

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM
Form 20-F
June 15, 2005

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

(Mark One)

REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____

Commission file number 000-49650

AKTIESELSKABET DAMPSKIBSSELSKABET TORM

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

A/S STEAMSHIP COMPANY TORM

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Kingdom of Denmark

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Tuborg Havnevej 18, DK-2900 Hellerup, Denmark

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
---------------------	--

NONE

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act.

Common Shares, par value 10 Danish Kroner per share,*

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

American Depositary Shares (as evidenced by American Depositary Receipts), each representing one (1) common share.

(Title of class)

* Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

NONE

(Title of class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

36,400,000 common shares, par value 10 Danish Kroner per share.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes |X| No |_|

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 |X| Item 18 |_|

The Company "Aktieselskabet Dampskibsselskabet Torm" is referred to as "TORM" in this Annual Report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table with 2 columns: Item description and Page number. Includes items 1 through 8 such as 'IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS' and 'FINANCIAL INFORMATION'.

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

ITEM 9.	THE OFFER AND LISTING.....	65
ITEM 10.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	66
ITEM 11.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.....	78
ITEM 12.	DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES.....	81
ITEM 13.	DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES.....	81
ITEM 14.	MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS.....	81
ITEM 15.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.....	81
ITEM 16A.	AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.....	81
ITEM 16B.	CODE OF ETHICS.....	81
ITEM 16C.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.....	81
ITEM 16D.	PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASES.....	82
ITEM 17.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	82
ITEM 18.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	84
ITEM 19.	EXHIBITS.....	88

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor protections for forward-looking statements in order to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their business. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts.

TORM desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation. This report and any other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements, which reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. When used in this report, the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "forecast," "project," "plan," "potential," "will," "may," "should," and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements in this report are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or accomplish these

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

expectations, beliefs or projections.

In addition to these assumptions and matters discussed elsewhere herein and in the documents incorporated by reference herein, important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include the strength of world economies and currencies, general market conditions, including fluctuations in charterhire rates and vessel values, changes in demand in the shipping market, including the effect of changes in OPEC's petroleum production levels and worldwide oil consumption and storage, changes in regulatory requirements affecting vessel operating including requirements for double hull tankers, changes in TORM's operating expenses, including bunker prices, dry-docking and insurance costs, changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities, changes in the price of our capital investments, such as the NORDEN shares, potential liability from pending or future litigation, general domestic and international political conditions, potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents, political events or acts by terrorists, and other important factors described from time to time in the reports filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC.

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not Applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

Please note: Throughout this report, the "Company", "we", "us" and "our" all refer to TORM and its subsidiaries. We use the term deadweight ton, or dwt, in describing the size of vessels. Dwt, expressed in metric tons, each of which is equivalent to 1000 kilograms, refers to the maximum weight of cargo and supplies that a vessel can carry.

A. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data for each of the periods indicated. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, all included elsewhere within this document. The selected consolidated financial data includes the Liner activities, which were sold to A.P. Møller-Maersk A/S on September 16, 2002. The results of the operations attributable to the Liner activities, which represent a discontinued operation, are presented in two separate lines in the income statement after net income from continuing operations. The impact of the sale of the Liner activities is included herein.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Danish generally accepted accounting principles, or Danish GAAP, which differs in certain respects from United States generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP. The differences between Danish GAAP and U.S. GAAP as applicable to the historical financial statements are summarized in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included herein.

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

	For the year ended December 31			
	2000	2001	2002	2003
	(in thousands of DKK)			
Statement Data:				
Net revenue	1,597,221	2,000,713	1,538,618	1,927,996
Port expenses, bunkers, charter hire and technical running costs	(1,012,683)	(1,247,245)	(1,236,612)	(1,280,827)
Gross profit (Net earnings from shipping activities)	584,538	753,468	302,006	647,169
Profit from sale of vessels and interests	11,334	91,790	16,965	(464)
Administrative expenses	(67,275)	(113,404)	(101,342)	(126,119)
Other operating income	45,350	58,689	55,227	51,368
Depreciation	(249,038)	(177,993)	(158,400)	(176,872)
Profit before financial items	324,909	612,550	114,456	395,082
Financial items (2)	(168,820)	(96,519)	5,988	656,637
Profit before tax	156,089	516,031	120,444	1,051,719
Tax on profit on ordinary activities	(53,298)	(166,018)	360,190	(692)
Profit from continuing operations	102,791	350,013	480,634	1,051,027
Profit before tax from discontinued operations (6)	5,540	17,417	69,818	0
Tax on discontinued operations	0	0	0	0
Net profit for the year	108,331	367,430	550,452	1,051,027
Balance sheet data (as of end of period):				
Total assets (3)	4,040,007	4,049,353	4,013,588	4,893,657
Long term liabilities	1,951,288	1,519,743	1,735,464	1,700,704
Shareholders' equity	1,051,147	1,354,741	1,623,391	2,464,306
Common shares	182,000	182,000	182,000	182,000
No. of shares outstanding (1) (4)	36,400,000	36,400,000	36,400,000	36,400,000
Other financial data (1)				
Dividends declared per share	1.0	2.0	1.0	6.0
Dividends declared per share-USD (5)	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.0
Earnings per share - basic	3.0	10.5	15.9	30.3
Earnings per share - diluted	3.0	10.5	15.9	29.7
U.S. GAAP financial data (1)				
Profit from continuing operations before income taxes and discontinued operations	139,013	518,079	50,628	338,350
Tax benefit (expense) on profit	(34,821)	(160,650)	(34,874)	11,361
Profit from continuing operations	104,192	357,429	15,754	349,711
Profit from discontinued operations (6)	5,540	17,417	69,818	0
Profit	109,732	374,846	85,572	349,711
Earnings per share - basic:				
Profit from continuing operations (1)	2.8	10.2	0.5	10.1
Profit from discontinuing operations (1)	0.2	0.5	2.0	0.0
Profit (1)	3.0	10.7	2.5	10.1
Earnings per share - diluted:				
Profit from continued operations (1)	2.8	10.2	0.5	10.0
Profit from discontinued operations (1)	0.2	0.5	2.0	0.0

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

Profit (1)	3.0	10.7	2.5	10.0
Total assets	4,030,941	4,067,887	3,985,551	4,865,250
Long term debt (including capital lease obligations)	1,951,288	1,519,743	1,735,464	1,700,704
Shareholders' equity	1,032,118	1,351,725	1,196,372	2,024,025
No. of shares outstanding (1)	36,400,000	36,400,000	36,400,000	36,400,000

-
- (1) We increased the share capital in May 2004 from nominal DKK 182.0 million to nominal DKK 364.0 million through the issue of 18.2 million bonus shares of DKK 10 each. The bonus shares were allotted to our existing shareholders at the ratio of 1:1. The comparative figures are restated to reflect the issue of bonus shares.
 - (2) Financial items include in 2004 an unrealized gain on our holding of shares in Dampskibsselskabet "NORDEN" A/S of DKK 1,034 million compared to DKK 681 million in 2003 and DKK 8 million in 2002.
 - (3) Total assets for each period includes cash and bonds that serve as collateral for certain of our borrowings. This amount was DKK 54 million as of December 2004, DKK 52 million as of December 31, 2003, DKK 186 million as of December 31, 2002, DKK 184 million as of December 31, 2001 and DKK 207 million as of December 31, 2000. See "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" for further discussion.
 - (4) Shares outstanding as of December 31, 2004 includes 1,566,612 shares that we purchased and hold as own shares, reflected in shareholders' equity. As of December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 we held 1,762,736 own shares, and as of December 31, 2001 we held 1,742,936 own shares whereas no own shares were held in 2000. The comparative figures are restated to reflect the issue of bonus shares in May 2004.
 - (5) Dividends are converted to U.S. dollars based on the exchange rate in place at the date of payment.
 - (6) Profit (loss) from discontinued operations for 2002 includes the gain of DKK 60 million on disposal of the Company's liner activities.

