business and mills. Consistent with authoritative guidance, the goodwill was allocated to our divested assets by estimating the fair value of the specialty business compared to the estimated fair value of the Consumer Products reporting unit, which was then used to estimate the percentage of goodwill to allocate to the sale of this business. In addition, "Loss on divested assets" within our Consolidated Statement of Operations included a \$4.9 million intangible asset write-off related to certain identifiable customer relationship and trade name and trademark intangibles associated with the divested mills. Both the goodwill and intangible asset charges are discussed further in Note 7, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets."

In total, \$105.7 million of assets were sold, consisting primarily of \$86.7 million of property, plant and equipment and \$18.0 million of inventory. As part of the sales transaction, we also agreed to certain brokerage and service arrangements totaling approximately \$6.0 million to be recognized over a five-year period. Furthermore, as a result of this sale we recorded restricted cash balances totaling \$3.8 million on our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet, which included contingencies related to certain indemnity and working capital guarantees. During the second quarter of 2015, the working capital escrow account established in connection with the sale of the specialty business and mills was settled, resulting in the release of \$1.5 million from the restricted cash escrow account and the recognition of a corresponding gain recorded in "Gain (loss) on divested assets" within our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

NOTE 5 Inventories

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Pulp, paperboard and tissue products	\$ 156,055	\$ 188,760
Materials and supplies	80,020	74,916
Logs, pulpwood, chips and sawdust	19,498	22,950
	\$ 255,573	\$ 286,626

At December 31, 2015, our inventories are stated at the lower of market or current average cost using the average cost method.

NOTE 6 Property, Plant and Equipment

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Machinery and equipment	\$ 1,879,890	\$ 1,830,245
Buildings and improvements	320,808	311,468
Land improvements	46,843	46,652
Office and other equipment	34,903	21,832
Land	7,266	7,221
Construction in progress	88,964	43,668
	\$ 2,378,674	\$ 2,261,086
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,512,136)	(1,450,099)
	\$ 866,538	\$ 810,987

The December 31, 2015 and 2014 buildings and improvements and machinery and equipment combined balances include \$24.4 million and \$24.6 million, respectively, associated with capital leases.

Depreciation expense, including amounts associated with capital leases, totaled \$79.8 million, \$83.6 million and \$83.3 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For 2015, we capitalized \$0.4 million of interest expense associated with the construction of a continuous pulp digester project at our Lewiston, Idaho pulp and paperboard mill. We did not capitalize any interest during 2014 and 2013.

Consistent with authoritative guidance, we assess the carrying amount of long-lived assets with definite lives that are held-for-use and evaluate them for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that we may be unable to recover the carrying amount of the assets. As a result of the Long Island Closure, we considered an outside third party's appraisal in assessing the recoverability of the facility's long-lived plant and equipment based on available market data for comparable assets sold through private party transactions. Based on this assessment, we determined the carrying amounts of certain long-lived plant and equipment related to the Long Island facility exceeded their fair value. As a result, we recorded \$3.8 million of non-cash impairment charges to our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations in the year ended December 31, 2014. In addition, on December 30, 2014 we completed the sale of our specialty business and mills, which included \$86.7 million of net property, plant and equipment. This event did not impact the recoverability of our remaining long-lived assets. For additional discussion regarding the sale of our specialty business and mills, see Note 4, "Asset Divestiture." There were no other such events or changes in circumstances that impacted our remaining long-lived assets.

NOTE 7 Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The carrying amount of goodwill is reviewed at least annually for impairment as of November 1. The first step of the goodwill impairment test, used to identify potential impairment, compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit is greater than zero and its estimated fair value exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered impaired. For the purpose of goodwill impairment testing, we identify two reporting units, Consumer Products and Pulp and Paperboard, the same as our two reportable operating segments (see Note 18, "Segment Information"). All of the recorded goodwill is assigned to our Consumer Products reporting unit.

As of November 1, 2015 and 2014, we performed calculations of both a discounted cash flow and market-based valuation model for our Consumer Products reporting unit. The assumptions used in these models allowed us to evaluate the estimated fair value of our reporting unit. The determination of these assumptions required significant estimates on our part. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making such estimates, actual results could differ from those assumptions. However, we evaluated the merits of each significant assumption, both individually and in the aggregate, used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting unit for reasonableness. Upon completion of this exercise, we concluded that the estimated fair value of the Consumer Products reporting unit exceeded its carrying amount. We determined that no further testing was necessary and did not record any impairment loss on our goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

On December 30, 2014, we sold our Consumer Products reporting unit's specialty business and mills. We considered the sale to be highly probable during our 2014 annual goodwill review and as such included its impact in estimating the fair value of the Consumer Products reporting unit, concluding that this event did not require additional impairment testing. However, consistent with authoritative guidance we allocated a portion of our goodwill to the specialty business and mills sold. As a result, we recorded a \$20.4 million write-off of goodwill, which was originally recorded in connection with the Cellu Tissue acquisition and was allocated to the sale of the specialty mills business. In addition, certain of our customer relationships and trade name and trademarks intangible assets were associated with our divested specialty business and mills, and as a result we recorded a \$4.9 million write-off of these assets. These charges are included in "Gain (loss) on divested assets" within our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. For additional discussion regarding the sale of our specialty business and mills, see Note 4, "Asset Divestiture."

Intangible asset amounts represent the acquisition date fair values of identifiable intangible assets acquired. The fair values of the intangible assets were determined by using the income approach, discounting projected future cash flows based on management's expectations of the current and future operating environment. The rates used to discount projected future cash flows reflected a weighted average cost of capital based on our industry, capital structure and risk premiums including those reflected in the current market capitalization. Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives, which have historically ranged from 5 to 10 years. Authoritative guidance requires that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset with a definite life that is held-for-use be evaluated for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the entity may be unable to recover the asset's carrying amount.

As a result of the Long Island Closure, we performed an assessment of the recoverability of our intangible assets associated with this facility. It was determined that the carrying amounts of certain trade names and trademarks related to the Long Island facility were exceeding their fair value. As a result, we recorded a \$1.3 million non-cash impairment charge in our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. Fully amortized non-compete agreements related to the Long Island facility were also disposed of during the facility closure.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we evaluated the recoverability of our remaining intangible assets under the income approach and noted that a customer relationship intangible asset relating to our Pulp and Paperboard segment's wood chipping facility was fully impaired. As a result, we recorded an additional non-cash impairment charge of \$3.1 million in our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

There were no other such events or changes in circumstances that required us to assess whether our definite-lived intangible assets were impaired for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. We do not have any indefinite-lived intangible assets recorded from acquisitions.

Intangible assets at the balance sheet dates are comprised of the following:

(Dollars in thousands, lives in years)	December 31, 2015			
	Useful Life	Historical Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance
Customer relationships	9.0	\$41,001	\$(22,778)) \$18,223
Trade names and trademarks	10.0	3,286	(1,643)) 1,643
Non-compete agreements	5.0	574	(450)) 124
Total intangible assets		\$44,861	\$(24,871)) \$19,990

(Dollars in thousands, lives in years)	December 31, 2014			
	Useful Life	Historical Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance
Customer relationships	9.0	\$41,001	\$(18,223)) \$22,778
Trade names and trademarks	10.0	3,286	(1,314)) 1,972
Non-compete agreements	5.0	1,189	(983)) 206
Total intangible assets		\$45,476	\$(20,520)) \$24,956

As of December 31, 2015, estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

Years ending December 31,	Amount
2016	\$4,946
2017	4,946
2018	4,884
2019	4,884
2020	330
Total	\$19,990

NOTE 8 Income Taxes

Earnings (loss) before income taxes is comprised of the following amounts in each tax jurisdiction:

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
United States	\$92,488	\$16,253	\$38,900
Canada	—	(12) (666
Earnings before income taxes	\$92,488	\$16,241	\$38,234

The income tax provision (benefit) is comprised of the following:

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Current			
Federal	\$15,579	\$2,355	\$(75,119
State	4,855	1,872	506
Foreign	(10) 516	263
	20,424	4,743	(74,350
Deferred			
Federal	13,006	11,432	10,177
State	3,075	2,381	(4,423
Foreign	—	—	(125
	16,081	13,813	5,629
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$36,505	\$18,556	\$(68,721

The income tax provision or benefit differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 35.0% to earnings before income taxes due to the following:

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Computed expected tax provision	\$32,371	\$5,685	\$13,381
State and local taxes, net of federal income tax impact	4,175	1,543	1,279
Adjustment for state deferred tax rate	104	1,546	(762
State investment tax credits	1,146	(1,039) (2,263
Federal credits and net operating losses	4,010	(485) (10,234
Federal manufacturing deduction	(1,873) (674) —
Uncertain tax positions	(1,020) 355	(69,144
Loss on divested assets	—	10,554	—
State attribute true up	1,167	(2,874) —
New York state attribute true up	—	1,654	—
Change in valuation allowances	(3,986) 2,346	(1,334
U.S. tax provision on foreign operations	—	—	67
Other, net	411	(55) 289
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$36,505	\$18,556	\$(68,721
Effective tax rate	39.5	% 114.3	% (179.7

During 2015, the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets decreased by \$4.0 million. During 2014, the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets increased by \$2.4 million. Our provision for income taxes for 2014 was unfavorably impacted primarily by a non-recurring tax provision of 65.0% related to losses on divested assets recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations that did not have a corresponding tax benefit. Additionally, the rate was unfavorably impacted by changes in valuation allowances of 14.4%. In 2013, our provision for income taxes was favorably impacted primarily by non-recurring tax benefits of 180.9% related to the release of an uncertain tax position and 32.7% related to federal credits and net operating losses.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded discrete expense for a reduction in our blended state tax rate as well as adjustments to New York state specific deferred items. These changes were due to amendments we made to our New York state return filings as a result of changes in New York state tax laws. In reviewing the changes in the tax laws, we identified that, in prior years, we had not applied the proper apportionment factor when certain New York state net operating loss carryforwards were generated, which resulted in a \$2.9 million overstatement. We corrected this in the second quarter of 2014 by including the overstatement as a discrete item within state rate adjustments due to immateriality.

