

MACK CALI REALTY CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 22, 2007

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 1-13274

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**

(Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Maryland**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**22-3305147**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

**343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**08837-2206**  
(Zip code)

**(732) 590-1000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(Title of Each Class)

(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)

**Common Stock, \$0.01 par value**  
**Preferred Share Purchase Rights**

**New York Stock Exchange**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. [  ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes  No

As of June 30, 2006, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,384,277,179. As of February 16, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$3,628,695,380. The aggregate market values were computed with references to the closing prices on the New York Stock Exchange on such dates. These calculations do not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purpose.

As of February 16, 2007, 67,792,367 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, of the Company ("Common Stock") were outstanding.

**LOCATION OF EXHIBIT INDEX:** The index of exhibits is contained herein on page number 126.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:** Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 to be issued in conjunction with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K. The definitive proxy statement will be filed by the registrant with the SEC not later than 120 days from the end of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

---

**FORM 10-K****Table of Contents**

<b>PART I</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
Item 1	Business	3
Item 1A	Risk Factors	10
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	17
Item 2	Properties	18
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	39
<b>PART II</b>		
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	40
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	42
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	63
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	64
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants	

	on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	64
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	64
Item 9B	Other Information	65
<b>PART III</b>		
Item 10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	65
Item 11	Executive Compensation	65
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	65
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	65
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	65
<b>PART IV</b>		
Item 15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	66
<b>SIGNATURES</b>		124
<b>EXHIBIT INDEX</b>		126



## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### GENERAL

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”), is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) that owns and operates a real estate portfolio comprised predominantly of Class A office and office/flex properties located primarily in the Northeast. The Company performs substantially all commercial real estate leasing, management, acquisition, development and construction services on an in-house basis. Mack-Cali Realty Corporation was incorporated on May 24, 1994. The Company’s executive offices are located at 343 Thornall Street, Edison, New Jersey 08837-2206, and its telephone number is (732) 590-1000. The Company has an internet website at [www.mack-cali.com](http://www.mack-cali.com).

As of December 31, 2006, the Company owned or had interests in 300 properties, aggregating approximately 34.3 million square feet, plus developable land (collectively, the “Properties”), which are leased to over 2,200 tenants. The Properties are comprised of: (a) 255 wholly-owned or Company-controlled properties consisting of 150 office buildings and 95 office/flex buildings aggregating approximately 28.5 million square feet, six industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 387,400 square feet, two stand-alone retail properties totaling approximately 17,300 square feet, and two land leases (collectively, the “Consolidated Properties”); and (b) 44 buildings, which are primarily office properties, aggregating approximately 5.4 million square feet, and a 350-room hotel, which are owned by unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has investment interests. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to square feet represent net rentable area. As of December 31, 2006, the office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail properties included in the Consolidated Properties were 92.0 percent leased. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expire as of December 31, 2006 aggregate 103,477 square feet, or 0.4 percent of the net rentable square footage. The Properties are located in seven states, primarily in the Northeast, and the District of Columbia. See Item 2: Properties.

The Company’s strategy has been to focus its operations, acquisition and development of office properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets and sub-markets where it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company plans to continue this strategy by expanding through acquisitions and/or development in Northeast markets where it has, or can achieve, similar status. The Company believes that its Properties have excellent locations and access and are well-maintained and professionally managed. As a result, the Company believes that its Properties attract high quality tenants and achieve among the highest rental, occupancy and tenant retention rates within their markets. The Company also believes that its extensive market knowledge provides it with a significant competitive advantage, which is further enhanced by its strong reputation for, and emphasis on, delivering highly responsive, professional management services. See “Business Strategies.”

In May 2006, in conjunction with the completion of the Gale Company acquisition, the Company acquired The Gale Construction Company and its related companies, which offer a full complement of professional services in the areas of construction management, general contracting and advisory services.

As of December 31, 2006, executive officers and directors of the Company and their affiliates owned approximately 8.8 percent of the Company’s outstanding shares of Common Stock (including Units redeemable into shares of Common Stock). As used herein, the term “Units” refers to limited partnership interests in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership”) through which the Company conducts its real estate

activities. The Company's executive officers have been employed by the Company and/or its predecessor companies for an average of approximately 19 years.

## **BUSINESS STRATEGIES**

### **Operations**

***Reputation:*** The Company has established a reputation as a highly-regarded landlord with an emphasis on delivering quality tenant services in buildings it owns and/or manages. The Company believes that its continued success depends in part on enhancing its reputation as an operator of choice, which will facilitate the retention of current tenants and the attraction of new tenants. The Company believes it provides a superior level of service to its tenants, which should in turn, allow the Company to outperform the market with respect to occupancy rates, as well as improve tenant retention.

Communication with tenants: The Company emphasizes frequent communication with tenants to ensure first-class service to the Properties. Property management personnel generally are located on site at the Properties to provide convenient access to management and to ensure that the Properties are well-maintained. Property management's primary responsibility is to ensure that buildings are operated at peak efficiency in order to meet both the Company's and tenants' needs and expectations. Property management personnel additionally budget and oversee capital improvements and building system upgrades to enhance the Properties' competitive advantages in their markets and to maintain the quality of the Company's properties.

Additionally, the Company's in-house leasing representatives develop and maintain long-term relationships with the Company's diverse tenant base and coordinate leasing, expansion, relocation and build-to-suit opportunities within the Company's portfolio. This approach allows the Company to offer office space in the appropriate size and location to current or prospective tenants in any of its sub-markets.

### **Growth**

The Company plans to continue to own and operate a portfolio of properties in high-barrier-to-entry markets, with a primary focus in the Northeast. The Company's primary objectives are to maximize operating cash flow and to enhance the value of its portfolio through effective management, acquisition, development and property sales strategies, as follows:

Internal Growth: The Company seeks to maximize the value of its existing portfolio through implementing operating strategies designed to produce the highest effective rental and occupancy rates and lowest tenant installation cost within the markets that it operates. The Company continues to pursue internal growth through re-leasing space at higher effective rents with contractual rent increases and developing or redeveloping space for its diverse base of high credit tenants, including New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC, Morgan Stanley and The United States of America - GSA. In addition, the Company seeks economies of scale through volume discounts to take advantage of its size and dominance in particular sub-markets, and operating efficiencies through the use of in-house management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, legal, development and construction services.

Acquisitions: The Company also believes that growth opportunities exist through acquiring operating properties or properties for redevelopment with attractive returns in its core Northeast sub-markets where, based on its expertise in leasing, managing and operating properties, it believes it is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator. The Company intends either directly or through joint ventures to acquire, invest in or redevelop additional properties that: (i) are expected to provide attractive initial yields with potential for growth in cash flow from operations; (ii) are well-located, of high quality and competitive in their respective sub-markets; (iii) are located in its existing sub-markets or in sub-markets in which the Company can become a significant and preferred owner and operator; and (iv) it believes have been under-managed or are otherwise capable of improved performance through intensive management, capital improvements and/or leasing that should result in increased effective rental and occupancy rates.

Development: The Company seeks to selectively develop additional properties either directly or through joint ventures where it believes such development will result in a favorable risk-adjusted return on investment in coordination with the above operating strategies. Such development primarily will occur: (i) when leases have been executed prior to construction; (ii) in stable core Northeast sub-markets where the demand for such space exceeds available supply; and (iii) where the Company is, or can become, a significant and preferred owner and operator.

Property Sales: While management's principal intention is to own and operate its properties on a long-term basis, it periodically assesses the attributes of each of its properties, with a particular focus on the supply and demand fundamentals of the sub-markets in which they are located. Based on these ongoing assessments, the Company may,



from time to time, decide to sell any of its properties.

**Financial**

The Company currently intends to maintain a ratio of debt-to-undepreciated assets (total debt of the Company as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) of 50 percent or less. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's total debt constituted

4

---

approximately 41.4 percent of total undepreciated assets of the Company. The Company has three investment grade credit ratings. Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") and Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") have each assigned their BBB rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. S&P and Fitch have also assigned their BBB- rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has assigned its Baa2 rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership and its Baa3 rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Although there is no limit in the Company's organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that the Company may incur or a requirement for the maintenance of investment grade credit ratings, the Company has entered into certain financial agreements which contain covenants that limit the Company's ability to incur indebtedness under certain circumstances. The Company intends to conduct its operations so as to best be able to maintain its investment grade rated status. The Company intends to utilize the most appropriate sources of capital for future acquisitions, development, capital improvements and other investments, which may include funds from operating activities, proceeds from property and land sales, short-term and long-term borrowings (including draws on the Company's revolving credit facility), and the issuance of additional debt or equity securities.

## **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 540 full-time employees.

## **COMPETITION**

The leasing of real estate is highly competitive. The Properties compete for tenants with lessors and developers of similar properties located in their respective markets primarily on the basis of location, rent charged, services provided, and the design and condition of the Properties. The Company also experiences competition when attempting to acquire or dispose of real estate, including competition from domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension trusts, trust funds, partnerships, individual investors and others.

## **REGULATIONS**

Many laws and governmental regulations are applicable to the Properties and changes in these laws and regulations, or their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently.

Under various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, an owner of real estate may be held liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner was responsible for, or even knew of, the presence of such substances. The presence of such substances may adversely affect the owner's ability to rent or sell the property or to borrow using such property as collateral and may expose it to liability resulting from any release of, or exposure to, such substances. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location may also be liable for the costs of re-moval or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such person. Certain environmental laws impose liability for the release of asbestos-containing materials into the air, and third parties may also seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials and other hazardous or toxic substances.

In connection with the ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of real properties, the Company may be considered an owner or operator of such properties or as having arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental penalties and injuries to persons and property.

There can be no assurance that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, (ii) the current environmental condition of the Properties will not be affected by tenants, by the condition of land or operations in the vicinity of the Properties (such as the presence of underground storage tanks), or by third parties unrelated to the Company, or (iii) the Company's assessments reveal all environmental liabilities and that there are no material environmental liabilities of which the Company is aware. If compliance with the various laws and regulations, now existing or hereafter adopted, exceeds the Company's budgets for such items, the Company's ability to make expected distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

There are no other laws or regulations which have a material effect on the Company's operations, other than typical federal, state and local laws affecting the development and operation of real property, such as zoning laws.

## **INDUSTRY SEGMENTS**

The Company operates in two industry segments: (i) real estate; and (ii) construction services. As of December 31, 2006, the Company does not have any foreign operations and its business is not seasonal. In May 2006, in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company and related businesses, the Company acquired a business specializing solely in construction and related services whose operations comprise the Company's construction services segment. Please see our financial statements attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein for financial information relating to our industry segments.

## **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

The Company's core markets continue to be weak. The percentage leased in the Company's consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating properties increased to 92.0 percent at December 31, 2006 as compared to 91.0 percent at December 31, 2005 and 91.2 percent at December 31, 2004. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Leases that expire as of the period end date aggregate 103,477 square feet, or 0.4 percent of the net rentable square footage. Excluded from percentage leased at December 31, 2004 was a non-strategic, non-core 318,224 square foot property acquired through a deed in lieu of foreclosure, which was 12.7 percent leased at December 31, 2004 and subsequently sold on February 4, 2005. Market rental rates have declined in most markets from peak levels in late 2000 and early 2001. Rental rates on the Company's space that was re-leased (based on first rents payable) during the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased an average of 0.2 percent compared to rates that were in effect under expiring leases, as compared to a 8.2 percent decrease in 2005 and a 8.7 percent decrease in 2004. The Company believes that vacancy rates may continue to increase in most of its markets in 2007. As a result, the Company's future earnings and cash flow may continue to be negatively impacted by current market conditions.

### **Gale/Green Transactions**

On May 9, 2006, the Company completed the acquisitions of: (i) The Gale Company and certain of its related businesses, which engage in construction, property management, facilities management, and leasing services (collectively, the "Gale Company"); (ii) three office properties; and (iii) indirect interests in a portfolio of office properties, located primarily in New Jersey, which were owned indirectly by The Gale Company and its affiliates ("Gale") and affiliates of SL Green Realty Corp. ("SL Green"). The agreements ("Gale/Green Agreements") to complete the aforementioned acquisitions (collectively, the "Gale/Green Transactions") required that the Company complete all of the acquisitions. Simultaneous with the completion of the Gale/Green Transactions, The Gale Company's President, Mark Yeager, was named an executive vice president of the Company.

Under the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company acquired 100 percent of the ownership interests in three office properties located in New Jersey, aggregating 518,257 square feet (the "Wholly-Owned Properties").

Also, as part of the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company entered into a joint venture with an entity controlled by SL Green (in which Stanley C. Gale has an interest), known as Mack-Green-Gale LLC ("Mack-Green"), to hold an approximate 96 percent interest and act as general partner of Gale SLG NJ Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "OP LP"). The OP LP owns 100 percent of entities which own 25 office properties (collectively, the "OP LP Properties") which aggregate 3.5 million square feet (consisting of 17 office properties aggregating 2.3 million square feet located in New Jersey and eight properties aggregating 1.2 million square feet located in Troy, Michigan), as well as a minor, non-controlling interest in four office properties aggregating 419,000 square feet located in Naperville, Illinois.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay Mark Yeager, an executive officer of the Company, 49 percent of any payments he receives on account of Mr. Gale's interest with SL Green in Mack-Green.

The Gale Company, the Wholly-Owned Properties, and the interest in Mack-Green were acquired by the Company for a total initial acquisition cost of approximately \$245 million consisting of: (i) the issuance by the Company of 224,719 common units of the Operating Partnership; (ii) the payment of a total of approximately \$194 million in cash, which was primarily funded through borrowing under the Company's revolving credit facility; and (iii) the assumption of \$39.9 million in existing mortgage indebtedness on two of the Wholly-Owned Properties. Mr. Gale has agreed to transfer to Mark Yeager 33,700 of his common units of the Operating Partnership on April 30, 2009, provided that Mr. Yeager's employment with the Company has not been terminated involuntarily without cause ("Employment Continuation") prior to such date. Additionally, the agreement to acquire the Gale Company ("Gale Agreement") contains earn-out provisions providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms are defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other events for The Gale Company for the three years following the closing date.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of all amounts he receives pursuant to the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions, subject to certain conditions including Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation.

The Company has not yet obtained all the information necessary to finalize its estimates to complete the purchase price allocations related to the Gale/Green Transactions. The purchase price allocations will be finalized once the information identified by the Company has been received, which should not be longer than one year from the date of acquisition.

In addition, the Gale Agreement provides for the Company to acquire certain other ownership interests in up to 11 real estate projects (the "Non-Portfolio Properties"), subject to obtaining certain third party consents and the satisfaction of various project-related and/or other conditions. Each of the Company's acquired interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties will provide for the initial distributions of net cash flow solely to the Company, and thereafter an affiliate of Mr. Gale ("Gale Affiliate") has participation rights ("Gale Participation Rights") in 50 percent of the excess net cash flow remaining after the distribution to the Company of the aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (a) the Company's capital contributions, plus (b) an internal rate of return ("IRR") of 10 percent per annum, accruing on the date or dates of the Company's investments.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of any payments he receives with respect to the Gale Participation Rights, subject to adjustments for payments Mr. Yeager receives from his direct interests in such rights and subject to, in certain cases, Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation. Mr. Gale has also agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of the distributions he receives with respect to Mr. Gale's interest in certain land located in Florham Park, New Jersey, which is one of the Non-Portfolio Properties not yet acquired by the Company. Such distribution may include the amounts Mr. Gale receives from the conveyance of his interest in the Florham Park land to the Company.

With respect to the arrangements between Mr. Gale and Mr. Yeager regarding the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions and the Florham Park land, they have agreed to consider offering payments to certain persons that have been employed by certain subsidiaries of The Gale Company, which may include current employees of the Company.

Through December 31, 2006, the Company has completed acquisitions of eight of the interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties, which included the acquisitions of interests in: a 527,015 square foot, mixed-use office/retail complex; a 416,429 square-foot multi-tenanted office property; a 139,750 square-foot fully-leased office property; an office property in development; two vacant land parcels (one of which Mr. Yeager has a 16.49 percent interest in the Participation Rights) and two pre-developed projects. The aggregate cost of the completed acquisitions was approximately \$25.6 million.

Pursuant to Mr. Gale's agreements with Mr. Yeager, as described herein, Mr. Yeager received approximately \$5.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company, Mr. Gale and certain other affiliates of Gale are restricted from competing with the Company or hiring the Company's employees for a period of four years expiring on May 9, 2010.

**Property Acquisitions**

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Acquisition Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Acquisition Cost
02/28/06	Capital Office Park (a)	Greenbelt, Maryland	7	842,258	\$166,011
05/09/06	35 Waterview Boulevard (b) (c)	Parsippany, New Jersey	1	172,498	33,586
05/09/06	105 Challenger Road (b) (d)	Ridgefield Park, New Jersey	1	150,050	34,960
05/09/06	343 Thornall Street (b) (e)	Edison, New Jersey	1	195,709	46,193
07/31/06	395 W. Passaic Street (f)	Rochelle Park, New Jersey	1	100,589	22,219
Total Property Acquisitions:			11	1,461,104	\$302,969

(a) This transaction was funded primarily through the assumption of \$63.2 million of mortgage debt and the issuance of 1.9 million common operating partnership units valued at \$87.2 million.

(b) The property was acquired as part of the Gale/Green Transactions.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$20.4 million of mortgage debt.

(d) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$19.5 million of mortgage debt.

(e) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

(f) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$13.1 million of mortgage debt.

For a discussion of the ownership interests in Mack-Green, see Note 4: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures - Mack-Green-Gale LLC - to our financial statements included within this annual report on Form 10-K.

**Sales**

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Realized Gain/(Loss)
06/28/06	Westage Business Center	Fishkill, New York	1	118,727	\$ 14,765	\$ 10,872	\$ 3,893
06/30/06	1510 Lancer Drive	Moorestown, New Jersey	1	88,000	4,146	3,134	1,012
11/10/06	Colorado portfolio	Various cities, Colorado	191	1,431,610	193,404	165,072	28,332
12/21/06	California portfolio	San Francisco, California	2	450,891	124,182	97,814	26,368
Total Office Property Sales:				232,089,228	\$336,497	\$276,892	\$59,605

On November 6, 2006, the Company sold substantially all of its 50-percent interest in G&G Martco, a joint venture which owned a 305,618 square foot office building located in San Francisco, California for approximately \$16.3 million, realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$10.8 million.

On November 7, 2006, the Company sold 10.1 acres of developable land adjacent to its Horizon Center properties in Hamilton Township, New Jersey, for net sales proceeds of approximately \$1.5 million, realizing a gain of approximately \$1.1 million from the sale.

**Investments in Marketable Securities**

In 2005, the Company purchased approximately 1.5 million shares of common stock in CarrAmerica Realty Corporation. From January 1, 2006 through January 25, 2006, the Company purchased an additional 336,500 shares in CarrAmerica for a total purchase price of approximately \$11.9 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Company sold all of its 1,804,800 shares of CarrAmerica common stock, realizing a gain of approximately \$15.1 million.



## FINANCING ACTIVITY

On January 24, 2006, the Company issued \$100 million face amount of 5.80 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2016 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears, and \$100 million face amount of 5.25 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2012 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The total proceeds from the issuances, including accrued interest on the 5.80 percent notes of approximately \$200.8 million, were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Company's unsecured facility.

On February 7, 2007, the Company completed an underwritten offer and sale of 4,650,000 shares of its common stock and used the net proceeds, which totaled approximately \$252 million (after offering costs), primarily to pay down its outstanding borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

## AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company's internet website is [www.mack-cali.com](http://www.mack-cali.com). The Company makes available free of charge on or through its website its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files or furnishes such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, the Company's internet website includes other items related to corporate governance matters, including, among other things, the Company's corporate governance guidelines, charters of various committees of the Board of Directors, and the Company's code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all employees, officers and directors. The Company intends to disclose on its internet website any amendments to or waivers from its code of business conduct and ethics as well as any amendments to its corporate governance principles or the charters of various committees of the Board of Directors. Copies of these documents may be obtained, free of charge, from our internet website. Any shareholder also may obtain copies of these documents, free of charge, by sending a request in writing to: Mack-Cali Investor Relations Department, 343 Thornall Street, Edison, NJ 08837-2206.

## DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We consider portions of this report, including the documents incorporated by reference, to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of such act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future economic performance, plans and objectives for future operations and projections of revenue and other financial items. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "plan," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be achieved. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- changes in the general economic climate and conditions, including those affecting industries in which our principal tenants operate;
- the extent of any tenant bankruptcies or of any early lease terminations;

- our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents;
- changes in the supply of and demand for office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties;
  - changes in interest rate levels;
  - changes in operating costs;
- our ability to obtain adequate insurance, including coverage for terrorist acts;
  - the availability of financing;
- changes in governmental regulation, tax rates and similar matters; and
- other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that the development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated.

For further information on factors which could impact us and the statements contained herein, see Item 1A: Risk Factors. We assume no obligation to update and supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our results from operations and ability to make distributions on our equity and debt service on our indebtedness may be affected by the risk factors set forth below. All investors should consider the following risk factors before deciding to purchase securities of the Company. The Company refers to itself as “we” or “our” in the following risk factors.

### **Declines in economic activities in the Northeastern office markets could adversely affect our operating results.**

A majority of our revenues are derived from our properties located in the Northeast, particularly in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. Adverse economic developments in this region could adversely impact the operations of our properties and, therefore, our profitability. Because our portfolio consists primarily of office and office/flex buildings (as compared to a more diversified real estate portfolio), a decline in the economy and/or a decline in the demand for office space may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

### **Our performance is subject to risks associated with the real estate industry.**

*General:* Our business and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors depend on the ability of our properties to generate funds in excess of operating expenses (including scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditures). Events or conditions that are beyond our control may adversely affect our operations and the value of our properties. Such events or conditions could include:

- changes in the general economic climate;
- changes in local conditions such as an oversupply of office space, a reduction in demand for office space, or reductions in office market rental rates;
  - decreased attractiveness of our properties to tenants;
  - competition from other office and office/flex properties;
    - our inability to provide adequate maintenance;
- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes, due to inflation and other factors which may not necessarily be offset by increased rents;
- changes in laws and regulations (including tax, environmental, zoning and building codes, and housing laws and regulations) and agency or court interpretations of such laws and regulations and the related costs of compliance;
  - changes in interest rate levels and the availability of financing;
  - the inability of a significant number of tenants to pay rent;
  - our inability to rent office space on favorable terms; and
- civil unrest, earthquakes, acts of terrorism and other natural disasters or acts of God that may result in uninsured losses.

*Financially distressed tenants may be unable to pay rent:* If a tenant defaults, we may experience delays and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord and protecting our investments. If a tenant files for bankruptcy, a potential court judgment rejecting and terminating such tenant’s lease could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Renewing leases or re-letting space could be costly: If a tenant does not renew its lease upon expiration or terminates its lease early, we may not be able to re-lease the space. If a tenant does renew its lease or we re-lease the space, the terms of the renewal or new lease, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to the tenant, may be less favorable than the current lease terms which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate: We currently carry comprehensive insurance on all of our properties, including insurance for liability, fire and flood. We cannot guarantee that the limits of our current policies will be sufficient in the event of a catastrophe to our properties. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to renew or duplicate our current insurance coverage in adequate amounts or at reasonable prices. In addition, while our current insurance policies insure us against loss from terrorist acts and toxic mold, in the future insurance companies may no longer offer coverage against these types of losses, or, if offered, these types of insurance may be prohibitively expensive. If any or all of the foregoing should occur, we may not have insurance coverage against certain types of losses and/or there may be decreases in the limits of insurance available. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property or properties, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property or properties. Nevertheless, we might remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property or properties. We cannot guarantee that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Illiquidity of real estate limits our ability to act quickly: Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Such illiquidity may limit our ability to react quickly in response to changes in economic and other conditions. If we want to sell an investment, we might not be able to dispose of that investment in the time period we desire, and the sales price of that investment might not recoup or exceed the amount of our investment. The prohibition in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and related regulations on a real estate investment trust holding property for sale also may restrict our ability to sell property. In addition, we acquired a significant number of our properties from individuals to whom we issued Units as part of the purchase price. In connection with the acquisition of these properties, in order to preserve such individual's income tax deferral, we contractually agreed not to sell or otherwise transfer the properties for a specified period of time, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate individuals for the income tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains. As of December 31, 2006, 50 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.3 billion, were subject to these restrictions, which expire periodically through 2016. For those properties where such restrictions have lapsed, we are generally required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the appropriate individuals. 88 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$809.0 million, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions. The above limitations on our ability to sell our investments could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

Americans with Disabilities Act compliance could be costly: Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), all public accommodations and commercial facilities must meet certain federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA requirements could involve removal of structural barriers from certain disabled persons' entrances. Other federal, state and local laws may require modifications to or restrict further renovations of our properties with respect to such accesses. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, noncompliance with the ADA or related laws or regulations could result in the United States government imposing fines or private litigants being awarded damages against us. Such costs may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

*Environmental problems are possible and may be costly:* Various federal, state and local laws and regulations subject property owners or operators to liability for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances located on or in the property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator was responsible for or even knew of the presence of such substances. The presence of or failure to properly remediate hazardous or toxic substances (such as toxic mold) may adversely affect our ability to rent, sell or borrow against contaminated property and may impose liability upon us for personal injury to persons exposed to such substances. Various laws and regulations

also impose liability on persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances at another location for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at the disposal or treatment facility. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for such disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. Certain other environmental laws and regulations impose liability on owners or operators of property for injuries relating to the release of asbestos-containing or other materials into the air, water or otherwise into the environment. As owners and operators of property and as potential arrangers for hazardous substance disposal, we may be liable under such laws and regulations for removal or remediation costs, governmental penalties, property damage, personal injuries and related expenses. Payment of such costs and expenses could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

*Competition for acquisitions may result in increased prices for properties:* We plan to acquire additional properties in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania and in the Northeast generally. We may be competing for investment opportunities with entities that have greater financial resources. Several office building developers and real estate companies may compete with us in seeking properties for acquisition, land for development and prospective tenants. Such competition may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors by:

- reducing the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us;
  - increasing the bargaining power of property owners;
  - interfering with our ability to attract and retain tenants;
- increasing vacancies which lowers market rental rates and limits our ability to negotiate rental rates; and/or
  - adversely affecting our ability to minimize expenses of operation.

*Development of real estate could be costly:* As part of our operating strategy, we may acquire land for development or construct on owned land, under certain conditions. Included among the risks of the real estate development business are the following, which may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:

- financing for development projects may not be available on favorable terms;
- long-term financing may not be available upon completion of construction; and
- failure to complete construction on schedule or within budget may increase debt service expense and construction costs.

*Property ownership through joint ventures could subject us to the contrary business objectives of our co-venturers:* We, from time to time, invest in joint ventures or partnerships in which we do not hold a controlling interest in the assets underlying the entities in which we invest, including joint ventures in which (i) we own a direct interest in an entity which controls such assets, or (ii) we own a direct interest in an equity which owns indirect interests, through one or more intermediaries, of such assets. These investments involve risks that do not exist with properties in which we own a controlling interest with respect to the underlying assets, including the possibility that our co-venturers or partners may, at any time, have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives. Because we lack a controlling interest, our co-venturers or partners may be in a position to take action contrary to our instructions or requests or contrary to our policies or objectives. While we seek protective rights against such contrary actions, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in procuring any such protective rights, or if procured, that the rights will be sufficient to fully protect us against contrary actions. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of available funds that we may invest in joint ventures or partnerships. If the objectives of our co-venturers or partners are inconsistent with ours, it may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors.

**Our real estate construction management activities are subject to risks particular to third-party construction projects.**

As a result of the Gale/Green Transactions, we now perform fixed price construction services for third parties and we are subject to a variety of risks unique to these activities. If construction costs of a project exceed original estimates,

such costs may have to be absorbed by us, thereby making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or possibly not profitable at all. In addition, a construction project may be delayed due to government or regulatory approvals, supply shortages, or other events and circumstances beyond our control, or the time required to complete a construction project may be greater than originally anticipated. If any such excess costs or project delays were to be material, such events may adversely effect our cash flow and liquidity and thereby impact our ability to pay dividends or make distributions to our investors.

**Debt financing could adversely affect our economic performance.**

Scheduled debt payments and refinancing could adversely affect our financial condition: We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing. These risks, including the following, may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors:

- our cash flow may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest;
- payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to pay operating expenses;
  - we may not be able to refinance indebtedness on our properties at maturity; and
- if refinanced, the terms of refinancing may not be as favorable as the original terms of the related indebtedness.

As of December 31, 2006, we had total outstanding indebtedness of \$2.2 billion comprised of \$1.6 billion of senior unsecured notes, outstanding borrowings of \$145.0 million under our \$600.0 million revolving credit facility and approximately \$383.5 million of mortgage loans payable and other obligations indebtedness. We may have to refinance the principal due on our current or future indebtedness at maturity, and we may not be able to do so.

If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, events or conditions that may adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors include the following:

- we may need to dispose of one or more of our properties upon disadvantageous terms;
- prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing could increase interest rates and, therefore, our interest expense;
- if we mortgage property to secure payment of indebtedness and are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose upon such property or appoint a receiver to receive an assignment of our rents and leases; and
- foreclosures upon mortgaged property could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds and, therefore, hinder our ability to meet the real estate investment trust distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code.

We are obligated to comply with financial covenants in our indebtedness that could restrict our range of operating activities: The mortgages on our properties contain customary negative covenants, including limitations on our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property, to enter into new leases outside of stipulated guidelines or to materially modify existing leases. In addition, our credit facility contains customary requirements, including restrictions and other limitations on our ability to incur debt, debt to assets ratios, secured debt to total assets ratios, interest coverage ratios and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. The indentures under which our senior unsecured debt have been issued contain financial and operating covenants including coverage ratios and limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured debt. These covenants limit our flexibility in conducting our operations and create a risk of default on our indebtedness if we cannot continue to satisfy them.

Rising interest rates may adversely affect our cash flow: As of December 31, 2006, outstanding borrowings of approximately \$145.0 million under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. We may incur additional indebtedness in the future that also bears interest at variable rates. Variable rate debt creates higher debt service requirements if market interest rates increase. Higher debt service requirements could adversely affect our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or cause us to default under certain debt covenants.

Our degree of leverage could adversely affect our cash flow: We fund acquisition opportunities and development partially through short-term borrowings (including our revolving credit facility), as well as from proceeds from property sales and undistributed cash. We expect to refinance projects purchased with short-term debt either with long-term indebtedness or equity financing depending upon the economic conditions at the time of refinancing. Our Board of Directors has a general policy of limiting the ratio of our indebtedness to total undepreciated assets (total



debt as a percentage of total undepreciated assets) to 50 percent or less, although there is no limit in Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s or our organizational documents on the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. However, we have entered into certain financial agreements which contain financial and operating covenants that limit our ability under certain circumstances to incur additional secured and unsecured indebtedness. The Board of Directors could alter or eliminate its current policy on borrowing at any time at its discretion. If this policy were changed, we could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service that could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions or payments to our investors and/or could cause an increased risk of default on our obligations.

*We are dependent on external sources of capital for future growth:* To qualify as a real estate investment trust, we must distribute to our shareholders each year at least 90 percent of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. Because of this distribution requirement, it is not likely that we will be able to fund all future capital needs, including for acquisitions and developments, from income from operations. Therefore, we will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of our shareholders' interests, and additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage.

**Competition for skilled personnel could increase our labor costs.**

We compete with various other companies in attracting and retaining qualified and skilled personnel. We depend on our ability to attract and retain skilled management personnel who are responsible for the day-to-day operations of our company. Competitive pressures may require that we enhance our pay and benefits package to compete effectively for such personnel. We may not be able to offset such added costs by increasing the rates we charge our tenants. If there is an increase in these costs or if we fail to attract and retain qualified and skilled personnel, our business and operating results could be harmed.

**We are dependent on our key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.**

We are dependent upon our executive officers for strategic business direction and real estate experience. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, loss of their services could adversely affect our operations. We have entered into an employment agreement (including non-competition provisions) which provides for a continuous four-year employment term with each of Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz and Roger W. Thomas, a continuous one-year employment term with Michael A. Grossman, and a three-year employment term with Mark Yeager which, as of May 9, 2009, shall convert to a continuous one-year employment term. We do not have key man life insurance for our executive officers.

**Certain provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws as well as our stockholder rights plan could hinder, delay or prevent changes in control.**

Certain provisions of Maryland law, our charter and our bylaws, as well as our stockholder rights plan have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control. These provisions include the following:

*Classified Board of Directors:* Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes with staggered terms of office of three years each. The classification and staggered terms of office of our directors make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our board of directors. At least two annual meetings of stockholders, instead of one, generally would be required to affect a change in a majority of the board of directors.

*Removal of Directors:* Under our charter, subject to the rights of one or more classes or series of preferred stock to elect one or more directors, a director may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast by our stockholders generally in the election of directors. Neither the Maryland General Corporation Law nor our charter define the term "cause." As a result, removal for "cause" is subject to Maryland common law and to judicial interpretation and review in the context of the facts and circumstances of any particular situation.

*Number of Directors, Board Vacancies, Term of Office:* We have, in our bylaws, elected to be subject to certain provisions of Maryland law which vest in the Board of Directors the exclusive right to determine the number of directors and the exclusive right, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum, to fill vacancies on the board. These provisions of Maryland law, which are

applicable even if other provisions of Maryland law or the charter or bylaws provide to the contrary, also provide that any director elected to fill a vacancy shall hold office for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred, rather than the next annual meeting of stockholders as would otherwise be the case, and until his or her successor is elected and qualifies.

*Stockholder Requested Special Meetings:* Our bylaws provide that our stockholders have the right to call a special meeting only upon the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders at such meeting.

*Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals:* Our bylaws require advance written notice for stockholders to nominate persons for election as directors at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of stockholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of stockholders to make nominations of persons for election as directors or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

*Exclusive Authority of the Board to Amend the Bylaws:* Our bylaws provide that our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of the bylaws or to make new bylaws. Thus, our stockholders may not effect any changes to our bylaws.

*Preferred Stock:* Under our charter, our Board of Directors has authority to issue preferred stock from time to time in one or more series and to establish the terms, preferences and rights of any such series of preferred stock, all without approval of our stockholders.

*Duties of Directors with Respect to Unsolicited Takeovers:* Maryland law provides protection for Maryland corporations against unsolicited takeovers by limiting, among other things, the duties of the directors in unsolicited takeover situations. The duties of directors of Maryland corporations do not require them to (a) accept, recommend or respond to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (b) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, any stockholders rights plan, (c) make a determination under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, or (d) act or fail to act solely because of the effect of the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law the act of a director of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law.

*Ownership Limit:* In order to preserve our status as a real estate investment trust under the Code, our charter generally prohibits any single stockholder, or any group of affiliated stockholders, from beneficially owning more than 9.8 percent of our outstanding capital stock unless our Board of Directors waives or modifies this ownership limit.

*Maryland Business Combination Act:* The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10 percent or more of its assets, certain issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions, with an “interested stockholder” or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10 percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the Maryland corporation. Our board of directors has exempted from this statute business combinations between the Company and certain affiliated individuals and entities. However, unless our board adopts other exemptions, the provisions of the Maryland Business Combination Act will be applicable to business combinations with other persons.

*Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act:* Maryland law provides that “control shares” of a corporation acquired in a “control share acquisition” shall have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes eligible to cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. “Control Shares” means shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock previously acquired by the acquirer, would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of the voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority or a majority or more of all voting power. A “control share acquisition” means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If voting rights of control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a stockholder's meeting, then subject to certain conditions and limitations, the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a stockholder's meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act any acquisitions of shares by certain affiliated individuals and entities, any directors, officers or employees of the Company and any person approved by the board of directors prior to the acquisition by such person of control shares. Any control shares acquired in a control share acquisition which are not exempt under the foregoing provisions of our bylaws will be subject to the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act.

Stockholder Rights Plan: We have adopted a stockholder rights plan that may discourage any potential acquirer from acquiring more than 15 percent of our outstanding common stock since, upon this type of acquisition without approval of our board of directors, all other common stockholders will have the right to purchase a specified amount of common stock at a substantial discount from market price.

**Consequences of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust could adversely affect our financial condition.** Failure to maintain ownership limits could cause us to lose our qualification as a real estate investment trust: In order for us to maintain our qualification as a real estate investment trust, not more than 50 percent in value of our outstanding stock may be actually and/or constructively owned by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities). We have limited the ownership of our outstanding shares of our common stock by any single stockholder to 9.8 percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Our Board of Directors could waive this restriction if they were satisfied, based upon the advice of tax counsel or otherwise, that such action would be in our best interests and would not affect our qualification as a real estate investment trust. Common stock acquired or transferred in breach of the limitation may be redeemed by us for the lesser of the price paid and the average closing price for the 10 trading days immediately preceding redemption or sold at the direction of us. We may elect to redeem such shares of common stock for Units, which are nontransferable except in very limited circumstances. Any transfer of shares of common stock which, as a result of such transfer, causes us to be in violation of any ownership limit will be deemed void. Although we currently intend to continue to operate in a manner which will enable us to continue to qualify as a real estate investment trust, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our Board of Directors to revoke the election for us to qualify as a real estate investment trust. Under our organizational documents, our Board of Directors can make such revocation without the consent of our stockholders.

In addition, the consent of the holders of at least 85 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s partnership units is required: (i) to merge (or permit the merger of) us with another unrelated person, pursuant to a transaction in which Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. is not the surviving entity; (ii) to dissolve, liquidate or wind up Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.; or (iii) to convey or otherwise transfer all or substantially all of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s assets. As of February 16, 2007, as general partner, we own approximately 81.6 percent of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s outstanding common partnership units.

Tax liabilities as a consequence of failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust: We have elected to be treated and have operated so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes since our taxable year ended December 31, 1994. Although we believe we will continue to operate in such manner, we cannot guarantee that we will do so. Qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the satisfaction of various requirements (some on an annual and some on a quarterly basis) established under highly technical and complex tax provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Because few judicial or administrative interpretations of such provisions exist and qualification determinations are fact sensitive, we cannot assure you that we will qualify as a real estate investment trust for any taxable year.

If we fail to qualify as a real estate investment trust in any taxable year, we will be subject to the following:

- we will not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to shareholders;
- we will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any alternative minimum tax, if applicable; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we will not be permitted to qualify as a real estate investment trust for the four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

A loss of our status as a real estate investment trust could have an adverse effect on us. Failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust also would eliminate the requirement that we pay dividends to our stockholders.



Other tax liabilities: Even if we qualify as a real estate investment trust, we are subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property and, in some circumstances, certain other state and local taxes. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to federal, state and local income tax for income received in connection with certain non-customary services performed for tenants and/or third parties.

Risk of changes in the tax law applicable to real estate investment trusts: Since the Internal Revenue Service, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such legislative action may prospectively or retroactively modify our and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.'s tax treatment and, therefore, may adversely affect taxation of us, Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and/or our investors.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.



## **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

### **PROPERTY LIST**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company's Consolidated Properties consisted of 251 in-service office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties, as well as two stand-alone retail properties and two land leases. The Consolidated Properties are located primarily in the Northeast. The Consolidated Properties are easily accessible from major thoroughfares and are in close proximity to numerous amenities. The Consolidated Properties contain a total of approximately 28.9 million square feet, with the individual properties ranging from 6,216 to 1,246,283 square feet. The Consolidated Properties, managed by on-site employees, generally have attractively landscaped sites and atriums in addition to quality design and construction. The Company's tenants include many service sector employers, including a large number of professional firms and national and international businesses. The Company believes that all of its properties are well-maintained and do not require significant capital improvements.