EXCHANGE RATE INFORMATION

The following tables show, for the five most recent financial years, certain information regarding the exchange rate between the Danish Kroner and the U.S. dollar, based on the noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers of DKK as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, expressed in DKK per U.S. dollar. These rates may differ from the actual rates used in the preparation of our financial statements and other financial information appearing in this report.

	DKK per U.S. dollar			
	High	Low	Average (1)	Period End
Year ended December 31,				
2000	9.0050	7.2080	8.0953	7.9442
2001	8.8900	7.8260	8.3710	8.3529
2002	8.6470	7.0850	7.8862	7.0850
2003	7.1684	5.9150	6.5774	5.9150
2004	6.3115	5.4596	5.9891	5.4940

(1): The average of the exchange rates on the last business day of each

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

month during the relevant period.

	DKK per U.S. dollar	
	High	Low
Month ended		
November 2004	5.8523	5.5899
December 2005	5.6178	5.4596
January 2005	5.7442	5.5161
February 2005	5.8263	5.6095
March 2005	5.7818	5.5286
April 2005	5.8109	5.6894

On May 27, 2005, the exchange rate between the Danish Kroner and the U.S. dollar was 5.9203.

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not Applicable.

C. Reason for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and our business in general. Other risks relate principally to the securities market and ownership of our American Depositary Shares or ADSs. Any of the risk factors could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results and the trading price of our ADSs.

Additional risks and uncertainties that we are not aware of or that we currently believe are immaterial may also adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity or results of operation.

INDUSTRY SPECIFIC RISK FACTORS

The cyclical nature of the shipping industry, which are at historic high levels, may lead to volatile changes in charter rates and vessel values, which may adversely affect our earnings

Charter rates and vessel values in the tanker market are at historic high levels. We can give you no assurances that this will continue. If the shipping industry, which has been and should remain cyclical, is depressed when our charters or vessel leases expire, or when we want to sell a vessel, our earnings and available cash flow may decrease. Our ability to re-charter our vessels on the expiration or termination of their current charters and the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, economic conditions in the tanker and bulk markets. Fluctuations in charter rates and vessel values result from changes in the supply and demand for vessel capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the cargo that we carry, including refined oil products such as naphtha and gas oil and dry bulk products such as grain and coal.

In addition, our ability to sell a vessel and the amount of the proceeds from such a sale will depend on economic conditions in the shipping industry. The shipping industry has experienced fluctuations in charter rates and vessel values resulting from changes in the demand for cargoes and in vessel capacity.

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

The factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are beyond our control, and the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable. The factors that influence demand for tanker and bulk capacity include:

- o demand for the products that our vessels carry;
- o global and regional economic conditions;
- o global supply of oil and oil products;
- o the distance oil and oil products are to be moved by sea;
- o changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns;
- o efficiency of the world fleet;
- o government and industry regulation;
- o alternative energy sources; and
- o environmental concerns.

The factors that influence the supply of tanker and bulk capacity include:

- o the number of newbuilding deliveries;
- o the scrapping rate of older vessels and single-hull vessels;
- o government and industry regulation;
- o the number of vessels that are out of service;
- o the demand for oil and oil products;
- o political changes and armed conflicts;
- o developments in international trade;
- o changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns; and
- o market expectations.

Because the market value of our vessels may fluctuate significantly, we may incur losses when we sell vessels, which may adversely affect our earnings

The fair market value of vessels may increase and decrease depending on but not limited to the following factors:

- o general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry;
- o competition from other shipping companies;
- o types and sizes of vessels;
- o other modes of transportation;
- o cost of newbuildings;
- o shipyard capacity;
- o governmental or other regulations;

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

- o age of vessels;
- o prevailing level of charter rates; and
- o technological advances.

If we sell tankers at a time when tanker prices have fallen and before an impairment adjustment is made to our financial statements, the sale may be at less than the vessel's carrying amount on our financial statements, with the result that we shall incur a loss and a reduction in earnings.

Our revenues experience seasonal variations that may affect our earnings and financial performance

We operate our tankers in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, therefore, charter rates. Tanker markets are typically stronger in the winter months in the northern hemisphere due to increased oil consumption. In addition, unpredictable weather patterns in the winter months tend to disrupt vessel scheduling. The oil price volatility resulting from these factors has historically led to increased oil trading activities. Demand for bulk carriers is not as volatile as that for tankers, but demand does generally increase in the spring months in North America as demand for grain increases and generally falls back during the winter months. More consistent commodities such as coal, however, provide some stability to the bulk vessel trade.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the financial markets and our business, results of operations and financial condition

Terrorist attacks such as the attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 and the United States' continuing response to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continues to cause uncertainty in the world financial markets. The recent conflict in Iraq may lead to additional acts of terrorism and armed conflict around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets, including the energy markets. These uncertainties could also adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Future terrorist attacks may also negatively affect our operations and financial condition and directly impact our vessels or our customers. Future terrorist attacks could result in increased volatility of the financial markets in the United States and globally and could result in an economic recession in the United States or the world. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our operating results, revenue, and costs.

If we violate environmental laws or regulations, the resulting liability may significantly and adversely affect our earnings and financial condition

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation designed to promote tanker safety, prevent cargo and bunker spills and generally protect the environment. Local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, can subject us to material liabilities in the event that our vessels release oil and oil products or other hazardous substances. For example, the United States Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, provides that owners, operators and bareboat charterers are strictly liable for the discharge of oil in U.S. waters, including the 200 nautical mile zone off each coast of the U.S. OPA provides for unlimited liability in some circumstances, such as a vessel operator's gross negligence or willful misconduct or failure to report an incident or cooperate in oil removal activities. However, in other circumstances, OPA limits liability to the greater of USD 1,200 per gross ton or USD 10 million per vessel. OPA also permits states to set their own penalty

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

limits. Most U.S. states bordering navigable waterways impose unlimited liability for discharges of oil in their waters. The International Maritime Organization, or IMO, has adopted a similar liability scheme that may impose strict liability for oil spills, subject to limits that do not apply if the release is caused by the vessel owner's intentional or reckless conduct.

U.S. law, the law in many of the nations in which we operate and international treaties and conventions that impact our operations also establish strict rules governing vessel safety and structure, training, inspections, financial assurance for potential cleanup liability and other matters. These requirements can limit our ability to operate our vessels and substantially increase our operating costs.

Under OPA, all oil tankers that do not have double hulls will be phased out by 2015 and will not be permitted to come to United States ports or trade in United States waters. In addition, OPA specifies annual inspections, vessel manning, equipment and other construction requirements that are in various stages of development by the U.S. Coast Guard, or USCG, applicable to new and to existing vessels.

In December 2003, the IMO adopted a proposed amendment to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to accelerate the phase out of single-hull tankers from 2015 to 2010 unless the relevant flag states extend the date to 2015. Moreover, the IMO or other regulatory bodies may adopt further regulations in the future that could adversely affect the useful lives of our tankers as well as our inability to generate income from them.

These requirements can affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. As a result of accidents such as the oil spill in November 2002 relating to the loss of the M/T Prestige, a 26-year old single-hull tanker, not connected with us. While all of our tankers are double-hull, we believe that regulation of the tanker industry will continue to become more stringent and more expensive for us and for our competitors. Substantial violations of applicable requirements or a catastrophic release from one of our vessels could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. Additional laws and regulations may also be adopted that could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and that could have a material effect on our operations. Government regulation of tankers, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental impact may change in the future and require us to incur significant capital expenditure on our vessels to keep them in compliance.