The tax effects of significant temporary differences creating deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31 were:

(In thousands)	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
Employee benefits	\$8,611	\$8,270
Postretirement employee benefits	28,125	40,940
Incentive compensation	8,334	9,354
Inventories	7,557	6,716
Pensions	10,460	7,238
Federal and state credit carryforwards	16,154	23,759
Net operating losses	1,578	3,192
Other	6,220	9,384
Total deferred tax assets	\$87,039	\$108,853
Valuation allowance	(11,983)	(15,969)
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	\$75,056	\$92,884
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Plant and equipment	\$(186,203)	\$(178,531)
Intangible assets	(4,656)	(4,227)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(190,859)	(182,758)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(115,803)	\$(89,874)

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of:

(In thousands)	2015 ¹	2014
Current deferred tax assets	\$—	\$21,760
Current deferred tax liabilities	—	—
Net current deferred tax assets	—	21,760
Non-current deferred tax assets ²	2,315	71,124
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	(118,118)	(182,758)
Net non-current deferred tax liabilities	(115,803)	(111,634)
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(115,803)	\$(89,874)

We adopted ASU 2015-17 effective December 31, 2015 on a prospective basis. Adoption of this ASU resulted in a ¹ reclassification of our net current deferred tax asset to the net non-current deferred tax assets and liabilities. No prior periods were retrospectively adjusted.

² Included in "Other assets, net" on our accompanying December 31, 2015 Consolidated Balance Sheet.

We have tax benefits relating to equity-based compensation that are being utilized to reduce our U.S. taxable income. Our Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards excluding amounts resulting from equity-based compensation. We have made an accounting policy election to follow the "with-and-without" or "incremental" method for ordering tax benefits derived from employee equity-based compensation awards. Excess tax benefits from equity based compensation awards that are determined to reduce U.S. taxable income following this method are recognized when realized as increases to additional paid-in capital as a component of stockholders' equity. As of December 31, 2015, we had no excess tax benefits that were not recognized on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as we recorded a \$2.8 million increase to additional paid-in capital relating to the cash tax benefit of prior year items. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized a \$1.4 million increase to additional paid-in capital from

excess tax benefits relating to the payout of performance shares. Additionally, we had a tax effected \$2.7 million reduction to additional paid-in capital relating to performance shares that will not be paid or issued because the requisite market condition performance measure was not met.

We have net attributes associated with state jurisdictions totaling \$5.7 million which expire between 2016 and 2033. The following presents a roll forward of our unrecognized tax benefits and associated interest and penalties, \$1.7 million of which is included in "Accrued taxes" in our December 31, 2015 Consolidated Balance Sheet. The remaining \$2.7 million consists of unrecorded receivables and certain tax attributes that are uncertain.

(In thousands)	Gross Unrecognized Tax Benefits, Excluding Interest and Penalties	Interest and Penalties	Total Gross Unrecognized Tax Benefits
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$2,132	\$526	\$2,658
Decrease in prior year tax positions	(157) (301) (458
Increase in current year tax positions	431	65	496
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$2,406	\$290	\$2,696
Increase in prior year tax positions	2,479	45	2,524
Increase in current year tax positions	226	—	226
Reductions as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(884) (114) (998
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$4,227	\$221	\$4,448

Unrecognized tax benefits net of related deferred tax assets at December 31, 2015, if recognized, would favorably impact our effective tax rate by decreasing our tax provision by \$4.4 million. For each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, if recognized, the balance of unrecognized tax benefits would favorably impact our effective tax rate by \$2.7 million. We reflect accrued interest related to tax obligations, as well as penalties, in our provision for income taxes. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 we accrued interest of less than \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$2.0 million and no penalties, respectively, in our income tax provision.

The company has certain state benefits related to filing positions taken which have not been recognized on the balance sheet. Although the uncertain tax position was not reflected in the balance sheet as a recorded liability, it is disclosed in the tabular roll forward for unrecognized tax benefits.

We have operations in many states within the U.S. and are subject, at times, to tax audits in these jurisdictions. With a few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or foreign income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2012. We expect that the outcome of any examination will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. Although the timing of resolution of audits is not certain, we evaluate all audit issues in the aggregate, along with the expiration of applicable statutes of limitations, and estimate that it is reasonably possible the total gross unrecognized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$0.3 million within the next 12 months.

NOTE 9 Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Trade accounts payable	\$128,045	\$122,856
Accrued wages, salaries and employee benefits	43,997	41,880
Accrued interest	11,981	12,173
Accrued discounts and allowances	8,954	10,026
Accrued utilities	7,536	6,959
Accrued taxes other than income taxes payable	5,112	5,622
Other	14,743	16,310
	\$220,368	\$215,826

NOTE 10 Debt

We adopted ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, and ASU 2015-15 Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements, retrospectively at December 31, 2015. These standards require the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of a deferred asset and are amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt; debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements can be presented in the balance sheet as an asset and amortized over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement. As such, our long-term debt balances are shown net of deferred issuance costs of \$6.0 million and \$6.8 million, respectively on our December 31, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheets.

\$300 MILLION SENIOR NOTES DUE 2025

On July 29, 2014 we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes, which we refer to as the 2014 Notes. The 2014 Notes mature on February 1, 2025, have an interest rate of 5.375% and were issued at their face value. The issuance of these notes generated net proceeds of approximately \$298 million after deducting offering expenses. We redeemed our entire \$375 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes issued on October 22, 2010, which we refer to as the 2010 Notes, using the net proceeds from the 2014 Notes along with company funds and a \$37 million draw from our senior secured revolving credit facility during the third quarter of 2014.

The 2010 Notes had a maturity date of November 1, 2018, and an interest rate of 7.125%. On August 28, 2014, we redeemed all of the 2010 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of \$375 million and a "make whole" premium of \$17.6 million plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$8.7 million, for an aggregate amount of \$401.3 million. The make whole premium and a portion of the unpaid interest, as well as a \$4.6 million non-cash charge relating to the unamortized deferred issuance costs associated with the 2010 Notes, were recorded as components of "Debt retirement costs" and included in our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The 2014 Notes are guaranteed by all of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries. The 2014 Notes will also be guaranteed by each of our future direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries that do not constitute an immaterial subsidiary under the indenture governing the 2014 Notes. The 2014 Notes are equal in right of payment with all other existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness and are senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness. The 2014 Notes are effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness, including borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, which is secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the 2014 Notes limit our ability and the ability of any restricted subsidiaries to incur certain liens, engage in sale and leaseback transactions and consolidate, merge with, or convey, transfer or lease substantially all of our or their assets to another person.

We may, on any one or more occasions, redeem all or a part of the 2014 Notes, upon not less than 30 days nor more than 60 days' notice, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2014 Notes redeemed, plus the applicable premium as of, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. Unless we default in the payment of the redemption price, interest will cease to accrue on the 2014 Notes or portions thereof called for redemption on the applicable redemption date. In addition, we may be required to make an offer to purchase the 2014 Notes upon the sale of certain assets and upon a change of control.

\$275 MILLION SENIOR NOTES DUE 2023

In June 2009, we issued senior unsecured notes, which we refer to as the 2009 Notes, in the aggregate principal amount of \$150 million. The 2009 Notes were due on June 15, 2016 and had an interest rate of 10.625%. The 2009 Notes were issued at a price equal to 98.792% of their face value.

We had the option to redeem all or a portion of the 2009 Notes at any time prior to June 15, 2013 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a "make whole" premium and accrued and unpaid interest. On February 22, 2013, we exercised our option to redeem all of the 2009 Notes at a redemption price equal to approximately \$166 million, which consisted of 100% of the principal amount, plus a \$12.6 million "make whole" premium and accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$3.0 million. The make whole premium and a portion of the unpaid interest, as well as an unamortized discount and deferred issuance costs associated with the 2009 Notes, were recorded as components of "Debt retirement costs" totaling \$17.1 million in the first quarter of 2013, as included in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. Proceeds to fund the redemption of the 2009 Notes were made available through the sale of \$275 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes on January 23, 2013, which we refer to as the 2013 Notes. The 2013 Notes mature on February 1, 2023, have an interest rate of 4.5% and

were issued at their face value. The issuance of these notes generated net proceeds of approximately \$271 million after deducting offering expenses.

The 2013 Notes are guaranteed by all of our direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries. The 2013 Notes will also be guaranteed by each of our future direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries that we do not designate as an unrestricted subsidiary under the indenture governing the 2013 Notes. The 2013 Notes are equal in right of payment with all other existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness and are senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness. The 2013 Notes are effectively subordinated to all of our existing and future secured indebtedness, including borrowings under our secured revolving credit facility, which is secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the 2013 Notes limit our ability and the ability of any restricted subsidiaries to borrow money; pay dividends; redeem or repurchase capital stock; make investments; sell assets; create restrictions on the payment of dividends or other amounts to us from any restricted subsidiaries; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter into sale and lease back transactions; create liens; and consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

At any time prior to February 1, 2018, we may on any one or more occasions redeem all or a part of the 2013 Notes, upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus the applicable premium as of, and accrued and unpaid interest and special interest, if any, to the date of redemption. In addition, we may be required to make an offer to purchase the 2013 Notes upon the sale of certain assets and upon a change of control.

REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

On November 26, 2008, we entered into a \$125 million senior secured revolving credit facility with certain financial institutions. The amount available to us under the revolving credit facility is based on the lesser of 85% of our eligible accounts receivable plus approximately 65% of our eligible inventory, or \$125 million. The revolving credit facility has been subsequently amended and expires on September 30, 2020.