**Office  
Properties**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (e) (%)	2006		
				Base Rent (\$ (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$ (d) (c)		Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (f)	
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>									
<b>Atlantic County</b>									
<b><i>Egg Harbor</i></b>									
100 Decadon Drive	1987	40,422	100.0	954	907	0.18	23.60	22.44	
200 Decadon Drive	1991	39,922	100.0	936	872	0.17	23.45	21.84	
<b>Bergen County</b>									
<b><i>Fair Lawn</i></b>									
17-17 Route 208 North	1987	143,000	100.0	3,463	2,960	0.64	24.22	20.70	
<b><i>Fort Lee</i></b>									
One Bridge Plaza	1981	200,000	54.4	2,549	2,371	0.47	23.43	21.79	
2115 Linwood Avenue	1981	68,000	62.6	1,253	1,017	0.23	29.44	23.89	
<b><i>Little Ferry</i></b>									
200 Riser Road	1974	286,628	100.0	2,066	1,916	0.38	7.21	6.68	
<b><i>Montvale</i></b>									
95 Chestnut Ridge Road	1975	47,700	100.0	796	729	0.15	16.69	15.28	
135 Chestnut Ridge Road	1981	66,150	88.9	1,440	1,173	0.26	24.49	19.95	
<b><i>Paramus</i></b>									
15 East Midland Avenue	1988	259,823	100.0	5,597	5,440	1.03	21.54	20.94	
140 East Ridgewood Avenue	1981	239,680	92.1	4,844	4,218	0.89	21.94	19.11	
461 From Road	1988	253,554	98.6	6,064	6,044	1.11	24.26	24.18	
650 From Road	1978	348,510	93.8	7,884	6,894	1.45	24.12	21.09	
61 South Paramus Avenue	1985	269,191	99.0	6,649	5,906	1.22	24.95	22.16	
<b><i>Ridgefield Park</i></b>									
105 Challenger Road (g)	1992	150,050	87.5	2,759	2,527	0.51	32.36	29.64	
<b><i>Rochelle Park</i></b>									
120 Passaic Street	1972	52,000	99.6	1,402	1,322	0.26	27.07	25.53	
365 West Passaic Street	1976	212,578	97.6	4,177	3,742	0.77	20.13	18.04	
395 West Passaic Street (g)	1979	100,589	90.2	918	794	0.17	23.98	20.74	
<b><i>Upper Saddle River</i></b>									
1 Lake Street	1973/94	474,801	100.0	7,465	7,465	1.37	15.72	15.72	
10 Mountainview Road	1986	192,000	100.0	4,352	4,045	0.80	22.67	21.07	

**Woodcliff Lake**

400 Chestnut Ridge Road	1982	89,200	100.0	1,950	1,456	0.36	21.86	16.32
470 Chestnut Ridge Road	1987	52,500	81.2	479	455	0.09	11.24	10.67
530 Chestnut Ridge Road	1986	57,204	100.0	1,166	1,166	0.21	20.38	20.38
50 Tice Boulevard	1984	235,000	100.0	6,155	5,570	1.13	26.19	23.70
300 Tice Boulevard	1991	230,000	100.0	6,155	5,504	1.13	26.76	23.93

**Burlington County****Moorestown**

224 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	98.4	1,309	1,218	0.24	17.98	16.73
228 Strawbridge Drive	1984	74,000	100.0	1,043	896	0.19	14.09	12.11
232 Strawbridge Drive	1986	74,258	98.8	1,446	1,400	0.27	19.71	19.08

**Essex County****Millburn**

150 J.F. Kennedy Parkway	1980	247,476	100.0	7,454	6,462	1.37	30.12	26.11
--------------------------	------	---------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

**Office  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>Roseland</b>								
101 Eisenhower Parkway	1980	237,000	93.9	5,522	5,014	1.01	24.81	22.53
103 Eisenhower Parkway	1985	151,545	87.5	3,026	2,629	0.56	22.82	19.83
105 Eisenhower Parkway	2001	220,000	85.8	4,126	3,088	0.76	21.86	16.36
<b>Hudson County</b>								
<b>Jersey City</b>								
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 1	1983	400,000	92.8	3,930	3,475	0.72	10.59	9.36
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 2	1990	761,200	100.0	17,838	16,694	3.27	23.43	21.93
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 3	1990	725,600	98.5	17,870	16,780	3.28	25.00	23.48
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 4-A	2000	207,670	99.1	6,749	5,903	1.24	32.79	28.68
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 5	2002	977,225	97.5	35,570	29,406	6.53	37.33	30.86
101 Hudson Street	1992	1,246,283	100.0	29,822	26,212	5.47	23.93	21.03
<b>Mercer County</b>								
<b>Hamilton Township</b>								
600 Horizon Drive	2002	95,000	100.0	1,373	1,373	0.25	14.45	14.45
<b>Princeton</b>								
103 Carnegie Center	1984	96,000	84.9	2,311	2,029	0.42	28.35	24.89
3 Independence Way	1983	111,300	49.9	884	702	0.16	15.92	12.64
100 Overlook Center	1988	149,600	100.0	3,975	3,431	0.73	26.57	22.93
5 Vaughn Drive	1987	98,500	94.0	2,431	2,120	0.45	26.26	22.90
<b>Middlesex County</b>								
<b>East Brunswick</b>								
377 Summerhill Road	1977	40,000	100.0	353	346	0.06	8.83	8.65
<b>Edison</b>								
343 Thornall Street (c) (g)	1991	195,709	100.0	1,953	1,608	0.36	15.37	12.65
<b>Piscataway</b>								

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 3	1977	160,000	100.0	2,465	2,465	0.45	15.41	15.41
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 4	1977	115,000	100.0	1,771	1,771	0.33	15.40	15.40
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 5	1977	332,607	43.6	1,275	1,080	0.23	8.79	7.45
30 Knightsbridge Road, Bldg 6	1977	72,743	62.9	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Plainsboro</b>								
500 College Road East	1984	158,235	95.7	4,031	3,807	0.74	26.62	25.14
<b>Woodbridge</b>								
581 Main Street	1991	200,000	100.0	4,586	4,346	0.84	22.93	21.73
<b>Monmouth County</b>								
<b>Freehold</b>								
2 Paragon Way	1989	44,524	64.8	648	502	0.12	22.46	17.40
3 Paragon Way	1991	66,898	58.4	770	699	0.14	19.71	17.89
4 Paragon Way	2002	63,989	100.0	1,168	900	0.21	18.25	14.06
100 Willbowbrook	1988	60,557	74.8	812	721	0.15	17.93	15.92
<b>Holmdel</b>								
23 Main Street	1977	350,000	100.0	4,039	3,187	0.74	11.54	9.11

**Office  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>Middletown</b>								
One River Center Bldg 1	1983	122,594	100.0	3,064	2,633	0.56	24.99	21.48
One River Center Bldg 2	1983	120,360	100.0	2,775	2,738	0.51	23.06	22.75
One River Center Bldg 3	1984	214,518	93.6	4,374	4,329	0.80	21.78	21.56
<b>Neptune</b>								
3600 Route 66	1989	180,000	100.0	2,400	2,171	0.44	13.33	12.06
<b>Wall Township</b>								
1305 Campus Parkway	1988	23,350	92.4	393	368	0.07	18.22	17.06
1350 Campus Parkway	1990	79,747	99.9	1,564	1,423	0.29	19.63	17.86
<b>Morris County</b>								
<b>Florham Park</b>								
325 Columbia Turnpike	1987	168,144	99.4	4,093	3,652	0.75	24.49	21.85
<b>Morris Plains</b>								
250 Johnson Road	1977	75,000	100.0	1,579	1,385	0.29	21.05	18.47
201 Littleton Road	1979	88,369	88.9	1,783	1,582	0.33	22.70	20.14
<b>Morris Township</b>								
412 Mt. Kemble Avenue	1986	475,100	33.5	81	81	0.01	0.51	0.51
<b>Parsippany</b>								
4 Campus Drive	1983	147,475	96.9	2,634	2,308	0.48	18.43	16.15
6 Campus Drive	1983	148,291	87.2	2,376	1,906	0.44	18.37	14.74
7 Campus Drive	1982	154,395	--	--	--	--	--	--
8 Campus Drive	1987	215,265	100.0	6,306	5,534	1.16	29.29	25.71
9 Campus Drive	1983	156,495	86.9	3,720	3,149	0.68	27.35	23.16
4 Century Drive	1981	100,036	71.9	1,592	1,444	0.29	22.13	20.08
5 Century Drive	1981	79,739	67.2	1,951	1,950	0.36	36.41	36.39
6 Century Drive	1981	100,036	69.9	28	22	0.01	0.40	0.31
2 Dryden Way	1990	6,216	100.0	93	93	0.02	14.96	14.96
4 Gatehall Drive	1988	248,480	85.4	5,190	4,707	0.95	24.46	22.18
2 Hilton Court	1991	181,592	100.0	5,089	4,600	0.93	28.02	25.33
1633 Littleton Road	1978	57,722	100.0	1,131	1,131	0.21	19.59	19.59
600 Parsippany Road	1978	96,000	94.7	1,235	1,020	0.23	13.58	11.22
1 Sylvan Way	1989	150,557	100.0	3,499	3,103	0.64	23.24	20.61
5 Sylvan Way	1989	151,383	100.0	3,929	3,592	0.72	25.95	23.73
7 Sylvan Way	1987	145,983	100.0	3,219	2,803	0.59	22.05	19.20

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

35 Waterview Boulevard (g)	1990	172,498	92.2	2,774	2,491	0.51	26.86	24.12
5 Wood Hollow Road	1979	317,040	96.7	5,758	4,963	1.06	18.78	16.19

**Passaic County**

*Clifton*

777 Passaic Avenue	1983	75,000	100.0	1,517	1,375	0.28	20.23	18.33
--------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

*Totowa*

999 Riverview Drive	1988	56,066	100.0	1,079	962	0.20	19.25	17.16
---------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-----	------	-------	-------

**Somerset County**

*Basking Ridge*

222 Mt. Airy Road	1986	49,000	60.7	615	462	0.11	20.68	15.53
-------------------	------	--------	------	-----	-----	------	-------	-------

233 Mt. Airy Road	1987	66,000	100.0	1,315	1,103	0.24	19.92	16.71
-------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

**Office  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>Bernards</b>								
106 Allen Road	2000	132,010	97.0	3,027	2,273	0.56	23.64	17.75
<b>Bridgewater</b>								
721 Route 202/206	1989	192,741	97.0	3,984	3,757	0.73	21.31	20.10
<b>Union County</b>								
<b>Clark</b>								
100 Walnut Avenue	1985	182,555	99.8	4,737	4,145	0.87	26.00	22.75
<b>Cranford</b>								
6 Commerce Drive	1973	56,000	88.1	1,116	988	0.20	22.62	20.03
11 Commerce Drive (c)	1981	90,000	92.7	1,020	860	0.19	12.23	10.31
12 Commerce Drive	1967	72,260	95.1	991	817	0.18	14.42	11.89
14 Commerce Drive	1971	67,189	87.3	1,232	1,190	0.23	21.00	20.29
20 Commerce Drive	1990	176,600	100.0	4,332	3,806	0.80	24.53	21.55
25 Commerce Drive	1971	67,749	100.0	1,436	1,351	0.26	21.20	19.94
65 Jackson Drive	1984	82,778	95.5	1,918	1,706	0.35	24.26	21.58
<b>New Providence</b>								
890 Mountain Avenue	1977	80,000	87.1	1,775	1,672	0.33	25.47	24.00
<b>Total New Jersey Office</b>		<b>17,537,754</b>	<b>91.7</b>	<b>354,747</b>	<b>316,402</b>	<b>65.13</b>	<b>22.40</b>	<b>19.97</b>
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
<b>Rockland County</b>								
<b>Suffern</b>								
400 Rella Boulevard	1988	180,000	100.0	4,296	3,826	0.79	23.87	21.26
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<b>Elmsford</b>								
100 Clearbrook Road (c)	1975	60,000	99.5	1,131	1,040	0.21	18.94	17.42
101 Executive Boulevard	1971	50,000	45.3	511	462	0.09	22.56	20.40
555 Taxter Road	1986	170,554	100.0	4,173	3,499	0.77	24.47	20.52
565 Taxter Road	1988	170,554	100.0	4,052	3,511	0.74	23.76	20.59
570 Taxter Road	1972	75,000	95.9	1,843	1,708	0.34	25.62	23.75
<b>Hawthorne</b>								



Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

1 Skyline Drive	1980	20,400	99.0	388	365	0.07	19.21	18.07
2 Skyline Drive	1987	30,000	98.9	475	412	0.09	16.01	13.89
7 Skyline Drive	1987	109,000	95.3	2,532	2,324	0.46	24.37	22.37
17 Skyline Drive	1989	85,000	51.7	719	713	0.13	16.36	16.22
19 Skyline Drive	1982	248,400	100.0	4,471	4,174	0.82	18.00	16.80

**Office  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage	2006	2006	of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	2006
			Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>Tarrytown</b>								
200 White Plains Road	1982	89,000	97.9	1,824	1,655	0.33	20.93	18.99
220 White Plains Road	1984	89,000	92.0	1,819	1,670	0.33	22.22	20.40
<b>White Plains</b>								
1 Barker Avenue	1975	68,000	97.3	1,743	1,621	0.32	26.34	24.50
3 Barker Avenue	1983	65,300	91.0	1,631	1,494	0.30	27.45	25.14
50 Main Street	1985	309,000	98.0	9,249	8,496	1.70	30.54	28.06
11 Martine Avenue	1987	180,000	90.8	4,889	4,368	0.90	29.91	26.73
1 Water Street	1979	45,700	100.0	1,011	878	0.19	22.12	19.21
<b>Yonkers</b>								
1 Executive Boulevard	1982	112,000	100.0	2,779	2,484	0.51	24.81	22.18
3 Executive Plaza	1987	58,000	100.0	1,472	1,281	0.27	25.38	22.09
<b>Total New York Office</b>		<b>2,214,908</b>	<b>94.7</b>	<b>51,008</b>	<b>45,981</b>	<b>9.36</b>	<b>24.31</b>	<b>21.92</b>

**PENNSYLVANIA**

**Chester County**

**Berwyn**

1000 Westlakes Drive	1989	60,696	95.7	1,592	1,515	0.29	27.41	26.08
1055 Westlakes Drive	1990	118,487	90.2	2,885	2,334	0.53	26.99	21.84
1205 Westlakes Drive	1988	130,265	63.8	2,234	1,954	0.41	26.88	23.51
1235 Westlakes Drive	1986	134,902	97.7	2,789	2,436	0.51	21.16	18.48

**Delaware County**

**Lester**

100 Stevens Drive	1986	95,000	100.0	2,551	2,358	0.47	26.85	24.82
200 Stevens Drive	1987	208,000	100.0	5,598	5,252	1.03	26.91	25.25
300 Stevens Drive	1992	68,000	100.0	1,592	1,254	0.29	23.41	18.44

**Media**

1400 Providence Road - Center I	1986	100,000	96.8	2,038	1,838	0.37	21.05	18.99
1400 Providence Road - Center II	1990	160,000	95.8	3,346	2,921	0.61	21.83	19.06

**Montgomery County*****Bala Cynwyd***

150 Monument Road	1981	125,783	98.4	2,387	2,286	0.44	19.29	18.47
-------------------	------	---------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

***Blue Bell***

4 Sentry Parkway	1982	63,930	94.1	1,373	1,368	0.25	22.82	22.74
------------------	------	--------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

5 Sentry Parkway East	1984	91,600	30.5	1,185	1,152	0.22	42.42	41.23
-----------------------	------	--------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

5 Sentry Parkway West	1984	38,400	100.0	590	572	0.11	15.36	14.90
-----------------------	------	--------	-------	-----	-----	------	-------	-------

16 Sentry Parkway	1988	93,093	100.0	2,268	2,156	0.42	24.36	23.16
-------------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

18 Sentry Parkway	1988	95,010	97.6	2,040	1,900	0.37	22.00	20.49
-------------------	------	--------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

***King of Prussia***

2200 Renaissance	1985	174,124	74.9	3,329	3,052	0.61	25.53	23.40
------------------	------	---------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

Boulevard

***Lower Providence***

1000 Madison Avenue	1990	100,700	75.8	768	622	0.14	10.06	8.15
---------------------	------	---------	------	-----	-----	------	-------	------

***Plymouth Meeting***

1150 Plymouth Meeting	1970	167,748	92.9	2,981	2,446	0.55	19.13	15.70
-----------------------	------	---------	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

Mall

<b>Total Pennsylvania Office</b>		<b>2,025,738</b>	<b>88.8</b>	<b>41,546</b>	<b>37,416</b>	<b>7.62</b>	<b>23.09</b>	<b>20.79</b>
----------------------------------	--	------------------	-------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	--------------	--------------

**Office  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>								
<b>Fairfield County</b>								
<b>Greenwich</b>								
500 West Putnam Avenue	1973	121,250	96.3	3,337	3,153	0.61	28.58	27.00
<b>Norwalk</b>								
40 Richards Avenue	1985	145,487	80.6	2,544	2,239	0.47	21.69	19.09
<b>Shelton</b>								
1000 Bridgeport Avenue	1986	133,000	93.6	2,188	1,775	0.40	17.58	14.26
<b>Stamford</b>								
1266 East Main Street	1984	179,260	76.2	3,627	3,453	0.67	26.55	25.28
<b>Total Connecticut Office</b>		<b>578,997</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>11,696</b>	<b>10,620</b>	<b>2.15</b>	<b>23.62</b>	<b>21.45</b>
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>								
<b>Washington</b>								
1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW	1940	169,549	100.0	5,090	4,758	0.93	30.02	28.06
1400 L Street, NW	1987	159,000	90.6	4,839	4,667	0.89	33.59	32.40
<b>Total District of Columbia Office</b>		<b>328,549</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>9,929</b>	<b>9,425</b>	<b>1.82</b>	<b>31.66</b>	<b>30.05</b>
<b>MARYLAND</b>								
<b>Prince George's County</b>								
<b>Greenbelt</b>								
9200 Edmonston Road (g)	1973	38,690	100.0	774	699	0.14	23.78	21.48
6301 Ivy Lane (g)	1979	112,003	86.1	1,564	1,335	0.29	19.28	16.46
6303 Ivy Lane (g)	1980	112,047	87.4	2,040	1,826	0.37	24.77	22.17
6305 Ivy Lane (g)	1982	112,022	73.6	1,387	1,127	0.25	20.00	16.25
6404 Ivy Lane (g)	1987	165,234	77.9	2,274	1,815	0.42	21.00	16.76
6406 Ivy Lane (g)	1991	163,857	100.0	2,275	2,066	0.42	16.51	14.99

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

6411 Ivy Lane (g)	1984	138,405	90.8	2,359	2,067	0.43	22.32	19.55
<b>Lanham</b>								
4200 Parliament Place	1989	122,000	91.2	2,832	2,627	0.52	25.45	23.61
<b>Total Maryland Office</b>		<b>964,258</b>	<b>87.6</b>	<b>15,505</b>	<b>13,562</b>	<b>2.84</b>	<b>21.18</b>	<b>18.49</b>
<b>TOTAL OFFICE PROPERTIES</b>		<b>23,650,204</b>	<b>91.4</b>	<b>484,431</b>	<b>433,406</b>	<b>88.92</b>	<b>22.76</b>	<b>20.35</b>

**Office/Flex  
Properties**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (f)

**NEW JERSEY**
**Burlington County**
***Burlington***

3 Terri Lane	1991	64,500	90.4	452	369	0.08	7.75	6.33
5 Terri Lane	1992	74,555	91.7	608	516	0.11	8.89	7.55

***Moorestown***

2 Commerce Drive	1986	49,000	76.3	330	301	0.06	8.83	8.05
101 Commerce Drive	1988	64,700	100.0	275	249	0.05	4.25	3.85
102 Commerce Drive	1987	38,400	87.5	232	184	0.04	6.90	5.48
201 Commerce Drive	1986	38,400	75.0	163	112	0.03	5.66	3.89
202 Commerce Drive	1988	51,200	100.0	307	237	0.06	6.00	4.63
1 Executive Drive	1989	20,570	81.1	156	101	0.03	9.35	6.05
2 Executive Drive	1988	60,800	84.7	384	364	0.07	7.46	7.07
101 Executive Drive	1990	29,355	99.7	274	258	0.05	9.36	8.82
102 Executive Drive	1990	64,000	100.0	273	229	0.05	4.27	3.58
225 Executive Drive	1990	50,600	48.6	116	112	0.02	4.72	4.55
97 Foster Road	1982	43,200	75.5	152	137	0.03	4.66	4.20
1507 Lancer Drive	1995	32,700	100.0	117	108	0.02	3.58	3.30
1245 North Church Street	1998	52,810	62.1	362	349	0.07	11.04	10.64
1247 North Church Street	1998	52,790	77.5	398	360	0.07	9.73	8.80
1256 North Church Street	1984	63,495	100.0	435	360	0.08	6.85	5.67
840 North Lenola Road	1995	38,300	100.0	367	300	0.07	9.58	7.83
844 North Lenola Road	1995	28,670	100.0	180	133	0.03	6.28	4.64
915 North Lenola Road	1998	52,488	100.0	296	224	0.05	5.64	4.27
2 Twosome Drive	2000	48,600	100.0	408	378	0.07	8.40	7.78
30 Twosome Drive	1997	39,675	100.0	144	125	0.03	3.63	3.15
31 Twosome Drive	1998	84,200	100.0	471	470	0.09	5.59	5.58
40 Twosome Drive	1996	40,265	100.0	278	229	0.05	6.90	5.69
41 Twosome Drive	1998	43,050	77.7	224	220	0.04	6.70	6.58
50 Twosome Drive	1997	34,075	100.0	245	228	0.04	7.19	6.69

**Gloucester County**
***West Deptford***

1451 Metropolitan Drive	1996	21,600	100.0	148	148	0.03	6.85	6.85
-------------------------	------	--------	-------	-----	-----	------	------	------

**Mercer County**

***Hamilton Township***

100 Horizon Center Boulevard	1989	13,275	100.0	192	166	0.04	14.46	12.50
200 Horizon Drive	1991	45,770	100.0	591	537	0.11	12.91	11.73
300 Horizon Drive	1989	69,780	100.0	1,123	1,029	0.21	16.09	14.75
500 Horizon Drive	1990	41,205	100.0	613	584	0.11	14.88	14.17

**Office/Flex  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (e) (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$ (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$ (d) (c)		2006 Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (e)	2006 Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$ (c) (f)
<b>Monmouth County</b>								
<b>Wall Township</b>								
1325 Campus Parkway	1988	35,000	100.0	655	476	0.12	18.71	13.60
1340 Campus Parkway	1992	72,502	100.0	917	684	0.17	12.65	9.43
1345 Campus Parkway	1995	76,300	100.0	933	685	0.17	12.23	8.98
1433 Highway 34	1985	69,020	68.3	373	317	0.07	7.91	6.72
1320 Wyckoff Avenue	1986	20,336	100.0	178	168	0.03	8.75	8.26
1324 Wyckoff Avenue	1987	21,168	100.0	220	202	0.04	10.39	9.54
<b>Passaic County</b>								
<b>Totowa</b>								
1 Center Court	1999	38,961	100.0	534	415	0.10	13.71	10.65
2 Center Court	1998	30,600	99.3	244	230	0.04	8.03	7.57
11 Commerce Way	1989	47,025	100.0	552	511	0.10	11.74	10.87
20 Commerce Way	1992	42,540	38.5	99	94	0.02	6.04	5.74
29 Commerce Way	1990	48,930	100.0	711	563	0.13	14.53	11.51
40 Commerce Way	1987	50,576	100.0	687	651	0.13	13.58	12.87
45 Commerce Way	1992	51,207	64.5	360	290	0.07	10.90	8.78
60 Commerce Way	1988	50,333	85.8	580	499	0.11	13.43	11.55
80 Commerce Way	1996	22,500	88.7	305	271	0.06	15.28	13.58
100 Commerce Way	1996	24,600	100.0	333	296	0.06	13.54	12.03
120 Commerce Way	1994	9,024	100.0	125	114	0.02	13.85	12.63
140 Commerce Way	1994	26,881	99.5	374	342	0.07	13.98	12.79
<b>Total New Jersey Office/Flex</b>		<b>2,189,531</b>	<b>90.6</b>	<b>18,494</b>	<b>15,925</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>9.32</b>	<b>8.03</b>
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<b>Elmsford</b>								
11 Clearbrook Road	1974	31,800	100.0	415	392	0.08	13.05	12.33
75 Clearbrook Road	1990	32,720	100.0	702	672	0.13	21.45	20.54
125 Clearbrook Road	2002	33,000	100.0	712	592	0.13	21.58	17.94
150 Clearbrook Road	1975	74,900	100.0	931	857	0.17	12.43	11.44



Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

175 Clearbrook Road	1973	98,900	100.0	1,553	1,444	0.29	15.70	14.60
200 Clearbrook Road	1974	94,000	99.8	1,222	1,118	0.22	13.03	11.92
250 Clearbrook Road	1973	155,000	97.3	1,427	1,262	0.26	9.46	8.37
50 Executive Boulevard	1969	45,200	98.2	480	464	0.09	10.81	10.45
77 Executive Boulevard	1977	13,000	100.0	233	222	0.04	17.92	17.08
85 Executive Boulevard	1968	31,000	93.8	343	317	0.06	11.80	10.90
300 Executive Boulevard	1970	60,000	100.0	581	550	0.11	9.68	9.17
350 Executive Boulevard	1970	15,400	98.8	296	272	0.05	19.45	17.88
399 Executive Boulevard	1962	80,000	100.0	968	941	0.18	12.10	11.76
400 Executive Boulevard	1970	42,200	100.0	782	703	0.14	18.53	16.66
500 Executive Boulevard	1970	41,600	100.0	641	578	0.12	15.41	13.89

**Office/Flex  
Properties  
(Continued)**

Property Location	Year Built	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
525 Executive Boulevard	1972	61,700	83.6	807	714	0.15	15.65	13.84
1 Westchester Plaza	1967	25,000	100.0	332	316	0.06	13.28	12.64
2 Westchester Plaza	1968	25,000	100.0	502	482	0.09	20.08	19.28
3 Westchester Plaza	1969	93,500	100.0	556	468	0.10	5.95	5.01
4 Westchester Plaza	1969	44,700	99.8	645	605	0.12	14.46	13.56
5 Westchester Plaza	1969	20,000	88.9	297	260	0.05	16.70	14.62
6 Westchester Plaza	1968	20,000	100.0	330	312	0.06	16.50	15.60
7 Westchester Plaza	1972	46,200	100.0	790	778	0.14	17.10	16.84
8 Westchester Plaza	1971	67,200	100.0	935	861	0.17	13.91	12.81
<b>Hawthorne</b>								
200 Saw Mill River Road	1965	51,100	100.0	656	602	0.12	12.84	11.78
4 Skyline Drive	1987	80,600	92.2	1,248	1,092	0.23	16.79	14.69
5 Skyline Drive	1980	124,022	100.0	1,629	1,511	0.30	13.13	12.18
6 Skyline Drive	1980	44,155	100.0	312	311	0.06	7.07	7.04
8 Skyline Drive	1985	50,000	98.7	711	362	0.13	14.41	7.34
10 Skyline Drive	1985	20,000	100.0	240	204	0.04	12.00	10.20
11 Skyline Drive	1989	45,000	100.0	803	760	0.15	17.84	16.89
12 Skyline Drive	1999	46,850	85.1	663	440	0.12	16.63	11.04
15 Skyline Drive	1989	55,000	73.3	632	630	0.12	15.68	15.63
<b>Yonkers</b>								
100 Corporate Boulevard	1987	78,000	98.3	1,432	1,348	0.26	18.68	17.58
200 Corporate Boulevard South	1990	84,000	99.8	1,401	1,324	0.26	16.71	15.79
4 Executive Plaza	1986	80,000	100.0	1,006	805	0.18	12.58	10.06
6 Executive Plaza	1987	80,000	100.0	1,341	1,268	0.25	16.76	15.85
1 Odell Plaza	1980	106,000	96.8	1,486	1,409	0.27	14.48	13.73
3 Odell Plaza	1984	71,065	100.0	1,597	1,481	0.29	22.47	20.84
5 Odell Plaza	1983	38,400	99.6	614	592	0.11	16.05	15.48
7 Odell Plaza	1984	42,600	99.6	734	704	0.13	17.30	16.59
<b>Total New York Office/Flex</b>		<b>2,348,812</b>	<b>97.7</b>	<b>32,985</b>	<b>30,023</b>	<b>6.03</b>	<b>14.37</b>	<b>13.08</b>

**CONNECTICUT**

**Fairfield County  
Stamford**

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

419 West Avenue	1986	88,000	100.0	1,263	1,106	0.23	14.35	12.57
500 West Avenue	1988	25,000	82.3	389	345	0.07	18.91	16.77
550 West Avenue	1990	54,000	100.0	884	879	0.16	16.37	16.28
600 West Avenue	1999	66,000	100.0	804	767	0.15	12.18	11.62
650 West Avenue	1998	40,000	100.0	555	424	0.10	13.88	10.60
<b>Total Connecticut Office/Flex</b>		<b>273,000</b>	<b>98.4</b>	<b>3,895</b>	<b>3,521</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>14.50</b>	<b>13.11</b>
<b>TOTAL OFFICE/FLEX PROPERTIES</b>		<b>4,811,343</b>	<b>94.5</b>	<b>55,374</b>	<b>49,469</b>	<b>10.14</b>	<b>12.18</b>	<b>10.88</b>

**Industrial/Warehouse, Retail and Land Lease Properties**

Property Location	Year Built	Net Rentable Area (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Leased as of 12/31/06 (%) (a)	2006		2006 Effective Rent Percentage of Total 2006 Base Rent (%)	2006	
				Base Rent (\$000's) (b) (c)	Effective Rent (\$000's) (c) (d)		Average Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (e)	Average Effective Rent Per Sq. Ft. (\$) (c) (f)
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<i>Elmsford</i>								
1 Warehouse Lane	1957	6,600	100.0	86	84	0.02	13.03	12.73
2 Warehouse Lane	1957	10,900	100.0	159	133	0.03	14.59	12.20
3 Warehouse Lane	1957	77,200	100.0	324	293	0.06	4.20	3.80
4 Warehouse Lane	1957	195,500	97.4	2,164	1,964	0.40	11.36	10.31
5 Warehouse Lane	1957	75,100	97.1	964	857	0.18	13.22	11.75
6 Warehouse Lane	1982	22,100	100.0	513	509	0.09	23.21	23.03
<b>Total Industrial/Warehouse Properties</b>		<b>387,400</b>	<b>98.1</b>	<b>4,210</b>	<b>3,840</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>11.07</b>	<b>10.10</b>
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<i>Tarrytown</i>								
230 White Plains Road	1984	9,300	100.0	195	183	0.04	20.97	19.68
<i>Yonkers</i>								
2 Executive Boulevard	1986	8,000	100.0	361	361	0.07	45.13	45.13
<b>Total Retail Properties</b>		<b>17,300</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>32.14</b>	<b>31.45</b>
<b>Westchester County</b>								
<i>Elmsford</i>								
700 Executive Boulevard	--	--	--	114	114	0.02	--	--
<i>Yonkers</i>								
1 Enterprise Boulevard	--	--	--	185	183	0.03	--	--
<b>Total Land Leases</b>		<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>
<b>TOTAL PROPERTIES</b>		<b>28,866,247</b>	<b>92.0</b>	<b>544,870</b>	<b>487,556</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>20.80</b>	<b>18.57</b>

- (a) Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 103,477 square feet (representing 0.4 percent of the Company's total net rentable square footage) for which no new leases were signed.
- (b) Total base rent for 2006, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Substantially all of the leases provide for annual base rents plus recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant's proportionate share of and/or increases in real estate taxes and certain operating costs, as defined, and the pass through of charges for electrical usage.
- (c) Excludes space leased by the Company.
- (d) Total base rent for 2006 minus total 2006 amortization of tenant improvements, leasing commissions and other concessions and costs, determined in accordance with GAAP.
- (e) Base rent for 2006 divided by net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2006. For those properties acquired during 2006, amounts are annualized, as per Note g.
- (f) Effective rent for 2006 divided by net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2006. For those properties acquired during 2006, amounts are annualized, as described in Note g.
- (g) As this property was acquired by the Company during 2006, the amounts represented in 2006 base rent and 2006 effective rent reflect only that portion of the year during which the Company owned the property. Accordingly, these amounts may not be indicative of the property's full year results. For comparison purposes, the amounts represented in 2006 average base rent per sq. ft. and 2006 average effective rent per sq. ft. for this property have been calculated by taking 2006 base rent and 2006 effective rent for such property and annualizing these partial-year results, dividing such annualized amounts by the net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2006. These annualized per square foot amounts may not be indicative of the property's results had the Company owned the property for the entirety of 2006.

**PERCENTAGE LEASED**

The following table sets forth the year-end percentages of square feet leased in the Company's stabilized operating Consolidated Properties for the last five years:

<b>December 31,</b>	<b>Percentage of</b>
	<b>Square Feet Leased (%) (a)</b>
2006	92.0
2005	91.0
2004 (b)	91.2
2003	91.5
2002	92.3

(a) Percentage of square-feet leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future and leases that expire at the period end date.

(b) Excluded from percentage leased at December 31, 2004 is a non-strategic, non-core 318,224 square foot property acquired through a deed in lieu of foreclosure, which was 12.7 percent leased at December 31, 2004 and subsequently sold on February 4, 2005.

**SIGNIFICANT TENANTS**

The following table sets forth a schedule of the Company's 50 largest tenants for the Consolidated Properties as of December 31, 2006 based upon annualized base rental revenue:

		<b>Annualized Number of Properties</b>	<b>Annualized Base Rental Revenue (\$) (a)</b>	<b>Percentage of Company Annualized Base Rental Revenue (%)</b>	<b>Square Feet Leased</b>	<b>Percentage Total Company Leased Sq. Ft. (%)</b>	<b>Year of Lease Expiration</b>
New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC	4	9,743,293	1.6	460,973	1.9	2014(b)	
Morgan Stanley D.W. Inc.	5	9,395,415	1.6	381,576	1.6	2013(c)	
United States Of America-GSA	12	8,621,861	1.5	285,684	1.1	2015(d)	
Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner	3	8,613,150	1.5	501,500	1.9	2017(e)	
Credit Suisse First Boston	1	7,940,235	1.4	234,331	0.9	2012(f)	
Keystone Mercy Health Plan	2	7,897,031	1.4	303,149	1.2	2015	
National Union Fire Insurance	1	7,711,023	1.3	317,799	1.2	2012	
Prentice-Hall Inc.	1	7,694,097	1.3	474,801	1.8	2014	
DB Services New Jersey, Inc.	1	7,551,990	1.3	281,920	1.1	2017	
Forest Laboratories Inc.	2	6,961,107	1.2	202,857	0.8	2017(g)	
Cendant Operations Inc.	2	6,839,418	1.2	296,934	1.1	2011(h)	
Allstate Insurance Company	10	6,455,295	1.1	269,594	1.0	2017(i)	
Toys 'R' Us - NJ Inc.	1	6,072,651	1.1	242,518	0.9	2012	
ICAP Securities USA LLC	1	5,973,008	1.0	159,834	0.6	2017	
American Institute of Certified Public Accountants	1	5,817,181	1.0	249,768	1.0	2012	
TD Ameritrade Online Holdings	1	5,637,193	1.0	184,222	0.7	2015	
IBM Corporation	3	5,562,770	1.0	310,263	1.2	2012(j)	
KPMG, LLP	3	4,784,243	0.8	181,025	0.7	2012(k)	
National Financial Services	1	4,346,765	0.8	112,964	0.4	2012	
Bank Of Tokyo-Mitsubishi Ltd.	1	4,228,795	0.7	137,076	0.5	2009	
AT&T Corp.	1	3,805,000	0.7	275,000	1.1	2014	
Vonage America Inc.	1	3,780,000	0.7	350,000	1.3	2017	
	1	3,678,028	0.6	131,300	0.5	2010	

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Samsung Electronics America					
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	5	3,492,988	0.6	132,475	0.5 2016(l)
E*Trade Financial Corporation	1	3,456,141	0.6	106,573	0.4 2022
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	1	3,420,667	0.6	207,300	0.8 2010
Montefiore Medical Center	5	3,397,583	0.6	163,529	0.6 2019(m)
Hewlett-Packard Company	1	3,346,048	0.6	163,857	0.6 2007
SSB Realty LLC	1	3,321,051	0.6	114,519	0.4 2009
Dow Jones & Company Inc.	1	3,057,773	0.5	92,312	0.4 2012
Daiichi Sankyo Inc.	2	2,872,353	0.5	90,366	0.3 2012(n)
High Point Safety & Insurance	2	2,694,417	0.5	116,358	0.4 2020
American Home Assurance Co.	2	2,686,732	0.5	131,174	0.5 2019(o)
SunAmerica Asset Management	1	2,680,409	0.5	69,621	0.3 2018
Moody's Investors Service United States Life Ins. Co.	1	2,671,149	0.5	91,344	0.3 2011(p)
New Jersey Turnpike Authority	1	2,520,000	0.4	180,000	0.7 2013
New Jersey Turnpike Authority	1	2,455,463	0.4	100,223	0.4 2016
Barr Laboratories Inc.	2	2,450,087	0.4	109,510	0.4 2015(q)
IXIS North America Inc.	1	2,408,679	0.4	83,629	0.3 2021
Movado Group Inc	1	2,283,547	0.4	90,050	0.3 2013
Lonza Inc.	1	2,236,200	0.4	89,448	0.3 2007
Deloitte & Touche USA LLP	1	2,171,275	0.4	86,851	0.3 2007
Regus Business Centre Corp.	2	2,159,029	0.4	79,805	0.3 2011
Computer Sciences Corporation	3	2,136,129	0.4	109,825	0.4 2011(r)
Nextel of New York Inc.	2	2,093,440	0.4	97,436	0.4 2014(s)
Bearingpoint Inc.	1	2,065,834	0.4	77,956	0.3 2011
GAB Robins North America Inc.	2	2,049,674	0.4	84,649	0.3 2009(t)
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus PA	1	2,045,307	0.4	86,913	0.3 2017
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corp.	2	2,027,861	0.4	71,153	0.3 2016
UBS Financial Services Inc.	3	1,949,797	0.3	73,250	0.3 2016(u)
<b>Totals</b>		<b>219,259,182</b>		<b>38.39,245,214</b>	<b>35.3</b>

See footnotes on subsequent page.





Significant Tenants Footnotes

- (a) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (b) 50,660 square feet expire 2007; 4,783 square feet expire in 2008; 333,145 square feet expire in 2013; 72,385 square feet expire in 2014.
- (c) 19,500 square feet expire in 2008; 7,000 square feet expire in 2009; 48,906 square feet expire in 2010; 306,170 square feet expire in 2013.
- (d) 51,049 square feet expire in 2007; 26,710 square feet expire in 2008; 9,901 square feet expire in 2011; 38,690 square feet expire in 2013; 4,879 square feet expire in 2014; 154,455 square feet expire in 2015.
- (e) 253,214 square feet expire in 2007; 7,485 square feet expire in 2008; 4,451 square feet expires in 2009; 236,350 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (f) 152,378 feet expire in 2011; 81,953 square feet expire in 2012.
  - (g) 22,785 square feet expire in 2010; 180,072 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (h) 150,951 square feet expire in 2008; 145,983 square feet expire in 2011.
- (i) 32,035 square feet expire in 2007; 31,143 square feet expire in 2008; 22,185 square feet expire in 2009; 46,555 square feet expire in 2010; 83,693 square feet expire in 2011; 53,983 square feet expire in 2017.
  - (j) 61,864 square feet expire in 2010; 248,399 square feet expire in 2012.
- (k) 23,807 square feet expire in 2007; 46,440 square feet expire in 2009; 33,397 square feet expires in 2010; 77,381 square feet expire in 2012.
- (l) 19,668 square feet expire in 2007; 59,711 square feet expire in 2009; 26,834 square feet expire in 2014; 26,262 square feet expire in 2016.
- (m) 48,542 square feet expire in 2009; 5,850 square feet expire in 2014; 7,200 square feet expire in 2016; 30,872 square feet expire in 2017; 71,065 square feet expire in 2019.
  - (n) 5,315 square feet expire in 2011; 85,051 square feet expire in 2012.
  - (o) 14,056 square feet expire in 2008; 117,118 square feet expire in 2019.
- (p) 43,344 square feet expire in 2009; 36,193 square feet expire in 2010; 11,807 square feet expire in 2011.
  - (q) 20,000 square feet expire in 2008; 89,510 square feet expire in 2015.
  - (r) 26,975 square feet expire in 2007; 82,850 square feet expire in 2011.
  - (s) 62,436 square feet expire in 2010; 35,000 square feet expire in 2014.
  - (t) 75,049 square feet expire in 2008; 9,600 square feet expire in 2009.
- (u) 21,554 square feet expire in 2010; 17,383 square feet expire in 2013; 34,313 square feet expire in 2016.

**SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: ALL CONSOLIDATED PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the total of the Company's office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail properties included in the Consolidated Properties beginning January 1, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

<b>Year Of Expiration</b>	<b>Number Of Leases Expiring (a)</b>	<b>Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)</b>	<b>Percentage Of Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)</b>	<b>Annualized Base Rental Revenue Expiring Leases (\$) (b)</b>	<b>Average Annual Rent Per Net Square Foot Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)</b>	<b>Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)</b>
2007 (c)	272	2,091,378	8.0	44,243,148	21.16	7.8
2008	375	2,686,853	10.4	54,923,081	20.44	9.6
2009	346	2,419,053	9.2	54,356,856	22.47	9.5
2010	343	2,850,749	10.9	60,230,025	21.13	10.5
2011	339	3,438,716	13.1	77,117,443	22.43	13.5
2012	206	2,511,774	9.6	58,548,780	23.31	10.2
2013	145	2,436,006	9.3	54,142,108	22.23	9.5
2014	75	1,524,878	5.8	32,788,456	21.50	5.7
2015	57	2,136,593	8.2	45,924,670	21.49	8.0
2016	47	756,090	2.9	14,439,966	19.10	2.5
2017	52	1,869,363	7.1	43,255,052	23.14	7.6
2018 and thereafter	53	1,449,768	5.5	32,086,350	22.13	5.6
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>2,310</b>	<b>26,171,221 (d)</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>572,055,935</b>	<b>21.86</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(a) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail property tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b)

Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.

(c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 103,477 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$1,909,260 for which no new leases were signed.

(d) Reconciliation to the Company's total net rentable square footage is as follows:

	Square Feet
Square footage leased to commercial tenants	26,171,221
Square footage used for corporate offices, management offices, building use, retail tenants, food services, other ancillary service tenants and occupancy adjustments	399,991
Square footage unleased	2,295,035
Total net rentable square footage (does not include land leases)	28,866,247

**SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: OFFICE PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the office properties beginning January 1, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage Of		Average Annual Rent Per Net Rentable Square Foot	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
			Net Total Annualized Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$)	Total Annualized Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$)		
2007 (c)	214	1,642,707	7.7	38,852,100	23.65	7.6
2008	285	1,911,710	9.0	46,403,461	24.27	9.1
2009	271	1,812,739	8.5	46,270,273	25.53	9.1
2010	263	1,997,684	9.4	48,563,899	24.31	9.6
2011	276	2,897,514	13.7	70,958,531	24.49	14.0
2012	157	2,077,170	9.8	52,378,087	25.22	10.3
2013	108	2,010,703	9.5	48,194,962	23.97	9.5
2014	62	1,371,378	6.5	30,612,320	22.32	6.0
2015	44	1,974,442	9.3	43,908,667	22.24	8.6
2016	34	455,091	2.1	10,428,710	22.92	2.1
2017	44	1,795,270	8.5	42,191,404	23.50	8.3
2018 and thereafter	45	1,278,703	6.0	29,663,825	23.20	5.8
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>1,803</b>	<b>21,225,111</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>508,426,239</b>	<b>23.95</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(a) Includes office tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b)

Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.

- (c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 85,823 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$1,691,239 for which no new leases were signed.

**SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: OFFICE/FLEX PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the office/flex properties beginning January 1, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Percentage Of		Annualized Base Rental Revenue Expiring By Expiring Leases (\$)(b)	Average Annual Rent Per Net	Percentage
		Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Total Leased Square Feet Represented By Expiring Leases (%)		Rentable Square Foot	Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2007 (c)	54	434,671	9.6	5,160,091	11.87	8.7
2008	87	683,774	15.0	8,043,229	11.76	13.6
2009	69	548,031	12.0	7,102,195	12.96	12.0
2010	79	825,065	18.1	11,358,126	13.77	19.2
2011	62	533,602	11.7	6,063,912	11.36	10.2
2012	49	434,604	9.6	6,170,693	14.20	10.4
2013	30	370,067	8.1	5,248,671	14.18	8.9
2014	13	153,500	3.4	2,176,136	14.18	3.7
2015	13	162,151	3.6	2,016,003	12.43	3.4
2016	11	165,917	3.7	2,592,895	15.63	4.4
2017	8	74,093	1.6	1,063,648	14.36	1.8
2018 and thereafter	7	163,065	3.6	2,197,525	13.48	3.7
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>4,548,540</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>59,193,124</b>	<b>13.01</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(a) Includes office/flex tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times

12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above. Includes office/flex tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

- (c) Includes leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 17,654 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$218,021 for which no new leases were signed.



**SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: INDUSTRIAL/WAREHOUSE PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the industrial/warehouse properties beginning January 1, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Percentage Of		Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$)(b)	Average	Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
		Net Rentable Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Total Leased Square Feet By Expiring Leases (%)		Rent Per Net Rentable Square Foot	
2007	4	14,000	3.7	230,957	16.50	5.7
2008	3	91,369	24.0	476,391	5.21	11.8
2009	5	48,983	12.9	789,388	16.12	19.7
2010	1	28,000	7.4	308,000	11.00	7.7
2011	1	7,600	2.0	95,000	12.50	2.4
2013	7	55,236	14.5	698,475	12.65	17.4
2016	2	135,082	35.5	1,418,361	10.50	35.3
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>380,270</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>4,016,572</b>	<b>10.56</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(a) Includes industrial/warehouse tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month industrial/warehouse tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

(b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, the historical results may differ from those set forth above.

**SCHEDULE OF LEASE EXPIRATIONS: STAND-ALONE RETAIL PROPERTIES**

The following table sets forth a schedule of lease expirations for the stand-alone retail properties beginning January 1, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or termination options:

Average

Year Of Expiration	Number Of Leases Expiring (a)	Percentage Of		Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (\$) (b)	Annual Rent Per Net	
		Net Total Leased Area Subject To Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Represented By Expiring Leases (%)		Square Foot Base Rental Represented By Expiring Leases (\$)	Rentable Percentage Of Annual Base Rent Under Expiring Leases (%)
2009	1	9,300	53.8	195,000	20.97	46.4
2018 and thereafter	1	8,000	46.2	225,000	28.13	53.6
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17,300</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>420,000</b>	<b>24.28</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(a) Includes stand-alone retail property tenants only.

(b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007 annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.

**INDUSTRY DIVERSIFICATION**

The following table lists the Company's 30 largest industry classifications based on annualized contractual base rent of the Consolidated Properties:

<b>Industry Classification (a)</b>	<b>Annualized Base Rental Revenue (\$)</b>	<b>Percentage of Company Annualized Rental Revenue (%)</b>	<b>Square Feet Leased (d)</b>	<b>Percentage of Total Company Leased Sq. Ft. (%)</b>
Securities, Commodity Contracts & Other Financial	101,287,164	17.7	3,801,890	14.6
Manufacturing	48,710,080	8.5	2,324,704	9.0
Insurance Carriers & Related Activities	46,461,377	8.1	2,070,823	7.9
Computer System Design Services	31,816,449	5.6	1,504,890	5.8
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	28,501,580	5.0	1,148,669	4.4
Telecommunications	25,970,292	4.5	1,261,689	4.8
Legal Services	24,471,697	4.3	980,359	3.7
Health Care & Social Assistance	24,343,912	4.3	1,212,140	4.6
Wholesale Trade	21,918,707	3.8	1,419,040	5.4
Scientific Research/Development	21,336,995	3.7	957,503	3.7
Other Professional	18,050,828	3.2	799,887	3.1
Accounting/Tax Prep.	17,217,047	3.0	727,887	2.8
Retail Trade	16,272,370	2.8	980,650	3.7
Public Administration	15,819,365	2.8	610,340	2.3
Advertising/Related Services	15,240,009	2.7	634,569	2.4
Other Services (except Public Administration)	12,383,016	2.2	685,321	2.6
Information Services	10,476,463	1.8	453,549	1.7
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	9,745,287	1.7	451,915	1.7
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	9,199,907	1.6	563,141	2.2
Broadcasting	7,428,246	1.3	474,532	1.8
Architectural/Engineering	7,392,806	1.3	336,549	1.3
Construction	7,187,628	1.3	359,355	1.4
Utilities	6,316,637	1.1	312,222	1.2
Data Processing Services	5,725,405	1.0	245,949	0.9
Transportation	5,431,003	0.9	297,239	1.1
Educational Services	5,388,364	0.9	272,450	1.0
Publishing Industries	4,392,580	0.8	221,179	0.8
Admin & Support, Waste Mgt. & Remediation Services	4,023,252	0.7	258,929	1.0
Specialized Design Services	3,824,875	0.7	177,950	0.7
Management of Companies & Finance	3,611,995	0.6	146,335	0.6

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Other	12,110,599	2.1	479,566	1.8
<b>Totals</b>	<b>572,055,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>26,171,221</b>	<b>100.0</b>

- (a) The Company's tenants are classified according to the U.S. Government's North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) which has replaced the Standard Industrial Code (SIC) system.
- (b) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (c) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.
- (d) Includes leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 103,477 square feet and representing annualized rent of \$1,909,260 for which no new leases were signed.

**MARKET DIVERSIFICATION**

The following table lists the Company's markets (MSAs), based on annualized contractual base rent of the Consolidated Properties:

Market (MSA)	Percentage Of Company Annualized Annualized Base Rental Base Rental Revenue Revenue			Total Property Size Rentable Area (b) (c)	Percentage Of Rentable Area (%)
	(\$)	(a)	(b) (c)		
Newark, NJ (Essex-Morris-Union Counties)	111,232,535		19.5	5,847,318	20.3
Jersey City, NJ	111,092,277		19.5	4,317,978	15.0
New York, NY (Westchester-Rockland Counties)	92,351,278		16.1	4,968,420	17.2
Bergen-Passaic, NJ	91,713,438		16.0	4,602,401	15.9
Philadelphia, PA-NJ	54,788,117		9.6	3,529,994	12.2
Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV	30,725,147		5.4	1,292,807	4.5
Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	25,299,731		4.4	1,620,863	5.6
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ	20,111,613		3.5	986,760	3.4
Trenton, NJ	16,985,745		3.0	767,365	2.7
Stamford-Norwalk, CT	13,317,359		2.3	706,510	2.4
Bridgeport, CT	2,558,828		0.4	145,487	0.5
Atlantic-Cape May, NJ	1,879,867		0.3	80,344	0.3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>572,055,935</b>		<b>100.0</b>	<b>28,866,247</b>	<b>100.0</b>

- (a) Annualized base rental revenue is based on actual December 2006 billings times 12. For leases whose rent commences after January 1, 2007, annualized base rental revenue is based on the first full month's billing times 12. As annualized base rental revenue is not derived from historical GAAP results, historical results may differ from those set forth above.
- (b) Includes leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases expiring December 31, 2006 aggregating 103,477 and representing annualized rent of \$1,909,260 for which no new leases were signed.
- (c) Includes office, office/flex, industrial/warehouse and stand-alone retail tenants only. Excludes leases for amenity, retail, parking and month-to-month tenants. Some tenants have multiple leases.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On February 12, 2003, the NJSEA selected The Mills Corporation and the Company to redevelop the Continental Airlines Arena site (“Arena Site”) for mixed uses, including retail. In March 2003, Hartz Mountain Industries, Inc., (“Hartz”), filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, for Bergen County, seeking to enjoin NJSEA from entering into a contract with the Meadowlands Venture for the redevelopment of the Continental Airlines Arena site. In May 2003, the court denied Hartz’s request for an injunction and dismissed its suit for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. In June 2003, the NJSEA held hearings on Hartz’s protest, and on a parallel protest filed by another rejected developer, Westfield, Inc. (“Westfield”). On September 10, 2003, the NJSEA ruled against Hartz’s and Westfield’s protests. Hartz and Westfield, as well as Elliot Braha and three other taxpayers (collectively “Braha”), thereafter filed appeals from the NJSEA’s final decision. By decision dated May 14, 2004, the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey rejected the appellants’ contention that the NJSEA lacks statutory authority to allow retail development of its property. The Appellate Division also remanded Hartz’s claim under the Open Public Records Acts, seeking disclosure of additional documents from NJSEA, to the Law Division for further proceedings. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division’s decision. On August 19, 2004, the Law Division issued a decision resolving Hartz’s Open Public Records Act claim and ordered NJSEA to disclose some, but not all, of the documents Hartz was seeking. The Appellate Division, in a decision rendered on November 24, 2004, upheld the findings of the Law Division in the remand proceeding. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division’s decision. At Hartz’s request, the NJSEA thereafter held further hearings on December 15 and 16, 2004, to review certain additional facts in support of Hartz’s and Westfield’s bid protest. Braha, as a taxpayer, did not have standing to participate in the supplemental protest hearing. On March 4, 2005, the Hearing Officer rendered his Supplemental Report and Recommendation to the NJSEA, finding no merit in the protests presented by Hartz and Westfield. The NJSEA accepted the Hearing Officer’s Supplemental Report and Recommendation on March 30, 2005 and Hartz and Braha have appealed that decision to the Appellate Division.

In January 2004, Hartz and Westfield also appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey from the NJSEA’s December 2003 approval and execution of the Redevelopment Agreement with the Meadowlands Venture.

In November 2004, Hartz and Westfield filed additional appeals in the Appellate Division challenging NJSEA’s resolution authorizing the execution of the First Amendment to the Redevelopment Agreement with Meadowlands Venture and the ground lease with the Meadowlands Venture.

All of the above appeals were consolidated by the Appellate Division. On August 17, 2006, the Appellate Division issued an opinion affirming NJSEA’s selection of the Meadowlands Venture and rejecting the appellants’ arguments in all respects. On August 28, 2006, Hartz made a motion before the Appellate Division for reconsideration of this decision and for supplementation of the record. That motion was denied, and neither Hartz nor Braha has sought review in the New Jersey Supreme Court. These consolidated appeals are now resolved.

On September 30, 2004, the Borough of Carlstadt filed an action in the Superior Court of New Jersey Law Division, challenging Meadowlands Xanadu, which asserted claims that are substantially the same as claims asserted by Hartz and Braha in the above appeals. By Order dated November 19, 2004, the Law Division transferred that matter to the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division. This matter was voluntarily dismissed by Carlstadt in accordance with a March 22, 2006, Settlement Agreement and Release between Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

Several appeals filed by Hartz, the Sierra Club and others, including certain environmental groups, that challenge certain approvals received by the Meadowlands Venture from the NJSEA, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (“NJMC”) and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (“NJDEP”) remain pending before the Appellate Division. Some of these appeals challenge NJDEP’s issuance of a stream encroachment permit, waterfront development permit, and coastal zone consistency determination for Meadowlands Xanadu. Other of these appeals are

from NJDEP's and NJMC's issuance of reports in connection with a consultation process the NJSEA was statutorily required to undertake in connection with any NJSEA-development project.

A Hartz affiliate and a trade association have filed an appeal from an advisory opinion favorable to the Meadowlands Venture issued by the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control concerning the availability of special concessionaire permits. That appeal is also pending in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey.

Three separate lawsuits have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, challenging a permit issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (“USACE”) in connection with the project. The first suit was filed on March 30, 2005, by the Sierra Club, the New Jersey Public Interest Research Group, Citizen Lobby, Inc. and the New Jersey Environmental Federation. Additional suits were filed on May 16 and May 31, 2005, respectively, by Hartz (together with one of its officers as an individually-named plaintiff) and the Borough of Carlstadt. The Sierra Club also filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to stop certain construction activities on the project, which the Court denied on July 6, 2005. On October 26, 2005, the court granted the motions of the Meadowlands Venture and the USACE to dismiss the Hartz complaint for lack of standing. The deadline for appealing that decision has passed, so the Hartz action is ended. On October 31, 2005, the USACE filed a motion to dismiss the complaint filed by the Borough of Carlstadt for lack of standing. On February 7, 2006, the Court granted the motion and dismissed the Borough of Carlstadt’s complaint in its entirety. On March 9, 2006, Carlstadt filed a notice of appeal of this decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. This appeal has been dismissed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Release executed by Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

On April 5, 2005, the New York Football Giants (“Giants”) filed an emergent application with the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, seeking an injunction stopping all work on the Meadowlands Xanadu project as being in violation of its existing lease with the NJSEA. After hearing oral argument on the application on August 5, 2005, the court denied the Giants’ motion for preliminary injunctive relief. On June 22, 2006, the court entered a Stipulation and Consent Order that dismissed without prejudice the parties’ respective claims.

The New Jersey Builders’ Association (“NJBA”) has commenced an action, which is pending in the Appellate Division, alleging that the NJSEA has failed to meet a purported obligation to provide affordable housing at the Meadowlands Complex and seeking, among other relief, an order enjoining the construction of Meadowlands Xanadu. NJBA filed an application for preliminary injunctive relief seeking to enjoin further construction of Meadowlands Xanadu, which the Appellate Division denied on July 28, 2005. The Meadowlands Venture is not a party to that action.

On January 25, 2006, the Bergen Cliff Hawks Baseball Club, LLC (the “Cliff Hawks”), filed a complaint against the Company and Mills, alleging that the Company and Mills breached an agreement to provide the Cliff Hawks with a minor league baseball park as part of the Xanadu Project. This matter is pending.

The Company believes that the Meadowlands Venture’s proposal and the planned project comply with applicable laws, and the Meadowlands Venture intends to continue its vigorous defense of its rights under the Redevelopment Agreement and Ground Lease. Although there can be no assurance, the Company does not believe that the pending lawsuits will have any material affect on its ability to develop the Meadowlands Xanadu project.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which the Company is a party or to which any of the Properties is subject.

#### **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Not Applicable.



**PART II****ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS  
5. AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****MARKET INFORMATION**

The shares of the Company's Common Stock are traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "CLI."

The following table sets forth the quarterly high, low, and closing price per share of Common Stock reported on the NYSE for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006:

	High	Low	Close
First Quarter	\$48.37	\$42.34	\$48.00
Second Quarter	\$47.47	\$42.17	\$45.92
Third Quarter	\$53.66	\$45.47	\$51.80
Fourth Quarter	\$55.37	\$48.24	\$51.00

For the Year Ended December 31, 2005:

	High	Low	Close
First Quarter	\$45.97	\$41.53	\$42.35
Second Quarter	\$46.99	\$41.00	\$45.30
Third Quarter	\$48.25	\$43.22	\$44.94
Fourth Quarter	\$44.80	\$40.21	\$43.20

On February 16, 2007, the closing Common Stock price reported on the NYSE was \$54.13 per share.

On June 16, 2006, the Company filed with the NYSE its annual CEO Certification and Annual Written Affirmation pursuant to Section 303A.12 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, each certifying that the Company was in compliance with all of the listing standards of the NYSE.

**HOLDERS**

On February 16, 2007, the Company had 596 common shareholders of record.

**RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES; USES OF PROCEEDS FROM REGISTERED SECURITIES**

During the three months ended December 31, 2006, the Company issued 253,542 shares of common stock to holders of common units in the Operating Partnership upon the redemption of such common units in private offerings pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The holders of the common units were limited partners of the Operating Partnership and accredited investors under Rule 501 of the Securities Act. The common units were converted into an equal number of shares of common stock. The Company has registered the resale of such shares under the Securities Act.

**DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS**

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company declared four quarterly common stock dividends and common unit distributions in the amounts of \$0.63, \$0.63, \$0.64 and \$0.64 per share and per unit from the first to the fourth quarter, respectively. Additionally, in 2006, the Company declared quarterly preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per preferred share from the first to the fourth quarter.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company declared four quarterly common stock dividends and common unit distributions of \$0.63 per share and per unit from the first to the fourth quarter. Additionally, in 2005, the Company declared quarterly preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per preferred share from the first to the fourth quarter. The Company also declared one quarterly preferred unit distribution of \$18.1818 per preferred unit for the first quarter.

The declaration and payment of dividends and distributions will continue to be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including the Company's earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, applicable REIT and legal restrictions and other factors.

### **PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The following graph compares total stockholder returns from the last five fiscal years to the Standard & Poor's 500 Index ("S&P 500") and to the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc.'s Equity REIT Total Return Index ("NAREIT"). The graph assumes that the value of the investment in the Company's Common Stock and in the S&P 500 and NAREIT indices was \$100 at December 31, 2001 and that all dividends were reinvested. The price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2001 (on which the graph is based) was \$31.02. The stockholder return shown on the following graph is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

#### Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return

### **SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS**

Information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans is disclosed in Item 12: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

### **PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS**

None.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table sets forth selected financial data on a consolidated basis for the Company. The consolidated selected operating, balance sheet and other data of the Company as of December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, and for the years then ended have been derived from the Company's financial statements for the respective periods.

<b>Operating Data (a)</b> <b>In thousands, except per share data</b>	Year Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Total revenues	\$ 740,309	\$ 600,131	\$ 537,239	\$ 516,536	\$ 474,765
Property expenses (b)	\$ 238,112	\$ 210,473	\$ 170,814	\$ 158,755	\$ 138,332
Direct construction costs	\$ 53,602	--	--	--	--
General and administrative	\$ 49,077	\$ 32,441	\$ 31,324	\$ 30,843	\$ 26,344
Interest expense	\$ 136,357	\$ 119,337	\$ 109,649	\$ 115,430	\$ 105,385
Income from continuing operations	\$ 86,360	\$ 76,594	\$ 80,780	\$ 113,146	\$ 100,601
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 142,666	\$ 93,488	\$ 100,453	\$ 141,381	\$ 139,722
Income from continuing operations per share - basic	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.80
Income from continuing operations per share - diluted	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.29	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.79
Net income per share - basic	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.66	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.44
Net income per share - diluted	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.65	\$ 2.43	\$ 2.43
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.50
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	62,237	61,477	60,351	57,724	57,227
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	77,901	74,189	68,743	65,980	65,475
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>	December 31,				
<b>In thousands</b>	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Rental property, before accumulated depreciation and amortization	\$4,573,587	\$4,491,752	\$4,160,959	\$3,954,632	\$3,857,657
Rental property held for sale, net	--	--	\$ 19,132	--	--
Total assets	\$4,422,889	\$4,247,502	\$3,850,165	\$3,749,570	\$3,796,429
Total debt (c)	\$2,159,959	\$2,126,181	\$1,702,300	\$1,628,584	\$1,752,372
Total liabilities	\$2,412,762	\$2,335,396	\$1,877,096	\$1,779,983	\$1,912,199
Minority interests	\$ 482,220	\$ 400,819	\$ 427,958	\$ 428,099	\$ 430,036
Stockholders' equity	\$1,527,907	\$1,511,287	\$1,545,111	\$1,541,488	\$1,454,194

(a)

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in order to conform with current period presentation.

- (b) Property expenses is calculated by taking the sum of real estate taxes, utilities and operating services for each of the periods presented.
- (c) Total debt is calculated by taking the sum of senior unsecured notes, revolving credit facilities, and mortgages, loans payable and other obligations.

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and the notes thereto (collectively, the "Financial Statements"). Certain defined terms used herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Financial Statements.

*Executive Overview*

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (the "Company") is one of the largest real estate investment trusts (REITs) in the United States, with a total market capitalization of approximately \$6.2 billion at December 31, 2006. The Company has been involved in all aspects of commercial real estate development, management and ownership for over 50 years and has been a publicly-traded REIT since 1994. The Company owns or has interests in 300 properties (collectively, the "Properties"), primarily class A office and office/flex buildings, totaling approximately 34.3 million square feet, leased to over 2,200 tenants. The Properties are located primarily in suburban markets of the Northeast, some with adjacent, Company-controlled developable land sites able to accommodate up to 11.5 million square feet of additional commercial space.

The Company's strategy is to be a significant real estate owner and operator in its core, high-barriers-to-entry markets, primarily in the Northeast.

As an owner of real estate, almost all of the Company's earnings and cash flow is derived from rental revenue received pursuant to leased office space at the Properties. Key factors that affect the Company's business and financial results include the following:

- the general economic climate;
- the occupancy rates of the Properties;
- rental rates on new or renewed leases;
- tenant improvement and leasing costs incurred to obtain and retain tenants;
- the extent of early lease terminations;
  - operating expenses;
  - cost of capital; and
- the extent of acquisitions, development and sales of real estate.

Any negative effects of the above key factors could potentially cause a deterioration in the Company's revenue and/or earnings. Such negative effects could include: (1) failure to renew or execute new leases as current leases expire; (2) failure to renew or execute new leases with rental terms at or above the terms of in-place leases; and (3) tenant defaults.

A failure to renew or execute new leases as current leases expire or to execute new leases with rental terms at or above the terms of in-place leases may be affected by several factors such as: (1) the local economic climate, which may be adversely impacted by business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, changing demographics and other factors; and (2) local real estate conditions, such as oversupply of office and office/flex space or competition within the market.

The Company's core markets continue to be weak. The percentage leased in the Company's consolidated portfolio of stabilized operating properties increased to 92.0 percent at December 31, 2006 as compared to 91.0 percent at

December 31, 2005 and 91.2 percent at December 31, 2004. Percentage leased includes all leases in effect as of the period end date, some of which have commencement dates in the future (including, at December 31, 2006, a lease with a commencement date substantially in the future consisting of 8,590 square feet scheduled to commence in 2009), and leases that expire at the period end date. Excluded from percentage leased at December 31, 2004 was a non-strategic, non-core 318,224 square foot property acquired through a deed in lieu of foreclosure, which was 12.7 percent leased at December 31, 2004 and subsequently sold on February 4, 2005. Leases that expired as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 aggregate 103,477, 311,623 and 439,697 square feet, respectively, or 0.4, 1.1 and 1.5 percentage of the net rentable square footage, respectively. Market rental rates have declined in most markets from peak levels in late 2000 and early 2001. Rental rates on the Company's space that was re-leased (based on first rents payable) during the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased an average of 0.2 percent compared to rates that were in effect under expiring leases, as compared to a 8.2 percent decrease in 2005 and a 8.7 percent decrease in 2004. The Company believes that vacancy rates may continue to increase in most of its markets in 2007. As a result, the Company's future earnings and cash flow may continue to be negatively impacted by current market conditions.

The remaining portion of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should help the reader understand:

- property transactions during the period;
- critical accounting policies and estimates;
- results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005;
- results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004; and
  - liquidity and capital resources.

### *Summary of Transactions*

#### **Gale/Green Transactions**

On May 9, 2006, the Company completed the acquisitions of: (i) The Gale Company and certain of its related businesses, which engage in construction, property management, facilities management, and leasing services (collectively, the "Gale Company"); (ii) three office properties; and (iii) indirect interests in a portfolio of office properties, located primarily in New Jersey, which were owned indirectly by The Gale Company and its affiliates ("Gale") and affiliates of SL Green Realty Corp. ("SL Green"). The agreements ("Gale/Green Agreements") to complete the aforementioned acquisitions (collectively, the "Gale/Green Transactions") required that the Company complete all of the acquisitions. Simultaneous with the completion of the Gale/Green Transactions, The Gale Company's President, Mark Yeager, was named an executive vice president of the Company.

Under the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company acquired 100 percent of the ownership interests in three office properties located in New Jersey, aggregating 518,257 square feet (the "Wholly-Owned Properties").

Also, as part of the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company entered into a joint venture with an entity controlled by SL Green (in which Stanley C. Gale has an interest), known as Mack-Green-Gale LLC ("Mack-Green"), to hold an approximate 96 percent interest and act as general partner of Gale SLG NJ Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "OP LP"). The OP LP owns 100 percent of entities which own 25 office properties (collectively, the "OP LP Properties") which aggregate 3.5 million square feet (consisting of 17 office properties aggregating 2.3 million square feet located in New Jersey and eight properties aggregating 1.2 million square feet located in Troy, Michigan), as well as a minor, non-controlling interest in four office properties aggregating 419,000 square feet located in Naperville, Illinois. For a discussion of the ownership interests in Mack-Green, see Note 4: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures - Mack-Green-Gale LLC - to our financial statements included within this annual report on Form 10-K.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay Mark Yeager, an executive officer of the Company, 49 percent of any payments he receives on account of Mr. Gale's interest with SL Green in Mack-Green.

The Gale Company, the Wholly-Owned Properties, and the interest in Mack-Green were acquired by the Company for a total initial acquisition cost of approximately \$245 million consisting of: (i) the issuance by the Company of 224,719 common units of the Operating Partnership; (ii) the payment of a total of approximately \$194 million in cash, which was primarily funded through borrowing under the Company's revolving credit facility; and (iii) the assumption of \$39.9 million in existing mortgage indebtedness on two of the Wholly-Owned Properties. Mr. Gale has agreed to transfer to Mark Yeager 33,700 of his common units of the Operating Partnership on April 30, 2009, provided that Mr. Yeager's employment with the Company has not been terminated involuntarily without cause ("Employment Continuation") prior to such date. Additionally, the agreement to acquire the Gale Company ("Gale Agreement") contains earn-out provisions providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms are defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other



events for The Gale Company for the three years following the closing date.

44

---

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of all amounts he receives pursuant to the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions, subject to certain conditions including Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation.

The Company has not yet obtained all the information necessary to finalize its estimates to complete the purchase price allocations related to the Gale/Green Transactions. The purchase price allocations will be finalized once the information identified by the Company has been received, which should not be longer than one year from the date of acquisition.

In addition, the Gale Agreement provides for the Company to acquire certain other ownership interests in up to 11 real estate projects (the "Non-Portfolio Properties"), subject to obtaining certain third party consents and the satisfaction of various project-related and/or other conditions. Each of the Company's acquired interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties will provide for the initial distributions of net cash flow solely to the Company, and thereafter an affiliate of Mr. Gale ("Gale Affiliate") has participation rights ("Gale Participation Rights") in 50 percent of the excess net cash flow remaining after the distribution to the Company of the aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (a) the Company's capital contributions, plus (b) an internal rate of return ("IRR") of 10 percent per annum, accruing on the date or dates of the Company's investments.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of any payments he receives with respect to the Gale Participation Rights, subject to adjustments for payments Mr. Yeager receives from his direct interests in such rights and subject to, in certain cases, Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation. Mr. Gale has also agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of the distributions he receives with respect to Mr. Gale's interest in certain land located in Florham Park, New Jersey, which is one of the Non-Portfolio Properties not yet acquired by the Company. Such distribution may include the amounts Mr. Gale receives from the conveyance of his interest in the Florham Park land to the Company.

With respect to the arrangements between Mr. Gale and Mr. Yeager regarding the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions and the Florham Park land, they have agreed to consider offering payments to certain persons that have been employed by certain subsidiaries of The Gale Company, which may include current employees of the Company.

Through December 31, 2006, the Company has completed acquisitions of eight of the interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties, which included the acquisitions of interests in: a 527,015 square foot, mixed-use office/retail complex; a 416,429 square-foot multi-tenanted office property; a 139,750 square-foot fully-leased office property; an office property in development; two vacant land parcels (one of which Mr. Yeager has a 16.49 percent interest in the Participation Rights) and two pre-developed projects. The aggregate cost of the completed acquisitions was approximately \$25.6 million.

Pursuant to Mr. Gale's agreements with Mr. Yeager, as described herein, Mr. Yeager received approximately \$5.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company, Mr. Gale and certain other affiliates of Gale are restricted from competing with the Company or hiring the Company's employees for a period of four years expiring on May 9, 2010.

### Property Acquisitions

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Acquisition	# of	Rentable	Acquisition
-------------	------	----------	-------------

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Date	Property/Address	Location	Bldgs.	Square Feet	Cost
02/28/06	Capital Office Park (a)	Greenbelt, Maryland	7	842,258	\$166,011
05/09/06	35 Waterview Boulevard (b) (c)	Parsippany, New Jersey	1	172,498	33,586
05/09/06	105 Challenger Road (d)	Ridgefield Park, New Jersey	1	150,050	34,960
05/09/06	343 Thornall Street (e)	Edison, New Jersey	1	195,709	46,193
07/31/06	395 W. Passaic Street (f)	Rochelle Park, New Jersey	1	100,589	22,219
Total Property Acquisitions:			11	1,461,104	\$302,969

(a) This transaction was funded primarily through the assumption of \$63.2 million of mortgage debt and the issuance of 1.9 million common operating partnership units valued at \$87.2 million.

(b) The property was acquired as part of the Gale/Green Transactions.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$20.4 million of mortgage debt.

(d) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$19.5 million of mortgage debt.

(e) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

(f) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$13.1 million of mortgage debt.

### Sales

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Realized Gain/(Loss)
06/28/06	Westage Business Center	Fishkill, New York	1	118,727	\$ 14,765	\$ 10,872	\$ 3,893
06/30/06	1510 Lancer Drive	Moorestown, New Jersey	1	88,000	4,146	3,134	1,012
11/10/06	Colorado portfolio	Various cities, Colorado	191	1,431,610	193,404	165,072	28,332
12/21/06	California portfolio	San Francisco, California	2	450,891	124,182	97,814	26,368
Total Office Property Sales:				232,089,228	\$336,497	\$276,892	\$59,605

On November 6, 2006, the Company sold its 50-percent interest in G&G Martco, a joint venture which owned a 305,618 square foot office building located in San Francisco, California for approximately \$16.3 million, realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$10.8 million.

On November 7, 2006, the Company sold 10.1 acres of developable land adjacent to its Horizon Center properties in Hamilton Township, New Jersey, for net sales proceeds of approximately \$1.5 million, realizing a gain of approximately \$1.1 million from the sale.

*Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates*

The Financial Statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of the Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Financial Statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's historical experience that are believed to be reasonable at the time. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. The Company's critical accounting policies are those which require assumptions to be made about matters that are highly uncertain. Different estimates could have a material effect on the Company's financial results. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and estimates may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions and circumstances.

**Rental Property:**

Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Costs directly related to the acquisition, development and construction of rental properties are capitalized. Capitalized development and construction costs include pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development and construction costs, interest, property taxes, insurance, salaries and other project costs incurred during the period of development. Interest capitalized by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$6.1 million, \$5.5 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Fully-depreciated assets are removed from the accounts.

The Company considers a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity (as distinguished from activities such as routine maintenance and cleanup). If portions of a rental project are substantially completed and occupied by tenants, or held available for occupancy, and other portions have not yet reached that stage, the substantially

completed portions are accounted for as a separate project. The Company allocates costs incurred between the portions under construction and the portions substantially completed and held available for occupancy and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Leasehold interests	Remaining lease term
Buildings and improvements	5 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 to 10 years

Upon acquisition of rental property, the Company estimates the fair value of acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities generally consisting of the fair value of (i) above and below market leases, (ii) in-place leases and (iii) tenant relationships. The Company allocates the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair values. In estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired, the Company considers information obtained about each property as a result of its due diligence and marketing and leasing activities, and utilizes various valuation methods, such as estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates, estimates of replacement costs net of depreciation, and available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed rate renewal options of the respective leases.

Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values and tenant relationship values which are based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors to be considered by management in its analysis of in-place lease values include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, management considers leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses. Characteristics considered by management in valuing tenant relationships include the nature and extent of the Company's existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of in-place leases are amortized to expense over the remaining initial terms of the respective leases. The value of tenant relationship intangibles will be amortized to expense over the anticipated life of the relationships.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's rental properties may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. The Company's estimates of aggregate future cash flows expected to be

generated by each property are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and costs to operate each property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter management's assumptions, the future cash flows estimated by management in its impairment analyses may not be achieved. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's rental properties is impaired.

**Rental Property Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations:**

When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs, of such assets. If, in management's opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified as held for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is established. Properties identified as held for sale and/or sold are presented in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held for sale, the property is reclassified as held and used. A property that is reclassified is measured and recorded individually at the lower of (a) its carrying amount before the property was classified as held for sale, adjusted for any depreciation (amortization) expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used, or (b) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell.

**Revenue Recognition:**

Base rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. Unbilled rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed in accordance with the lease agreements. Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed rate renewal options of the respective leases. Parking and other revenue includes income from parking spaces leased to tenants, income from tenants for additional services provided by the Company, income from tenants for early lease terminations and income from managing and/or leasing properties for third parties. Escalations and recoveries are received from tenants for certain costs as provided in the lease agreements. These costs generally include real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable costs.

Construction services revenue includes fees earned and reimbursements received by the Company for providing construction management and general contractor services to clients. Construction services revenue is recognized on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is accrued based upon estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates. Real estate services revenue includes property management, facilities management, leasing commission fees and other services, and payroll and related costs reimbursed from clients. Other income includes income from parking spaces leased to tenants, income from tenants for additional services arranged for by the Company and income from tenants for early lease terminations.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts:**

Management periodically performs a detailed review of amounts due from tenants to determine if accounts receivable balances are impaired based on factors affecting the collectibility of those balances. Management's estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to exercise significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income.

*Results From Operations*

The following comparisons for the year ended December 31, 2006 (“2006”), as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 (“2005”), and for 2005, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004 (“2004”), make reference to the following: (i) the effect of the “Same-Store Properties,” which represents all in-service properties owned by the Company at December 31, 2004, (for the 2006 versus 2005 comparison) and which represents all in-service properties owned by the Company at December 31, 2003, (for the 2005 versus 2004 comparison), excluding properties sold or held for sale through December 31, 2006, and (ii) the effect of the “Acquired Properties,” which represents all properties acquired by the Company or commencing initial operations from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006 (for the 2006 versus 2005 comparison) and which represent all properties acquired by the Company or commencing initial operation from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2005 (for the 2005 versus 2004 comparison).



**Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2005**

<i>(dollars in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar	Percent
	2006	2005	Change	Change
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>				
Base rents	\$ 544,870	\$ 508,227	\$ 36,643	7.2%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	91,044	77,900	13,144	16.9
Other income	17,125	11,087	6,038	54.5
Total revenues from rental operations	653,039	597,214	55,825	9.4
<b>Property expenses:</b>				
Real estate taxes	86,612	77,252	9,360	12.1
Utilities	60,487	52,401	8,086	15.4
Operating services	91,013	80,820	10,193	12.6
Total property expenses	238,112	210,473	27,639	13.1
<b>Non-property revenues:</b>				
Construction services	56,225	--	56,225	--
Real estate services	31,045	2,917	28,128	964.3
Total non-property revenues	87,270	2,917	84,353	2,891.8
<b>Non-property expenses:</b>				
Direct constructions costs	53,602	--	53,602	--
Real estate services and salaries, wages and other costs	18,600	--	18,600	--
General and administrative	49,077	32,441	16,636	51.3
Depreciation and amortization	160,859	143,593	17,266	12.0
Total non-property expenses	282,138	176,034	106,104	60.3
Operating Income	220,059	213,624	6,435	3.0
<b>Other (expense) income:</b>				
Interest expense	(136,357)	(119,337)	(17,020)	(14.3)
Interest and other investment income	3,054	856	2,198	256.8
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,556)	248	(5,804)	(2,340.3)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	218	(74)	292	394.6
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	15,060	--	15,060	--
Gain on sale of investment in joint ventures	10,831	35	10,796	30,845.7
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	(416)	--	(416)	--
Total other (expense) income	(113,166)	(118,272)	5,106	4.3
Income from continuing operations before minority				

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

interest in Operating Partnership	106,893	95,352	11,541	12.1
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(20,533)	(18,758)	(1,775)	(9.5)
Income from continuing operations	86,360	76,594	9,766	12.8
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	10,591	14,468	(3,877)	(26.8)
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses				
on disposition of rental property, net	47,715	4,426	43,289	978.1
Total discontinued operations, net	58,306	18,894	39,412	208.6
Net income	144,666	95,488	49,178	51.5
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	--	--
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 142,666	\$ 93,488	\$ 49,178	52.6%

The following is a summary of the changes in revenue from rental operations and property expenses in 2006 as compared to 2005 divided into Same-Store Properties and Acquired Properties (*dollars in thousands*):

	Total Company		Same-Store Properties		Acquired Properties	
	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>						
Base rents	\$ 36,643	7.2%	\$ 7,277	1.4%	\$ 29,366	5.8%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	13,144	16.9	6,596	8.5	6,548	8.4
Other income	6,038	54.5	5,177	46.7	861	7.8
Total	\$ 55,825	9.4%	\$ 19,050	3.2%	\$ 36,775	6.2%
<b>Property expenses:</b>						
Real estate taxes	\$ 9,360	12.1%	\$ 5,229	6.8%	\$ 4,131	5.3%
Utilities	8,086	15.4	3,821	7.3	4,265	8.1
Operating services	10,193	12.6	1,875	2.3	8,318	10.3
Total	\$ 27,639	13.1%	\$ 10,925	5.2%	\$ 16,714	7.9%
<b>OTHER DATA:</b>						
Number of Consolidated Properties	255		238		17	
Square feet (in thousands)	28,866		25,573		3,293	

Base rents for the Same-Store Properties increased \$7.3 million, or 1.4 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to an increase in the percentage of space leased at the properties in 2006 from 2005. Escalations and recoveries from tenants for the Same-Store Properties increased \$6.6 million, or 8.5 percent, for 2006 over 2005, due primarily to an increased amount of total property expenses in 2006. Other income for the Same-Store Properties increased \$5.2 million, or 46.7 percent, due primarily to an increase in lease breakage fees of \$3.1 million in 2006 and \$1.4 million recognized in 2006 for additional purchase consideration earned from a past sale of a joint venture.

