CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. Form 10-K March 10, 2008

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the year ended December 31, 2007

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 001-33435 Cavium Networks, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

77-0558625

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

805 East Middlefield Road Mountain View, CA 94043 (650) 623-7000

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant s principal executive offices)

Syed B. Ali
President and Chief Executive Officer
Cavium Networks, Inc.
805 East Middlefield Road
Mountain View, CA 94043
(650) 623-7000

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class to be so Registered

Name of Each Exchange on Which Each Class is to be Registered

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value (Title of Class)

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES o NO b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES o NO b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES b NO o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer b Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO b

As of June 29, 2007, the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates was \$529,476,479, based on the number of shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant, and based on the reported last sale price of common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market for such date. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purposes.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 7, 2008: 40,383,818

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this form 10-K are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I.	
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	9
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	22
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	22
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	23
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
	PART II.	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of	
	Equity Securities	23
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	26
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	44
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	45
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	72
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	72
Item 9B.	Other Information	72
	PART III.	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	72
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	72
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	
	<u>Matters</u>	73
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	73
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	73
	PART IV.	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	73
<u>Signatures</u>		74
EXHIBIT 10.12		
EXHIBIT 21.1		
EXHIBIT 23.1 EXHIBIT 31.1		
EXHIBIT 31.2		

Table of Contents

Forward Looking Statements

The information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), which are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. Such statements are based upon our management s believes and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. For example, words such as may, should, could. will, predict potential. continue. strategy. believes. anticipates. plans. expects. intends and variations of such words expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance, time frames or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, time frames or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are discussed in greater detail under the heading Risk Factors. Given these risks, uncertainties and other factors, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this filing. You should read this Annual Report on Form 10-K completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We hereby qualify our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a provider of highly integrated semiconductor processors that enable intelligent networking, communications and security applications. We refer to our products as enabling intelligent processing because they allow customers to develop networking equipment that is application-aware and content-aware and securely processes voice, video and data traffic at high speeds. Our products also include a rich suite of embedded security protocols that enable unified threat management, or UTM, secure connectivity and network perimeter protection. Our products are systems on a chip, or SoCs, which incorporate single or multiple processor cores, a highly integrated architecture and customizable software that is based on a broad range of standard operating systems. As a result, our products offer high levels of performance and processing intelligence while reducing product development cycles for our customers and lowering power consumption for end market equipment.

We generate the majority of our revenue from sales of our products to providers of networking equipment that sell into the enterprise network, data center, broadband and consumer, and access and service provider markets. Our products are used in a broad array of networking equipment, including routers, switches, content-aware switches, UTM and other security appliances, application-aware gateways, voice/video/data, or triple-play, gateways, wireless local area network, or WLAN, and 3G access and aggregation devices, storage networking equipment, servers and intelligent network interface cards.

Industry Background

Traffic on the Internet and enterprise networks is rapidly increasing due to trends that include greater adoption of Web 2.0 applications, VoIP, video over broadband, file sharing, greater use of web-based services, and the proliferation of

stored content accessed through networks. Enterprises and service providers are demanding networking equipment that can take advantage of these trends, and address the significant market opportunities that these new applications provide. As a result, there is growing pressure on providers of networking equipment to rapidly introduce new products with enhanced functionality while reducing their design and manufacturing costs. Providers of networking equipment are increasingly seeking advanced processing solutions from third-party vendors to access the best available technology and reduce development costs.

1

Table of Contents

The processing needs of advanced networking systems can be described in the context of the Open System Interconnection, or OSI, Model, which divides network activities, equipment, and protocols into seven layers. According to this model, Layers 1 through 3 are the physical, data link and network layers, respectively, which provide the protocols to ensure the transmission of data between the source and destination regardless of the content and type of data processed. Traditionally, network infrastructure products have focused on Layer 1 through 3 products that route and switch data traffic based solely on the source and destination address contained in the packet header. Processors that provide Layer 1 through 3 solutions are widely available from many vendors. Layers 4 through 7 are the transport, session, presentation and application layers, which provide the protocols to enable the reliable end-to-end communication of application information. Intelligent processing generally takes place in Layers 4 through 7. In order to provide this intelligence, advanced networking systems must include processors that enable extensive inspection of the application and data content, or deep packet inspection, and make intelligent switching and routing decisions based upon that inspection. To address customer demands, providers of networking equipment must offer products that include functionality such as intelligent routing or switching of network traffic prioritized by application and data content, and security services. Processors required for Layer 4 through 7 processing are significantly more complex than processors that provide only Layer 1 through 3 solutions.

Networking equipment providers address the need for advanced networking systems and the processors using a variety of approaches for their current networking products, including internally designed custom semiconductor products, such as ASICs, FPGAs or other proprietary chips, multiple chip offerings, general purpose MPUs from merchant suppliers, software-based solutions or a combination of these approaches. While these approaches have been adequate for the basic layer 1 through 3 processing, they are less effective as the need for Layer 4 through 7 intelligent processing increases and line rates continue to increase. As a result, providers of networking equipments are increasingly turning to third-party vendors for high performance, power-efficient and cost-effective intelligent processing products.

Products

We offer highly integrated semiconductors that provide intelligent Layer 4 through 7 processing for enterprise network, data center, broadband and consumer, and access and service provider markets. Our products provide scalable, low-power, high performance processors that integrate single or multiple cores, hardware accelerators and input/output interfaces into a single chip and are available across a wide range of price and performance points. All of our products are compatible with standards-based operating systems and general purpose software to enable ease of programming, and are supported by our ecosystem partners.

2

Table of Contents

The chart below sets out key product lines, available versions, descriptions and primary target equipment for each of our product families.

Product Families	Product Lines (# of MIPS Cores)	Available Configurations	Description	Target End Markets
OCTEON Plus Multi-core MIPS64 Processors	CN58XX(16) CN57XX (12) CN56XX (12) CN55XX(6) CN54XX(6) CN52XX(4) CN50XX(2)	Network Services Processor Storage Services Processor Secure Communication Processor Communication Processor	Multi-core MIPS64 Processors with 2-16 cores, integrated L4-L7 hardware acceleration and networking interfaces Performance: 1Gbps to 20+ Gbps	Enterprise Network Access and Service Provider Data Center
OCTEON Multi-core MIPS64 Processors	CN38XX(16) CN36XX(4) CN31XX(2) CN30XX(1)	Network Services Processor Secure Communication Processor Communication Processor	Multi-core MIPS64 Processors with 2-16 cores, integrated L4-L7 hardware acceleration and networking interfaces Performance: 1Gbps to 10+ Gbps	Enterprise Network Access and Service Provider Data Center
NITROX Security Processors	CN2XXX CN1XXX CN5XX	i/s/w: IPsec, SSL or WLAN Security versions p: Multi-protocol version	Standalone Security Processors, with wide range of interface connectivity	Enterprise Network Data Center Access and Service Provider Broadband and Consumer
NITROX Soho/ OCTEON Broadband Communication Processors	CN50XX(2) CN31XX(2) CN30XX(1) CN2XX(1)	Network Services Processor Secure Communication Processor Communication Processor	Cost-effective Single & Dual core MIPS-based Communication Processors with integrated Networking, Security, QoS	Broadband and Consumer Enterprise and Consumer

acceleration and built-in interfaces Performance: 100Mbps to 1Gbps

Our OCTEON and OCTEON Plus processor family provides integrated Layer 4 through 7 data and security processing (with additional capabilities at Layers 2 and 3) at line speeds from 100Mbps to 20Gbps. OCTEON processors integrate control plane processing, packet processing, security processing and content acceleration in a single chip. This integration shortens the data paths, eliminates redundant packet processing, simplifies board design and reduces the cost and power consumption compared to alternative products that use multiple chips. Our

3

Table of Contents

OCTEON processors are targeted for use in a wide variety of OEM networking equipment, including routers, switches, content-aware switches, UTM, and other security appliances, application-aware gateways, voice/video/data, or triple-play, gateways, WLAN and 3G access and aggregation devices, storage networking equipment, servers and intelligent network interface cards.

Our OCTEON Plus family of processors approximately doubles the application performance available in our previous generation of OCTEON processors. The OCTEON Plus processor family adds new interfaces and acceleration features for packet processing, quality of service and content processing for multimedia aware networking and 3G, 4G, WiMax wireless applications. The OCTEON Plus processor family has expanded OCTEON s core target markets with a new category called the Storage Services Processor, or SSP family. The OCTEON SSP provides hardware acceleration for storage applications such as iSCSI, RAID 6 and data de-duplication. The new OCTEON SSP family is aimed at iSCSI targets, Fiber Channel to iSCSI bridges and disk arrays.

Our NITROX processor family offers stand-alone security processors that provide the functionality required for secure communication in a single chip. This single chip, custom-designed processors provide the complete security protocol processing, encryption and authentication algorithms to reduce the load on the system processor and increase total system throughput. The NITROX family consists of products with up to 24 security processors on a chip that are used in a wide variety of OEM networking equipment, including security appliances, UTM appliances, Layer 4 through 7 load balancers, and other applications.

Our NITROX Soho and OCTEON broadband communication processor family includes a line of 32-bit and 64-bit broadband communication processors. The OCTEON broadband communication processors target wired and wireless broadband gateway applications, with performance requirements ranging from 100Mbps to 1Gbps. The NITROX Soho processors are highly integrated MIPS32-based products delivering a broad range of cost, performance and power options for applications requiring up to a 100Mbps line rate. The MIPS64-based SoC OCTEON processors integrate single or dual 64-bit CPUs, and provide high performance security processing for applications requiring up to a 1Gbps line rate. The NITROX Soho and OCTEON processors are primarily used for broadband routers, WLAN access points, access and UTM appliances.

In addition to our processors, we also market and sell discrete software stacks designed to help our customers build products around our SoCs in a more time and cost efficient manner. The stacks include applications for security functions and other commonly used protocols. We intend to continue to build our software portfolio to address the needs of our customers who do not possess internal software design efforts, or choose to use those efforts on more customized systems architectures.

Customers

We primarily sell our products to providers of networking equipment, either directly or through contract manufacturing organizations and distributors. By providing comprehensive systems-level products along with our ecosystem partners, we provide our customers with products that empower their next-generation networking systems more quickly and at lower cost than other alternatives.

We currently rely, and expect to continue to rely, on a limited number of customers for a significant portion of our revenue. Cisco accounted for 23% of revenue in 2007. F5 Networks accounted for 18% in 2007. SonicWALL accounted for 6% of revenue in 2007.

Sales and Marketing

We currently sell our products through our direct sales and applications support organization to providers of networking equipment, original design manufacturers and contract electronics manufacturers, as well as through arrangements with distributors that fulfill third-party orders for our products.

We work directly with system designers to create demand for our products by providing them with application-specific product information for their system design, engineering and procurement groups. Our technical marketing, sales and field application engineers actively engage potential customers during their design processes to introduce them to our product capabilities and target applications. We design products in an effort to meet the increasingly complex and specific design requirements of our customers. We typically undertake a multi-month

4

Table of Contents

sales and development process with our customer system designers and management. If successful, this process culminates in a customer decision to use our product in their system, which we refer to as a design win. Volume production can begin from nine months to three years after the design win depending on the complexity of our customer s product and other factors. Once one of our products is incorporated into a customer s design, it is likely to be used for the life cycle of the customer s product. We believe this to be the case because a redesign would generally be time consuming and expensive.

Manufacturing

We use third-party foundries and assembly and test contractors to manufacture, assemble and test our semiconductor products. This outsourced manufacturing approach allows us to focus our resources on the design, sales and marketing of our products. Our engineers work closely with our foundries and other contractors to increase yields, lower manufacturing costs and improve quality.

Integrated Circuit Fabrication. Our integrated circuits are fabricated using complementary metal-oxide semiconductor, or CMOS processes, which provide greater flexibility to engage independent foundries to manufacture our integrated circuits. By outsourcing manufacturing, we are able to avoid the cost associated with owning and operating our own manufacturing facility, which would not be feasible for a company at our stage of development. We currently outsource a substantial percentage of our integrated circuit manufacturing to Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, or TSMC, with the remaining manufacturing outsourced to United Microelectronics Corporation, or UMC. We work closely with TSMC and UMC to forecast on a monthly basis our manufacturing capacity requirements. Our integrated circuits are currently fabricated in several advanced, sub-micron manufacturing processes. Because finer manufacturing processes lead to enhanced performance, smaller size and lower power requirements, we continually evaluate the benefits and feasibility of migrating to smaller geometry process technology in order to reduce cost and improve performance.

Assembly and Test. Our products are shipped from our third-party foundries to third-party assembly and test facilities where they are assembled into finished integrated circuit packages and tested. We outsource all product packaging and substantially all testing requirements for these products to several assembly and test subcontractors, including ASE Electronics in Taiwan and Malaysia, as well as ISE in the United States. Our products are designed to use standard packages and to be tested with widely available test equipment.

Quality Assurance. We have implemented significant quality assurance and test procedures to assure high levels of product quality for our customers. Our designs are subjected to extensive circuit simulation under extreme conditions of temperature, voltage and processing before being committed to manufacture. We have completed and have been awarded ISO 9001 certification and ISO 9001:2000 certification. In addition, all of our independent foundries and assembly and test subcontractors have been awarded ISO 9001 certification.

Research and Development

We believe that our future success depends on our ability to introduce enhancements to our existing products and to develop new products for both existing and new markets. Our research and development efforts are directed largely to the development of additional high-performance multi-core microprocessor semiconductors. We are also focused on incorporating functions currently provided by stand-alone semiconductors into our products. We have assembled a team of highly skilled semiconductor and embedded software design engineers who have strong design expertise in high performance multi-core microprocessor design, along with embedded software, security and networking expertise. Our engineering design teams are located in Mountain View, California, Marlboro, Massachusetts and Hyderabad and Chennai, India. As of December 31, 2007, we had 127 employees in our research and development group. Our research and development expenses were \$19.5 million, \$18.7 million and \$16.0 million for the years

ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 respectively.

Intellectual Property

Our success depends in part upon our ability to protect our core technology and intellectual property. To accomplish this, we rely on a combination of intellectual property rights, including patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks, and contractual protections.

5

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2007, we had 13 issued and 24 pending patent applications in the United States, and two issued and 21 pending foreign patent applications. The 12 issued patents in the United States expire in the years beginning in 2021 through 2025. The two issued foreign patents expire in 2022. Our issued patents and pending patent applications relate to security processors, multi-core microprocessor processing and other processing concepts. We focus our patent efforts in the United States, and, when justified by cost and strategic importance, we file corresponding foreign patent applications in strategic jurisdictions such as Japan and Europe. Our patent strategy is designed to provide a balance between the need for coverage in our strategic markets and the need to maintain costs at a reasonable level. We believe our issued patents and patent applications, to the extent the applications are issued, may be used defensively by us in the event of future intellectual property claims.