As of December 31, 2015, there were no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility, but \$6.1 million of the credit facility was being used to support outstanding standby letters of credit. Loans under the credit facility bear interest (i) for LIBOR loans, LIBOR plus between 1.25% and 1.75% and (ii) for base rate loans, a per annum rate equal to the greater of the following rates plus between 0.25% and 0.75%: (a) a rate of interest announced by Bank of America from time to time as its prime rate for such day; (b) the weighted average of interest rates on overnight federal funds transactions with members of the Federal Reserve System arranged by federal funds brokers for such day, plus 0.50%; or (c) LIBOR for a 30-day interest period as determined on such day, plus 1.00%. The percentage margin on all loans is based on our fixed charge coverage ratio for the most recent four quarters. As of December 31, 2015, we would have been permitted to draw \$118.9 million under the credit facility at LIBOR plus 1.25%, or base rate plus 0.25%.

A minimum fixed charge coverage ratio is the only financial covenant requirement under our credit facility and is triggered when there are any commitments or obligations outstanding and availability falls below 12.5% or an event of default exists, at which time the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio must be at least 1.0-to-1.0. As of December 31, 2015, the fixed charge coverage ratio for the most recent four quarters was 1.3-to-1.0.

Our obligations under the revolving credit facility are secured by certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and cash. The terms of the credit facility contain various provisions that limit our discretion in the operations of our business by restricting our ability to, among other things, pay dividends; redeem or repurchase capital stock; create, incur or guarantee certain debt; incur liens on certain properties; make capital expenditures; enter into certain affiliate transactions; enter into certain hedging arrangements; and consolidate with or merge with another entity. The revolving credit facility contains usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants and usual and customary events of default.

NOTE 11 Other Long-Term Obligations

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Long-term lease obligations, net of current portion	\$24,054	\$24,805
Deferred compensation	10,755	14,609
Deferred proceeds	9,386	12,360
Other	2,543	5,082
	\$46,738	\$56,856

NOTE 12 Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at the balance sheet dates is comprised of the following:

(In thousands)	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments ¹	Pension and Other Post Retirement Employee Benefit Plan Adjustments	Total
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ (874)	\$ (57,219)	\$ (58,093)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	4,773	4,773
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	874	(18,417)	(17,543)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax ²	874	(13,644)	(12,770)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ —	\$ (70,863)	\$ (70,863)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	6,371	6,371
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	8,944	8,944
Other comprehensive income, net of tax ²	—	15,315	15,315
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ —	\$ (55,548)	\$ (55,548)

This balance consists of unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments related to the operations of our former Canadian subsidiary before its functional currency was changed from Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars in 2012. As a result of the divestiture of our specialty business and mills, this balance was written-off and included in our net loss on divested assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, net periodic costs associated with our pension and other postretirement employee benefit, or OPEB, plans included in other comprehensive loss and reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss, or AOCL, included \$14.8 million of net gain on plan assets, \$12.6 million of actuarial loss amortization and \$2.1 million of prior service credit amortization, less total tax of \$10.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2014, net periodic costs associated with our pension and OPEB plans included in other comprehensive income and reclassified from AOCL included \$38.6 million of net loss on plan assets, \$9.8 million of actuarial loss amortization, \$8.4 million of prior service credit arising during the period and \$2.0 million of prior service credit amortization, less total tax of \$8.8 million. These accumulated other comprehensive loss components are included in the computation of net periodic pension and OPEB costs in Note 13, "Savings, Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans."

NOTE 13 Savings, Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans

Certain of our employees are eligible to participate in defined contribution savings and defined benefit postretirement plans. These include 401(k) savings plans, defined benefit pension plans including company-sponsored and multiemployer plans, and other postretirement employee benefit, or OPEB, plans, each of which is discussed below.

401(k) Savings Plans

Substantially all of our employees are eligible to participate in 401(k) savings plans, which include a company match component. In 2015, 2014 and 2013, we made 401(k) contributions on behalf of employees of \$16.9 million, \$17.4 million and \$16.8 million, respectively.

Company-Sponsored Defined Benefit Pension Plans

A majority of our salaried employees and a portion of our hourly employees are covered by company-sponsored noncontributory defined benefit pension plans.

During the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a curtailment loss of \$0.8 million in net periodic cost, and a corresponding change in Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax, due to the freezing of pension benefits for certain employees at our Lewiston, Idaho pulp and paperboard facility, effective June 30, 2013.

Company-Sponsored OPEB Plans

We also provide retiree health care and life insurance plans, which cover certain salaried and hourly employees.

Retiree health care benefits for Medicare eligible participants over the age of 65 are provided through Health Reimbursement Accounts, or HRA's. Benefits for retirees under the age of 65 are provided under our company-sponsored health care plans, which require retiree contributions and contain other cost-sharing features. The retiree life insurance plans are primarily noncontributory.

Funded Status of Company-Sponsored Plans

As required by current standards governing the accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans, we recognized the funded status of our company-sponsored plans on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The funded status is measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation. For a pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement employee benefit plan, such as a retiree health care plan, the benefit obligation is the accumulated postretirement employee benefit obligation. We use a December 31 measurement date for our benefit plans.

The changes in benefit obligation, plan assets and funded status for company-sponsored benefit plans as of December 31 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$338,001	\$293,388	\$104,715	\$107,327
Service cost	1,244	1,390	363	454
Interest cost	13,931	14,825	3,881	4,565
Plan changes	—	—	—	(8,384)
Actuarial (gains) losses	(15,295)	47,548	(30,701)	7,039
Medicare Part D subsidies received	—	—	—	123
Benefits paid	(19,437)	(19,150)	(6,586)	(6,409)
Benefit obligation at end of year	318,444	338,001	71,672	104,715
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	321,055	286,598	20	20
Actual return on plan assets	(11,120)	36,157	—	—
Employer contribution	3,578	17,450	—	—
Benefits paid	(19,437)	(19,150)	—	—
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	294,076	321,055	20	20
Funded status at end of year	\$(24,368)	\$(16,946)	\$(71,652)	\$(104,695)

The December 31, 2015 pension funded status was affected by unfavorable asset returns, partially offset by an increase in the discount rate. The December 31, 2015 OPEB benefit obligation was favorably impacted by changes in the assumed health care cost trend rate, as well as an increase in the discount rate. The December 31, 2014 pension and OPEB benefit obligations were unfavorably affected by lower discount rates and the adoption of new mortality tables. Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Non-current assets	\$596	\$4,738	\$—	\$—
Current liabilities	(414)	(438)	(7,145)	(7,477)
Non-current liabilities	(24,550)	(21,246)	(64,507)	(97,218)
Net amount recognized	\$(24,368)	\$(16,946)	\$(71,652)	\$(104,695)

Pre-tax amounts recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss as of December 31 consist of:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net loss (gain)	\$134,031	\$130,708	\$(29,290)	\$1,410
Prior service cost (credit)	30	103	(4,923)	(7,101)
Net amount recognized	\$134,061	\$130,811	\$(34,213)	\$(5,691)

Information as of December 31 for certain pension plans included above with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	2014
Projected benefit obligation	\$181,744	\$192,989
Accumulated benefit obligation	181,744	192,989
Fair value of plan assets	156,780	171,305

Pre-tax components of net periodic cost and other amounts recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

Net Periodic Cost:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans			Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Service cost	\$1,244	\$1,390	\$1,738	\$363	\$454	\$552
Interest cost	13,931	14,825	13,375	3,881	4,565	4,730
Expected return on plan assets	(20,117)	(20,196)	(18,352)	(1)	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	73	205	337	(2,178)	(2,179)	(502)
Amortization of actuarial loss (gain)	12,619	10,097	14,840	—	(286)	—
Curtailments	—	—	769	—	—	—
Net periodic cost	\$7,750	\$6,321	\$12,707	\$2,065	\$2,554	\$4,780

Other amounts recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans			Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Net loss (gain)	\$15,942	\$31,587	\$(53,285)	\$(30,700)	\$7,039	\$(30,323)
Curtailments	—	—	(769)	—	—	—
Prior service (credit) cost	—	—	—	—	(8,384)	5,106
Amortization of prior service (cost) credit	(73)	(205)	(337)	2,178	2,179	502
Amortization of actuarial (loss) gain	(12,619)	(10,097)	(14,840)	—	286	—
Total recognized in other comprehensive loss (income)	\$3,250	\$21,285	\$(69,231)	\$(28,522)	\$1,120	\$(24,715)
Total recognized in net periodic cost and other comprehensive loss (income)	\$11,000	\$27,606	\$(56,524)	\$(26,457)	\$3,674	\$(19,935)

The estimated net loss and prior service cost for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic cost (benefit) over the next fiscal year are \$11.5 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively. The estimated net gain and prior service credit for the OPEB plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic cost (benefit) over the next fiscal year are \$5.5 million and \$1.7 million respectively.

During 2015, \$6.8 million of net periodic pension and OPEB costs were charged to "Cost of sales," and \$3.0 million were charged to "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, as compared to \$6.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, during 2014.

Weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation as of December 31 were:

	Pension Benefit Plans			Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans			
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	
Discount rate	4.70	% 4.25	% 5.20	% 4.50	% 4.15	% 5.05	%

Weighted average assumptions used to determine the net periodic cost for the years ended December 31 were:

	Pension Benefit Plans			Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans			
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	
Discount rate	4.25	% 5.20	% 4.15	% 4.15	% 5.05	% 4.05	%
Expected return on plan assets	7.00	7.50	7.50	—	—	—	

The discount rate used in the determination of pension benefit obligations and pension expense was determined based on a review of long-term high-grade bonds as well as management's expectations. The discount rate used to calculate OPEB obligations was determined using the same methodology we used for our pension plans.

The expected return on plan assets assumption is based upon an analysis of historical long-term returns for various investment categories, as measured by appropriate indices. These indices are weighted based upon the extent to which plan assets are invested in the particular categories in arriving at our determination of a composite expected return.

