

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES INC

Form 10-Q

November 07, 2014

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10 – Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-34292

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Pennsylvania 23-2530374
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)
77 East King Street, P. O. Box 250, Shippensburg, Pennsylvania 17257
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant’s Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (717) 532-6114

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “accelerated filer,” “large accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.). Yes No

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant’s Common Stock as of November 3, 2014: 8,263,737.

Table of Contents

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.
INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated balance sheets – September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of income – Three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of comprehensive income – Three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders’ equity – Nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows – Nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>8</u>
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>41</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>61</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 3. <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>63</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	<u>66</u>

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 15,524	\$ 12,995
Interest bearing deposits with banks	20,213	24,565
Cash and cash equivalents	35,737	37,560
Restricted investments in bank stock	9,334	9,921
Securities available for sale	402,563	406,943
Loans held for sale	4,164	1,936
Loans	680,374	671,037
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(16,019)	(20,965)
Net loans	664,355	650,072
Premises and equipment, net	25,293	26,441
Cash surrender value of life insurance	26,432	25,850
Intangible assets	465	622
Accrued interest receivable	3,151	3,400
Other assets	11,898	15,067
Total assets	\$ 1,183,392	\$ 1,177,812
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 125,833	\$ 116,371
Interest bearing	863,401	884,019
Total deposits	989,234	1,000,390
Short-term borrowings	56,279	59,032
Long-term debt	14,986	16,077
Accrued interest and other liabilities	16,375	10,874
Total liabilities	1,076,874	1,086,373
Shareholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$1.25 par value per share; 500,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	0	0
Common stock, no par value - \$ 0.05205 stated value per share 50,000,000 shares authorized; 8,264,548 and 8,107,274 shares issued; 8,263,737 and 8,106,463 shares outstanding	430	422
Additional paid - in capital	123,280	123,105
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(17,227)	(27,255)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	55	(4,813)
Treasury stock - common, 811 shares, at cost	(20)	(20)
Total shareholders' equity	106,518	91,439
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,183,392	\$ 1,177,812

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Interest and dividend income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$7,351	\$7,739	\$22,084	\$23,803
Interest and dividends on investment securities				
Taxable	2,054	904	6,065	2,716
Tax-exempt	63	298	490	784
Short-term investments	9	41	24	152
Total interest and dividend income	9,477	8,982	28,663	27,455
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	924	1,073	2,840	3,436
Interest on short-term borrowings	33	19	96	33
Interest on long-term debt	73	124	264	412
Total interest expense	1,030	1,216	3,200	3,881
Net interest income	8,447	7,766	25,463	23,574
Provision for loan losses	(2,900) 0	(2,900) (1,400
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,347	7,766	28,363	24,974
Noninterest income				
Service charges on deposit accounts	1,375	1,448	4,056	4,307
Other service charges, commissions and fees	270	283	705	789
Trust department income	1,172	1,273	3,599	3,551
Brokerage income	575	426	1,592	1,502
Mortgage banking activities	613	727	1,634	2,584
Earnings on life insurance	238	241	710	721
Other income (loss)	40	44	364	(38
Investment securities gains	469	157	1,668	279
Total noninterest income	4,752	4,599	14,328	13,695
Noninterest expenses				
Salaries and employee benefits	5,902	5,584	17,593	16,717
Occupancy expense	535	486	1,696	1,521
Furniture and equipment	865	900	2,537	2,528
Data processing	460	106	1,209	369
Automated teller and interchange fees	254	282	660	811
Advertising and bank promotions	204	231	847	716
FDIC insurance	403	647	1,226	1,938
Professional services	653	463	1,829	1,801
Collection and problem loan	215	184	533	565
Real estate owned expenses	201	55	261	115
Taxes other than income	129	222	443	710
Intangible asset amortization	52	52	157	157
Other operating expenses	1,025	1,325	3,648	3,865
Total noninterest expenses	10,898	10,537	32,639	31,813
Income before income taxes	5,201	1,828	10,052	6,856
Income tax expense (benefit)	24	(281) 24	(221
Net income	\$5,177	\$2,109	\$10,028	\$7,077
Per share information:				

Edgar Filing: ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share	\$0.64	\$0.26	\$1.24	\$0.87
Diluted earnings per share	0.64	0.26	1.24	0.87
Dividends per share	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Net income	\$5,177	\$2,109	\$10,028	\$7,077
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale arising during the period	(1,426) (688) 9,155	(8,198
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income	(469) (157) (1,668) (279
Net unrealized gains (losses)	(1,895) (845) 7,487	(8,477
Tax effect	665	296	(2,619) 2,967
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax and reclassification adjustments	(1,230) (549) 4,868	(5,510
Total comprehensive income	\$3,947	\$1,560	\$14,896	\$1,567

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013					
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$421	\$122,724	\$(37,259)	\$ 1,828	\$(20)	\$87,694
Net income	0	0	7,077	0	0	7,077
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	0	0	0	(5,510)	0	(5,510)
Stock-based compensation plans:						
Issuance of stock (26,610 shares, including 1 treasury), including compensation expense of \$125	1	373	0	0	0	374
Issuance of stock through dividend reinvestment plan (235 shares)	0	3	0	0	0	3
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$422	\$123,100	\$(30,182)	\$(3,682)	\$(20)	\$89,638
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$422	\$123,105	\$(27,255)	\$(4,813)	\$(20)	\$91,439
Net income	0	0	10,028	0	0	10,028
Total other comprehensive income, net of taxes	0	0	0	4,868	0	4,868
Stock-based compensation plans:						
Issuance of stock (157,207 shares), including compensation expense of \$79	8	174	0	0	0	182
Issuance of stock through dividend reinvestment plan (67 shares)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$430	\$123,280	\$(17,227)	\$ 55	\$(20)	\$106,518

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ITS WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$10,028	\$7,077
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Amortization of premiums on securities available for sale	4,894	5,996
Depreciation and amortization	2,069	2,076
Provision for loan losses	(2,900)	(1,400)
Stock based compensation	79	125
Net change in loans held for sale	(2,228)	6,214
Net (gain) loss on disposal of other real estate owned	(269)	144
Writedown of other real estate owned	154	29
Net loss on disposal of premises and equipment	41	0
Investment securities gains	(1,668)	(279)
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	(710)	(721)
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	249	(118)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	5,474	(253)
Other, net	801	(2,579)
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,014	16,311
Cash flows from investing activities		
Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities	151,454	25,519
Maturities, repayments and calls of available for sale securities	32,201	68,080
Purchases of available for sale securities	(175,014)	(155,841)
Net change in restricted investments in bank stocks	587	1,767
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(19,344)	19,214
Proceeds from sale of loans	5,743	0
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(743)	(1,218)
Proceeds from disposal of other real estate owned	2,175	1,079
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,941)	(41,400)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net decrease in deposits	(11,156)	(50,106)
Net increase (decrease) in short term purchased funds	(2,753)	15,670
Proceeds from long-term debt	10,000	0
Payments on long-term debt	(11,091)	(21,039)
Dividends paid	0	0
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	104	252
Net cash used in financing activities	(14,896)	(55,223)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,823)	(80,312)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	37,560	150,688
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$35,737	\$70,376
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$3,262	\$3,974
Income taxes	0	0
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:		
Other real estate acquired in settlement of loans	\$2,201	\$341

Security purchases not yet settled	0	34,578
------------------------------------	---	--------

The Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

7

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations – Orrstown Financial Services, Inc. (the “Company”) is a bank holding company (that has elected status as a financial holding company with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “FRB”)) whose primary activity consists of supervising its wholly-owned subsidiary, Orrstown Bank (the “Bank”). The Company operates through its office in Shippensburg, Pennsylvania. The Bank provides services through its network of 22 offices in Cumberland, Franklin, Lancaster, and Perry Counties of Pennsylvania and in Washington County, Maryland. The Bank engages in lending services for commercial loans, residential loans, commercial mortgages and various forms of consumer lending. Deposit services include checking, savings, time, and money market deposits. The Bank also provides investment and brokerage services through its Orrstown Financial Advisors division. The Company and the Bank are subject to the regulation of certain federal and state agencies and undergo periodic examinations by such regulatory authorities.

Basis of Presentation – The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiary are presented for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information, the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. However, unaudited information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) that are, in the opinion of management, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim period. Information presented at December 31, 2013 is condensed from audited year-end financial statements. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto, included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Bank. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for losses on loans and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans, and the valuation allowance required on its deferred tax assets. In connection with the determination of the allowance for losses on loans and foreclosed real estate, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans and foreclosed real estate, future additions to the allowances may be necessary based on changes in local economic conditions, and our borrowers' ability to manage their debt through different business cycles. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for losses on loans and foreclosed real estate. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments concerning information available to them at the time of their examination. Because of these factors, management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio and the related allowance may change in the near term.

The Company has established a full valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets, based on the Company's previous taxable losses, projections for future taxable income, and other available evidence, in which management determined it was “more likely than not” that some portion of the asset may not be realized. Management may need to modify its judgment in this regard from one quarter to the next, and should continued improvement occur in operating performance, the need for a full valuation allowance may be reduced or eliminated.

Subsequent Events – GAAP establishes standards for accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. The subsequent events principle sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition in the financial statements, identifies the circumstances under which an entity

should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and specifies the disclosures that should be made about events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date. In preparing these financial statements, the Company evaluated the events and transactions that occurred after September 30, 2014, through the date these financial statements were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”).

8

Table of Contents

Concentration of Credit Risk – The Company grants commercial, residential and consumer loans to customers in its market area. Although the Company maintains a diversified loan portfolio, a significant portion of its customers' ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon economic sectors for construction contractors, residential and non-residential building operators, sales finance, sub-dividers, developers, and various commercial and industrial businesses. Management evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if collateral is deemed necessary by the Company upon the extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies, but generally includes real estate and equipment.

The types of securities the Company invests in are included in Note 2, "Securities Available for Sale" and the types of lending the Company engages in are included in Note 3, "Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses."

Cash and Cash Equivalents – For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash, balances due from banks, federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits due on demand, all of which have original maturities of 90 days or less.

Restricted Investments in Bank Stocks – Restricted investments in bank stocks, which represents required investments in the common stock of correspondent banks, is carried at cost as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and consists of common stock of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia ("Federal Reserve Bank"), Atlantic Community Bankers Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ("FHLB") stocks.

Management evaluates the restricted investment in bank stocks for impairment in accordance with Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 942, Accounting by Certain Entities (Including Entities with Trade Receivables) That Lend to or Finance the Activities of Others. Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the correspondent bank as compared to the capital stock amount for the correspondent bank and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the correspondent bank to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the correspondent bank, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the correspondent bank.

Management believes no impairment charge is necessary related to the restricted investments in bank stocks as of September 30, 2014. However, security impairment analysis is completed quarterly and the determination that no impairment had occurred as of September 30, 2014 is no assurance that impairment may not occur in the future.

Securities – Certain debt securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "held to maturity" and recorded at amortized cost. "Trading" securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value included in earnings. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had no held to maturity or trading securities. Securities not classified as held to maturity or trading, including equity securities with readily determinable fair values, are classified as "available for sale" and recorded at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities and approximate the level yield method. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2) OTTI related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. For equity securities, the entire amount of impairment is recognized through

earnings.

The Company had no debt securities it deemed to be other than temporarily impaired at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The Company's securities are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate risk, market risk, and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments and the level of uncertainty related to changes in the value of investments, it is

9

Table of Contents

at least reasonably possible that changes in risks in the near term would materially affect investment assets reported in the consolidated financial statements.

Loans Held for Sale – Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value (LOCM). Gains and losses on loan sales (sales proceeds minus carrying value) are recorded in non-interest income.

Loans – The Company grants commercial, mortgage, and consumer loans to its customers located principally in south-central Pennsylvania and northern Maryland. The ability of the Company's debtors to honor their contracts is dependent largely upon the real estate and general economic conditions in this area.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off generally are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances adjusted for charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and amortized as a yield adjustment over the respective term of the loan.

For all classes of loans, the accrual of interest income on loans, including impaired loans, ceases when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more or immediately if, in the opinion of management, full collection is unlikely. Interest will continue to accrue on loans past due 90 days or more if the collateral is adequate to cover principal and interest, and the loan is in the process of collection. Interest accrued, but not collected, as of the date of placement on nonaccrual status, is reversed and charged against current interest income, unless fully collateralized. Subsequent payments received are either applied to the outstanding principal balance or recorded as interest income, depending upon management's assessment of the ultimate collectability of principal. Loans are returned to accrual status, for all loan classes, when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current, the loan has performed in accordance with the contractual terms of the note for a reasonable period of time, generally 9 months, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is reasonably assured. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan.

Loans, the terms of which are modified, are classified as troubled debt restructurings if a concession was granted, for legal or economic reasons, related to a debtor's financial difficulties. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring typically involve a temporary deferral of scheduled loan payments, an extension of a loan's stated maturity date, temporary reduction in interest rates, or granting of an interest rate below market rates given the risk of the transaction. If a modification occurs while the loan is on accruing status, it will continue to accrue interest under the modified terms. Nonaccrual troubled debt restructurings are restored to accrual status if scheduled principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six months after modification, and the borrower continues to demonstrate its ability to meet the modified terms. Troubled debt restructurings that are not collateral dependent, are evaluated individually for impairment if they have been restructured during the most recent calendar year, or if they are not performing according to their modified terms.

Allowance for Loan Losses – The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

See Note 3, "Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses," for additional details.

Loans Serviced – The Bank administers secondary market mortgage programs available through the FHLB and the Federal National Mortgage Association and offers residential mortgage products and services to customers. The Bank originates, through these programs, single-family residential mortgage loans for immediate sale in the secondary market, and retains the servicing of those loans. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the balance of loans serviced for others was \$316,365,000 and \$322,653,000.

Transfers of Financial Assets – Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Table of Contents

Premises and Equipment – Buildings, improvements, equipment, furniture and fixtures are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Land is carried at cost. Depreciation and amortization has been provided generally on the straight-line method and is computed over the estimated useful lives of the various assets as follows: buildings and improvements, including leasehold improvements – 10 to 40 years; and furniture and equipment – 3 to 15 years. Repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred, while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gain or loss on retirement or disposal of individual assets is recorded as income or expense in the period of retirement or disposal.

Mortgage Servicing Rights – The estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) related to loans sold and serviced by the Company is recorded as an asset upon the sale of such loan. MSRs are amortized as a reduction to servicing income over the estimated lives of the underlying loans. MSRs are evaluated periodically for impairment, by comparing the carrying amount to estimated fair value. Fair value is determined periodically through a discounted cash flows valuation performed by a third party. Significant inputs to the valuation include expected servicing income, net of expense, the discount rate and the expected life of the underlying loans. To the extent the amortized cost of the MSRs exceeds their estimated fair values, a valuation allowance is established for such impairment through a charge against servicing income on the consolidated statement of income. If the Company determines, based on subsequent valuations, that impairment no longer exists or is reduced, the valuation allowance is reduced through a credit to earnings.

Foreclosed Real Estate – Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell the underlying collateral. Capitalized costs include any costs that significantly improve the value of the properties. After foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the real estate is carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Foreclosed real estate totaled \$1,128,000 and \$987,000 as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and is included in other assets.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase (“Repurchase Agreements”) – The Company enters into agreements under which it sells securities subject to an obligation to repurchase the same or similar securities which are included in short-term borrowings. Under these agreements, the Company may transfer legal control over the assets but still retain effective control through an agreement that both entitles and obligates the Company to repurchase the assets. As a result, these Repurchase Agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing arrangements (i.e., secured borrowings) and not as a sale and subsequent repurchase of securities. The obligation to repurchase the securities is reflected as a liability in the Company’s consolidated balance sheet, while the securities underlying the Repurchase Agreements remain in the respective investment securities asset accounts. In other words, there is no offsetting or netting of the investment securities assets with the Repurchase Agreement liabilities. In addition, as the Company does not enter into reverse Repurchase Agreements, there is no such offsetting to be done with the Repurchase Agreements.

The right of setoff for a Repurchase Agreement resembles a secured borrowing, whereby the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the Repurchase Agreement should the Company be in default (e.g., fails to make an interest payment to the counterparty). For the Repurchase Agreements, the collateral is held by the Company in a segregated custodial account under a third party agreement.

Advertising – The Company follows the policy of charging costs of advertising to expense as incurred. Advertising expense was \$120,000 and \$142,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$486,000 and \$319,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Stock Compensation Plans – The Company has stock compensation plans that cover employees and non-employee directors. Stock compensation accounting guidance (FASB ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation) requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. That cost is measured based on the grant date fair value of the stock award, including a Black-Scholes model for stock options. Compensation cost for all stock awards is calculated and recognized over the employee’s service period, generally defined as the vesting period.