Real estate taxes on the Same-Store Properties increased \$5.2 million, or 6.8 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to property tax rate increases in certain municipalities in 2006. Utilities for the Same-Store Properties increased \$3.8 million, or 7.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, due primarily to increased electric rates in 2006 as compared to 2005. Operating services for the Same-Store Properties increased \$1.9 million, or 2.3 percent, due primarily to increased maintenance and related labor costs of \$5.1 million for 2006 as compared to 2005, partially offset by a decrease in snow removal costs in 2006 of \$3.1 million.

Construction services amounted to \$56.2 million in 2006, due to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions. Real estate services increased by \$28.1 million, or 964.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005, also due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.

Direct construction costs totaled \$53.6 million in 2006, due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions. Real estate services salaries, wages and other costs equaled \$18.6 million in 2006, also due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions. General and administrative increased by \$16.6 million, or 51.3 percent, for 2006 as

compared to 2005 due primarily to the effect of the Gale/Green Transactions.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$17.3 million, or 12.0 percent, for 2006 over 2005. Of this increase, \$2.9 million, or 2.0 percent, was attributable to the Same-Store Properties and \$14.4 million, or 10.0 percent, was due to the Acquired Properties.

Interest expense increased \$17.0 million, or 14.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. This increase was primarily as a result of higher average debt balances in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Interest and other investment income increased \$2.2 million, or 256.8 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. This increase was due primarily to the receipt of approximately \$0.9 million in dividends on the Company's investment in marketable securities, as well as higher cash balances invested in 2006 due primarily to property sales proceeds as compared to 2005.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$5.8 million, or 2,340.3 percent, for 2006 as compared to 2005. The decrease was due primarily to a loss of \$4.9 million in 2006 in the Mack-Green joint venture and a loss of \$1.9 million in 2006 in the Meadowlands Xanadu joint venture, partially offset by an increase of \$1.1 million in the Harborside South Pier joint venture.

The Company recognized a gain on sale of investment in marketable securities of \$15.1 million in 2006.

Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$10.8 million in 2006 from the sale of the Company's interest in the G&G Martco joint venture. Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$35,000 in 2005 from the sale of the Company's interest in the Ashford Loop joint venture.

Gain (loss) on sale of land and other assets amounted to a loss of \$0.4 million in 2006 due to a loss on the sale of Gale Global Facilities and related companies in 2006 of \$1.5 million, partially offset by a gain of \$1.1 million from the sale of a parcel of land in Hamilton, New Jersey.

Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership increased to \$106.9 million in 2006 from \$95.4 million in 2005. The increase of approximately \$11.5 million was due to the factors discussed above.

Net income available to common shareholders increased by \$49.2 million, or 52.6 percent, from \$93.5 million in 2005 to \$142.7 million in 2006. This increase was primarily the result of realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$47.7 million in 2006 and an increase in income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership of \$11.5 million. These were partially offset by realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$4.4 million in 2005, a decrease in income from discontinued operations of approximately \$3.8 million in 2006 as compared to 2005, and an increase in minority interest in Operating Partnership in 2006 of \$1.8 million as compared to 2005.

**Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004**

<i>(dollars in thousands)</i>	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar	Percent
	2005	2004	Change	Change
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>				
Base rents	\$ 508,227	\$ 464,303	\$ 43,924	9.5%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	77,900	60,492	17,408	28.8
Other income	11,087	7,950	3,137	39.5
Total revenues from rental operations	597,214	532,745	64,469	12.1
<b>Property expenses:</b>				
Real estate taxes	77,252	64,036	13,216	20.6
Utilities	52,401	38,456	13,945	36.3
Operating services	80,820	68,322	12,498	18.3
Total property expenses	210,473	170,814	39,659	23.2
<b>Non-property revenues:</b>				
Construction services	--	--	--	--
Real estate services	2,917	4,494	(1,577)	(35.1)
Total non-property revenues	2,917	4,494	(1,577)	(35.1)
<b>Non-property expenses:</b>				
Direct constructions costs	--	--	--	--
Real estate services and salaries, wages and other costs	--	--	--	--
General and administrative	32,441	31,324	1,117	3.6
Depreciation and amortization	143,593	117,097	26,496	22.6
Total non-property expenses	176,034	148,421	27,613	18.6
Operating Income	213,624	218,004	(4,380)	(2.0)
<b>Other (expense) income:</b>				
Interest expense	(119,337)	(109,649)	(9,688)	8.8
Interest and other investment income	856	1,367	(511)	(37.4)
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	248	(3,886)	4,134	106.4
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	(74)	--	(74)	--
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	--	--	--
Gain on sale of investment in joint ventures	35	720	(685)	(95.1)
Total other (expense) income	(118,272)	(111,448)	(6,824)	(6.1)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership	95,352	106,556	(11,204)	(10.5)
	(18,758)	(25,776)	7,018	27.2

Minority interest in Operating Partnership				
Income from continuing operations	76,594	80,780	(4,186)	(5.2)
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	14,468	22,292	(7,824)	(35.1)
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	4,426	(619)	5,045	815.0
Total discontinued operations, net	18,894	21,673	(2,779)	(12.8)
Net income	95,488	102,453	(6,965)	(6.8)
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	--	--
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 93,488	\$ 100,453	\$ (6,965)	(6.9)%

The following is a summary of the changes in revenue from rental operations and property expenses in 2005 as compared to 2004 divided into Same-Store Properties and Acquired Properties (*dollars in thousands*):

	Total Company		Same-Store Properties		Acquired Properties	
	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Dollar Change	Percent Change
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>						
Base rents	\$ 43,924	9.5%	\$ (191)	--	\$ 44,115	9.5%
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	17,408	28.8	6,816	11.3%	10,592	17.5
Other income	3,137	39.5	1,294	16.3	1,843	23.2
Total	\$ 64,469	12.1%	\$ 7,919	1.5%	\$ 56,550	10.6%
<b>Property expenses:</b>						
Real estate taxes	\$ 13,216	20.6%	\$ 4,074	6.4%	\$ 9,142	14.2%
Utilities	13,945	36.3	8,755	22.8	5,190	13.5
Operating services	12,498	18.3	2,485	3.6	10,013	14.7
Total	\$ 39,659	23.2%	\$ 15,314	9.0%	\$ 24,345	14.2%
<b>OTHER DATA:</b>						
Number of Consolidated Properties	244		224		20	
Square feet (in thousands)	27,405		23,163		4,242	

Base rents for the Same-Store Properties decreased \$0.2 million, for 2005 as compared to 2004, due primarily to decreased rental rates for new leases in 2005 as compared to 2004. Escalations and recoveries from tenants for the Same-Store Properties increased \$6.8 million, or 11.3 percent, for 2005 over 2004, due primarily to an increased amount of total property expenses in 2005. Other income for the Same-Store Properties increased \$1.3 million, or 16.3 percent, due primarily to an increase in lease termination fees in 2005 as compared to 2004.

Real estate taxes on the Same-Store Properties increased \$4.1 million, or 6.4 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004, due primarily to property tax rate increases in certain municipalities in 2005, partially offset by lower assessments on certain properties in 2005. Utilities for the Same-Store Properties increased \$8.8 million, or 22.8 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004, due primarily to increased electric rates and increased usage in 2005. Operating services for the Same-Store Properties increased \$2.5 million, or 3.6 percent, due primarily to increases in 2005 as compared to 2004 in snow removal costs of \$2.0 million, and property management compensation and related expenses of \$0.8 million.

General and administrative increased by \$1.1 million, or 3.6 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004. This was due primarily to increases in 2005 as compared to 2004 in compensation costs and related expenses of \$0.9 million and state income tax expense of \$0.5 million, as well as compensation costs and related expenses in 2005 of \$0.6 million in connection with the resignation of a non-executive officer, and a write-down in 2005 of a technology investment of \$0.5 million. These increases were partially offset by compensation costs and related expenses incurred in 2004 in connection with the resignation of the Company's president of \$1.3 million.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$26.5 million, or 22.6 percent, for 2005 over 2004. Of this increase, \$5.4 million, or 4.6 percent, was attributable to the Same-Store Properties primarily on account of the amortization of additional tenant installation costs in 2005 and \$21.1 million, or 18.0 percent, was due to the Acquired Properties.



Interest expense increased \$9.7 million, or 8.8 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004. This increase was primarily as a result of higher average debt balances in 2005, as well as an overall increase in interest rates on the Company's debt.

Interest and other investment income decreased \$0.5 million, or 37.4 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004. This decrease was due primarily to lower interest income from mortgage notes receivable in 2005 and lower average cash balances in 2005.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures increased \$4.1 million, or 106.4 percent, for 2005 as compared to 2004. This increase was due primarily to the following: an increase of \$5.2 million in 2005 on account of the Ashford Loop joint venture having a loss in 2004, with no activity in 2005 due to the Company's sale of its interest in the venture in early 2005; an increase of \$0.8 million from increased earnings in 2005 at the Harborside South Pier Hyatt Hotel Venture; and an increase of \$0.6 million in 2005 on account of equity in loss in 2004 at the Ramland Realty joint venture, with no equity in earnings in 2005. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in equity in earnings of \$1.9 million at the G&G Martco joint venture on account of equity in loss in 2005; and a decrease of \$0.7 million in 2005 on account of equity in earnings in the HPMC joint venture in 2004, with no activity in 2005 due to the joint venture's sale of the Pacific Plaza I & II complex in 2004.

Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$35,000 in 2005 from the sale of the Company's interest in the Ashford Loop joint venture. Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint venture amounted to \$0.7 million in 2004 on account of the receipt of additional contingent purchase consideration from the Harborside North Pier sale.

Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership decreased to \$95.4 million in 2005 from \$106.6 million in 2004. The decrease of approximately \$11.2 million was due to the factors discussed above.

Net income available to common shareholders decreased by \$7.0 million, or 6.9 percent, from \$100.5 million in 2004 to \$93.5 million in 2005. This decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in 2005 from 2004 in income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership of \$11.2 million, and a decrease in income from discontinued operations of approximately \$7.8 million. These were partially offset by a decrease in minority interest in Operating Partnership of \$7.0 million, realized gains on disposition of rental property of \$4.4 million in 2005, and realized gains and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property of \$0.6 million in 2004.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

### *Liquidity*

#### **Overview:**

Historically, rental revenue has been the principal source of funds to pay operating expenses, debt service, capital expenditures and dividends, excluding non-recurring capital expenditures. To the extent that the Company's cash flow from operating activities is insufficient to finance its non-recurring capital expenditures such as property acquisitions, development and construction costs and other capital expenditures, the Company has and expects to continue to finance such activities through borrowings under its revolving credit facility and other debt and equity financings.

The Company believes that with the general downturn in the Company's markets in recent years, it is reasonably likely that vacancy rates may continue to increase, effective rental rates on new and renewed leases may continue to decrease and tenant installation costs, including concessions, may continue to increase in most or all of its markets in 2007. As a result of the potential negative effects on the Company's revenue from the overall reduced demand for office space, the Company's cash flow could be insufficient to cover increased tenant installation costs over the short-term. If this situation were to occur, the Company expects that it would finance any shortfalls through borrowings under its revolving credit facility and other debt and equity financings.

The Company expects to meet its short-term liquidity requirements generally through its working capital, net cash provided by operating activities and from its revolving credit facility. The Company frequently examines potential property acquisitions and development projects and, at any given time, one or more of such acquisitions or

development projects may be under consideration. Accordingly, the ability to fund property acquisitions and development projects is a major part of the Company's financing requirements. The Company expects to meet its financing requirements through funds generated from operating activities, proceeds from property sales, long-term and short-term borrowings (including draws on the Company's revolving credit facility) and the issuance of additional debt and/or equity securities.

**Gale Company Earn-Out:**

The agreement under which the Company acquired the Gale Company on May 9, 2006 (“Gale Agreement”), contains earn-out provisions providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms are defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other events for the three years following the closing date.

**Construction Projects:**

The Company entered into a 15-year lease with AAA Mid-Atlantic (“AAA”) for a 120,000 square foot office building being constructed by the Company in its Horizon Center Business Park located in Hamilton Township, New Jersey. The building is expected to be completed during the early part of 2007 at an estimated cost of approximately \$19.2 million (of which the Company has incurred \$15.7 million through December 31, 2006), which is expected to be funded through borrowings on the Company’s unsecured credit facility. Concurrent with the signing of the lease, the Company executed a purchase and sale agreement with AAA pursuant to which the Company, upon the commencement of the 120,000 square foot lease, will acquire AAA’s three office and office/flex buildings, totaling approximately 84,000, square feet and certain vacant, developable land, all located in Hamilton Township, New Jersey, for a total purchase price of approximately \$10 million, subject to certain conditions.

Additionally, the Company, through a joint venture with the PRC Group, is constructing a 92,878 square-foot office property, to be known as Red Bank Corporate Plaza, located in Red Bank, New Jersey, on land contributed by its joint venture partner. The project is fully leased to Hovnanian Enterprise, Inc. for a 10-year term. The total cost of the project, which is expected to be completed in the third quarter 2007, is estimated to be approximately \$27 million, of which the Company currently expects to fund approximately \$3 million. On October 20, 2006, the venture entered into a \$22.0 million construction loan with a commercial bank. The loan carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 130 basis points and matures in April 2008. The loan currently has three one-year extension options subject to certain conditions, each of which require payment of a fee.

The Company owns a 15 percent indirect interest in a joint venture which plans to develop a 1.2 million square foot mixed-use project in downtown Boston consisting of office and retail space, condominium apartments, a hotel and garage. The development project, which is subject to government approval, is currently projected to cost approximately \$630 million, of which the Company is currently projected to invest a total of approximately \$20.3 million (of which the Company has invested \$14.8 million through February 16, 2007).

**REIT Restrictions:**

To maintain its qualification as a REIT, the Company must make annual distributions to its stockholders of at least 90 percent of its REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and by excluding net capital gains. Moreover, the Company intends to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to its common stockholders which, based upon current policy, in the aggregate would equal approximately \$173.5 million on an annualized basis. However, any such distribution, whether for federal income tax purposes or otherwise, would only be paid out of available cash, including borrowings and other sources, after meeting operating requirements, preferred stock and unit dividends and distributions, and scheduled debt service on the Company’s debt.

**Property Lock-Ups:**

The Company may not dispose of or distribute certain of its properties, currently comprising 50 properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.3 billion, which were originally contributed by members of either the Mack Group (which includes William L. Mack, Chairman of the Company’s Board of Directors; David S. Mack, director; Earle I. Mack, a former director; and Mitchell E. Hersh, president, chief executive officer and director), the Robert Martin Group (which includes Robert F. Weinberg, director; Martin S. Berger, a former director; and Timothy M. Jones, former president), the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director)

or certain other common unitholders, without the express written consent of a representative of the Mack Group, the Robert Martin Group, the Cali Group or the specific certain other common unitholders, as applicable, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate Mack Group, Robert Martin Group, Cali Group members or the specific certain other common unitholders for the tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains (collectively, the “Property Lock-Ups”). The aforementioned restrictions do not apply in the event that the Company sells all of its properties or in connection with a sale transaction which the Company’s Board of Directors determines is reasonably necessary to satisfy a material monetary default on any unsecured debt, judgment or liability of the Company or to cure any material monetary default on any mortgage secured by a property. The Property Lock-Ups expire periodically through 2016. Upon the expiration of the Property Lock-Ups, the Company generally is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the appropriate Mack Group, Robert Martin Group, Cali Group members or the specific certain other common unitholders. 88 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$809.0 million, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions.

**Unencumbered Properties:**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had 236 unencumbered properties, totaling 24.8 million square feet, representing 85.8 percent of the Company's total portfolio on a square footage basis.

**Credit Ratings:**

The Company has three investment grade credit ratings. Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") and Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") have each assigned their BBB rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership. S&P and Fitch have also assigned their BBB- rating to existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company. Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has assigned its Baa2 rating to existing and prospective senior unsecured debt of the Operating Partnership and its Baa3 rating to its existing and prospective preferred stock offerings of the Company.

***Cash Flows***

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$40.8 million to \$101.2 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$60.4 million at December 31, 2005. This increase is comprised of the following net cash flow items:

- 1) \$235.9 million provided by operating activities.
- 2) \$74.2 million provided by investing activities, consisting primarily of the following:
  - (a) \$217.8 million used for additions to rental property; minus
  - (b) \$163.4 million used for investments in unconsolidated joint ventures; minus
  - (c) \$11.9 million used for the purchase of marketable securities; plus
  - (d) \$338.5 million received from proceeds from sale of rental properties; plus
  - (e) \$78.6 million received from proceeds from the sale of marketable securities; plus
  - (f) \$16.3 million received from proceeds from the sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures; plus
  - (g) \$40 million received from distributions from investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.
- 3) \$269.3 million used in financing activities, consisting primarily of the following:
  - (a) \$983 million from borrowings under the revolving credit facility; minus
  - (b) \$200 million from proceeds from the sale of senior unsecured notes; minus
  - (c) \$10.4 million from proceeds received from stock options and warrants exercised; plus
  - (d) \$1.1 billion used for repayments of borrowings under the Company's unsecured credit facility; plus
  - (e) \$197 million used for payments of dividends and distributions; plus
  - (f) \$160.6 million used for repayments of mortgages, loans payable and other obligations.

**Debt Financing****Summary of Debt:**

The following is a breakdown of the Company's debt between fixed and variable-rate financing as of December 31, 2006:

	<b>Balance</b>		<b>Weighted</b>	<b>Weighted Average</b>
	<b>(\$000's)</b>	<b>% of</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Maturity</b>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>Interest Rate</b>	<b>in Years</b>
			<b>(a)</b>	
Fixed Rate Unsecured Debt	\$1,670,225	77.33%	6.28%	5.29
Fixed Rate Secured Debt and Other Obligations	344,734	15.96%	5.43%	5.11
Variable Rate Unsecured Debt	145,000	6.71%	5.76%	2.90
<b>Totals/Weighted Average:</b>	<b>\$2,159,959</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>6.11%</b>	<b>5.10</b>

**Debt Maturities:**

Scheduled principal payments and related weighted average annual interest rates for the Company's debt as of December 31, 2006 are as follows:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Scheduled</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Weighted</b>
	<b>Amortization</b>	<b>Maturities</b>		<b>Avg.</b>
	<b>(\$000's)</b>	<b>(\$000's)</b>	<b>(\$000's)</b>	<b>Interest</b>
				<b>Rate of</b>
				<b>Future</b>
				<b>Repayments</b>
				<b>(a)</b>
2007	\$ 19,126	\$ 15,152	\$ 34,278	5.67%
2008	17,971	12,563	30,534	5.25%
2009	10,100	445,000	455,100	6.89%
2010	2,795	334,500	337,295	5.26%
2011	3,580	300,000	303,580	7.91%
Thereafter	11,685	993,091	1,004,776	5.57%
Sub-total	65,257	2,100,306	2,165,563	6.11%
Adjustment for unamortized debt discount/premium, net, as of December 31, 2006	(5,604)	--	(5,604)	--
<b>Totals/Weighted Average</b>	<b>\$ 59,653</b>	<b>\$ 2,100,306</b>	<b>\$ 2,159,959</b>	<b>6.11%</b>

(a) Actual weighted average LIBOR contract rates relating to the Company's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2006 of 5.35 percent was used in calculating revolving credit facility.

**Senior Unsecured Notes:**

On January 24, 2006, the Company issued \$100 million face amount of 5.80 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2016 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears, and \$100 million face amount of 5.25 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2012 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The Company's total proceeds from the issuances, including accrued interest on the 5.80 percent notes of approximately \$200.8 million, were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the total unsecured facility.

The terms of the Company's senior unsecured notes (which totaled approximately \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2006) include certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum amount of debt leverage, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of debt service coverage and the maximum amount of unsecured debt as a percent of unsecured assets.

**Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility:**

The Company has an unsecured revolving credit facility with a borrowing capacity of \$600 million (expandable to \$800 million). The interest rate on outstanding borrowings (not electing the Company's competitive bid feature) under the unsecured facility is currently LIBOR plus 65 basis points. The facility has a competitive bid feature, which allows the Company to solicit bids from lenders under the facility to borrow up to \$300 million at interest rates less than the current LIBOR plus 65 basis point spread. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's outstanding borrowings carried a weighted



average interest rate of LIBOR plus 41 basis points. The Company may also elect an interest rate representing the higher of the lender's prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 50 basis points. The unsecured facility, which also requires a 15 basis point facility fee on the current borrowing capacity payable quarterly in arrears, is scheduled to mature in November 2009 and has an extension option of one year, which would require a payment of 25 basis points of the then borrowing capacity of the facility upon exercise.

The interest rate and the facility fee are subject to adjustment, on a sliding scale, based upon the operating partnership's unsecured debt ratings. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt rating, the interest and facility fee rates will be adjusted in accordance with the following table:

<b>Operating Partnership's Unsecured Debt Ratings: S&amp;P Moody's/Fitch (a)</b>	<b>Interest Rate - Applicable Basis Points Above LIBOR</b>	<b>Facility Fee Basis Points</b>
No ratings or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	112.5	25.0
BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	80.0	20.0
BBB/Baa2/BBB (current)	65.0	15.0
BBB+/Baa1/BBB+	55.0	15.0
A-/A3/A- or higher	50.0	15.0

(a) If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from two rating agencies, one of which is Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of such ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from three rating agencies, one of which is S&P or Moody's, the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of the two highest ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from only one agency, it will be considered to have no rating or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB- per the above table.

The terms of the unsecured facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of real estate properties (to the extent that: (i) such property dispositions cause the Company to default on any of the financial ratios of the facility described below, or (ii) the property dispositions are completed while the Company is under an event of default under the facility, unless, under certain circumstances, such disposition is being carried out to cure such default), and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum leverage ratio, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property interest coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Code, the Company will not during any four consecutive fiscal quarters make distributions with respect to common stock or other common equity interests in an aggregate amount in excess of 90 percent of funds from operations (as defined in the facility agreement) for such period, subject to certain other adjustments.

The lending group for the unsecured facility consists of: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent; Bank of America, N. A., as syndication agent; The Bank of Nova Scotia, New York Agency; Wachovia Bank, National Association; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents; SunTrust Bank, as senior managing agent; US Bank National Association; Citicorp North America, Inc.; and PNC Bank National Association, as managing agents; and Bank of China, New York Branch; The Bank of New York; Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B.; The Royal Bank of Scotland, plc; Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.; UFJ Bank Limited, New York Branch; The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland; Bank Hapoalim B.M.; Comerica Bank; Chang Hwa Commercial Bank, Ltd., New York Branch; First Commercial Bank, New York Agency; Chiao Tung Bank Co., Ltd., New York Agency; Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas; and Hua Nan Commercial Bank, New York Agency.

**Mortgages, Loans Payable and Other Obligations:**

The Company has mortgages, loans payable and other obligations which consist principally of various loans collateralized by certain of the Company's rental properties. Payments on mortgages, loans payable and other obligations are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest, or interest only.

**Debt Strategy:**

The Company does not intend to reserve funds to retire the Company's senior unsecured notes or its mortgages, loans payable and other obligations upon maturity. Instead, the Company will seek to refinance such debt at maturity or retire such debt through the issuance of additional equity or debt securities on or before the applicable maturity dates. If it cannot raise sufficient proceeds to retire the maturing debt, the Company may draw on its revolving credit facility to retire the maturing indebtedness, which would reduce the future availability of funds under such facility. As of February 16, 2007, the Company had \$75.0 million of outstanding borrowings under its \$600 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The Company is reviewing various refinancing options, including the purchase of its senior unsecured notes in privately-negotiated transactions, the issuance of additional, or exchange of current, unsecured debt, preferred stock, and/or obtaining additional mortgage debt, some or all of which may be completed during 2007. The Company anticipates that its available cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operating activities, together with cash available from borrowings and other sources, will be adequate to meet the Company's capital and liquidity needs both in the short and long-term. However, if these sources of funds are insufficient or unavailable, the Company's ability to make the expected distributions discussed below may be adversely affected.

***Equity Financing and Registration Statements*****Equity Activity:**

The following table presents the changes in the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock and the Operating Partnership's common units since December 31, 2005:

	<b>Common Stock</b>	<b>Common Units</b>	<b>Total</b>
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	62,019,646	13,650,439	75,670,085
Stock options exercised	352,699	--	352,699
Common units redeemed for Common Stock	475,208	(475,208)	--
Common units redeemed for cash	--	(1)	(1)
Common units issued	--	2,167,053	2,167,053
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	5,154	--	5,154
Restricted shares issued, net of cancellations	72,484	--	72,484
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	62,925,191	15,342,283	78,267,474

On February 7, 2007, the Company completed an underwritten offer and sale of 4,650,000 shares of its common stock and used the net proceeds, which totaled approximately \$252 million (after offering costs), primarily to pay down its outstanding borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes.

**Share Repurchase Program:**

The Company has authorization to repurchase up to \$45.5 million of its outstanding common stock, which it may repurchase from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions.

**Shelf Registration Statements:**

The Company has an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for an aggregate amount of \$2.0 billion in common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares, and/or warrants of the Company, under which \$260.1 million of securities have been sold through February 16, 2007 and \$1.7 billion remains available for future issuances.

The Company and the Operating Partnership also have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed with the SEC for an aggregate amount of \$2.5 billion in common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and guarantees of the Company and debt securities of the Operating Partnership, under which \$600 million of securities have been sold through February 16, 2007 and \$1.9 billion remains available for future issuances.

***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*****Unconsolidated Joint Venture Debt:**

The debt of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures aggregating \$571.7 million, at December 31, 2006, is non-recourse to the Company except for customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as intentional misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations. The Company has posted a \$7.3 million letter of credit in support of the Harborside South Pier joint venture, \$3.6 million of which is indemnified by Hyatt.

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements are further discussed in Note 4 to our financial statements filed with this annual report on Form 10-K: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures to the Financial Statements.

***Contractual Obligations***

The following table outlines the timing of payment requirements related to the Company's debt (principal and interest), PILOT agreements, and ground lease agreements as of December 31, 2006 (*dollars in thousands*):

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payments Due by Period</b>				
		<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1 - 3 years</b>	<b>4 - 5 years</b>	<b>6 - 10 years</b>	<b>After 10 years</b>
Senior unsecured notes	\$2,197,175	\$100,494	\$490,114	\$598,326	\$1,008,241	--
Revolving credit facility (1)	169,369	8,355	161,014	--	--	--
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	472,847	52,057	72,262	190,734	127,280	\$30,514
Payments in lieu of taxes (PILOT)	70,102	4,193	12,680	8,587	23,229	21,413
Operating lease payments	499	412	87	--	--	--
Ground lease payments	37,950	508	1,488	1,002	2,525	32,427
Total	\$2,947,942	\$166,019	\$737,645	\$798,649	\$1,161,275	\$84,354

(1) Interest payments assume current revolving credit facility borrowings and interest rates remain at the December 31, 2006 level until maturity.

***Other Commitments and Contingencies*****Legal Proceedings:**

On February 12, 2003, the NJSEA selected The Mills Corporation and the Company to redevelop the Continental Airlines Arena site ("Arena Site") for mixed uses, including retail. In March 2003, Hartz Mountain Industries, Inc., ("Hartz"), filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, for Bergen County, seeking to enjoin NJSEA from entering into a contract with the Meadowlands Venture for the redevelopment of the Continental Airlines Arena site. In May 2003, the court denied Hartz's request for an injunction and dismissed its suit for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. In June 2003, the NJSEA held hearings on Hartz's protest, and on a parallel protest filed by another rejected developer, Westfield, Inc. ("Westfield"). On September 10, 2003, the NJSEA ruled against Hartz's and Westfield's protests. Hartz and Westfield, as well as Elliot Braha and three other taxpayers (collectively "Braha"), thereafter filed appeals from the NJSEA's final decision. By decision dated May 14, 2004, the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey rejected the appellants' contention that the NJSEA lacks statutory authority to allow retail development of its property. The Appellate Division also remanded Hart's claim under the Open Public Records Acts, seeking disclosure of additional documents from NJSEA, to the Law Division for further proceedings. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division's decision. On August 19,

2004, the Law Division issued a decision resolving Hartz's Open Public Records Act claim and ordered NJSEA to disclose some, but not all, of the documents Hartz was seeking. The Appellate Division, in a decision rendered on November 24, 2004, upheld the findings of the Law Division in the remand proceeding. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division's decision. At Hartz's request, the NJSEA thereafter held further hearings on December 15 and 16, 2004, to review certain additional facts in support of Hartz's and Westfield's bid protest. Braha, as a taxpayer, did not have standing to participate in the supplemental protest hearing. On March 4, 2005, the Hearing Officer rendered his Supplemental Report and Recommendation to the NJSEA, finding no merit in the protests presented by Hartz and Westfield. The NJSEA accepted the Hearing Officer's Supplemental Report and Recommendation on March 30, 2005 and Hartz and Braha have appealed that decision to the Appellate Division.

In January 2004, Hartz and Westfield also appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey from the NJSEA's December 2003 approval and execution of the Redevelopment Agreement with the Meadowlands Venture.

In November 2004, Hartz and Westfield filed additional appeals in the Appellate Division challenging NJSEA's resolution authorizing the execution of the First Amendment to the Redevelopment Agreement with Meadowlands Venture and the ground lease with the Meadowlands Venture.

All of the above appeals were consolidated by the Appellate Division. On August 17, 2006, the Appellate Division issued an opinion affirming NJSEA's selection of the Meadowlands Venture and rejecting the appellants' arguments in all respects. On August 28, 2006, Hartz made a motion before the Appellate Division for reconsideration of this decision and for supplementation of the record. That motion was denied, and neither Hartz nor Braha has sought review in the New Jersey Supreme Court. These consolidated appeals are now resolved.

On September 30, 2004, the Borough of Carlstadt filed an action in the Superior Court of New Jersey Law Division, challenging Meadowlands Xanadu, which asserted claims that are substantially the same as claims asserted by Hartz and Braha in the above appeals. By Order dated November 19, 2004, the Law Division transferred that matter to the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division. This matter was voluntarily dismissed by Carlstadt in accordance with a March 22, 2006, Settlement Agreement and Release between Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

Several appeals filed by Hartz, the Sierra Club and others, including certain environmental groups, that challenge certain approvals received by the Meadowlands Venture from the NJSEA, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission ("NJMC") and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("NJDEP") remain pending before the Appellate Division. Some of these appeals challenge NJDEP's issuance of a stream encroachment permit, waterfront development permit, and coastal zone consistency determination for Meadowlands Xanadu. Other of these appeals are from NJDEP's and NJMC's issuance of reports in connection with a consultation process the NJSEA was statutorily required to undertake in connection with any NJSEA-development project.

A Hartz affiliate and a trade association have filed an appeal from an advisory opinion favorable to the Meadowlands Venture issued by the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control concerning the availability of special concessionaire permits. That appeal is also pending in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey.

Three separate lawsuits have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, challenging a permit issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE") in connection with the project. The first suit was filed on March 30, 2005, by the Sierra Club, the New Jersey Public Interest Research Group, Citizen Lobby, Inc. and the New Jersey Environmental Federation. Additional suits were filed on May 16 and May 31, 2005, respectively, by Hartz (together with one of its officers as an individually-named plaintiff) and the Borough of Carlstadt. The Sierra Club also filed a motion for a preliminary injunction to stop certain construction activities on the project, which the Court denied on July 6, 2005. On October 26, 2005, the court granted the motions of the Meadowlands Venture and the USACE to dismiss the Hartz complaint for lack of standing. The deadline for appealing that decision has passed, so the Hartz action is ended. On October 31, 2005, the USACE filed a motion to dismiss the complaint filed by the Borough of Carlstadt for lack of standing. On February 7, 2006, the Court granted the motion and dismissed the Borough of Carlstadt's complaint in its entirety. On March 9, 2006, Carlstadt filed a notice of appeal of this decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. This appeal has been dismissed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Release executed by Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

On April 5, 2005, the New York Football Giants ("Giants") filed an emergent application with the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, seeking an injunction stopping all work on the Meadowlands Xanadu project as being

in violation of its existing lease with the NJSEA. After hearing oral argument on the application on August 5, 2005, the court denied the Giants' motion for preliminary injunctive relief. On June 22, 2006, the court entered a Stipulation and Consent Order that dismissed without prejudice the parties' respective claims.



The New Jersey Builders' Association ("NJBA") has commenced an action, which is pending in the Appellate Division, alleging that the NJSEA has failed to meet a purported obligation to provide affordable housing at the Meadowlands Complex and seeking, among other relief, an order enjoining the construction of Meadowlands Xanadu. NJBA filed an application for preliminary injunctive relief seeking to enjoin further construction of Meadowlands Xanadu, which the Appellate Division denied on July 28, 2005. The Meadowlands Venture is not a party to that action.

On January 25, 2006, the Bergen Cliff Hawks Baseball Club, LLC (the "Cliff Hawks"), filed a complaint against the Company and Mills, alleging that the Company and Mills breached an agreement to provide the Cliff Hawks with a minor league baseball park as part of the Xanadu Project. This matter is pending.

The Company believes that the Meadowlands Venture's proposal and the planned project comply with applicable laws, and the Meadowlands Venture intends to continue its vigorous defense of its rights under the Redevelopment Agreement and Ground Lease. Although there can be no assurance, the Company does not believe that the pending lawsuits will have any material affect on its ability to develop the Meadowlands Xanadu project.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, to which the Company is a party or to which any of the Properties is subject.

### *Inflation*

The Company's leases with the majority of its tenants provide for recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant's proportionate share of, and/or increases in, real estate taxes and certain operating costs, which reduce the Company's exposure to increases in operating costs resulting from inflation.

### **DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

We consider portions of this information, including the documents incorporated by reference, to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 21E of such act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future economic performance, plans and objectives for future operations and projections of revenue and other financial items. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "plan," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which we cannot predict with accuracy and some of which we might not even anticipate. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions at the time made, we can give no assurance that such expectations will be achieved. Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- changes in the general economic climate and conditions, including those affecting industries in which our principal tenants operate;
  - the extent of any tenant bankruptcies or of any early lease terminations;
  - our ability to lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents;
- changes in the supply of and demand for office, office/flex and industrial/warehouse properties;

- changes in interest rate levels;
- changes in operating costs;
- our ability to obtain adequate insurance, including coverage for terrorist acts;
- the availability of financing;
- changes in governmental regulation, tax rates and similar matters; and
- other risks associated with the development and acquisition of properties, including risks that the development may not be completed on schedule, that the tenants will not take occupancy or pay rent, or that development or operating costs may be greater than anticipated.

For further information on factors which could impact us and the statements contained herein, see Item 1A: Risk Factors. We assume no obligation to update and supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. In pursuing its business plan, the primary market risk to which the Company is exposed is interest rate risk. Changes in the general level of interest rates prevailing in the financial markets may affect the spread between the Company's yield on invested assets and cost of funds and, in turn, its ability to make distributions or payments to its investors.

Approximately \$2.0 billion of the Company's long-term debt bears interest at fixed rates and therefore the fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in market interest rates. The following table presents principal cash flows (in thousands) based upon maturity dates of the debt obligations and the related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates for the fixed rate debt. The average interest rate on the variable rate debt as of December 31, 2006 was LIBOR plus 41 basis points.

**December 31,  
2006**

**Debt,**

**including current**    **2007**    **2008**    **2009**    **2010**    **2011 Thereafter**    **Total Fair Value**  
**portion**

*(\$'s in thousands)*

Fixed Rate	\$29,377	\$309,246	\$336,398	\$302,766	\$1,004,205	\$2,014,959	\$2,033,913
	32,967						

Average Interest Rate	5.67%	5.25%	7.41%	5.26%	7.91%	5.57%	6.14%
-----------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Variable Rate		\$145,000				\$ 145,000	\$ 145,000
---------------	--	-----------	--	--	--	------------	------------

While the Company has not experienced any significant credit losses, in the event of a significant rising interest rate environment and/or economic downturn, defaults could increase and result in losses to the Company which could adversely affect its operating results and liquidity.

## **ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

The information required by Item 8 is contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements, together with the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the report of independent registered public accounting firm.

## **ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

## **ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

*Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.* Internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has established and maintained policies and procedures designed to maintain the adequacy of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on the criteria established in a report entitled *Internal Control—Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment and those criteria, the Company's management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

64

---

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

*Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.* There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### **ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not Applicable.

### **PART III**

#### **ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The information required by Item 10 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The information required by Item 11 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The information required by Item 12 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The information required by Item 13 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

The information required by Item 14 will be set forth in the Company's definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.



**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

**(a) 1. Financial Statements and Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

**(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedules**

Schedule III - Real Estate Investments and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2006

All other schedules are omitted because they are not required or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

**(a) 3. Exhibits**

The exhibits required by this item are set forth on the Exhibit Index attached hereto.



## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To Board of Directors and Shareholders  
of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation:

We have completed integrated audits of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation's consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

### Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

### Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.