The assumed health care cost trend rate used to calculate 2015 OPEB expense was 7.60% in 2015, grading to a range of 4.30% to 4.50% over approximately 70 years. The health care cost trend rate used to calculate December 31, 2015 OPEB obligations was 7.00% in 2016, grading to 4.50% over approximately 70 years, for participants whose benefits are not provided through HRAs, and 2.50% annually for participants whose benefits are provided through HRAs. This assumption has a significant effect on the amounts reported. A one percentage point change in the health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

(In thousands)	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$407	\$(341)
Effect on postretirement employee benefit obligation	4,914	(4,277)

The investments of our defined benefit pension plans are held in a Master Trust. The assets of our OPEB plans are held within an Internal Revenue Code section 401(h) account for the payment of retiree medical benefits within the Master Trust.

As of December 31, 2014, the Master Trust no longer has a securities lending agreement.

Current accounting rules governing fair value measurement establish a framework for measuring fair value, which provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the plans have the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;

Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and

Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

There have been no changes in the methodologies used during 2015 and 2014. In 2014, a majority of our investments were transferred into a common and collective trust. Investments in common and collective trust funds, hedge funds and liquidating trusts that maintain investments in mortgage-backed securities are generally valued based on their respective net asset value, or NAV, (or its equivalent), as a practical expedient to estimate fair value due to the absence of readily available market prices. Investments that may be fully redeemed at NAV in the near-term are disclosed in the table below as "Investments measured at net asset value" in accordance with ASU 2015-07.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while management believes the valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following tables set forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the investments at fair value for our company-sponsored pension benefit plans:

December 31, 2015			
(In thousands)	Level 1	Investments measured at net asset value ¹	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,004	\$—	\$2,004
Common and collective trust:			
Collective investment funds	—	292,072	292,072
Total investments at fair value	\$2,004	\$292,072	\$294,076
December 31, 2014			
(In thousands)	Level 1	Investments measured at net asset value ¹	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,023	\$—	\$2,023
Common and collective trusts:			
Collective investment funds	—	319,032	319,032
Total investments at fair value	\$2,023	\$319,032	\$321,055

Due to the retrospective adoption of ASU 2015-07, pension investments measured at fair value using the net asset value per share method as a practical expedient were reclassified to conform with the 2015 presentation. See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

Our OPEB plan had approximately \$20,000 held in cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2015 and 2014, which were categorized as level 1.

We have formal investment policy guidelines for our company-sponsored plans. These guidelines were set by our Benefits Committee, which is comprised of members of our management and has been assigned its fiduciary authority over management of the plan assets by our Board of Directors. The Committee's duties include periodically reviewing and modifying those investment policy guidelines as necessary and insuring that the policy is adhered to and the investment objectives are met.

The investment policy includes guidelines for specific categories of equity and fixed income securities. Assets are managed by professional investment managers who are expected to achieve a reasonable rate of return over a market cycle. Long-term performance is a fundamental tenet of the policy.

The general policy states that plan assets would be invested to seek the greatest return consistent with the fiduciary character of the pension funds and to allow the plans to meet the need for timely pension benefit payments. The specific investment guidelines stipulate that management is to maintain adequate liquidity for meeting expected benefit payments by reviewing, on a timely basis, contribution and benefit payment levels and appropriately revising long-term and short-term asset allocations. Management takes reasonable and prudent steps to preserve the value of pension fund assets, avoid the risk of large losses and also attempt to preserve the funded status of the plans. Major steps taken to provide this protection included:

Assets are diversified among various asset classes, such as domestic equities, international equities, fixed income and cash. The long-term asset allocation ranges are as follows:

Domestic equities	14%-22%
International equities, including emerging markets	13%-22%
Corporate bonds	50%-70%
Liquid reserves	0%-5%

Periodically, reviews of allocations within these ranges are made to determine what adjustments should be made based on changing economic and market conditions and specific liquidity requirements.

Assets were managed by professional investment managers and could be invested in separately managed accounts or commingled funds.

Assets were not invested in securities rated below BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody's.

The investment guidelines also required that the individual investment managers were expected to achieve a reasonable rate of return over a market cycle. Emphasis was placed on long-term performance versus short-term market aberrations. Factors considered in determining reasonable rates of return included performance achieved by a diverse cross section of other investment managers, performance of commonly used benchmarks (e.g., Russell 3000 Index, MSCI World ex-U.S. Index, Barclays Capital Long Credit Index), actuarial assumptions for return on plan investments and specific performance guidelines given to individual investment managers.

As of December 31, 2015, ten active investment managers managed substantially all of the pension funds, each of whom had responsibility for managing a specific portion of these assets. Plan assets were diversified among the various asset classes within the allocation ranges approved by the Benefits Committee.

In 2015, we contributed \$3.2 million to our qualified pension plans. We do not anticipate making any cash contributions to those plans in 2016. We also contributed \$0.4 million to our non-qualified pension plan in 2015. We do not anticipate funding our OPEB plans in 2016 except to pay benefit costs as incurred during the year by plan participants.

Estimated future benefit payments are as follows for the years indicated:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefit Plans	Other Postretirement Employee Benefit Plans
2016	\$ 19,428	\$7,165
2017	19,789	7,038
2018	20,080	7,013
2019	20,601	6,614
2020	20,746	6,271
2021-2025	105,569	22,711

Multiemployer Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Hourly employees at two of our manufacturing facilities participate in multiemployer defined benefit pension plans: the PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund, or PIUMPF, which is managed by United Steelworkers, or USW, Benefits; and the International Association of Machinist & Aerospace Workers National Pension Fund, or IAM NPF. We make contributions to these plans, as well as make contributions to a trust fund established to provide retiree medical benefits for a portion of these employees, which is also managed by USW Benefits. The risks of participating in these multiemployer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following respects:

Assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.

If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers. In 2013, two large employers withdrew from PIUMPF and subsequent to December 31, 2015, we learned that the largest employer in PIUMPF has also withdrawn. Further withdrawals by contributing employers could cause a "mass withdrawal" from, or effectively a termination of, PIUMPF or alternatively we could elect to withdraw.

Under applicable federal law, any employer contributing to a multiemployer pension plan that completely ceases participating in the plan while it is underfunded is subject to an assessment of such employer's allocable share of the aggregate unfunded vested benefits of the plan. In certain circumstances, an employer can also be assessed a withdrawal liability for a partial withdrawal from a multiemployer pension plan. Based on information as of December 31, 2014 provided by PIUMPF and reviewed by our actuarial consultant, we estimate the aggregate pre-tax liability that we would have incurred if we had completely withdrawn from PIUMPF in 2015 would have been in excess of \$72 million. However, the exact amount of potential exposure could be higher or lower than the estimate,

depending on, among other things, the nature and timing of any triggering events and the funded status of PIUMPF at that time. A withdrawal liability is recorded for accounting purposes when withdrawal is probable and the amount of the withdrawal obligation is reasonably estimable.

Our participation in these plans for the annual period ended December 31, 2015, is outlined in the table below. The "EIN" and "Plan Number" columns provide the Employee Identification Number, or EIN, and the three-digit plan number. The most recent Pension Protection Act, or PPA, zone status available in 2015 and 2014 is for a plan's year-end as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The zone status is set under the provisions of the Multiemployer Pension Plan Reform Act of 2014 and is based on information we received from the plans and is certified by each plan's actuary. Among other factors, plans in the red zone are generally less than 65 percent funded, plans in the yellow zone are less than 80 percent but more than 65 percent funded, and plans in the green zone are at least 80 percent funded. The "FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented" column indicates plans for which a Funding Improvement Plan, or FIP, or a Rehabilitation Plan, or RP, is either pending or has been implemented as required by the PPA as a measure to correct its underfunded status. The last column lists the expiration date(s) of the collective-bargaining agreement(s) to which the plans are subject.

In 2013, the contribution rates for the IAM NFP plan increased to \$4.00 an hour, up from \$3.25 an hour in 2012. In 2011, contribution rates for PIUMPF were increased as part of the RP in lieu of the legally required surcharge, paid by the employers, to assist the fund's financial status. We were listed in PIUMPF's Form 5500 report as providing more than five percent of the total contributions for the years 2014 and 2013. At the date of issuance of our consolidated financial statements, Form 5500 reports for these plans were not available for the 2015 plan year.

Pension Fund	EIN	Plan Number	PPA Zone Status		FIP/RP Status Pending/Implemented	Contributions (in thousands)			Surcharge Imposed	Expiration Date of Collective Bargaining Agreement
			2015 ¹	2014		2015	2014	2013		
IAM NFP	51-6031295	002	Green	Green	N/A	\$329	\$343	\$343	No	5/31/2016
PIUMPF	11-6166763	001	Red	Red	Implemented	5,631	5,665	5,718	No	8/31/2017
Total Contributions:						\$5,960	\$6,008	\$6,061		

¹ PIUMPF has been certified as in "Critical and Declining Status" for 2015, under the provisions of the Multiemployer Pension Plan Reform Act of 2014.

NOTE 14 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share are based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share are based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus all potentially dilutive securities that were assumed to be converted into common shares at the beginning of the period under the treasury stock method. This method requires that the effect of potentially dilutive common stock equivalents be excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the periods in which net losses are reported because the effect is anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2014, our incremental shares related to restricted stock units, performance shares, and stock options excluded 566,041 shares from our earnings per share calculation due to their anti-dilutive effect as a result of our net loss during the period.

The following table reconciles the number of common shares used in calculating the basic and diluted net earnings per share:

	2015	2014	2013
Basic average common shares outstanding ¹	18,762,451	20,129,557	22,081,026
Incremental shares due to:			
Restricted stock units	33,128	—	53,803
Performance shares	24,717	—	129,003
Stock options	—	—	—
Diluted average common shares outstanding	18,820,296	20,129,557	22,263,832
Basic net earnings (loss) per common share	\$2.98	\$(0.11)	\$4.84
Diluted net earnings (loss) per common share	2.97	(0.11)	4.80
Anti-dilutive shares excluded from calculation	331,168	566,041	41,337

¹ Basic average common shares outstanding include restricted stock awards that are fully vested, but are deferred for future issuance. See Note 15, "Equity-Based Compensation Plans" for further discussion.