Income Taxes – The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance (FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes). The income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense:

current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are recognized if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the

Table of Contents

more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company recognizes interest and penalties, if any, on income taxes as a component of income tax expense.

Treasury Stock – Common stock shares repurchased are recorded as treasury stock at cost.

Earnings Per Share – Basic earnings per share represent net income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company relate solely to outstanding stock options.

Treasury shares are not deemed outstanding for earnings per share calculations.

Comprehensive Income – Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income is limited to unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale for all years presented.

The component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale and totaled \$55,000 and (\$4,813,000).

Fair Value of Financial Instruments – Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in Note 9. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Segment Reporting – The Company only operates in one significant segment – Community Banking. The Company's non-banking activities are insignificant to the consolidated financial statements.

Reclassification – Certain amounts in the 2013 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2014 presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements – In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (the "FASB") issued ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. ASU 2013-11 applies to all entities that have unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists at the reporting date. An unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The Company's adoption of this standard on January 1, 2014 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements. In January 2014, FASB issued ASU 2014-1, Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. ASU 2014-1 permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit).

The amendments in ASU 2014-1 should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. A reporting entity that uses the effective yield method to account for its investments in qualified affordable housing projects before the date of adoption may continue to apply the effective yield method for those pre-existing investments. ASU 2014-1 is effective for public business entities for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-4, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. ASU 2014-4 clarifies that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. ASU 2014-4 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. An entity can elect to adopt the amendments in ASU 2014-4 using either a modified retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. ASU 2014-4 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s financial statements. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-9, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). ASU 2014-9, creates a new topic, Topic 606, to provide guidance on revenue recognition for entities that enter into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enter into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Additional disclosures are required to provide quantitative and qualitative information regarding the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-9 is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this guidance on the Company’s financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. ASU 2014-11 changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and linked repurchase financings to secured borrowing accounting, which is consistent with the accounting for other repurchase agreements. The pronouncement also requires two new disclosures. The first disclosure requires an entity to disclose information on transfers accounted for as sales in transactions that are economically similar to repurchase agreements. The second disclosure provides increased transparency about the types of collateral pledged in repurchase agreements and similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. ASU 2014-11 is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTE 2. SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the investment securities portfolio was comprised exclusively of securities classified as “available for sale,” resulting in investment securities being carried at fair value. The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities available for sale at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2014				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 24,216	\$ 15	\$ 55	\$24,176
States and political subdivisions	52,658	726	1,370	52,014
U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE)	178,817	1,456	487	179,786
residential mortgage-backed securities				
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	81,584	788	388	81,984
GSE commercial CMOs	65,156	466	1,082	64,540
Total debt securities	402,431	3,451	3,382	402,500
Equity securities	50	13	0	63
Totals	\$ 402,481	\$ 3,464	\$ 3,382	\$402,563
December 31, 2013				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 25,610	\$ 34	\$ 193	\$25,451
U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE)	14,431	5	722	13,714
States and political subdivisions	75,494	417	4,367	71,544
GSE residential mortgage-backed securities	198,449	895	725	198,619
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	40,502	251	221	40,532
GSE commercial CMOs	59,812	0	2,798	57,014
Total debt securities	414,298	1,602	9,026	406,874
Equity securities	50	19	0	69
Totals	\$ 414,348	\$ 1,621	\$ 9,026	\$406,943

Table of Contents

The following table shows gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's available for sale securities that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
September 30, 2014						
U.S. Government Agencies	\$3,743	\$5	\$15,195	\$50	\$18,938	\$55
States and political subdivisions	0	0	35,529	1,370	35,529	1,370
GSE residential mortgage-backed securities	80,161	487	0	0	80,161	487
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	28,728	388	0	0	28,728	388
GSE commercial CMOs	9,862	82	29,651	1,000	39,513	1,082
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$122,494	\$962	\$80,375	\$2,420	\$202,869	\$3,382
December 31, 2013						
U.S. Government Agencies	\$17,454	\$193	\$0	\$0	\$17,454	\$193
U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE)	12,049	722	0	0	12,049	722
States and political subdivisions	53,606	4,367	0	0	53,606	4,367
GSE residential mortgage-backed securities	125,468	716	7,447	9	132,915	725
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	14,033	220	44	1	14,077	221
GSE commercial CMOs	38,298	1,248	18,716	1,550	57,014	2,798
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$260,908	\$7,466	\$26,207	\$1,560	\$287,115	\$9,026

The Company had 47 securities and 77 securities at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 in which the amortized cost exceeds their values, as discussed below.

U.S. Agencies and Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE). Thirty-one U.S. Agencies and GSE securities, including mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, have amortized costs which exceed their fair values, 22 of which are in the less than 12 months category at September 30, 2014. At December 31, 2013, the Company had 46 U.S. Government Agencies and GSE securities, including mortgage-backed and collateralized mortgage obligations with unrealized losses, 38 GSE securities have amortized costs which exceed their fair values for less than 12 months, and eight have amortized costs which exceed their fair values for more than 12 months. These unrealized losses have been caused by a rise in interest rates from the time the securities were purchased. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the par value basis of the investments. Because, at period end, the Company did not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2014 or at December 31, 2013.

State and Political Subdivisions. Sixteen state and political subdivision securities have amortized costs which exceeded their fair value for more than 12 months at September 30, 2014. At December 31, 2013, 31 state and political subdivision securities have an amortized cost which exceeds their fair value for less than 12 months. These unrealized losses have been caused by a rise in interest rates from the time the securities were purchased. Management

considers the investment rating, the state of the issuer of the security and other credit support in determining whether the security is other-than-temporarily impaired. Because, at period end, the Company did not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2014 or at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair values of securities available for sale at September 30, 2014 by contractual maturity are shown below. Contractual maturities will differ from expected maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(Dollars in thousands)	Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$365	\$366
Due after one year through five years	15	15
Due after five years through ten years	16,953	16,854
Due after ten years	59,541	58,955
Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations	325,557	326,310
Total debt securities	402,431	402,500
Equity securities	50	63
	\$402,481	\$402,563

Gross gains on the sales of securities were \$515,000 and \$160,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Gross losses on securities available for sale were \$46,000 and \$3,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Gross gains on the sales of securities were \$2,034,000 and \$419,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Gross losses on securities available for sale were \$366,000 and \$140,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Securities with a fair value of \$252,630,000 and \$241,911,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were pledged to secure public funds and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

NOTE 3. LOANS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The Company's loan portfolio is broken down into segments to an appropriate level of disaggregation to allow management to monitor the performance by the borrower and to monitor the yield on the portfolio. Consistent with ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Loan Losses, the segments were further broken down into classes, to allow for differing risk characteristics within a segment.

The risks associated with lending activities differ among the various loan classes, and are subject to the impact of changes in interest rates, market conditions of collateral securing the loans, and general economic conditions. All of these factors may adversely impact the borrower's ability to repay its loans, and impact the associated collateral.

The Company has various types of commercial real estate loans which have differing levels of credit risk associated with them. Owner-occupied commercial real estate loans are generally dependent upon the successful operation of the borrower's business, with the cash flows generated from the business being the primary source of repayment of the loan. If the business suffers a downturn in sales or profitability, the borrower's ability to repay the loan could be in jeopardy.

Non-owner occupied and multi-family commercial real estate loans and non-owner occupied residential loans present a different credit risk to the Company than owner-occupied commercial real estate loans, as the repayment of the loan is dependent upon the borrower's ability to generate a sufficient level of occupancy to produce rental income that exceeds debt service requirements and operating expenses. Lower occupancy or lease rates may result in a reduction in cash flows, which hinders the ability of the borrower to meet debt service requirements, and may result in lower collateral values. The Company generally recognizes that greater risk is inherent in these credit relationships as compared to owner occupied loans mentioned above in its loan pricing.

Acquisition and development loans consist of 1-4 family residential construction and commercial and land development loans. The risk of loss on these loans is largely dependent on the Company's ability to assess the property's value at the completion of the project, which should exceed the property's construction costs. During the construction phase, a number of factors could potentially negatively impact the collateral value, including cost overruns, delays in completing the project, competition, and real estate market conditions which may change based on the supply of similar properties in the area. In the event the collateral value at the completion of the project is not sufficient to cover the outstanding loan balance, the Company must rely upon other repayment sources, including the guarantors of the project or other collateral securing the loan.

Table of Contents

Commercial and industrial loans include advances to local and regional businesses for general commercial purposes and include permanent and short-term working capital, machinery and equipment financing, and may be either in the form of lines of credit or term loans. Although commercial and industrial loans may be unsecured to our highest rated borrowers, the majority of these loans are secured by the borrower's accounts receivable, inventory and machinery and equipment. In a significant number of these loans, the collateral also includes the business, real estate or the business owner's personal real estate or assets. Commercial and industrial loans present credit exposure to the Company, as they are more susceptible to risk of loss during a downturn in the economy, as borrowers may have greater difficulty in meeting their debt service requirements and the value of the collateral may decline. The Company attempts to mitigate this risk through its underwriting standards, including evaluating the credit worthiness of the borrower and to the extent available, credit ratings on the business. Additionally, monitoring of the loans through annual renewals and meetings with the borrowers are typical. However, these procedures cannot eliminate the risk of loss associated with commercial and industrial lending.

Municipal loans consist of extensions of credit to municipalities and school districts within the Company's market area. These loans generally present a lower risk than commercial and industrial loans, as they are generally secured by the municipality's full taxing authority, by revenue obligations, or by its ability to raise assessments on its customers for a specific utility.

The Company originates loans to its retail customers, including fixed-rate and adjustable first lien mortgage loans with the underlying 1-4 family owner-occupied residential property securing the loan. The Company's risk exposure is minimized in these types of loans through the evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower, including credit scores and debt-to-income ratios, and underwriting standards which limit the loan-to-value ratio to generally no more than 80% upon loan origination, unless the borrower obtains private mortgage insurance.

Home equity loans, including term loans and lines of credit, present a slightly higher risk to the Company than 1-4 family first liens, as these loans can be first or second liens on 1-4 family owner occupied residential property, but can have loan-to-value ratios of no greater than 90% of the value of the real estate taken as collateral. The credit worthiness of the borrower is considered including credit scores and debt-to-income ratios, which generally cannot exceed 43%.

Installment and other loans' credit risk are mitigated through conservative underwriting standards, including the evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower through credit scores and debt-to-income ratios, and if secured, the collateral value of the assets. As these loans can be unsecured or secured by assets the value of which may depreciate quickly or may fluctuate, they typically present a greater risk to the Company than 1-4 family residential loans.

The loan portfolio, excluding residential loans held for sale, broken out by classes, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Commercial real estate:		
Owner-occupied	\$97,401	\$111,290
Non-owner occupied	142,146	135,953
Multi-family	26,801	22,882
Non-owner occupied residential	48,559	55,272
Acquisition and development:		
1-4 family residential construction	5,297	3,338
Commercial and land development	15,480	19,440
Commercial and industrial	45,125	33,446
Municipal	62,385	60,996
Residential mortgage:		
First lien	126,552	124,728
Home equity - term	20,833	20,131
Home equity - lines of credit	83,673	77,377
Installment and other loans	6,122	6,184

\$680,374

\$671,037

17

Table of Contents

In order to monitor ongoing risk associated with its loan portfolio and specific loans within the segments, management uses an internal grading system. The first several rating categories, representing the lowest risk to the Bank, are combined and given a “Pass” rating. Management generally follows regulatory definitions in assigning criticized ratings to loans, including special mention, substandard, doubtful or loss. The “Special Mention” category includes loans that have potential weaknesses that may, if not monitored or corrected, weaken the asset or inadequately protect the Bank’s position at some future date. These assets pose elevated risk, but their weakness does not yet justify a more severe, or classified rating. “Substandard” loans are classified as they have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses that jeopardize liquidation of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. “Substandard” loans include loans that management has determined not to be impaired, as well as loans considered to be impaired. A “Doubtful” loan has a high probability of total or substantial loss, but because of specific pending events that may strengthen the asset, its classification of loss is deferred. “Loss” assets are considered uncollectible, as the underlying borrowers are often in bankruptcy, have suspended debt repayments, or ceased business operations. Once a loan is classified as “Loss,” there is little prospect of collecting the loan’s principal or interest and it is generally written off.

The Bank has a loan review policy and program which is designed to identify and manage risk in the lending function. The Enterprise Risk Management (“ERM”) Committee, comprised of executive officers and credit department personnel, is charged with the oversight of overall credit quality and risk exposure of the Bank’s loan portfolio. This includes the monitoring of the lending activities of all Bank personnel with respect to underwriting and processing new loans and the timely follow-up and corrective action for loans showing signs of deterioration in quality. The loan review program provides the Bank with an independent review of the Bank’s loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the “Pass” categories unless a specific action, such as extended delinquencies, bankruptcy, repossession or death of the borrower occurs, which heightens awareness as to a possible credit event.

Loan reviews are completed annually on commercial relationships with a committed loan balance in excess of \$1,000,000. Loan review documentation is submitted to the ERM Committee no less than quarterly with a formal review and confirmation of risk rating as presented by independent loan review personnel. In addition, all relationships greater than \$250,000 rated Substandard, Doubtful or Loss are reviewed by the ERM Committee on a quarterly basis, with reaffirmation of the rating as approved by the Bank’s Loan Work Out Committee or loan review staff.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the Bank's ratings based on its internal risk rating system as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Pass	Special Mention	Non-Impaired Substandard	Impaired - Substandard	Doubtful	Total
September 30, 2014						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$84,959	\$3,367	\$5,915	\$3,160	\$0	\$97,401
Non-owner occupied	121,406	17,034	1,287	2,378	41	142,146
Multi-family	24,367	1,108	1,230	96	0	26,801
Non-owner occupied residential	38,590	6,117	2,212	1,640	0	48,559
Acquisition and development:						
1-4 family residential construction	5,297	0	0	0	0	5,297
Commercial and land development	11,801	1,082	1,348	1,249	0	15,480
Commercial and industrial	41,568	1,742	1,121	575	119	45,125
Municipal	62,385	0	0	0	0	62,385
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	121,583	0	0	4,936	33	126,552
Home equity - term	20,761	0	0	72	0	20,833
Home equity - lines of credit	83,132	315	184	42	0	83,673
Installment and other loans	6,105	0	0	17	0	6,122
	\$621,954	\$30,765	\$13,297	\$14,165	\$193	\$680,374
December 31, 2013						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$92,063	\$3,305	\$11,360	\$4,107	\$455	\$111,290
Non-owner occupied	107,113	6,904	14,819	7,117	0	135,953
Multi-family	20,091	2,132	337	322	0	22,882
Non-owner occupied residential	42,007	4,982	3,790	4,493	0	55,272
Acquisition and development:						
1-4 family residential construction	3,292	0	46	0	0	3,338
Commercial and land development	14,118	1,433	712	3,177	0	19,440
Commercial and industrial	28,933	2,129	383	1,878	123	33,446
Municipal	60,996	0	0	0	0	60,996
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	121,353	0	0	3,327	48	124,728
Home equity - term	20,024	0	0	94	13	20,131
Home equity - lines of credit	77,187	0	9	181	0	77,377
Installment and other loans	6,184	0	0	0	0	6,184
	\$593,361	\$20,885	\$31,456	\$24,696	\$639	\$671,037

Classified loans may also be evaluated for impairment. For commercial real estate, acquisition and development and commercial and industrial loans, a loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Generally, loans that are more than 90 days past due are deemed impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances

surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed to determine if the loan should be placed on nonaccrual status. Nonaccrual loans in the commercial and

Table of Contents

commercial real estate portfolios and any TDRs are, by definition, deemed to be impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial, construction and restructured loans by either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is collateral dependent if the repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral. For loans that are deemed to be impaired for extended periods of time, periodic updates on fair values are obtained, which may include updated appraisals. The updated fair values are incorporated into the impairment analysis as of the next reporting period.

Loan charge-offs, which may include partial charge-offs, are taken on an impaired loan that is collateral dependent if the loan's carrying balance exceeds its collateral's appraised value; the loan has been identified as uncollectible; and it is deemed to be a confirmed loss. Typically, impaired loans with a charge-off or partial charge-off will continue to be considered impaired, unless the note is split into two, and management expects the performing note to continue to perform and is adequately secured. The second, or non-performing note, would be charged-off. Generally, an impaired loan with a partial charge-off may continue to have an impairment reserve on it after the partial charge-off, if factors warrant.

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, nearly all of the Company's impaired loans' extent of impairment was measured based on the estimated fair value of the collateral securing the loan, except for TDRs. By definition, troubled debt restructurings are considered impaired. All restructured loan impairments were determined based on discounted cash flows for those loans classified as troubled debt restructurings but that are still accruing interest. For real estate loans, collateral generally consists of commercial real estate, but in the case of commercial and industrial loans, it would also consist of accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or other business assets. Commercial and industrial loans may also have real estate collateral.