We may not receive competitive advantages from any rights granted under our patents. We do not know whether any of our patent applications will result in the issuance of any patents or whether the examination process will require us to narrow the scope of our claims. To the extent any of our applications proceed to issuance as a patent, any such future patent may be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by a third party, or found to be unenforceable or invalidated. In addition, our future patent applications may not be issued with the scope of the claims sought by us, if at all, or the scope of claims we are seeking may not be sufficiently broad to protect our proprietary technologies. Others may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our proprietary technologies, duplicate our proprietary technologies or design around patents owned or licensed by us. If our products, patents or patent applications are found to conflict with any patent held by third parties, we could be prevented from selling our products, our patents may be declared invalid or our patent applications may not result in issued patents.

In addition to our own intellectual property, we also rely on third-party technologies for the development of our products. We license certain technology from MIPS Technologies, Inc., pursuant to a license agreement entered into in December 2003 wherein we were granted a non-exclusive, worldwide license to MIPS Technologies microprocessor core technology to develop, implement and use in our products. The term of the agreement was extended by an additional two years and will consequently expire in December 2010. The agreement permits us to continue selling in perpetuity products developed during the term of the agreement containing the licensed technology. Following the termination of the agreement, we will evaluate whether to enter into a new agreement with MIPS Technologies or engage other third parties for alternative technology solutions.

We obtained a registration for our NITROX trademark in the United States. Additionally, we have a pending United States application for the OCTEON trademark. We also have a license from MIPS Technologies, Inc. to use cnMIPS as a trademark.

In addition, we generally control access to and use of our proprietary software and other confidential information through the use of internal and external controls, including contractual protections with employees, contractors, customers and partners. We rely in part on United States and international copyright laws to protect our software. All employees and consultants are required to execute confidentiality agreements in connection with their employment and consulting relationships with us. We also require them to agree to disclose and assign to us all inventions conceived or made in connection with the employment or consulting relationship. We cannot provide any assurance that employees and consultants will abide by the confidentiality or invention assignment terms of their agreements. Despite measures taken to protect our intellectual property, unauthorized parties may copy aspects of our products or obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary.

Despite our efforts to protect our trade secrets and proprietary rights through patents, licenses and confidentiality agreements, unauthorized parties may still copy or otherwise obtain and use our software and technology. In addition, we intend to expand our international operations, and effective patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may not be available or may be limited in foreign countries. If we fail to protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights, our business could be harmed.

Third parties could claim that our products or technologies infringe their proprietary rights. The semiconductor industry is characterized by the existence of a large number of patents, trademarks and copyrights and by frequent litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. We expect that the potential for infringement claims against us may further increase as the number of products and competitors in our market increase. Litigation in this industry is often protracted and expensive. Questions of infringement in the semiconductor industry involve highly technical and subjective analyses. In addition, litigation may become

6

Table of Contents

necessary in the future to enforce our granted patents and other intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity, and we may not prevail in any future litigation. The results of any litigation are inherently uncertain. Any successful infringement claim or litigation against us could have a significant adverse impact on our business.

We may be required to seek licenses under patents or intellectual property rights owned by third parties. However, we cannot be certain that third parties will offer licenses to us or that the terms of any licenses offered to us will be acceptable. If we fail to obtain such third-party license for our products, we could incur substantial liabilities or be forced to suspend sales of our products. Any litigation could cause us to incur substantial expenses, reduce our sales, and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not a court decided such litigation in our favor. In the event we receive an adverse result in any litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease sale of products, expend significant resources to develop alternative technology and discontinue the use of processes requiring the relevant technology.

We have not to date been notified of any litigation related to intellectual property.

Competition

We compete with numerous domestic and international semiconductor companies, many of which have greater financial and other resources with which to pursue marketing, technology development, product design, manufacturing, quality, sales and distribution of their products. Our ability to compete effectively depends on defining, designing and regularly introducing new products that anticipate the processing and integration needs of our customers—next-generation products and applications.

We consider our primary competitors to be other companies that provide embedded processor products to the market, including Broadcom Corporation, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Intel Corporation, Marvell Technology Group Ltd., PMC-Sierra, Inc., Raza Microelectronics, Inc., P.A. Semi, and to a lesser extent, Hifn, Inc. Most of these competitors offer products that differ in functionality and processing speeds and address some or all of our four target end markets. In comparison we offer a broad array of highly integrated, intelligent solutions at various performance levels and prices for each of our end markets. Our products generally include a multiple number of processor cores, greater integration of L4-L7 hardware acceleration and interfaces, and efficient power consumption for networking, communication and security applications.

Our competitors include public companies with broader product lines, a large installed base of customers and greater resources compared to us. We expect continued competition from existing suppliers as well as from potential new entrants into our markets. Our ability to compete depends on a number of factors, including our success in identifying new and emerging markets, applications and technologies and developing products for these markets; our products performance and cost effectiveness relative to that of our competitors; our success functionality and features not previously available in the marketplace; our ability to recruit good talent including software engineers and chip designers; and our ability to protect our intellectual property.

Backlog

Sales of our products are generally made pursuant to purchase orders. We typically include in backlog only those customer orders for which we have accepted purchase orders and which we expect to ship within the next twelve months. Since orders constituting our current backlog are subject to changes in delivery schedules or cancellation with limited or no penalties, we believe that the amount of our backlog is not necessarily an accurate indication of our future revenues.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we had 202 regular employees located in the United States, India, Asia and Europe, which was comprised of: 15 employees in manufacturing operations, 127 in engineering, research and development, 60 in sales, marketing and administrative. None of our employees is represented by a labor union and we consider current employee relations to be good.

7

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following sets forth certain information regarding our executive officers as of March 7, 2008:

Syed B. Ali	49 President, Chief Executive Officer, Director as	
		Chairman of the Board of Directors
Arthur D. Chadwick	51	Vice President of Finance and Administration and
		Chief Financial Officer
Anil K. Jain	51	Vice President of IC Engineering
Rajiv Khemani	40	Vice President of Marketing and Sales

Syed B. Ali is one of our founders and has served as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors since the inception of the Company in 2000. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Ali was Vice President of Marketing and Sales at Malleable Technologies, a communication chip company of which he was a founding management team member. Malleable Technologies was acquired by PMC Sierra, a communication IC company in 2000. From 1994 to 1998, Mr. Ali was an Executive Director at Samsung Electronics. Prior to that, he had various positions at Wafer Scale Integration, a division of SGS-Thompson, Tandem Computer, and American Microsystems. He received a BSEE from Osmania University, in Hyderabad, India and an MSEE from the University of Michigan.

Arthur D. Chadwick has served as our Vice President of Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer since December 2004. Prior to joining us, from 1989 to 2004, Mr. Chadwick served as the Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer at Pinnacle Systems, a provider of digital video processing solutions. From 1979 through 1989, Mr. Chadwick served in various financial and management roles at American Microsystems, Austrian Microsystems and Gould Semiconductor. Mr. Chadwick received a BS degree in Mathematics and an MBA in Finance, both from the University of Michigan.

Anil K. Jain has served as our Vice President of IC Engineering since January 2001, and is a founding management team member. Prior to joining us, from 1998 to 2000 he was at Compaq Computer, a computer manufacturer. From 1980 to 1998, Mr. Jain served at Digital Equipment Corporation, or DEC, as Senior Consulting Engineer when DEC was acquired by Compaq Computer. He received a BS degree in Electrical Engineering from Punjab Engineering College in Chandigarh India, and an MSEE from the University of Cincinnati.

Rajiv Khemani has served as our Vice President of Marketing and Sales since January 2007 and has served as our Vice President of Marketing since June 2003. Prior to joining us, from 1998 to May 2003, he worked for Intel Corporation, a microprocessor IC company. From 2002 to 2003, he served as General Manager of Intel s high-end network processor business unit. From 1999 to 2002, he served as Director of Marketing in Intel s network processor division. He joined Intel through Intel s acquisition of Netboost, a network processor startup. Prior to Netboost, Mr. Khemani held engineering, marketing and management positions at Network Appliance and Sun Microsystems. He received a bachelor degree in Computer Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, an MS in Computer Science from New York University and an MBA from Stanford University Graduate School of Business.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in California in November 2000 and reincorporated in Delaware in February 2007. Our principal offices are located at 805 East Middlefield Road, Mountain View, California 94043, and our telephone number is (650) 623-7000. Our Web site address is www.caviumnetworks.com. Information found on, or accessible through, our Web site is not a part of, and is not incorporated into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the company, we, us and our refer to

Cavium Networks, Inc.

Available Information

We file electronically with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We make available on our

8

Table of Contents

website at http://www.caviumnetworks.com, free of charge, copies of these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after filing these reports with, or furnishing them to, the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risks and uncertainties may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also significantly impair our business operations. Our business could be harmed by any of these risks. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We have a history of losses, and we may not achieve or sustain profitability in the future, on a quarterly or annual basis.

We were established in 2000 and have not been profitable in any fiscal period until the quarter ended September 30, 2007. We experienced net income of \$2.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2007 and net income of \$2.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007. However, as of December 31, 2007, our accumulated deficit was \$58.7 million. We expect to make significant expenditures related to the development of our products and expansion of our business, including research and development and sales and administrative expenses. As a public company, we will also incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. Additionally, we may encounter unforeseen difficulties, complications, product delays and other unknown factors that require additional expenditures. As a result of these increased expenditures, we may have to generate and sustain substantially increased revenue to achieve profitability. Our revenue growth trends in prior periods may not be sustainable. Accordingly, we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability and we may continue to incur significant losses in the future.

We face intense competition and expect competition to increase in the future, which could reduce our revenue and customer base.

The market for our products is highly competitive and we expect competition to intensify in the future. This competition could make it more difficult for us to sell our products, and result in increased pricing pressure, reduced profit margins, increased sales and marketing expenses and failure to increase, or the loss of, market share or expected market share, any of which would likely seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition. For instance, semiconductor products have a history of declining prices as the cost of production is reduced. However, if market prices decrease faster than product costs, gross and operating margins can be adversely affected. Currently, we face competition from a number of established companies, including Broadcom Corporation, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Intel Corporation, Marvell Technology Group Ltd., PMC-Sierra, Inc., Hifn, Inc., and others. We also face competition from a number of private companies, including Raza Microelectronics, Inc., P.A. Semi and others. A few of our current competitors operate their own fabrication facilities and have, and some of our potential competitors could have, longer operating histories, greater name recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we have. Potential customers may prefer to purchase from their existing suppliers rather than a new supplier regardless of product performance or features.

We expect increased competition from other established and emerging companies both domestically and internationally. Our current and potential competitors may also establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties. If so, new competitors or alliances that include our competitors may emerge that could acquire

significant market share. We expect these trends to continue as companies attempt to strengthen or maintain their market positions in an evolving industry. In the future, further development by our competitors could cause our products to become obsolete. We expect continued competition from incumbents as well as from new entrants into the markets we serve. Our ability to compete depends on a number of factors, including:

our success in identifying new and emerging markets, applications and technologies;

9

Table of Contents

our products performance and cost effectiveness relative to that of our competitors products;

our ability to deliver products in large volume on a timely basis at a competitive price;

our success in utilizing new and proprietary technologies to offer products and features previously not available in the marketplace;

our ability to recruit design and application engineers and sales and marketing personnel; and

our ability to protect our intellectual property.

In addition, we cannot assure you that existing customers or potential customers will not develop their own products, purchase competitive products or acquire companies that use alternative methods to enable networking, communication or security applications to facilitate network-aware processing in their systems. Any of these competitive threats, alone or in combination with others, could seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our customers may cancel their orders, change production quantities or delay production, and if we fail to forecast demand for our products accurately, we may incur product shortages, delays in product shipments or excess or insufficient product inventory.

We generally do not obtain firm, long-term purchase commitments from our customers. Because production lead times often exceed the amount of time required to fulfill orders, we often must build in advance of orders, relying on an imperfect demand forecast to project volumes and product mix. Our demand forecast accuracy can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including inaccurate forecasting by our customers, changes in market conditions, adverse changes in our product order mix and demand for our customers products. Even after an order is received, our customers may cancel these orders or request a decrease in production quantities. Any such cancellation or decrease subjects us to a number of risks, most notably that our projected sales will not materialize on schedule or at all, leading to unanticipated revenue shortfalls and excess or obsolete inventory which we may be unable to sell to other customers. Alternatively, if we are unable to project customer requirements accurately, we may not build enough products, which could lead to delays in product shipments and lost sales opportunities in the near term, as well as force our customers to identify alternative sources, which could affect our ongoing relationships with these customers. We have in the past had customers dramatically increase their requested production quantities with little or no advance notice. If we do not timely fulfill customer demands, our customers may cancel their orders and we may be subject to customer claims for cost of replacement. Either underestimating or overestimating demand would lead to insufficient, excess or obsolete inventory, which could harm our operating results, cash flow and financial condition, as well as our relationships with our customers.

We receive a substantial portion of our revenues from a limited number of customers, and the loss of, or a significant reduction in, orders from one or a few of our major customers would adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

We receive a substantial portion of our revenues from a limited number of customers. We received an aggregate of approximately 56%, 56% and 52% of our revenues from our top five customers for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We anticipate that we will continue to be dependent on a limited number of customers for a significant portion of our revenues in the immediate future and in some cases the portion of our revenues attributable to certain customers may increase in the future. However, we may not be able to maintain or increase sales to certain of our top customers for a variety of reasons, including the following:

our agreements with our customers do not require them to purchase a minimum quantity of our products;

some of our customers can stop incorporating our products into their own products with limited notice to us and suffer little or no penalty; and

many of our customers have pre-existing or concurrent relationships with our current or potential competitors that may affect the customers decisions to purchase our products.

10

Table of Contents

In the past, we have relied in significant part on our strategic relationships with customers that are technology leaders in our target markets. We intend to pursue the expansion of such relationships and the formation of new strategic relationships but we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so. These relationships often require us to develop new products that may involve significant technological challenges. Our customers frequently place considerable pressure on us to meet their tight development schedules. Accordingly, we may have to devote a substantial amount of our resources to our strategic relationships, which could detract from or delay our completion of other important development projects. Delays in development could impair our relationships with our strategic customers and negatively impact sales of the products under development. Moreover, it is possible that our customers may develop their own product or adopt a competitor s solution for products that they currently buy from us. If that happens, our sales would decline and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

In addition, our relationships with some customers may also deter other potential customers who compete with these customers from buying our products. To attract new customers or retain existing customers, we may offer certain customers favorable prices on our products. In that event, our average selling prices and gross margins would decline. The loss of a key customer, a reduction in sales to any key customer or our inability to attract new significant customers could seriously impact our revenue and materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

We expect our operating results to fluctuate.