COMPANY SPECIFIC RISK FACTORS

Servicing our debt limits funds available for other purposes and if we cannot service our debt, we may lose some or all of our vessels

We must dedicate a large part of our cash flow from operations to paying principal and interest on our indebtedness. These payments limit funds available for working capital, capital expenditures and other purposes. Our debt level also makes us vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in our business. If we expand our fleet, we will need to take on additional debt, which would increase our ratio of debt to equity. Our inability to service debt could also lead to acceleration of our debt and the foreclosure of all or a portion of our fleet.

Certain of our loan agreements contain restrictive covenants, which may limit our liquidity and corporate activities and prevent proper service of debt, which could result in the loss of our vessels

Some loan agreements impose operating and financial restrictions upon us. These restrictions may limit our ability to:

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

- o incur additional indebtedness;
- o create liens on our assets;
- o sell our subsidiaries;
- o make investments;
- o engage in mergers or acquisitions;
- o pay dividends and make capital expenditures;
- o change the management of our vessels or terminate or materially amend the management agreement relating to each vessel; and
- o sell our vessels.

We may need permission from our lenders in order for us to engage in some corporate actions. Our lenders' interests may be different from ours and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain our lenders' permission when needed. This may prevent us from taking actions that are in our best interest.

Our earnings may be adversely affected if we do not successfully employ our vessels on time charters, in pools or take advantage of the current spot market

We employ the majority of our vessels on spot voyage charters or short term time charters. Our operating results will therefore depend on the prevailing charter rates in a given time period. Charter rates are based in part on supply and demand and are extremely competitive. Significant fluctuations in charter rates will result in significant fluctuations in the utilization of our vessels and our profitability. Although we charter out some of our vessels on long term time charters when we want to lock in favorable charter rates and generate predictable revenue streams, our vessels that are committed to time charters may not be available for spot voyages during an upswing in the shipping industry, when spot voyages might be more profitable. We are impacted by any increase or decrease in market rates. If rates were to decrease significantly, we may not utilize our fleet fully and our earnings could be adversely impacted.

We may be unable to attract and retain key management personnel and other employees in the bulk and tanker industries, which may negatively affect the effectiveness of our management and our results of operations.

Our management personnel make key decisions to maximize our revenue and earnings in this highly volatile and cyclical industry. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to hire and retain key members of our management team. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition. Difficulty in hiring and retaining qualified personnel could adversely affect our results of operations. We do not maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our officers.

Our vessels may suffer damage and we may face unexpected dry-dock repairs that could affect our cash flow and financial condition

If our owned vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a dry-docking facility or other type of ship repair facility. The costs of dry-dock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. We may have to pay dry-docking costs that are not covered by our insurance, which would decrease earnings. Repairs may involve long periods of inactivity, which may have a negative effect on earnings and our ability to service our debt.

Purchasing and operating previously owned, or secondhand, vessels may

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

result in increased operating costs and vessels off-hire, which could adversely affect our earnings

We own both vessels constructed for us directly by builders and previously owned, or secondhand, vessels purchased from other owners. While we inspect secondhand vessels prior to purchase, this does not normally provide us with the same knowledge about their condition and cost of any required (or anticipated) repairs that we would have had if these vessels had been built for and operated exclusively by us. Generally, we do not receive the benefit of warranties from the builders if we buy vessels older than one year.

In general, the costs to maintain a vessel in good operating condition increase with the age of the vessel. As of December 31, 2004, our fleet of owned and long term chartered vessels included five tankers and three bulk vessels more than 10 years of age. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient than more recently constructed vessels due to improvements in engine and hull technology. After vessels reach 15 years of age, the majority of charterers and oil companies may impose restrictions on vessels that make it more difficult to trade the vessels with optimal flexibility. In addition, these older vessels must meet certain hull thickness tests. Furthermore, cargo insurance rates increase for vessels over 15 years of age, making them less desirable to charterers. We, however, consider a useful lifetime of 25 years to be the best estimate of the economic lifetime of a vessel.

Governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards related to the age of a vessel may require expenditures for alterations, or the addition of new equipment, to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which the vessels may engage. We cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify such expenditures or enable us to operate them profitably for the remainder of their useful life.

Risks involved with operating ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which would adversely affect our revenues

The operation of an ocean-going vessel carries inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

- o marine disaster;
- o piracy;
- o environmental accidents;
- o cargo and property losses or damage; and
- o business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, piracy, political action in various countries, labor strikes, or adverse weather conditions.

Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues. The involvement of one or more of our vessels in an oil spill or other environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel operator which would adversely affect our revenues.

We may not have adequate insurance to compensate us if one of our vessels is involved in an accident

We procure insurance for our fleet against those risks that we believe the shipping industry commonly insures against. These insurances include hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance, including environmental damage and pollution insurance coverage, and war risk insurance. We carry insurance against loss of hire as well. We can give no assurance that we are

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

adequately insured against all risks. We may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet in the future. The insurers may not pay particular claims. Our insurance policies contain deductibles for which we will be responsible, limitations and exclusions, which although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless increase our costs or lower our revenue.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against that vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions a maritime lien holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel and commencing foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay a substantial sum of money to have the arrest lifted.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "sister ship" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert "sister ship" liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our vessels.

Governments could requisition one or more of our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings

A government could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes her owner. Also, a government could requisition one or more of our vessels for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes her charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels could negatively impact our revenues.

Our operations expose us to global risks that may interfere with the operation of our vessels

We are an international company and conduct our operations globally. Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered affect us. In the past, political conflicts, particularly in the Arabian Gulf, resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt shipping in the area. Acts of terrorism and piracy have also affected vessels trading in regions such as the South China Sea and West Africa. Terrorist attacks such as the attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 and the United States' continuing response to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continues to cause uncertainty in the world commercial markets, including the energy markets. The recent conflict in Iraq may lead to additional acts of terrorism, armed conflict and civil disturbance around the world, which may contribute to further instability, including in the oil markets. The likelihood of acts of terrorism in the Middle East region and Southeast Asia may increase as shown by the attempted attacks on the Basra Oil Terminal in April 2004 and the attacks on employees of Exxon in Yanbu, Saudi Arabia in early May 2004, and our vessels trading in those areas may face a higher risk of being attacked. Future hostilities or other political instability in regions where our vessels trade could affect our trade patterns and adversely affect our operations and performance.

Because we generate nearly all of our revenues in U.S. dollars, but incur some of our expenses in Danish Kroner and other currencies, exchange rate

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

fluctuations could hurt our results of operations.

In 2004, we generated nearly all of our revenues in U.S. dollars but incurred approximately 83% of our expenses in U.S. dollars and 15% was incurred in Danish Kroner. A change in exchange rates could lead to fluctuations in our reported net income.

Interest rate fluctuations may significantly affect our loan payments, which could adversely affect our financial condition

As of December 31, 2004, all of our loans bore interest at floating rates. Increases in prevailing rates could increase the amounts that we would have to pay to our lenders. As of December 31, 2004, we had entered into interest swap agreements expiring between 2006 and 2009 for approximately 58% of the then outstanding principal amounts of our loans that may mitigate some of our exposure to the risk of rising interest rates. However, increases in interest rates will increase our payments under loans not covered by caps of the interest rates of our loans and swap agreements and may negatively affect our earnings.