A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
New York, New York  
February 21, 2007

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS** *(in thousands, except per share amounts)*

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Rental property		
Land and leasehold interests	\$ 659,169	\$ 637,653
Buildings and improvements	3,549,699	3,539,003
Tenant improvements	356,495	307,664
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	8,224	7,432
	4,573,587	4,491,752
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	(796,793)	(722,980)
Net investment in rental property	3,776,794	3,768,772
Cash and cash equivalents	101,223	60,397
Marketable securities available for sale at fair value	--	50,847
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	160,301	62,138
Unbilled rents receivable, net	100,847	92,692
Deferred charges and other assets, net	240,637	197,634
Restricted cash	15,448	9,221
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,260 and \$1,088	27,639	5,801
Total assets	\$ 4,422,889	\$ 4,247,502
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Senior unsecured notes	\$ 1,631,482	\$ 1,430,509
Revolving credit facilities	145,000	227,000
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	383,477	468,672
Dividends and distributions payable	50,591	48,178
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	122,134	85,481
Rents received in advance and security deposits	45,972	47,685
Accrued interest payable	34,106	27,871
Total liabilities	2,412,762	2,335,396
Minority interests:		
Operating Partnership	480,103	400,819
Consolidated joint ventures	2,117	--
Total minority interests	482,220	400,819
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 10,000	25,000	25,000

and 10,000 shares outstanding, at liquidation preference

Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 190,000,000 shares authorized,

62,925,191 and 62,019,646 shares outstanding	629	620
Additional paid-in capital	1,708,053	1,682,141
Unamortized stock compensation	--	(6,105)
Dividends in excess of net earnings	(205,775)	(189,579)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	--	(790)
Total stockholders' equity	1,527,907	1,511,287
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,422,889	\$ 4,247,502

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS** *(in thousands, except per share amounts)*

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Base rents	\$ 544,870	\$ 508,227	\$ 464,303
Escalations and recoveries from tenants	91,044	77,900	60,492
Construction services	56,225	--	--
Real estate services	31,045	2,917	4,494
Other income	17,125	11,087	7,950
Total revenues	740,309	600,131	537,239
<b>EXPENSES</b>			
Real estate taxes	86,612	77,252	64,036
Utilities	60,487	52,401	38,456
Operating services	91,013	80,820	68,322
Direct construction costs	53,602	--	--
Real estate services salaries, wages and other costs	18,600	--	--
General and administrative	49,077	32,441	31,324
Depreciation and amortization	160,859	143,593	117,097
Total expenses	520,250	386,507	319,235
Operating Income	220,059	213,624	218,004
<b>OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME</b>			
Interest expense	(136,357)	(119,337)	(109,649)
Interest and other investment income	3,054	856	1,367
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(5,556)	248	(3,886)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	218	(74)	--
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	15,060	--	--
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,831	35	720
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	(416)	--	--
Total other (expense) income	(113,166)	(118,272)	(111,448)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest			
in Operating Partnership	106,893	95,352	106,556
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(20,533)	(18,758)	(25,776)
Income from continuing operations	86,360	76,594	80,780
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):			
Income from discontinued operations	10,591	14,468	22,292
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	47,715	4,426	(619)

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Total discontinued operations, net	58,306	18,894	21,673
Net income	144,666	95,488	102,453
Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 142,666	\$ 93,488	\$ 100,453
<b>Basic earnings per common share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.30
Discontinued operations	0.94	0.31	0.36
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.66
<b>Diluted earnings per common share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.29
Discontinued operations	0.93	0.31	0.36
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.65
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.52	\$ 2.52
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	62,237	61,477	60,351
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	77,901	74,189	68,743

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY** *(in thousands)*

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Amortized Stock Compensation	Dividends in Excess of Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity	Comprehensive Income
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Par Value						
Balance at January 1, 2004	10	\$ 25,000	59,420	\$ 594	\$ 1,597,785	\$ (7,170)	\$ (74,721)	--	\$ 1,541,488	--
Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	102,453	--	102,453	\$ 102,453
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(153,097)	--	(153,097)	--
Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	179	2	4,642	--	--	--	4,644	--
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	--	--	12	--	481	--	--	--	481	--
Stock options exercised	--	--	1,251	13	40,507	--	--	--	40,520	--
Stock warrants exercised	--	--	149	1	4,924	--	--	--	4,925	--
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	415	--	--	--	415	--
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	--	--	265	--	--	--	265	--
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	47	--	2,106	(578)	--	--	1,528	--
Amortization of stock comp.	--	--	--	--	--	3,489	--	--	3,489	--
Adj. to fair value of restricted stock	--	--	--	--	284	(284)	--	--	--	--
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	(19)	--	(575)	575	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2004	10	\$ 25,000	61,039	\$ 610	\$ 1,650,834	\$ (3,968)	\$ (127,365)	--	\$ 1,545,111	\$ 102,453



## Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	95,488	--	95,488	95,488
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(155,702)	--	(155,702)	--
Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	235	2	6,788	--	--	--	6,790	--
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	--	--	9	--	390	--	--	--	390	--
Stock options exercised	--	--	574	6	16,597	--	--	--	16,603	--
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	448	--	--	--	448	--
Comprehensive Loss:										
Unrealized holding loss on marketable securities available for sale	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(790)	(790)	(790)
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	5	--	288	--	--	--	288	--
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	166	2	7,189	(7,191)	--	--	--	--
Amortization of stock comp.	--	--	--	--	--	4,661	--	--	4,661	--
Adj. to fair value of restricted stock	--	--	--	--	(37)	37	--	--	--	--
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	(8)	--	(356)	356	--	--	--	--
Balance at December 31, 2005	10	\$ 25,000	62,020	\$ 620	\$ 1,682,141	\$ (6,105)	\$ (189,579)	\$ (790)	\$ 1,511,287	\$ 94,698
Reclassification upon the adoption of FASB No. 123(R)	--	--	--	--	(6,105)	6,105	--	--	--	--
Net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	144,666	--	144,666	144,666
Preferred stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(2,000)	--	(2,000)	--
Common stock dividends	--	--	--	--	--	--	(158,862)	--	(158,862)	--

Redemption of common units for common stock	--	--	475	5	14,669	--	--	--	14,674	--	
Shares issued under Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan	--	--	5	--	244	--	--	--	244	--	
Stock options exercised	--	--	353	3	10,442	--	--	--	10,445	--	
Stock options expense	--	--	--	--	465	--	--	--	465	--	
Comprehensive Gain:											
Unrealized holding gain on marketable securities available for sale	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	15,850	15,850	15,850	
Directors Deferred comp. plan	--	--	--	--	302	--	--	--	302	--	
Issuance of restricted stock	--	--	81	1	--	--	--	--	1	--	
Amortization of stock comp.	--	--	--	--	5,895	--	--	--	5,895	--	
Cancellation of restricted stock	--	--	(9)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Reclassification adjustment for realized gain included in net income	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(15,060)	(15,060)	(15,060)--	
Balance at December 31, 2006	10	\$ 25,000	62,925	\$ 629	\$ 1,708,053	\$	--	\$ (205,775)	--	\$ 1,527,907	\$ 145,456

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS** *(in thousands)*

	Year Ended December 31,		
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	2006	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 144,666	\$ 95,488	\$ 102,453
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by Operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	160,859	143,593	117,097
Depreciation and amortization on discontinued operations	7,090	12,506	15,477
Stock options expense	465	448	415
Amortization of stock compensation	5,895	4,661	3,489
Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount	3,157	3,271	4,163
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint venture, net	5,556	(248)	3,886
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(10,831)	(35)	(720)
Gain on sale of marketable securities available for sale	(15,060)	--	--
Loss on sale of land and other assets	416	--	--
(Realized gains) unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest)	(47,715)	(4,426)	619
Distributions of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	2,302	--	--
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	20,533	18,758	25,776
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	(218)	74	--
Minority interest in income from discontinued operations	2,603	2,777	2,869
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Increase in unbilled rents receivable, net	(15,989)	(13,283)	(11,230)
Increase in deferred charges and other assets, net	(40,084)	(40,566)	(48,306)
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable, net	3,162	(1,237)	(106)
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,598	15,674	15,579
(Decrease) increase in rents received in advance and security deposits	(1,713)	(253)	7,839
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	6,235	5,727	(860)

Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	235,927	\$	242,929	\$	238,440
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>						
Additions to rental property, related intangibles and service companies	\$	(217,804)	\$	(451,335)	\$	(200,033)
Repayment of mortgage note receivable		150		81		850
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures		(163,428)		(17,788)		(27,945)
Distributions from unconsolidated joint ventures		39,982		--		25,942
Proceeds from sale of investment in unconsolidated joint venture		16,324		2,676		720
Acquisition of minority interest in consolidated joint venture		--		(7,713)		--
Proceeds from sales of rental property and service company		338,546		102,980		110,141
Purchase of marketable securities available for sale		(11,912)		(51,637)		--
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities available for sale		78,609		--		--
Funding of note receivable		--		--		(13,042)
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash		(6,227)		1,256		(2,388)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$	74,240	\$	(421,480)	\$	(105,755)
<b>CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>						
Proceeds from senior unsecured notes	\$	199,914	\$	398,480	\$	202,363
Borrowings from revolving credit facility		983,250		1,041,560		612,475
Proceeds from mortgages		--		58,500		--
Repayment of senior unsecured notes		--		--		(300,000)
Repayment of revolving credit facility		(1,104,643)		(921,560)		(505,475)
Repayment of mortgages, loans payable and other obligations		(160,626)		(169,935)		(58,553)
Payment of financing costs		(646)		(5,071)		(5,648)
Proceeds from stock options exercised		10,445		16,603		40,520
Proceeds from stock warrants exercised		--		--		4,925
Payment of dividends and distributions		(197,035)		(191,899)		(189,397)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$	(269,341)	\$	226,678	\$	(198,790)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$	40,826	\$	48,127	\$	(66,105)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		60,397		12,270		78,375
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	101,223	\$	60,397	\$	12,270

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

72

---

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**ORGANIZATION**

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation, together with its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”), is a fully-integrated, self-administered, self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) providing leasing, management, acquisition, development, construction and tenant-related services for its properties. As of December 31, 2006, the Company owned or had interests in 300 properties plus developable land (collectively, the “Properties”). The Properties aggregate approximately 34.3 million square feet, which are comprised of 289 buildings, primarily office and office/flex buildings totaling approximately 33.9 million square feet (which include 44 buildings, primarily office buildings aggregating approximately 5.4 million square feet owned by unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company has investment interests), six industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 387,400 square feet, two retail properties totaling approximately 17,300 square feet, one hotel (which is owned by an unconsolidated joint venture in which the Company has an investment interest) and two parcels of land leased to others. The Properties are located in seven states, primarily in the Northeast, plus the District of Columbia.

**BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all accounts of the Company, its majority-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries, which consist principally of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”) and variable interest entities for which the Company has determined itself to be the primary beneficiary, if any. See Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies - Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, Net for the Company’s treatment of unconsolidated joint venture interests. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in order to conform with current period presentation.

**2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

***Rental***

***Property*** Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Costs directly related to the acquisition, development and construction of rental properties are capitalized. Capitalized development and construction costs include pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development and construction costs, interest, property taxes, insurance, salaries and other project costs incurred during the period of development. Included in total rental property is construction and development in-progress of \$116,151,000 and \$118,815,000 (including land of \$63,136,000 and \$58,883,000) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Fully-depreciated assets are removed from the accounts.

The Company considers a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity (as distinguished from activities such as routine maintenance and cleanup). If portions of a rental project are substantially

completed and occupied by tenants, or held available for occupancy, and other portions have not yet reached that stage, the substantially completed portions are accounted for as a separate project. The Company allocates costs incurred between the portions under construction and the portions substantially completed and held available for occupancy, and capitalizes only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Leasehold interests	Remaining lease term
Buildings and improvements	5 to 40 years
Tenant improvements	The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 to 10 years

Upon acquisition of rental property, the Company estimates the fair value of acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, generally consisting of the fair value of (i) above and below market leases, (ii) in-place leases and (iii) tenant relationships. The Company allocates the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair values. In estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired, the Company considers information obtained about each property as a result of its due diligence and marketing and leasing activities, and utilizes various valuation methods, such as estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates, estimates of replacement costs net of depreciation, and available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value, (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed rate renewal options of the respective leases.

Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values and tenant relationship values, which are based on management's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and the Company's overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors to be considered by management in its analysis of in-place lease values include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, management considers leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses. Characteristics considered by management in valuing tenant relationships include the nature and extent of the Company's existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of in-place leases are amortized to expense over the remaining initial terms of the respective leases. The value of tenant relationship intangibles are amortized to expense over the anticipated life of the relationships.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's real estate properties held for use may be impaired. A property's value is impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property. The Company's estimates of aggregate future cash flows expected to be generated by each property are based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates, and costs to operate each property. As these factors are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter management's assumptions, the future cash flows estimated by management in its impairment analyses may



not be achieved. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's rental properties is impaired.

74

---

***Rental Property  
Held for Sale and  
Discontinued***

***Operations*** When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs, of such assets. If, in management's opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified as held for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is established. Properties identified as held for sale and/or sold are presented in discontinued operations for all periods presented. See Note 7: Discontinued Operations.

If circumstances arise that previously were considered unlikely and, as a result, the Company decides not to sell a property previously classified as held for sale, the property is reclassified as held and used. A property that is reclassified is measured and recorded individually at

the lower of (a) its carrying amount before the property was classified as held for sale, adjusted for any depreciation (amortization) expense that would have been recognized had the property been continuously classified as held and used, or (b) the fair value at the date of the subsequent decision not to sell.

***Investments in  
Unconsolidated***

***Joint Ventures, Net*** The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures for which Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN 46") does not apply under the equity method of accounting as the Company exercises significant influence, but does not control these entities. These investments are recorded initially at cost, as Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions.

FIN 46 provides guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights ("variable interest entities" or "VIEs") and the determination of which business enterprise, if any, should consolidate the VIE (the "primary beneficiary"). Generally, FIN 46 applies when either (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest, (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without additional subordinated financial support or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest.

The Company has evaluated its joint ventures with regards to FIN 46. The adoption and application of FIN 46 and FIN 46R has not had a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures may be impaired. An investment is impaired only if management's estimate of the value of the investment is less than the carrying value of the investment, and such decline in value is deemed to be other than temporary. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss shall be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the investment over the value of the investment. Management does not believe that the value of any of the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures is impaired. See Note 4: Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures.

***Cash and Cash  
Equivalents***

All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

**Marketable**

**Securities** The Company classifies its marketable securities among three categories: Held-to-maturity, trading and available-for-sale. Unrealized holding gains and losses relating to available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and reported as other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity until realized. A decline in the market value of any marketable security below cost that is deemed to be other than temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount to fair value. Any impairment would be charged to earnings and a new cost basis for the security established.

The Company's marketable securities at December 31, 2005 carried a value of \$50.8 million and consisted of 1,468,300 shares of common stock in CarrAmerica Realty Corporation, which were all acquired in 2005. The Company's marketable securities at December 31, 2005 were all classified as available-for-sale and were carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. The Company recorded an unrealized holding loss of \$790,000 as other comprehensive loss in 2005. From January 1, 2006 through January 25, 2006, the Company purchased an additional 336,500 shares of common stock in CarrAmerica for a total purchase price of \$11.9 million.

The Company received dividend income of approximately \$902,000 from its holdings in CarrAmerica stock during the three months ended March 31, 2006, which is included in interest and other investment income. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, the Company sold all of its 1,804,800 shares of CarrAmerica common stock realizing a gain of approximately \$15.1 million.

**Deferred**

**Financing Costs** Costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over the term of the related indebtedness. Amortization of such costs is included in interest expense and was \$3,157,000, \$3,271,000 and \$4,163,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**Deferred**

**Leasing Costs** Costs incurred in connection with leases are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases and included in depreciation and amortization. Unamortized deferred leasing costs are charged to amortization expense upon early termination of the lease. Certain employees of the Company are compensated for providing leasing services to the Properties. The portion of such compensation, which is capitalized and amortized, approximated \$3,749,000, \$3,855,000 and \$3,907,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**Derivative**

**Instruments** The Company measures derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, at fair value and records them as an asset or liability, depending on the Company's rights or obligations under the applicable derivative contract. For derivatives designated and qualifying as fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged item are recorded in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of the derivative are reported in other comprehensive income ("OCI") and are subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments not designated as hedging and ineffective portions of hedges are recognized in earnings in the affected period.

**Revenue**

**Recognition** Base rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. Unbilled rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed

in accordance with the lease agreements. Above-market and below-market lease values for acquired properties are recorded based on the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term

of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values for acquired properties are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases, and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining initial terms plus the terms of any below-market fixed-rate renewal options of the respective leases. Escalations and recoveries from tenants are received from tenants for certain costs as provided in the lease agreements. These costs generally include real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, common area maintenance and other recoverable costs. See Note 15: Tenant Leases. Construction services revenue includes fees earned and reimbursements received by the Company for providing construction management and general contractor services to clients. Construction services revenue is recognized on the percentage of completion method. Using this method, profits are recorded on the basis of estimates of the overall profit and percentage of completion of individual contracts. A portion of the estimated profits is accrued based upon estimates of the percentage of completion of the construction contract. This revenue recognition method involves inherent risks relating to profit and cost estimates. Real estate services revenue includes property management, facilities management, leasing commission fees and other services, and payroll and related costs reimbursed from clients. Other income includes income from parking spaces leased to tenants, income from tenants for additional services arranged for by the Company and income from tenants for early lease terminations.

***Allowance for***

***Doubtful*** Management periodically performs a detailed review of amounts due from tenants to determine if ***Accounts*** receivable balances are impaired based on factors affecting the collectibility of those balances. Management's estimate of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires management to exercise significant judgment about the timing, frequency and severity of collection losses, which affects the allowance and net income.

***Income and***

***Other*** The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code ***Taxes*** of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate federal income tax (including alternative minimum tax) on net income that it currently distributes to its shareholders, provided that the Company satisfies certain organizational and operational requirements including the requirement to distribute at least 90 percent of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders. The Company has elected to treat certain of its corporate subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries (each a "TRS"). In general, a TRS of the Company may perform additional services for tenants of the Company and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business (except for the operation or management of health care facilities or lodging facilities or the providing to any person, under a franchise, license or otherwise, rights to any brand name under which any lodging facility or health care facility is operated). A TRS is subject to corporate federal income tax. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. The Company is subject to certain state and local taxes.

***Earnings***

***Per*** The Company presents both basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS"). Basic EPS excludes dilution and is ***Share*** computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, where such exercise or conversion would result in a lower EPS amount.

***Dividends and***  
***Distributions***

***Payable*** The dividends and distributions payable at December 31, 2006 represents dividends payable to preferred shareholders (10,000 shares) and common shareholders (62,925,271 shares), and distributions payable to

minority interest common unitholders of the Operating Partnership

77

---

(15,342,283 common units) for all such holders of record as of January 4, 2007 with respect to the fourth quarter 2006. The fourth quarter 2006 preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per share, common stock dividends and common unit distributions of \$0.64 per common share and unit were approved by the Board of Directors on December 5, 2006. The common stock dividends and common unit distributions payable were paid on January 12, 2007. The preferred stock dividends payable were paid on January 16, 2007.

The dividends and distributions payable at December 31, 2005 represents dividends payable to preferred shareholders (10,000 shares) and common shareholders (62,028,306 shares), and distributions payable to minority interest common unitholders of the Operating Partnership (13,650,439 common units) for all such holders of record as of January 5, 2006 with respect to the fourth quarter 2005. The fourth quarter 2005 preferred stock dividends of \$50.00 per share, common stock dividends and common unit distributions of \$0.63 per common share and unit were approved by the Board of Directors on December 6, 2005. The common stock dividends and common unit distributions payable were paid on January 13, 2006. The preferred stock dividends payable were paid on January 17, 2006.

The Company has determined that the \$2.53 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2006 represented approximately 81 percent ordinary income and approximately 19 percent capital gain to its stockholders; the \$2.52 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2005 represented 100 percent ordinary income to its stockholders; and the \$2.52 dividend per common share paid during the year ended December 31, 2004 represented approximately 91 percent ordinary income and approximately 9 percent capital gain to its stockholders.

**Costs Incurred  
For Preferred**

**Stock Issuances** Costs incurred in connection with the Company's preferred stock issuances are reflected as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

**Stock**

**Compensation** The Company accounts for stock options and restricted stock awards granted prior to 2002 using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations ("APB No. 25"). Under APB No. 25, compensation cost for stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant over the exercise price of the option granted. Compensation cost for stock options is recognized ratably over the vesting period. The Company's policy is to grant options with an exercise price equal to the quoted closing market price of the Company's stock on the business day preceding the grant date. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized under the Company's stock option plans for the granting of stock options made prior to 2002. Restricted stock awards granted prior to 2002 are valued at the vesting dates of such awards with compensation cost for such awards recognized ratably over the vesting period.

In 2002, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB No. 123, and in 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB No. 123(R), which did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations. These provisions require that the estimated fair value of restricted stock ("Restricted Stock Awards") and stock options at the grant date be amortized ratably into expense over the appropriate vesting period. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded restricted stock and stock options expense of \$6,360,000, \$5,109,000 and \$5,432,000, respectively. FASB No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, was issued in December 2002 and amends FASB No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. FASB No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock based compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of FASB No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. FASB No. 148 disclosure requirements



are presented below:

78

---

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested stock awards in each period: (*dollars in thousands*)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2005		2004	
Net income, as reported	\$	95,488	\$	102,453
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income (net of minority interest)		4,260		4,813
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards		(5,391)		(6,308)
Add: Minority interest on stock-based compensation expense under fair value based method		896		719
Pro forma net income		95,253		101,677
Deduct: Preferred stock dividends		(2,000)		(2,000)
Pro forma net income available to common shareholders - basic	\$	93,253	\$	99,677
Earnings Per Share:				
Basic - as reported	\$	1.52	\$	1.66
Basic - pro forma	\$	1.52	\$	1.65
Diluted - as reported				
Diluted - pro forma	\$	1.51	\$	1.64

#### ***Other***

#### ***Comprehensive***

***Income*** Other comprehensive income (loss) includes items that are recorded in equity, such as unrealized holding gains or losses on marketable securities available for sale.

### **3. REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS**

#### **Gale/Green Transactions**

On May 9, 2006, the Company completed the acquisitions of: (i) The Gale Company and certain of its related businesses, which engage in construction, property management, facilities management, and leasing services (collectively, the “Gale Company”); (ii) three office properties; and (iii) indirect interests in a portfolio of office properties, located primarily in New Jersey, which were owned indirectly by The Gale Company and its affiliates (“Gale”) and affiliates of SL Green Realty Corp. (“SL Green”). The agreements (“Gale/Green Agreements”) to complete the aforementioned acquisitions (collectively, the “Gale/Green Transactions”) required that the Company complete all of the acquisitions. Simultaneous with the completion of the Gale/Green Transactions, The Gale Company’s President, Mark Yeager, was named an executive vice president of the Company.

Under the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company acquired 100 percent of the ownership interests in three office properties located in New Jersey, aggregating 518,257 square feet (the “Wholly-Owned Properties”).

Also, as part of the Gale/Green Agreements, the Company entered into a joint venture with an entity controlled by SL Green (in which Stanley C. Gale has an interest), known as Mack-Green-Gale LLC (“Mack-Green”), to hold an approximate 96 percent interest and act as general partner of Gale SLG NJ Operating Partnership, L.P. (the “OP LP”). The OP LP owns 100 percent of entities which own 25 office properties (collectively, the “OP LP Properties”) which

aggregate 3.5 million square feet (consisting of 17 office properties aggregating 2.3 million square feet located in New Jersey and eight properties aggregating 1.2 million square feet located in Troy, Michigan), as well as a minor, non-controlling interest in four office properties aggregating 419,000 square feet located in Naperville, Illinois.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay Mark Yeager, an executive officer of the Company, 49 percent of any payments he receives on account of Mr. Gale's interest with SL Green in Mack-Green.

The Gale Company, the Wholly-Owned Properties, and the interest in Mack-Green were acquired by the Company for a total initial acquisition cost of approximately \$245 million consisting of: (i) the issuance by the Company of 224,719 common units of the Operating Partnership; (ii) the payment of a total of approximately \$194 million in cash, which was primarily funded through borrowing under the Company's revolving credit facility; and (iii) the assumption of \$39.9 million in existing mortgage indebtedness on two of the Wholly-Owned Properties. Mr. Gale has agreed to transfer to Mark Yeager 33,700 of his common units of the Operating Partnership on April 30, 2009, provided that Mr. Yeager's employment with the Company has not been terminated involuntarily without cause ("Employment Continuation") prior to such date. Additionally, the agreement to acquire the Gale Company ("Gale Agreement") contains earn-out provisions providing for the payment of contingent purchase consideration of up to \$18 million in cash based upon the achievement of Gross Income and NOI (as such terms are defined in the Gale Agreement) targets and other events for The Gale Company for the three years following the closing date.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of all amounts he receives pursuant to the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions, subject to certain conditions including Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation.

The Company has not yet obtained all the information necessary to finalize its estimates to complete the purchase price allocations related to the Gale/Green Transactions. The purchase price allocations will be finalized once the information identified by the Company has been received, which should not be longer than one year from the date of acquisition.

In addition, the Gale Agreement provides for the Company to acquire certain other ownership interests in up to 11 real estate projects (the "Non-Portfolio Properties"), subject to obtaining certain third party consents and the satisfaction of various project-related and/or other conditions. Each of the Company's acquired interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties will provide for the initial distributions of net cash flow solely to the Company, and thereafter an affiliate of Mr. Gale ("Gale Affiliate") has participation rights ("Gale Participation Rights") in 50 percent of the excess net cash flow remaining after the distribution to the Company of the aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (a) the Company's capital contributions, plus (b) an internal rate of return ("IRR") of 10 percent per annum, accruing on the date or dates of the Company's investments.

Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of any payments he receives with respect to the Gale Participation Rights, subject to adjustments for payments Mr. Yeager receives from his direct interests in such rights and subject to, in certain cases, Mr. Yeager's Employment Continuation. Mr. Gale has also agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 49 percent of the distributions he receives with respect to Mr. Gale's interest in certain land located in Florham Park, New Jersey, which is one of the Non-Portfolio Properties not yet acquired by the Company. Such distribution may include the amounts Mr. Gale receives from the conveyance of his interest in the Florham Park land to the Company.

With respect to the arrangements between Mr. Gale and Mr. Yeager regarding the Gale Agreement earn-out provisions and the Florham Park land, they have agreed to consider offering payments to certain persons that have been employed by certain subsidiaries of The Gale Company, which may include current employees of the Company.

Through December 31, 2006, the Company has completed acquisitions of eight of the interests in the Non-Portfolio Properties, which included the acquisitions of interests in: a 527,015 square foot, mixed-use office/retail complex; a 416,429 square-foot multi-tenanted office property; a 139,750 square-foot fully-leased office property; an office property in development; two vacant land parcels (one of which Mr. Yeager has a 16.49 percent interest in the Participation Rights) and two pre-developed projects. The aggregate cost of the completed acquisitions was approximately \$25.6 million.

Pursuant to Mr. Gale's agreements with Mr. Yeager, as described herein, Mr. Yeager received approximately \$5.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company, Mr. Gale and certain other affiliates of Gale are restricted from competing with the Company or hiring the Company's employees for a period of four years expiring on May 9, 2010.

**Property Acquisitions**

The Company acquired the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Acquisition Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Acquisition Cost
02/28/06	Capital Office Park (a)	Greenbelt, Maryland	7	842,258	\$166,011
05/09/06	35 Waterview Boulevard (b) (c)	Parsippany, New Jersey	1	172,498	33,586
05/09/06	105 Challenger Road (b) (d)	Ridgefield Park, New Jersey	1	150,050	34,960
05/09/06	343 Thornall Street (b) (e)	Edison, New Jersey	1	195,709	46,193
07/31/06	395 W. Passaic Street (f)	Rochelle Park, New Jersey	1	100,589	22,219
Total Property Acquisitions:			11	1,461,104	\$302,969

(a) This transaction was funded primarily through the assumption of \$63.2 million of mortgage debt and the issuance of 1.9 million common operating partnership units valued at \$87.2 million.

(b) The property was acquired as part of the Gale/Green Transactions.

(c) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$20.4 million of mortgage debt.

(d) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$19.5 million of mortgage debt.

(e) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility.

(f) Transaction was funded primarily through borrowing on the Company's revolving credit facility and the assumption of \$13.1 million of mortgage debt.

**Property Sales**

The Company sold the following office properties during the year ended December 31, 2006: (*dollars in thousands*)

Sale Date	Property/Address	Location	# of Bldgs.	Rentable Square Feet	Net Sales Proceeds	Net Book Value	Realized Gain/(Loss)
06/28/06	Westage Business Center	Fishkill, New York	1	118,727	\$ 14,765	\$ 10,872	\$ 3,893
06/30/06	1510 Lancer Drive	Moorestown, New Jersey	1	88,000	4,146	3,134	1,012
11/10/06	Colorado portfolio	Various cities, Colorado	191	431,610	193,404	165,072	28,332
12/21/06	California portfolio	San Francisco, California	2	450,891	124,182	97,814	26,368
Total Sales:				232,089,228	\$336,497	\$276,892	\$59,605

On November 7, 2006, the Company sold 10.1 acres of developable land adjacent to its Horizon Center properties in Hamilton Township, New Jersey for net sales proceeds of approximately \$1.5 million, realizing a gain of approximately \$1.1 million.

**4. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES**

The debt of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures aggregating \$571.7 million as of December 31, 2006 is non-recourse to the Company, except for customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as intentional misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations, and except as otherwise indicated below.

**MEADOWLANDS XANADU**

On November 25, 2003, the Company and affiliates of The Mills Corporation ("Mills") entered into a joint venture agreement ("Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement") to form Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership ("Meadowlands Venture") for the purpose of developing a \$1.3 billion family entertainment, recreation and retail complex with an office and hotel component to be built at the Meadowlands sports complex in East Rutherford, New Jersey ("Meadowlands Xanadu"). The First Amendment to the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement was entered into as of June 30, 2005. Meadowlands Xanadu's approximately 4.76 million-square-foot complex is expected to feature a family entertainment, recreation and retail destination comprising five themed zones: sports; entertainment; children's education; fashion; and food and home, in addition to four office buildings, aggregating approximately 1.8 million square feet, and a 520-room hotel.

On December 3, 2003, the Meadowlands Venture entered into a redevelopment agreement (the “Redevelopment Agreement”) with the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority (“NJSEA”) for the redevelopment of the area surrounding the Continental Airlines Arena in East Rutherford, New Jersey and the construction of the Meadowlands Xanadu project. The Redevelopment Agreement provides for a 75-year ground lease and requires the Meadowlands Venture to pay the NJSEA a \$160 million development rights fee and fixed rent over the term. Fixed rent will be in the amount of \$1,000 per year for the first 15 years, increasing to \$7.5 million from the 16<sup>th</sup> to the 18<sup>th</sup> years, increasing to \$8.4 million in the 19<sup>th</sup> year, increasing to \$8.7 million in the 20<sup>th</sup> year, increasing to \$9.0 million in the 21<sup>st</sup> year, then to \$9.2 million in the 23<sup>rd</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> years, with additional increases over the remainder of the term, as set forth in the ground lease. The ground lease also allows for the potential for participation rent payments by the Meadowlands Venture, as described in the ground lease agreement. The First Amendment to the Redevelopment Agreement and the ground lease, itself, were signed on October 5, 2004. The Meadowlands Venture received all necessary permits and approvals from the NJSEA and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in March 2005 and commenced construction in the same month. As a condition to fill wetlands pursuant to the permit issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and pursuant to the Redevelopment Agreement, as amended, Mills conveyed certain vacant land, known as the Empire Tract, to a conservancy trust. On June 30, 2005, the \$160 million development rights fee was deposited into an escrow account by the Meadowlands Venture in accordance with the terms of the First Amendment to the Redevelopment Agreement. On such date, the following amounts were paid from escrow: (i) approximately \$37.2 million to defease certain debt obligations of the NJSEA; and (ii) \$26.8 million to the NJSEA, which, in turn, paid such amount to the Meadowlands Venture for the Empire Tract. Subsequently, the remainder of the monies were released from the escrow account to the NJSEA.

The Company and Mills owned a 20 percent and 80 percent interest, respectively, in the Meadowlands Venture. These interests were subject to certain participation rights by The New York Giants, which were subsequently terminated in April 2004. The Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement required the Company to make an equity contribution up to a maximum of \$32.5 million, which it fulfilled in April 2005. Pursuant to the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement, Mills received subordinated capital credit in the venture of approximately \$118.0 million, which represented certain costs incurred by Mills in connection with the Empire Tract prior to the creation of the Meadowlands Venture. However, under the First Amendment to the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement, the Company and Mills agreed that due to the expected receipt by the Meadowlands Venture of certain other sums and certain development costs savings in connection with Meadowlands Xanadu, Mills’ subordinated capital credit in the venture for the Empire Tract should be reduced to \$60.0 million as of the date of the First Amendment to the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement. The Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement required Mills to contribute the balance of the capital required to complete the entertainment phase, subject to certain limitations. The Company was to receive a 9 percent preferred return on its equity investment, only after Mills received a 9 percent preferred return on its equity investment. Residual returns, subject to participation by other parties, were to be in proportion to each partner’s respective percentage interest.

Mills was to develop, lease and operate the entertainment phase of the Meadowlands Xanadu project. The Meadowlands Venture has formed and owns, directly and indirectly, all of the partnership interests in and to the component ventures which were formed for the future development of the office and hotel phases, which the Company may develop, lease and operate. Upon the Company’s exercise of its rights under the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement to develop the office and hotel phases, the Meadowlands Venture was to convey ownership of the component ventures to the Company and Mills or its affiliate, and the Company or its affiliate was to own an 80 percent interest and Mills or its affiliate was to own a 20 percent interest in such component ventures. However, under the First Amendment to the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement, if the Meadowlands Venture developed a hotel that had video lottery terminals (or “slots”), or any other legalized form of gaming on or in its premises, then the Company or its affiliate would own a 50 percent interest in such component venture and Mills or its affiliate would own a 50 percent interest. The Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement required that the Company exercise its rights with respect to the first office and hotel phase no later than four years after the grand opening of the entertainment phase, and required that the Company exercise all of its rights with respect to the office and hotel



phases no later than 10 years from such date, but did not require that any or all components be developed. However, under the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement, Mills had the right to accelerate such exercise schedule, subject to certain conditions. Should the Company fail to meet the time schedule described above for the exercise of its rights with respect to the office and hotel phases, the Company would forfeit its rights to control future development. If this occurs, Mills will have the right to develop the additional phases, subject to the Company's right to participate, or to cause the Meadowlands Venture to sell such components to a third party, subject to a sales price limitation of 95 percent of the value that would have been required to form such component ventures.

Commencing three years after the grand opening of the entertainment phase of the Meadowlands Xanadu project, either Mills or the Company could sell its partnership interest to a third party subject to the following provisions:

82

---

- Mills had certain “drag-along” rights and the Company had certain “tag-along” rights in connection with such sale of interest to a third party; and
  - Mills had a right of first refusal with respect of a sale by the Company of its partnership interests.

In addition, commencing on the sixth anniversary of the opening, the Company could cause Mills to purchase, and Mills may cause the Company to sell to Mills, all of the Company’s partnership interests at a price based on the then fair market value of the project. Notwithstanding the exercise by Mills or the Company of any of the foregoing rights with respect to the sale of the Company’s partnership interest to Mills or a third party, the Company would retain its right to component ventures for the future development of the office and hotel phases.

On August 21, 2006, The Mills Corporation (“TMC”) announced that it had signed a non-binding letter of intent with Colony Capital Acquisitions, LLC (“Colony”) and Kan Am USA Management XXII Limited Partnership (“Kan Am”) under which Colony would arrange for construction financing for Meadowlands Xanadu and make a significant equity infusion into the Meadowlands Venture, and TMC would not have any financial obligations post closing (“Colony Transaction”). Kan Am has been a partner with Mills in the Meadowlands Venture.

On November 22, 2006, the Company entered into and consummated a Redemption Agreement (the “Redemption Agreement”) with the Meadowlands Venture, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., a limited partner in the Meadowlands Venture, and the Meadowlands Limited Partnership (f/k/a Meadowlands/Mills Limited Partnership, and hereafter “MLP”), a general partner and a limited partner in the Meadowlands Venture. Immediately prior to entering into the Redemption Agreement, the investors in MLP undertook a restructuring of MLP whereby Colony became an indirect owner of MLP.

In connection with the Colony Transaction and pursuant to the Redemption Agreement, the Meadowlands Venture redeemed (the “Redemption”) the Company’s entire interest in the Meadowlands Venture and its right to participate in the development of the ERC Component in exchange for (i) \$22.5 million in cash and (ii) a non-economic partner interest in each of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu. In connection with the Redemption, the Operating Partnership also received a non-interest bearing promissory note for an additional \$2.5 million, which note is payable in full by MLP only at such time as the Operating Partnership exercises one of its options to develop the first of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu. The Company’s remaining investment of approximately \$11.9 million is included in deferred charges and other assets, net, as of December 31, 2006.

Concurrent with the execution of the Redemption Agreement, the Company also entered into the Mack-Cali Rights, Obligations and Option Agreement (the “Rights Agreement”) by and among the Meadowlands Venture, MLP, Meadowlands Mack-Cali GP, L.L.C., Mack-Cali, Baseball Meadowlands Limited Partnership, A-B Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, C-D Office Meadowlands Limited Partnership, Hotel Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership and ERC Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership. Pursuant to the Rights Agreement, the Operating Partnership retained certain rights and obligations it held under the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement with respect to the development of the office and hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu, including an option to develop any of the office or hotel components of Meadowlands Xanadu (each, a “Take Down Option”). Upon the exercise of an initial Take Down Option, the Operating Partnership will receive economic interests in each of the office or hotel component partnerships as both a general partner and a limited partner in the applicable office or hotel component, and following receipt of \$2.5 million in full payment of the note from MLP, the Operating Partnership’s ownership interest in each of the office or hotel component partnerships will be reduced from 80 percent (as provided in the Meadowlands Xanadu Venture Agreement) to 75 percent.

In October 2006, Mills, the then manager of the Meadowlands Venture, provided the Company information regarding the restatements of financial information it had previously presented to the Company for the period from November

25, 2003 (the inception of the Meadowlands Venture) through December 31, 2005. Included in the Company's equity in loss of unconsolidated joint ventures from the Meadowlands Venture of \$1.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 is \$1.4 million related to the Company's allocated share of the loss arising from the restatement for the period referenced above.

On February 12, 2003, the NJSEA selected The Mills Corporation and the Company to redevelop the Continental Airlines Arena site ("Arena Site") for mixed uses, including retail. In March 2003, Hartz Mountain Industries, Inc., ("Hartz"), filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, for Bergen County, seeking to enjoin NJSEA from entering into a contract with the Meadowlands Venture for the redevelopment of the Continental Airlines Arena site. In May 2003, the court denied Hartz's request for an injunction and dismissed its suit for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. In June 2003, the NJSEA held hearings on Hartz's protest, and on a parallel protest filed by another rejected developer, Westfield, Inc. ("Westfield"). On September 10, 2003, the NJSEA ruled against Hartz's and Westfield's protests. Hartz and Westfield, as well as Elliot Braha and three other taxpayers (collectively "Braha"), thereafter filed appeals from the NJSEA's final decision. By decision dated May 14, 2004, the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey rejected the appellants' contention that the NJSEA lacks statutory authority to allow retail development of its property. The Appellate Division also remanded Hartz's claim under the Open Public Records Acts, seeking disclosure of additional documents from NJSEA, to the Law Division for further proceedings. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division's decision. On August 19, 2004, the Law Division issued a decision resolving Hartz's Open Public Records Act claim and ordered NJSEA to disclose some, but not all, of the documents Hartz was seeking. The Appellate Division, in a decision rendered on November 24, 2004, upheld the findings of the Law Division in the remand proceeding. The Supreme Court of New Jersey declined to review the Appellate Division's decision. At Hartz's request, the NJSEA thereafter held further hearings on December 15 and 16, 2004, to review certain additional facts in support of Hartz's and Westfield's bid protest. Braha, as a taxpayer, did not have standing to participate in the supplemental protest hearing. On March 4, 2005, the Hearing Officer rendered his Supplemental Report and Recommendation to the NJSEA, finding no merit in the protests presented by Hartz and Westfield. The NJSEA accepted the Hearing Officer's Supplemental Report and Recommendation on March 30, 2005 and Hartz and Braha have appealed that decision to the Appellate Division.

In January 2004, Hartz and Westfield also appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey from the NJSEA's December 2003 approval and execution of the Redevelopment Agreement with the Meadowlands Venture.

In November 2004, Hartz and Westfield filed additional appeals in the Appellate Division challenging NJSEA's resolution authorizing the execution of the First Amendment to the Redevelopment Agreement with Meadowlands Venture and the ground lease with the Meadowlands Venture.

All of the above appeals were consolidated by the Appellate Division. On August 17, 2006, the Appellate Division issued an opinion affirming NJSEA's selection of the Meadowlands Venture and rejecting the appellants' arguments in all respects. On August 28, 2006, Hartz made a motion before the Appellate Division for reconsideration of this decision and for supplementation of the record. That motion was denied, and neither Hartz nor Braha has sought review in the New Jersey Supreme Court. These consolidated appeals are now resolved.

On September 30, 2004, the Borough of Carlstadt filed an action in the Superior Court of New Jersey Law Division, challenging Meadowlands Xanadu, which asserted claims that are substantially the same as claims asserted by Hartz and Braha in the above appeals. By Order dated November 19, 2004, the Law Division transferred that matter to the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division. This matter was voluntarily dismissed by Carlstadt in accordance with a March 22, 2006, Settlement Agreement and Release between Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

Several appeals filed by Hartz, the Sierra Club and others, including certain environmental groups, that challenge certain approvals received by the Meadowlands Venture from the NJSEA, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission ("NJMC") and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("NJDEP") remain pending before the Appellate Division. Some of these appeals challenge NJDEP's issuance of a stream encroachment permit, waterfront development permit, and coastal zone consistency determination for Meadowlands Xanadu. Other of these appeals are from NJDEP's and NJMC's issuance of reports in connection with a consultation process the NJSEA was statutorily required to undertake in connection with any NJSEA-development project.

A Hartz affiliate and a trade association have filed an appeal from an advisory opinion favorable to the Meadowlands Venture issued by the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control concerning the availability of special concessionaire permits. That appeal is also pending in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey.

Three separate lawsuits have been filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, challenging a permit issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE") in connection with the project. The first suit was filed on March 30, 2005, by the Sierra Club, the New Jersey Public Interest Research Group, Citizen Lobby, Inc. and the New Jersey Environmental Federation. Additional suits were filed on May 16 and May 31, 2005, respectively, by Hartz (together with one of its officers as an individually-named plaintiff) and the Borough of Carlstadt. The Sierra Club also filed a motion for a

preliminary injunction to stop certain construction activities on the project, which the Court denied on July 6, 2005. On October 26, 2005, the court granted the motions of the Meadowlands Venture and the USACE to dismiss the Hartz complaint for lack of standing. The deadline for appealing that decision has passed, so the Hartz action is ended. On October 31, 2005, the USACE filed a motion to dismiss the complaint filed by the Borough of Carlstadt for lack of standing. On February 7, 2006, the Court granted the motion and dismissed the Borough of Carlstadt's complaint in its entirety. On March 9, 2006, Carlstadt filed a notice of appeal of this decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. This appeal has been dismissed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Release executed by Carlstadt and the Meadowlands Venture.

On April 5, 2005, the New York Football Giants ("Giants") filed an emergent application with the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, seeking an injunction stopping all work on the Meadowlands Xanadu project as being in violation of its existing lease with the NJSEA. After hearing oral argument on the application on August 5, 2005, the court denied the Giants' motion for preliminary injunctive relief. On June 22, 2006, the court entered a Stipulation and Consent Order that dismissed without prejudice the parties' respective claims.