According to policy, updated appraisals are required annually for classified loans in excess of \$250,000. The "as is value" provided in the appraisal is often used as the fair value of the collateral in determining impairment, unless circumstances, such as subsequent improvements, approvals, or other circumstances dictate that another value provided by the appraiser is more appropriate.

Generally impaired loans secured by real estate were measured at fair value using certified real estate appraisals that had been completed within the last year. Appraised values are further discounted for estimated costs to sell the property and other selling considerations to arrive at the property's fair value. In those situations in which it is determined an updated appraisal is not required for loans individually evaluated for impairment, fair values are based on one or a combination of the following approaches. In those situations in which a combination of approaches is considered, the factor that carries the most consideration will be the one management believes is warranted. The approaches are as follows:

Original appraisal – if the original appraisal provides a strong loan-to-value ratio (generally 70% or lower) and, after consideration of market conditions and knowledge of the property and area, it is determined by the Credit Administration staff that there has not been a significant deterioration in the collateral value, the original certified appraised value may be used. Discounts as deemed appropriate for selling costs are factored into the appraised value in arriving at fair value.

Discounted cash flows – in limited cases, discounted cash flows may be used on projects in which the collateral is liquidated to reduce the borrowings outstanding, and is used to validate collateral values derived from other approaches.

Collateral on certain impaired loans is not limited to real estate, and may consist of accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or other business assets. Estimated fair values are determined based on borrowers' financial statements, inventory ledgers, accounts receivable agings or appraisals from individuals with knowledge in the business. Stated balances are generally discounted for the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets. In determining fair value, liquidation discounts are applied to this collateral based on existing loan evaluation policies.

The Company distinguishes substandard loans on both an impaired and non-impaired basis, as it places less emphasis on a loan's classification, and increased reliance on whether the loan was performing in accordance with the contractual terms. "Substandard" classification does not automatically meet the definition of "impaired." A substandard loan is one that is inadequately protected by the current sound worth, paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral

pledged, if any. Extensions of credit so classified have well-defined weaknesses which may jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard loans, does not have to exist in individual extensions of credit classified as substandard. As a result, the Company's methodology includes an evaluation of certain accruing commercial real estate, acquisition and development and commercial and industrial loans rated "Substandard" to be collectively evaluated for impairment as opposed to evaluating these loans individually for impairment. Although we believe these loans have well defined weaknesses and meet the definition of "Substandard," they are generally performing and management has concluded

Table of Contents

that it is likely it will be able to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

Larger groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Generally, the Bank does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement due to financial difficulties of the borrower.

The following summarizes impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not required as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The recorded investment in loans excludes accrued interest receivable due to insignificance. Allowances established generally pertain to those loans in which loan forbearance agreements were in the process of being negotiated or updated appraisals were pending, and the partial charge-off will be recorded when final information is received.

(Dollars in thousands)	Impaired Loans with a Specific Allowance			Impaired Loans with No Specific Allowance	
	Recorded Investment (Book Balance)	Unpaid Principal Balance (Legal Balance)	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment (Book Balance)	Unpaid Principal Balance (Legal Balance)
September 30, 2014					
Commercial real estate:					
Owner-occupied	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 3,160	\$ 4,345
Non-owner occupied	686	728	41	1,733	3,499
Multi-family	0	0	0	96	128
Non-owner occupied residential	222	243	28	1,418	1,846
Acquisition and development:					
Commercial and land development	0	0	0	1,249	1,929
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	694	766
Residential mortgage:					
First lien	851	851	134	4,118	4,707
Home equity - term	0	0	0	72	73
Home equity - lines of credit	0	0	0	42	43
Installment and other loans	0	0	0	17	39
	\$1,759	\$ 1,822	\$203	\$ 12,599	\$ 17,375
December 31, 2013					
Commercial real estate:					
Owner-occupied	\$615	\$ 1,099	\$552	\$ 3,947	\$ 4,575
Non-owner occupied	0	0	0	7,117	7,670
Multi-family	0	0	0	322	415
Non-owner occupied residential	0	0	0	4,493	4,836
Acquisition and development:					
Commercial and land development	0	0	0	3,177	3,812
Commercial and industrial	0	0	0	2,001	2,143
Residential mortgage:					
First lien	48	48	48	3,327	3,619
Home equity - term	13	13	13	94	96
Home equity - lines of credit	0	0	0	181	183
	\$676	\$ 1,160	\$613	\$ 24,659	\$ 27,349

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized on loans deemed impaired, generally on a cash basis, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2014 Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized	2013 Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$3,681	\$1	\$4,249	\$41
Non-owner occupied	7,361	46	3,980	50
Multi-family	98	0	168	16
Non-owner occupied residential	1,905	0	5,108	45
Acquisition and development:				
1-4 family residential construction	0	0	345	0
Commercial and land development	1,286	9	2,613	0
Commercial and industrial	1,263	0	1,875	0
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	4,772	15	2,421	29
Home equity - term	72	0	47	2
Home equity - lines of credit	49	0	116	4
Installment and other loans	10	1	1	0
	\$20,497	\$72	\$20,923	\$187
(Dollars in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014 Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized	2013 Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$3,965	\$9	\$3,270	\$99
Non-owner occupied	7,205	137	3,605	86
Multi-family	234	0	89	16
Non-owner occupied residential	2,603	2	4,875	60
Acquisition and development:				
1-4 family residential construction	0	0	602	0
Commercial and land development	1,787	20	2,967	2
Commercial and industrial	1,676	2	1,724	65
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	4,068	45	2,528	31
Home equity - term	90	0	47	2
Home equity - lines of credit	85	0	336	4
Installment and other loans	5	1	1	0
	\$21,718	\$216	\$20,044	\$365

Table of Contents

The following presents impaired loans that are troubled debt restructurings, with the recorded investment as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Accruing:				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	0	\$0	1	\$200
Non-owner occupied	0	0	2	4,268
Acquisition and development:				
Commercial and land development	2	917	2	1,071
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	8	818	1	449
	10	1,735	6	5,988
Nonaccruing:				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	1	49	1	71
Non-owner occupied	1	686	1	694
Non-owner occupied residential	0	0	1	193
Commercial and industrial	0	0	2	310
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	12	1,669	1	279
Consumer	1	14	0	0
	15	2,418	6	1,547
	25	\$4,153	12	\$7,535

The loans presented above were considered TDRs as the result of the Company agreeing to below market interest rates for the risk of the transaction, allowing the loan to remain on interest only status, or a reduction in interest rates, in order to give the borrowers an opportunity to improve their cash flows. For TDRs in default of their modified terms, impairment is generally determined on a collateral dependent approach, except for accruing residential mortgage troubled debt restructurings, which are generally on the discounted cash flow approach.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the number of loans modified, and their pre-modification and post-modification investment balances for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014			2013		
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Recorded Investment	Post Modification Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Recorded Investment	Post Modification Recorded Investment
Three Months Ended September 30,						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	0	\$0	\$0	2	\$150	\$150
Non-owner occupied	0	0	0	2	3,457	3,457
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	4	285	285	0	0	0
Installment and other loans	1	36	14	0	0	0
	5	\$321	\$299	4	\$3,607	\$3,607
Nine Months Ended September 30,						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	0	\$0	\$0	2	\$150	\$150
Non-owner occupied	0	0	0	2	3,457	3,457
Acquisition and development:						
Commercial and land development	0	0	0	1	524	524
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	18	1,808	1,741	0	0	0
Installment and other loans	1	36	14	0	0	0
	19	\$1,844	\$1,755	5	\$4,131	\$4,131

The following table presents restructured loans, included in nonaccrual status, that were modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	2014		2013	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Three Months Ended September 30,				
Acquisition and development:				
Commercial and land development	1	\$544	0	\$0
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	2	180	0	0
	3	\$724	0	\$0
Nine Months Ended September 30,				
Commercial real estate:				
Non-owner occupied	1	\$3,495	0	\$0
Acquisition and development:				
Commercial and land development	1	544	0	0
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	2	180	0	0
	4	\$4,219	0	\$0

Table of Contents

No additional commitments have been made to borrowers whose loans are considered TDRs.

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the average length of time a portfolio is past due, by aggregating loans based on their delinquencies. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by aging categories of performing loans and nonaccrual loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	Days Past Due				Total Past Due	Non- Accrual	Total Loans
	Current	30-59	60-89	90+ (still accruing)			
September 30, 2014							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner-occupied	\$94,034	\$207	\$0	\$0	\$207	\$3,160	\$97,401
Non-owner occupied	139,612	115	0	0	115	2,419	142,146
Multi-family	26,705	0	0	0	0	96	26,801
Non-owner occupied residential	46,806	113	0	0	113	1,640	48,559
Acquisition and development:							
1-4 family residential construction	5,297	0	0	0	0	0	5,297
Commercial and land development	14,604	544	0	0	544	332	15,480
Commercial and industrial	44,431	0	0	0	0	694	45,125
Municipal	62,385	0	0	0	0	0	62,385
Residential mortgage:							
First lien	121,750	626	25	0	651	4,151	126,552
Home equity - term	20,651	110	0	0	110	72	20,833
Home equity - lines of credit	83,516	115	0	0	115	42	83,673
Installment and other loans	6,071	27	7	0	34	17	6,122
	\$665,862	\$1,857	\$32	\$0	\$1,889	\$12,623	\$680,374
December 31, 2013							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner-occupied	\$106,078	\$742	\$108	\$0	\$850	\$4,362	\$111,290
Non-owner occupied	132,913	191	0	0	191	2,849	135,953
Multi-family	22,560	0	0	0	0	322	22,882
Non-owner occupied residential	50,554	225	0	0	225	4,493	55,272
Acquisition and development:							
1-4 family residential construction	3,338	0	0	0	0	0	3,338
Commercial and land development	17,289	45	0	0	45	2,106	19,440
Commercial and industrial	31,111	334	0	0	334	2,001	33,446
Municipal	60,996	0	0	0	0	0	60,996
Residential mortgage:							
First lien	119,845	1,380	577	0	1,957	2,926	124,728
Home equity - term	19,966	56	2	0	58	107	20,131
Home equity - lines of credit	76,982	214	0	0	214	181	77,377
Installment and other loans	6,095	77	12	0	89	0	6,184
	\$647,727	\$3,264	\$699	\$0	\$3,963	\$19,347	\$671,037

The Company maintains the allowance for loan losses at a level believed to be adequate by management to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. The allowance is established and maintained through a provision for loan losses

charged to earnings. Quarterly, management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses utilizing a defined methodology, which considers specific credit evaluation of impaired loans as discussed above, past loan loss historical experience, and qualitative factors. Management believes the approach properly addresses the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans

25

Table of Contents

individually identified as impaired, and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, and other bank regulatory guidance.

In connection with its quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, management continually reviews its methodology to determine if it continues to properly address the risk in the loan portfolio. For each loan class presented above, general allowances are provided for loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, which is based on quantitative factors, principally historical loss trends for the respective loan class, adjusted for qualitative factors. In addition, an additional adjustment to the historical loss factors is made to account for delinquency and other potential risk not elsewhere defined within the Allowance for Loan and Lease Loss methodology.

The look-back period for historical losses is 12 quarters, weighted one-half for the most recent four quarters, and one quarter for each of the two previous four quarter periods in order to appropriately capture the loss history in the loan segment. Management considers current economic and real estate conditions, and the trends in historical charge-off percentages that resulted from applying partial charge-offs to impaired loans, and the impact of distressed loan sales during the year in determining the look back period.

In addition to the quantitative analysis, adjustments to the reserve requirements are allocated on loans collectively evaluated for impairment based on additional qualitative factors. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the qualitative factors used by management to adjust the historical loss percentage to the anticipated loss allocation, which may range from a minus 150 basis points to a positive 150 basis points per factor, include:

Nature and Volume of Loans – Loan growth in the current and subsequent quarters based on the Bank’s targeted growth and strategic plan, coupled with the types of loans booked based on risk management and credit culture, and the number of exceptions to loan policy and supervisory loan to value exceptions, etc.

Concentrations of Credit and Changes within Credit Concentrations – Factors considered include the composition of the Bank’s overall portfolio and management’s evaluation related to concentration risk management and the inherent risk associated with the concentrations identified.

Underwriting Standards and Recovery Practices – Factors considered include changes to underwriting standards and perceived impact on anticipated losses, trends in the number of exceptions to loan policy; supervisory loan to value exceptions; and administration of loan recovery practices.

Delinquency Trends – Factors considered include the delinquency percentages noted in the portfolio relative to economic conditions, severity of the delinquencies, and whether the ratios are trending upwards or downwards.

Classified Loans Trends – Factors considered include the internal loan ratings of the portfolio, the severity of the ratings, and whether the loan segment’s ratings show a more favorable or less favorable trend, and underlying market conditions and their impact on the collateral values securing the loans.

Experience, Ability and Depth of Management/Lending staff – Factors considered include the years of experience of senior and middle management and the lending staff and turnover of the staff, and instances of repeat criticisms of ratings.

Quality of Loan Review – Factors include the years of experience of the loan review staff, in-house versus outsourced provider of review, turnover of staff and the perceived quality of their work in relation to other external information.

National and Local Economic Conditions – Ratios and factors considered include trends in the consumer price index (CPI), unemployment rates, housing price index, housing statistics compared to the prior year, bankruptcy rates, regulatory and legal environment risks and competition.

Table of Contents

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Municipal	Total	Consumer Residential Mortgage	Installment and Other	Total	Unallocated	Total
September 30, 2014										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 14,053	\$ 852	\$ 993	\$ 178	\$ 16,076	\$ 2,362	\$ 186	\$ 2,548	\$ 1,801	\$ 20,425
Provision for loan losses	(2,593)	(284)	(387)	9	(3,255)	72	66	138	217	(2,900)
Charge-offs	(1,840)	(33)	(1)	0	(1,874)	(286)	(78)	(364)	0	(2,238)
Recoveries	382	0	317	0	699	6	27	33	0	732
Balance, end of period	\$ 10,002	\$ 535	\$ 922	\$ 187	\$ 11,646	\$ 2,154	\$ 201	\$ 2,355	\$ 2,018	\$ 16,019
September 30, 2013										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 11,288	\$ 1,946	\$ 875	\$ 254	\$ 14,363	\$ 3,796	\$ 134	\$ 3,930	\$ 1,805	\$ 20,098
Provision for loan losses	3,495	(1,117)	(2,651)	(12)	(285)	2	11	13	272	0
Charge-offs	(1,767)	(2)	0	0	(1,769)	0	(46)	(46)	0	(1,815)
Recoveries	45	267	2,530	0	2,842	100	27	127	0	2,969
Balance, end of period	\$ 13,061	\$ 1,094	\$ 754	\$ 242	\$ 15,151	\$ 3,898	\$ 126	\$ 4,024	\$ 2,077	\$ 21,252

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Municipal	Total	Consumer Residential Mortgage	Installment and Other	Total	Unallocated	Total
September 30, 2014										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,215	\$ 670	\$ 864	\$ 244	\$ 14,993	\$ 3,780	\$ 124	\$ 3,904	\$ 2,068	\$ 20,965
Provision for loan losses	(1,210)	(73)	(552)	(57)	(1,892)	(1,150)	192	(958)	(50)	(2,900)
Charge-offs	(2,514)	(67)	(64)	0	(2,645)	(495)	(200)	(695)	0	(3,340)
Recoveries	511	5	674	0	1,190	19	85	104	0	1,294
Balance, end of period	\$ 10,002	\$ 535	\$ 922	\$ 187	\$ 11,646	\$ 2,154	\$ 201	\$ 2,355	\$ 2,018	\$ 16,019
September 30, 2013										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,719	\$ 3,502	\$ 1,635	\$ 223	\$ 19,079	\$ 2,275	\$ 85	\$ 2,360	\$ 1,727	\$ 23,166
Provision for loan losses	3,653	(3,943)	(3,309)	19	(3,580)	1,750	80	1,830	350	(1,400)
Charge-offs	(4,444)	(146)	(114)	0	(4,704)	(263)	(92)	(355)	0	(5,059)
Recoveries	133	1,681	2,542	0	4,356	136	53	189	0	4,545
Balance, end of period	\$ 13,061	\$ 1,094	\$ 754	\$ 242	\$ 15,151	\$ 3,898	\$ 126	\$ 4,024	\$ 2,077	\$ 21,252

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the ending loan balance individually evaluated for impairment based upon loan segment, as well as the related allowance for loan losses allocation for each at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial				Total	Consumer			Unallocated	Total
	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Municipal		Residential Mortgage	Installment and Other	Total		
September 30, 2014										
Loans allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$7,315	\$1,249	\$694	\$0	\$9,258	\$5,083	\$17	\$5,100	\$0	\$14,358
Collectively evaluated for impairment	307,592	19,528	44,431	62,385	433,936	225,975	6,105	232,080	0	666,016
	\$314,907	\$20,777	\$45,125	\$62,385	\$443,194	\$231,058	\$6,122	\$237,180	\$0	\$680,374
Allowance for loan losses allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$69	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69	\$134	\$0	\$134	\$0	\$203
Collectively evaluated for impairment	9,933	535	922	187	11,577	2,020	201	2,221	2,018	15,816
	\$10,002	\$535	\$922	\$187	\$11,646	\$2,154	\$201	\$2,355	\$2,018	\$16,019
December 31, 2013										
Loans allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$16,494	\$3,177	\$2,001	\$0	\$21,672	\$3,663	\$0	\$3,663	\$0	\$25,335
Collectively evaluated for impairment	308,903	19,601	31,445	60,996	420,945	218,573	6,184	224,757	0	645,702
	\$325,397	\$22,778	\$33,446	\$60,996	\$442,617	\$222,236	\$6,184	\$228,420	\$0	\$671,037
Allowance for loan losses allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$552	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$552	\$61	\$0	\$61	\$0	\$613
Collectively evaluated for impairment	12,663	670	864	244	14,441	3,719	124	3,843	2,068	20,352
	\$13,215	\$670	\$864	\$244	\$14,993	\$3,780	\$124	\$3,904	\$2,068	\$20,965

NOTE 4. INCOME TAXES

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The Bank also files an income tax return in the State of Maryland. The Company is generally no longer subject to U.S. federal, state or local income tax examination by tax authorities for years before 2010.