Because we are a non-U.S. corporation, you may not have the same rights that a creditor of a U.S. corporation may have

Our investors may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling stockholders than would stockholders of a corporation incorporated in a United States jurisdiction. In addition, the executive officers and administrative activities and assets of the Company are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be more difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon the Company, or to enforce both in the United States and outside the United States judgments against the Company in any action, including actions predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States.

It may be difficult to serve process on or enforce a United States judgment against our officers, our directors and us

We are a Danish company and our executive offices are located outside of the United States. Our officers and directors and some of the experts named in this registration statement reside outside of the United States. In addition, substantially all of our assets and the assets of our officers, directors and experts are located outside of the United States. As a result, you may have difficulty serving legal process within the United States upon us or any of these persons or enforcing any judgments obtained in U.S. courts to the extent assets located in the United States are insufficient to satisfy the judgments. In addition, there is uncertainty as to whether the courts of Denmark would (1) enforce judgments of United States courts obtained against us or our officers and directors predicated on the civil liability provisions of the United States federal or state securities laws, or (2) entertain original actions brought in Danish courts against us or our officers and directors predicated on United States federal or state securities laws. As a result, it may be difficult for you to enforce judgments obtained in United States courts against our directors, officers and non-U.S. experts.

There may be no active public market for you to resell our ADSs

The price of our ADSs may be volatile, and may fluctuate due to factors such as:

- o actual or anticipated fluctuations in our financial results;
- o mergers and strategic alliances in the shipping industry;

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

- o market conditions in the industry;
- o changes in government regulation;
- o fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and earnings and those of our publicly held competitors;
- o shortfalls in our operating results from levels forecast by securities analysts;
- o announcements concerning us or our competitors; and
- o the general state of the securities market.

Historically, the shipping industry has been highly unpredictable and volatile. The market for ADSs in the shipping industry may be equally volatile. The Copenhagen Stock Exchange is smaller and less liquid than the major securities exchanges or markets in the United States. The trading volume of our shares on the Copenhagen Stock Exchange has been volatile. ADSs representing our common shares have been traded in the United States only since April 16, 2002, and it may be hard to predict future trading levels or volatility. Consequently, you may not be able to sell ADSs at the time and at the price you desire.

Holders of ADSs may experience delays in receiving information and materials that holders of our common shares may not

The ADSs are securities that have been issued by a depository with whom we have deposited our common shares. The depository is responsible for distributing notices and voting materials to holders of the ADSs. If there is any delay in such distributions on the part of the depository, you may not receive such dividends or materials concurrently with holders of our common shares in Denmark, and may not receive such materials in time for you to instruct the depository to vote.

You may receive a smaller dividend than what you expected to receive when the dividend was approved

Under Danish law, the board of directors proposes dividends and the shareholders vote whether to accept the proposal or to lower the dividend. We will pay any dividends in Danish Kroner to our depository agent for the ADSs, and our depository agent will convert the amounts into U.S. dollars at the relevant exchange rate and distribute the dividend to you. If the Danish Kroner depreciates against the U.S. dollar before our depository agent distributes the dividend, you may receive a smaller dividend than what you expected to receive at the time the dividend was approved by shareholders.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. History and Development of the Company

We are Aktieselskabet Dampskibsselskabet Torm, or TORM, a Danish shipping company founded in 1889 under the Danish Companies Act that is engaged primarily in the ownership and operation of product tankers and bulk carriers. We have also provided offshore marine service vessels, but ceased this service in December 2003. Our product tankers primarily carry refined products such as naphtha, gasoline, gas oil, jet fuel, and diesel oil. Our dry bulk vessels carry commodities such as coal, iron ore and grain. Our vessels trade worldwide. Our registered office and principal place of business is at Tuborg Havnevej 18, DK-2900 Hellerup, Denmark. Our telephone number is +45 39179200. All the financial information presented in Item 4 is in accordance with Danish GAAP.

We provide transportation services by utilizing a fleet of vessels that we

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

own, charter in on short and long term time charters, or commercially manage as the manager of a pool or through contracts with third party owners. We charter in tankers and bulk vessels as are needed by the pools we manage.

Our primary capital expenditures are in connection with the acquisitions of vessels. For the past several years, we have been acquiring new vessels and disposing of older vessels in our fleet to ensure compliance with the safety requirements of the International Maritime Organization, or the IMO. During the past three years, we have entered into contracts to purchase thirteen additional vessels under construction, or newbuildings, and secondhand vessels, for a total cost of 520 million U.S. dollars or approximately DKK 3.1 billion and have sold one vessel for aggregate proceeds of approximately 17 million U.S. dollars or approximately DKK 0.1 billion.

B. Business Overview

THE FLEET

Our fleet of owned, long term chartered and partially owned vessels consists of 22 product tankers, a 50% interest in an additional product tanker and nine dry bulk carriers. The total tonnage of those vessels is approximately 2,042,563 dwt, of which one vessel of approximately 84,000 dwt is owned jointly with a partner. In addition, as of December 31, 2004, we commercially managed approximately 53 vessels for third party owners and charterers.

In 2002, we placed orders for two LR1 75,000 dwt tankers, both of which we took delivery in 2004. In 2003, we placed orders for the building of four new 100,000 dwt product tankers, of which we expect to take delivery in 2006 and 2007. In January 2004, we placed an order for the building of one new 100,000 dwt product tanker, which we expect to take delivery of in early 2008. In October 2004, we acquired two 46,000 dwt product tanker newbuildings, both of which we expect will be delivered in 2005.

For an overview of our fleet please refer to Item 4D.

Our product tanker division is engaged in the transportation of refined oil products such as gasoline, jet fuel, naphtha and gas oil. We own and operate three sizes of product carriers. The largest vessels are Aframax tankers of approximately 100,000 to 105,000 dwt, that primarily transport naphtha between the Arabian Gulf and Japan and other East Asiatic countries. The other two sizes of product tankers, Panamax, which are tankers of approximately 80,000 to 85,000 dwt, and Handymax, which are tankers of approximately 40,000 to 50,000 dwt, operate in the above mentioned areas and in the U.S., Africa, Europe and the Caribbean. One of these vessels is owned in joint venture with a partner.

Our dry bulk vessels transport products such as grain, coal and iron ore. We operate dry bulk vessels of two sizes: Panamax and Handysize. The Panamax dry bulk vessels, which range between 60,000 and 80,000 dwt, carry iron ore and coal as well as commodities such as grain, bauxite and fertilizer. The Handysize dry bulk vessels are approximately 20,000 to 30,000 dwt and are fitted to carry logs, but can also carry commodities such as grain, fertilizer and steel.

In 1997, we diversified into the operation of anchor-handling tug/supply vessels and other similar offshore craft that service oil rigs but ceased this activity in December 2003.

Each of our vessel categories generates gross profits (net earnings from shipping activities) by operating owned and chartered in vessels. Gross profits (net earnings from shipping activities) generated by the Liner service is included in the item "Profit before tax from discontinued operations" in the Income Statement. Over the last three financial years the contribution to net earnings from shipping activities per division has been as follows:

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

Division -----	2002 ----	2003 ----	2004 ----
Product Tankers	98%	80%	56%
Dry Bulk Vessels	-5%	20%	44%
Liner Service	6%	0%	0%
Offshore Craft	1%	0%	0%

Please refer to Item 5A for a description of revenue and gross profit per division.

PRODUCT TANKER POOLING ARRANGEMENTS

We employ all of our owned and long term chartered product tankers in three pooling arrangements, the LR2 Pool, the LR1 Pool and the MR Pool, along with vessels from several other shipping companies. The manager of each pool has the responsibility for the commercial management of the participating vessels, including the marketing, chartering, operation and bunker (fuel oil) purchase of the vessels. Each pool is administered by a pool board, which is comprised of representatives of each pool participant. The pool boards set the pools' policies and issue directives to the pool managers. The pool participants remain responsible for all other costs including the financing, insurance, manning and technical management of their vessels. The earnings of all of the vessels are aggregated and divided according to the relative performance capabilities of the vessel and the actual earning days each vessel is available.