We expect our revenues and expense levels to vary in the future, making it difficult to predict our future operating results. In particular, we experience variability in demand for our products as our customers manage their product introduction dates and their inventories.

Additional factors that could cause our results to fluctuate include, among other things:

fluctuations in demand, sales cycles, product mix and prices for our products;

the timing of our product introductions, and the variability in lead time between the time when a customer begins to design in one of our products and the time when the customer s end system goes into production and they begin purchasing our products;

the forecasting, scheduling, rescheduling or cancellation of orders by our customers;

our ability to successfully define, design and release new products in a timely manner that meet our customers needs;

changes in manufacturing costs, including wafer, test and assembly costs, mask costs, manufacturing yields and product quality and reliability;

the timing and availability of adequate manufacturing capacity from our manufacturing suppliers;

the timing of announcements by our competitors or us;

future accounting pronouncements and changes in accounting policies;

volatility in our stock price, which may lead to higher stock compensation expenses;

general economic and political conditions in the countries where we operate or our products are sold or used; costs associated with litigation, especially related to intellectual property; and

productivity and growth of our sales and marketing force.

Unfavorable changes in any of the above factors, most of which are beyond our control, could significantly harm our business and results of operations.

We may not sustain our growth rate, and we may not be able to manage any future growth effectively.

We have experienced significant growth in a short period of time. Our revenues increased from approximately \$19.4 million in 2005 to \$34.2 million in 2006 and \$54.2 million in 2007. We may not achieve similar growth rates

11

Table of Contents

in future periods. You should not rely on our operating results for any prior quarterly or annual periods as an indication of our future operating performance. If we are unable to maintain adequate revenue growth, our financial results could suffer and our stock price could decline.

To manage our growth successfully and handle the responsibilities of being a public company, we believe we must effectively, among other things:

recruit, hire, train and manage additional qualified engineers for our research and development activities, especially in the positions of design engineering, product and test engineering, and applications engineering;

add additional sales personnel and expand sales offices;

implement and improve our administrative, financial and operational systems, procedures and controls; and

enhance our information technology support for enterprise resource planning and design engineering by adapting and expanding our systems and tool capabilities, and properly training new hires as to their use.

If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities or develop new products and we may fail to satisfy customer requirements, maintain product quality, execute our business plan or respond to competitive pressures.

The average selling prices of products in our markets have historically decreased over time and will likely do so in the future, which could harm our revenues and gross profits.

Average selling prices of semiconductor products in the markets we serve have historically decreased over time. Our gross profits and financial results will suffer if we are unable to offset any reductions in our average selling prices by reducing our costs, developing new or enhanced products on a timely basis with higher selling prices or gross profits, or increasing our sales volumes. Additionally, because we do not operate our own manufacturing, assembly or testing facilities, we may not be able to reduce our costs as rapidly as companies that operate their own facilities, and our costs may even increase, which could also reduce our margins. We have reduced the prices of our products in anticipation of future competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by us or our competitors and other factors. We expect that we will have to do so again in the future.

We may be unsuccessful in developing and selling new products or in penetrating new markets.

We operate in a dynamic environment characterized by rapidly changing technologies and industry standards and technological obsolescence. Our competitiveness and future success depend on our ability to design, develop, manufacture, assemble, test, market and support new products and enhancements on a timely and cost effective basis. A fundamental shift in technologies in any of our product markets could harm our competitive position within these markets. Our failure to anticipate these shifts, to develop new technologies or to react to changes in existing technologies could materially delay our development of new products, which could result in product obsolescence, decreased revenues and a loss of design wins to our competitors. The success of a new product depends on accurate forecasts of long-term market demand and future technological developments, as well as on a variety of specific implementation factors, including:

timely and efficient completion of process design and transfer to manufacturing, assembly and test processes;

the quality, performance and reliability of the product; and

effective marketing, sales and service.

If we fail to introduce new products that meet the demand of our customers or penetrate new markets that we target our resources on, our revenues will likely decrease over time and our financial condition could suffer.

Fluctuations in the mix of products sold may adversely affect our financial results.

Because of the wide price differences among our processors, the mix and types of performance capabilities of processors sold affect the average selling price of our products and have a substantial impact on our revenue.

12

Table of Contents

Generally, sales of higher performance products have higher gross margins than sales of lower performance products. We currently offer both higher and lower performance products within each of our NITROX and OCTEON product families. To the extent our sales mix shifts toward increased sales of lower performance products, our overall gross margins will be negatively affected. Fluctuations in the mix and types of our products may also affect the extent to which we are able to recover our fixed costs and investments that are associated with a particular product, and as a result can negatively impact our financial results.

Our products must meet exact specifications, and defects and failures may occur, which may cause customers to return or stop buying our products.

Our customers generally establish demanding specifications for quality, performance and reliability that our products must meet. However, our products are highly complex and may contain defects and failures when they are first introduced or as new versions are released. If defects and failures occur in our products during the design phase or after, we could experience lost revenues, increased costs, including warranty expense and costs associated with customer support, delays in or cancellations or rescheduling of orders or shipments, product returns or discounts, diversion of management resources or damage to our reputation and brand equity, and in some cases consequential damages, any of which would harm our operating results. In addition, delays in our ability to fill product orders as a result of quality control issues may negatively impact our relationship with our customers. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient resources, including any available insurance, to satisfy any asserted claims.

We may have difficulty selling our products if our customers do not design our products into their systems, and the nature of the design process requires us to incur expenses prior to recognizing revenues associated with those expenses which may adversely affect our financial results.

One of our primary focuses is on winning competitive bid selection processes, known as design wins, to develop products for use in our customers products. We devote significant time and resources in working with our customers system designers to understand their future needs and to provide products that we believe will meet those needs and these bid selection processes can be lengthy. If a customer s system designer initially chooses a competitor s product, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our products for use in that system because changing suppliers can involve significant cost, time, effort and risk for our customers. Thus, our failure to win a competitive bid can result in our foregoing revenues from a given customer s product line for the life of that product. In addition, design opportunities may be infrequent or may be delayed. Our ability to compete in the future will depend, in large part, on our ability to design products to ensure compliance with our customers and potential customers specifications. We expect to invest significant time and resources and to incur significant expenses to design our products to ensure compliance with relevant specifications.

We often incur significant expenditures in the development of a new product without any assurance that our customers system designers will select our product for use in their applications. We often are required to anticipate which product designs will generate demand in advance of our customers expressly indicating a need for that particular design. Even if our customers system designers select our products, a substantial period of time will elapse before we generate revenues related to the significant expenses we have incurred.

The reasons for this delay generally include the following elements of our product sales and development cycle timeline and related influences:

our customers usually require a comprehensive technical evaluation of our products before they incorporate them into their designs;

it can take from nine months to three years from the time our products are selected to commence commercial shipments; and our customers may experience changed market conditions or product development issues. The resources devoted to product development and sales and marketing may not generate material revenue for us, and from time to time, we may need to write off excess and obsolete inventory if we have produced product in anticipation of expected demand. We may spend resources on the development of products that our customers may not adopt. If we incur significant expenses and investments in inventory in the future that we are not able to recover, and we are not able to compensate for those expenses, our operating results could be adversely affected. In addition, if we sell our products at reduced prices in anticipation of cost reductions but still hold higher cost products in inventory, our operating results would be harmed.

Table of Contents

Additionally, even if system designers use our products in their systems, we cannot assure you that these systems will be commercially successful or that we will receive significant revenue from the sales of processors for those systems. As a result, we may be unable to accurately forecast the volume and timing of our orders and revenues associated with any new product introductions.

If customers do not believe our products solve a critical need, our revenues will decline.

Our products are used in networking and security equipment including routers, switches, UTM appliances, intelligent switches, application-aware gateways, triple-play gateways, WLAN and 3G access and aggregation devices, storage networking equipment, servers, and intelligent network interface cards.

In order to meet our growth and strategic objectives, providers of networking equipment must continue to incorporate our products into their systems and the demands for their systems must grow as well. Our future depends in large part on factors outside our control, and the sale of next-generation networks may not meet our revenue growth and strategic objectives.

In the event we terminate one of our distributor arrangements, it could lead to a loss of revenues and possible product returns.

A portion of our sales are made through third-party distribution agreements. Termination of a distributor relationship, either by us or by the distributor, could result in a temporary or permanent loss of revenues, until a replacement distributor can be established to service the affected end-user customers. We may not be successful in finding suitable alternative distributors on satisfactory terms or at all and this could adversely affect our ability to sell in certain locations or to certain end-user customers. Additionally, if we terminate our relationship with a distributor, we may be obligated to repurchase unsold products. We record a reserve for estimated returns and price credits. If actual returns and credits exceed our estimates, our operating results could be harmed. Our arrangements with our distributors typically also include price protection provisions if we reduce our list prices.

We rely on our ecosystem partners to enhance our product offerings and our inability to continue to develop or maintain such relationships in the future would harm our ability to remain competitive.

We have developed relationships with third parties, which we refer to as ecosystem partners, which provide operating systems, tool support, reference designs and other services designed for specific uses with our SoCs. We believe that these relationships enhance our customers—ability to get their products to market quickly. If we are unable to continue to develop or maintain these relationships, we might not be able to enhance our customers—ability to commercialize their products in a timely fashion and our ability to remain competitive would be harmed.

The loss of any of our key personnel could seriously harm our business, and our failure to attract or retain specialized technical, management or sales and marketing talent could impair our ability to grow our business.

We believe our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled managerial, engineering, sales and marketing personnel. The loss of any key employees or the inability to attract, retain or motivate qualified personnel, including engineers and sales and marketing personnel, could delay the development and introduction of and harm our ability to sell our products. We believe that our future success is highly dependent on the contributions of Syed Ali, our co-founder, President and Chief Executive Officer, and others. None of our employees have fixed-term employment contracts; they are all at-will employees. The loss of the services of Mr. Ali, other executive officers or certain other key personnel could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. For instance, if any of these individuals were to leave our company

unexpectedly, we could face substantial difficulty in hiring qualified successors and could experience a loss in productivity during the search for and while any such successor is integrated into our business and operations.

There is currently a shortage of qualified technical personnel with significant experience in the design, development, manufacturing, marketing and sales of integrated circuits. In particular, there is a shortage of engineers who are familiar with the intricacies of the design and manufacture of networking processors, and

14

Table of Contents

competition for these engineers is intense. Our key technical personnel represent a significant asset and serve as the source of our technological and product innovations. We may not be successful in attracting, retaining and motivating sufficient numbers of technical personnel to support our anticipated growth.

To date, we have relied primarily on our direct marketing and sales force to drive new customer design wins and to sell our products. Because we are looking to expand our customer base and grow our sales to existing customers, we will need to hire additional qualified sales personnel in the near term and beyond if we are to achieve revenue growth. The competition for qualified marketing and sales personnel in our industry, and particularly in Silicon Valley, is very intense. If we are unable to hire, train, deploy and manage qualified sales personnel in a timely manner, our ability to grow our business will be impaired. In addition, if we are unable to retain our existing sales personnel, our ability to maintain or grow our current level of revenues will be adversely affected.

Stock options generally comprise a significant portion of our compensation packages for all employees. The FASB requirement to expense the fair value of stock options awarded to employees beginning in the first quarter of our fiscal 2006 has increased our operating expenses and may cause us to reevaluate our compensation structure for our employees. Our inability to attract, retain and motivate additional key employees could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have a limited operating history, and we may have difficulty accurately predicting our future revenues for the purpose of appropriately budgeting and adjusting our expenses.

We were established in 2000. We have not been profitable in any fiscal period until the quarter ended September 30, 2007. We experienced net income of \$2.0 million and \$2.2 million for the three months and twelve months ended December 31, 2007. Since we have only two quarters of operating profitability, we do not have an extended history from which to predict and manage profitability. Our limited operating experience, a dynamic and rapidly evolving market in which we sell our products, our dependence on a limited number of customers, as well as numerous other factors beyond our control, impede our ability to forecast quarterly and annual revenues accurately. As a result, we could experience budgeting and cash flow management problems, unexpected fluctuations in our results of operations and other difficulties, any of which could make it difficult for us to gain and maintain profitability and could increase the volatility of the market price of our common stock.

Some of our operations and a significant portion of our customers and contract manufacturers are located outside of the United States, which subjects us to additional risks, including increased complexity and costs of managing international operations and geopolitical instability.

We have sales offices and research and development facilities and we conduct, and expect to continue to conduct, a significant amount of our business with companies that are located outside the United States, particularly in Asia and Europe. Even customers of ours that are based in the United States often use contract manufacturers based in Asia to manufacture their systems, and it is the contract manufacturers that purchase products directly from us. As a result of our international focus, we face numerous challenges, including:

increased complexity and costs of managing international operations;

longer and more difficult collection of receivables;

difficulties in enforcing contracts generally;

geopolitical and economic instability and military conflicts;

limited protection of our intellectual property and other assets;

compliance with local laws and regulations and unanticipated changes in local laws and regulations, including tax laws and regulations;

trade and foreign exchange restrictions and higher tariffs;

travel restrictions;

15

Table of Contents

timing and availability of import and export licenses and other governmental approvals, permits and licenses, including export classification requirements;

foreign currency exchange fluctuations relating to our international operating activities;

transportation delays and limited local infrastructure and disruptions, such as large scale outages or interruptions of service from utilities or telecommunications providers;

difficulties in staffing international operations;

heightened risk of terrorism;

local business and cultural factors that differ from our normal standards and practices;

differing employment practices and labor issues;

regional health issues (e.g., SARS) and natural disasters; and

work stoppages.

We outsource our wafer fabrication, assembly, testing, warehousing and shipping operations to third parties, and rely on these parties to produce and deliver our products according to requested demands in specification, quantity, cost and time.

We rely on third parties for substantially all of our manufacturing operations, including wafer fabrication, assembly, testing, warehousing and shipping. We depend on these parties to supply us with material of a requested quantity in a timely manner that meets our standards for yield, cost and manufacturing quality. We do not have any long-term supply agreements with our manufacturing suppliers. Any problems with our manufacturing supply chain could adversely impact our ability to ship our products to our customers on time and in the quantity required, which in turn could cause an unanticipated decline in our sales and possibly damage our customer relationships.

The fabrication of integrated circuits is a complex and technically demanding process. Our foundries could, from time to time, experience manufacturing defects and reduced manufacturing yields. Changes in manufacturing processes or the inadvertent use of defective or contaminated materials by our foundries could result in lower than anticipated manufacturing yields or unacceptable performance. Many of these problems are difficult to detect at an early stage of the manufacturing process and may be time consuming and expensive to correct. Poor yields from our foundries, or defects, integration issues or other performance problems in our products could cause us significant customer relations and business reputation problems, harm our financial results and result in financial or other damages to our customers. Our customers could also seek damages from us for their losses. A product liability claim brought against us, even if unsuccessful, would likely be time consuming and costly to defend.