NOTE 15 Equity-Based Compensation Plans

The Clearwater Paper Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, or Stock Plan, which has been approved by our stockholders, provides for equity-based awards in the form of restricted shares, restricted stock units, or RSUs, performance shares, stock options, or stock appreciation rights to selected employees, outside directors, and consultants of the company. The Stock Plan became effective on December 16, 2008, and was amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2015. Under the Stock Plan, as amended and restated, we are authorized to issue up to approximately 4.1 million shares, which includes approximately 0.7 million additional shares authorized in connection with our acquisition of Cellu Tissue that are available for issuance as equity-based awards only to any employees, outside directors, or consultants who were not employed on December 26, 2010 by Clearwater Paper Corporation or any of its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2015, approximately 2.1 million shares were available for future issuance under the Stock Plan.

We recognize equity-based compensation expense for all equity-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including RSUs, performance shares and stock options, based on estimated fair values and net of estimates of future forfeitures. The expense is classified in "Selling, general and administrative expense" in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of each award. Based on the terms of the Stock Plan, retirement-eligible employees become fully vested in outstanding awards on the later of that date they reach retirement eligibility or at the end of the first calendar year of each respective grant. We account for this feature when determining the service period over which to recognize expense for each grant of RSUs, performance shares, and stock options.

Employee equity-based compensation expense was recognized as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Restricted stock units	\$2,116	\$1,966	\$1,801
Performance shares	4,408	4,964	5,075
Stock options	2,106	1,254	—
Total employee equity-based compensation	\$8,630	\$8,184	\$6,876
Related tax benefit	\$3,193	\$2,955	\$2,049

RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS

RSUs granted under our Stock Plan are generally subject to a vesting period of one to three years. RSU awards will accrue dividend equivalents based on dividends paid, if any, during the RSU vesting period. The dividend equivalents will be converted into additional RSUs that will vest in the same manner as the underlying RSUs to which they relate. RSUs granted under our Stock Plan do not represent common stock, and therefore the holders do not have voting rights unless and until shares are issued upon settlement.

A summary of the status of outstanding unvested RSU awards as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and changes during those years, is presented below:

	2015		2014		2013	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested shares outstanding at January 1	93,254	\$47.95	102,658	\$39.85	63,727	\$35.57
Granted	23,148	62.02	31,567	66.33	72,702	43.44
Vested	(65,217)	43.86	(32,117)	38.94	(30,190)	39.21
Forfeited	(5,156)	58.58	(8,854)	52.28	(3,581)	42.03
Unvested shares outstanding at December 31	46,029	60.17	93,254	47.95	102,658	39.85
Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands)		\$2,096		\$6,393		\$5,390

During 2015, 61,592 RSU shares were settled and distributed in the fourth quarter. After adjusting for minimum tax withholdings, a net 39,120 shares were issued during 2015. The minimum tax withholdings payment made in 2015 in connection with issued shares was \$1.1 million.

During 2014, 75,400 RSU shares were settled and distributed. Of these shares, 27,933 were RSU shares that were settled and distributed in the fourth quarter of 2014. The remaining 47,467 shares were RSU shares that were settled in prior years but distribution had been deferred to preserve tax deductibility for the company in the respective years because distribution of these shares would have resulted in certain executive compensation being above the Internal Revenue Code section 162(m) threshold for those years. After adjusting for minimum tax withholdings and deferred shares, a net 48,476 shares were issued during 2014. The minimum tax withholdings payment made in 2014 in connection with issued shares was \$1.5 million.

As of December 31, 2015 a total of 37,135 shares remain deferred under Internal Revenue Code section 162(m).

The fair value of each RSU share award granted during 2015 was estimated on the date of grant using the grant date market price of our common stock. The total fair value of share awards that vested during 2015 was \$2.9 million.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$1.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding RSU awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.4 years.

PERFORMANCE SHARES

Performance share awards granted under our Stock Plan have a three-year performance period, with generally the same service period, and shares are issued after the end of the period if the employee provides the requisite service and the performance measure is met. The performance measure is a comparison of the percentile ranking of our total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return performance of a selected peer group or index. The performance measure is considered to represent a “market condition” under authoritative accounting guidance, and thus, the market condition is considered when determining the estimate of the fair value of the performance share awards. The number of shares actually issued, as a percentage of the amount subject to the performance share award, could range from 0%-200%.

Performance share awards granted under our Stock Plan do not represent common stock, and therefore the holders do not have voting rights unless and until shares are issued upon settlement. During the performance period, dividend equivalents accrue based on dividends paid, if any, and are converted into additional performance shares, which vest or are forfeited in the same manner as the underlying performance shares to which they relate. Generally, if an employee terminates prior to completing the requisite service period, all or a portion of their awards are forfeited and the previously recognized compensation cost is reversed. If an employee provides the requisite service through the end of the performance period, but the performance measure is not met, following authoritative guidance for awards with a market condition, previously recognized compensation cost is not reversed.

The fair value of performance share awards is estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. For performance shares granted in 2015, the following assumptions were used in our Monte Carlo model:

Closing price of stock on date of grant	\$61.75	
Risk free rate	0.99	%
Measurement period	3 years	
Volatility	28	%

In addition to the above assumptions, the dividend yields for all companies were assumed to be zero since dividends are included in the definition of total shareholder return.

A summary of the status of outstanding performance share awards as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and changes during those years, is presented below:

	2015		2014		2013	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding share awards at January 1	300,864	\$59.77	259,841	\$50.87	392,655	\$44.67
Granted	47,513	62.05	54,379	105.08	124,513	63.46
Settled	(245,525)	50.43	—	—	(246,592)	47.19
Forfeited	(10,289)	73.61	(13,356)	71.03	(10,735)	54.87
Outstanding share awards at December 31	92,563	84.18	300,864	59.77	259,841	50.87
Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands)		\$4,214		\$20,624		\$13,642

On December 31, 2015, the three-year performance period for 107,750 performance shares granted in 2013 ended. The requisite market condition performance measure was not met, and as such no shares were paid or issued under these awards. On December 31, 2014, the performance period for 137,775 outstanding performance shares granted in 2012 ended. Those performance shares were settled and distributed in the first quarter of 2015. The number of shares actually settled, as a percentage of the outstanding amount, was 106.9%. After adjusting for the related minimum tax withholdings, a net 97,921 shares were issued in the first quarter of 2015. The related minimum tax withholdings payment made during 2015 in connection with issued shares was \$3.1 million.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$3.1 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding performance share awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.3 years.

STOCK OPTIONS

Beginning in 2014, stock options were granted to certain employees under our Stock Plan. The stock options are generally subject to a vesting period of one to three years, with generally the same service period. Upon vesting, the holder is entitled to purchase a specified number of shares of Clearwater Paper common stock at a price per share equal to the closing market price of Clearwater Paper common stock on the date of grant. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant.

Stock options granted under our Stock Plan do not represent common stock, and therefore the holders do not have voting rights unless and until shares have been issued to the employee.

The fair value of stock option awards was determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes model utilizes a range of assumptions related to dividend yield, volatility, risk-free interest rate and employee exercise behavior. Expected volatility is based on Clearwater Paper's historical stock prices. The risk-free interest rate is based on constant maturity treasury rates with maturities matching the options' expected life on the grant date. The expected life, estimated in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin 110, is the approximate mid-point between the expected vesting time and the remaining contractual life. The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted in 2015 on the grant date was estimated at \$20.82 per option based on the following assumptions:

Volatility	30	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.79	%
Expected life-years	6.4	

A summary of the status of outstanding stock option awards as of December 31, 2015, and changes during the year, is presented below:

	2015	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	2014	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
	Shares		Shares	
Outstanding options at January 1	150,580	\$66.84	—	\$—
Granted	142,542	61.93	163,137	66.85
Forfeited	(15,429)	64.12	(12,557)	66.97
Outstanding options at December 31	277,693	64.47	150,580	66.84
Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands)		\$—		\$258

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$2.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.3 years.

DIRECTOR AWARDS

In connection with joining our Board of Directors, in January 2009 our outside directors at that time were granted an award of phantom common stock units, which were credited to an account established on behalf of each director and vested ratably over a three-year period with the final vesting in January 2012. Subsequent equity awards have been granted annually in May, or on a pro-rata basis as applicable, to our outside directors in the form of phantom common stock units as part of their annual compensation, which are credited to their accounts. These awards vest ratably over a one-year period. These accounts will be credited with additional phantom common stock units equal in value to dividends paid, if any, on the same amount of common stock. Upon separation from service as a director, the vested portion of the phantom common stock units held by the director in a stock unit account are converted to cash based upon the then market price of the common stock and paid to the director.

Due to its cash-settlement feature, we account for these awards as liabilities rather than equity and recognize the equity-based compensation expense or income at the end of each reporting period based on the portion of the award that is vested and the increase or decrease in the value of our common stock. We recorded director equity-based compensation benefit totaling \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, and director equity-based compensation expense totaling \$4.6 million and \$4.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At December 31, 2015, the liability amounts associated with director equity-based compensation were all included in "Other long-term obligations" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet and totaled \$9.4 million. At December 31, 2014, the liability amounts associated with director equity-based compensation included in "Other long-term obligations" and "Accounts payable and accrued liabilities" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet were \$13.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

NOTE 16 Fair Value Measurements

The estimated fair values of our financial instruments as of our balance sheet dates are presented below:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Cash, short-term investments and restricted cash (Level 1)	\$8,130	\$8,130	\$81,101	\$81,101
Long-term debt (Level 1)	575,000	558,250	575,000	558,000

Accounting guidance establishes a framework for measuring the fair value of financial instruments, providing a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, or "Level 1" measurements, followed by quoted prices of similar assets or observable market data, or "Level 2" measurements, and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs, or "Level 3" measurements.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used should seek to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Cash, short-term investments, restricted cash and long-term debt are the only items measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The carrying amount of our short-term investments approximates fair value due to their very short maturity periods, and such investments are at or near market yields.