Included in the balance sheet at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, are tax positions related to loan charge-offs for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the tax authority to an earlier period.

Table of Contents

The components of income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September		Nine months ended September	
	30, 2014	2013	30, 2014	2013
Current year provision:				
Federal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60
State	24	(281) 24	(281
Deferred tax expense	1,534	532	2,372	1,320
Valuation allowance on deferred taxes	(1,534) (532) (2,372) (1,320
Net income tax expense	\$24	\$(281) \$24	\$(221

The provision for income taxes includes \$164,000 and \$55,000 of applicable income tax expense related to net security gains for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The provision for income taxes includes \$584,000 and \$98,000 of applicable income tax expense related to net securities gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

The components of the net deferred tax asset, included in other assets, are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$6,358	\$7,776
Deferred compensation	517	510
Retirement plans and salary continuation	1,667	1,585
Stock compensation	214	191
Off balance sheet reserves	177	204
Nonaccrual loan interest	178	341
Net unrealized losses on securities available for sale	0	2,592
Goodwill	161	184
Low income housing credit carryforward	1,348	1,022
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward	706	664
Charitable contribution carryforward	320	333
Net operating loss carryforward	6,850	8,169
Other	232	178
Total deferred tax assets	18,728	23,749
Valuation allowance	(16,592) (18,964
	2,136	4,785
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	986	1,116
Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale	27	0
Purchase accounting adjustments	439	495
Other	711	582
Total deferred tax liabilities	2,163	2,193
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$(27) \$2,592

As of September 30, 2014, the Company has charitable contribution, low-income housing, and net operating loss carryforwards that expire through 2019, 2034 and 2032, respectively.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers taxes paid in prior years, projected future taxable income and available tax

planning strategies, and other factors in making this assessment.

29

Table of Contents

Based upon the level of historical taxable income, projections for future taxable income over the periods and other available evidence, management believed it was not more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset would be realized at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Accordingly, a full valuation allowance for the net amount of the deferred tax assets, which represented future deductible temporary differences on our tax returns, was established at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Primary factors contributing to this determination included:

- The Company has exhausted all of its carryback availability to 2010 – 2011, as we had recognized current federal income tax receivable which fully offset 2010 and 2011's taxable income.

- While improvement is evident, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company was in a three-year cumulative loss position, representing negative evidence against the realizability of the deferred tax asset.

Although improvement is recognized, given the uncertainty of the economy and in the event economic and real estate conditions decline, additional losses may result in our loan portfolio above those already provided for. As a result, we have placed less weight on our current forecast of earnings until the point where we demonstrate sustainable additional earnings for the realization of the deferred tax asset.

NOTE 5. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company maintains share-based compensation plans, the purpose of which are to provide officers, employees, and non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company and the Bank, with additional incentive to further the success of the Company. In May 2011, the shareholders of the Company approved the 2011 Orrstown Financial Services, Inc. Incentive Stock Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, 381,920 shares of the common stock of the Company were reserved to be issued. As of September 30, 2014, 223,788 shares were available to be issued under the Plan.

Incentive awards under the Plan may consist of grants of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, deferred stock units and performance shares. All employees of the Company and its present or future subsidiaries, and members of the Board of Directors of the Company or any subsidiary of the Company, are eligible to participate in the Plan. The Plan allows for the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors to determine the type of incentive to be awarded, its term, manner of exercise, vesting of awards and restrictions on shares. Generally, awards are nonqualified under the IRS code, unless the awards are deemed to be incentive awards to employees, at the Compensation Committee's discretion.

A roll forward of the Company's nonvested restricted shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Nonvested shares, beginning of year	5,000	\$10.43
Granted	150,500	15.69
Nonvested shares, at period end	155,500	\$15.52

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, \$57,933 and \$66,625 was recognized as expense on the restricted stock awards. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$4,346 and \$13,037 was recognized as expense on the restricted stock awards. As of September 30, 2014, the unrecognized compensation expense related to the stock award was \$2,093,779.

Table of Contents

A roll forward of the Company's outstanding stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	206,063	\$32.20
Forfeited	20,319	31.81
Expired	35,164	37.00
Options outstanding and exercisable, at year end	150,580	\$31.14

The exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant and an option's maximum term is ten years. All options are fully vested upon issuance. Information pertaining to options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2014 is as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$21.14 - \$24.99	42,985	5.55	\$21.41
\$25.00 - \$29.99	2,792	5.51	25.76
\$30.00 - \$34.99	45,470	3.02	31.25
\$35.00 - \$39.99	29,567	2.56	36.54
\$40.00 - \$40.14	29,766	0.72	40.14
\$21.14 - \$40.14	150,580	3.24	\$31.14

The options outstanding and exercisable had no intrinsic value at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 as each exercise price exceeded the market value.

The Company also maintains an employee stock purchase plan, in order to provide employees of the Company and its subsidiaries an opportunity to purchase stock of the Company. Under the employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may purchase shares in an amount that does not exceed 10% of their annual salary, up to the IRS limit, at the lower of 95% (85% prior to August 31, 2014) of the fair market value of the shares on the semi-annual offering date, or related purchase date. The Company reserved 167,674 shares of its common stock, after making adjustments for stock dividends and a stock split, to be issued under the employee stock purchase plan. As of September 30, 2014, 164,306 shares were available to be issued under the employee stock purchase plan. Employees purchased 3,668 and 6,707 shares at a weighted average price of \$15.56 and \$14.88 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, employees purchased 15,434 and 21,609 shares at a weighted average price of \$12.47 and \$11.52. Compensation expense recognized on the employee stock purchase plan totaled \$4,000 and \$12,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, and \$77,000 and \$112,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The Company uses a combination of issuing new shares or treasury shares to meet stock compensation exercises depending on market conditions.

NOTE 6. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND REGULATORY CAPITAL

On January 8, 2013, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Commission covering an aggregate of up to \$80,000,000 worth of common stock, preferred stock, and warrants. To date, the Company has not issued any securities registered under this shelf registration statement.

The Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's

Table of Contents

and Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Although applicable to the Bank, prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies, including financial holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulators to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (as set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of September 30, 2014, the most recent notification from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The Company and the Bank's actual capital ratios as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are also presented in the table.

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		Minimum to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
September 30, 2014							
Total capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	\$ 114,826	16.4	% \$ 56,122	8.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	113,533	16.2	% 56,090	8.0	% \$ 70,113	10.0	%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	105,963	15.1	% 28,061	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	104,675	14.9	% 28,045	4.0	% 42,068	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital to average assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	105,963	9.0	% 46,936	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	104,675	8.9	% 46,919	4.0	% 58,649	5.0	%
December 31, 2013							
Total capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	\$ 104,637	15.0	% \$ 55,926	8.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	102,806	14.7	% 55,893	8.0	% \$ 69,866	10.0	%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	95,741	13.7	% 27,963	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	93,915	13.4	% 27,947	4.0	% 41,920	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital to average assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	95,741	8.1	% 47,058	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	93,915	8.0	% 47,077	4.0	% 58,846	5.0	%

On March 22, 2012, the Company and the Bank entered into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the "Written Agreement") and the Bank entered into a Consent Order with the Pennsylvania Department of Banking, now the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities ("PDB"). On April 21, 2014, the PDB terminated its Consent Order, which was replaced with a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") by and between the Bank and the PDB. The MOU, an informal regulatory action, is considered by the PDB as a lower level of regulatory action than the Consent Order.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Written Agreement, the Company and the Bank agreed to, among other things: (i) adopt and implement a plan, acceptable to the Federal Reserve Bank, to strengthen oversight of management and operations; (ii) adopt and implement a plan, acceptable to the Federal Reserve Bank, to reduce the Bank's interest in criticized and classified assets; (iii) adopt a plan, acceptable to the Federal Reserve Bank, to strengthen the Bank's credit risk management practices; (iv) adopt and implement a program, acceptable to the Federal Reserve Bank, for the maintenance of an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses; (v) adopt and implement a written plan, acceptable to the Federal Reserve Bank, to maintain sufficient capital on a consolidated basis for the Company and on a stand-alone basis for the Bank; and (vi) revise the Bank's loan underwriting and credit administration policies. The Bank and the Company also agreed not to declare or pay any dividend without prior approval from the Federal Reserve Bank, and the Company agreed not to incur or increase debt or to redeem any outstanding shares without prior Federal Reserve Bank approval.

The MOU requires the Bank to, among other things, submit and provide periodic updates to: (i) a business/strategic plan covering a three year period; (ii) a Profit and Budget Plan and certain capital plans; and (iii) a written plan for the continued reduction of adversely classified assets. Under the MOU, the Bank must continue to take steps necessary, consistent and with sound banking practices, to eliminate and/or correct all deficiencies specifically cited by regulators in certain reports of examination and furnish written progress reports covering the MOU. The MOU keeps in place restrictions on extending, renewing, or restructuring any credit to or for the benefit of certain borrowers whose loans have been criticized by regulators, while maintaining certain related documentation, and declaring or paying any cash dividends without the written approval of the PDB. The Bank is required to submit a written plan to strengthen Board oversight of management and Bank operations, including credit administration, credit risk management, loan review, enterprise risk management, capital, and earnings, and have and retain qualified management, substantially similar to the requirement contained in the Written Agreement. The Bank must also submit an updated written summary of the status of Bank progress in implementing its ERM program and credit risk management plan, with provisions for enhanced monitoring and control of problem assets and oversight of the loan review function.

The Company and the Bank have developed and continue to implement strategies and action plans with the intention of meeting the requirements of the Written Agreement and the MOU. As part of its efforts on complying with the terms of the Written Agreement and the MOU, the Bank has filed a capital plan with the Federal Reserve Bank and the PDB.

The Written Agreement will continue until terminated by the Federal Reserve Bank, and the MOU will continue until terminated by the PDB.

NOTE 7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$5,177	\$2,109	\$10,028	\$7,077
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	8,111	8,091	8,109	8,089
Impact of common stock equivalents	11	0	4	0
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	8,122	8,091	8,113	8,089
Per share information:				
Basic earnings per share	\$0.64	\$0.26	\$1.24	\$0.87
Diluted earnings per share	0.64	0.26	1.24	0.87

Stock options amounting to 159,000 and 242,000 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 as their exercise would have been antidilutive as the exercise price exceeded the average market value. Stock options amounting to 187,000 and 243,000 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended

September 30, 2014 and 2013 as their exercise would have been antidilutive as the exercise price exceeded the average market value.

Table of Contents

NOTE 8. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE-SHEET RISK

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

(Dollars in thousands)	Contract or Notional Amount	
	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Commitments to fund:		
Revolving, open ended home equity loans	\$81,743	\$86,253
1-4 family residential construction loans	673	2,657
Commercial real estate, construction and land development loans	10,419	2,961
Commercial, industrial and other loans	64,854	45,629
Standby letters of credit	7,003	6,267

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, residential real estate, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. The Company holds collateral supporting those commitments when deemed necessary by management. The current amount of liability, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued was not material.

The Company currently maintains a reserve in other liabilities totaling \$345,000 and \$529,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for off-balance sheet credit exposures that currently are not funded, based on historical loss experience of the related loan class. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (\$245,000) and \$76,000 was expensed (recovered) through noninterest expense for this exposure and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the amount expensed (recovered) was (\$184,000) and (\$70,000).

The Company has sold loans to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago as part of its Mortgage Partnership Finance Program ("MPF Program"). Under the terms of the MPF Program, there is limited recourse back to the Company for loans that do not perform in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Each loan that is sold under the program is "credit enhanced" such that the individual loan's rating is raised to "AA," as determined by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago. The sum of total loans sold under the MPF Program with limited recourse was \$54,972,000 and \$61,862,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, with limited recourse back to the Company on these loans of \$8,508,000 at these dates. Many of the loans sold under the MPF Program have primary mortgage insurance, which reduces the Company's overall exposure. The Company is in the process of foreclosing on loans sold under the MPF Program or recovering amounts previously charged off, with a resulting net charge of \$162,000 and \$76,000 for

the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$131,000 and \$20,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. These amounts, charged to other expenses represent an estimate of the Company's loss under its recourse exposure.

Table of Contents

NOTE 9. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

The Company meets the requirements for disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. Certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments are excluded from disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

Fair value measurements under GAAP defines fair value, describes a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements by establishing a three-level hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to valuation techniques that employ unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure the assets or liabilities fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the classification is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability. Classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy considers the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability and transparency of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

The three levels are defined as follows: Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active or by model-based techniques in which all significant inputs are observable in the market for the asset or liability, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 – the valuation methodology is derived from model-based techniques in which at least one significant input is unobservable to the fair value measurement and based on the Company’s own assumptions about market participants’ assumptions.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured on a recurring basis at estimated fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy:

Securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government bonds, mortgage products and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, securities are classified within Level 2 and fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flow. Level 2 securities would include U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions and certain corporate, asset backed and other securities. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. All of the Company’s securities are classified as available for sale.

Table of Contents

The Company had no fair value liabilities measured on a recurring basis at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. A summary of assets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, measured at estimated fair value on a recurring basis was as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value Measurements
September 30, 2014				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$0	\$24,176	\$0	\$24,176
States and political subdivisions	0	52,014	0	52,014
U.S. Government Sponsored enterprises (GSE) residential mortgage-backed securities	0	179,786	0	179,786
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	0	81,984	0	81,984
GSE commercial CMOs	0	64,540	0	64,540
Total debt securities	0	402,500	0	402,500
Equity securities - financial services	0	63	0	63
Total securities	\$0	\$402,563	\$0	\$402,563
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value Measurements
December 31, 2013				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$0	\$25,451	\$0	\$25,451
U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE)	0	13,714	0	13,714
States and political subdivisions	0	71,544	0	71,544
GSE residential mortgage-backed securities	0	198,619	0	198,619
GSE residential collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	0	40,532	0	40,532
GSE commercial CMOs	0	57,014	0	57,014
Total debt securities	0	406,874	0	406,874
Equity securities - financial services	0	69	0	69
Total securities	\$0	\$406,943	\$0	\$406,943

Certain financial assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain financial assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements:

Impaired Loans

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, will not be collected. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Fair value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing the loan, less estimated costs to sell. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The value of the real estate collateral is determined utilizing an income or market valuation approach based on an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser outside of the Company using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction, or if management adjusts the appraisal value, then the fair value is considered Level 3. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal, if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business' financial

statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivable collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans with an allocation to the allowance for loan losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value

Table of Contents

adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the consolidated statements of income. Specific allocations to the allowance for loan losses or partial charge-offs were \$4,393,000 and \$3,238,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Foreclosed Real Estate

Other real estate property acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at the fair value of the property at the transfer date less estimated selling cost. Subsequently, other real estate owned is carried at the lower of its carrying value or the fair value less estimated selling cost. Fair value is usually determined based upon an independent third-party appraisal of the property or occasionally upon a recent sales offer. Cumulative specific charges to value the real estate owned at the lower of cost or fair value on properties held at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were \$565,000 and \$411,000. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, charges to the value of real estate owned were \$145,000 and \$154,000. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, charges were \$29,000 and \$29,000.