Our products are manufactured at a limited number of locations. If we experience manufacturing problems at a particular location, we would be required to transfer manufacturing to a backup location or supplier. Converting or transferring manufacturing from a primary location or supplier to a backup fabrication facility could be expensive and could take one to two quarters. During such a transition, we would be required to meet customer demand from our then-existing inventory, as well as any partially finished goods that can be modified to the required product specifications. As a result, we may not be able to meet customer needs during such a transition, which could delay shipments, cause a production delay or stoppage for our customers, result in a decline in our sales and damage our

customer relationships. In addition, we have no long-term supply contracts with the foundries that we work with. Availability of foundry capacity has in the recent past been reduced due to strong demand. The ability of each foundry to provide us with semiconductor devices is limited by its available capacity and existing obligations. Foundry capacity may not be available when we need it or at reasonable prices.

In addition, a significant portion of our sales is to customers that practice just-in-time order management from their suppliers, which gives us a very limited amount of time in which to process and complete these orders. As a result, delays in our production or shipping by the parties to whom we outsource these functions could reduce our sales, damage our customer relationships and damage our reputation in the marketplace, any of which could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

16

Table of Contents

Any increase in the manufacturing cost of our products could reduce our gross margins and operating profit.

The semiconductor business exhibits ongoing competitive pricing pressure from customers and competitors. Accordingly, any increase in the cost of our products, whether by adverse purchase price variances or adverse manufacturing cost variances, will reduce our gross margins and operating profit. We do not have any long-term supply agreements with our manufacturing suppliers and we typically negotiate pricing on a purchase order by purchase order basis. Consequently, we may not be able to obtain price reductions or anticipate or prevent future price increases from our suppliers.

Some of our competitors may be better financed than we are, may have long-term agreements with our main foundries and may induce our foundries to reallocate capacity to those customers. This reallocation could impair our ability to secure the supply of components that we need. Although we use several independent foundries to manufacture substantially all of our semiconductor products, most of our components are not manufactured at more than one foundry at any given time, and our products typically are designed to be manufactured in a specific process at only one of these foundries. Accordingly, if one of our foundries is unable to provide us with components as needed, we could experience significant delays in securing sufficient supplies of those components. We cannot assure you that any of our existing or new foundries will be able to produce integrated circuits with acceptable manufacturing yields, or that our foundries will be able to deliver enough semiconductor devices to us on a timely basis, or at reasonable prices. These and other related factors could impair our ability to meet our customers needs and have a material and adverse effect on our operating results.

In order to secure sufficient foundry capacity when demand is high and mitigate the risks described in the foregoing paragraph, we may enter into various arrangements with suppliers that could be costly and harm our operating results, such as nonrefundable deposits with or loans to foundries in exchange for capacity commitments and contracts that commit us to purchase specified quantities of integrated circuits over extended periods. We may not be able to make any such arrangement in a timely fashion or at all, and any arrangements may be costly, reduce our financial flexibility, and not be on terms favorable to us. Moreover, if we are able to secure foundry capacity, we may be obligated to use all of that capacity or incur penalties. These penalties may be expensive and could harm our financial results.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud. Any inability to provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud could harm our business. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management and our auditors to evaluate and assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We will be required to adhere to these requirements by the end of calendar year 2008. These Sarbanes-Oxley Act requirements may be modified, supplemented or amended from time to time. Implementing these changes may take a significant amount of time and may require specific compliance training of our personnel. In the future, we may discover areas of our internal controls that need improvement. If our auditors or we discover a material weakness, the disclosure of that fact, even if quickly remedied, could reduce the market s confidence in our financial statements and harm our stock price. We may not be able to effectively and timely implement necessary control changes and employee training to ensure continued compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other regulatory and reporting requirements. Our rapid growth in recent periods, and our possible future expansion through acquisitions, present challenges to maintain the internal control and disclosure control standards applicable to public companies. If we fail to maintain effective internal controls, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and sanctions and investors could lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports. We cannot assure you that we will be able to fully comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or that management or our auditors will conclude that our internal controls are effective in future periods.

We rely on third-party technologies for the development of our products and our inability to use such technologies in the future would harm our ability to remain competitive.

We rely on third parties for technologies that are integrated into our products, such as wafer fabrication and assembly and test technologies used by our contract manufacturers, as well as licensed MIPS architecture

17

Table of Contents

technologies. If we are unable to continue to use or license these technologies on reasonable terms, or if these technologies fail to operate properly, we may not be able to secure alternatives in a timely manner and our ability to remain competitive would be harmed. In addition, if we are unable to successfully license technology from third parties to develop future products, we may not be able to develop such products in a timely manner or at all.

Our failure to protect our intellectual property rights adequately could impair our ability to compete effectively or to defend ourselves from litigation, which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely primarily on patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality and nondisclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies and know-how. We have been issued 13 patents in the United States and two patents in foreign countries and have additional 24 patent applications pending in the United States and 21 patent applications pending in foreign countries. Even if the pending patent applications are granted, the rights granted to us may not be meaningful or provide us with any commercial advantage. For example, these patents could be opposed, contested, circumvented or designed around by our competitors or be declared invalid or unenforceable in judicial or administrative proceedings. The failure of our patents to adequately protect our technology might make it easier for our competitors to offer similar products or technologies. Our foreign patent protection is generally not as comprehensive as our United States. patent protection and may not protect our intellectual property in some countries where our products are sold or may be sold in the future. Many United States-based companies have encountered substantial intellectual property infringement in foreign countries, including countries where we sell products. Even if foreign patents are granted, effective enforcement in foreign countries may not be available.

Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Although we are not aware of any unauthorized use of our intellectual property in the past, it is possible that unauthorized use of our intellectual property may have occurred or may occur without our knowledge. We cannot assure you that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use of our intellectual property.

Our failure to effectively protect our intellectual property could reduce the value of our technology in licensing arrangements or in cross-licensing negotiations, and could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition. We may in the future need to initiate infringement claims or litigation. Litigation, whether we are a plaintiff or a defendant, can be expensive, time consuming and may divert the efforts of our technical staff and managerial personnel, which could harm our business, whether or not such litigation results in a determination favorable to us.

Some of the software used with our products, as well as that of some of our customers, may be derived from so called open source software that is generally made available to the public by its authors and/or other third parties. Such open source software is often made available to us under licenses, such as the GNU General Public License, which impose certain obligations on us in the event we were to make available derivative works of the open source software. These obligations may require us to make source code for the derivative works available to the public, and/or license such derivative works under a particular type of license, rather than the forms of license customarily used to protect our intellectual property. In addition, there is little or no legal precedent for interpreting the terms of certain of these open source licenses, including the determination of which works are subject to the terms of such licenses. While we believe we have complied with our obligations under the various applicable licenses for open source software, in the event the copyright holder of any open source software were to successfully establish in court that we had not complied with the terms of a license for a particular work, we could be required to release the source code of that work to the public and/or stop distribution of that work.

Assertions by third parties of infringement by us of their intellectual property rights could result in significant costs and cause our operating results to suffer.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights and positions, which has resulted in protracted and expensive litigation for many companies. We expect that in the future we may receive, particularly as a public company, communications from various industry participants alleging our infringement of their patents, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights. Any lawsuits resulting

18

Table of Contents

from such allegations could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate our proprietary rights. Any potential intellectual property litigation also could force us to do one or more of the following:

stop selling products or using technology that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property;

lose the opportunity to license our technology to others or to collect royalty payments based upon successful protection and assertion of our intellectual property against others; incur significant legal expenses;

pay substantial damages to the party whose intellectual property rights we may be found to be infringing;

redesign those products that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property; or

attempt to obtain a license to the relevant intellectual property from third parties, which may not be available on reasonable terms or at all.

Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights from any litigation we face could harm our business and our ability to compete.

Our customers could also become the target of litigation relating to the patent and other intellectual property rights of others. This could trigger technical support and indemnification obligations in some of our licenses or customer agreements. These obligations could result in substantial expenses, including the payment by us of costs and damages relating to claims of intellectual property infringement. In addition to the time and expense required for us to provide support or indemnification to our customers, any such litigation could disrupt the businesses of our customers, which in turn could hurt our relationships with our customers and cause the sale of our products to decrease. We cannot assure you that claims for indemnification will not be made or that if made, such claims would not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial conditions.

Our third-party contractors are concentrated primarily in Taiwan, an area subject to earthquake and other risks. Any disruption to the operations of these contractors could cause significant delays in the production or shipment of our products.

Substantially all of our products are manufactured by third-party contractors located in Taiwan. The risk of an earthquake in Taiwan and elsewhere in the Pacific Rim region is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines to the facilities of our foundries and assembly and test subcontractors. For example, several major earthquakes have occurred in Taiwan since our incorporation in 2000. Although our third-party contractors did not suffer any significant damage as a result of these most recent earthquakes, the occurrence of additional earthquakes or other natural disasters could result in the disruption of our foundry or assembly and test capacity. Any disruption resulting from such events could cause significant delays in the production or shipment of our products until we are able to shift our manufacturing, assembling or testing from the affected contractor to another third-party vendor. We may not be able to obtain alternate capacity on favorable terms, if at all.

The semiconductor and communications industries have historically experienced significant fluctuations with prolonged downturns, which could impact our operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

The semiconductor industry has historically exhibited cyclical behavior, which at various times has included significant downturns in customer demand. Though we have not yet experienced any of these industry downturns, we may in the future. Because a significant portion of our expenses is fixed in the near term or is incurred in advance of anticipated sales, we may not be able to decrease our expenses rapidly enough to offset any unanticipated shortfall in revenues. If this situation were to occur, it could adversely affect our operating results, cash flow and financial

condition. Furthermore, the semiconductor industry has periodically experienced periods of increased demand and production constraints. If this happens in the future, we may not be able to produce sufficient quantities of our products to meet the increased demand. We may also have difficulty in obtaining sufficient wafer, assembly and test resources from our subcontract manufacturers. Any factor adversely affecting the semiconductor industry in general, or the particular segments of the industry that our products target, may adversely affect our ability to generate revenue and could negatively impact our operating results.

The communications industry has, in the past, experienced pronounced downturns, and these cycles may continue in the future. To respond to a downturn, many networking equipment providers may slow their research

19

Table of Contents

and development activities, cancel or delay new product development, reduce their inventories and take a cautious approach to acquiring our products, which would have a significant negative impact on our business. If this situation were to occur, it could adversely affect our operating results, cash flow and financial condition. In the future, any of these trends may also cause our operating results to fluctuate significantly from year to year, which may increase the volatility of the price of our stock.

We may experience difficulties in transitioning to new wafer fabrication process technologies or in achieving higher levels of design integration, which may result in reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased expenses.

In order to remain competitive, we expect to continue to transition our semiconductor products to increasingly smaller line width geometries. This transition requires us to modify our designs to work with the manufacturing processes of our foundries. We periodically evaluate the benefits, on a product-by-product basis, of migrating to new process technologies to reduce cost and improve performance. We may face difficulties, delays and expenses as we continue to transition our products to new processes. We are dependent on our relationships with our foundry contractors to transition to new processes successfully. We cannot assure you that the foundries that we use will be able to effectively manage the transition or that we will be able to maintain our existing foundry relationships or develop new ones. If any of our foundry contractors or we experience significant delays in this transition or fail to efficiently implement this transition, we could experience reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased expenses, all of which could harm our relationships with our customers and our results of operations. As new processes become more prevalent, we expect to continue to integrate greater levels of functionality, as well as customer and third-party intellectual property, into our products. However, we may not be able to achieve higher levels of design integration or deliver new integrated products on a timely basis.

Any acquisitions we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

In the future, we may choose to acquire companies that are complementary to our business, including for the purpose of expanding our new product design capacity, introducing new design, market or application skills or enhancing and expanding our existing product lines. In connection with any such future acquisitions, we may need to use a significant portion of our available cash, issue additional equity securities that would dilute current stockholders percentage ownership and incur substantial debt or contingent liabilities. Such actions could adversely impact our operating results and the market price of our common stock. In addition, difficulties in assimilating any acquired workforce, merging operations or avoiding unplanned attrition could disrupt or harm our business. Furthermore, the purchase price of any acquired businesses may exceed the current fair values of the net tangible assets of the acquired businesses. As a result, we would be required to record material amounts of goodwill, and acquired in-process research and development charges and other intangible assets, which could result in significant impairment and acquired in-process research and development charges and amortization expense in future periods. These charges, in addition to the results of operations of such acquired businesses, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot forecast the number, timing or size of future acquisitions, or the effect that any such acquisitions might have on our operating or financial results.

We may need to raise additional capital, which might not be available or which, if available, may be on terms that are not favorable to use.

We believe our existing cash balances and cash expected to be generated from our operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital, capital expenditures and other needs for at least the next twelve months. In the future, we may need to raise additional funds, and we cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, if at all. If we issue equity securities to raise additional funds, the ownership percentage of our stockholders would be reduced, and the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to

those of existing holders of our common stock. If we borrow money, we may incur significant interest charges, which could harm our profitability. Holders of debt would also have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our common stock. If we cannot raise needed funds on acceptable terms, we may not be able to develop or enhance our products, take advantage of future opportunities or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated requirements, which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

20

Table of Contents

Our future effective tax rates could be affected by the allocation of our income among different geographic regions, which could affect our future operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

We are in the process of expanding our international operations and staff to better support our expansion into international markets. This expansion includes the implementation of an international structure that includes, among other things, a research and development cost-sharing arrangement, certain licenses and other contractual arrangements between us and our wholly-owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries. As a result of these changes, we anticipate that our consolidated pre-tax income will be subject to foreign tax at relatively lower tax rates when compared to the United States federal statutory tax rate and, as a consequence, our effective income tax rate is expected to be lower than the United States federal statutory rate. Our future effective income tax rates could be adversely affected if tax authorities challenge our international tax structure or if the relative mix of United States and international income changes for any reason. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our income tax rate will be less than the United States federal statutory rate.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

The market price of our common stock may be volatile, which could cause the value of your investment to decline.

The trading prices of the securities of technology companies have been highly volatile. Further, our common stock has a limited trading history. Since our initial public offering in May 2007 through December 31, 2007, our stock price has fluctuated from a low of \$13.50 to a high of \$35.60. We cannot predict the extent to which the trading market will continue to develop or how liquid the market may become. The trading price of our common stock is therefore likely to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in price in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

quarterly variations in our results of operations or those of our competitors;

general economic conditions and slow or negative growth of related markets;

announcements by us or our competitors of design wins, acquisitions, new products, significant contracts, commercial relationships or capital commitments;

our ability to develop and market new and enhanced products on a timely basis;

commencement of, or our involvement in, litigation;

disruption to our operations;

the emergence of new sales channels in which we are unable to compete effectively;

any major change in our board of directors or management;

changes in financial estimates including our ability to meet our future revenue and operating profit or loss projections;

changes in governmental regulations; and

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts.