We do not have any financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Nonfinancial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include items such as long-lived assets held and used that are measured at fair value resulting from impairment, if deemed necessary.

NOTE 17 Commitments and Contingencies

LEASE COMMITMENTS

Our operating leases cover manufacturing, office, warehouse and distribution space, equipment and vehicles, which expire at various dates through 2028. Additionally, we have capital leases related to our North Carolina converting and manufacturing facilities. As leases expire, it can be expected that, in the normal course of business, certain leases will be renewed or replaced.

As of December 31, 2015, under current operating and capital lease contracts, we had future minimum lease payments as follows:

(In thousands)	Capital	Operating
2016	\$2,576	\$12,990
2017	2,623	8,490
2018	2,670	6,697
2019	2,697	3,474
2020	2,661	2,195
Thereafter	29,532	6,100
Total future minimum lease payments	\$42,759	\$39,946
Less interest portion	(19,258)
Present value of future minimum lease payments	\$23,501	

Rent expense for operating leases was \$14.8 million, \$18.6 million and \$19.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 18 Segment Information

We are organized in two reportable operating segments: Consumer Products and Pulp and Paperboard. The following is a tabular presentation of business segment information for each of the past three years. Corporate information is included to reconcile segment data to the financial statements.

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Segment net sales ¹ :			
Consumer Products	\$959,894	\$1,183,385	\$1,149,692
Pulp and Paperboard	792,507	783,754	740,138
Total segment net sales	\$1,752,401	\$1,967,139	\$1,889,830
Operating income:			
Consumer Products	\$54,437	\$34,131	\$52,799
Gain (loss) on divested assets ²	1,267	(40,159)) —
Pulp and Paperboard	120,861	144,171	95,781
	176,565	138,143	148,580
Corporate	(52,895)) (58,332)) (49,252)
Income from operations	\$123,670	\$79,811	\$99,328
Depreciation and amortization:			
Consumer Products	\$54,595	\$61,504	\$65,197
Pulp and Paperboard	27,204	25,452	23,266
Corporate	2,933	3,189	1,809
Total depreciation and amortization	\$84,732	\$90,145	\$90,272
Assets:			
Consumer Products	\$1,046,170	\$1,037,912	\$1,215,919
Pulp and Paperboard	423,694	413,143	359,735
	1,469,864	1,451,055	1,575,654
Corporate ⁽³⁾	57,505	128,094	159,581
Total assets	\$1,527,369	\$1,579,149	\$1,735,235
Capital expenditures:			
Consumer Products	\$55,594	\$43,562	\$46,647
Pulp and Paperboard	67,929	45,146	30,846
	123,523	88,708	77,493
Corporate	10,581	10,892	9,015
Total capital expenditures	\$134,104	\$99,600	\$86,508

¹ In 2013, pulp not utilized internally was sold by the Pulp and Paperboard segment to external customers resulting in net sales of \$5.8 million. Commencing in 2014, the majority of excess pulp is sold by the Consumer Products segment and during 2015 and 2014 totaled \$1.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

² These costs relate to the sale of our Consumer Products segment's specialty business and mills. For additional discussion, see Note 4, "Divested Assets".

³ Certain 2014 and 2013 Corporate assets were reclassified to conform with the 2015 presentation. See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

Our manufacturing facilities and all other assets are located within the continental United States. We sell and ship our products to customers in many foreign countries. Geographic information regarding our net sales is summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	2015	2014	2013
United States	\$1,653,208	\$1,840,726	\$1,751,001
Japan	59,463	63,831	67,728
Korea	10,016	11,105	10,899
Canada	6,896	25,411	26,161
Australia	5,578	7,219	7,924
China	843	1,876	5,404
Other foreign countries	16,397	16,971	20,713
Total net sales	\$1,752,401	\$1,967,139	\$1,889,830

NOTE 19 Financial Results by Quarter (Unaudited)

(In thousands— except per-share amounts)	Three Months Ended							
	March 31		June 30		September 30		December 31	
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net sales	\$434,026	\$484,920	\$444,558	\$498,759	\$442,222	\$511,142	\$431,595	\$472,318
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales	(389,832)	(426,629)	(384,347)	(434,111)	(373,892)	(434,457)	(364,778)	(413,643)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(29,088)	(33,514)	(29,469)	(31,565)	(28,284)	(31,817)	(30,308)	(33,206)
Gain (loss) on divested assets	131	—	1,331	—	—	—	(195)	(40,159)
Impairment of assets	—	(4,259)	—	—	—	(890)	—	(3,078)
Total operating costs and expenses	(418,789)	(464,402)	(412,485)	(465,676)	(402,176)	(467,164)	(395,281)	(490,086)
Income (loss) from operations	15,237	20,518	32,073	33,083	40,046	43,978	36,314	(17,768)
Net earnings (loss)	\$5,757	\$6,226	\$15,597	\$12,453	\$23,064	\$6,253	\$11,565	\$(27,247)
Net earnings (loss) per common share								
Basic	\$0.30	\$0.30	\$0.82	\$0.61	\$1.22	\$0.32	\$0.65	\$(1.39)
Diluted	0.30	0.29	0.81	0.61	1.21	0.31	0.65	(1.39)

NOTE 20 Supplemental Guarantor Financial Information

All of our directly and indirectly owned, domestic subsidiaries guarantee the 2014 Notes and the 2013 Notes on a joint and several basis. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of the guarantor subsidiaries to make distributions to Clearwater Paper, the issuer of the 2014 Notes and 2013 Notes. The following tables present the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Clearwater Paper and its subsidiaries, the guarantor and non-guarantor entities, and the eliminations necessary to arrive at the information for Clearwater Paper on a consolidated basis.

Clearwater Paper Corporation

Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$1,683,890	\$291,270	\$—	\$(222,759)	\$1,752,401
Cost and expenses:					
Cost of sales	(1,458,121)	(277,487)	—	222,759	(1,512,849)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(108,414)	(8,735)	—	—	(117,149)
Gain on divested assets	—	1,267	—	—	1,267
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,566,535)	(284,955)	—	222,759	(1,628,731)
Income from operations	117,355	6,315	—	—	123,670
Interest expense, net	(31,067)	(115)	—	—	(31,182)
Earnings before income taxes	86,288	6,200	—	—	92,488
Income tax provision	(32,371)	(3,724)	—	(410)	(36,505)
Equity in earnings of subsidiary	2,476	—	—	(2,476)	—
Net earnings	\$56,393	\$2,476	\$—	\$(2,886)	\$55,983
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	15,315	—	—	—	15,315
Comprehensive income	\$71,708	\$2,476	\$—	\$(2,886)	\$71,298

Clearwater Paper Corporation

Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$1,573,912	\$531,520	\$43,929	\$(182,222)	\$1,967,139
Cost and expenses:					
Cost of sales	(1,321,143)	(526,192)	(43,727)	182,222	(1,708,840)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(107,141)	(22,747)	(214)	—	(130,102)
Loss on divested assets	—	(40,159)	—	—	(40,159)
Impairment of assets	—	(8,227)	—	—	(8,227)
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,428,284)	(597,325)	(43,941)	182,222	(1,887,328)
Income (loss) from operations	145,628	(65,805)	(12)	—	79,811
Interest expense, net	(39,091)	(59)	—	—	(39,150)
Debt retirement costs	(24,420)	—	—	—	(24,420)
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	82,117	(65,864)	(12)	—	16,241
Income tax (provision) benefit	(47,694)	7,439	(516)	22,215	(18,556)
Equity in loss of subsidiary	(58,953)	(528)	—	59,481	—
Net loss	\$(24,530)	\$(58,953)	\$(528)	\$81,696	\$(2,315)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(12,770)	—	—	—	(12,770)
Comprehensive loss	\$(37,300)	\$(58,953)	\$(528)	\$81,696	\$(15,085)

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation
 Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
 Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$1,474,103	\$565,783	\$ 54,978	\$(205,034)	\$1,889,830
Cost and expenses:					
Cost of sales	(1,269,107)	(553,006)	(54,292)	205,034	(1,671,371)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(94,861)	(22,918)	(1,352)	—	(119,131)
Total operating costs and expenses	(1,363,968)	(575,924)	(55,644)	205,034	(1,790,502)
Income (loss) from operations	110,135	(10,141)	(666)	—	99,328
Interest expense, net	(44,031)	(5)	—	—	(44,036)
Debt retirement costs	(17,058)	—	—	—	(17,058)
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	49,046	(10,146)	(666)	—	38,234
Income tax benefit (provision)	61,778	(4,420)	(138)	11,501	68,721
Equity in loss of subsidiary	(15,370)	(804)	—	16,174	—
Net earnings (loss)	\$95,454	\$(15,370)	\$(804)	\$27,675	\$106,955
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	57,600	—	—	—	57,600
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$153,054	\$(15,370)	\$(804)	\$27,675	\$164,555

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation
Consolidating Balance Sheet
At December 31, 2015