The New Jersey Builders' Association ("NJBA") has commenced an action, which is pending in the Appellate Division, alleging that the NJSEA has failed to meet a purported obligation to provide affordable housing at the Meadowlands Complex and seeking, among other relief, an order enjoining the construction of Meadowlands Xanadu. NJBA filed an application for preliminary injunctive relief seeking to enjoin further construction of Meadowlands Xanadu, which the Appellate Division denied on July 28, 2005. The Meadowlands Venture is not a party to that action.

On January 25, 2006, the Bergen Cliff Hawks Baseball Club, LLC (the "Cliff Hawks"), filed a complaint against the Company and Mills, alleging that the Company and Mills breached an agreement to provide the Cliff Hawks with a minor league baseball park as part of the Xanadu Project. This matter is pending.

The Company believes that the Meadowlands Venture's proposal and the planned project comply with applicable laws, and the Meadowlands Venture intends to continue its vigorous defense of its rights under the Redevelopment Agreement and Ground Lease. Although there can be no assurance, the Company does not believe that the pending lawsuits will have any material affect on its ability to develop the Meadowlands Xanadu project.

#### **G&G MARTCO (Convention Plaza)**

The Company held a 50 percent interest in G&G Martco, which owns Convention Plaza, a 305,618 square foot office building, located in San Francisco, California. On November 6, 2006, the Company sold substantially all of its interest in the venture to an affiliate of its joint venture partner for approximately \$16.3 million, realizing a gain on the sale of approximately \$10.8 million. The Company performed management and leasing services for the property owned by the joint venture through the date of sale and recognized \$132,000, \$161,000 and \$143,000 in fees for such services in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### **PLAZA VIII AND IX ASSOCIATES, L.L.C./AMERICAN FINANCIAL EXCHANGE L.L.C.**

On May 20, 1998, the Company entered into a joint venture with Columbia Development Company, L.L.C. ("Columbia") to form American Financial Exchange L.L.C. ("AFE"). The venture was formed to acquire land for future development, located on the Hudson River waterfront in Jersey City, New Jersey, adjacent to the Company's Harborside Financial Center office complex. Among other things, the partnership agreement provides for a preferred return on the Company's invested capital in the venture, in addition to the Company's proportionate share of the venture's profit, as defined in the agreement.

AFE distributed its interests in Plaza VIII and IX Associates, L.L.C., which owned the undeveloped land currently used as a parking facility, to its then partners, the Company and Columbia. The Company and Columbia subsequently entered into a new joint venture to own and manage the undeveloped land and related parking operations through Plaza VIII and IX Associates, L.L.C. The Company and Columbia each hold a 50 percent interest in the new venture.

**RAMLAND REALTY ASSOCIATES L.L.C. (One Ramland Road)**

On August 20, 1998, the Company entered into a joint venture with S.B. New York Realty Corp. to form Ramland Realty Associates L.L.C. The venture was formed to own, manage and operate One Ramland Road, a 232,000 square foot office/flex building and adjacent developable land, located in Orangeburg, New York. In August 1999, the joint venture completed redevelopment of the property and placed the office/flex building in service. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the joint venture. The venture has a mortgage loan with a \$14.9 million balance at December 31, 2006 secured by

its office/flex property. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 175 basis points and was scheduled to mature in January 2007, with one two-year extension option, subject to certain conditions. In November 2006, the venture exercised its option to extend the term of the loan until January 2009.

The Company performs management, leasing and other services for the property owned by the joint venture and recognized \$100,000, \$93,000 and \$165,000 in fees for such services in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### **ASHFORD LOOP ASSOCIATES L.P. (1001 South Dairy Ashford/2100 West Loop South)**

On September 18, 1998, the Company entered into a joint venture with Prudential to form Ashford Loop Associates L.P. The venture was formed to own, manage and operate 1001 South Dairy Ashford, a 130,000 square foot office building acquired on September 18, 1998, and 2100 West Loop South, a 168,000 square foot office building acquired on November 25, 1998, both located in Houston, Texas. The Company held a 20 percent interest in the joint venture. On February 25, 2005, the Company sold its interest in the venture to Prudential for \$2.7 million.

#### **SOUTH PIER AT HARBORSIDE - HOTEL DEVELOPMENT**

On November 17, 1999, the Company entered into a joint venture with Hyatt Corporation (“Hyatt”) to develop a 350-room hotel on the South Pier at Harborside Financial Center, Jersey City, New Jersey, which was completed and commenced initial operations in July 2002. The Company owns a 50 percent interest in the venture.

On October 12, 2006, the venture obtained a \$70.0 million mortgage loan collateralized by the hotel property using the proceeds principally to retire \$38.9 million of floating-rate debt and to make distributions to partners. The new loan carries an interest rate of 6.15 percent and matures in November 2016. The venture has a loan with a balance as of December 31, 2006 of \$7.3 million with the City of Jersey City, provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The loan currently bears interest at fixed rates ranging from 6.09 percent to 6.62 percent and matures in August 2020. The Company has posted a \$7.3 million letter of credit in support of this loan, \$3.6 million of which is indemnified by Hyatt.

#### **RED BANK CORPORATE PLAZA L.L.C./RED BANK CORPORATE PLAZA II, L.L.C.**

On March 23, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with the PRC Group (“PRC”) to form Red Bank Corporate Plaza L.L.C. The venture was formed to develop Red Bank Corporate Plaza, a 92,878 square foot office building located in Red Bank, New Jersey, which has been fully pre-leased to Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. for a 10-year term. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the venture. PRC contributed the vacant land for the development of the office building as its initial capital in the venture. The Company funded the costs of development up to the value of the land contributed by PRC of \$3.5 million as its initial capital. PRC and the Company each funded development costs of the venture of \$1.1 million in excess of their initial capital contributed.

On October 20, 2006, the venture entered into a \$22.0 million construction loan with a commercial bank collateralized by the land and development project. The loan (with a balance as of December 31, 2006 of \$8.7 million), carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 130 basis points and matures in April 2008. The loan currently has three one-year extension options subject to certain conditions, each of which requires payment of a fee.

On July 20, 2006, the Company entered into a second joint venture agreement with PRC to form Red Bank Corporate Plaza II L.L.C. The venture was formed to hold land on which it plans to develop Red Bank Corporate Plaza II, an 18,561 square foot office building located in Red Bank, New Jersey. The Company holds a 50 percent interest in the venture. The terms of the venture are similar to Red Bank Corporate Plaza L.L.C. PRC contributed the vacant land as its initial capital in the venture.

#### **MACK-GREEN-GALE LLC**



On May 9, 2006, as part of the Gale/Green Transactions, the Company entered into a joint venture, Mack-Green-Gale LLC (“Mack-Green”), with SL Green, pursuant to which Mack-Green holds a 96 percent interest and acts as general partner of Gale SLG NJ Operating Partnership, L.P. (the “OP LP”). The Company’s acquisition cost for its interest in Mack-Green was approximately \$125 million, which was funded primarily through borrowing under the Company’s revolving credit facility. The OP LP owns 100 percent of entities which own 25 office properties (the “OP LP Properties”) which aggregate 3.5 million square feet (consisting of 17 office properties aggregating 2.3 million square feet located in New Jersey and eight properties aggregating 1.2 million square feet located in Troy, Michigan), as well as a minor, non-controlling interest in four office properties aggregating 419,000 square feet located in Naperville, Illinois.

As defined in the Mack-Green operating agreement, the Company shares decision-making equally with SL Green regarding: (i) all major decisions involving the operations of Mack-Green; and (ii) overall general partner responsibilities in operating the OP LP.

The Mack-Green operating agreement generally provides for profits and losses to be allocated as follows:

- (i) 99 percent of Mack-Green's share of the profits and losses from 10 specific OP LP Properties allocable to the Company and one percent allocable to SL Green;
- (ii) one percent of Mack-Green's share of the profits and losses from eight specific OP LP Properties and its minor interest in four office properties allocable to the Company and 99 percent allocable to SL Green; and
- (iii) 50 percent of all other profits and losses allocable to the Company and 50 percent allocable to SL Green.

Substantially all of the OP LP Properties are encumbered by mortgage loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$358.1 million. \$189.8 million of the mortgage loans bear interest at a weighted average fixed interest rate of 6.32 percent per annum and mature at various times through May 2016. \$168.3 million of the mortgage loans bear interest at a floating rate ranging from LIBOR plus 185 basis points to LIBOR plus 275 basis points per annum and mature at various times through August 2008. Included in the floating rate mortgage loans are \$90.3 million provided by an affiliate of SL Green.

On August 9, 2006, \$69.7 million of mortgage loans were refinanced. The new loan has a maximum principal amount of \$90.0 million with \$78.0 million drawn at December 31, 2006. The loan provides the ability to draw funds for qualified leasing and capital improvement costs. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 185 basis points and matures on August 8, 2008 with a two-year extension option.

The Company performs management, leasing, and construction services for the properties owned by the joint venture and recognized \$2.3 million in income (net of \$2.2 million in direct costs) for such services in the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### **GE/GALE FUNDING LLC (PFV)**

On May 9, 2006, as part of the Gale/Green Transactions, the Company acquired from a Gale Affiliate for \$1.8 million a 50 percent controlling interest in GMW Village Associates, LLC ("GMW Village"). GMW Village holds a 20 percent interest in GE/Gale Funding LLC ("GE Gale"). GE Gale owns a 100 percent interest in the entity owning Princeton Forrestal Village, a mixed-use, office/retail complex aggregating 527,015 square feet and located in Plainsboro, New Jersey ("Princeton Forrestal Village" or "PFV").

In addition to the cash consideration paid to acquire the interest, the Company provided a Gale Affiliate with the Gale Participation Rights.

The operating agreement of GE Gale, which is owned 80 percent by GEBAM, Inc., provides for, among other things, distributions of net cash flow, initially, in proportion to each member's interest and subject to adjustment upon achievement of certain financial goals, as defined in the operating agreement.

GE Gale has a mortgage loan in an amount not to exceed \$52.8 million, which has a balance at December 31, 2006, of \$47.8 million. The loan provides the venture the ability to draw funds for qualified leasing and capital improvement costs. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 275 basis points and matures on January 9, 2009, with an extension option through January 9, 2011.

The Company performs management, leasing, and construction services for PFV and recognized \$956,000 in income (net of \$7.0 million in direct costs) for such services in the year ended December 31, 2006.

**ROUTE 93 MASTER LLC (“Route 93 Participant”)/ROUTE 93 BEDFORD MASTER LLC (with the Route 93 Participant, collectively, the “Route 93 Venture”)**

On June 1, 2006, the Route 93 Venture was formed between the Route 93 Participant, a majority-owned subsidiary of the Company, having a 30 percent interest and the Commingled Pension Trust Fund (Special Situation Property) of JPMorgan Chase Bank having a 70 percent interest, for the purpose of acquiring seven office buildings, aggregating 666,697 square feet, located in the towns of Andover, Bedford and Billerica, Massachusetts. Profits and losses are shared by the partners in proportion to their respective interests until the investment yields an 11 percent IRR, then sharing will shift to 40/60, and when the IRR reaches 15 percent, then sharing will shift to 50/50.

The Route 93 Participant is a joint venture between the Company and a Gale affiliate. Profits and losses are shared by the partners under this venture in proportion to their respective interests until the investment yields an 11 percent IRR, then sharing will shift to 50/50.

The Route 93 Ventures have mortgage loans with an amount not to exceed \$58.6 million, with a \$39.4 million balance at December 31, 2006 collateralized by its office properties. The loan provides the venture the ability to draw additional monies for qualified leasing and capital improvement costs. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 220 basis points and matures on July 11, 2008, with three one-year extension options.

The Company performs management and construction services for the properties owned by the Route 93 Ventures and recognized \$17,800 for such services in the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### **GALE KIMBALL, L.L.C.**

On June 15, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form M-C Kimball, LLC (“M-C Kimball”). M-C Kimball was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a Gale Affiliate’s 33.33 percent membership interest in Gale Kimball, L.L.C. (“Gale Kimball”), an entity holding a 25 percent interest in 100 Kimball Drive LLC (“100 Kimball”), which is developing a 175,000 square foot office property located at 100 Kimball Drive, Parsippany, New Jersey (the “Kimball Property”).

The operating agreement of M-C Kimball provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mr. Yeager has a direct 26 percent interest).

Gale Kimball is owned 33.33 percent by M-C Kimball and 66.67 percent by the Hampshire Generational Fund, L.L.C. (“Hampshire”). The operating agreement of Gale Kimball provides, among other things, for the distribution of net cash flow, initially, in accordance with its members’ respective membership interests and, upon achievement of certain financial conditions, 50 percent to each of the Company and Hampshire.

100 Kimball is owned 25 percent by Gale Kimball and 75 percent by 100 Kimball Drive Realty Member LLC, an affiliate of JP Morgan (“JPM”). The operating agreement of 100 Kimball provides, among other things, for the distributions to be made in the following order:

- (i) first, to JPM, such that JPM is provided with an annual 12 percent compound preferred return on Preferred Equity Capital Contributions (as such term is defined in the operating agreement of 100 Kimball and largely comprised of development and construction costs);
- (ii) second, to JPM, as return of Preferred Equity Capital Contributions until complete repayment of such Preferred Equity Capital Contributions;
- (iii) third, to each of JPM and Gale Kimball in proportion to their respective membership interests until each member is provided, as a result of such distributions, with an annual twelve percent compound return on the Member’s Capital Contributions (as defined in the operating agreement of 100 Kimball, and excluding Preferred Equity Capital Contributions, if any); and
- (iv) fourth, 50 percent to each of JPM and Gale Kimball.

100 Kimball has a construction loan in an amount not to exceed \$29 million, with a balance at December 31, 2006 of \$15.3 million. The loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 195 basis points and matures on December 8, 2008 with a one-year extension option.

The Company performs construction and development services for the property owned by 100 Kimball for which it recognized \$271,000 in income (net of \$6.6 million in direct costs) in the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### **55 CORPORATE PARTNERS, LLC**

On June 9, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form 55 Corporate Partners, LLC (“55 Corporate”). 55 Corporate was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring from a Gale Affiliate a 50 percent interest in SLG 55 Corporate Drive II, LLC (“SLG 55”), an entity indirectly holding a condominium interest in a vacant land parcel located in Bridgewater, New Jersey, which can accommodate development of an approximately 200,000 square foot office building. Sanofi-Aventis, which occupies neighboring buildings, has an option to cause the venture to construct the building, which it would lease on a long-term basis. Sanofi-Aventis is required to pay a penalty of \$7 million, subject to certain conditions, in the event it fails to exercise the option by November 2007. The remaining 50 percent in SLG 55 is owned by SLG Gale 55 Corporate LLC, an affiliate of SL Green Realty Corp (“SLG Gale 55”).

The operating agreement of 55 Corporate provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mr. Yeager has a direct 26 percent interest). If Mr. Gale receives any commission payments with respect to a Sanofi lease on the development property, Mr. Gale has agreed to pay to Mr. Yeager 26 percent of such payments.

The operating agreement of SLG 55 provides, among other things, for the distribution of the available net cash flow to each of 55 Corporate and SLG Gale 55 in proportion to their respective membership interests in SLG 55 (50 percent each).

#### **12 VREELAND ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.**

On September 8, 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture with a Gale Affiliate to form M-C Vreeland, LLC (“M-C Vreeland”). M-C Vreeland was formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a Gale Affiliate’s 50 percent membership interest in 12 Vreeland Associates, L.L.C., an entity owning an office property located at 12 Vreeland Road, Florham Park, New Jersey.

The operating agreement of M-C Vreeland provides, among other things, for the Gale Participation Rights (of which Mr. Yeager has a direct 15 percent interest).

The office property at 12 Vreeland is a 139,750 square foot office building that is fully leased to a single tenant through June 15, 2012. The property is subject to a mortgage loan, which matures on July 1, 2012, in the initial amount of \$18.1 million bearing interest at 6.9 percent per annum. As of December 31, 2006 the outstanding balance on the mortgage note was \$10.3 million.

Under the operating agreement of 12 Vreeland Associates, L.L.C., M-C Vreeland has a 50 percent interest, with S/K Florham Park Associates, L.L.C. (the managing member) and its affiliate holding the other 50 percent.

#### **BOSTON-FILENES**

On October 20, 2006, the Company formed a joint venture (the “MC/Gale JV LLC”) with Gale International/426 Washington St. LLC (“Gale/426”), which, in turn, entered into a joint venture (the “Vornado JV LLC”) with VNO 426 Washington Street JV LLC (“Vornado”), an affiliate of Vornado Realty LP, which was formed to acquire and redevelop the Filenes property located in the Downtown Crossing district of Boston, Massachusetts (the “Filenes Property”).

On January 25, 2007, (i) each of M-C/Gale JV LLC, Gale and Washington Street Realty Member LLC (“JPM”) formed a joint venture (“JPM JV LLC”), (ii) M-C/Gale JV LLC assigned its entire 50 percent ownership interest in the Vornado JV LLC to JPM JV LLC, (iii) the Limited Liability Company Agreement of Vornado JV LLC was amended to reflect, among other things, the change in the ownership structure described in subsection (ii) above, and (iv) the Limited Liability Company Agreement of MC/Gale JV LLC was amended and restated to reflect, among other things, the change in the ownership structure described in subsection (ii) above. In January 2007, the Company funded an additional \$9.6 million in the venture. The Vornado JV LLC acquired the Filenes Property on January 29, 2007, for approximately \$100 million.

As a result of the foregoing transactions, as of January 29, 2007, (i) the Filenes Property is owned by Vornado JV LLC, (ii) Vornado JV LLC is owned 50 percent by each of Vornado and JPM JV LLC, (iii) JPM JV LLC is owned 30 percent by M-C/Gale, 70 percent by JPM and managed by Gale/426, which has no ownership interest in JPM JV LLC, and (iv) MC/Gale JV LLC is owned 99.99 percent by the Company and 0.01 percent by Gale/426. Thus, the Company holds approximately a 15 percent indirect ownership interest in the Vornado JV LLC and the Filenes Property.

Distributions are made (i) by Vornado JV LLC in proportion to its members' respective ownership interests, (ii) by JPM JV LLC (a) initially, in proportion to its members' respective ownership interests until JPM's investment yields an 11 percent IRR, (b) thereafter, 60/40 to JPM and MC/Gale JV LLC, respectively, until JPM's investment yields a 15 percent IRR and (c) thereafter, 50/50 to JPM and MC/Gale JV LLC, respectively, and (iii) by MC/Gale JV LLC (w) initially, in proportion to its members' respective ownership interests until each member has received a 10 percent IRR on its investment, (x) thereafter, 65/35 to the Company and Gale/426, respectively, until the Company's investment yields a 15 percent IRR, (y) if by the time the Company receives a 15 percent IRR on its investment, Gale/426 has not done so, 100 percent to Gale/426 until Gale/426's investment yields a 15 percent IRR, and (z) thereafter, 50/50 to each of the Company and Gale/426.

The joint venture's current plans for the development of the Filenes Property include over 1.2 million square feet consisting of office, retail, condominium apartments, hotel and a garage. The project is subject to governmental approvals.

#### **NKFGMS OWNERS, LLC**

On December 28, 2006, the Company contributed its facilities management business, which was acquired on May 9, 2006 as part of the Gale/Green Transactions, to a newly-formed joint venture called NKFGMS Owners, LLC. With the contribution, the Company received \$600,000 in cash and a 40 percent interest in the joint venture. The Company and a joint venture partner agreed to loan up to \$3 million in total to the venture from time to time until December 28, 2009, which shall be funded by each of the Company and the joint venture partner on a pro-rata basis in an amount not to exceed \$1.5 million, respectively. The joint venture operating agreement provides for, among other things, profits and losses generally to be allocated in proportion to each member's interest. In connection with the Contribution, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$1.5 million, which is included in gain (loss) on sale of land and other assets for the year ended December 31, 2006.



**SUMMARIES OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES**

The following is a summary of the financial position of the unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Company had investment interests as of December 31, 2006 and 2005: *(dollars in thousands)*

	December 31, 2006									
	Meadowlands Xanadu	G&G VIII & IX Martco Associates	Plaza Ramland Realty	Harborside South Pier	Corporate Plaza	Red Bank Gale- Green	Mack-Princeton Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	Corporate
<b>Assets:</b>										
Rental property, net	--	--	\$ 11,404	\$ 12,029	\$ 69,302	\$ 12,462	\$ 39,549	\$ 54,620	\$ 26,601	\$ 8,500
Other assets	--	--	1,408	950	11,485	3,309	75,392	25,015	7,189	654
Total assets	--	--	\$ 12,812	\$ 12,979	\$ 80,787	\$ 15,771	\$ 64,564	\$ 61,809	\$ 27,255	\$ 8,500
<b>Liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit):</b>										
Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	--	--	--	\$ 14,936	\$ 77,217	\$ 8,673	\$ 47,761	\$ 39,435	\$ 15,350	\$ 8,500
Other liabilities	--	--	\$ 532	254	1,045	8	39,525	6,553	836	--
Partners'/members' capital (deficit)	--	--	12,280	(2,211)	2,525	7,090	158,709	10,250	21,538	11,905
Total liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit)	--	--	\$ 12,812	\$ 12,979	\$ 80,787	\$ 15,771	\$ 64,564	\$ 61,809	\$ 27,255	\$ 8,500
Company's investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, net	--	--	\$ 6,060	--	--	\$ 3,647	\$ 2,560	\$ 6,669	\$ 1,024	\$ 8,500

	December 31, 2005									
	Meadowlands Xanadu	G&G VIII & IX Martco Associates	Plaza Ramland Realty	Harborside South Pier	Corporate Plaza	Red Bank Gale- Green	Mack-Princeton Forrestal Village	Route 93 Portfolio	Gale Kimball	Corporate
<b>Assets:</b>										
Rental property, net	\$390,488	\$ 10,628	\$ 12,024	\$ 12,511	\$ 74,466	--	--	--	--	--
Other assets	171,029	6,427	1,661	1,188	11,393	--	--	--	--	--
Total assets	\$561,517	\$ 17,055	\$ 13,685	\$ 13,699	\$ 85,859	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit):</b>										

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations	--\$ 46,588	-- \$ 14,936	\$ 56,970	--	--	--	--	--
Other liabilities	\$ 60,447 876	\$ 1,358 220	4,341	--	--	--	--	--
Partners'/members' capital (deficit)	501,070(30,409)	12,327 (1,457)	24,548	--	--	--	--	--
Total liabilities and partners'/members' capital (deficit)	\$561,517\$ 17,055	\$13,685 \$ 13,699	\$ 85,859	--	--	--	--	--
Company's investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, net	\$ 34,640 \$ 6,438	\$ 6,084 \$ --	\$ 14,976	--	--	--	--	--

**SUMMARIES OF UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES**

The following is a summary of the results of operations of the unconsolidated joint ventures for the period in which the Company had investment interests during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004: (*dollars in thousands*)

	Year Ended December 31, 2006												
	Meadowlands	Plaza	Red Bank	Mack-Princeton									
	G&G VIII & IX	Ramland	Ashford	Harborside	Corporate	Green-	Forrestal	Route 93	Gale	55			
	Xanadu	HPMC	Martco Associates	Realty	Loop South Pier	Plaza	Gale	Village Portfolio	Kimball	Corporate	Vreeland		
Total revenues-	--	\$ 5,990	\$ 755	\$ 2,158	--	\$ 39,268	\$ 15	\$ 44,121	\$ 8,904	\$ 3,609	\$ 4	--	\$ 2
Operating and other expenses-	--	(2,702)	(186)	(1,497)	--	(23,533)	--	(19,639)	(5,832)	(1,502)	(1)	--	--
Depreciation and amortization	--	(1,216)	(616)	(836)	--	(5,853)	--	(21,129)	(2,883)	(811)	--	--	(
Interest expense-	--	(2,499)	--	(1,029)	--	(3,962)	--	(17,117)	(3,059)	(1,890)	--	--	(
Net income -- Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint	--	\$ (427)	\$ (47)	\$ (1,204)	--	\$ 5,920	\$ 15	\$ (13,764)	\$ (2,870)	\$ (594)	\$ 3	--	\$
v	--	\$ (930)	\$ (24)	\$ (225)	--	\$ 2,820	--	\$ (4,945)	\$ (436)	\$ (148)	--	--	\$

	Year Ended December 31, 2005												
	Meadowlands	Plaza	Red Bank	Mack-Princeton									
	G&G VIII & IX	Ramland	Ashford	Harborside	Corporate	Green-	Forrestal	Route 93	Gale	55	Combining		
	Xanadu	HPMC	Martco Associates	Realty	Loop South Pier	Plaza	Gale	Village Portfolio	Kimball	Corporate	Vreeland		
Total revenues	--	\$ 6,767	\$ 396	\$ 2,028	--	\$ 35,198	--	--	--	--	--	--	44,3
Operating and other expenses	--	(3,662)	(169)	(1,407)	--	(22,251)	--	--	--	--	--	--	(27,48
Depreciation and amortization	--	(1,205)	(616)	(638)	--	(5,778)	--	--	--	--	--	--	(8,23
Interest expense-	--	(2,270)	--	(759)	--	(4,176)	--	--	--	--	--	--	(7,20

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Net income--	\$ (370)	\$ (389)	\$ (776)	--	\$ 2,993	--	--	--	--	--	--	1,4
Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	--	--	--	--	\$ 1,693	--	--	--	--	--	--	2
	\$(1,219)	\$ (196)	--	\$ (30)								

Year Ended December 31, 2004

	Plaza			Red Bank Mack-Princeton								
Meadowlands	G&G VIII & IX	Ramland	Ashford	Harborside	Corporate	Green-	Forrestal	Route 93	Gale	5	Combin	
Xanadu	HPMC	Martco Associates	Realty	Loop	South Pier	Plaza	Gale	Village	Portfolio	Kimball	Corporate	Vredle
Total revenues	\$ 10,755	\$ 7,113	\$ 91	\$ 1,958	\$ 2,937	\$ 30,345	--	--	--	--	--	--
Operating and other expenses	(259)	(3,676)	(166)	(1,516)	(3,403)	(19,613)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Depreciation and amortization	--	(1,002)	(616)	(630)	(25,550)	(5,767)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Interest expense	--	(1,342)	--	(479)	--	(3,146)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Net income--	\$ 10,496	\$ 1,093	\$ (691)	\$ (667)	\$(26,016)	\$ 1,819	--	--	--	--	--	--
Company's equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 661	\$ 730	\$ (346)	\$ (600)	\$(5,203)	\$ 872	--	--	--	--	--	--
												(3,8

**5. DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS**

<i>(dollars in thousands)</i>	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Deferred leasing costs	\$184,175	\$182,975
Deferred financing costs	21,252	21,764
	205,427	204,739
Accumulated amortization	(76,407)	(73,410)
Deferred charges, net	129,020	131,329
Notes receivable	11,769	11,919
In-place lease values and related intangible assets, net	58,495	37,028
Prepaid expenses and other assets, net	41,353	17,358
Total deferred charges and other assets, net	\$240,637	\$197,634

**6. RESTRICTED CASH**

Restricted cash includes security deposits for certain of the Company's properties, and escrow and reserve funds for debt service, real estate taxes, property insurance, capital improvements, tenant improvements, and leasing costs established pursuant to certain mortgage financing arrangements, and is comprised of the following: *(dollars in thousands)*

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Security deposits	\$ 8,496	\$8,398
Escrow and other reserve funds	6,952	823
Total restricted cash	\$15,448	\$9,221

**7. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

On March 23, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its 118,727 square-foot office building located at 300 Westage Business Center Drive in Fishkill, New York. On June 28, 2006, the Company completed the sale of the building, received net sales proceeds of approximately \$14.8 million, and recognized a gain of approximately \$3.9 million on the sale.

On June 30, 2006, the Company sold 1510 Lancer Drive, an 88,000 square-foot office/flex building located in Moorestown, New Jersey, for \$4.2 million, and recognized a gain of approximately \$1.0 million on the sale.

On August 9, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its entire Colorado portfolio, which consists of 19 office buildings totaling approximately 1.4 million square feet, plus 7.1 acres of vacant land and a 1.6 acre site being developed for additional parking at one of the office buildings. On November 10, 2006, the Company completed the sale of the portfolio, received net sales proceeds of approximately \$193.4 million, and recognized a gain of approximately \$28.3 million on the sale.

On September 25, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement to sell its California portfolio, which consists of two office buildings totaling approximately 450,891 square feet. On December 21, 2006, the Company completed the sale

of the portfolio, received net sales proceeds of \$124.2 million, and recognized a gain of approximately \$26.4 million on the sale.

As the Company sold 111 East Shore Road and 600 Community Drive in North Hempstead, New York; 210 South 16<sup>th</sup> Street in Omaha, Nebraska; 3600 South Yosemite in Denver, Colorado; 201 Willowbrook Boulevard in Wayne, New Jersey; 1122 Alma Road in Richardson, Texas; and 3 Skyline Drive in Hawthorne, New York during the year ended December 31, 2005; and 3030 L.B.J. Freeway in Dallas, Texas; 84 N.E. Loop 410 in San Antonio, Texas; and 340 Mt. Kemble Avenue in Morris Township, New Jersey during the year ended December 31, 2004; the Company has presented these assets as discontinued operations in the statement of operations for all periods presented.

There are no properties identified as held for sale as of December 31, 2006.

The following tables summarize income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest) and the related realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest), net for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004: *(dollars in thousands)*

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Total revenues	\$ 35,348	\$ 48,527	\$ 65,916
Operating and other expenses	(15,166)	(18,818)	(24,871)
Depreciation and amortization	(7,090)	(12,506)	(15,477)
Interest expense (net of interest income)	102	42	(407)
Minority interest	(2,603)	(2,777)	(2,869)
Income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest)	\$ 10,591	\$ 14,468	\$ 22,292

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Realized gains (losses) on disposition of rental property, net	\$ 59,605	\$ 7,136	\$ 11,130
Unrealized losses on disposition of rental property	--	(1,613)	(11,856)
Minority interest	(11,890)	(1,097)	107
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property (net of minority interest), net	\$ 47,715	\$ 4,426	\$ (619)

## **8. SENIOR UNSECURED NOTES**

A summary of the Company's senior unsecured notes as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows: *(dollars in thousands)*

	December 31,		Effective
	2006	2005	Rate (1)
7.250% Senior Unsecured Notes, due March 15, 2009	\$ 299,481	\$ 299,246	7.49%
5.050% Senior Unsecured Notes, due April 15, 2010	149,819	149,765	5.27%
7.835% Senior Unsecured Notes, due December 15, 2010	15,000	15,000	7.95%
7.750% Senior Unsecured Notes, due February 15, 2011	299,295	299,122	7.93%
5.250% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2012	99,015	--	5.46%
6.150% Senior Unsecured Notes, due December 15, 2012	91,981	91,488	6.89%

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

5.820% Senior Unsecured Notes, due March 15, 2013	25,420	25,309	6.45%
4.600% Senior Unsecured Notes, due June 15, 2013	99,815	99,787	4.74%
5.125% Senior Unsecured Notes, due February 15, 2014	201,708	201,948	5.11%
5.125% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2015	149,256	149,164	5.30%
5.800% Senior Unsecured Notes, due January 15, 2016	200,692	99,680	5.81%
Total Senior Unsecured Notes	\$ 1,631,482	\$ 1,430,509	6.28%

(1) Includes the cost of terminated treasury lock agreements (if any), offering and other transaction costs and the discount on the notes, as applicable.



On January 24, 2006, the Company issued \$100 million face amount of 5.80 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2016 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears, and \$100 million face amount of 5.25 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2012 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The total proceeds from the issuances, including accrued interest on the 5.80 percent notes, of approximately \$200.8 million were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Company's unsecured facility.

On November 15, 2005, the Company issued \$100 million face amount of 5.80 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2016 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The proceeds from the issuance (net of selling commissions and discount) of approximately \$99 million were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Company's unsecured facility.

On April 15, 2005, the Company issued \$150 million face amount of 5.05 percent senior unsecured notes due April 15, 2010 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The proceeds from the issuance (net of selling commissions and discount) of approximately \$148.8 million were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Company's unsecured facility.

On January 25, 2005, the Company issued \$150 million face amount of 5.125 percent senior unsecured notes due January 15, 2015 with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. The proceeds from the issuance (including premium and net of selling commissions) of approximately \$148.1 million were used primarily to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Company's unsecured facility.

## **9. UNSECURED REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY**

The Company has an unsecured revolving credit facility with a borrowing capacity of \$600 million, (expandable to \$800 million). The interest rate on outstanding borrowings (not electing the Company's competitive bid feature) under the unsecured facility is currently LIBOR plus 65 basis points. The facility has a competitive bid feature, which allows the Company to solicit bids from lenders under the facility to borrow up to \$300 million at interest rates less than the current LIBOR plus 65 basis point spread. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's outstanding borrowings carried a weighted average interest rate of LIBOR plus 41 basis points. The Company may also elect an interest rate representing the higher of the lender's prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 50 basis points. The unsecured facility, which also requires a 15 basis point facility fee on the current borrowing capacity payable quarterly in arrears, is scheduled to mature in November 2009 and has an extension option of one year, which would require a payment of 25 basis points of the then borrowing capacity of the facility upon exercise.

The interest rate and the facility fee are subject to adjustment, on a sliding scale, based upon the operating partnership's unsecured debt ratings. In the event of a change in the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt rating, the interest and facility fee rates will be adjusted in accordance with the following table:

<b>Operating Partnership's Unsecured Debt Ratings: S&amp;P Moody's/Fitch (a)</b>	<b>Interest Rate - Applicable Basis Points Above LIBOR</b>	<b>Facility Fee Basis Points</b>
No ratings or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	112.5	25.0
BBB-/Baa3/BBB-	80.0	20.0
BBB/Baa2/BBB (current)	65.0	15.0
BBB+/Baa1/BBB+	55.0	15.0
A-/A3/A- or higher	50.0	15.0

(a) If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from two rating agencies, one of which is Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of

such ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from three rating agencies, one of which is S&P or Moody's, the rates per the above table shall be based on the lower of the two highest ratings. If the Operating Partnership has debt ratings from only one agency, it will be considered to have no rating or less than BBB-/Baa3/BBB- per the above table.

The terms of the unsecured facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends (as discussed below), the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the incurrence of liens and the disposition of real estate properties (to the extent that: (i) such property dispositions cause the Company to default on any of the financial ratios

of the facility described below, or (ii) the property dispositions are completed while the Company is under an event of default under the facility, unless, under certain circumstances, such disposition is being carried out to cure such default), and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the maximum leverage ratio, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of unsecured indebtedness, the minimum amount of unencumbered property interest coverage and certain investment limitations. The dividend restriction referred to above provides that, except to enable the Company to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Code, the Company will not during any four consecutive fiscal quarters make distributions with respect to common stock or other common equity interests in an aggregate amount in excess of 90 percent of funds from operations (as defined in the facility agreement) for such period, subject to certain other adjustments.

The lending group for the credit facility consists of: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent; Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent; The Bank of Nova Scotia, New York Agency; Wachovia Bank, National Association; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents; SunTrust Bank, as senior managing agent; US Bank National Association; Citicorp North America, Inc.; and PNC Bank National Association, as managing agents; and Bank of China, New York Branch; The Bank of New York; Chevy Chase Bank, F.S.B.; The Royal Bank of Scotland, plc; Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd.; UFJ Bank Limited, New York Branch; The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland; Bank Hapoalim B.M.; Comerica Bank; Chang Hwa Commercial Bank, Ltd., New York Branch; First Commercial Bank, New York Agency; Chiao Tung Bank Co., Ltd., New York Agency; Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas; and Hua Nan Commercial Bank, New York Agency.

#### **SUMMARY**

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had outstanding borrowings of \$145 million and \$227 million, respectively, under its unsecured revolving credit facility.

### **10. MORTGAGES, LOANS PAYABLE AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

The Company has mortgages, loans payable and other obligations which primarily consist of various loans collateralized by certain of the Company's rental properties. As of December 31, 2006, 20 of the Company's properties, with a total book value of approximately \$600.9 million, are encumbered by the Company's mortgages and loans payable. Payments on mortgages, loans payable and other obligations are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest, or interest only.

A summary of the Company's mortgages, loans payable and other obligations as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows: *(dollars in thousands)*

Property Name	Lender	Effective Interest Rate (a)	Principal Balance at		Maturity
			December 31, 2006	2005	
Mack-Cali Airport	Allstate Life Insurance Co.	7.05%	\$ 9,422	\$ 9,644	04/01/07 (b)
6303 Ivy Lane	State Farm Life Insurance Co.	5.57%	6,020		--07/01/07 (c)
6404 Ivy Lane	TIAA	5.58%	13,665		--08/01/08
Assumed obligations	Various	4.90%	38,742	53,241	05/01/09 (d)
Various (e)	Prudential Insurance Co.	4.84%	150,000	150,000	01/15/10
105 Challenger Road	Archon Financial CMBS	6.24%	18,748		--06/06/10
2200 Renaissance Boulevard	TIAA	5.89%	17,819	18,174	12/01/12
Soundview Plaza	TIAA	6.02%	18,013	18,427	01/01/13
9200 Edmonston Road	Principal Commercial Funding, L.L.C.	5.53%	5,232		--05/01/13
6305 Ivy Lane	John Hancock Life Insurance Co.	5.53%	7,285		--01/01/14
395 West Passaic	State Farm Life Insurance Co.	6.00%	12,996		--05/01/14
6301 Ivy Lane	John Hancock Life Insurance Co.	5.52%	6,821		--07/01/14
35 Waterview	Wachovia CMBS	6.35%	20,318		--08/11/14
500 West Putnam Avenue	New York Life Ins. Co.	5.57%	25,000	25,000	01/10/16
23 Main Street	JP Morgan CMBS	5.59%	33,396	33,500	09/01/18
Harborside - Plaza 2 and 3	Northwestern/Principal	--	--	144,642	01/01/06 (f)
Monmouth Executive Center	Lehman Brothers CMBS	--	--	16,044	09/01/06 (g)
Total Mortgages, loans payable and other obligations:			\$383,477	\$468,672	

(a) Reflects effective rate of debt, including deferred financing costs, comprised of the cost of terminated treasury lock agreements (if any), debt initiation costs and other transaction costs, as applicable.

(b) On February 5, 2007, the Company repaid this mortgage loan at par, using available cash.

(c) On February 15, 2007, the Company repaid this mortgage loan at par, using available cash.

(d) The obligations mature at various times through May 2009.

(e) Mortgage is collateralized by seven properties.

(f) On January 3, 2006, the Company repaid this mortgage loan at par, using borrowings under the Unsecured Facility.

(g) On August 1, 2006, the Company repaid the loan at par, using borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility.

**SCHEDULED PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS**

Scheduled principal payments and related weighted average annual interest rates for the Company's senior unsecured notes (see Note 8), unsecured revolving credit facility and mortgages, loans payable and other obligations as of December 31, 2006 are as follows: *(dollars in thousands)*

Period	Scheduled		Principal		Total	Weighted Avg. Interest Rate of Future Repayments
	Amortization		Maturities			(a)
2007	\$ 19,126	\$	15,152	\$	34,278	5.67%
2008	17,971		12,563		30,534	5.25%
2009	10,100		445,000		455,100	6.89%
2010	2,795		334,500		337,295	5.26%
2011	3,580		300,000		303,580	7.91%
Thereafter	11,685		993,091		1,004,776	5.57%
Sub-total	65,257		2,100,306		2,165,563	6.11%
Adjustment for unamortized debt discount/premium, net, as of December 31, 2006	(5,604)		--		(5,604)	--
Totals/Weighted Average	\$ 59,653	\$	2,100,306	\$	2,159,959	6.11%

(a) Actual weighted average LIBOR contract rates relating to the Company's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2005 of 5.35 percent was used in calculating revolving credit facility and other variable rate debt interest rates.

**CASH PAID FOR INTEREST AND INTEREST CAPITALIZED**

Cash paid for interest for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$132,904,000, \$115,359,000 and \$110,092,000, respectively. Interest capitalized by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$6,058,000, \$5,518,000 and \$3,920,000, respectively.

**SUMMARY OF INDEBTEDNESS**

As of December 31, 2006, the Company's total indebtedness of \$2,159,959,000 (weighted average interest rate of 6.11 percent) was comprised of \$145,000,000 of revolving credit facility borrowings (weighted average rate of 5.76 percent) and fixed rate debt and other obligations of \$2,014,959,000 (weighted average rate of 6.14 percent).