Furthermore, the stock market in general, and the market for semiconductor and other technology companies in particular, have experienced price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry factors may seriously harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. These trading price fluctuations may also make it more difficult for us to use our common stock as a means to make acquisitions or to use options to purchase our common stock to attract and retain employees. In addition, in the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of a company s securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against these companies. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management s attention and resources.

21

Table of Contents

A limited number of stockholders may have the ability to influence the outcome of director elections and other matters requiring stockholder approval.

Our directors, executive officers and principal stockholders and their affiliates beneficially own approximately 48% of our outstanding common stock as of March 7, 2008. These stockholders, if they acted together, could exert substantial influence over matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including electing directors, adopting new compensation plans and approving mergers, acquisitions or other business combination transactions. This concentration of ownership may discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company, which could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their stock as part of a sale of our company and might reduce our stock price. These actions may be taken even if they are opposed by our other stockholders.

Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could delay or discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. These provisions include the following:

the division of our board of directors into three classes;

the right of the board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the board of directors or due to the resignation or departure of an existing board member;

the prohibition of cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;

the requirement for the advance notice of nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at a stockholders meeting;

the ability of our board of directors to alter our bylaws without obtaining stockholder approval;

the ability of the board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with terms set by the board of directors, which rights could be senior to those of our common stock;

the elimination of the rights of stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders and to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting;

the required approval of at least 662/3% of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws or repeal the provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation regarding the election and removal of directors and the inability of stockholders to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting; and

the required approval of at least a majority of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors to remove directors without cause.

In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. These provisions may prohibit large stockholders, particularly those owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from merging or combining with us. These provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and under Delaware law could discourage potential takeover attempts, could reduce the price that investors are willing to pay for shares of our common stock in the future and could

potentially result in the market price being lower than they would without these provisions.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

Our principal executive offices are located in a leased facility in Mountain View, California, consisting of approximately 32,500 square feet of office space under lease that expires at the end of August 2011. This facility

22

Table of Contents

accommodates our principal software engineering, sales, marketing, operations and finance and administrative activities. We also occupy space in Marlboro, Massachusetts, consisting of up to 23,532 square feet under a sublease that expires at the end of January 2009, which accommodates our product design team. We also lease offices in Hyderabad and Chennai, India. We do not own any real property. We believe that our leased facilities are adequate to meet our current needs and that additional facilities are available for lease to meet future needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings the outcome of which, if determined adversely to us, would individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2007.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information for Common Stock

Our common stock has been quoted on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol CAVM since our initial public offering on May 2, 2007. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. As of January 31, 2008, there were approximately 207 holders of record of our common stock.

The following table sets forth for the indicated periods the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Market.

	High	Low
Second Quarter 2007 (from May 2, 2007)	\$ 26.27	\$ 16.44
Third Quarter 2007	\$ 35.60	\$ 21.53
Fourth Quarter 2007	\$ 34.75	\$ 21.63

The closing sale price for our common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Market was \$15.33 per share on March 7, 2008.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock. Our board of directors currently intends to retain any future earnings to support operations and to finance the growth and development of our business and does not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any future determination related to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph(1)

The graph set forth below shows a comparison of the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock between May 2, 2007 (the date of our initial public offering) and December 31, 2007, with the cumulative total return of (i) the NASDAQ Computer Index and (ii) the NASDAQ Composite Index, over the same period. This graph assumes the investment of \$100,000 on May 2, 2007 in our common stock, the NASDAQ Computer Index and the NASDAQ Composite Index, and assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any. The graph assumes the initial value of our common stock on May 2, 2007 was the closing sales price of \$16.45 per share. The stockholder return shown in the graph below is not necessarily indicative of, nor is it intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our common stock, and we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future stockholder returns. Information used in the graph was obtained from Yahoo, Inc., a source believed to be reliable, but we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in such information.

	5/2/2007	6/30/2007	9/30/2007	12/31/2007
Cavium Networks	100.0	137.5	197.6	139.9
Nasdaq Composite Index	100.0	101.8	105.6	103.7
Nasdaq Computer Index	100.0	104.1	109.6	115.8

(1) This Section is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Act, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

(a) Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we granted stock options to purchase an aggregate of 361,375 shares of common stock to our employees and directors under our 2001 Stock Plan at an exercise price of \$8.52 per share. These issuances were undertaken in reliance upon the exemption from registration requirements of Rule 701 or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

On July 12, 2007, we issued an aggregate of 28,239 shares of our common stock to Gold Hill Venture Lending pursuant to its net exercise of warrants to purchase 37,813 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.58 per share. No cash was paid to us for such issuance of our common stock.

24

Table of Contents

On October 4, 2007, we issued an aggregate of 58,517 shares of our common stock to Silicon Valley Bank pursuant to its net exercise of warrants to purchase 17,187 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.58 per share and 47,619 shares at an exercise price of \$2.10 per share. No cash was paid to us for such issuance of our common stock.

The above issuances were deemed to be exempt from registration under Rule 506 Regulation D of the Securities Act, each as a transaction by an issuer not involving any public offering to accredited investors.

(b) Use of Proceeds from Public Offering of Common Stock

Our initial public offering of common stock was effected through a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-140660), that was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 1, 2007. We registered 7,762,500 shares of our common stock with a proposed maximum aggregate offering price of \$104.8 million, all of which we sold. The offering was completed after the sale of all 7,762,500 shares. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Lehman Brothers Inc. were the joint book-running managing underwriters of our initial public offering and Thomas Weisel Partners LLC, Needham & Company, LLC and JMP Securities LLC acted as co-managers. Of this amount, \$7.3 million was paid in underwriting discounts and commissions, and an additional \$2.8 million of expenses were incurred. The net offering proceeds after these expenses were deducted was \$94.7 million.

Management has broad discretion over the uses of the proceeds of the initial public offering. In May 2007 we used \$3.6 million of the net proceeds to repay the outstanding balances under the term loan with Silicon Valley Bank. We expect to use the remaining net proceeds for working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes. Pending the uses described above, we intend to invest the net proceeds in a variety of short-term, interest-bearing, investment grade securities. None of the expenses were paid, directly or indirectly, to directors, officers or persons owning 10% or more of our common stock, or to our affiliates other than payments in the ordinary course of business to officers for salaries and to non-employee directors as compensation for board or board committee service.

(c) Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

25

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations' section and other financial information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, and the summary consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the summary consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, are derived from audited consolidated financial statements which are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period.

	2007		2003							
	((In thousands, except share and per share data)								
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:										
Revenue	\$ 54,203	\$	34,205	\$	19,377	\$	7,411	\$	2,433	
Cost of revenue(1)(2)	19,898		13,092		7,865		3,080		773	
Gross profit	34,305		21,113		11,512		4,331		1,660	
Operating expenses: Research and development(2)	19,548		18,651		16,005		12,010		9,970	
Sales, general and administrative(2)	14,688		10,058		6,840		3,752		2,745	
Total operating expenses	34,236		28,709		22,845		15,762		12,715	
Income (loss) from operations	69		(7,596)		(11,333)		(11,431)		(11,055)	
Other income (expense), net:										
Interest expense	(622)		(707)		(183)		(388)		(47)	
Warrant revaluation expense	(573)		(467)		(411)					
Interest income and other	3,458		345		355		86		97	
Total other income (expense), net	2,263		(829)		(239)		(302)		50	
Income (loss) before income tax expense and cumulative effect										
of change in accounting principle Income tax expense	2,332 (142)		(8,425) (560)		(11,572)		(11,733)		(11,005)	
	2,190		(8,985)		(11,572)		(11,733)		(11,005)	

Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in					
accounting principle			(100)		
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,190	\$ (8,985)	\$ (11,672)	\$ (11,733)	\$ (11,005)
Net income (loss) per common share, basic Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common	\$ 0.08	\$ (1.11)	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.82)	\$ (2.14)
share Net income (loss) per common	29,005,743	8,065,995	7,318,607	6,459,050	5,130,794
share, diluted Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per	\$ 0.07	\$ (1.11)	\$ (1.59)	\$ (1.82)	\$ (2.14)
common share	32,431,976	8,065,995	7,318,607	6,459,050	5,130,794
		26			

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes acquired intangible asset amortization of \$860, \$1,116, \$1,007, \$254 and none, in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.
- (2) Includes stock-based compensation expense as follows:
- (3) We applied the provisions of FAS 123(R) in 2006 and 2007.

	Year Ended December 31,																		
	2007(3)		2006(3)		2006(3)		2006(3)		2006(3)		(3) 2006(3)		3) 2006(3)		2007(3) 2006(3)		2005	2004	2003
				(In th	ousands)														
Cost of revenue	\$	43	\$	9	\$	\$	\$												
Research and development		805		396	10														
Sales, general and administrative	1	,021		340	75	85													

	Year Ended December 31,									
		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
					(In t	thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	98,462	\$	10,154	\$	7,879	\$	18,381	\$	11,384
Working capital		105,048		11,689		6,160		17,718		11,198
Total assets		134,610		29,962		20,219		28,731		17,991
Preferred stock warrant liability				701		1,184				
Capital lease and technology license										
obligations		8,530		3,580		3,087				
Notes payable				4,000						
Other non-current liabilities				39		74				
Convertible preferred stock				72,437		61,820		62,339		41,494
Common stock and additional paid-in										
capital		175,580		3,740		1,261		641		477
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	\$	116,850	\$	(57,180)	\$	(50,674)	\$	(39,776)	\$	(28,530)

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), which are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. Such statements are based upon our management s believes and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. For example, words such as may, predici will. should. could. would. estimates. potential, continue, strategy, believes. anticipates, plans, expects, intends and variations of such words expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance, time frames or achievements to be materially difference from any future results, performance, time frames or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are

discussed in greater detail under the heading Risk Factors. Given these risks, uncertainties and other factors, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this filing. You should read this Annual Report on Form 10-K completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We hereby qualify our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

27

Table of Contents

Overview

We are a provider of highly integrated semiconductor products that enable intelligent processing for networking, communications and security applications. We market and sell our products to providers of networking equipment that sell their products into the enterprise network, data center, broadband and consumer, and access and service provider markets. Our products are used in a broad array of networking equipment, including routers, switches, content-aware switches, UTM and other security appliances, application-aware gateways, voice/video/data, or triple-play, gateways, WLAN and 3G access and aggregation devices, storage networking equipment, servers and intelligent network interface cards. We focus our resources on the design, sales and marketing of our products, and outsource the manufacturing of our products.

From our incorporation in 2000 through 2003, we were primarily engaged in the design and development of our first processor family, NITROX, which we began shipping commercially in 2003. In 2004, we introduced and commenced commercial shipments of NITROX Soho. In 2006, we commenced our first commercial shipments of our OCTEON family of multi-core MIPS64 processors. We introduced a number of new products within all three of these product families in 2006. In 2007 we introduced our new line of OCTEON based Storage Services Processors designed to address the specific needs in the storage market, as well as other new products in the OCTEON and NITROX families. Since inception, we have invested heavily in new product development and achieved our first quarter of profitability during the quarter ended September 30, 2007. Our revenue has grown from \$19.4 million in 2005 to \$34.2 million in 2006 and \$54.2 million in 2007, driven primarily by demand in the enterprise network and data center markets. We expect sales of our products for use in the enterprise network and data center markets to continue to represent a substantial portion of our revenue in the foreseeable future. However, we expect sales into those markets to decline as a percentage of overall sales as sales in the broadband and consumer and the access and service provider markets are expected to increase at a rate faster than the expected increase in sales into the enterprise network and data center markets.

We primarily sell our products to OEMs, either directly or through their contract manufacturers. Contract manufacturers purchase our products only when an OEM incorporates our product into the OEM s product, not as commercial off-the-shelf products. Our customers products are complex and require significant time to define, design and ramp to volume production. Accordingly, our sales cycle is long. This cycle begins with our technical marketing, sales and field application engineers engaging with our customers system designers and management, which is typically a multi-month process. If we are successful, a customer will decide to incorporate our product in its product, which we refer to as a design win. Because the sales cycles for our products are long, we incur expenses to develop and sell our products, regardless of whether we achieve the design win and well in advance of generating revenue, if any, from those expenditures. We do not have long-term purchase commitments from any of our customers, as sales of our products are generally made under individual purchase orders. However, once one of our products is incorporated into a customer s design, it is likely to remain designed in for the life cycle of its product. We believe this to be the case because a redesign would generally be time consuming and expensive. We have experienced revenue growth due to an increase in the number of our products, an expansion of our customer base, an increase in the number of average design wins within any one customer and an increase in the average revenue per design win.

Key Business Metrics

Design Wins. We closely monitor design wins by customer and end market on a periodic basis. We consider design wins to be a key ingredient in our future success, although the revenue generated by each design can vary significantly. Our long-term sales expectations are based on internal forecasts from specific customer design wins based upon the expected time to market for end customer products deploying our products and associated revenue potential.

Pricing and Margins. Pricing and margins depend on the features of the products we provide to our customers. In general, products with more complex configurations and higher performance tend to be priced higher and have higher gross margins. These configurations tend to be used in high performance applications that are focused on the enterprise network, data center, and access and service provider markets. We tend to experience price

28

Table of Contents

decreases over the life cycle of our products, which can vary by market and application. In general, we experience less pricing volatility with customers that sell to the enterprise and data center markets.


Sales Volume. A typical design win can generate a wide range of sales volumes for our products, depending on the end market demand for our customers products. This can depend on several factors, including the reputation, market penetration, the size of the end market that the product addresses, and the marketing and sales effectiveness of our customer. In general, our customers with greater market penetration and better branding tend to develop products that generate larger volumes over the product life cycle. In addition, some markets generate large volumes if the end market product is adopted by the mass market.