The LR2 Pool

As of December 31, 2004, the LR2 Pool was comprised of 18 Aframax tankers that are all double-hull and mainly trade clean petroleum products. We formed LR2 Management A/S, a Danish corporation, to serve as the commercial manager of the LR2 Pool. During 2004, the role of commercial manager was transferred to a limited partnership: LR 2 Management K/S. LR2 Management A/S, which was renamed Longe Range 2 A/S, is the general partner of the partnership. We own 50% of all issued and outstanding voting stock of Longe Range 2 A/S and a 50% interest in LR 2 Management K/S. Maersk Tankers, one of the pool participants, also owns a 50% interest in both entities. The other participants in this pool are Primorsk Shipping Corporation and Reederei "Nord" Klaus E. Oldendorff Ltd. Three of our owned vessels *TORM Valborg*, *TORM Ingeborg* and *TORM Helene* and two of our chartered in vessels, *TORM Kristina* and *TORM Gudrun*, currently participate in this pool and we have contracted to add five newbuildings to the pool between 2006 and 2008 when the vessels are delivered from the shipbuilding yard. The LR2 pool has also time chartered in one vessel, the charter of which is expected to end in January 2006. If a participant wants to sell one of its vessels in the pool, it must give notice to the pool board two months in advance of such sale, and six months notice is required for a participant to withdraw all of its vessels from the pool. No such notice has been given from January 1, 2004 to April 30, 2005.

The LR1 Pool

As of December 31, 2004, the LR1 Pool consisted of 32 Panamax tankers, and we serve as the sole manager of the pool. The other participants in this pool are *Difko*, *Marinvest Shipping AB*, *Waterfront Shipping AS*, *Mitsui OSK Lines Ltd.*, *Reederei "Nord" Klaus E. Oldendorff Ltd.*, *LGR di Navigazione S.P.A.*, *Great Basic Limited* and *Great Global (Asia) Limited*. As of December 31, 2004, five of our vessels, *TORM Estrid*, *TORM Hilde*, *TORM Margrethe*, *TORM Ismini* and *Kirsten*, participated in this pool, and we had contracted to add two newbuildings to the

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

pool in 2005 when the vessels are delivered from the shipbuilding yard. If a participant wants to sell one of its vessels or withdraw all of them from the pool, it must give three months advance notice to the pool board. No such notice has been given from January 1, 2004 to April 30, 2005.

The MR Pool

The MR Pool is a pooling arrangement we have entered into with Prisco Singapore Pte Ltd., Sanmar Shipping and LGR Di Navigazione S.P. for the pooling of 25 Handymax product tankers as of December 31, 2004. We serve as the sole manager of the MR Pool. As of December 31, 2004, 13 of our vessels, TORM Mary, TORM Vita, TORM Gertrud, TORM Gerd, TORM Gunhild, TORM Asia, TORM Gotland, TORM Anne, TORM Olga, TORM Thyra, TORM Freya, TORM Alice and TORM Agnete participated in this pool. If a participant wants to sell one of its vessels in the pool, it must give notice to the pool board three months in advance of such sale, and six months notice is required for a participant to withdraw all of its vessels from the pool. No such notice has been given from January 1, 2004 to April 30, 2005.

DRY BULK VESSEL OPERATION

We operate both Panamax and Handysize vessels in our Bulk Division. We operate our Panamax vessels ourselves while our Handysize vessels are operated through our participation in the IHC Pool. Similar to the pooling arrangement for our product tankers, the earnings from the Handysize vessels are aggregated and divided according to the relative performance capabilities of the vessel and the actual earning days per vessel. The pool is administered by a pool board, which is comprised of representatives of each pool participant. The pool board sets the pools' policies and issues directives to the pool manager. The pool participants remain responsible for the financing, insurance, manning and technical management of their individually owned vessels.

Handysize Pool

We established a pool called the International Handybulk Carriers, or IHC Pool, on October 1, 2001, together with Pacific Basin Shipping Investments Limited and Wah Kwong Shipping Holdings Limited. This pool is comprised of approximately 40 vessels as at December 31, 2004. Pacific Basin serves as commercial manager for the pool. We have entered two of our owned vessels into the pool, TORM Arawa and TORM Pacific.

OUR INVESTMENT IN DAMPSKIBSSELSKABET "NORDEN" A/S

In the summer of 2002, TORM acquired a share holding in NORDEN and subsequently launched a public offer on the Copenhagen Stock Exchange for the remainder of NORDEN's shares. After the offer, TORM owned 727,803 shares representing 33% - excluding NORDEN's own shares - acquired at a price of DKK 361 per share for a total investment of DKK 263 million. As of December 31, 2004, we were NORDEN's single largest shareholder with 33.07% of NORDEN's outstanding shares, excluding own shares.

NORDEN, founded in 1871, is a Danish based shipping company listed on the Copenhagen Stock Exchange. NORDEN's focus is on tankers and bulk carriers. As of December 31, 2004, NORDEN operated approximately 123 vessels through a mix of owned and chartered tonnage.

Despite the fact that the goal of acquiring NORDEN - to create one shipping company combining TORM's tanker activities with NORDEN's strength in bulk markets - was not realized in 2002, we nonetheless retained the shareholding in NORDEN. This was done not only with the aim of making a merger possible in the longer term, but also in view of the investment potential.

In the autumn of 2004, two of NORDEN's shareholders decided to sell a total

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

of 20% of the shares in NORDEN to Rasmussengruppen AS. At the same time Rasmussengruppen reached an agreement with A/S Motortramp, which owns 25.7% of the share capital, whereby the two parties have granted one another first refusal on each other's shares in NORDEN, and at the same time committed themselves not to sell their shares to a third party for a period of two years. We currently have no intention to sell the shareholding in NORDEN.

We had an unrealized gain of DKK 1,034 million related to the increase in the value of the NORDEN shares in 2004.

THE INDUSTRY - TANKERS

The international product tanker industry provides seaborne transportation of refined petroleum products for the oil market. According to industry sources, tankers transported refined oil products corresponding to approximately 653 million tons annually in the fourth quarter of 2004 showing a 6.7% increase as compared to fourth quarter 2003. For 2004 as a whole, industry sources estimate that products trade increased by 3.9%. The two main types of operators that provide transportation services in the tanker market are:

- o major oil companies; and
- o independent ship owners.

They provide transportation services for end users such as:

- o oil companies;
- o oil traders;
- o petrochemical companies;
- o government agencies; and
- o power plants.

According to industry sources, the world tanker fleet above 10,000 dwt consists of approximately 3,119 vessels totaling 308 million dwt or 5.7% higher as of January 1, 2005 as compared to the year before. Oil companies own, or control through long-term time charters, approximately one third of the current world tanker capacity. Independent ship owners own or control the other two thirds. Oil companies use their fleets not only to transport their own oil products, but also to compete with the independent ship owners to transport oil products for others.

We believe the quality of tanker vessels and operations has improved over the past several years, as charterers and regulators increasingly focus on safety and protection of the environment. National authorities and international conventions have historically regulated the oil transportation industry. Since 1990, the emphasis on environmental protection has increased. Legislation, regulations and regulatory organizations such as the OPA, the IMO, protocols and classification society procedures demand higher-quality tanker construction, maintenance, repair and operations. Charterers of all types, including oil companies, terminal operators, shippers and receivers are becoming increasingly selective in their acceptance of tankers and are inspecting and vetting both vessels and companies on a periodic basis. As these changes have imposed costs and potential liabilities on tanker owners and operators, they have also raised barriers to entry and favored ship owners with quality fleets and operations. Limitations imposed by port states and the IMO on trading of older single-hull vessels should accelerate the commercial obsolescence of older, poor-quality tankers.