A summary of assets at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, measured at estimated fair value on a nonrecurring basis was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value Measurements
September 30, 2014				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$0	\$0	\$1,389	\$1,389
Non-owner occupied	0	0	350	350
Multi-family	0	0	96	96
Non-owner occupied residential	0	0	1,087	1,087
Acquisition and development:				
Commercial and land development	0	0	141	141
Commercial and industrial	0	0	29	29
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	0	0	1,689	1,689
Installment and other loans	0	0	14	14
Impaired loans, net	\$0	\$0	\$4,795	\$4,795
Foreclosed real estate	\$0	\$0	\$718	\$718
December 31, 2013				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$0	\$0	\$1,031	\$1,031
Non-owner occupied	0	0	694	694
Multi-family	0	0	322	322
Non-owner occupied residential	0	0	1,662	1,662
Acquisition and development:				
Commercial and land development	0	0	51	51
Commercial and industrial	0	0	592	592
Residential mortgage:				
First lien	0	0	599	599
Impaired loans, net	\$0	\$0	\$4,951	\$4,951
Foreclosed real estate	\$0	\$0	\$558	\$558

Table of Contents

The following table presents additional qualitative information about assets measured on a nonrecurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value Estimate	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range
September 30, 2014				
Impaired loans	\$ 4,795	Appraisal of collateral	Management adjustments on appraisals for property type and recent activity	0%-30% discount
			Management adjustments for liquidation expenses	5%-10% discount
Foreclosed real estate	718	Appraisal of collateral	Management adjustments on appraisals for property type and recent activity	0%-30% discount
			Management adjustments for liquidation expenses	5%-25% discount
December 31, 2013				
Impaired loans	\$ 4,951	Appraisal of collateral	Management adjustments on appraisals for property type and recent activity	0%-30% discount
			Management adjustments for liquidation expenses	5%-10% discount
Foreclosed real estate	558	Appraisal of collateral	Management adjustments on appraisals for property type and recent activity	0%-30% discount
			Management adjustments for liquidation expenses	5%-10% discount

Fair values of financial instruments

In addition to those disclosed above, the following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments as disclosed herein:

Cash and Due from Banks and Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks

The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits with banks approximate their fair value.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. These loans typically consist of one-to-four family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale.

Loans Receivable

For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed rate loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered in the market for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

These investments are carried at cost. The Company is required to maintain minimum investment balances in these stocks, which are not actively traded and therefore have no readily determinable market value.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income.

Deposits

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate, money market accounts and certificates of deposit

Table of Contents

approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposits and IRAs are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation based on the Company's incremental borrowing rates for similar maturities.

Short-Term Borrowings

Fair values of the Company's short-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Long-Term Debt

The fair value of the Company's fixed rate long-term borrowings is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Accrued Interest

The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate their fair values.

Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments

The Company generally does not charge commitment fees. Fees for standby letters of credit and other off-balance-sheet instruments are not significant.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial statements were as follows at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
September 30, 2014					
Financial Assets					
Cash and due from banks	\$ 15,524	\$ 15,524	\$ 15,524	\$ 0	\$ 0
Interest bearing deposits with banks	20,213	20,213	20,213	0	0
Restricted investments in bank stock	9,334	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Securities available for sale	402,563	402,563	0	402,563	0
Loans held for sale	4,164	4,164	0	4,164	0
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	664,355	670,934	0	0	670,934
Accrued interest receivable	3,151	3,151	0	1,554	1,597
Mortgage servicing rights	2,730	2,892	0	0	2,892
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	989,234	990,207	0	990,207	0
Short-term borrowings	56,279	56,127	0	56,127	0
Long-term debt	14,986	15,734	0	15,734	0
Accrued interest payable	271	271	0	271	0
Off-balance sheet instruments	0	0	0	0	0
December 31, 2013					
Financial Assets					
Cash and due from banks	\$ 12,995	\$ 12,995	\$ 12,995	\$ 0	\$ 0
Interest bearing deposits with banks	24,565	24,565	24,565	0	0
Restricted investments in bank stock	9,921	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Securities available for sale	406,943	406,943	0	406,943	0
Loans held for sale	1,936	1,936	0	1,936	0
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	650,072	655,122	0	0	655,122
Accrued interest receivable	3,400	3,400	0	1,902	1,498
Mortgage servicing rights	2,806	3,090	0	0	3,090
Financial Liabilities					
Deposits	1,000,390	1,002,235	0	1,002,235	0
Short-term borrowings	59,032	59,032	0	59,032	0
Long-term debt	16,077	16,645	0	16,645	0
Accrued interest payable	333	333	0	333	0
Off-balance sheet instruments	0	0	0	0	0

NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES

The nature of the Company's business generates a certain amount of litigation involving matters arising out of the ordinary course of business. Except as described below, in the opinion of management, there are no legal proceedings that might have a material effect on the results of operations, liquidity, or the financial position of the Company at this time.

On May 25, 2012, Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority ("SEPTA") filed a putative class action complaint in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania against the Company, the Bank and certain current and former directors and executive officers (collectively, the "Defendants"). The complaint alleges, among other things, that (i) in connection with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated February 23, 2010 and its Prospectus Supplement dated March 23, 2010, and (ii) during the purported class period of March 24, 2010 through October 27, 2011, the

Table of Contents

Company issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's lending practices and financial results, including misleading statements concerning the stringent nature of the Bank's credit practices and underwriting standards, the quality of its loan portfolio, and the intended use of the proceeds from the Company's March 2010 public offering of common stock. The complaint asserts claims under Sections 11, 12(a) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and seeks class certification, unspecified money damages, interest, costs, fees and equitable or injunctive relief. Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), motions for appointment of Lead Plaintiff in this case were due by July 24, 2012. SEPTA was the sole movant and the Court appointed SEPTA Lead Plaintiff on August 20, 2012.

Pursuant to the PSLRA and the Court's September 27, 2012 Order, SEPTA was given until October 26, 2012 to file an amended complaint and the Defendants until December 7, 2012 to file a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. SEPTA's opposition to the Defendant's motion to dismiss was originally due January 11, 2013. Under the PSLRA, discovery and all other proceedings in the case are stayed pending the Court's ruling on the motion to dismiss. The September 27, 2012 Order specified that if the motion to dismiss were denied, the Court would schedule a conference to address discovery and the filing of a motion for class certification. On October 26, 2012, SEPTA filed an unopposed motion for enlargement of time to file its amended complaint in order to permit the parties and new defendants to be named in the amended complaint time to discuss plaintiff's claims and defendants' defenses. On October 26, 2012, the Court granted SEPTA's motion, mooting its September 27, 2012 scheduling Order, and requiring SEPTA to file its amended complaint on or before January 16, 2013 or otherwise advise the Court of circumstances that require a further enlargement of time. On January 14, 2013, the Court granted SEPTA's second unopposed motion for enlargement of time to file an amended complaint on or before March 22, 2013.

On March 4, 2013, SEPTA filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint expands the list of defendants in the action to include the Company's former independent registered public accounting firm and the underwriters of the Company's March 2010 public offering of common stock. In addition, among other things, the amended complaint extends the purported 1934 Exchange Act class period from March 15, 2010 through April 5, 2012.

Pursuant to the Court's March 28, 2013 Second Scheduling Order, on May 28, 2013 all defendants filed their motions to dismiss the amended complaint, and on July 22, 2013 SEPTA filed its "omnibus" opposition to all of the defendants' motions to dismiss. On August 23, 2013, all defendants filed reply briefs in further support of their motions to dismiss. On December 5, 2013, the Court ordered oral argument on the Orrstown Defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint to be heard on February 7, 2014. Oral argument on the pending motions to dismiss SEPTA's amended complaint was held on April 29, 2014. A decision from the court on the motions to dismiss is pending.

The Second Scheduling Order stays all discovery in the case pending the outcome of the motions to dismiss, and informs the parties that, if required, a telephonic conference to address discovery and the filing of SEPTA's motion for class certification will be scheduled after the Court's ruling on the motions to dismiss.

The matter is currently progressing through the legal process. The Orrstown Defendants believe that the allegations in the amended complaint are without merit and intend to defend themselves vigorously against those claims, and as a result, no accrual for losses have been established for this matter.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

The Company is a bank holding company that has elected status as a financial holding company, with a wholly-owned bank subsidiary, Orrstown Bank. At September 30, 2014, the Company had total assets of \$1,183,392,000, total liabilities of \$1,076,874,000 and total shareholders' equity of \$106,518,000. Currently, the U.S. economy appears to be slowly recovering from one of its longest and most severe economic recessions in recent history. The economic recovery has been slower than anticipated, but signs of growth have continued into the third quarter of 2014.

However, the continued uncertainty with the economy, together with the challenging regulatory environment, will continue to affect the Company and the markets in which it does business, and may impact the Company's results in the future. American households are being affected by higher costs on daily consumable products and declining wages, which affects household spending and may slow economic growth. During the middle of 2013, mortgage

interest rates rose, leading to a reduction in the number of customers refinancing their residential mortgages which contributed to lower revenues.

Caution About Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements appearing herein which are not historical in nature are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements refer to a future period or periods,

Table of Contents

reflecting management's current views as to likely future developments, and use words like "may," "will," "expect," "estimate," "anticipate" or similar terms. Forward-looking statements are statements that include projections, predictions, expectations, or beliefs about events or results or otherwise are not statements of historical facts, including, but not limited to, statements related to new business development, new loan opportunities, growth in the balance sheet and fee based revenue lines of business, reducing risk assets, and mitigating losses in the future. Actual results and trends could differ materially from those set forth in such statements and there can be no assurances that we will achieve the desired level of new business development and new loans, growth in the balance sheet and fee based revenue lines of business, continue to reduce risk assets or mitigate losses in the future. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following: ineffectiveness of the Company's business strategy due to changes in current or future market conditions; the effects of competition, including industry consolidation and development of competing financial products and services; changes in laws and regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act; interest rate movements; changes in credit quality; inability to raise capital under favorable conditions, volatilities in the securities markets; deteriorating economic conditions, and other risks and uncertainties, including those detailed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30, 2014 and March 31, 2014 and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the sections titled "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and in other filings made with the Commission. The statements are valid only as of the date hereof and the Company disclaims any obligation to update this information.

The following is a discussion of our consolidated financial condition at September 30, 2014 and results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Throughout this discussion, the yield on earning assets is stated on a fully taxable-equivalent basis and balances represent average daily balances unless otherwise stated. The discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and Notes thereto presented elsewhere in this report. Certain prior period amounts, presented in this discussion and analysis, have been reclassified to conform to current period classifications.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and follow general practices within the financial services industry in which it operates. Management, in order to prepare the Company's consolidated financial statements, is required to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the balance sheet date through the date the financial statements are filed with the Commission. As this information changes, the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based either on quoted market prices or are provided by other third-party sources.

The most significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, the Company has identified the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and accounting for income taxes as critical accounting policies.

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows

on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future effects of temporary differences and tax credits. Enacted tax rates are applied to cumulative temporary differences based on expected taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax asset or liability is anticipated to be realized. Future tax rate changes could occur that would require the

Table of Contents

recognition of income or expense in the statement of operations in the period in which they are enacted. Deferred tax assets must be reduced by a valuation allowance if in management's judgment it is "more likely than not" that some portion of the asset will not be realized. Management may need to modify its judgment in this regard, from one period to another, should a material change occur in the business environment, tax legislation, or in any other business factor that could impair the Company's ability to benefit from the asset in the future. Based upon the Company's prior cumulative taxable losses, projections for future taxable income and other available evidence, management determined that there was not sufficient positive evidence to outweigh the cumulative loss, and concluded it was not more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset would be realized. Accordingly, a full valuation allowance was recorded at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Management will continue to update its analysis quarterly, and after a period of sustainable taxable income, and cumulative earnings over a period of time, the valuation allowance may be reversed in part or in total. However, there can be no assurance that there will be a future reversal of all or any portion of the valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset.

Readers of the consolidated financial statements should be aware that the estimates and assumptions used in the Company's current financial statements may need to be updated in future financial presentations for changes in circumstances, business or economic conditions in order to fairly represent the condition of the Company at that time.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 COMPARED TO QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Summary

The Company recorded net income of \$5,177,000 for the third quarter of 2014 compared to net income of \$2,109,000 for the same period in 2013. Basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the third quarter of 2014 were \$0.64, compared to \$0.26 for the third quarter of 2013. Net interest income of \$8,447,000 was \$681,000 higher for the three months ended September 30, 2014 than in 2013. As a result of the improvement in the Company's asset quality and earnings performance, the Company was able to invest its excess liquidity previously kept in interest bearing bank balances into higher yielding securities and its loan portfolio. Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 benefited from higher levels of gains on sales of securities and real estate owned sales, which, in aggregate were \$308,000 higher than in the same period in the prior year. In addition, results were positively impacted by a negative provision for loan losses of \$2,900,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is the difference between interest income and fees on interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, is the primary component of the Company's revenue. Interest earning assets include loans, securities and interest bearing deposits with banks. Interest bearing liabilities include deposits and borrowed funds. To compare the tax-exempt yields to taxable yields, amounts are adjusted to pretax equivalents based on a 35% federal corporate tax rate.

Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates, volumes of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the composition of those assets and liabilities. The "net interest spread" and "net interest margin" are two common statistics related to changes in net interest income. The net interest spread represents the difference between the yields earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid for interest-bearing liabilities. The net interest margin is defined as the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets. Through the use of noninterest bearing, demand deposits, certain other liabilities, and stockholders' equity, the net interest margin exceeds the net interest spread, as these funding sources are non-interest bearing.

The table below presents net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis, net interest spread and net interest margin for the quarters ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, net interest income measured on a fully tax equivalent basis increased \$541,000 to \$8,776,000 from \$8,235,000 in the corresponding period in 2013. The primary reason for the increase in net interest income was an increase in the rates on interest earning assets coupled with being able to lower the cost of funds, on interest bearing liabilities.

Interest income earned on loans decreased from \$8,048,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to \$7,646,000 for the same period in 2014, a \$402,000 decline. The primary reason for the decline was a decrease in rates earned from 4.72% in the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to 4.42% in the same period in 2014, offset by an increase in the average balance of

Table of Contents

loans from \$676,849,000 for the third quarter of 2013 to \$686,644,000 for the same period in 2014. Competitive market conditions have led to slower growth opportunities, while also leading to lower interest rates of returns. Securities interest income increased \$789,000 to \$2,151,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, from \$1,362,000 for the same period in 2013. The average balance of securities has increased from \$365,015,000 in the third quarter of 2013 to \$410,716,000 for the same period in 2014. Rates earned on securities increased from a tax equivalent yield of 1.48% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 to 2.08% in the same period in 2014. The increase in the average earnings balance of securities is the result of investing excess liquidity into higher yielding securities.

Interest expense on deposits and borrowings for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$1,030,000, a decrease of \$186,000, from \$1,216,000 in the same period in 2013. The Company's cost of funds on interest bearing liabilities has declined to 0.44% for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 from 0.50% for the same period in 2013. The interest rate environment has allowed the Company to lower the rates offered on its savings accounts, and as time deposits mature, it has also been able to replace the funds at slightly lower rates.

The Company's net interest spread of 3.06% increased 17 basis points in the quarter ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Net interest margin for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 was 3.13%, a 17 basis point increase from 2.96% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

The table that follows shows average balances and interest yields on a fully taxable equivalent basis (FTE) for the quarters ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014			September 30, 2013				
	Average Balance	Tax Equivalent Interest	Tax Equivalent Rate	Average Balance	Tax Equivalent Interest	Tax Equivalent Rate		
Assets								
Federal funds sold & interest bearing bank balances	\$14,677	\$9	0.24 %	\$61,681	\$41	0.26 %		
Securities	410,716	2,151	2.08	365,015	1,362	1.48		
Loans	686,644	7,646	4.42	676,849	8,048	4.72		
Total interest-earning assets	1,112,037	9,806	3.50	1,103,545	9,451	3.40		
Other assets	60,917			75,406				
Total	\$1,172,954			\$1,178,951				
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity								
Interest bearing demand deposits	\$492,131	\$212	0.17	\$481,533	\$171	0.14		
Savings deposits	85,232	34	0.16	80,731	50	0.25		
Time deposits	290,936	678	0.92	340,271	852	0.99		
Short term borrowings	45,835	33	0.29	27,124	19	0.28		
Long term debt	15,200	73	1.91	25,981	124	1.89		
Total interest bearing liabilities	929,334	1,030	0.44	955,640	1,216	0.50		
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	128,876			125,907				
Other	11,544			10,320				
Total Liabilities	1,069,754			1,091,867				
Shareholders' Equity	103,200			87,084				
Total	\$1,172,954			\$1,178,951				
Net interest income (FTE)/net interest spread		8,776	3.06 %		8,235	2.89 %		
Net interest margin			3.13 %			2.96 %		
Tax-equivalent adjustment		(329)			(469)			
Net interest income		\$8,447			\$7,766			

NOTES: Yields and interest income on tax-exempt assets have been computed on a fully taxable equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
For yield calculation purposes, nonaccruing loans are included in the average loan balance.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company recorded a negative provision for loan losses, or a reversal of amounts previously provided, of \$2,900,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to no provision for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The negative provision recorded in the third quarter of 2014 is the result of several factors, including: 1) favorable recoveries totaling \$732,000 of loan amounts previously charged off, 2) successful resolution of a loan in workout with a smaller charge-off than the reserve established for it of \$1,243,000, and 3) significant improvement in asset quality metrics. Both quantitative and qualitative factors are considered in the determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, as noted in the "Asset Quality" section. Recent favorable charge-off data combined with relatively stable economic and market conditions resulted in the determination that a negative provision could be recorded despite net charge-offs for the period, as allowance for loan losses coverage metrics remain strong.