Customer Product Life Cycle. We typically commence commercial shipments from nine months to three years following the design win. Once our product is in production, revenue from a particular customer may continue for several years. We estimate our customers—product life cycles based on the customer, type of product and end market. In general, products that go into the enterprise network and data center take longer to reach volume production but tend to have longer lives. Products for other markets, such as broadband and consumer, tend to ramp relatively quickly, but generally have shorter life cycles. We estimate these life cycles based on our management—s experience with providers of networking equipment and the semiconductor market as a whole.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our management s discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financing statements, which have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. These accounting principles require us to make certain estimates and judgments that can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the dates of the consolidated financial statements, the disclosure of contingencies as of the dates of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods presented. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

We believe the following to be our critical accounting policies because they are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and they require critical management judgments and estimates about matters that are uncertain:



If actual results or events differ materially from those contemplated by us in making these estimates, our reported financial condition and results of operations for future periods could be materially affected. See Risk Factors for certain matters that may affect our future financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

We derive our revenue primarily from sales of semiconductor products. We recognize revenue from product sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies and significant uncertainties, and collection is probable. Our price is considered fixed or determinable at the execution of an agreement, based on specific products and quantities to be delivered at specified prices, which is often memorialized with a customer purchase order. Our agreements with non-distributor customers do not include rights of return or acceptance provisions. We assess the ability to collect from our customers based on a number of factors, including credit worthiness and any past transaction history of the

29

Table of Contents

customers. If the customers are not deemed credit worthy, we defer all revenue from the arrangement until payment is received and all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

Shipping charges billed to customers are included in product revenue and the related shipping costs are included in cost of product revenue. We generally recognize revenue at the time of shipment to our customers. Our revenue consists primarily of sales of our products to providers of networking equipment, their contract manufacturers or to our distributors. Initial sales of our products for a new design are usually made directly to providers of networking equipment as they design and develop their product. Once their design enters production, they often outsource their manufacturing to contract manufacturers that purchase our products directly from us or from our international distributors.

Revenue is recognized upon shipment for sales to distributors with limited rights of returns and price protection if we conclude we can reasonably estimate the credits for returns and price adjustments issuable. We record an estimated allowance, at the time of shipment, based on the historical patterns of returns and pricing credits of sales recognized upon shipment. The credits issued to distributors or other customers were not material in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Revenue and costs relating to sales to distributors are deferred if we grant more than limited rights of returns and price credits or if it cannot reasonably estimate the level of returns and credits issuable. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, we signed a distribution agreement with Avnet, Inc to distribute our products primarily in the United States. Given the terms of the distribution agreement, for sales to Avnet revenue and costs will be deferred until products are sold by Avnet to their end customers. For the year ended December 31, 2007, less than 1% of our net revenues were from products sold by Avnet. Revenue recognition depends on notification from the distributor that product has been sold to Avnet s end customers. Avnet reports to us, on at least a monthly basis, the product resale price, quantity and end customer shipment information, as well as inventory on hand. Reported distributor inventory on hand is reconciled monthly to deferred revenue balances. Deferred income on shipments to Avnet is included in deferred revenue and reflects the effects of any distributor price adjustments and the amount of gross margin expected to be realized when Avnet sells those products to their customers. Accounts receivable from Avnet is recognized and inventory is relieved when title to inventories transfers, which typically takes place at the time of shipment, which is the point in time at which we have a legal enforceable right to collection under normal payment terms.

We also derive revenue in the form of license and maintenance fees through licensing our software products. Revenue from such arrangements totaled \$1,128,000, and \$740,000 and \$181,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The value of any support services is recognized as services revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the related support period, which is typically one year.

We also enter into development agreements with some of our customers. Development revenue is recognized under the proportional performance method, with the associated costs included in cost of sales. We estimate the proportional performance of the development contracts based on an analysis of progress toward completion. We periodically evaluate the actual status of each project to ensure that the estimates to complete each contract remain accurate. A provision for estimated losses on contracts is made in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. To date, we have not recorded any such losses. If the amount billed exceeds the amount of revenue recognized, the excess amount is recorded as deferred revenue. Revenue recognized in any period is dependent on our progress toward completion of projects in progress. To the extent we are unable to estimate the proportional performance then the revenue is recognized on a completed contract basis. Revenue from such arrangements totaled \$3,019,000 for 2007 and none for previous years.

Total deferred revenue was \$1,666,000 and \$628,000 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which included deferred revenue associated with license and maintenance fees, development revenue and deferred income on

30

Table of Contents

Warranty Accrual

Our products are subject to a one-year warranty period and we provide for the estimated future costs of replacement upon shipment of the product in the accompanying statements of operations. Our warranty accrual is estimated based on historical claims compared to historical revenue.

Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for employee stock options using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, or APB 25, and FASB Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an Interpretation of APB No. 25*, and had adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or SFAS 123, and SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*. In accordance with APB 25, we recognized no stock-based compensation expense for options granted with an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R) using the prospective transition method, which requires us to apply the provisions of SFAS 123(R) only to awards granted, modified, repurchased or cancelled after the adoption date. Under this transition method, our stock-based compensation expense recognized during the year ended December 31, 2007 is based on the grant date fair value of stock option awards. We recognize this expense on a straight-line basis over the options—vesting period. We estimate the grant date fair value of stock option awards under the provisions of SFAS 123(R) using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, which requires, among other inputs, an estimate of the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

The fair value of options granted were estimated at the date of grant using the following assumptions:

Year Ended December 31, 2007

Employee and Director Stock Options

Expected life in years

Risk-free interest rate

Volatility

Dividend yield

Weighted average fair value of grants

4.0-6.0

3.29%-4.72%

55%-56.07%

\$9.62

We determined that it was not practical to calculate the historical volatility of our share price since our securities were not publicly traded prior to May 2007 and therefore there is no readily determinable market value for our stock and we are a high-growth technology company whose future operating results are not comparable to prior operating results. Therefore, we estimated our expected volatility based on reported market value data for a group of publicly traded companies, which we selected from market indices that we believed were relatively comparable in regards to the markets they served, size, stage of life cycle, risk, profitability and growth profiles. We used the average expected volatility rates reported by the comparable group to approximate the volatility over the expected term. The expected term represents the period that stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of our stock-based awards. Upon completing our initial public offering, or IPO in May 2007, we have used the simplified method of determining the expected term as permitted by the provisions of

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, Year-End Help for Expensing Employee Stock Options.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$1,869,000, \$745,000, and \$85,000, respectively. The total stock-based compensation cost capitalized as part of inventory as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$3,000 and \$43,000, respectively. In future periods, stock-based compensation expense may increase as we issue additional equity-based awards to continue to attract and

31

Table of Contents

retain key employees. SFAS 123(R) also requires that we recognize compensation expense only for the portion of stock options that are expected to vest, based on our estimated forfeiture rate. Our estimated forfeiture rate for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was 5%. If the actual number of future forfeitures differs from that estimated by management, we may be required to record adjustments to stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

We account for stock-based compensation arrangements with non-employees in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services*, using a fair value approach. The fair value of the stock options granted to non-employees was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. This model utilizes the estimated fair value of our common stock, the contractual term of the option, the expected volatility of the price of our common stock, risk-free interest rates and the expected dividend yield of our common stock. Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employees was \$114,000, \$70,000 and \$62,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Estimation of Fair Value of Warrants to Purchase Convertible Preferred Stock

On July 1, 2005, we adopted FASB Staff Position 150-5, *Issuer s Accounting under FASB Statement No. 150 for Freestanding Warrants and Other Similar Instruments on Shares That Are Redeemable*, or FSP 150-5. FSP 150-5 provides that the warrants we have issued to purchase shares of our convertible preferred stock are subject to the requirements in FSP 150-5, which requires us to classify these warrants as current liabilities and to adjust the value of these warrants to their fair value at the end of each reporting period. At the time of adoption, we recorded a charge in the amount of \$100,000 for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting principle, to reflect the estimated increase in fair value of these warrants as of that date. We recorded \$573,000, \$467,000 and \$411,000 warrant revaluation expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Upon our initial public offering in May 2007, all outstanding warrants to purchase mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock converted to warrants to purchase common stock. As a result, the aggregate fair value of these warrants have been reclassified from current liabilities to additional paid-in capital.

Inventory Valuation

We write down inventory based on aging and forecasted demand. These factors are impacted by market and economic conditions, technology changes, new product introductions and changes in strategic direction and require estimates that may include uncertain elements. Actual demand may differ from forecasted demand and such differences may have a material effect on recorded inventory values. Inventory valuation reserves were \$731,000, \$366,000 and \$155,000 as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Inventory reserves, once established, are not reversed until the related inventory has been sold or scrapped.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We are a multinational corporation operating in multiple tax jurisdictions. We must determine the allocation of income to each of these and apply the appropriate tax rates for these jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$26.3 million and \$44.6 million of net operating loss carry forwards available to offset future taxable income for United States federal and state purposes, respectively. These federal and state net operating loss carry forwards will expire commencing in 2022 and 2012, respectively. We also have federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The federal and other state tax credit carryforwards will expire commencing 2021 and 2018, respectively, except for the California research tax credits which carry forward indefinitely. We also have federal alternative minimum tax credits of

approximately \$0.6 million, which has no expiration date. As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. We record this amount as a provision or benefit for taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure, including assessing the risks associated with tax audits, and assessing temporary differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. Profit from non-United States activities are subject to local country taxes but are not subject to United States

32

Table of Contents

tax until repatriated to the United States. It is our intention to permanently reinvest most of these earnings outside the United States.

As of December 31, 2007, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$13.1 million, which were primarily related to federal and state net operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. We assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, to the extent that we cannot conclude that recovery is more likely than not, we establish a valuation allowance. Due to the uncertainty of our future profitability, we have fully reserved our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we reported \$142,000 of income tax provision. The provision is primarily due to federal alternative minimum tax on profit in our United States operation, adjusted by certain non-deductible items. If we determine in the future that these deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not to be realized, a release of all or a portion of the related valuation allowance would increase income in the period in which that determination is made.

Undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiary of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are considered to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no provisions for federal and state income taxes have been provided thereon. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to both U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to various foreign countries.

We adopted FASB Interpretation 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, or FIN 48, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the consolidated balance sheet. As of the date of adoption, we recorded a \$3.3 million reduction to deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which is currently offset by a full valuation allowance and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the balance sheet.

Our practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. As of December 31 2007, we had \$9,600 in accrued interest and/or penalties.

Mask Costs

We incur costs for the fabrication of masks used by our contract manufacturers to manufacture wafers that incorporate our products. We capitalize the costs of fabrication masks that are reasonably expected to be used during production manufacturing. Such amounts are included within property and equipment and depreciated to cost of revenue over a period of 12 months. If we do not reasonably expect to use the fabrication mask during production manufacturing, the related mask costs are expensed to research and development in the period in which the costs are incurred. We capitalized \$3,616,000, \$694,000 and none of mask costs during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, and total amortized expenses were \$1,465,000, \$0 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. We expensed non-production fabrication mask costs totaling \$0 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million to research and development for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Results of Operations

Revenue. Our revenue consists primarily of sales of our semiconductor products to providers of networking equipment and their contract manufacturers and distributors. Initial sales of our products for a new design are usually made directly to providers of networking equipment as they design and develop their product. Once their design enters production, they often outsource their manufacturing to contract manufacturers that purchase our products directly from us or from our distributors. We price our products based on market and competitive conditions and periodically reduce the price of our products, as market and competitive conditions change, and as manufacturing costs are

reduced. We do not experience different margins on direct sales to providers of networking equipment and indirect sales through contract manufacturers because in all cases we negotiate product pricing directly with the providers of networking equipment. To date, all of our revenue has been denominated in United States dollars.

33

Table of Contents

We also derive revenue in the form of license and maintenance fees through licensing our software products which helps our customers build products around our SoCs in a more time and cost efficient manner. Revenue from such arrangements totaled \$1,128,000, and \$740,000 and \$181,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Our customers representing greater than 10% of revenue since 2005 were:

	Year En	Year Ended December 3			
	2007	2006	2005		
F5 Networks	18%	21%	19%		
Cisco	23	18	*		
SonicWALL	*	*	12		
Yamaha	*	*	11		

^{*} Represents less than 10%

Our distributors are used primarily to support international sale logistics in Asia, including importation and credit management. Total revenue through distributors was \$13.2 million, \$10.5 million and \$6.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which accounted for 24.4%, 30.7%, and 32.0% of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. While we have purchase agreements with our distributors, the distributors do not have long-term contracts with any of the equipment providers. Our distributor agreements limit the distributor s ability to return product up to a portion of purchases in the preceding quarter. Given our experience, along with our distributors—limited contractual return rights, we believe we can reasonably estimate expected returns from our distributors. Accordingly, we recognize sales through distributors at the time of shipment, reduced by our estimate of expected returns.

Revenue and costs relating to sales to distributors are deferred if we grant more than limited rights of returns and price credits or if we cannot reasonably estimate the level of returns and credits issuable. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, we signed a distribution agreement with Avnet, Inc. to distribute our products primarily in the United States. Given the terms of the distribution agreement, for sales to Avnet, revenue and costs will be deferred until products are sold by Avnet to their end customers. For the year ended December 31, 2007, less than 1% of our net revenues were from products sold by Avnet. Revenue recognition depends on notification from Avnet that product has been sold to Avnet s end customers.

The following table is based on the geographic location of the original equipment manufacturers or the distributors who purchased our products. For sales to our distributors, their geographic location may be different from the geographic locations of the ultimate end customers. Sales by geography for the periods indicated were:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2007		2006			2005	
			(In	th	ousands)		
United States	\$	32,748		\$	19,483	\$	10,292
Taiwan		10,500			7,403		3,085
China		3,367			2,290		1,865

Japan	2,732	2,612	3,517
Other countries	4,856	2,417	618
Total	\$ 54,203	\$ 34,205	\$ 19,377

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin. We outsource wafer fabrication, assembly and test functions of our products. A significant portion of our cost of revenue consists of payments for the purchase of wafers and for assembly and test services. To a lesser extent, cost of revenue includes expenses relating to our internal operations that manage our contractors, amortization of mask costs, the cost of shipping and logistics, royalties, inventory valuation charges taken for excess and obsolete inventory, warranty costs and changes in product cost due to

34

Table of Contents

changes in sort, assembly and test yields. In general, our cost of revenue associated with a particular product declines over time as a result of yield improvements, primarily associated with design and test enhancements.

We use third-party foundries and assembly and test contractors, which are primarily located in Asia, to manufacture, assemble and test our semiconductor products. We purchase processed wafers on a per wafer basis from our fabrication suppliers, which are currently TSMC and UMC. We also outsource the sort, assembly, final testing and other processing of our product to third-party contractors, primarily ASE and ISE. We negotiate wafer fabrication on a purchase order basis and do not have long-term agreements with any of our third-party contractors. A significant disruption in the operations of one or more of these contractors would impact the production of our products which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Cost of revenue also includes amortized costs related to certain acquired intangible assets in 2004 and 2005. In August 2004, we acquired certain assets of Brecis Communications Corporation, which included the purchase of its secure communication processor product line. We capitalized a total of \$2.3 million of developed technology and are amortizing that amount on a straight line basis over the expected useful life of three years. In April 2005, we acquired Menlo Logic, LLC, which included the purchase of technology used for secure communication. We capitalized a total of \$1.1 million of developed technology and are amortizing that amount on a straight line basis over the expected life of three years. The total purchase price was allocated to tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair value. The total intangible assets amortization expense included in cost of revenue was \$0.9 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In addition, we incur costs for the fabrication of masks used by our contract manufacturers to manufacture wafers that incorporate our products. The cost of fabrication mask sets has increased as we transition from a 130-nanometer to a 90-nanometer process in our next-generation products beginning in 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we capitalized \$3,616,000 of mask costs. As our product processes continue to mature and as we develop more history and experience, we expect that, in the future, a large percentage of mask costs will be used directly for production manufacturing and will be capitalized. We amortize the cost of fabrication masks that we reasonably expect to use for production manufacturing over a 12-month period and include them in cost of revenue. Total amortized expenses for the masks included in the cost of revenue was \$1,465,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and none for the prior years. The balance of capitalized mask costs at December 31, 2007 was \$2,845,000. As our products mature and there is increasing assurance that any particular product design will likely go into production, we anticipate that a large percentage of our total mask costs will be capitalized and amortized to cost of revenue.