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

The industry identifies tankers as either product tankers or crude oil tankers on the basis of various factors including technical specifications and trading histories. Crude oil tankers carry crude oil and so-called "dirty" products such as fuel oils. Product tankers carry refined petroleum products such as gasoline, jet fuel, kerosene, naphtha and gas oil, which are often referred to as "clean" products.

Product tankers are tankers that typically have cargo handling systems that are designed to transport several different refined products simultaneously, such as gasoline, jet fuel, kerosene, naphtha and heating oil, from refineries to the ultimate consumer. Product tankers generally have coated cargo tanks that make it easier to clean the tanks between voyages involving different cargoes. This coating also protects the steel in the tanks from corrosive cargoes. Product tankers generally range in size from 10,000 dwt to 110,000 dwt.

Although product tankers are designed to carry dirty as well as clean products, they generally do not switch between clean and dirty cargoes. A vessel carrying dirty cargo must undergo a cleaning process prior to loading clean cargo and many charterers want to eliminate any risk of contamination. In addition, specified design, outfitting and technical factors tend to make some vessels better suited to handling the physical properties of distinct cargoes.

Our vessels primarily transport clean products. Our product tankers are all double-hull and range in size from 44,000 dwt to 105,000 dwt. They compete with tankers of similar size and quality. The rates that we are able to obtain for our vessels are subject to the supply and demand dynamics described below.

Supply and Demand for Tankers

The supply of, and demand for, tanker capacity strongly influences tanker charter rates and vessel values for all tankers. Supply and demand has historically caused fluctuations in tanker charter rates and secondhand values.

Demand for oil tankers is related to the demand for oil and oil products and the distance between points of production and points of consumption. Demand for refined petroleum products is, in turn, affected by, among other things:

- o general economic conditions, which include increases and decreases in industrial production and transportation;
- o oil prices;
- o environmental issues or concerns;
- o climate;
- o competition from alternative energy sources; and
- o regulatory environment.

The supply of tanker capacity is a function of the number of tankers delivered to the fleet relative to the number of tankers permanently taken from service when they become technically or economically obsolete. Currently, it takes approximately 36 to 48 months from the time a building contract is entered into before a newbuilding is delivered. The average age of tankers removed from service currently ranges between 21 and 25 years. Other factors affecting the supply of tankers include:

- o the number of combined carriers, or vessels capable of carrying oil or dry bulk cargoes, carrying oil cargoes;
- o the number of newbuildings on order and being delivered;

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

- o the number of tankers in lay-up, which refers to vessels that are in storage, dry-docked, awaiting repairs or otherwise not available or out of commission; and
- o the number of tankers scrapped for obsolescence or subject to casualties;
- o prevailing and expected future charterhire rates;
- o costs of bunkers, fuel oil, and other operating costs;
- o the efficiency and age of the world tanker fleet;
- o current shipyard capacity; and
- o government and industry regulation of maritime transportation practices, particularly environmental protection laws and regulations.

Environmental laws and regulations are imposing requirements on vessels when they reach 25 years of age that reduce the amount of cargo they can carry or require that the vessel be configured in a different way. These requirements tend to impose costs on those older vessels and make operating them less economical.

THE INDUSTRY - DRY BULK FLEET

Overview

The dry bulk carrier industry is highly fragmented with many owners and operators of vessels, including proprietary owners who are large shippers of dry bulk cargo, state-controlled shipping companies and independent operators.

Dry bulk cargo consists of the major bulk commodities, which are coal, iron ore and grain and the minor bulk commodities which include steel products, forest products, agricultural products, bauxite and alumina, phosphates, petcoke, cement, sugar, salt, minerals, scrap metal and pig iron. Dry bulk carriers are generally single deck ships, which transport unpacked cargo, which is poured, tipped or placed through hatchways into the hold of the ships.

Historically, charter rates for dry bulk carriers have been influenced by the demand for, and the supply of, vessel tonnage. The demand for vessel tonnage is largely a function of the level of worldwide economic activity and the distance between major trade areas. Supply is primarily driven by the size of the existing worldwide dry bulk carrier fleet, scrapping and newbuilding activity. Charter rates and vessel values are determined in a highly competitive global market and have been characterized by fluctuations since the mid-1980s.

Vessel Types

Vessels utilized in the carriage of major bulk cargoes are generally classified into three categories, based on carrying capacity:

- o Handysize dry bulk carriers (20,000 to 30,000 dwt). Unlike most larger dry bulk carriers, Handysize dry bulk carriers are equipped with cargo gear such as cranes. This type of vessel is well suited for transporting both major and minor bulk commodities to ports around the world that may have draft restrictions or are not equipped with gear for loading or discharging of cargo.
- o Panamax dry bulk carriers (60,000 to 80,000 dwt). Panamax dry bulk carriers are designed with the maximum width, length and draft that will allow them to transit fully laden through the Panama Canal. Panamax vessels are

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

primarily used in the transport of major bulks such as grain and coal, along with some minor bulks like phosphate, petcoke and salt.

- o Capesize dry bulk carriers (100,000 dwt or above). Capesize dry bulk carriers primarily transit from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean via Cape Horn or the Cape of Good Hope, hence their name. Capesize vessels are typically used for long voyages in the coal and iron ore trades.

In addition to the three standard vessel types, the world bulk carrier fleet also includes combination carriers. These vessels are typically large, capable of carrying either crude oil or dry bulk cargoes and compete with both Capesize and Panamax bulk carriers. The role of combination carriers has been decreasing since 1990 because such vessels, which were not built primarily for the dry cargo market but rather for the oil tanker market, have come to be considered less desirable by charterers of oil tankers, since their oil carrying capacity may be limited and they are not strictly specialized for the carriage of oil.

Set forth below are some of the characteristics of the principal cargoes carried by dry bulk carriers.

- o Coal. The two categories comprising this segment are steam (or thermal) coal, which is used by power utilities, and coking (or metallurgical) coal, which is used by steelmakers. Steam coal is primarily transported from Australia, South Africa and the United States to Europe and Japan. Coking coal is primarily transported from Australia, the United States and Canada to Europe and Japan.
- o Iron Ore. Iron ore is primarily transported from Brazil and Australia to China, Europe and Japan. The majority of iron ore shipments is carried by Capesize dry bulk carriers.
- o Grain. The grain trade includes wheat, wheat flour, coarse grains (corn and barley), soybeans and soybean meal. Although the annual volume of the grain trade is subject to political factors and weather conditions, shipments have remained relatively stable over the past five years. Grain is primarily transported from the United States, Canada, Europe, Australia and Argentina to the Far East, Latin America and Africa. Handymax and Panamax vessels carry approximately 90% of the international seaborne bulktrade while Capesize vessels transport the remainder.

Our dry bulk vessels transport cargoes such as grain, coal and iron ore. We operate both Handysize and Panamax dry bulk vessels. Most of the coal and iron ore we transport are carried on our Panamax vessels, while both types of vessels carry grain and fertilizer. The rates that we can achieve for our vessels depend on the supply and demand dynamics described below.