See further discussion in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" section.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income, excluding securities gains, totaled \$4,283,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$4,442,000 for the same period in 2013. Several factors contributed to the net decrease in noninterest income, excluding securities gains, during the third quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, as noted below.

The Company experienced a decline in service charges on deposits and other services charges from \$1,448,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 to \$1,375,000 for the same period in 2014. This decline reflects trends noted in more conservative consumer spending behavior.

- Orrstown Financial Advisors revenues, which included trust and estate fees and brokerage income, totaled \$1,747,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$1,699,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$48,000.

- During the past several quarters, there has been a reduction in the number of customers refinancing their residential mortgages and home sales in the Company's primary market area have decreased. These events have resulted in lower mortgage banking income, which totaled \$613,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, a decline of 15.7% from \$727,000 for the same period of 2013. The higher interest rate environment positively impacted 2013's results as the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights improved, which allowed for the recovery of \$280,000 of our impairment reserve in the third quarter of 2013, with no similar recovery in the same period in 2014.

Securities gains totaled \$469,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$157,000 for the same period in 2013. For both periods, asset/liability management strategies and interest rate conditions resulted in gains on sales of securities.

Noninterest Expenses

Noninterest expenses amounted to \$10,898,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$10,537,000 for the corresponding prior year period, an increase of 3.4%. The changes in certain components of noninterest expenses between the quarters ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, as described below, are reflective of the Company's investments to build a stronger foundation for future growth and to better serve the needs of our customers, combined with improvements in financial condition and asset quality.

As the Company began to introduce new product offerings, improve the effectiveness of alternate delivery channels and enter new markets, it experienced increased occupancy, furniture and equipment, and data processing expenses. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, these expenses totaled \$1,860,000, an increase of \$368,000 over the same period in 2013. In December 2013, the Company outsourced its core processing system to a third party provider, to capitalize on additional products and services that the provider offers. In connection with the migration to the new platform, upgrades in certain equipment were also required. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company opened its financial services facility office in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, resulting in a full quarter of occupancy charges in the third quarter of 2014, with no corresponding charge in the same period in 2013. The Company also experienced increased costs in 2014 related to a newly created Borrowers Assistance Group, designed to help mortgage customers

through periods of financial hardship.

45

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits totaled \$5,902,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, an increase of 5.7% over the same period in 2013. The increase in salaries and employee benefits for the three months ended September 30, 2014 over the same period in 2013 is primarily the result of additions to staff that were hired in the latter part of 2013, allowing for enhanced risk management processes and practices and greater depth in the information technology and operations departments, and less reliance on outside consultants. In addition, incremental share-based compensation expense of \$53,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, contributed to the increase.

Advertising and bank promotions were used to advance the Company's growth initiatives and the introduction of new products and services, including the Bank's first ever advertising on television and increased direct mail efforts that were launched in the first quarter of 2014. For the three months ended September 30, 2014, advertising and bank promotion expense totaled \$204,000 compared to \$231,000 for the same period in 2013.

Real estate owned expenses totaled \$201,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$55,000 for the same period in 2013. The unfavorable variance is principally related to obtaining updated appraisals on four properties, and the resulting \$145,000 write down that was required due to this updated information.

FDIC insurance expenses totaled \$403,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, a 37.7% reduction from \$647,000 for the same period in 2013 primarily because of a lower assessment rate, as the Company's risk profile improved.

Professional service fees, consisting principally of auditing, accounting, consulting, and legal services, totaled \$652,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$463,000 for the same period during 2013. During the third quarter of 2014, additional legal fees were incurred related to the class action lawsuit against the Company, as well as incremental costs associated with changing to an international accounting firm. Offsetting these unfavorable variances was a reduction in the use of outside consultants.

Taxes, other than income, decreased from \$222,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, to \$129,000 for the same period in 2014, due to a lower assessment rate and methodology for state bank shares tax.

Other operating expenses totaled \$1,025,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$1,325,000 for the same period in 2013. Consistent with the trends noted in the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, a benefit of \$245,000 was recognized for the three months ended September 30, 2014 in other expenses pertaining to the provision for off-balance sheet credit exposure, compared to an expense of \$76,000 for the same period in 2013, which is the primary reason for the change in other expenses.

The Company's efficiency ratio declined slightly for the three months ended September 30, 2014 to 81.6% compared to 82.4% for the same period in 2013. The improvement in the ratio was primarily the result of higher net interest income, in comparison to the increases noted in noninterest expense. The efficiency ratio expresses noninterest expense as a percentage of tax equivalent net interest income and noninterest income, excluding securities gains, intangible asset amortization and other real estate income and expenses.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense totaled \$24,000, for the three months ended September 30, 2014, compared to a tax benefit of \$(281,000) for the three months ended September 30, 2013. During the third quarter of 2012, an evaluation was completed on the Company's net deferred tax asset that existed at that time, which principally resulted from credit and credit related losses and expenses that the Company had experienced. As a result of the taxable losses that were generated during 2012, and our inability to fully offset the tax to the two preceding carryback years allowed by tax regulation, our net deferred tax asset was dependent on tax planning strategies and future taxable income. Based on forecasted taxable income at that time, combined with limited available tax planning strategies, we were not able to conclude that the deferred tax asset would more likely than not be realized in its entirety, and as such, a valuation allowance was established for the full amount beginning in the third quarter of 2012, which resulted in a charge at that time of \$19,872,000. As of September 30, 2014, while improvements continue in our operating results, we continue to believe that the valuation allowance is appropriate and, as of this date, such allowance totaled \$16,592,000. The evaluation is updated quarterly and if the Company continues to experience profitability, reversal of the valuation in part, or in full, becomes more likely. The tax expense in 2014 pertains to state tax expense, whereas the benefits in 2013 principally pertains to a state income tax refund.

Table of Contents

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Summary

The Company recorded net income of \$10,028,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to net income of \$7,077,000 for the same period in 2013. Basic and diluted EPS for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$1.24, compared to \$0.87 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As a result of the improvement in the Company's asset quality and earnings performance, the Company was able to invest its excess liquidity previously kept in interest bearing bank balances into higher yielding securities and its loan portfolio. In addition, lower average balances of nonaccrual loans allowed for greater recognition of interest income.

Net Interest Income

The table below presents net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis, net interest spread and net interest margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, net interest income measured on a fully tax equivalent basis increased \$1,708,000 to \$26,618,000 from \$24,910,000 in the corresponding period in 2013. The primary reason for the increase in net interest income was an increase in the rates on interest earning assets coupled with being able to lower the cost of funds, or interest bearing liabilities.

Interest income earned on loans decreased from \$24,717,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 to \$22,975,000 for the same period in 2014, a \$1,742,000 decline. The primary reason for the decline was a decrease in rates earned from 4.84% in the quarter September 30, 2013 to 4.52% in the same period in 2014 and a slight decrease in the average balance from \$682,143,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$679,042,000 for the same period in 2014. Loans paying off at higher rates than the new loans originated, as well as competitive market conditions have led to the decline in interest rates.

Securities interest income increased \$2,897,000 to \$6,819,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, from \$3,922,000 for the same period in 2013. The average balance on securities has increased from \$359,338,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$418,663,000 for the same period in 2014. Rates earned on securities increased from a tax equivalent yield of 1.46% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to 2.18% in the same period in 2014. Investments in higher yielding securities, as well as slower prepayment speeds on mortgage-backed securities led to an increase in yields earning on securities.

Interest expense on deposits and borrowings for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$3,200,000, a decrease of \$681,000, from \$3,881,000 in the same period in 2013. The Company's cost of funds on interest bearing liabilities has declined to 0.46% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from 0.53% for the same period in 2013. The interest rate environment has allowed the Company to lower the rates offered on its demand deposits, including interest bearing demand, money market and savings, and as time deposits and long-term debt mature, it has also been able to replace the funds at slightly lower rates.

The Company's net interest spread of 3.13% increased 22 basis points for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Net interest margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was 3.20%, a 22 basis point increase from 2.98% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The table that follows shows average balances and interest yields on a fully taxable equivalent basis (FTE) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014			September 30, 2013		
	Average Balance	Tax Equivalent Interest	Tax Equivalent Rate	Average Balance	Tax Equivalent Interest	Tax Equivalent Rate
Assets						
Federal funds sold & interest bearing bank balances	\$13,324	\$24	0.24 %	\$78,107	\$ 152	0.26 %
Securities	418,663	6,819	2.18	359,338	3,922	1.46
Loans	679,042	22,975	4.52	682,143	24,717	4.84
Total interest-earning assets	1,111,029	29,818	3.59	1,119,588	28,791	3.44
Other assets	61,040			72,855		
Total	\$1,172,069			\$1,192,443		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity						
Interest bearing demand deposits	\$485,118	\$604	0.17	\$481,462	\$ 593	0.16
Savings deposits	83,478	101	0.16	78,393	99	0.17
Time deposits	304,436	2,135	0.94	363,455	2,744	1.01
Short term borrowings	46,929	96	0.27	18,151	33	0.24
Long term debt	18,748	264	1.88	32,953	412	1.67
Total interest bearing liabilities	938,709	3,200	0.46	974,414	3,881	0.53
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	122,603			119,236		
Other	12,037			10,940		
Total Liabilities	1,073,349			1,104,590		
Shareholders' Equity	98,720			87,853		
Total	\$1,172,069			\$1,192,443		
Net interest income (FTE)/net interest spread		26,618	3.13 %		24,910	2.91 %
Net interest margin			3.20 %			2.98 %
Tax-equivalent adjustment		(1,155)			(1,336)	
Net interest income		\$25,463			\$ 23,574	

NOTES: Yields and interest income on tax-exempt assets have been computed on a fully taxable equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate.

For yield calculation purposes, nonaccruing loans are included in the average loan balance.

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company recorded a negative provision for loan losses, or a reversal of amounts previously provided, of \$2,900,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to a negative provision of \$1,400,000 for the same period in 2013. The negative provision of \$2,900,000 recorded in 2014 is the result of several factors, including: 1) favorable recoveries of loan amounts previously charged off of \$1,294,000, 2) successful resolution of a loan in workout with a smaller charge-off than the reserve established for it, of \$1,243,000, and 3) significant improvement in asset quality metrics as noted in the "Asset Quality" section. Both quantitative and qualitative factors are considered in the determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Recent favorable charge-off data combined with relatively stable economic and market conditions resulted in the determination that a negative provision could be recorded despite net charge-offs for the period, as allowance for loan losses coverage metrics remain strong.

The negative provision for loan losses of \$1,400,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was the result of payments the Company received on classified loans with partial charge-offs previously recorded. As these payments received during the second quarter of 2013 exceeded the carrying value of the related loans, the excess was included

in recoveries of loan amounts previously charged off. As the allowance for loan losses was deemed adequate prior to those recoveries, the amount of the recoveries was recorded as a negative provision for loan losses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net charge-offs totaled \$514,000.

Table of Contents

While management believes the Company's allowance for loan losses is adequate based on information currently available, future adjustments, including additional provisions for loan losses or the reversal of amounts previously provided, to the reserve and enhancements to the methodology may be necessary due to changes in economic conditions, regulatory guidance, or management's assumptions as to future delinquencies or loss rates. See further discussion in the "Allowance for Loan Losses" section.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income, excluding securities gains, totaled \$12,660,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$13,416,000 for the same period in 2013. Several factors contributed to the change in noninterest income, excluding security gains, for the first nine months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, as noted below.

- The Company experienced a decline in service charges on deposits and other services charges, including certain loan fees, from \$4,307,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$4,056,000 for the same period in 2014. This decline reflects trends noted in more conservative consumer spending behavior and lower loan balances.
- During the past several quarters, mortgage interest rates have risen, leading to a reduction in the number of customers refinancing their residential mortgages and new mortgages related to home sales have decreased. These events have resulted in a \$950,000 decline in mortgage banking revenues to \$1,634,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. The high interest rate environment positively impacted 2013's results as the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights improved, which allowed for the recovery of \$644,000 of our impairment reserve in the first nine months of 2013, with no similar recovery in the same period in 2014.

Other income of \$364,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a \$402,000 improvement on the \$38,000 loss reported for the same period in 2013. The primary reason for the increase was due to \$269,000 in gains on sales of other real estate owned for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$144,000 in losses recorded for the same period in 2013.

Securities gains totaled \$1,668,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$279,000 for the same period in 2013. For both periods, asset/liability management strategies and interest rate conditions resulted in gains on sales of securities, as market conditions presented opportunities to reduce interest rate risk while maintaining earnings from our securities portfolio.

Noninterest Expenses

Noninterest expenses amounted to \$32,639,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$31,813,000 for the corresponding prior year period. The changes in certain components of noninterest expenses between the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and 2014, as described below.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, furniture and equipment, data processing, and occupancy expenses totaled \$5,442,000, an increase of 23.2% over the \$4,418,000 for the same period in 2013. In December 2013, the Company outsourced its core processing system to a third party provider, to capitalize on additional products and services that the outsourced solution offered. In connection with the migration to the new platform, upgrades in certain equipment were also required. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company opened its financial services facility office in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, resulting in a full nine months of occupancy charges in the first nine months of 2014, with no corresponding charge in the same period in 2013.

Salaries and employee benefits totaled \$17,593,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$16,717,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$876,000, or 5.2%. The increase in salaries and benefits is primarily the result of additions to staff that were hired in the latter part of 2013, allowing for enhanced risk management processes and practices and greater depth in the information technology and operations departments, and less reliance on outside consultants.

Advertising and bank promotions expense increased \$131,000 to \$847,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 from \$716,000 for the same period in 2013. Advertising and bank promotions were used to advance the Company's growth initiatives and introduction of new products and services, including the Bank's first ever advertising

on television and increased direct mail efforts that were launched in the first quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents

FDIC insurance expense decreased \$712,000 to \$1,226,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$1,938,000 for the same period in 2013. The 36.7% decrease is primarily the result of a lower assessment rate, as the Company's risk profile improved.

Real estate owned expenses totaled \$261,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$115,000 for the same period in 2013. This unfavorable variance is principally related to obtaining updated appraisals on several properties, and the resulting \$154,000 write down that was required due to this updated information for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$29,000 for the same period in 2013.

Taxes, other than income, decreased from \$710,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$443,000 for the same period in 2014, due to a change in the assessment rate and methodology for state bank shares tax.

Consistent with the trends noted in the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, a benefit of \$184,000 was recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 in other expenses pertaining to the provision for off-balance sheet credit exposure, compared to a benefit of \$70,000 for the same period in 2013, and is the primary reason for the decrease. Other operating expenses totaled \$3,648,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$3,865,000 for the same period in 2013.

The Company's efficiency ratio increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 to 82.6%, compared to 82.0% for the same period in 2013. The higher, or less favorable, ratio between the two periods was primarily the result of higher noninterest expenses in comparison to the net increases noted in revenues.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense totaled \$24,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to a tax benefit of \$221,000 for the same period in 2013, on pre-tax income of \$10,052,000, and \$6,856,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. As a result of our net operating loss carry forwards, combined with a full valuation allowance on its net deferred tax asset, no federal income tax expense was recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. The tax expense in 2014 pertains to state tax expense, whereas the benefit recorded in 2013 principally pertains to a state income tax refund.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

A substantial amount of time is devoted by management to overseeing the investment of funds in loans and securities and the formulation of policies directed toward the profitability and minimization of risk associated with such investments.

Securities Available for Sale

The Company utilizes securities available for sale as a tool for managing interest rate risk, enhancing income through interest and dividend income, to provide liquidity and to provide collateral for certain deposits and borrowings. As of September 30, 2014, securities available for sale were \$402,563,000, a decrease of \$4,380,000, from December 31, 2013's balance of \$406,943,000. Many of the securities have monthly cash flows which will provide cash flow to fund loan growth as the loan pipeline expands.