As of December 31, 2005, the Company's total indebtedness of \$2,126,181,000 (weighted average interest rate of 6.15 percent) was comprised of \$227,000,000 of revolving credit facility borrowings (weighted average rate of 4.84 percent) and fixed rate debt and other obligations of \$1,899,181,000 (weighted average rate of 6.30 percent).

**11. MINORITY INTERESTS****OPERATING PARTNERSHIP**

Minority interests in the accompanying consolidated financial statements relate to (i) preferred units ("Preferred Units") and common units in the Operating Partnership, held by parties other than the Company, and (ii) interests in consolidated joint ventures for the portion of such properties not owned by the Company.

**Preferred Units**

The Operating Partnership has one class of outstanding Preferred Units, the Series C Preferred Units, and one class of Preferred Units, the Series B Preferred Units, which were converted to common units on June 13, 2005, each of which are described as follows:

**Series C**

In connection with the Company's issuance of \$25 million of Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock, the Company acquired from the Operating Partnership \$25 million of Series C Preferred Units (the "Series C Preferred Units"), which have terms essentially identical to the Series C preferred stock. See Note 14: Stockholders' Equity - Preferred Stock.

**Series B**

The Series B Preferred Units had a stated value of \$1,000 per unit and were preferred as to assets over any class of common units or other class of preferred units of the Company, based on circumstances per the applicable unit certificates. The quarterly distribution on each Series B Preferred Unit was an amount equal to the greater of (i) \$16.875 (representing 6.75 percent of the Series B Preferred Unit stated value of an annualized basis) or (ii) the quarterly distribution attributable to a Series B Preferred Unit determined as if such unit had been converted into common units, subject to adjustment for customary anti-dilution rights.

On June 13, 2005, the Operating Partnership caused the mandatory conversion (the "Conversion") of all 215,018 outstanding Series B Preferred Units into 6,205,425.72 Common Units. Each Series B Preferred Unit was converted into whole and fractional Common Units equal to (x) the \$1,000 stated value, divided by (y) the conversion price of \$34.65. A description of the rights, preferences and privileges of the Common Units is set forth below.

**Common Units**

Certain individuals and entities own common units in the Operating Partnership. A common unit and a share of common stock of the Company have substantially the same economic characteristics in as much as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss of the Operating Partnership. Common units are redeemable by the common unitholders at their option, subject to certain restrictions, on the basis of one common unit for either one share of common stock or cash equal to the fair market value of a share at the time of the redemption. The Company has the option to deliver shares of common stock in exchange for all or any portion of the cash requested. The common unitholders may not put the units for cash to the Company or the Operating Partnership. When a unitholder redeems a common unit, minority interest in the Operating Partnership is reduced and the Company's investment in the Operating Partnership is increased.

**Unit Transactions**

The following table sets forth the changes in minority interest which relate to the Series B Preferred Units and common units in the Operating Partnership for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004: (*dollars in thousands*)

	Series B Preferred Units	Common Units	Series B Preferred Unitholders	Common Unitholders	Total
Balance at January 1, 2004	215,018	7,795,498	\$ 220,547	\$ 207,552	\$ 428,099
Net income	--	--	15,636	12,901	28,537
Distributions	--	--	(15,636)	(19,501)	(35,137)
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(179,051)	--	(4,644)	(4,644)
Balance at December 31, 2004	215,018	7,616,447	\$ 220,547	\$ 196,308	\$ 416,855
Net income	--	--	3,909	18,722	22,631
Distributions	--	--	(3,909)	(30,754)	(34,663)
Conversion of Preferred Units into common units	(215,018)	6,205,426	(220,547)	220,547	--
Issuance of common units	--	63,328	--	2,786	2,786
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(234,762)	--	(6,790)	(6,790)
Balance at December 31, 2005	--	13,650,439	--	\$ 400,819	\$ 400,819

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Net income	--	--	--	35,026	35,026
Distributions	--	--	--	(38,585)	(38,585)
Issuance of common units	--	2,167,053	--	97,517	97,517
Redemption of common units for shares of common stock	--	(475,209)	--	(14,674)	(14,674)
Balance at December 31, 2006	--	15,342,283	-- \$	480,103 \$	480,103



### **Minority Interest Ownership**

As of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the minority interest common unitholders owned 19.6 percent and 18.0 percent of the Operating Partnership, respectively.

### **CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES**

The Company has ownership interests in certain joint ventures which it consolidates. Various entities and/or individuals hold minority interests in many of these ventures.

## **12. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT 401(k) PLAN**

Employees of the Company, other than those assigned to the Gale Company and affiliated employers, who meet certain minimum age and period of service requirements are eligible to participate in a 401(k) defined contribution plan (the "401(k) Plan"). The 401(k) Plan allows eligible employees to defer from 1 to 30 percent of their annual compensation, subject to certain limitations imposed by federal law. The amounts contributed by employees are immediately vested and non-forfeitable. The Company, at management's discretion, may match employee contributions and/or make discretionary contributions. Total expense recognized by the Company for the 401(k) Plan for each of the three years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$400,000.

All employees of the Gale Company and other affiliated participating employers, other than certain employees who are represented for collective bargaining purposes by a labor organization, who meet certain minimum age and period of service requirements are eligible to participate in a 401(k) defined contribution plan (the "Gale Plan"). The Gale Plan allows eligible employees to defer from their annual compensation, the maximum amount permitted under federal law. The amounts contributed by employees are immediately vested and non-forfeitable. The Gale Company or the participant's employer matches the employee's deferral at the rate of 50 percent on the first six percent of the employee's annual compensation for employees who have at least 1,000 hours of service and are employed on the last day of the plan year. In addition, the Company, at management's discretion, may make discretionary contributions. Participants become 50 percent vested in employer contributions after two years of service and become 100 percent vested after three years of service. Total expense recognized after the completion of the Gale/Green Transactions by the Company for the Gale Plan for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$370,000.

## **13. DISCLOSURE OF FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The following disclosure of estimated fair value was determined by management using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize on disposition of the financial instruments at December 31, 2006 and 2005. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash equivalents, marketable securities, receivables, accounts payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities are carried at amounts which reasonably approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

The fair value of the fixed-rate mortgage debt and unsecured notes as of December 31, 2006 approximated the book value of approximately \$2.0 billion. As of December 31, 2005, the fair value of fixed-rate mortgage debt and unsecured notes was approximately \$39.4 million higher than the book value of approximately \$1.9 billion. The fair value of the mortgage debt and the unsecured notes was determined by discounting the future contractual interest and principal payments by a market rate.

Disclosure about fair value of financial instruments is based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since December 31, 2006 and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

100

---

## **14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

### **TAX ABATEMENT AGREEMENTS**

#### **Harborside Financial Center**

Pursuant to agreements with the City of Jersey City, New Jersey, the Company is required to make payments in lieu of property taxes ("PILOT") on certain of its properties located in Jersey City, as follows:

The Harborside Plaza 4-A agreement, which commenced in 2000, is for a term of 20 years. The PILOT is equal to two percent of Total Project costs, as defined, and increases by 10 percent in years 7, 10 and 13 and by 50 percent in year 16. Total Project costs, as defined, are \$45.5 million. The PILOT totaled \$910,000 for each of the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The Harborside Plaza 5 agreement, as amended, which commenced in 2002 upon substantial completion of the property, as defined, is for a term of 20 years. The PILOT is equal to two percent of Total Project Costs. Total Project Costs, as defined, are \$159.6 million. The PILOT totaled \$3.2, \$3.2 and \$3.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

At the conclusion of the above-referenced PILOT agreements, it is expected that the properties will be assessed by the municipality and be subject to real estate taxes at the then prevailing rates.

### **LITIGATION**

The Company is a defendant in litigation arising in the normal course of its business activities. Management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a materially adverse effect upon the Company's financial condition taken as whole.

### **OPERATING LEASE AGREEMENTS**

Future minimum rental payments under the terms of all non-cancelable operating leases under which the Company is the lessee, as of December 31, 2006, are as follows: (*dollars in thousands*)

Year	Amount
2007	\$412
2008	68
2009	16
2010	3
Total	\$499

### **GROUND LEASE AGREEMENTS**

Future minimum rental payments under the terms of all non-cancelable ground leases under which the Company is the lessee, as of December 31, 2006, are as follows: (*dollars in thousands*)

Year	Amount
2007	\$ 508
2008	486
2009	501
2010	501
2011	501
2012 through 2084	35,453

Total

\$37,950

101

---

Ground lease expense incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 amounted to \$698,000, \$606,000 and \$583,000, respectively.

#### **OTHER**

The Company may not dispose of or distribute certain of its properties, currently comprising 50 properties with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$1.3 billion, which were originally contributed by members of either the Mack Group (which includes William L. Mack, Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors; David S. Mack, director; Earle I. Mack, a former director; and Mitchell E. Hersh, president, chief executive officer and director), the Robert Martin Group (which includes, Robert F. Weinberg director; Martin S. Berger, a former director; and Timothy M. Jones, former president), the Cali Group (which includes John R. Cali, director, and John J. Cali, a former director) or certain other common unitholders without the express written consent of a representative of the Mack Group, the Robert Martin Group, the Cali Group or the specific certain other common unitholders, as applicable, except in a manner which does not result in recognition of any built-in-gain (which may result in an income tax liability) or which reimburses the appropriate Mack Group, Robert Martin Group, Cali Group members or the specific certain other common unitholders for the tax consequences of the recognition of such built-in-gains (collectively, the "Property Lock-Ups"). The aforementioned restrictions do not apply in the event that the Company sells all of its properties or in connection with a sale transaction which the Company's Board of Directors determines is reasonably necessary to satisfy a material monetary default on any unsecured debt, judgment or liability of the Company or to cure any material monetary default on any mortgage secured by a property. The Property Lock-Ups expire periodically through 2016. Upon the expiration of the Property Lock-Ups, the Company is generally required to use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent any sale, transfer or other disposition of the subject properties from resulting in the recognition of built-in gain to the appropriate Mack Group, Robert Martin Group, Cali Group members or the specific certain other common unitholders. 88 of our properties, with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$809.0 million, have lapsed restrictions and are subject to these conditions.

#### **15. TENANT LEASES**

The Properties are leased to tenants under operating leases with various expiration dates through 2026. Substantially all of the leases provide for annual base rents plus recoveries and escalation charges based upon the tenant's proportionate share of and/or increases in real estate taxes and certain operating costs, as defined, and the pass-through of charges for electrical usage.

Future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2006 are as follows: *(dollars in thousands)*

Year	Amount
2007	\$550,259
2008	510,918
2009	463,182
2010	408,125
2011	340,610
2012 and thereafter	1,016,936
Total	\$3,290,030

#### **16. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

To maintain its qualification as a REIT, not more than 50 percent in value of the outstanding shares of the Company may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of any taxable year of the Company, other than its initial taxable year (defined to include certain entities), applying certain constructive ownership rules. To help ensure that the Company will not fail this test, the Company's Articles of Incorporation provide for, among other things, certain restrictions on the transfer of common stock to prevent further concentration of stock ownership. Moreover, to evidence compliance with these requirements, the Company must maintain records that disclose the actual ownership of its outstanding common stock and demands written statements each year from the holders of record of designated percentages of its common stock requesting the disclosure of the beneficial owners of such common stock.

### **COMMON STOCK**

On February 7, 2007, the Company completed an underwritten offer and sale of 4,650,000 shares of its common stock and used the net proceeds, which totaled approximately \$252 million (after offering costs), primarily to pay down its outstanding borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility and general corporate purposes.

### **PREFERRED STOCK**

On March 14, 2003, in a publicly registered transaction with a single institutional buyer, the Company completed the sale and issuance of 10,000 shares of eight-percent Series C cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") in the form of 1,000,000 depositary shares (\$25 stated value per depositary share). Each depositary share represents 1/100th of a share of Series C Preferred Stock. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$24.8 million from the sale. See Note 11: Minority Interests - Operating Partnership - Preferred Units.

The Series C Preferred Stock has preference rights with respect to liquidation and distributions over the common stock. Holders of the Series C Preferred Stock, except under certain limited conditions, will not be entitled to vote on any matters. In the event of a cumulative arrearage equal to six quarterly dividends, holders of the Series C Preferred Stock will have the right to elect two additional members to serve on the Company's Board of Directors until dividends have been paid in full. At December 31, 2006, there were no dividends in arrears. The Company may issue unlimited additional preferred stock ranking on a parity with the Series C Preferred Stock but may not issue any preferred stock senior to the Series C Preferred Stock without the consent of two-thirds of its holders. The Series C Preferred Stock is essentially on an equivalent basis in priority with the Preferred Units.

Except under certain conditions relating to the Company's qualification as a REIT, the Series C Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to March 14, 2008. On and after such date, the Series C Preferred Stock will be redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at \$25 per depositary share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

### **SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAM**

The Company has authorization to repurchase up to \$45.5 million of its outstanding common stock, which it may repurchase from time to time in open market transactions at prevailing prices or through privately negotiated transactions.

### **DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND STOCK PURCHASE PLAN**

The Company has a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan, which commenced in March 1999.

### **SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN**

On June 10, 1999, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right ("Right") for each outstanding share of common stock which were distributed to all holders of record of the common stock on July 6, 1999. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of Series A junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Preferred Shares"), at a price of \$100.00 per one one-thousandth of a Preferred Share ("Purchase Price"), subject to adjustment as provided in the rights agreement. The Rights expire on July 6, 2009, unless the expiration date is extended or the Right is redeemed or exchanged earlier by the Company.

The Rights are attached to each share of common stock. The Rights are generally exercisable only if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 15 percent or more of the outstanding common stock or announces a tender offer for 15 percent or more of the outstanding common stock ("Acquiring Person"). In the event that a person or group becomes an Acquiring Person, each holder of a Right will have the right to receive, upon exercise, common stock having a market value equal to two times the Purchase Price of the Right.

**STOCK OPTION PLANS**

In May 2004, the Company established the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan under which a total of 2,500,000 shares have been reserved for issuance. No options have been granted through December 31, 2006 under this plan. In September 2000, the Company established the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (“2000 Employee Plan”) and the Amended and Restated 2000 Director Stock Option Plan (“2000 Director Plan”). In May 2002, shareholders of the Company approved amendments to

103

---



both plans to increase the total shares reserved for issuance under both of the 2000 plans from 2,700,000 to 4,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock (from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 shares under the 2000 Employee Plan and from 200,000 to 350,000 shares under the 2000 Director Plan). In 1994, and as subsequently amended, the Company established the Mack-Cali Employee Stock Option Plan ("Employee Plan") and the Mack-Cali Director Stock Option Plan ("Director Plan") under which a total of 5,380,188 shares (subject to adjustment) of the Company's common stock had been reserved for issuance (4,980,188 shares under the Employee Plan and 400,000 shares under the Director Plan). As the Employee Plan and Director Plan expired in 2004, stock options may no longer be issued under those plans. Stock options granted under the Employee Plan in 1994 and 1995 became exercisable over a three-year period. Stock options granted under the 2000 Employee Plan and those options granted subsequent to 1995 under the Employee Plan become exercisable over a five-year period. All stock options granted under both the 2000 Director Plan and Director Plan become exercisable in one year. All options were granted at the fair market value at the dates of grant and have terms of ten years. As of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the stock options outstanding had a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 4.7 and 5.7 years, respectively. Stock options exercisable at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 had a weighted average remaining contractual life of approximately 4.5 and 5.2 years, respectively.

Information regarding the Company's stock option plans is summarized below:

	Shares Under Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value \$(000's)
Outstanding at January 1, 2004	2,990,135	\$30.56	
Granted	10,000	\$38.07	
Exercised	(1,250,864)	\$32.40	
Lapsed or canceled	(45,640)	\$28.49	
Outstanding at December 31, 2004	1,703,631	\$29.31	
Granted	5,000	\$45.47	
Exercised	(574,506)	\$28.92	
Lapsed or canceled	(50,540)	\$28.60	
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	1,083,585	\$29.63	
Exercised	(352,699)	\$29.65	
Lapsed or canceled	(40,580)	\$28.53	
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 (\$24.63 - \$45.47)	690,306	\$29.68	\$14,717
Options exercisable at December 31, 2005	782,905	\$29.96	\$10,366
Options exercisable at December 31, 2006	571,026	\$29.94	\$12,017
Available for grant at December 31, 2005	4,590,098		
Available for grant at December 31, 2006	4,547,214	--	--

The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2005 and 2004 was \$3.62 and \$3.28 per option. The fair value of each significant option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. The following weighted average assumptions are included in the Company's fair value calculations of stock options granted in 2005 and 2004:

	2005	2004
Expected life (in years)	6	6
Risk-free interest rate	3.97%	3.74%
Volatility	15.00%	19.50%
Dividend yield	5.54%	6.65%

No stock options were granted during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Cash received from options exercised under all stock option plans was \$10.5 million, \$16.6 million and \$40.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$6.2 million, \$9.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. The Company has a policy of issuing new shares to satisfy stock option exercises.

104

---

The Company recognized stock options expense of \$465,000, \$448,000 and \$415,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Included in stock options expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was a stock option charge of \$323,000, which resulted from the accelerated vesting of 16,840 unvested options during the year ended December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had 4.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock compensation granted under the Company's stock compensation plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

## STOCK COMPENSATION

The Company has granted stock awards ("Restricted Stock Awards") to officers, certain other employees, and non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company, which allow the holders to each receive a certain amount of shares of the Company's common stock generally over a one to five-year vesting period and generally based on time and service, of which 216,620 shares were outstanding at December 31, 2006. Of the outstanding Restricted Stock Awards granted to executive officers and senior management, 93,746 are contingent upon the Company meeting certain performance and/or stock price appreciation objectives. All Restricted Stock Awards provided to the officers and certain other employees were granted under the 2000 Employee Plan and the Employee Plan. Restricted Stock Awards granted to directors were granted under the 2000 Director Plan.

Information regarding the Restricted Stock Awards is summarized below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant - Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2004	280,869	\$ 32.51
Granted (a)	47,056	\$ 40.51
Vested	(109,938)	\$ 35.17
Forfeited	(19,284)	\$ 29.84
Outstanding at December 31, 2004	198,703	\$ 33.19
Granted (b)	165,660	\$ 43.41
Vested	(109,419)	\$ 40.36
Forfeited	(8,000)	\$ 43.85
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	246,944	\$ 37.17
Granted (c)	81,034	\$ 52.94
Vested	(102,808)	\$ 43.72
Forfeited	(8,550)	\$ 43.59
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	216,620	\$ 39.78

(a) Included in the 47,056 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2004 were 34,056 awards granted to the Company's four executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas and Michael Grossman.

(b) Included in the 165,660 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2005 were 37,960 awards granted to the Company's four executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas and Michael Grossman.

(c) Included in the 81,034 Restricted Stock Awards granted in 2006 were 67,834 awards granted to the Company's five executive officers, Mitchell E. Hersh, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas, Michael Grossman and Mark Yeager.

## DEFERRED STOCK COMPENSATION PLAN FOR DIRECTORS

The Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors, which commenced January 1, 1999, allows non-employee directors of the Company to elect to defer up to 100 percent of their annual retainer fee into deferred stock units. The deferred stock units are convertible into an equal number of shares of common stock upon the directors' termination of service from the Board of Directors or a change in control of the Company, as defined in the plan. Deferred stock units are

credited to each director quarterly using the closing price of the Company's common stock on the applicable dividend record date for the respective quarter. Each participating director's account is also credited for an equivalent amount of deferred stock units based on the dividend rate for each quarter.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, 6,266, 6,655 and 6,230 deferred stock units were earned, respectively. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, there were 37,263 and 30,903 director stock units outstanding, respectively.

**EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock.

The following information presents the Company's results for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 in accordance with FASB No. 128: *(dollars in thousands)*

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
<b>Computation of Basic EPS</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 86,360	\$ 76,594	\$ 80,780
Deduct: Preferred stock dividends	(2,000)	(2,000)	(2,000)
Income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	84,360	74,594	78,780
Income from discontinued operations	58,306	18,894	21,673
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 142,666	\$ 93,488	\$ 100,453
Weighted average common shares	62,237	61,477	60,351

**Basic EPS:**

Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.30
Income from discontinued operations	0.94	0.31	0.36
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.66

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
<b>Computation of Diluted EPS</b>			
Income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ 84,360	\$ 74,594	\$ 78,780
Add: Income from continuing operations attributable to			
Operating Partnership - common units	20,533	14,851	10,139
Income from continuing operations for diluted earnings per share	104,893	89,445	88,919
Income from discontinued operations for diluted earnings per share	72,799	22,765	24,435
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 177,692	\$ 112,210	\$ 113,354
Weighted average common shares	77,901	74,189	68,743

**Diluted EPS:**

Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.29
Income from discontinued operations	0.93	0.31	0.36
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2.28	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.65

The following schedule reconciles the shares used in the basic EPS calculation to the shares used in the diluted EPS calculation:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Basic EPS shares	62,237	61,477	60,351
Add: Operating Partnership - common units	15,286	12,252	7,759
Stock options	310	401	569
Restricted Stock Awards	68	59	58
Stock Warrants	--	--	6
Diluted EPS Shares	77,901	74,189	68,743

Not included in the computations of diluted EPS were 0, 1,507, and 0 stock options as such securities were anti-dilutive during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Also excluded from diluted EPS computations were 1,530,105 and 6,205,426 Series B Preferred Units, on an as converted basis into common units, as such securities were anti-dilutive during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Unvested restricted stock outstanding as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were 216,620, 246,944 and 198,703, respectively.

## **17. SEGMENT REPORTING**

The Company operates in two business segments: (i) real estate and (ii) construction services. The Company provides leasing, property and facilities management, acquisition, development, construction and tenant-related services for its portfolio. In May 2006, in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Gale Company and related businesses, the Company acquired a business specializing solely in construction and related services whose operations comprise the Company's construction services segment. Included in the Company's revenues for the year end December 31, 2006 was \$4,806,000 derived from foreign countries. The Company had no long lived assets in foreign locations as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies, excluding depreciation and amortization.

The Company evaluates performance based upon net operating income from the combined properties in the real estate segment and net operating income from its construction services segment.

Selected results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and selected asset information as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 regarding the Company's operating segments are as follows: (*dollars in thousands*)

	Real Estate	Construction Services	Corporate & Other (d)	Total Company	
<b>Total revenues:</b>					
2006	\$ 676,593	\$ 56,582	\$ 7,134	\$ 740,309	
2005	597,381	--	2,750	600,131	
2004	533,358	--	3,881	537,239	
<b>Total operating and interest expenses</b>					
<b>(a):</b>					
2006	\$ 260,736	\$ 55,871	\$ 176,087	\$ 492,694	(e)
2005	210,445	--	150,950	361,395	(f)
2004	168,433	--	141,987	310,420	(g)
<b>Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures:</b>					
2006	\$ (5,556)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (5,556)	
2005	248	--	--	248	
2004	(3,886)	--	--	(3,886)	
<b>Net operating income (b):</b>					
2006	\$ 410,301	\$ 711	\$ (168,953)	\$ 242,059	(e)
2005	387,184	--	(148,200)	238,984	(f)
2004	361,039	--	(138,106)	222,933	(g)
<b>Total assets:</b>					
2006	\$ 4,281,222	\$ 28,353	\$ 113,314	\$ 4,422,889	
2005	4,097,098	--	150,404	4,247,502	
<b>Total long-lived assets (c):</b>					
2006	\$ 4,036,393	\$ --	\$ 1,550	\$ 4,037,943	
2005	3,921,536	--	2,066	3,923,602	

(a) Total operating and interest expenses represent the sum of: real estate taxes; utilities; operating services; direct construction costs; real estate services salaries, wages and other costs; general and administrative and interest expense (net of interest income). All interest expense, net of interest income, (including for property-level mortgages) is excluded from segment amounts and classified in Corporate & Other for all periods.

(b) Net operating income represents total revenues less total operating and interest expenses [as defined in Note (a)], plus equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures, for the period.

(c) Long-lived assets are comprised of net investment in rental property, unbilled rents receivable and investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

(d) Corporate & Other represents all corporate-level items (including interest and other investment income, interest expense and non-property general and administrative expense) as well as intercompany eliminations necessary to reconcile to consolidated Company totals.

(e) Excludes \$160,859 of depreciation and amortization.

(f) Excludes \$143,593 of depreciation and amortization.

(g) Excludes \$117,097 of depreciation and amortization.

**18. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

William L. Mack, Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company (“W. Mack”), David S. Mack, a director of the Company, and Earle I. Mack, a former director of the Company (“E. Mack”), are the executive officers, directors and stockholders of a corporation that leases approximately 7,801 square feet at one of the Company’s office properties, which is scheduled to expire in November 2008. The Company has recognized \$228,000, \$242,000 and \$227,000 in revenue under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the corporation as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

108

---



The Company has conducted business with certain entities (“RMC Entity” or “RMC Entities”), whose principals include Timothy M. Jones, Robert F. Weinberg and Martin S. Berger, each of whom are affiliated with the Company as the former president of the Company, a current member of the Board of Directors and a former member of the Board of Directors of the Company, respectively. In connection with the Company’s acquisition of 65 Class A properties from The Robert Martin Company (“Robert Martin”) on January 31, 1997, as subsequently modified, the Company granted Robert Martin the right to designate one seat on the Company’s Board of Directors (“RM Board Seat”), which right has since expired. The RM Board Seat had historically been shared between Robert F. Weinberg and Martin S. Berger, each of whom had agreed that, for so long as either of them serves on the Board of Directors, that such board seat would be rotated among Mr. Berger and Mr. Weinberg annually at the time of each annual meeting of stockholders. At the Company’s 2003 annual meeting of stockholders, Mr. Berger was elected to the Board of Directors and he continued to share his board seat with Mr. Weinberg. At the Company’s 2006 annual meeting of stockholders, Mr. Weinberg was elected to the Board of Directors and he intends to continue sharing his board seat with Mr. Berger. The business that the Company has conducted with RMC Entities was as follows:

- (1) The Company provides management, leasing and construction-related services to properties in which RMC Entities have an ownership interest. The Company recognized approximately \$2 million, \$1.1 million and \$2 million in revenue from RMC Entities for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, the Company had \$131,000 and zero accounts receivable from RMC Entities.
- (2) An RMC Entity leased space at one of the Company’s office properties for approximately 3,330 square feet, which, after a three-year renewal and expansion signed with the Company in 2005, now leases 4,860 square feet which is scheduled to expire in October 2008. The Company has recognized \$119,000, \$89,000 and \$91,000, in revenue under this lease for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and had zero accounts receivable due from the RMC Entity, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Mr. Berger holds a 24 percent interest, acts as chairman and chief executive officer, Mr. Weinberg also holds a 24 percent interest and is a director, and W. Mack holds a nine percent interest and is a director of City and Suburban Federal Savings Bank and/or one of its affiliates, which leases a total of 15,879 square feet of space at two of the Company’s office properties, comprised of 3,037 square feet scheduled to expire in June 2008 and 12,842 square feet scheduled to expire in April 2013. As of February 13, 2004, City & Suburban assigned its lease with respect to 3,037 square feet of office space to an unaffiliated third party and has no continuing obligations under such lease. The Company recognized \$404,000, \$396,000 and \$398,000 in revenue under the leases for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the company as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

The son of Mr. Berger, a former officer of the Company, served as an officer and had a financial interest which was sold in 2004 in a company which provides cleaning and other related services to certain of the Company’s properties. The Company has incurred costs from this company of approximately \$5.9 million and \$6.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had no accounts payable to this company.

Pursuant to an agreement between the Company and certain members and associates of the Cali family executed June 27, 2000, John J. Cali served as the Chairman Emeritus and a Board member of the Company, and as a consultant to the Company and was paid an annual salary of \$150,000 from June 27, 2000 through June 27, 2003. Additionally, the Company provided office space and administrative support to John J. Cali, Angelo Cali, his brother, and Ed Leshowitz, his business partner (the “Cali Group”). Such services were in effect from June 27, 2000 through June 27, 2004. From June 27, 2004 through June 26, 2005, the Company agreed to provide office space at no cost to the Cali

Group, as well as provide administrative support and related services for which it was reimbursed \$184,000 and \$115,000 from the Cali Group for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On June 27, 2005, an affiliate of the Cali Group entered into a three-year lease for 1,825 square feet of space at one of the Company's office properties, which is scheduled to expire in June 2008. On September 18, 2006, an affiliate of the Cali Group entered into another lease agreement for 806 additional square feet, in the same building, commencing on December 29, 2006, which is scheduled to expire at the end of 2011.

Furthermore, it extended the term of its current lease to expire on that date as well. The Company recognized approximately \$47,000 and \$24,000 in total revenue under this lease for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and had no accounts receivable from the affiliate as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

## **19. IMPACT OF RECENTLY-ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

### **FASB INTERPRETATION No. 48 (“FIN 48”)**, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*

FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company does not expect that the implementation of FIN 48 will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### **FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (FASB) STATEMENT NO. 157 (“FASB No. 157”)**, *Fair Value Measurements*

FASB No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. FASB No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, FASB having previously concluded in those accounting pronouncements that fair value is their relevant measurement attribute. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurements. However, for some entities, the application of this statement will change current practice. This statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not expect that the implementation of FASB No. 157 will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### **STAFF ACCOUNTING BULLETIN NO. 108 (“SAB No. 108”)**

The interpretations in SAB 108 express the staff's views regarding the process of quantifying financial statement misstatements. The SEC staff is aware of diversity in practice. For example, certain registrants do not consider the effects of prior year errors on current year financial statements, thereby allowing improper assets or liabilities to remain unadjusted. While these errors may not be material if considered only in relation to the balance sheet, correcting the errors could be material to the current year income statement. Certain registrants have proposed to the staff that allowing these errors to remain on the balance sheet as assets or liabilities in perpetuity is an appropriate application of generally accepted accounting principles. The staff believes that approach is not in the best interest of the users of financial statements. The interpretations in this SAB 108 are being issued to address diversity in practice in quantifying financial statement misstatements and the potential under current practice for the build up of improper amounts on the balance sheet. There have been two widely-recognized methods for quantifying the effects of financial statement errors: the “roll-over” method and the “iron curtain” method. The roll-over method focuses primarily on the impact of a misstatement on the income statement--including the reversing effect of prior year misstatements--but its use can lead to the accumulation of misstatements in the balance sheet. The iron-curtain method, on the other hand, focuses primarily on the effect of correcting the period-end balance sheet with less emphasis on the reversing effects of prior year errors on the income statement. In SAB 108, the SEC staff establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of the error on each of the company's financial statements and the related financial statement disclosures. This model is commonly referred to as a “dual approach” because it essentially requires quantification of errors under both the iron-curtain and the roll-over methods. SAB 108 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. SAB 108 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.



**20. CONDENSED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited)**

The following summarizes the condensed quarterly financial information for the Company: *(dollars in thousands)*

<b>Quarter Ended 2006:</b>	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Total revenues	\$ 198,172	\$ 203,217	\$ 184,953	\$ 153,967
Operating and other expenses	60,093	65,057	56,833	56,129
Direct construction costs	18,454	22,568	12,580	--
Real estate services, salaries, wages and other costs	7,780	6,686	4,134	--
General and administrative	16,280	12,173	11,849	8,775
Depreciation and amortization	43,879	40,132	39,918	36,930
Total expenses	146,486	146,616	125,314	101,834
Operating Income	51,686	56,601	59,639	52,133
Interest expense	(35,737)	(35,815)	(33,382)	(31,423)
Interest and other investment income	696	513	399	1,446
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(200)	(4,757)	(846)	247
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	75	113	30	--
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	--	--	15,060
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,831	--	--	--
Gain/(loss) on sale of land and other assets	(416)	--	--	--
Total other (expense) income	(24,751)	(39,946)	(33,799)	(14,670)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership	26,935	16,655	25,840	37,463
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(5,270)	(3,241)	(5,082)	(6,940)
Income from continuing operations	21,665	13,414	20,758	30,523
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income from discontinued operations	2,465	3,097	2,455	2,574
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	43,794	--	3,921	--
Total discontinued operations, net	46,259	3,097	6,376	2,574
Net income	67,924	16,511	27,134	33,097
Preferred stock dividends	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 67,424	\$ 16,011	\$ 26,634	\$ 32,597
<b>Basic earnings per common share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.48
Discontinued operations	0.74	0.05	0.10	0.04
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.08	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.52
<b>Diluted earnings per common share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.48

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Discontinued operations	0.73	0.05	0.10	0.04
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.52
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.63

111

---

	September			
<b>Quarter Ended 2005:</b>	December 31	30	June 30	March 31
Total revenues	\$ 153,059	\$ 154,193	\$ 150,096	\$ 142,783
Operating and other expenses	55,132	55,421	51,409	48,511
Direct construction costs	--	--	--	--
Real estate services, salaries, wages and other costs	--	--	--	--
General and administrative	8,991	7,952	8,187	7,311
Depreciation and amortization	37,527	37,838	35,186	33,042
Total expenses	101,650	101,211	94,782	88,864
Operating Income	51,409	52,982	55,314	53,919
Interest expense	(30,418)	(30,158)	(30,363)	(28,398)
Interest and other investment income	364	308	120	64
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(304)	322	542	(312)
Minority interest in consolidated joint ventures	--	--	--	(74)
Gain on sale of investment in marketable securities	--	--	--	--
Gain on sale of investment in unconsolidated joint ventures				35
Total other (expense) income	(30,358)	(29,528)	(29,701)	(28,685)
Income from continuing operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership	21,051	23,454	25,613	25,234
Minority interest in Operating Partnership	(3,732)	(4,189)	(4,622)	(6,215)
Income from continuing operations	17,319	19,265	20,991	19,019
Discontinued operations (net of minority interest):				
Income from discontinued operations	2,129	1,839	5,778	4,722
Realized gains (losses) and unrealized losses on disposition of rental property, net	(4,547)	--	9,771	(798)
Total discontinued operations, net	(2,418)	1,839	15,549	3,924
Net income	14,901	21,104	36,540	22,943
Preferred stock dividends	(500)	(500)	(500)	(500)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 14,401	\$ 20,604	\$ 36,040	\$ 22,443
<b>Basic earnings per common share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.30
Discontinued operations	(0.04)	0.03	0.26	0.07
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.37
<b>Diluted earnings per common share:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.30
Discontinued operations	(0.04)	0.03	0.25	0.06
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.36
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.63

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Acquired	Related Interests	Initial Costs	Subsequent Costs Capitalized	Improvements and	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
						Land	Improvements		
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>									
<b>Atlantic County</b>									
<b><i>Egg Harbor</i></b>									
100 Decadon Drive (O)	1987	1995	-- 300	3,282	458	300	3,740	4,040	1,220
200 Decadon Drive (O)	1991	1995	-- 369	3,241	604	369	3,845	4,214	1,192
<b>Bergen County</b>									
<b><i>Fair Lawn</i></b>									
17-17 Rte 208 North (O)	1987	1995	-- 3,067	19,415	2,334	3,067	21,749	24,816	7,335
<b><i>Fort Lee</i></b>									
One Bridge Plaza (O)	1981	1996	-- 2,439	24,462	4,062	2,439	28,524	30,963	7,751
2115 Linwood Avenue (O)	1981	1998	-- 474	4,419	4,293	474	8,712	9,186	2,145
<b><i>Little Ferry</i></b>									
200 Riser Road (O)	1974	1997	9,422 3,888	15,551	415	3,888	15,966	19,854	3,720
<b><i>Montvale</i></b>									
95 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1975	1997	-- 1,227	4,907	718	1,227	5,625	6,852	1,470
135 Chestnut Ridge Road (O)	1981	1997	-- 2,587	10,350	2,370	2,588	12,719	15,307	3,583
<b><i>Paramus</i></b>									
15 East Midland Avenue (O)	1988	1997	20,600 10,375	41,497	173	10,374	41,671	52,045	9,396
461 From Road (O)	1988	1997	-- 13,194	52,778	243	13,194	53,021	66,215	12,006
650 From Road (O)	1978	1997	25,600 10,487	41,949	6,326	10,487	48,275	58,762	12,091



Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

1 4 0 E a s t Ridgewood Avenue (O)	1981	1997	16,100	7,932	31,463	3,784	7,932	35,247	43,179	8,054
61 South Paramus Avenue (O)	1985	1997	20,800	9,005	36,018	6,114	9,005	42,132	51,137	9,598
<b>Ridgefield Park</b> 105 Challenger Road (O)	--	2006	18,748	4,740	29,893	--	4,740	29,893	34,633	706
<b>Rochelle Park</b> 120 Passaic Street (O)	1972	1997	--	1,354	5,415	102	1,357	5,514	6,871	1,260
365 West Passaic Street (O)	1976	1997	12,250	4,148	16,592	3,030	4,148	19,622	23,770	4,967
395 West Passaic Street (O)	1979	2006	12,996	2,550	17,131	23	2,550	17,154	19,704	289
<b>Upper Saddle River</b> 1 Lake Street (O)	1994	1997	35,550	13,952	55,812	51	13,953	55,862	69,815	12,625
10 Mountainview Road (O)	1986	1998	--	4,240	20,485	2,300	4,240	22,785	27,025	5,070
<b>Woodcliff Lake</b> 4 0 0 C h e s t n u t Ridge Road (O)	1982	1997	--	4,201	16,802	5,080	4,201	21,882	26,083	5,089
4 7 0 C h e s t n u t Ridge Road (O)	1987	1997	--	2,346	9,385	939	2,346	10,324	12,670	2,122
5 3 0 C h e s t n u t Ridge Road (O)	1986	1997	--	1,860	7,441	3	1,860	7,444	9,304	1,683
3 0 0 T i c e Boulevard (O)	1991	1996	--	5,424	29,688	3,198	5,424	32,886	38,310	9,029
50 Tice Boulevard (O)	1984	1994	19,100	4,500--		27,333	4,500	27,333	31,833	15,877

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Year Acquired	Related Liabilities	Initial Costs	Capitalized Subsequent Costs	to Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
							Improvements	Building and Improvements		
<b>Burlington County</b>										
<b><i>Burlington</i></b>										
3 Terri Lane (F)	1991	1998	--	652	3,433	1,636	658	5,063	5,721	1,223
5 Terri Lane (F)	1992	1998	--	564	3,792	2,026	569	5,813	6,382	1,750
<b><i>Moorestown</i></b>										
2 Commerce Drive (F)	1986	1999	--	723	2,893	389	723	3,282	4,005	540
101 Commerce Drive (F)	1988	1998	--	422	3,528	437	426	3,961	4,387	899
102 Commerce Drive (F)	1987	1999	--	389	1,554	321	389	1,875	2,264	341
201 Commerce Drive (F)	1986	1998	--	254	1,694	482	258	2,172	2,430	568
202 Commerce Drive (F)	1988	1999	--	490	1,963	350	490	2,313	2,803	487
1 Executive Drive (F)	1989	1998	--	226	1,453	418	228	1,869	2,097	494
2 Executive Drive (F)	1988	2000	--	801	3,206	733	801	3,939	4,740	744
101 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	241	2,262	524	244	2,783	3,027	627
102 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	353	3,607	217	357	3,820	4,177	919
225 Executive Drive (F)	1990	1998	--	323	2,477	427	326	2,901	3,227	713
97 Foster Road (F)	1982	1998	--	208	1,382	222	211	1,601	1,812	399
1507 Lancer Drive (F)	1995	1998	--	119	1,106	51	120	1,156	1,276	269
840 North Lenola Road (F)	1995	1998	--	329	2,366	527	333	2,889	3,222	767
	1995	1998	--	239	1,714	260	241	1,972	2,213	533

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

844 North Lenola Road (F)										
915 North Lenola Road (F)	1998	2000	--	508	2,034	275	508	2,309	2,817	534
1 2 4 5 North Church Street (F)	1998	2001	--	691	2,810	17	691	2,827	3,518	406
1 2 4 7 North Church Street (F)	1998	2001	--	805	3,269	203	805	3,472	4,277	494
1 2 5 6 North Church (F)	1984	1998	--	354	3,098	528	357	3,623	3,980	1,026
224 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1984	1997	--	766	4,335	3,464	767	7,798	8,565	2,693
228 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1984	1997	--	766	4,334	2,208	767	6,541	7,308	1,592
232 Strawbridge Drive (O)	1986	2004	--	1,521	7,076	1,919	1,521	8,995	10,516	423
2 Twosome Drive (F)	2000	2001	--	701	2,807	18	701	2,825	3,526	400
3 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1997	1998	--	234	1,954	78	236	2,030	2,266	500
3 1 Twosome Drive (F)	1998	2001	--	815	3,276	102	815	3,378	4,193	502
4 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1996	1998	--	297	2,393	274	301	2,663	2,964	685
4 1 Twosome Drive (F)	1998	2001	--	605	2,459	12	605	2,471	3,076	370
5 0 Twosome Drive (F)	1997	1998	--	301	2,330	89	304	2,416	2,720	616
<b>West Deptford</b>										
1 4 5 1 Metropolitan Drive (F)	1996	1998	--	203	1,189	30	206	1,216	1,422	296
<b>Essex County</b>										
<b>Millburn</b>										
150 J.F. Kennedy Parkway (O)	1980	1997	--	12,606	50,425	8,705	12,606	59,130	71,736	14,234