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash	\$5,610	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$5,610
Restricted cash	2,270	—	—	—	2,270
Short-term investments	250	—	—	—	250
Receivables, net	123,131	15,921	—	—	139,052
Taxes receivable	16,221	(1,370)	—	—	14,851
Inventories	219,130	36,443	—	—	255,573
Other current assets	8,838	493	—	—	9,331
Total current assets	375,450	51,487	—	—	426,937
Property, plant and equipment, net	719,436	147,102	—	—	866,538
Goodwill	209,087	—	—	—	209,087
Intangible assets, net	4,180	15,810	—	—	19,990
Intercompany receivable (payable)	14,013	(15,151)	—	1,138	—
Investment in subsidiary	139,758	—	—	(139,758)	—
Pension assets	596	—	—	—	596
Other assets, net ¹	4,142	79	—	—	4,221
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,466,662	\$199,327	\$—	\$(138,620)	\$1,527,369
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$196,891	\$23,477	\$—	\$—	\$220,368
Current liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	7,559	—	—	—	7,559
Total current liabilities	204,450	23,477	—	—	227,927
Long-term debt	568,987	—	—	—	568,987
Liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	89,057	—	—	—	89,057
Other long-term obligations	46,182	556	—	—	46,738
Accrued taxes	874	802	—	—	1,676
Deferred tax liabilities	82,246	34,734	—	1,138	118,118
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(55,548)	—	—	—	(55,548)
Stockholders' equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive loss	530,414	139,758	—	(139,758)	530,414
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,466,662	\$199,327	\$—	\$(138,620)	\$1,527,369

¹ Current deferred tax assets were classified as non-current in 2015 due to the prospective adoption of ASU 2015-17.
¹ See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation
Consolidating Balance Sheet
At December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash	\$27,331	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$27,331
Restricted cash	1,500	—	—	—	1,500
Short-term investments	50,000	—	—	—	50,000
Receivables, net	117,970	16,557	—	(613)	133,914
Taxes receivable	6,760	(15,758)	—	10,253	1,255
Inventories	246,210	40,416	—	—	286,626
Deferred tax assets	14,733	5,206	—	1,821	21,760
Other current assets ¹	2,967	457	—	—	3,424
Total current assets	467,471	46,878	—	11,461	525,810
Property, plant and equipment, net	657,369	153,618	—	—	810,987
Goodwill	209,087	—	—	—	209,087
Intangible assets, net	5,224	19,732	—	—	24,956
Intercompany receivable (payable)	33,703	(21,629)	—	(12,074)	—
Investment in subsidiary	137,282	—	—	(137,282)	—
Pension assets	4,738	—	—	—	4,738
Other assets, net ¹	2,484	1,087	—	—	3,571
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,517,358	\$199,686	\$—	\$(137,895)	\$1,579,149
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$193,326	\$23,113	\$—	\$(613)	\$215,826
Current liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	7,915	—	—	—	7,915
Total current liabilities	201,241	23,113	—	(613)	223,741
Long-term debt ¹	568,221	—	—	—	568,221
Liability for pensions and other postretirement employee benefits	118,464	—	—	—	118,464
Other long-term obligations	56,029	827	—	—	56,856
Accrued taxes	1,902	794	—	—	2,696
Deferred tax liabilities	73,964	37,670	—	—	111,634
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(70,863)	—	—	—	(70,863)
Stockholders' equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive loss	568,400	137,282	—	(137,282)	568,400
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,517,358	\$199,686	\$—	\$(137,895)	\$1,579,149

¹ Due to the retrospective adoption of ASU 2015-03, debt issuance costs in 2014 were reclassified to conform with the 2015 presentation. See Note 3, "Recently Adopted and Prospective Accounting Standards."

Clearwater Paper Corporation
Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net earnings	\$56,393	\$2,476	\$—	\$(2,886)) \$55,983
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	65,078	19,654	—	—	84,732
Equity-based compensation expense	4,557	—	—	—	4,557
Deferred tax provision	9,944	3,178	—	2,959	16,081
Employee benefit plans	3,011	—	—	—	3,011
Deferred issuance costs and discounts on long-term debt	928	—	—	—	928
Disposal of plant and equipment, net	1,587	(95)) —	—	1,492
Non-cash adjustments to unrecognized taxes	(1,028)) 8	—	—	(1,020)
Changes in working capital, net	11,809	3,032	—	—	14,841
Change in taxes receivable, net	(9,461)) (14,388)) —	10,253	(13,596)
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	(1,433)) —	—	—	(1,433)
Funding of qualified pension plans	(3,179)) —	—	—	(3,179)
Other, net	(1,591)) (1,131)) —	—	(2,722)
Net cash flows from operating activities	136,615	12,734	—	10,326	159,675
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Change in short-term investments, net	49,750	—	—	—	49,750
Additions to plant and equipment	(121,720)) (7,182)) —	—	(128,902)
Proceeds from the sale of assets	—	604	—	—	604
Net cash flows from investing activities	(71,970)) (6,578)) —	—	(78,548)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Purchase of treasury stock	(99,990)) —	—	—	(99,990)
Investment from (to) parent	16,482	(6,156)) —	(10,326)) —
Payment of tax withholdings on equity- based payment arrangements	(4,152)) —	—	—	(4,152)
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	1,433	—	—	—	1,433
Other, net	(139)) —	—	—	(139)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(86,366)) (6,156)) —	(10,326)) (102,848)
Decrease in cash	(21,721)) —	—	—	(21,721)
Cash at beginning of period	27,331	—	—	—	27,331
Cash at end of period	\$5,610	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$5,610

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation
 Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
 Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net loss	\$ (24,530)	\$ (58,953)	\$ (528)	\$ 81,696	\$ (2,315)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	59,373	28,468	2,304	—	90,145
Equity-based compensation expense	12,790	—	—	—	12,790
Impairment of assets	—	8,227	—	—	8,227
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	50,943	(21,921)	(2,538)	(12,671)	13,813
Employee benefit plans	2,115	—	—	—	2,115
Deferred issuance costs and discounts on long-term debt	6,141	—	—	—	6,141
Loss on divestiture of assets	—	29,059	—	—	29,059
Disposal of plant and equipment, net	471	488	—	—	959
Non-cash adjustments to unrecognized taxes	472	173	(317)	—	328
Changes in working capital, net	(8,162)	(4,711)	625	—	(12,248)
Change in taxes receivable, net	(3,051)	79	121	12,099	9,248
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	(864)	—	—	—	(864)
Funding of qualified pension plans	(16,955)	—	—	—	(16,955)
Other, net	(636)	(707)	—	—	(1,343)
Net cash flows from operating activities	78,107	(19,798)	(333)	81,124	139,100
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Change in short-term investments, net	20,000	—	—	—	20,000
Additions to plant and equipment	(73,223)	(19,450)	(355)	—	(93,028)
Net proceeds from divested assets	107,740	—	—	—	107,740
Proceeds from the sale of assets	38	937	—	—	975
Net cash flows from investing activities	54,555	(18,513)	(355)	—	35,687
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from long-term debt	300,000	—	—	—	300,000
Repayment of long-term debt	(375,000)	—	—	—	(375,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(100,000)	—	—	—	(100,000)
Investment from (to) parent	47,527	38,311	(4,714)	(81,124)	—
Payments for long-term debt issuance costs	(3,002)	—	—	—	(3,002)
Payment of tax withholdings on equity-based payment arrangements	(1,523)	—	—	—	(1,523)
Excess tax benefits from equity-based payment arrangements	864	—	—	—	864
Other, net	7,530	—	—	—	7,530
Net cash flows from financing activities	(123,604)	38,311	(4,714)	(81,124)	(171,131)
Increase (decrease) in cash	9,058	—	(5,402)	—	3,656

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Cash at beginning of period	18,273	—	5,402	—	23,675
Cash at end of period	\$27,331	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$27,331

79

Clearwater Paper Corporation
 Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
 Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

(In thousands)	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net earnings (loss)	\$95,454	\$(15,370)	\$ (804)	\$27,675	\$106,955
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	54,291	33,712	2,269	—	90,272
Equity-based compensation expense	10,960	—	—	—	10,960
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	3,185	(9,072)	(125)	11,641	5,629
Employee benefit plans	10,131	—	—	—	10,131
Deferred issuance costs and discounts on long-term debt	4,964	—	—	—	4,964
Disposal of plant and equipment, net	201	1,291	1	—	1,493
Non-cash adjustments to unrecognized taxes	(73,885)	(860)	6	—	(74,739)
Changes in working capital, net	(31,256)	11,747	4,487	—	(15,022)
Change in taxes receivable, net	17,003	15,998	(324)	(22,352)	10,325
Funding of qualified pension plans	(15,050)	—	—	—	(15,050)
Other, net	(452)	891	—	—	439
Net cash flows from operating activities	75,546	38,337	5,510	16,964	136,357
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Change in short-term investments, net	(50,000)	—	—	—	(50,000)
Additions to plant and equipment	(65,708)	(22,562)	(2,323)	—	(90,593)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(115,708)	(22,562)	(2,323)	—	(140,593)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from long-term debt	275,000	—	—	—	275,000
Repayment of long-term debt	(150,000)	—	—	—	(150,000)
Purchase of treasury stock	(100,000)	—	—	—	(100,000)
Investment from (to) parent	31,998	(15,780)	746	(16,964)	—
Payments for long-term debt issuance costs	(4,837)	—	—	—	(4,837)
Payment of tax withholdings on equity-based payment arrangements	(4,831)	—	—	—	(4,831)
Net cash flows from financing activities	47,330	(15,780)	746	(16,964)	15,332
Increase (decrease) in cash	7,168	(5)	3,933	—	11,096
Cash at beginning of period	11,105	5	1,469	—	12,579
Cash at end of period	\$18,273	\$—	\$5,402	\$—	\$23,675

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Clearwater Paper Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Clearwater Paper Corporation (the Company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), cash flows, and stockholders' equity for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2015. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Clearwater Paper Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Clearwater Paper Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 19, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Seattle, Washington

February 19, 2016

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Clearwater Paper Corporation:

We have audited Clearwater Paper Corporation's (the Company's) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Clearwater Paper Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Clearwater Paper Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Clearwater Paper Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), cash flows, and stockholders' equity for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2015, and our report dated February 19, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Seattle, Washington

February 19, 2016

ITEM 9.

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A.

Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Controls and Procedures

We maintain "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of disclosure controls and procedures is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Subject to the limitations noted above, our management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the fiscal year covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to meet the objective for which they were designed and operate at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Controls

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act).