Loan Portfolio

The Company offers various products to meet the credit needs of our borrowers, principally consisting of commercial real estate loans, commercial and industrial loans, and retail loans consisting of loans secured by residential properties, and to a lesser extent, installment loans. No loans are extended to non-domestic borrowers or governments.

The risks associated with lending activities differ among the various loan classes, and are subject to the impact of changes in interest rates, market conditions of collateral securing the loans, and general economic conditions. All of these factors may adversely impact the borrower's ability to repay its loans, and impact the associated collateral. See Note 3, "Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses," in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed description of the Company's loan classes and differing levels of credit risk associated with each class, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

The loan portfolio, excluding residential loans held for sale, broken out by classes as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Commercial real estate:		
Owner-occupied	\$97,401	\$111,290
Non-owner occupied	142,146	135,953
Multi-family	26,801	22,882
Non-owner occupied residential	48,559	55,272
Acquisition and development:		
1-4 family residential construction	5,297	3,338
Commercial and land development	15,480	19,440
Commercial and industrial	45,125	33,446
Municipal	62,385	60,996
Residential mortgage:		
First lien	126,552	124,728
Home equity - term	20,833	20,131
Home equity - Lines of credit	83,673	77,377
Installment and other loans	6,122	6,184
	\$680,374	\$671,037

The loan portfolio at September 30, 2014 of \$680,374,000 reflected an increase of \$9,337,000 from \$671,037,000 at December 31, 2013. Growth was achieved in the portfolio despite active loan collection efforts, in which the Company collected \$19,299,000 in pay downs/payoffs, charge-offs, loan sales or foreclosure on nonaccrual loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Current economic and market conditions in the Company's markets have tempered loan growth, and competition for new business opportunities remains strong.

Asset Quality

Risk Elements

The Company's loan portfolios are subject to varying degrees of credit risk. Credit risk is mitigated through the Company's underwriting standards, on-going credit review, and monitoring of asset quality measures. Additionally, loan portfolio diversification, limiting exposure to a single industry or borrower, and requiring collateral also mitigate the Company's risk of credit loss.

The Company's loan portfolio is principally to borrowers in south central Pennsylvania and Washington County, Maryland. As the majority of loans are concentrated in this geographic region, a substantial portion of the debtor's ability to honor their obligations may be affected by the level of economic activity in the market area.

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and foreclosed real estate. In addition, restructured loans still accruing and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing are also deemed to be risk assets. For all loan classes, the accrual of interest income ceases when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more and collateral is inadequate to cover principal and interest or immediately if, in the opinion of management, full collection is unlikely. Interest will continue to accrue on loans past due 90 days or more if the collateral is adequate to cover principal and interest, and the loan is in the process of collection. Interest accrued, but not collected, as of the date of placement on nonaccrual status, is generally reversed and charged against interest income, unless fully collateralized. Subsequent payments received are either applied to the outstanding principal balance or recorded as interest income, depending on management's assessment of the ultimate collectability of principal. Loans are returned to accrual status, for all loan classes, when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current, the loans have performed in accordance with the contractual terms of the note for a reasonable period of time, generally six months, and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is reasonably assured. Past due status is based on contract terms of the loan.

Table of Contents

Loans, the terms of which are modified, are classified as troubled debt restructurings if a concession was granted, for legal or economic reasons, related to a debtor's financial difficulties. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring typically involve a temporary deferral of scheduled loan payments, an extension of a loan's stated maturity date, temporary reduction in interest rates, or below market rates. If a modification occurs while the loan is on accruing status, it will continue to accrue interest under the modified terms. Nonaccrual troubled debt restructurings are restored to accrual status if scheduled principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six months after modification, and the borrower continues to demonstrate its ability to meet the modified terms. Troubled debt restructurings are evaluated individually for impairment if they have been restructured during the most recent calendar year, or if they are not performing according to their modified terms.

The following table presents the Company's risk elements, including information concerning the aggregate balances of nonaccrual, restructured loans still accruing, loans past due 90 days or more, and foreclosed real estate as of September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013. Relevant asset quality ratios are also presented.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013	
Nonaccrual loans (cash basis)	\$12,623	\$19,347	\$16,813	
Other real estate (OREO)	1,128	987	965	
Total nonperforming assets	13,751	20,334	17,778	
Restructured loans still accruing	1,735	5,988	5,289	
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	0	0	20	
Total risk assets	\$15,486	\$26,322	\$23,087	
Loans 30-89 days past due	\$1,889	\$3,963	\$4,059	
Asset quality ratios:				
Nonaccrual loans to loans	1.86	% 2.88	% 2.46	%
Nonperforming assets to assets	1.16	% 1.73	% 1.47	%
Total nonperforming assets to total loans and OREO	2.02	% 3.03	% 2.60	%
Total risk assets to total loans and OREO	2.27	% 3.92	% 3.37	%
Total risk assets to total assets	1.31	% 2.23	% 1.90	%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	2.35	% 3.12	% 3.11	%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans	126.90	% 108.36	% 126.40	%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual and restructured loans still accruing	111.57	% 82.75	% 96.15	%

Risk assets totaled \$15,486,000 at September 30, 2014, which was a decrease of \$10,836,000, or 41.2%, from the balance at December 31, 2013 of \$26,322,000, and a decrease of \$12,684,000, or 45.0% from June 30, 2014. The decrease in nonaccrual loans since June 30, 2014 of \$7,905,000 is due to successful remediation efforts and principal paydowns totaling \$5,275,000, sales of nonaccrual loans of \$1,982,000, charge-offs of \$2,209,000, and foreclosures of \$232,000, offset by loans moved to nonaccrual status of \$1,793,000. The reduction in restructured loans still accruing of \$4,369,000 during the third quarter of 2014 is principally the result of the sale of the note receivable on one large restructured loan. The Company continues to actively address its classified and impaired loans.

Table of Contents

A further breakdown of impaired loans at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2014			December 31, 2013		
	Nonaccrual Loans	Restructured Loans Still Accruing	Total	Nonaccrual Loans	Restructured Loans Still Accruing	Total
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$3,160	\$ 0	\$3,160	\$4,362	\$ 200	\$4,562
Non-owner occupied	2,419	0	2,419	2,849	4,268	7,117
Multi-family	96	0	96	322	0	322
Non-owner occupied residential	1,640	0	1,640	4,493	0	4,493
Acquisition and development						
Commercial and land development	332	917	1,249	2,106	1,071	3,177
Commercial and industrial	694	0	694	2,001	0	2,001
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	4,151	818	4,969	2,926	449	3,375
Home equity - term	72	0	72	107	0	107
Home equity - lines of credit	42	0	42	181	0	181
Installment and other loans	17	0	17	0	0	0
	\$12,623	\$ 1,735	\$14,358	\$19,347	\$ 5,988	\$25,335

As of September 30, 2014, the Company had 89 lending relationships that had loans that were considered impaired, and were included in the impaired loan balance of \$14,358,000, compared to 68 lending relationships with an impaired loan balance of \$25,335,000 at December 31, 2013. The exposure to these borrowers with impaired loans is summarized in the following table, along with the partial charge-offs taken to date and the specific reserves established on the relationships at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	# of Loans	Recorded Investment	Partial Charge-offs to Date	Specific Reserves at Period End
September 30, 2014				
Relationships greater than \$1,000,000	1	\$ 1,280	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relationships greater than \$500,000 but less than \$1,000,000	4	2,359	0	41
Relationships greater than \$250,000 but less than \$500,000	13	4,346	1,002	0
Relationships less than \$250,000	71	6,373	3,187	162
	89	\$ 14,358	\$ 4,189	\$ 203
December 31, 2013				
Relationships greater than \$1,000,000	6	\$ 13,014	\$ 543	\$ 0
Relationships greater than \$500,000 but less than \$1,000,000	6	3,664	120	0
Relationships greater than \$250,000 but less than \$500,000	11	4,083	913	455
Relationships less than \$250,000	45	4,574	1,050	158
	68	\$ 25,335	\$ 2,626	\$ 613

The Company takes partial charge-offs on collateral dependent loans whose carrying value exceeded their estimated fair value, as determined by the most recent appraisal adjusted for current (within the quarter) conditions, less costs to dispose. ASC 310 impairment reserves remain in those situations in which updated appraisals are pending, and represent management's estimate of potential loss, or on restructured loans that are still accruing, and the impairment is based on discounted cash flows.

Of the relationships deemed to be impaired at September 30, 2014, one has an outstanding book balance in excess of \$1,000,000, totaling \$1,280,000. Seventy-one of the relationships, or 80% of the total number of impaired relationships, have recorded balances less than \$250,000, which reduces the likelihood of a large loss on one

particular loan.

The one relationship with impaired loans exceeding \$1,000,000 was to a commercial lessor with an outstanding balance of \$1,280,000. The decision to move the loan to nonaccrual status was made, despite the loan being current as to both principal and interest, as a result of declining cash flows of the Company, and the potential for further reduction in cash available to

53

Table of Contents

service debt in the near future. The Company believes it is well secured on this loan, and does not feel a loss will be incurred on it.

The 43.3% reduction in impaired loans from December 31, 2013 to September 30, 2014 is principally due to successful remediation efforts, principal paydowns, sales of impaired loans to a third party and charge-offs. Efforts were focused on the largest relationships. The increase in the impaired loan balances in the less than \$250,000 category is consistent with the Company's creation of a Borrowers Assistance Group, and working with retail customers on restructuring their loans in order for them to meet their debt service requirements.

In its individual loan impairment analysis, the Company determines the extent of any full or partial charge-offs that may be required, or any ASC 310 reserves that may be needed. The determination of the Company's charge-offs or impairment reserve determination included an evaluation of the outstanding loan balance, and the related collateral securing the credit. Through a combination of collateral securing the loans and partial charge-offs taken to date, the Company believes that it has adequately provided for the potential losses that it may incur on these relationships as of September 30, 2014. However, over time, additional information may become known that could result in increased reserve allocations or, alternatively, it may be deemed that the reserve allocations exceed those that are needed.

The Company's foreclosed real estate balance of \$1,128,000 consists of 11 properties owned by the Company, four of which were commercial properties and totaled \$652,000, and seven residential properties that totaled \$476,000. The largest commercial property with a carrying value of \$262,000 was land originally purchased by the Company for future expansion purposes. During 2011, it was determined that this property was no longer in the Company's strategic plans, and as such, the Company re-designated the property as held for sale. A second commercial property is a commercial land parcel with a carrying value of \$246,000. The remaining properties have carrying values less than \$125,000 and are also carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to dispose.

As of September 30, 2014, the Company believes the value of foreclosed assets represents their fair values, but if the real estate market remains challenging, additional charges may be needed.

Credit Risk Management

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company maintains the allowance for loan losses at a level believed adequate by management to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. The allowance is established and maintained through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Quarterly, management assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses utilizing a defined methodology, which considers specific credit evaluation of impaired loans, past loan loss historical experience, and qualitative factors. Management believes the approach properly addresses the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually identified as impaired, and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, and other bank regulatory guidance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. See Note 3, "Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses" in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the methodology for establishing the allowance and provision for loan losses and related procedures in establishing the appropriate level of reserve, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the Bank's ratings based on its internal risk rating system as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Pass	Special Mention	Non-Impaired Substandard	Impaired - Substandard	Doubtful	Total
September 30, 2014						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$84,959	\$3,367	\$ 5,915	\$ 3,160	\$0	\$97,401
Non-owner occupied	121,406	17,034	1,287	2,378	41	142,146
Multi-family	24,367	1,108	1,230	96	0	26,801
Non-owner occupied residential	38,590	6,117	2,212	1,640	0	48,559
Acquisition and development:						
1-4 family residential construction	5,297	0	0	0	0	5,297
Commercial and land development	11,801	1,082	1,348	1,249	0	15,480
Commercial and industrial	41,568	1,742	1,121	575	119	45,125
Municipal	62,385	0	0	0	0	62,385
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	121,583	0	0	4,936	33	126,552
Home equity - term	20,761	0	0	72	0	20,833
Home equity - Lines of credit	83,132	315	184	42	0	83,673
Installment and other loans	6,105	0	0	17	0	6,122
	\$621,954	\$30,765	\$ 13,297	\$ 14,165	\$ 193	\$680,374
December 31, 2013						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	\$92,063	\$3,305	\$ 11,360	\$ 4,107	\$455	\$111,290
Non-owner occupied	107,113	6,904	14,819	7,117	0	135,953
Multi-family	20,091	2,132	337	322	0	22,882
Non-owner occupied residential	42,007	4,982	3,790	4,493	0	55,272
Acquisition and development:						
1-4 family residential construction	3,292	0	46	0	0	3,338
Commercial and land development	14,118	1,433	712	3,177	0	19,440
Commercial and industrial	28,933	2,129	383	1,878	123	33,446
Municipal	60,996	0	0	0	0	60,996
Residential mortgage:						
First lien	121,353	0	0	3,327	48	124,728
Home equity - term	20,024	0	0	94	13	20,131
Home equity - Lines of credit	77,187	0	9	181	0	77,377
Installment and other loans	6,184	0	0	0	0	6,184
	\$593,361	\$20,885	\$ 31,456	\$ 24,696	\$639	\$671,037

Potential problem loans are defined as performing loans, which have characteristics that cause management to have concerns as to the ability of the borrower to perform under present loan repayment terms and which may result in the reporting of these loans as non-performing loans in the future. Generally, management feels that "Substandard" loans that are currently performing and not considered impaired, result in some doubt as to the borrower's ability to continue to perform under the terms of the loan, and represent potential problem loans. Additionally, the "Special Mention" classification is intended to be a temporary classification, and is reflective of loans that have potential weaknesses that may, if not monitored or corrected, weaken the asset or inadequately protect the Bank's position at some future date. "Special Mention" loans represent an elevated risk, but their weakness does not yet justify a more severe, or classified rating. These loans require follow-up by lenders on the information on the cause of the potential weakness, and once resolved, the loan classification may be downgraded to "Substandard," or alternatively, could be upgraded to "Pass."

Edgar Filing: ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Municipal	Total	Consumer Residential Mortgage	Installment and Other	Total	Unallocated	Total
September 30, 2014										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 14,053	\$ 852	\$ 993	\$ 178	\$ 16,076	\$ 2,362	\$ 186	\$ 2,548	\$ 1,801	\$ 20,425
Provision for loan losses	(2,593)	(284)	(387)	9	(3,255)	72	66	138	217	(2,900)
Charge-offs	(1,840)	(33)	(1)	0	(1,874)	(286)	(78)	(364)	0	(2,238)
Recoveries	382	0	317	0	699	6	27	33	0	732
Balance, end of period	\$ 10,002	\$ 535	\$ 922	\$ 187	\$ 11,646	\$ 2,154	\$ 201	\$ 2,355	\$ 2,018	\$ 16,019
September 30, 2013										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 11,288	\$ 1,946	\$ 875	\$ 254	\$ 14,363	\$ 3,796	\$ 134	\$ 3,930	\$ 1,805	\$ 20,098
Provision for loan losses	3,495	(1,117)	(2,651)	(12)	(285)	2	11	13	272	0
Charge-offs	(1,767)	(2)	0	0	(1,769)	0	(46)	(46)	0	(1,815)
Recoveries	45	267	2,530	0	2,842	100	27	127	0	2,969
Balance, end of period	\$ 13,061	\$ 1,094	\$ 754	\$ 242	\$ 15,151	\$ 3,898	\$ 126	\$ 4,024	\$ 2,077	\$ 21,252

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Municipal	Total	Consumer Residential Mortgage	Installment and Other	Total	Unallocated	Total
September 30, 2014										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,215	\$ 670	\$ 864	\$ 244	\$ 14,993	\$ 3,780	\$ 124	\$ 3,904	\$ 2,068	\$ 20,965
Provision for loan losses	(1,210)	(73)	(552)	(57)	(1,892)	(1,150)	192	(958)	(50)	(2,900)
Charge-offs	(2,514)	(67)	(64)	0	(2,645)	(495)	(200)	(695)	0	(3,340)
Recoveries	511	5	674	0	1,190	19	85	104	0	1,294
Balance, end of period	\$ 10,002	\$ 535	\$ 922	\$ 187	\$ 11,646	\$ 2,154	\$ 201	\$ 2,355	\$ 2,018	\$ 16,019
September 30, 2013										
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,719	\$ 3,502	\$ 1,635	\$ 223	\$ 19,079	\$ 2,275	\$ 85	\$ 2,360	\$ 1,727	\$ 23,166

period										
Provision for loan losses	3,653	(3,943)	(3,309)	19	(3,580)	1,750	80	1,830	350	(1,400)
Charge-offs	(4,444)	(146)	(114)	0	(4,704)	(263)	(92)	(355)	0	(5,059)
Recoveries	133	1,681	2,542	0	4,356	136	53	189	0	4,545
Balance, end of period	\$13,061	\$ 1,094	\$ 754	\$ 242	\$15,151	\$3,898	\$ 126	\$4,024	\$ 2,077	\$21,252

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$16,019,000 at September 30, 2014, a decrease of \$4,946,000 from \$20,965,000 at December 31, 2013, principally due to a net charge-offs, of \$2,046,000 during the period, combined with a negative provision for loan losses of \$2,900,000. Despite the reduction in the allowance for loan losses balance from December 31, 2013, allowance coverage metrics remain strong, with the allowance for total loans ratio at 2.35% at September 30, 2014, and the allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans coverage ratio at 126.90%.