Demand for Dry Bulk Vessels

Due to the variety of cargo carried by dry bulk carriers, demand for such vessels is dependent on a number of factors, including world and regional economic and political conditions, developments in international trade, changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, weather patterns, crop yields, armed conflicts, port congestion, canal closures and other diversions of trade. Generally, since larger ships carry fewer types of cargoes, demand for larger vessels is affected by trade patterns in a small number of commodities. Demand for smaller vessels is more diversified and is determined by trade in a larger number of commodities. As a result, charter rates for smaller dry bulk carriers, such as Handysize dry bulk carriers, have tended to be relatively more stable than charter rates for larger dry bulk carriers.

Supply of Dry Bulk Carriers

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

The size of the world's dry bulk carrier fleet changes as a result of newbuildings and scrapping or loss of vessels. The general trend in the development of the bulk market has always been closely linked to the state of the world economy. The economic downturn in Asia in the late 1990's led to sharp falls in cargo volumes, and therefore rates, whereas the subsequent recovery has likewise acted to boost the sector with rates recovering to above those prevailing prior to the crisis. In the middle of the third quarter 2003 the dry bulk market reached historically high levels and rates have remained high compared to the previous years due to a strong demand from China for iron ore, coupled with a very low level of newbuilding deliveries and a low global newbuilding order book in the bulk market. The level of expected newbuildings in the dry bulk sector in the forthcoming years remains at a low level due to the preference by the major shipyards for building container and tanker vessels that in recent years have been more profitable to the shipyards.

CHARTERING OF THE FLEET

Vessels can be chartered by customers in a variety of ways.

The spot market provides the most frequent source of employment for our vessels. In the spot market, the charterer hires the vessel to carry cargo on a specific voyage. The owner provides the crew and bears all vessel operating costs and voyage costs, including fuel and port costs.

A charterer and owner can also enter into a time charter for a vessel. Time charters involve a charterer hiring a vessel for a fixed period, which may range from a short number of days to several years. Typical time charters are for periods of between six to 36 months. In a time charter, the owner bears operating costs, while the charterer is responsible for the voyage costs, including fuel oil.

A demise charter, also referred to as a bareboat charter, involves the chartering of a vessel for a fixed period of time. However, unlike a time charter, a bareboat charter requires the user to pay for all operating expenses, maintenance of the vessel and voyage costs.

All of our tanker vessels and Handysize dry bulk vessels operate in pools. Within each pool, a vessel may be time chartered out by the pool manager, but the charterhire is divided among all of the vessels in the pool and therefore does not provide us with the steady income normally associated with time charters. Each pool manager will determine the number of vessels to be time chartered depending on charterhire rates and pool board strategy. Vessels in our pools that are not time chartered generally trade in the spot market. However, the pools do enter into contracts of affreightment, which provide a guaranteed fixed income over a period of time.

MANAGEMENT OF THE FLEET

We provide the operations, chartering, technical support, shipyard supervision, insurance and financing management services necessary to support our fleet. Our chartering staff, as well as our fleet's management personnel, is mainly located in our head office in Copenhagen and in our office in Singapore. Our staff makes recommendations to our senior management regarding the chartering of our vessels, as well as identifying when opportunities arise to buy or sell a vessel. We also have offices in Manila, Hamburg and Tokyo, but all decisions relating to the vessels we manage are made or approved in our offices in Copenhagen and Singapore.

SEASONALITY

The demand for product tankers and bulk carriers has historically

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

fluctuated depending on the time of year. Demand for product tankers is influenced by many factors, including general economic conditions, but it is primarily related to demand for petroleum products in the areas of greatest consumption. Accordingly, demand for product tankers generally rises during the winter months and falls during the summer months in the Northern hemisphere. Demand for bulk carriers is not as volatile as that for tankers, but demand does generally increase in the spring months in North America as demand for grain increases and generally falls back during the winter months. More consistent commodities such as coal, however, provide some stability to the bulk vessel trade. Moreover, these are generalized trading patterns that vary from year to year and there is no guarantee that similar patterns will continue in the future.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER REGULATIONS

Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of our vessels. The various types of governmental regulation that affect our vessels include international conventions, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or where our vessels are registered. We cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with these requirements, or the impact of these requirements on the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. Various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies require us to obtain permits, licenses and certificates for the operation of our vessels. Although we believe that we are substantially in compliance with applicable environmental and regulatory laws and have all permits, licenses and certificates necessary for the conduct of our operations, future non-compliance or failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend operation of one or more of our vessels.

We believe that the heightened environmental and quality concerns of insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers are leading to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for modern vessels that are able to conform to the stricter environmental standards. We maintain high operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our crews and officers and compliance with U.S. and international and other national regulations.

Our vessels are subject to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections by a variety of governmental and private entities, each of which may have unique requirements. These entities include the local port authorities such as the U.S. Coast Guard, harbor master or equivalent, classification societies, flag state administration or country of registry, and charterers, particularly terminal operators and major oil companies which conduct frequent vessel inspections.

Environmental Regulation -- IMO

The IMO, an agency organized in 1959 by the United Nations, has adopted regulations, which set forth pollution prevention requirements applicable to tankers. These regulations, which have been implemented in many jurisdictions in which our vessels operate, provide, in part, that:

- o tankers between 25 and 30 years old must be of double-hull construction or of a mid-deck design with double sided construction, unless (1) they have wing tanks or double-bottom spaces not used for the carriage of oil, which cover at least 30% of the length of the cargo tank section of the hull or bottom; or (2) they are capable of hydrostatically balanced loading (loading less cargo into a tanker so that in the event of a breach of the hull, water flows into the tanker, displacing oil upwards instead of into the sea);

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

- o tankers 30 years old or older must be of double-hull construction or mid-deck design with double sided construction; and
- o all tankers will be subject to enhanced inspections.

Also, under IMO regulations, a tanker must be of double-hull construction or a mid-deck design with double-sided construction or be of another approved design ensuring the same level of protection against oil pollution if the tanker:

- o is the subject of a contract for a major conversion or original construction on or after July 6, 1993;
- o commences a major conversion or has its keel, which is a continuous plate running the length of the vessel at the middle part of the bottom plating, attached on or after January 6, 1994; or
- o completes a major conversion or is a newbuilding delivered on or after July 6, 1996.

Under the current regulations, starting in 2004, and thereafter, such vessels will not be in compliance with the configuration requirements of the IMO. As of December 31, 2004, we did not own any single-hull tankers.

Effective September 2002, the IMO accelerated its existing timetable for the phase-out of single-hull oil tankers. These regulations require the phase-out of most single-hull oil tankers by 2015 or earlier, depending on the age of the tanker and whether it has segregated ballast tanks. After 2007, the maximum permissible age for single-hull tankers will be 26 years. Under current regulations, retrofitting will enable a vessel to operate until the earlier of 25 years of age and the anniversary date of its delivery in 2017. However, as a result of the oil spill in November 2002 relating to the loss of the M/T Prestige, which was owned by a company not affiliated with us, in December 2003 the Marine Environmental Protection Committee of the IMO adopted a proposed amendment to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to accelerate the phase out of single-hull tankers from 2015 to 2010 unless the relevant flag states extend the date to 2015. This proposed amendment came into effect in April 2005. Moreover, the IMO may still adopt regulations in the future that could adversely affect the remaining useful lives of single-hull tankers.

The IMO has also negotiated international conventions that impose liability for oil pollution in international waters and a signatory's territorial waters. In September 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to address air pollution from ships. Annex VI was ratified in May 2004 and became effective in May 2005. Annex VI sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from ship exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. Compliance with these regulations could require the installation of expensive emission control systems and could have an adverse financial impact on the operation of our vessels. Additional or new conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could adversely affect our ability to manage our ships.

The requirements contained in the International Safety Management Code, or ISM Code, promulgated by the IMO, also affect our operations. The ISM Code requires the party with operational control of a vessel to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. We are certified as an approved ship manager under the ISM Code.