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our CEO and our CFO, our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 Framework). Based on our evaluation under the 2013 Framework, our management has concluded that as of December 31, 2015 our internal control over financial reporting was effective. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9B.

Other Information

None.

Part III

ITEM 10.

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information regarding our directors is set forth under the heading “Board of Directors” in our definitive proxy statement, to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, referred to in this report as the 2016 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Information concerning Executive Officers is included in Part I of this report in Item 1. Information regarding reporting compliance with Section 16(a) for directors, officers or other parties is set forth under the heading “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the 2016 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all directors and employees and a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to our CEO, CFO, the President, the Controller and other Senior Financial Officers identified by our Board of Directors. You can find each code on our website by going to the following address: www.clearwaterpaper.com, selecting “Investor Relations” and “Corporate Governance,” then selecting the link for “Code of Business Conduct and Ethics” or “Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers.” We will post any amendments, as well as any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the SEC or the New York Stock Exchange, on our website. To date, no waivers of the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers have been considered or granted.

Our Board of Directors has adopted corporate governance guidelines and charters for the Board of Directors’ Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Governance Committee. You can find these documents on our website by going to the following address: www.clearwaterpaper.com, selecting “Investor Relations” and “Corporate Governance,” then selecting the appropriate link.

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors is an “audit committee” for purposes of Section 3(a)(58) of the Exchange Act. As of December 31, 2015, the members of that committee were Boh A. Dickey (Chair), Beth E. Ford, and William D. Larsson. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Dickey and Larsson are each an “audit committee financial expert” and that all of the members of the Audit Committee are “independent” as defined under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

ITEM 11.

Executive Compensation

Information required by Item 11 of Part III is included under the heading “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis” in our 2016 Proxy Statement, to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, relating to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required by Item 12 of Part III is included in our 2016 Proxy Statement, to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, relating to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table provides certain information as of December 31, 2015, with respect to our equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number Of Securities To Be Issued Upon Exercise Of Outstanding Options, Warrants And Rights ¹	Weighted Average Exercise Price Of Outstanding Options, Warrants And Rights ²	Number of Securities Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	570,951	—	2,068,095
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	570,951	—	2,068,095

¹ Includes 185,126 performance shares, 277,693 stock options, and 108,132 restricted stock units, or RSUs, which are the maximum number of shares that could be awarded under the performance share, stock option, and RSU programs, not including future dividend equivalents, if any are paid.

² Performance shares and RSUs do not have exercise prices, and since there are no stock options that are vested, they do not have exercise prices. As such there are no shares to include in the weighted average exercise price calculation.

ITEM 13.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required by Item 13 of Part III is included under the heading “Transactions with Related Persons” in our 2016 Proxy Statement, to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, relating to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14.

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information required by Item 14 of Part III is included under the heading “Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in our 2016 Proxy Statement, to be filed on or about March 22, 2016, relating to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15.

Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our consolidated financial statements are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page 40 of this report.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

All schedules have been omitted because the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.

EXHIBITS

Exhibits are listed in the Exhibit Index on pages 88-93 of this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CLEARWATER PAPER CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By /S/ Linda K. Massman
Linda K. Massman
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 19, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

		Date
By /S/ Linda K. Massman Linda K. Massman	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 19, 2016
By /S/ John D. Hertz John D. Hertz	Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Duly Authorized Officer; Principal Financial Officer)	February 19, 2016
By /S/ Robert N. Dammarell Robert N. Dammarell	Vice President, Corporate Controller (Duly Authorized Officer; Principal Accounting Officer)	February 19, 2016
* Boh A. Dickey	Director and Chair of the Board	February 19, 2016
* Frederic W. Corrigan	Director	February 19, 2016
* Beth E. Ford	Director	February 19, 2016
* Kevin J. Hunt	Director	February 19, 2016
* William D. Larsson	Director	February 19, 2016
* Michael T. Riordan	Director	February 19, 2016

*By /S/ Michael S. Gadd
Michael S. Gadd
(Attorney-in-fact)

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Exhibit Index

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
2.1*	Separation and Distribution Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Clearwater Paper Corporation (the "Company") and Potlatch Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on December 18, 2008).
3.1*	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, effective as of December 16, 2008, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 18, 2008).
3.2*	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective as of December 16, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 18, 2008).
4.1*	Indenture, dated as of January 23, 2013, by and among Clearwater Paper Corporation (the "Registrant"), the Guarantors (as defined therein) and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 24, 2013).
4.2*	Form of 4.500% Senior Notes due 2023 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 24, 2013).
4.3*	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 23, 2013, by and among the Registrant, the Guarantors (as defined therein), Goldman Sachs & Co. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as the initial purchasers, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 24, 2013).
4.4*	Indenture, dated as of July 29, 2014, by and among Clearwater Paper Corporation (the "Registrant"), the Guarantors (as defined therein) and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 29, 2014).
4.5*	Form of 5.375% Senior Notes due 2025 (incorporated by reference as Exhibit A to the Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 29, 2014).
10.1*	Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of November 26, 2008, by and among the Company and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 3, 2008).
10.1(i)*	First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2010, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on September 21, 2010).

10.1(ii)* Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of October 22, 2010, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 27, 2010).

10.1(iii)* Third Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2011, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(iii) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 11, 2011).

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.1(iv)* Fourth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of March 2, 2011, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(iv) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 11, 2011).
- 10.1(v)* Fifth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2011, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2011).
- 10.1(vi)* Sixth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 28, 2011, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on September 30, 2011).
- 10.1(vii)* Seventh Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2012, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(vii) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 25, 2013).
- 10.1(viii)* Eighth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of January 17, 2013, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 24, 2013).
- 10.1(ix)* Ninth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of July 24, 2014, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 29, 2014).
- 10.1(x)* Tenth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2014, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(x) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.1(xi)* Eleventh Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 28, 2015, by and among the financial institutions signatory thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2015).
- 10.2*¹ Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between the Company and each of its directors and executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Amendment No. 4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 10 filed with the Commission on November 19, 2008).
- 10.3*¹ Employment Agreement between Linda K. Massman and the Company, dated effective January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 25, 2013).
- 10.3(i)*¹

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan-Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2013, with Linda K. Massman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7(i) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 25, 2013).

10.3(ii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan - Amendment to Restricted Stock Unit Agreement dated as of January 1, 2015 with Linda K. Massman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2015).

10.4¹ Employment Agreement between Linda K. Massman and the Company, dated effective January 1, 2016.

89

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.5*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 8, 2015).
- 10.6*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Performance Share Agreement, to be used for annual performance share awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission December 14, 2011).
- 10.6(i)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Performance Share Agreement as amended and restated February 11, 2014, to be used for annual performance share awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2013, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission February 18, 2014).
- 10.6(ii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Amendment of Performance Share Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5(ii) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.6(iii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Performance Share Agreement to be used for annual performance share awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5(iii) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.6(iv)¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Performance Share Agreement to be used for annual performance share awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2015.
- 10.7*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 19, 2008).
- 10.7(i)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, as amended and restated May 12, 2009, to be used for restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to May 12, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12(i) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.7(ii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, as amended and restated December 1, 2009, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2009, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12(ii) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 4, 2009).
- 10.7(iii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of RSU Deferral Agreement for Founders Grant RSUs (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 14, 2011).
- 10.7(iv)*¹

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 14, 2011).

10.7(v)*1

Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan-Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for special restricted stock unit awards (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10(vii) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2012).

90

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.7(vi)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan-Form of RSU Deferral Agreement for Annual LTIP RSUs (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10(viii) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2012).
- 10.7(vii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan-Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 18, 2014).
- 10.7(viii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for special restricted stock unit awards (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2014).
- 10.7(ix)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Amendment of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(ix) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.7(x)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(x) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.7(xi)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for special restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(xi) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.7(xii)¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, to be used for restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2015.
- 10.8*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 18, 2014).
- 10.8(i)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Amendment of Stock Option Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7(i) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.8(ii)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7(ii) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2015).
- 10.8(iii)¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Amended and Restated 2008 Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement, to be used for annual restricted stock unit awards approved subsequent to December 31, 2015.

- 10.9*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 9, 2014).
- 10.10*¹ Amended and Restated Clearwater Paper Corporation Management Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15(i) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended March 31, 2010).

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

- 10.10(i)*¹ Amendment to Clearwater Paper Corporation Management Deferred Compensation Plan, dated December 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11(i) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 20, 2014).
- 10.11*¹ Clearwater Paper Executive Severance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 20, 2014).
- 10.12*¹ Amended and Restated Clearwater Paper Corporation Salaried Supplemental Benefit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission for the year ended December 31, 2011).
- 10.12(i)*¹ Amendment to Clearwater Paper Corporation Salaried Supplemental Benefit Plan, dated December 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13(i) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 20, 2014).
- 10.13*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Benefits Protection Trust Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- 10.13(i)*¹ Amendment to the Clearwater Paper Corporation Benefits Protection Agreement, dated August 8, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16(i) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended September 30, 2013).
- 10.14*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 19, 2008).
- 10.15*¹ Clearwater Paper Change of Control Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 20, 2014).
- 10.16*¹ Offer Letter, dated June 25, 2012, with John D. Hertz, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10(vi) to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2012).
- 10.16(i)*¹ Clearwater Paper Corporation 2008 Stock Incentive Plan-Restricted Stock Unit Award, dated July 3, 2012, with John D. Hertz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2012).
- 10.17*¹ Separation and General Release Agreement entered into by Clearwater Paper Corporation and Thomas A. Colgrove, dated July 17, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission for the quarter ended June 30, 2015).
- (12) Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- (21) Clearwater Paper Corporation Subsidiaries.
- (23) Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Edgar Filing: Clearwater Paper Corp - Form 10-K

- (24) Powers of Attorney.
- (31) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.
- (32) Furnished statements of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

101

Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, is formatted in XBRL interactive data files: (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013; (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Incorporated by reference.

1 Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

93