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs	Subsequent	Costs Capitalized	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)		
						Land	Improvements			
<b>Roseland</b>										
101 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	1980	1994	--	228	--	15,690	228	15,690	15,918	9,574
103 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	1985	1994	--	--	--	14,293	2,300	11,993	14,293	6,882
105 Eisenhower Parkway (O)	2001	2001	--	4,430	42,898	5,729	3,835	49,222	53,057	8,745
<b>Hudson County</b>										
<b>Jersey City</b>										
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 1 (O)	1983	1996	--	3,923	51,013	19,786	3,923	70,799	74,722	13,109
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 2 (O)	1990	1996	--	17,655	101,546	13,990	15,039	118,152	133,191	30,698
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 3 (O)	1990	1996	--	17,655	101,878	13,659	15,040	118,152	133,192	30,698
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 4A (O)	2000	2000	--	1,244	56,144	8,683	1,244	64,827	66,071	11,516
Harborside Financial Center Plaza 5 (O)	2002	2002	--	6,218	170,682	56,321	5,705	227,516	233,221	26,797
101 Hudson Street (O)	1992	2004	--	45,530	271,376	3,285	45,530	274,661	320,191	17,649
<b>Mercer County</b>										
<b>Hamilton Township</b>										
100 Horizon Drive (F)	1989	1995	--	205	1,676	248	294	1,835	2,129	549

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

200 Horizon Drive (F)	1991	1995	--	205	3,027	335	327	3,240	3,567	961
300 Horizon Drive (F)	1989	1995	--	379	4,355	1,157	502	5,389	5,891	1,725
500 Horizon Drive (F)	1990	1995	--	379	3,395	767	467	4,074	4,541	1,236
600 Horizon Drive (F)	2002	2002	--	--	7,549	651	685	7,515	8,200	767
<b>Princeton</b>										
103 Carnegie Center (O)	1984	1996	--	2,566	7,868	1,710	2,566	9,578	12,144	2,701
100 Overlook Center (O)	1988	1997	--	2,378	21,754	2,163	2,378	23,917	26,295	6,064
5 Vaughn Drive (O)	1987	1995	--	657	9,800	1,681	657	11,481	12,138	3,728
<b>Middlesex County</b>										
<b>East Brunswick</b>										
377 Summerhill Road (O)	1977	1997	--	649	2,594	374	649	2,968	3,617	669
<b>Edison</b>										
343 Thornall Street (O)	1991	2006	--	5,870	38,336	2,272	5,870	40,608	46,478	907
<b>Piscataway</b>										
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 3 (O)	1977	2004	--	1,030	7,269	312	1,030	7,581	8,611	472
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 4 (O)	1977	2004	--	1,433	10,121	348	1,433	10,469	11,902	653

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Acquired	Related Interests	Initial Costs	Subsequent Improvements and	Costs Capitalized to Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
						Improvements	Total		
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 5 (O)	1977	2004	-- 2,979	21,035	4,776	2,979	25,811 28,790	1,470	
30 Knightsbridge Road, Building 6 (O)	1977	2004	-- 448	3,161	3,914	448	7,075 7,523	205	
<b>Plainsboro</b>									
500 College Road East (O)	1984	1998	-- 614	20,626	1,426	614	22,052 22,666	4,795	
<b>South Brunswick</b>									
3 Independence Way (O)	1983	1997	-- 1,997	11,391	1,177	1,997	12,568 14,565	2,906	
<b>Woodbridge</b>									
581 Main Street (O)	1991	1997	-- 3,237	12,949	24,225	8,115	32,296 40,411	6,280	
<b>Monmouth County</b>									
<b>Middletown</b>									
23 Main Street (O)	1977	2005	33,396 4,336	19,544	8,853	4,336	28,397 32,733	1,502	
2 Paragon Way (O)	1989	2005	-- 999	4,619	346	999	4,965 5,964	376	
3 Paragon Way (O)	1991	2005	-- 1,423	6,041	721	1,423	6,762 8,185	307	
4 Paragon Way (O)	2002	2005	-- 1,961	8,827	12	1,961	8,839 10,800	703	
One River Center, Building 1 (O)	1983	2004	-- 3,070	17,414	4,659	3,054	22,089 25,143	1,510	
One River Center, Building 2 (O)	1983	2004	-- 2,468	15,043	663	2,452	15,722 18,174	772	
One River Center, Building 3 (O)	1984	2004	-- 4,051	24,790	778	4,024	25,595 29,619	1,363	

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

100 Willowbrook Road (O)	1988	2005	--	1,264	5,573	748	1,264	6,321	7,585	329
<b>Neptune</b>										
3600 Route 66 (O)	1989	1995	--	1,098	18,146	1,459	1,098	19,605	20,703	5,211
<b>Wall Township</b>										
1305 Campus Parkway (O)	1988	1995	--	335	2,560	482	335	3,042	3,377	762
1325 Campus Parkway (F)	1988	1995	--	270	2,928	1,203	270	4,131	4,401	1,509
1340 Campus Parkway (F)	1992	1995	--	489	4,621	1,506	489	6,127	6,616	1,587
1345 Campus Parkway (F)	1995	1997	--	1,023	5,703	1,591	1,024	7,293	8,317	2,103
1350 Campus Parkway (O)	1990	1995	--	454	7,134	1,437	454	8,571	9,025	2,301
1433 Highway 34 (F)	1985	1995	--	889	4,321	924	889	5,245	6,134	1,398
1320 Wyckoff Avenue (F)	1986	1995	--	255	1,285	68	255	1,353	1,608	370
1324 Wyckoff Avenue (F)	1987	1995	--	230	1,439	246	230	1,685	1,915	456

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Encumbrances	Initial Costs	Subsequent	Costs Capitalized	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
						Land	Improvements		
<b>Morris County</b>									
<b>Florham Park</b>									
325 Columbia Parkway (O)	1987	1994	-- 1,564	--	14,851	1,564	14,851	16,415	6,898
<b>Morris Plains</b>									
250 Johnson Road (O)	1977	1997	-- 2,004	8,016	1,517	2,004	9,533	11,537	2,346
201 Littleton Road (O)	1979	1997	-- 2,407	9,627	1,063	2,407	10,690	13,097	2,567
<b>Morris Township</b>									
412 Mt. Kemble Avenue (O)	1985	2004	-- 4,360	33,167	803	4,360	33,970	38,330	2,148
<b>Parsippany</b>									
4 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	-- 5,213	20,984	1,485	5,213	22,469	27,682	3,419
6 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	-- 4,411	17,796	2,247	4,411	20,043	24,454	3,400
7 Campus Drive (O)	1982	1998	-- 1,932	27,788	107	1,932	27,895	29,827	6,196
8 Campus Drive (O)	1987	1998	-- 1,865	35,456	3,994	1,865	39,450	41,315	9,333
9 Campus Drive (O)	1983	2001	-- 3,277	11,796	17,191	5,842	26,422	32,264	5,654
4 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	-- 1,787	9,575	917	1,787	10,492	12,279	599
5 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	-- 1,762	9,341	331	1,762	9,672	11,434	471
6 Century Drive (O)	1981	2004	-- 1,289	6,848	425	1,289	7,273	8,562	352
2 Dryden Way (O)	1990	1998	-- 778	420	13	778	433	1,211	105
4 Gatehall Drive (O)	1988	2000	-- 8,452	33,929	2,232	8,452	36,161	44,613	6,379



Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

2 Hilton Court (O)	1991	1998	--	1,971	32,007	2,259	1,971	34,266	36,237	8,073
1633 Littleton Road (O)	1978	2002	--	2,283	9,550	163	2,355	9,641	11,996	1,453
600 Parsippany Road (O)	1978	1994	--	1,257	5,594	2,262	1,257	7,856	9,113	2,504
1 Sylvan Way (O)	1989	1998	--	1,689	24,699	394	1,021	25,761	26,782	6,985
5 Sylvan Way (O)	1989	1998	--	1,160	25,214	1,826	1,161	27,039	28,200	6,145
7 Sylvan Way (O)	1987	1998	--	2,084	26,083	2,092	2,084	28,175	30,259	6,612
35 Waterview Boulevard (O)	1990	2006	20,318	4,996	27,218	256	4,996	27,474	32,470	685
5 Wood Hollow Road (O)	1979	2004	--	5,302	26,488	11,710	5,302	38,198	43,500	2,212
<b>Passaic County</b>										
<b>Clifton</b>										
777 Passaic Avenue (O)	1983	1994	--	--	--	7,204	1,100	6,104	7,204	3,405
<b>Totowa</b>										
1 Center Court (F)	1999	1999	--	270	1,824	713	270	2,537	2,807	939
2 Center Court (F)	1998	1998	--	191	--	2,459	191	2,459	2,650	795
11 Commerce Way (F)	1989	1995	--	586	2,986	228	586	3,214	3,800	1,028
20 Commerce Way (F)	1992	1995	--	516	3,108	52	516	3,160	3,676	875
29 Commerce Way (F)	1990	1995	--	586	3,092	950	586	4,042	4,628	1,280
40 Commerce Way (F)	1987	1995	--	516	3,260	356	516	3,616	4,132	1,247
45 Commerce Way (F)	1992	1995	--	536	3,379	461	536	3,840	4,376	1,198
60 Commerce Way (F)	1988	1995	--	526	3,257	422	526	3,679	4,205	1,099

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Year Acquired	Related Encumbrances	Initial Land Improvements	Subsequent Building and	Costs Capitalized to Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
							Improvements	Total		
80 Commerce Way (F)	1996	1996	--	227	--	1,567	227	1,567	1,794	734
100 Commerce Way (F)	1996	1996	--	226	--	1,566	226	1,566	1,792	734
120 Commerce Way (F)	1994	1995	--	228	--	1,299	228	1,299	1,527	372
140 Commerce Way (F)	1994	1995	--	229	--	1,299	229	1,299	1,528	372
999 Riverview Drive (O)	1988	1995	--	476	6,024	2,154	1,102	7,552	8,654	2,148
<b>S o m e r s e t County</b>										
<b>Basking Ridge</b>										
106 Allen Road (O)	2000	2000	--	3,853	14,465	3,813	4,093	18,038	22,131	4,467
222 Mt. Airy Road (O)	1986	1996	--	775	3,636	2,147	775	5,783	6,558	1,212
233 Mt. Airy Road (O)	1987	1996	--	1,034	5,033	1,646	1,034	6,679	7,713	2,101
<b>Bridgewater</b>										
721 Route 202/206 (O)	1989	1997	--	6,730	26,919	4,346	6,730	31,265	37,995	6,494
<b>Union County</b>										
<b>Clark</b>										
100 Walnut Avenue (O)	1985	1994	--	--	--	16,932	1,822	15,110	16,932	8,252
<b>Cranford</b>										
6 Commerce Drive (O)	1973	1994	--	250	--	2,791	250	2,791	3,041	1,790
11 Commerce Drive (O)	1981	1994	--	470	--	6,097	470	6,097	6,567	3,485

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

12 Commerce Drive (O)	1967	1997	--	887	3,549	1,662	887	5,211	6,098	1,479
14 Commerce Drive (O)	1971	2003	--	1,283	6,344	35	1,283	6,379	7,662	519
20 Commerce Drive (O)	1990	1994	--	2,346	--	21,833	2,346	21,833	24,179	9,175
25 Commerce Drive (O)	1971	2002	--	1,520	6,186	265	1,520	6,451	7,971	1,456
65 Jackson Drive (O)	1984	1994	--	541	--	6,169	542	6,168	6,710	3,111
<b><i>New Providence</i></b>										
890 Mountain Road (O)	1977	1997	--	2,796	11,185	4,896	3,765	15,112	18,877	3,452
<b>NEW YORK</b>										
<b>R o c k l a n d</b>										
<b>County</b>										
<b><i>Suffern</i></b>										
4 0 0 R e l l a Boulevard (O)	1988	1995	--	1,090	13,412	3,601	1,090	17,013	18,103	5,781
<b>Westchester</b>										
<b>County</b>										
<b><i>Elmsford</i></b>										
11 Clearbrook Road (F)	1974	1997	--	149	2,159	392	149	2,551	2,700	632
75 Clearbrook Road (F)	1990	1997	--	2,314	4,716	107	2,314	4,823	7,137	1,179
100 Clearbrook Road (O)	1975	1997	--	220	5,366	902	220	6,268	6,488	1,736
125 Clearbrook Road (F)	2002	2002	--	1,055	3,676	(51)	1,055	3,625	4,680	769
150 Clearbrook Road (F)	1975	1997	--	497	7,030	951	497	7,981	8,478	1,977

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Acquired	Related Interests	Initial Costs Capitalized and Subsequent to Land Improvements and Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)
				Building and Improvements	Total	
175 Clearbrook Road (F)	1973	1997	-- 655 7,473 877	655	8,350	9,005 2,191
200 Clearbrook Road (F)	1974	1997	-- 579 6,620 1,066	579	7,686	8,265 2,052
250 Clearbrook Road (F)	1973	1997	-- 867 8,647 1,189	867	9,836	10,703 2,622
50 Executive Boulevard (F)	1969	1997	-- 237 2,617 97	237	2,714	2,951 678
77 Executive Boulevard (F)	1977	1997	-- 34 1,104 129	34	1,233	1,267 334
85 Executive Boulevard (F)	1968	1997	-- 155 2,507 536	155	3,043	3,198 673
101 Executive Boulevard (O)	1971	1997	-- 267 5,838 873	267	6,711	6,978 1,733
300 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	-- 460 3,609 153	460	3,762	4,222 953
350 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	-- 100 1,793 153	100	1,946	2,046 550
399 Executive Boulevard (F)	1962	1997	-- 531 7,191 66	531	7,257	7,788 1,836
400 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	-- 2,202 1,846 427	2,202	2,273	4,475 684
500 Executive Boulevard (F)	1970	1997	-- 258 4,183 682	258	4,865	5,123 1,396
525 Executive Boulevard (F)	1972	1997	-- 345 5,499 722	345	6,221	6,566 1,625
700 Executive Boulevard (L)	N/A	1997	-- 970 -- --	970	--	970 --
3 Odell Plaza (O)	1984	2003	-- 1,322 4,777 1,963	1,322	6,740	8,062 779
5 Skyline Drive (F)	1980	2001	-- 2,219 8,916 704	2,219	9,620	11,839 1,747
6 Skyline Drive (F)	1980	2001	-- 740 2,971 23	739	2,995	3,734 816

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

555 Taxter Road (O)	1986	2000	--	4,285	17,205	5,451	4,285	22,656	26,941	3,974
565 Taxter Road (O)	1988	2000	--	4,285	17,205	3,464	4,233	20,721	24,954	3,658
570 Taxter Road (O)	1972	1997	--	438	6,078	963	438	7,041	7,479	2,077
1 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	3	268	215	3	483	486	111
2 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	4	672	189	4	861	865	275
3 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	21	1,948	526	21	2,474	2,495	701
4 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	84	13,393	2,837	85	16,229	16,314	4,040
5 Warehouse Lane (I)	1957	1997	--	19	4,804	1,379	19	6,183	6,202	1,636
6 Warehouse Lane (I)	1982	1997	--	10	4,419	322	10	4,741	4,751	1,153
1 Westchester Plaza (F)	1967	1997	--	199	2,023	170	199	2,193	2,392	551
2 Westchester Plaza (F)	1968	1997	--	234	2,726	182	234	2,908	3,142	718
3 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	655	7,936	585	655	8,521	9,176	2,219
4 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	320	3,729	86	320	3,815	4,135	962
5 Westchester Plaza (F)	1969	1997	--	118	1,949	194	118	2,143	2,261	619
6 Westchester Plaza (F)	1968	1997	--	164	1,998	167	164	2,165	2,329	621
7 Westchester Plaza (F)	1972	1997	--	286	4,321	201	286	4,522	4,808	1,114
8 Westchester Plaza (F)	1971	1997	--	447	5,262	859	447	6,121	6,568	1,543
<b>Hawthorne</b>										
200 Saw Mill River Road (F)	1965	1997	--	353	3,353	496	353	3,849	4,202	993
1 Skyline Drive (O)	1980	1997	--	66	1,711	301	66	2,012	2,078	509
2 Skyline Drive (O)	1987	1997	--	109	3,128	471	109	3,599	3,708	1,024
4 Skyline Drive (F)	1987	1997	--	363	7,513	1,686	363	9,199	9,562	2,254
7 Skyline Drive (O)	1987	1998	--	330	13,013	1,407	330	14,420	14,750	3,260

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Acquired	Related Interests	Initial Cost of Land	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)			
					Land	Building and Improvements				
8 Skyline Drive (F)	1985	1997	--	212	4,410	2,205	212	6,615	6,827	2,575
10 Skyline Drive (F)	1985	1997	--	134	2,799	563	134	3,362	3,496	705
11 Skyline Drive (F)	1989	1997	--	--	4,788	430	--	5,218	5,218	1,420
12 Skyline Drive (F)	1999	1999	--	1,562	3,254	1,597	1,320	5,093	6,413	1,786
14 Skyline Drive (L)	N/A	2002	--	964		16	980		980	--
15 Skyline Drive (F)	1989	1997	--	--	7,449	328	--	7,777	7,777	2,050
16 Skyline Drive (L)	N/A	2002	--	850		31	881		881	--
17 Skyline Drive (O)	1989	1997	--	--	7,269	716	--	7,985	7,985	1,857
19 Skyline Drive (O)	1982	1997	--	2,355	34,254	3,612	2,356	37,865	40,221	11,041
<b>Tarrytown</b>										
200 White Plains Road (O)	1982	1997	--	378	8,367	1,235	378	9,602	9,980	2,516
220 White Plains Road (O)	1984	1997	--	367	8,112	1,062	367	9,174	9,541	2,414
230 White Plains Road (R)	1984	1997	--	124	1,845	107	124	1,952	2,076	457
<b>White Plains</b>										
1 Barker Avenue (O)	1975	1997	--	208	9,629	1,168	207	10,798	11,005	2,813
3 Barker Avenue (O)	1983	1997	--	122	7,864	1,976	122	9,840	9,962	2,787
50 Main Street (O)	1985	1997	--	564	48,105	6,680	564	54,785	55,349	14,412
11 Martine Avenue (O)	1987	1997	--	127	26,833	4,872	127	31,705	31,832	9,291
1 Water Street (O)	1979	1997	--	211	5,382	1,211	211	6,593	6,804	1,736

**Yonkers**

100 Corporate Boulevard (F)	1987	1997	--	602	9,910	744	602	10,654	11,256	2,865
200 Corporate Boulevard South (F)	1990	1997	--	502	7,575	445	502	8,020	8,522	1,914
250 Corporate Boulevard South (L)	N/A	2002	--	1,028	--	171	1,139	60	1,199	--
1 Enterprise Boulevard (L)	N/A	1997	--	1,379	--	1	1,380	--	1,380	--
1 Executive Boulevard (O)	1982	1997	--	1,104	11,904	2,355	1,105	14,258	15,363	3,951
2 Executive Plaza (R)	1986	1997	--	89	2,439	3	89	2,442	2,531	605
3 Executive Plaza (O)	1987	1997	--	385	6,256	1,624	385	7,880	8,265	2,423
4 Executive Plaza (F)	1986	1997	--	584	6,134	1,862	584	7,996	8,580	2,061
6 Executive Plaza (F)	1987	1997	--	546	7,246	318	546	7,564	8,110	1,935
1 Odell Plaza (F)	1980	1997	--	1,206	6,815	681	1,206	7,496	8,702	1,988
5 Odell Plaza (F)	1983	1997	--	331	2,988	241	331	3,229	3,560	819
7 Odell Plaza (F)	1984	1997	--	419	4,418	301	419	4,719	5,138	1,190

**PENNSYLVANIA****Chester County****Berwyn**

1000 Westlakes Drive (O)	1989	1997	--	619	9,016	559	619	9,575	10,194	2,489
1055 Westlakes Drive (O)	1990	1997	--	1,951	19,046	3,579	1,951	22,625	24,576	6,313

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Built	Related Leases	Initial Costs	Capitalized Subsequent Improvements and	Costs to Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)	
						Improvements	Improvements		Total
1205 Westlakes Drive (O)	1988	1997	-- 1,323	20,098	1,636	1,323	21,734	23,057	5,510
1235 Westlakes Drive (O)	1986	1997	-- 1,417	21,215	3,357	1,418	24,571	25,989	5,974
<b>Delaware County</b>									
<b>Lester</b>									
100 Stevens Drive (O)	1986	1996	-- 1,349	10,018	2,817	1,349	12,835	14,184	3,666
200 Stevens Drive (O)	1987	1996	-- 1,644	20,186	4,668	1,644	24,854	26,498	6,948
300 Stevens Drive (O)	1992	1996	-- 491	9,490	1,880	491	11,370	11,861	3,212
<b>Media</b>									
1400 Providence Rd, Center I (O)	1986	1996	-- 1,042	9,054	2,209	1,042	11,263	12,305	3,335
1400 Providence Rd, Center II (O)	1990	1996	-- 1,543	16,464	2,941	1,544	19,404	20,948	5,704
<b>Montgomery County</b>									
<b>Bala Cynwyd</b>									
150 Monument Road (O)	1981	2004	-- 2,845	14,780	2,473	2,845	17,253	20,098	818
<b>Blue Bell</b>									
4 Sentry Parkway (O)	1982	2003	-- 1,749	7,721	189	1,749	7,910	9,659	656
16 Sentry Parkway (O)	1988	2002	-- 3,377	13,511	1,064	3,377	14,575	17,952	2,458
18 Sentry Parkway (O)	1988	2002	-- 3,515	14,062	1,699	3,515	15,761	19,276	2,478



**King of Prussia**

2200 Renaissance Blvd (O)	1985	2002	17,819	5,347	21,453	2,242	5,347	23,695	29,042	4,897
---------------------------	------	------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------	-------

**Lower Providence**

1000 Madison Avenue (O)	1990	1997	--	1,713	12,559	2,247	1,714	14,805	16,519	3,295
-------------------------	------	------	----	-------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------	-------

**Plymouth Meeting**

1150 Plymouth Meeting Mall (O)	1970	1997	--	125	499	30,808	6,219	25,213	31,432	5,951
--------------------------------	------	------	----	-----	-----	--------	-------	--------	--------	-------

Five Sentry Parkway East (O)	1984	1996	--	642	7,992	525	642	8,517	9,159	2,164
------------------------------	------	------	----	-----	-------	-----	-----	-------	-------	-------

Five Sentry Parkway West (O)	1984	1996	--	268	3,334	86	268	3,420	3,688	870
------------------------------	------	------	----	-----	-------	----	-----	-------	-------	-----

**CONNECTICUT****Fairfield County****Greenwich**

500 West Putnam Avenue (O)	1973	1998	25,000	3,300	16,734	1,755	3,300	18,489	21,789	4,588
----------------------------	------	------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------	-------

**Norwalk**

40 Richards Avenue (O)	1985	1998	--	1,087	18,399	3,038	1,087	21,437	22,524	4,876
------------------------	------	------	----	-------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------	-------

**Shelton**

1000 Bridgeport Avenue (O)	1986	1997	--	773	14,934	2,306	744	17,269	18,013	4,632
----------------------------	------	------	----	-----	--------	-------	-----	--------	--------	-------

**Stamford**

1266 East Main Street (O)	1984	2002	18,013	6,638	26,567	2,595	6,638	29,162	35,800	4,537
---------------------------	------	------	--------	-------	--------	-------	-------	--------	--------	-------

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION**  
**December 31, 2006**  
*(dollars in thousands)*

## SCHEDULE III

Property Location (b)	Year Acquired	Related Leases	Initial Cost of Buildings and Improvements at Acquisition	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period (a)		Accumulated Depreciation (c)		
					Land	Building and Improvements			
419 West Avenue (F)	1986 1997	--	4,538	9,246	1,266	4,538	10,512	15,050	2,784
500 West Avenue (F)	1988 1997	--	415	1,679	194	415	1,873	2,288	519
550 West Avenue (F)	1990 1997	--	1,975	3,856	22	1,975	3,878	5,853	960
600 West Avenue (F)	1999 1999	--	2,305	2,863	833	2,305	3,696	6,001	664
650 West Avenue (F)	1998 1998	--	1,328	--	3,929	1,328	3,929	5,257	1,590
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>									
<b>Washington,</b>									
1201 Connecticut Avenue,									
NW (O)	1940 1999	--	14,228	18,571	2,732	14,228	21,303	35,531	4,071
1400 L Street, NW (O)	1987 1998	--	13,054	27,423	6,643	13,054	34,066	47,120	6,196
<b>MARYLAND</b>									
<b>Prince George's County</b>									
<b>Greenbelt</b>									
9200 Edmonston Road (O)	1973/03 2006	5,232	1,547	4,131	--	1,547	4,131	5,678	149
6301 Ivy Lane (O)	1979/95 2006	6,821	5,168	14,706	2	5,168	14,708	19,876	516
6303 Ivy Lane (O)	1980/03 2006	6,020	5,115	13,860	--	5,115	13,860	18,975	471
6305 Ivy Lane (O)	1982/95 2006	7,285	5,615	14,420	158	5,615	14,578	20,193	539
6404 Ivy Lane (O)	1987 2006	13,665	7,578	20,785	71	7,578	20,856	28,434	838
6406 Ivy Lane (O)	1991 2006	--	7,514	21,152	--	7,514	21,152	28,666	641
6411 Ivy Lane (O)	1984/05 2006	--	6,867	17,470	16	6,867	17,486	24,353	625
<b>Lanham</b>									
4200 Parliament Place (O)	1989 1998	--	2,114	13,546	626	1,393	14,893	16,286	3,749

<b>Projects Under Development and Developable Land</b>	-98,617	25,631	--	98,617	25,631	124,248	
<b>Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment</b>	--	--	--	8,224	8,224	8,224	6,352
<b>TOTALS</b>	344,736	645,278	3,267,589	660,720	659,169	3,914,418	4,573,587
							796,793

(a) The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2006 was approximately \$2.9 billion.

(b) Legend of Property Codes:

(O)=Office Property (R)=Stand-alone Retail Property

(F)=Office/Flex Property (L)=Land Lease

(I)=Industrial/Warehouse Property

(c) Depreciation of the buildings and improvements are calculated over lives ranging from the life of the lease to 40 years.

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**  
**NOTE TO SCHEDULE III**

Changes in rental properties and accumulated depreciation for the periods ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 are as follows: *(dollars in thousands)*

	2006	2005	2004
<b>Rental Properties</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,491,752	\$ 4,160,959	\$ 3,954,632
Additions	405,883	485,680	340,472
Rental property held for sale - before accumulated depreciation	--	--	(21,929)
Properties sold	(313,345)	(120,755)	(112,179)
Retirements/disposals	(10,703)	(34,132)	(37)
Balance at end of year	\$ 4,573,587	\$ 4,491,752	\$ 4,160,959
<b>Accumulated Depreciation</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 722,980	\$ 641,626	\$ 546,007
Depreciation expense	131,848	128,814	111,975
Rental property held for sale	--	--	(1,550)
Properties sold	(53,037)	(16,691)	(14,797)
Retirements/disposals	(4,998)	(30,769)	(9)
Balance at end of year	\$ 796,793	\$ 722,980	\$ 641,626

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation  
(Registrant)

Date: February 21, 2007                      /s/ Barry Lefkowitz  
Barry Lefkowitz  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ William L. Mack</u> William L. Mack	Chairman of the Board	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Mitchell E. Hersh</u> Mitchell E. Hersh	President and Chief Executive Officer and Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Barry Lefkowitz</u> Barry Lefkowitz	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Alan S. Bernikow</u> Alan S. Bernikow	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ John R. Cali</u> John R. Cali	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Kenneth M. Duberstein</u> Kenneth M. Duberstein	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Nathan Gantcher</u> Nathan Gantcher	Director	February 21, 2007



<b><u>Name</u></b>	<b><u>Title</u></b>	<b><u>Date</u></b>
<u>/s/ David S. Mack</u> David S. Mack	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Alan G. Philibosian</u> Alan G. Philibosian	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Irvin D. Reid</u> Irvin D. Reid	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Vincent Tese</u> Vincent Tese	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Robert F. Weinberg</u> Robert F. Weinberg	Director	February 21, 2007
<u>/s/ Roy J. Zuckerberg</u> Roy J. Zuckerberg	Director	February 21, 2007

**MACK-CALI REALTY CORPORATION**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
3.1	Restated Charter of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated June 11, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated June 10, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 10, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.3	Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation dated March 4, 2003, (filed as Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.4	Amendment No. 2 to the Mack-Cali Realty Corporation Amended and Restated Bylaws dated May 24, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 24, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.5	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated December 11, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.110 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.6	Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated August 21, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's and the Operating Partnership's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-57103, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.7	Second Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated July 6, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 6, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.8	Third Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated September 30, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.7 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.9	Certificate of Designation of Series B Preferred Operating Partnership Units of Limited Partnership Interest of Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. (filed as Exhibit 10.101 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein



by reference).

- 3.10 Articles Supplementary for the 8% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock dated March 11, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.11 Certificate of Designation for the 8% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Operating Partnership Units dated March 14, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.1 Amended and Restated Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of March 7, 2000, between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).

**Exhibit  
Number**

**Exhibit Title**

- 4.2 Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2000, by and among Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 27, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.3 Indenture dated as of March 16, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated March 16, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.4 Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated as of March 16, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated March 16, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.5 Supplemental Indenture No. 2 dated as of August 2, 1999, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Operating Partnership's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.6 Supplemental Indenture No. 3 dated as of December 21, 2000, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated December 21, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.7 Supplemental Indenture No. 4 dated as of January 29, 2001, by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated January 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4.8 Supplemental Indenture No. 5 dated as of December 20, 2002, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Form 8-K dated December 20, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.9 Supplemental Indenture No. 6 dated as of March 14, 2003, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.10 Supplemental Indenture No. 7 dated as of June 12, 2003, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated June 12, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.11 Supplemental Indenture No. 8 dated as of February 9, 2004, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 9, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.12 Supplemental Indenture No. 9 dated as of March 22, 2004, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 22, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.13 Supplemental Indenture No. 10 dated as of January 25, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.14 Supplemental Indenture No. 11 dated as of April 15, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 15, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
4.15	Supplemental Indenture No. 12 dated as of November 30, 2005, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.16	Supplemental Indenture No. 13 dated as of January 24, 2006, by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 18, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.17	Deposit Agreement dated March 14, 2003 by and among Mack-Cali Realty Corporation, EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., and the holders from time to time of the Depositary Receipts described therein (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 14, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Mitchell E. Hersh and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Barry Lefkowitz and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4	Employment Agreement dated as of December 5, 2000 between Michael Grossman and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5	Employment Agreement dated as of May 9, 2006 by and between Mark Yeager and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6	Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Mitchell E. Hersh and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7	

Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Barry Lefkowitz and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.8 Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of July 1, 1999 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of March 12, 2001 between Roger W. Thomas and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10 Restricted Share Award Agreement dated as of March 12, 2001 between Michael Grossman and Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.11	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.12	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.13	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.14	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.15	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.16	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.17	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.18	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.19	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated July 1, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.20	

First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.21 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.22 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of January 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.23 Restricted Share Award Agreement dated December 6, 1999 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.24	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated December 6, 1999 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.25	First Amendment effective as of January 2, 2003 to the Restricted Share Award Agreement dated March 12, 2001 between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 8-K dated January 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.26	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.27	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.28	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.29	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.30	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.31	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.32	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.33	

Tax Gross Up Agreement effective as of December 2, 2003 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 2, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.34 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.35 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.36 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).



Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.37	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.38	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.39	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.40	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.41	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 7, 2004 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 7, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.42	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.43	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.44	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.45	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.46	

Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.47 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.48 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.49 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 6, 2005 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 6, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.50	Restricted Share Award Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.51	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.52	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.53	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.54	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mitchell E. Hersh (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.55	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.56	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.57	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.58	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Barry Lefkowitz (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.59	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit

10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.60 Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.61 Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.62	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Roger W. Thomas (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.63	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.64	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.65	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.66	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Michael A. Grossman (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.67	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.68	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.69	Restricted Share Award Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.70	Tax Gross Up Agreement effective December 5, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mark Yeager (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.71	

Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 27, 2002, among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, Fleet National Bank and Other Lenders Which May Become Parties Thereto with JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent, swing lender and fronting bank, Fleet National Bank and Commerzbank AG, New York and Grand Cayman branches as syndication agents, Bank of America, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agents, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Fleet Securities, Inc, as arrangers (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 27, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.72 Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and other lending institutions that are or may become a party to the Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of November 23, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 23, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.73	Extension and Modification Agreement dated as of September 16, 2005 by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several Lenders Party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 16, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.74	Second Modification Agreement dated as of July 14, 2006 by and among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the several Lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 14, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.75	Amended and Restated Master Loan Agreement dated as of November 12, 2004 among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and Affiliates of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., as Borrowers, Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and Mack-Cali Realty L.P., as Guarantors and The Prudential Insurance Company of America, as Lender (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated November 12, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.76	Contribution and Exchange Agreement among The MK Contributors, The MK Entities, The Patriot Contributors, The Patriot Entities, Patriot American Management and Leasing Corp., Cali Realty, L.P. and Cali Realty Corporation, dated September 18, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10.98 to the Company's Form 8-K dated September 19, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.77	First Amendment to Contribution and Exchange Agreement, dated as of December 11, 1997, by and among the Company and the Mack Group (filed as Exhibit 10.99 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 11, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.78	Employee Stock Option Plan of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-8, Registration No. 333-44443, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.79	Director Stock Option Plan of Mack-Cali Realty Corporation (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-8, Registration No. 333-44443, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.80	2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-52478, and incorporated herein by reference), as amended by the First Amendment to the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.81	Amended and Restated 2000 Director Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement

Edgar Filing: MACK CALI REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-100244, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.82 Mack-Cali Realty Corporation 2004 Incentive Stock Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-116437, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.83 Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-80081, and incorporated herein by reference).



Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.84	Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and each of William L. Mack, John J. Cali, Mitchell E. Hersh, John R. Cali, David S. Mack, Martin S. Berger, Alan S. Bernikow, Kenneth M. Duberstein, Martin D. Gruss, Nathan Gantcher, Vincent Tese, Roy J. Zuckerberg, Alan G. Philibosian, Irvin D. Reid, Robert F. Weinberg, Barry Lefkowitz, Roger W. Thomas, Michael A. Grossman, Mark Yeager, Anthony Krug, Dean Cingolani, Anthony DeCaro Jr., Mark Durno, William Fitzpatrick, John Kropke, Nicholas Mitarotonda, Jr., Michael Nevins, Virginia Sobol, Albert Spring, Daniel Wagner, Deborah Franklin, John Marazzo, Christopher DeLorenzo, Jeffrey Warner, Diane Chayes and James Corrigan (filed as Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.85	Indemnification Agreement dated October 22, 2002 by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and John Crandall (filed as Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.86	Second Amendment to Contribution and Exchange Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2000, between RMC Development Company, LLC f/k/a Robert Martin Company, LLC, Robert Martin Eastview North Company, L.P., the Company and the Operating Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.87	Limited Partnership Agreement of Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership by and between Meadowlands Mills Limited Partnership, Mack-Cali Meadowlands Entertainment L.L.C. and Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C. dated November 25, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.88	Redevelopment Agreement by and between the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority and Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated December 3, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated December 3, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.89	First Amendment to Redevelopment Agreement by and between the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority and Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated October 5, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.54 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.90	Letter Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty Corporation and The Mills Corporation dated October 5, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.55 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.91 First Amendment to Limited Partnership Agreement of Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership by and between Meadowlands Mills Limited Partnership, Mack-Cali Meadowlands Entertainment L.L.C. and Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C. dated as of June 30, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.66 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.92\* Mack-Cali Rights, Obligations and Option Agreement by and between Meadowlands Developer Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., Meadowlands Mack-Cali GP, L.L.C., Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special, L.L.C., Baseball Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, A-B Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, C-D Office Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership, Hotel Meadowlands Mack-Cali Limited Partnership and ERC Meadowlands Mills/Mack-Cali Limited Partnership dated November 22, 2006.
- 10.93\* Redemption Agreement by and among Meadowlands Developer Limited Partnership, Meadowlands Developer Holding Corp., Mack-Cali Meadowlands entertainment L.L.C., Mack-Cali Meadowlands Special L.L.C., and Meadowlands Limited Partnership dated November 22, 2006.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.94	Contribution and Exchange Agreement by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Tenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Eleventh Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Twelfth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., Fourteenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., each a Maryland limited liability limited partnership, Greenbelt Associates, a Maryland general partnership, and Sixteenth Springhill Lake Associates L.L.L.P., a Maryland limited liability limited partnership, and certain other natural persons, dated as of November 21, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.69 to the Company's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.95	Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement by and among Mr. Stanley C. Gale, SCG Holding Corp., Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp. and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated as of March 7, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.96	Amendment No. 1 to Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of March 31, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 28, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.97	Amendment No. 2 to Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.98	Contribution and Sale Agreement by and among Gale SLG NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Gale SLG NJ MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Gale SLG RIDGEFIELD MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and Mack-Cali Ventures L.L.C. dated as of March 7, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.99	First Amendment to Contribution and Sale Agreement by and among GALE SLG NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, GALE SLG NJ MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and GALE SLG RIDGEFIELD MEZZ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and Mack-Cali Ventures L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.100	Non-Portfolio Property Interest Contribution Agreement by and among Mr. Stanley C. Gale, Mr. Mark Yeager, GCF II Investor LLC, The Gale Investments Company, LLC, Gale & Wentworth Vreeland, LLC, Gale Urban Solutions LLC, MSGW-ONE Campus Investors, LLC, Mack-Cali Realty Acquisition Corp. and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. dated as of May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated

herein by reference).

- 10.101 Loan Agreement by and among the entities set forth on Exhibit A, collectively, as Borrowers, and Gramercy Warehouse Funding I LLC, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.102 Promissory Note of One Grande SPE LLC, 1280 Wall SPE LLC, 10 Sylvan SPE LLC, 5 Independence SPE LLC, 1 Independence SPE LLC, and 3 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrowers, in favor of Gramercy Warehouse Funding I, LLC, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$90,286,551 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.103 Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 4 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title
10.104	Promissory Note of 4 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$43,000,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.105	Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 210 Clay SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.106	Promissory Note of 210 Clay SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$16,000,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.107	Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 5 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.108	Promissory Note of 5 Becker SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$15,500,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.109	Mortgage, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing by and between 51 CHUBB SPE LLC, as Borrower, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.110	Promissory Note of 51 CHUBB SPE LLC, as Borrower, in favor of Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Lender, in the principal amount of \$4,500,000 dated May 9, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.111	Form of Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Mack-Green-Gale LLC dated _____, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.112	Form of Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.113	Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated August 9, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit

10.91 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.114 First Amendment to Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 6, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.92 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.115 Second Amendment to Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 15, 2006 by and between Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.93 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.116 Agreement of Sale and Purchase dated September 25, 2006 by and between Phelan Realty Associates L.P., 795 Folsom Realty Associates L.P. and Westcore Properties AC, LLC (filed as Exhibit 10.94 to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Title</b>
10.117*	Membership Interest Purchase and Contribution Agreement dated as of December 28, 2006, by and among NKFGMS Owners, LLC, The Gale Construction Services Company, L.L.C., NKFFM Limited Liability Company, Scott Panzer, Ian Marlow, Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. d/b/a Newmark Knight Frank, and Mack-Cali Realty, L.P.
10.118*	Operating Agreement of NKFGMS Owners, LLC.
10.119*	Loans, Sale and Services Agreement dated December 28, 2006 by and between Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. d/b/a Newmark Knight Frank, Mack-Cali Realty, L.P., and Newmark Knight Frank Global Management Services, LLC.
10.120*	Term Loan Agreement among Mack-Cali Realty, L.P. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. as Arranger, and other lender which may become parties to this Agreement dated November 29, 2006.
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
31.1*	Certification of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Hersh, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Barry Lefkowitz, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mitchell E. Hersh, and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Barry Lefkowitz, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\*filed herewith