Our revenue, cost of revenue, gross profit and gross margin for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were:

		Year Ended December 31,							
	2007 2006 (In thousand					2005			
Revenue Cost of revenue	\$	54,203 19,898	\$	34,205 13,092	\$	19,377 7,865			
Gross profit	\$	34,305	\$	21,113	\$	11,512			
Gross margin		63.3%		61.7%		59.4%			

Our gross margin has been and will continue to be affected by a variety of factors, including average sales prices of our products, the product mix, the timing of cost reductions for fabricated wafers and assembly and test service costs, the cost and timing of fabrication masks, inventory valuation charges and the timing and changes in sort, assembly and test yields. Overall product margin is impacted by the mix between higher performance, higher margin products and lower performance, lower margin products. In addition, we typically experience lower yields and higher associated costs on new products, which improve as production volumes increase.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses primarily include personnel costs, the cost of fabrication masks for non-production products, MIPS architecture license fees, engineering design

35

Table of Contents

development software and hardware tools, allocated facilities expenses and depreciation of equipment used in research and development and, beginning in 2006, stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R).

The cost of masks used for non-production manufacturing is charged to research and development expenses. We expensed non-production fabrication mask totaling \$0 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million to research and development for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As our product processes continue to mature and as we develop more history and experience, we expect that, in the future, a lesser percentage of mask costs will be charged to research and development expense and more will be used directly for production manufacturing and as a result charged to cost of revenue.

We expect research and development expenses to continue to increase in total dollars although we expect these expenses to generally decrease as a percentage of revenue. Additionally, as a percentage of revenue, these costs fluctuate from one period to another. Total research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were:

	Yea	r Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2007	2006 (In thousands)	2005
Research and development expenses	\$ 19,548	\$ 18,651	\$ 16,005
Percent of revenue	36.1%	6 54.5%	82.6%

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses. Sales, general and administrative expenses primarily include personnel costs, accounting and legal fees, information systems, sales commissions, trade shows, marketing programs, depreciation, allocated facilities expenses and, beginning in 2006, stock-based compensation under SFAS 123(R). We plan to continue to increase the size of our sales and marketing organization to enable us to expand into existing and new markets. We also plan to continue to invest in expanding our domestic and international sales and marketing activities and building brand awareness. In the future, we expect to incur significant additional, accounting and legal compliance costs as well as additional insurance, and investor relations and other costs associated with being a public company. We expect sales, general and administrative expenses to increase significantly in absolute dollars and to generally decrease as a percentage of revenue in the future due to our expected growth and economies of scale. Total sales, general and administrative costs for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were:

		Year	Ende	d Decembe	r 31	,
	,	2007		2006		2005
			(In th	ousands)		
Sales, general and administrative expenses	\$	14,688	\$	10,058	\$	6,840
Percent of revenue		27.1%	1	29.4%		35.3%

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), net primarily includes interest income on cash, cash equivalents balances and interest expense on notes payable. It also includes net adjustments we made to record our preferred stock warrants at fair value in accordance with FSP 150-5. We adopted FSP 150-5 and accounted for the related cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle on July 1, 2005. Upon the closing of our initial public offering in May 2007, these warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of our common stock and, as a result, there were no warrant revaluation expenses after that.

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2007	2006	2005					
	(In thousands)								
Interest expense	\$	(622)	\$ (707)	\$ (183)					
Warrant revaluation expense		(573)	(467)	(411)					
Interest income and other		3,458	345	355					
Other income (expense), net	\$	2,263	\$ (829)	\$ (239)					

Provision for Income Taxes. As of December 31, 2007, we had total net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of \$26.3 million and \$44.6 million, respectively. If not utilized, these net

Table of Contents

federal and state operating loss carryforwards will expire beginning in 2022 and 2012, respectively. We are tracking the portion of our deferred tax assets attributable to stock option benefits in a separate memo account pursuant to SFAS No. 123R. Therefore, these amounts are no longer included in our gross or net deferred tax assets. Pursuant to SFAS No. 123R, footnote, 82, the stock option benefits of approximately \$5.3 million will only be recorded to equity when they reduce cash taxes payable. We also had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The federal and state tax credit carryforwards will expire commencing 2021 and 2018, respectively, except for the California research tax credits which carry forward indefinitely. We also have federal alternative minimum tax credits of approximately \$0.6 million, which have no expiration date. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, our net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance decreased by \$5.9 million and \$4.4 million for the periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The provision for income taxes could be favorably impacted during 2008, or future years, if our results of operations and forecasts of future profitability improve significantly to indicate that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Such a change in expectations could result in a material change to our valuation allowance assessment and the resulting income tax provision.

We have reviewed whether the utilization of our net operating losses and research credits were subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. We do not expect the disclosed net operating losses and research credits carryovers to expire before the statute lapses.

Undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiary of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are considered to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no provisions for federal and state income taxes have been provided thereon. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to both U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to various foreign countries.

We adopted FASB Interpretation 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, or FIN 48, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the consolidated balance sheet. As of the date of adoption, we recorded a \$3.3 million reduction to deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which is currently offset by a full valuation allowance and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the balance sheet.

Our practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. As of December 31 2007, we had \$9,600 in accrued interest and/or penalties.

We had unrecognized tax benefits of \$3.6 million at December 31, 2007, which includes \$0.2 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would reduce our annual effective tax rate. We also accrued potential penalties and interest of \$9,600 related to these unrecognized tax benefits during 2007. We do not expect our unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

We are in the process of expanding our international operations and staff to better support our expansion into international markets. This expansion includes the implementation of an international structure that includes, among other things, a research and development cost-sharing arrangement, certain licenses and other contractual arrangements between us and our wholly-owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries. Our foreign subsidiaries have acquired certain rights to sell our intellectual property and intellectual property that will be developed or licensed in the future. The existing rights were transferred for an initial payment. As a result of these changes and an expanding customer base in Asia, we expect that an increasing percentage of our consolidated pre-tax income will be derived

from, and reinvested in, our Asian operations. We anticipate that this pre-tax income will be subject to foreign tax at relatively lower tax rates when compared to the United States federal statutory tax rate and as a consequence, our effective income tax rate is expected to be lower than the United States federal statutory rate.

Our major tax jurisdictions are the United States federal government and the state of California. We file income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction, the state of California and various state and foreign tax jurisdictions in which we have a subsidiary or branch operation. Our United States federal corporation income tax

37

Table of Contents

returns beginning with the 2000 tax year remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Our California corporation income tax returns beginning with the 2000 tax year remain subject to examination by the California Franchise Tax Board. There are no on-going tax audits in our major tax jurisdictions.

Fiscal 2007 Compared to Fiscal 2006

Revenue. Our revenue was \$54.2 million in 2007 as compared to \$34.2 million in 2006, an increase of 58.5%. The majority of the increase in sales from 2006 to 2007 related to an increase in sales of \$15.5 million to existing customers, which were primarily a result of new design wins reaching commercial production. In each of 2007 and 2006, a substantial majority of our sales were to customers that sell into the enterprise network and data center markets. In 2007, we derived 24.4% of our revenue from distributors compared to 31.0% in 2006.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin. Gross margin increased 1.6 percentage points to 63.3% in 2007 from 61.7% in 2006. The increase in gross margin in 2007 compared to 2006 was primarily due to a shift in product mix to more complex, higher performance products which generally have higher margins. In addition, manufacturing costs improved during 2007 due to lower unit wafer, assembly and test costs.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased \$0.9 million, or 4.8%, to \$19.5 million in 2007 from \$18.7 million in 2006. The increase included higher salaries and benefit expenses of \$1.9 million and stock-based compensation expenses of \$0.6 million. Professional services and other miscellaneous expenses accounted for \$1.3 million, offset by a decrease in tools and masks costs of \$3.0 million. Research and development headcount increased to 127 at the end of 2007 from 104 at the end of 2006.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$4.6 million, or 46%, to \$14.7 million in 2007 from \$10.1 million in 2006. Of the \$4.6 million increase, salaries, benefits and commissions accounted for \$2.1 million, accounting and legal fees and other services accounted for \$1.4 million, stock-based compensation expense accounted for \$0.6 million, and depreciation and allocated facilities accounted for \$0.5 million. Accounting and legal fees were primarily the result of the development and implementation of our international structure and other costs associated with being a public company. Sales, general and administrative headcount increased to 60 at the end of 2007 from 47 at the end of 2006.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased \$0.5 million to \$0.1 million in 2007 from \$0.6 million in 2006. The decrease is due to an income tax provision of \$0.6 million related to alternative minimum tax on profit in connection with establishing our international structure in 2006, offset by the increase in the alternative minimum tax on profit generated in 2007.

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), Net, increased \$3.1 million to net other income of \$2.3 million in 2007 from net expense of \$0.8 million in 2006. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest income as a result of higher cash balances, offset by warrant revaluation expenses recognized to record our preferred stock warrants at fair value and interest expense attributable to the \$4.0 million drawn against our term loan.

Fiscal 2006 Compared to Fiscal 2005

Revenue. Our revenue was \$34.2 million in 2006 as compared to \$19.4 million in 2005, an increase of 76.3%. The majority of the increase in sales from 2005 to 2006 related to an increase in sales of \$12.1 million to existing customers, which were primarily a result of new design wins reaching commercial production. In each of 2005 and 2006, a substantial majority of our sales were to customers that sell into the enterprise network and data center markets. In 2006, we derived 31.0% of our revenue from distributors compared to 32.0% in 2005.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin. Gross margin increased 2.3 percentage points to 61.7% in 2006 from 59.4% in 2005. The increase in gross margin in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to a shift in product mix to more complex, higher performance products which generally have higher margins. In addition, manufacturing costs improved during 2006 due to lower unit wafer, assembly and test costs.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased \$2.7 million, or 16.9%, to \$18.7 million in 2006 from \$16.0 million in 2005. The increase included higher salaries and benefit

38

Table of Contents

expenses of \$2.1 million and stock-based compensation expenses of \$0.4 million. Research and development headcount increased to 104 at the end of 2006 from 86 at the end of 2005.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.2 million, or 47.1%, to \$10.1 million in 2006 from \$6.8 million in 2005. Of the \$3.2 million increase, salaries, benefits and commissions accounted for \$1.7 million, accounting and legal fees and other services accounted for \$0.6 million, stock-based compensation expense accounted for \$0.3 million, and depreciation and allocated facilities accounted for \$0.1 million. Accounting and legal fees were primarily the result of the development and implementation of our international structure and efforts to prepare to become a public company. Sales, general and administrative headcount increased to 47 at the end of 2006 from 36 at the end of 2005.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense increased \$0.6 million to \$0.6 million in 2006 from \$0 in 2005. The increase is due to an income tax provision of \$0.6 million related to alternative minimum tax on profit in connection with establishing our international business structure.

Other Income (Expense), Net. Other income (expense), net, increased \$0.6 million to net expense of \$0.8 million in 2006 from net expense of \$0.2 million in 2005. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest expense, which was attributable to the \$4.0 million drawn against a Term Loan in June 2006.

Quarterly Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our unaudited consolidated statements of operations data for each of the eight quarters in the period ended December 31, 2007. The quarterly data have been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read this information together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report. We anticipate that the rate of new orders may vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Consequently, if anticipated sales and shipments in any quarter do not occur when expected, expenses and inventory levels could be disproportionately high, and our operating results for that quarter and future quarters may be adversely affected. In addition, because of our limited operating history and the rapidly evolving nature of our business, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of revenue and operating results, including gross margin and operating expenses as a percentage of total revenue, are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of future

39

Table of Contents

performance. Although we have experienced significant percentage growth in revenue, we do not believe that our historical growth rates are likely to be sustainable or necessarily indicative of future growth.

								Quarter	En	ded						
				200								20				
	Ι	Dec. 31	S	Sep. 30	J	Jun. 30 (In tho		Iar. 31 nds. exce		Dec. 31 Der share		ep. 30	J	un. 30	N	Iar. 31
						(III tilot	usai	ilus, cacc	թ ւ հ	oci silare	ua	<i>(a)</i>				
Revenue Cost of revenue	\$	16,231 5,811	\$	14,165 5,207	\$	12,666 4,698	\$	11,141 4,182	\$	9,870 3,457	\$	9,187 3,878	\$	8,099 3,135	\$	7,049 2,622
Gross profit Operating expenses:		10,420		8,958		7,968		6,959		6,413		5,309		4,964		4,427
Research and development Sales, general and		5,705		4,796		4,721		4,326		4,368		4,224		4,939		5,120
administrative		4,021		3,976		3,482		3,209		2,511		2,594		2,799		2,154
Total operating expenses		9,726		8,772		8,203		7,535		6,879		6,818		7,738		7,274
Income (loss) from operations		694		186		(235)		(576)		(466)		(1,509)		(2,774)		(2,847)
Other income (expense), net: Interest expense Warrant revaluation		(50)		(73)		(291)		(208)		(152)		(271)		(199)		(85)
expense						(349)		(224)		(151)		(13)		(152)		(151)
Interest income and other		1,265		1,307		817		69		111		117		36		81
Total other income (expense), net		1,215		1,234		177		(363)		(192)		(167)		(315)		(155)
Income (loss) before income tax expense Income tax benefit		1,909		1,420		(58)		(939)		(658)		(1,676)		(3,089)		(3,002)
(expense)		59		(110)		(34)		(57)		(558)						(2)
Net Income (loss) Net income (loss) per common share,	\$	1,968	\$	1,310	\$	(92)	\$	(996)	\$	(1,216)	\$	(1,676)	\$	(3,089)	\$	(3,004)
basic and diluted	\$	0.05	\$	0.03	\$	0.00	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.20)	\$	(0.38)	\$	(0.39)

Revenue has increased sequentially in each of the quarters presented due to increases in the number of products sold to new and existing customers, ongoing development of distributors, and international expansion. This has led to an increase in sales primarily into the enterprise network and data center markets. To date, we have not experienced any

material impact from any seasonal effects on an annual or quarterly basis.