A summary of relevant asset quality ratios for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.87	% (0.68)%	0.40	% 0.10 %

Net charge-offs (recoveries) were \$1,506,000 and \$2,046,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to (\$1,154,000) and \$514,000 for the same periods in 2013. A higher level of net charge offs was experienced in both

Table of Contents

the three and nine periods in 2014, compared to 2013, principally due to the prior year's periods being favorably influenced by a large recovery. The majority of the charge-offs remain in the commercial real estate loan portfolios. Despite higher levels of net charge offs in 2014, compared to 2013, a negative provision for loan losses of \$2,900,000 was recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as more fully discussed in the "Provision for Loan Losses" section of this "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

The following summarizes the ending loan balance individually or collectively evaluated for impairment based upon loan type, as well as the allowance for loan losses allocation for each at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial				Municipal	Total	Consumer		Unallocated	Total
	Commercial Real Estate	Acquisition and Development	Commercial and Industrial	Residential Mortgage			Installment and Other	Total		
September 30, 2014										
Loans allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$7,315	\$1,249	\$694	\$0	\$9,258	\$5,083	\$17	\$5,100	\$0	\$14,358
Collectively evaluated for impairment	307,592	19,528	44,431	62,385	433,936	225,975	6,105	232,080	0	666,016
	\$314,907	\$20,777	\$45,125	\$62,385	\$443,194	\$231,058	\$6,122	\$237,180	\$0	\$680,374
Allowance for loan losses allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$69	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69	\$134	\$0	\$134	\$0	\$203
Collectively evaluated for impairment	9,933	535	922	187	11,577	2,020	201	2,221	2,018	15,816
	\$10,002	\$535	\$922	\$187	\$11,646	\$2,154	\$201	\$2,355	\$2,018	\$16,019
December 31, 2013										
Loans allocated by:										
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$16,494	\$3,177	\$2,001	\$0	\$21,672	\$3,663	\$0	\$3,663	\$0	\$25,335
Collectively evaluated for impairment	308,903	19,601	31,445	60,996	420,945	218,573	6,184	224,757	0	645,702
	\$325,397	\$22,778	\$33,446	\$60,996	\$442,617	\$222,236	\$6,184	\$228,420	\$0	\$671,037
Allowance for loan losses										

allocated by:										
Individually										
evaluated for	\$552	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$552	\$61	\$ 0	\$61	\$ 0	\$613
impairment										
Collectively										
evaluated for	12,663	670	864	244	14,441	3,719	124	3,843	2,068	20,352
impairment										
	\$13,215	\$ 670	\$ 864	\$ 244	\$14,993	\$3,780	\$ 124	\$3,904	\$ 2,068	\$20,965

The allowance for loan losses allocations presented above represent the reserve allocations on loan balances outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. In addition to the reserve allocations on impaired loans noted above, 32 loans, with outstanding general ledger principal balances of \$3,909,000, have had cumulative partial charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses recorded totaling \$4,189,000 at September 30, 2014. As updated appraisals were received on collateral dependent loans, partial charge-offs were taken to the extent the loans' principal balance exceeded their fair value.

Management believes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses between the various loan segments adequately reflects the inherent risk in each portfolio, and is based on the methodology outlined in "Note 3 – Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses" included in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Management re-evaluates and makes certain enhancements to its methodology used to establish a reserve to better reflect the risks inherent in the different segments of the portfolio, particularly in light of changes in levels of charge-offs, with noticeable differences between the different loan segments. Management believes these enhancements to the allowance for loan losses methodology improve the accuracy of quantifying losses presently inherent in the portfolio. Management charges actual loan losses to the reserve and bases the provision for loan losses on the overall analysis taking the methodology into account.

The unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses reflects estimated inherent losses within the portfolio that have not been detected. This reserve results due to risk of error in the specific and general reserve allocation, other potential exposure in the loan portfolio, variances in management's assessment of national and local economic conditions and other factors management believes appropriate at the time. The unallocated portion of the allowance has decreased from \$2,068,000 at December 31, 2013 to \$2,018,000 at September 30, 2014 and represents 12.6% of the entire allowance for loan losses balance at September 30, 2014, up from 9.9% at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

While management believes the Company's allowance for loan losses is adequate based on information currently available, future adjustments, including additional provisions for loan losses or the reversal of amounts previously provided, to the reserve and enhancements to the methodology may be necessary due to changes in economic conditions, regulatory guidance, or management's assumptions as to future delinquencies or loss rates.

Deposits

Total deposits were \$989,234,000 at September 30, 2014, a decrease of \$11,156,000, or 1.1%, from \$1,000,390,000 at December 31, 2013. Despite the decrease in total deposits, there was a shift to non-interest bearing deposits, which grew by \$9,462,000, or 8.1%. Growth was experienced in most deposit products, with the exception of time deposits, which are interest rate sensitive.

Capital Adequacy and Regulatory Matters

Capital Resources. The management of capital in a regulated financial services industry must properly balance return on equity to its stockholders while maintaining sufficient levels of capital and related risk-based regulatory capital ratios to satisfy statutory regulatory requirements. The Company's capital management strategies have historically been developed to provide attractive rates of returns to its shareholders, while maintaining a "well capitalized" position of regulatory strength.

Total shareholders' equity increased \$15,079,000 from \$91,439,000 at December 31, 2013 to \$106,518,000 at September 30, 2014. The primary reason for the increase in shareholders' equity was the \$10,028,000 net income retained for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, combined with a \$4,868,000 increase in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes.

The Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Although applicable to the Bank, prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies, including financial holding companies.

Capital Adequacy. In the determination of Tier 1 and Total risk based capital, generally accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is excluded from capital, as are intangible assets, a portion of mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets that are dependent on future taxable income greater than one year from the reporting date. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company provided a full valuation allowance on its deferred tax asset, which reduced the deferred tax asset, excluding other comprehensive income items, to zero.

The allowance for credit losses, including the allowance for loan losses and reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments, is included as Tier 2 capital to the extent it does not exceeds 1.25% of risk weighted assets. The amount that exceeds 1.25% of risk weighted assets, is disallowed as Tier 2 capital, but also reduces the Company's risk weighted assets. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$7,501,000 and \$12,598,000 of the allowance for credit losses was excluded from Tier 2 capital.

In March 2012, the Company and the Bank entered into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank and the Bank entered into a Consent Order with the PDB. The Consent Order with the PDB has subsequently been terminated and replaced with an MOU, which contains similar provisions pertaining to capital. In accordance therewith, the Bank has filed a confidential Capital Plan with each of those banking regulators.

Table of Contents

Regulatory Capital. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Bank was considered well capitalized under applicable banking regulations. The Company's and the Bank's capital ratios as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		Minimum to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
September 30, 2014							
Total capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	\$114,826	16.4	% \$56,122	8.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	113,533	16.2	% 56,090	8.0	% \$70,113	10.0	%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	105,963	15.1	% 28,061	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	104,675	14.9	% 28,045	4.0	% 42,068	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital to average assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	105,963	9.0	% 46,936	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	104,675	8.9	% 46,919	4.0	% 58,649	5.0	%
December 31, 2013							
Total capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	\$104,637	15.0	% \$55,926	8.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	102,806	14.7	% 55,893	8.0	% \$69,866	10.0	%
Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	95,741	13.7	% 27,963	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	93,915	13.4	% 27,947	4.0	% 41,920	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital to average assets							
Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.	95,741	8.1	% 47,058	4.0	% n/a	n/a	
Orrstown Bank	93,915	8.0	% 47,077	4.0	% 58,846	5.0	%

As noted above, the Bank's capital ratios exceed the regulatory minimums to be considered well capitalized under applicable banking regulations. The Company routinely evaluates its capital levels in light of its risk profile to assess its capital needs.

On January 8, 2013, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Commission, covering up to an aggregate of \$80,000,000 worth of common stock, preferred stock, and warrants. To date, the Company has not issued any of the securities registered under this shelf registration statement.

In October 2011, the Company announced it had discontinued its quarterly dividend, which was the result of regulatory guidance from the Federal Reserve Bank. Due to the regulatory restrictions included in the Written Agreement and the MOU with the respective regulators, the Company is restricted from paying any dividends or repurchasing any stock without prior regulatory approval. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be permitted to pay a cash dividend or conduct any stock repurchases in the near future.

Basel III Capital Rules. In July 2013, the Company and Bank's primary federal regulator, the FRB, approved final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations, including community banks, which also incorporate provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company and Bank, compared to existing U.S. risk-based capital rules. The Basel III Capital Rules define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios, addresses risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios and replace the current risk-weighting approach. The Basel III Capital Rules are effective for the Company and Bank on January 1, 2015 (subject to a phase-in period).

The Basel III Capital Rules, among other things, (i) introduce a new capital measure called “Common Equity Tier 1” (“CET1”), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consist of CET1 and “Additional Tier 1 capital” instruments meeting specified

Table of Contents

requirements, (iii) define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions/adjustments from capital as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require the Company and Bank to maintain (i) a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7% upon full implementation), (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation), (iii) a minimum ratio of Total capital (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation) and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets.

The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer (or below the combined capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, when the latter is applied) may face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and discretionary bonuses to executive officers based on the amount of the shortfall.

Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the initial minimum capital ratios as of January 1, 2015 will be as follows:

- 4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets;
- 6.0% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets; and
- 8.0% Total capital to risk-weighted assets.

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. Under current capital standards, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income items included in capital are excluded for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however the Company and Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items. The Company and Bank are still evaluating the benefits and limitations of making this election, and have not yet concluded if they will take advantage of the election.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a 4-year period (beginning at 40% on January 1, 2015 and an additional 20% per year thereafter until fully phased-in at January 1, 2018). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

With respect to the Bank, the Basel III Capital Rules also revise the “prompt corrective action” regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category (other than critically undercapitalized), with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the current 6%), and (iii) eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3% leverage ratio and still be adequately capitalized. The Basel III Capital Rules do not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any prompt corrective action category.

The Basel III Capital Rules prescribe a standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. Significant changes to current rules that will impact the Company’s determination of risk-weighted assets

include, among other things:

- Applying a 150% risk weight for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans, compared to 100% risk weight currently in place;

- Assigning a 150% risk weight to exposures (other than residential mortgage exposures) that are 90 days past due or in nonaccrual status, compared to 100% risk weight currently in place; and

60

Table of Contents

Providing for a 20% credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable, compared to 0% currently in place. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the Basel III Capital Rules, on a fully phased-in basis, will have on our capital levels. Management anticipates that it will be in compliance with the phased in rules.

Liquidity

The primary function of asset/liability management is to ensure adequate liquidity and manage the Company's sensitivity to changing interest rates. Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of customers who may be either depositors wanting to withdraw funds or borrowers needing assurance that sufficient funds will be available to meet their credit needs. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of investment securities, the sale of mortgage loans and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of (1) expected loan demand, (2) expected deposit flows, (3) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities and (4) the objectives of our asset/liability management policy.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is defined as the exposure to interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk, and other relevant market rate or price risks. For domestic banks, including the Company, the majority of market risk is related to interest rate risk.

Interest rate sensitivity management requires the maintenance of an appropriate balance between interest sensitive assets and liabilities. Management, through its ALCO process, attempts to manage the level of repricing and maturity mismatch so that fluctuations in net interest income is maintained within policy limits in current and expected market conditions. The Company has consistently followed a strategy of pricing assets and liabilities according to prevailing market rates. Rate spreads will be sacrificed at times in order to enable the sensitivity position to stay within the guidelines called for by asset/liability management policy. Investment and pricing decisions are made using both liquidity and sensitivity analysis as tools. Rate sensitivity is measured by monthly gap analysis, quarterly rate shocks, and periodic simulation.

Table of Contents

The schedule that follows reflects the degree to which the Company can adjust its various portfolios to meet interest rate changes. The following outlines the Company's rate sensitivity at September 30, 2014, and cumulative gap positions and ratios.

(Dollars in thousands)	Interest Sensitivity Period					Total
	Within 3 Months	After 3 Months	Within 6 Months	After 6 Months	Within 12 After 1 Year	
Rate Sensitive Assets (RSA)						
Loans	\$248,017	\$37,909		\$69,487	\$329,125	\$684,538
Investment securities	80,741	9,382		19,261	293,179	402,563
Other earning assets	35,737	0		0	0	35,737
Total RSA	364,495	47,291		88,748	622,304	1,122,838
Rate Sensitive Liabilities (RSL)						
Interest bearing deposits	675,720	47,553		52,778	87,350	863,401
Short term borrowings	26,279	20,000		10,000	0	56,279
Long-term debt	175	78		10,158	4,575	14,986
Total RSL	702,174	67,631		72,936	91,925	934,666
Rate Sensitive GAP						
Period	(337,679)	(20,340)		15,812	530,379	188,172
Cumulative	(337,679)	(358,019)		(342,207)	188,172	
GAP as a Percent of Total						
Assets						
Period	-29	% -2		% 1	% 45	%
Cumulative	-29	% -30		% -29	% 16	%
RSA/RSL cumulative	0.52	0.53		0.59	1.20	

The following gap summary demonstrates the shift in RSA/RSL (cumulative) position since year end:

	Within 6 Months	Within 12 Months	Within 24 Months	Within 36 Months
September 30, 2014	0.53	0.59	0.71	0.78
June 30, 2014	0.64	0.70	0.80	0.85
December 31, 2013 (Revised) **	0.51	0.55	0.60	0.66

For the quarter ended June 30, 2014, the Company revised the methodology for calculating the rate sensitive liabilities. Previously, the Company had included some non-maturity deposits in the over 36 month bucket. With ** the revision, the Company moved the non-maturity deposits into the less than three month bucket as these deposits have the ability to reprice in that time frame. While they have the ability to reprice within a three month time frame, the Company would expect that some portion of these accounts will reprice with a delay and with a magnitude that is not one for one with interest rate changes.

Management closely monitors the fiscal and monetary policies of our government and acts in anticipation of changes in order to maintain a healthy earning asset interest bearing liabilities balance.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of September 30, 2014. Based on such evaluation, such officers have

concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were designed and functioning effectively, as of September 30, 2014, to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the

Table of Contents

Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

(b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2014, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 – Legal Proceedings

The nature of Orrstown Financial Services, Inc.'s business generates a certain amount of litigation involving matters arising out of the ordinary course of business. Except as described in Note 10, "Contingencies" to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which information is incorporated herein by reference, in the opinion of management, there are no material pending legal proceedings that are expected to have a material effect on the results of operations, liquidity, or the financial position of the Company at this time.

Item 1A – Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014.

Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

For the quarter ended September 30, 2014, there were no repurchases of common equity securities by the Company under the announced Stock Repurchase Plan. In connection with the Written Agreement and the MOU, the Company's Stock Repurchase Plan has been suspended, and the Company does not expect to repurchase shares in the foreseeable future.

The Company did not sell any unregistered securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

Item 3 – Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5 – Other Information

None

Table of Contents

Item 6 – Exhibits

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant’s Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2010.
- 3.2 By-laws as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant’s Report on Form 8-K filed March 1, 2013.
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed February 8, 2010 (File No. 333-164780).
- 10.1 Form of Restricted Stock Share Grant Agreement, issued to certain employees on August 15, 2014.
- 31.1 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer)
- 31.2 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications (Principal Financial Officer)
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications (Principal Executive Officer)
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certifications (Principal Financial Officer)
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase *
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase *
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document *
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema *
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase *
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase *

* Attached as Exhibit 101 to this Form 10-Q are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensive Business Reporting Language).

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

/s/ Thomas R. Quinn, Jr.
Thomas R. Quinn, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ David P. Boyle
David P. Boyle
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 7, 2014

Table of Contents

ORRSTOWN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2010.
- 3.2 By-laws as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed March 1, 2013.
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed February 8, 2010 (File No. 333-164780).
- 10.1 Form of Restricted Stock Share Grant Agreement, issued to certain employees on August 15, 2014.
- 31.1 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer)
- 31.2 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications (Principal Financial Officer)
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certifications (Principal Executive Officer)
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certifications (Principal Financial Officer)
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase *
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase *
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document *
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema *
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase *
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase *

All other exhibits for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

* Attached as Exhibits 101 to this Form 10-Q are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensive Business Reporting Language).