The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by each flag state or by an appointed classification society, under the ISM Code. All of our vessels have obtained safety management certificates.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code and other IMO regulations may subject the ship owner or a bareboat charterer to increased liability, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. Both the U.S. Coast Guard and EU authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports, as the case may be.

The IMO has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for oil pollution in international waters and a signatory's territorial waters. Additional or new conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business and which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Environmental Regulation--OPA/CERCLA

The OPA established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for environmental protection and cleanup of oil spills. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade with the U.S. or its territories or possessions, or whose vessels operate in the waters of the U.S., which include the U.S. territorial waters and the two hundred nautical mile exclusive economic zone of the U.S. The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA, applies to the discharge of hazardous substances (other than oil) whether on land or at sea. Both OPA and CERCLA impact our operations.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat or "demise" charterers are "responsible parties" who are all liable regardless of fault, individually and as a group, for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from oil spills from their vessels. These responsible parties would not be liable if the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war. The other damages aside from cleanup and containment costs are defined broadly to include:

- o natural resource damages and related assessment costs;
- o real and personal property damages;
- o net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, profits or earnings capacity;
- o net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards; and
- o loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

OPA limits the liability of responsible parties to the greater of USD 1,200 per gross ton or USD 10 million per tanker that is over 3,000 gross tons. This is subject to possible adjustment for inflation. OPA specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for discharge of

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

pollutants within their waters. In some cases, states that have enacted their own legislation have not yet issued implementing regulations defining tanker owners' responsibilities under these laws. CERCLA, which applies to owners and operators of vessels, contains a similar liability regime and provides for cleanup, removal and natural resource damages. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of USD 300 per gross ton or USD 5 million unless the incident is caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct, or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited. OPA and CERCLA each preserve the right to recover damages under existing law, including maritime tort law. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with OPA, CERCLA and all applicable state regulations in the ports where our tankers call.

OPA requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet the limit of their potential strict liability under OPA. The U.S. Coast Guard has enacted regulations requiring evidence of financial responsibility in the amount of USD 1,500 per gross ton for tankers, coupling the OPA limitation on liability of USD 1,200 per gross ton with the CERCLA liability limit of USD 300 per gross ton. Under the regulations, evidence of financial responsibility may be demonstrated by insurance, surety bond, self-insurance or guaranty. Under OPA regulations, an owner or operator of more than one tanker will be required to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility for the entire fleet in an amount equal only to the financial responsibility requirement of the tanker having the greatest maximum liability under OPA and CERCLA. We have provided requisite guarantees and received certificates of financial responsibility from the U.S. Coast Guard for each of our vessels required to have one.

We insure each of our vessels with pollution liability insurance in the maximum commercially available amount of USD 1 billion per vessel per incident. A catastrophic spill could exceed the insurance coverage available, in which event there could be a material adverse effect on our business.

Under OPA, with certain limited exceptions, all newly built or converted tankers operating in U.S. waters must be built with double-hulls. Existing vessels that do not comply with the double-hull requirement must be phased out over a 20-year period, from 1995 to 2015, based on size, age and place of discharge, unless retrofitted with double-hulls. Notwithstanding the phase-out period, OPA currently permits existing single-hull tankers to operate until the year 2015 if their operations within U.S. waters are limited to:

- o discharging at the Louisiana Offshore Oil Port, also known as the LOOP; or
- o unloading with the aid of another vessel, a process referred to in the industry as lightering, within authorized lightering zones more than 60 miles off-shore.

Owners or operators of tankers operating in the waters of the U.S. must file vessel response plans with the U.S. Coast Guard, and their tankers are required to operate in compliance with their U.S. Coast Guard approved plans. These response plans must, among other things:

- o address a "worst case" scenario and identify and ensure, through contract or other approved means, the availability of necessary private response resources to respond to a "worst case discharge";
- o describe crew training and drills; and
- o identify a qualified individual with full authority to implement cleanup actions.

We have obtained vessel response plans approved by the U.S. Coast Guard for our vessels operating in U.S. waters. In addition, the U.S. Coast Guard has

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO FORM - Form 20-F

announced it intends to propose similar regulations requiring certain tanker vessels to prepare response plans for the release of hazardous substances.

Environmental Regulation--Other

Although the U.S. is not a party to these conventions, many countries have ratified and follow the liability scheme adopted by the IMO and set out in the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969, or CLC. Under this convention, a vessel's registered owner is strictly liable for pollution damage caused in the territorial waters of a contracting state by discharge of oil, subject to some complete defenses. Liability is limited to approximately USD 183 per gross registered ton or approximately USD 19.3 million, whichever is less. If, however, the country in which the damage results is a party to the 1992 Protocol to the CLC, the maximum liability rises to approximately USD 82.7 million. The limit of liability is tied to a unit of account, which varies according to a basket of currencies. The right to limit liability is forfeited under the CLC where the spill is caused by the owner's actual fault and under the 1992 Protocol, where the spill is caused by the owner's intentional or reckless conduct. Vessels trading to states, which are party to this convention must provide evidence of insurance covering the limited liability of the owner. In jurisdictions where the CLC has not been adopted, various legislative schemes or common law govern, and liability is imposed either on the basis of fault or in a manner similar to the CLC.

In addition, most U.S. states that border a navigable waterway have enacted environmental pollution laws that impose strict liability on a person for removal costs and damages resulting from a discharge of oil or a release of a hazardous substance. These laws may be more stringent than U.S. federal law.

Several of our vessels currently carry cargoes to U.S. waters regularly and we believe that all of our vessels are suitable to meet OPA requirements and that they would also qualify for trade if chartered to serve U.S. ports.

European Union and IMO Regulations

The IMO has approved a timetable for the accelerated phasing-out of single-hull oil tankers. Oil tankers delivered in 1976 and 1977 and which do not comply with the requirements for protectively located segregated ballast tanks were phased out by January 1, 2005.

The total loss of the oil tanker M/T Erika off the coast of France on December 12, 1999 polluted more than 250 miles of French coastline with heavy oil. Following the spill, the European Commission adopted a "communication on the safety of oil transport by sea," also named the Erika Communication.

As a part of this, the European Commission has adopted a proposal for a general ban on single-hull oil tankers. The timetable for the ban is similar to that set by the United States under OPA in order to prevent oil tankers banned from U.S. waters from shifting their trades to Europe. The ban plans for a gradual phase-out of tankers depending on vessel type:

- o Single-hull oil tankers larger than 20,000 dwt without protective ballast tanks around the cargo tanks. This category is proposed to be phased out by 2005.
- o Single-hull oil tankers larger than 20,000 dwt in which the cargo tank area is partly protected by segregated ballast tank. This category is proposed to be phased out by 2010.
- o Single-hull tankers below 20,000 dwt. This category is proposed to be phased out by 2015.

Edgar Filing: AS STEAMSHIP CO TORM - Form 20-F

Partly in response to the oil spill caused by the sinking of the tanker M/T Prestige, a single-hull tanker owned by an entity that is not affiliated with us, in November 2002, the EU proposed new regulations in March of 2003 that, among other things, places a ban on the transportation of heavy oil grades in all single-hull tankers loading or discharging at EU ports. These regulations also accelerate the phase-out schedule of all single-hull tankers. The European Union Parliament ratified these new regulations in July 2003 and the IMO joined the phase-out of single hull tankers later in 2003. The details of the regulations are as follows:

- o Single hull tankers built on or before 1980 are immediately barred from entering into ports, offshore terminals, or anchor in an area under the jurisdiction of an EU member state.
- o Heavy crude oils (API grade