Gross margin percentages have fluctuated from quarter to quarter due to changing selling prices, product mix and manufacturing costs. The gross margin percentage in the third quarter of 2006 decreased from that in the second quarter, due to costs associated with the initial increase in production costs attributed with new product development. The gross margin percentage increased from the third quarter of 2006 to the fourth quarter of 2006 due to improved yield and reduced costs associated with new products. The gross margin percentage increased from the first quarter of 2007 to the fourth quarter of 2007 due to a change in product mix. If our mix changes toward lower margin products or if we are required due to competitive reasons to reduce pricing without a corresponding decrease in costs, our margins could decrease in the future.

Operating expenses have generally increased in each of the quarters presented as we continued to add personnel and related costs increased to accommodate the growth in our business. Research and development expenses in each of the first two quarters of 2006 were significantly impacted by wafer fabrication mask expenses associated with new product development. Sales, general and administrative expenses increased sequentially in

40

2006 and 2007 due to higher accounting and legal costs related to the development and implementation of our international structure, cost associated with being a public company, increased headcount and increased stock-based compensation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In May 2007, we received net proceeds of approximately \$94.7 million (after underwriters discounts of \$7.3 million and additional offering related costs of approximately \$2.8 million). Our other primary sources of cash historically have been proceeds from issuances of convertible preferred stock, cash collections from customers, a working capital line of credit and term loan and cash received from the exercise of employee stock options. As of December 31, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$98.5 million and net accounts receivable of \$9.8 million.

In October 2005, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with Silicon Valley Bank to provide a revolving line of credit for \$6.0 million collateralized by eligible receivables and all of our other assets except intellectual property. Borrowings under the revolving line of credit bore interest at the bank s prime rate plus an applicable margin based on certain financial ratios of the company at the borrowing date. On January 25, 2007, we entered into a loan modification agreement that extended the term of this credit facility through July 4, 2008. We have since cancelled the line of credit.

In October 2005, we also entered into a Term Loan and Security Agreement with Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Venture Lending that provided a \$4.0 million term loan line of credit, the Term Loan. The Term Loan was secured by all of our other assets except intellectual property. In June 2006, we borrowed \$4.0 million against this Term Loan. In October 2006, we entered into the First Amendment to the Term Loan. The amendment reduced the interest rate on the Term Loan to a fixed rate of 10.5%, effective November 1, 2006. In addition, it eliminated the Gold Hill prepayment fee and final payment fee. This Term Loan was paid off as of May 3, 2007.

Following is a summary of our working capital and cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	Year	Ended December	r 31,
	2007	2006	2005
		(In thousands)	
Working capital	\$ 105,048	\$ 11,689	\$ 6,160
Cash and cash equivalents	98,462	10,154	7,879

Following is a summary of our cash flows from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	Year	Ende	d Decemb	er 3	er 31,	
	2007		2006		2005	
		(In th	nousands)			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 6,176	\$	(7,819)	\$	(7,955)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,772)		(2,075)		(2,608)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	87,904		12,169		61	

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily consisting of our net income of \$2.2 million and \$8.8 million in non-cash operating expenses, offset by \$4.8 million in net cash used by changes in operating activities. Non-cash operating expenses consisted of depreciation and amortization expense of \$6.2 million, stock-based compensation of \$1.9 million, and \$0.6 million of warrant revaluation expense. Changes in operating activities from 2006 were primarily driven by an increase of \$2.5 million in accounts receivable due to increased revenue and increase of \$4.5 million in inventory as we ramp up the production of new products, offset by the increase of \$1.8 million in accounts payable and \$0.8 million in deferred revenue.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$7.8 million and \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, primarily consisting of net loss of \$9.0 and \$11.7 million, and \$6.4 million and \$4.1 million in non-cash

41

Table of Contents

operating expenses, offset by \$5.2 million and \$0.4 million in net cash used by changes in operating activities. The non-cash operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of deprecation and amortization expenses of \$5.0 million and \$3.3 million, stock-based compensation of \$0.7 million and \$0.1 million, and warrant revaluation expense of \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The change in operating activities in 2006 from 2005 were driven by increase in accounts receivable due to increased revenue and increase in inventory as we ramped up the production of new products.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities relate to capital expenditures to support product development and general growth.

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$3.7 million to \$5.8 million in 2007 from \$2.1 million in 2006. The increase is due to the purchase of masks and design tools to support our product development and production needs.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$0.5 million from \$2.6 million in 2005 to \$2.1 million in 2006. Net cash flows used in investing activities primarily relate to acquisitions in 2005, as well as capital expenditures in each year to support product development and general growth.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$87.9 million for 2007 compared to \$12.2 million for 2006. The increase in 2007 is primarily due to net cash provided from our initial public offering of \$94.7 million, \$1.1 million from issuance of common stock upon exercises of stock options, offset by the repayment of the Term Loan of \$4.0 million and principal payments of capital lease and technology license obligations of \$3.8 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$12.2 million for 2006 compared to \$61,000 for 2005. The increase in 2006 was due to issuance of additional shares of Series D preferred stock for net proceeds of \$9.0 million, shareholder exercises of Series B and Series D preferred stock warrants for net proceeds of \$0.8 million, issuance of common stock upon exercises of stock options of \$1.4 million, and net borrowings of \$4.0 million under our Term Loan agreement, offset by the principal payments of capital lease and technology license obligations of \$2.9 million.

We believe that our \$98.5 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2007 and expected cash flow from operations will be sufficient to fund our projected operating requirements for at least twelve months. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the expansion of our engineering, sales and marketing activities, the timing and extent of our expansion into new territories, the timing of introductions of new products and enhancements to existing products and the continuing market acceptance of our products. Although we currently are not a party to any agreement or letter of intent with respect to potential material investments in, or acquisitions of, complementary businesses, services or technologies, we may enter into these types of arrangements in the future, which could also require us to seek additional equity or debt financing. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all.

Indemnities

In the ordinary course of business, we have entered into agreements with customers that include indemnity provisions. Based on historical experience and information known as of December 31, 2007, we believe our exposure related to the above indemnities at December 31, 2007 is not material. We also enter into indemnification agreements with our officers and directors and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws include similar indemnification obligations to our officers and directors. It is not possible to determine the amount of our liability related to these indemnification

agreements and obligations to our officers and directors due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the periods presented, we did not have, nor do we currently have, any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities,

42

which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

		Payn	ents	Due By	Period	
	Less Than I Year	1 to 2 Years	3	3 to 5 Years Chousand	More Than 5 Years ls)	Total
Operating leases Capital lease and technology license obligations Purchase commitments	\$ 1,266 4,969 4,697	\$ 2,175 4,370	\$	1,042	\$	\$ 4,483 9,339 4,697
Total	\$ 10,932	\$ 6,545	\$	1,042	\$	\$ 18,519

We adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$0.2 million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits and related interest. The timing of any payments which could result from these unrecognized tax benefits will depend upon a number of factors. Accordingly, the timing of payment cannot be estimated. We do not expect a significant tax payment related to these obligations to occur within the next 12 months.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework and provides guidance regarding the methods used for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. On November 15, 2007, the FASB granted a one year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with SFAS No. 157; however, the effective date for financial assets remains intact. We are currently assessing the impact, if any, of adopting SFAS No. 157 on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which permits entities to choose to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company plans to adopt this pronouncement in the first quarter of 2008 and is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement on the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), or SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, which replaces SFAS No 141. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in the purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and

requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*, or SFAS No. 160. SFAS No. 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling or minority interest in a subsidiary is considered an ownership interest and, accordingly, requires all entities to report such interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of adopting this standard on the Company s financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

43

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Foreign Currency Risk

All of our sales are denominated in United States dollars. We therefore have no foreign currency risk associated with sale of products. Our international sales and marketing operations incur expenses that are denominated in foreign currencies. These expenses could be materially affected by currency fluctuations; however, we do not consider this currency risk to be material as the related costs do not constitute a significant portion of our total spending. We outsource our wafer fabrication, assembly, testing, warehousing and shipping operations; however all expenses related thereto are denominated in United States dollars.

Interest Rate Risk

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$98.5 million at December 31, 2007, which was held for working capital purposes. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. We do not believe that we have any material exposure to changes in the fair value of these investments as a result of changes in interest rates due to their short term nature. Declines in interest rates, however, will reduce future investment income.

44

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements are filed as part of this Annual Report

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	46
Consolidated Balance Sheets	47
Consolidated Statements of Operations	48
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and	
Stockholders Equity (Deficit)	49
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	50
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	51
45	

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Cavium Networks, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cavium Networks, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2), presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2005 the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for freestanding warrants on preferred shares that are redeemable and in 2006 the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for share-based compensation.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California March 10, 2008

46

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share data)

		As of Dec 2007	cembe	er 31, 2006
ASSETS				
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$177 and \$102, respectively Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$	98,462 9,768 9,573 946	\$	10,154 7,248 5,006 405
Total current assets Property and equipment, net Intangible assets, net Other assets		118,749 11,608 4,096 157		22,813 5,040 1,902 207
Total assets	\$	134,610	\$	29,962
LIABILITIES, MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PRI AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)	EFE	RRED ST	OCK	
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued expenses and other current liabilities Deferred revenue Capital lease and technology license obligations, current portion Preferred stock warrant liability Notes payable, current portion	\$	5,311 2,253 1,666 4,471	\$	2,904 2,853 628 2,564 701 1,474
Total current liabilities		13,701		11,124
Notes payable, net of current portion Capital lease and technology license obligations, net of current portion Other non-current liabilities		4,059		2,526 1,016 39
Total liabilities		17,760		14,705
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) Mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001: None and 22,935,158 shares authorized; none and 22,364,197 shares issued and outstanding; none and \$69,623 aggregate liquidation preference; as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 Stockholders equity (deficit)				72,437

Preferred stock, par value \$0.001:

10,000,000 shares and none authorized, no shares issued and outstanding as of

December 31, 2007 and 2006

Common stock, par value \$0.001:

200,000,000 and 40,965,057 shares authorized; 40,307,361 and 9,365,600 shares issued and outstanding; as of December 31, 2007 and 2006

and outstanding; as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	40	9
Additional paid-in capital	175,540	3,731
Accumulated deficit	(58,730)	(60,920)

10

Total stockholders equity (deficit) 116,850 (57,180)

Total liabilities, mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders equity (deficit) \$ 134,610 \$ 29,962

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

47

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Year 2	End	led December 2006	· 31,	2005
Revenue Cost of revenue	\$ 54,203 19,898	\$	34,205 13,092	\$	19,377 7,865
Gross profit	34,305		21,113		11,512
Operating expenses: Research and development Sales, general and administrative	19,548 14,688		18,651 10,058		16,005 6,840
Total operating expenses	34,236		28,709		22,845
Income (loss) from operations	69		(7,596)		(11,333)
Other income (expense), net: Interest expense Warrant revaluation expense Interest income and other Total other income (expense), net Income (loss) before income tax expense and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Income tax expense	(622) (573) 3,458 2,263 2,332 (142)		(707) (467) 345 (829) (8,425) (560)		(183) (411) 355 (239) (11,572)
Net income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	2,190		(8,985)		(11,572) (100)
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,190	\$	(8,985)	\$	(11,672)
Net income (loss) per common share, basic Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common	\$ 0.08	\$	(1.11)	\$	(1.59)
share Net income (loss) per common share, diluted Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common	\$ 29,005,743 0.07	\$	8,065,995 (1.11)	\$	7,318,607 (1.59)
share	32,431,976		8,065,995		7,318,607

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) (In thousands, except share data)

	Mandatorily R Conver Preferred	tible Sto	e ock	Common S			Additional F	from Ac	ccumulated	Stoc	Total ckholder Equity/
	Shares	A	mount	Shares	Am	ount	Capital St	ockholders	Dencit	(1	Deficit)
alance at December 31, 004	20,990,809	¢	61 725	8,233,939	\$	8	633	¢ (154) ¢	(40.263)	Φ	(20.774
suance of Series D	20,990,009	Φ	61,735	0,233,939	Ф	o	033	\$ (154) \$	6 (40,263)	\$	(39,776
referred Stock, net of											
suance costs of \$57	100,566		604								
ommon stock issued in	100,500		001								
nnection with											
ırly-exercises of stock											
ptions subject to											
purchase				135,622							
ommon stock issued in				, -							
nnection with other											
cercises of options				388,399		1	341				342
esting of early-exercised				•							
ock options							197				197
epurchase of shares of											
rvested common stock				(18,645)			(4)				(4
terest on notes receivable											
om stockholders								(2)			(2
on-employee stock-based											
mpensation							62				62
tock-based compensation											
lated to variable awards											
anted to employee							23				23
eclassification of											
arrants to liabilities			(519)								
orgiveness of note											
ceivable from											
ockholder								104			104
epayment of note											
ceivable from											
ockholder								52	/4.4 ===:		52
et loss									(11,672)		(11,672
alance at December 31,											
005	21,091,375		61,820	8,739,315		9	1,252		(51,935)		(50,674

Table of Contents 95

33,868

ommon stock issued in							
nnection with							
rly-exercises of stock							
ptions subject to purchase							
ommon stock issued in							
onnection with other							
tercises of options			568,766		1,349		1,349
esting of early-exercised					-,		- 7-
ock options					141		141
epurchase of shares of							
rvested common stock			(32,599)		(20)		(20
tock-based compensation					745		745
suance of common stock							
connection with			76.250				
arrants exercise			56,250				
suance of Series B							
referred stock in							
onnection with warrants tercises	179,976	1,094			134		134
suance of Series D	1/7,7/0	1,024			154		1 J−n
referred stock, net of							
suance costs of \$15	1,032,037	8,965					
suance of Series D	***	~ ,					
referred stock in							
onnection with warrants							
tercises	60,809	558			130		130
et loss						(8,985)	(8,985
alance as of							
ecember 31, 2006	22,364,197	72,437	9,365,600	9	3,731	(60,920)	(57,180
ommon stock issued in							
onnection with							
irly-exercises of stock							
ptions subject to			25 000				
purchase			25,000				
ommon stock issued in onnection with other							
tercises of options			717,212	1	1,451		1,452
ommon stock issued in			/1/,414	1	1,451		1,70
onnection with restricted							
ock unit			500				
esting of early-exercised			.				
ock options					110		110
epurchase of shares of							
rvested common stock			(14,585)		(10)		(10
tock-based compensation					1,908		1,908
suance of Series B							
referred stock in							

Table of Contents 96

3

onnection with warrants

ercises

181

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

alance at December 31,		\$	40 307 361	\$ 40	\$ 175 5 4 0	\$ \$ (58.730)	\$ 116.850
cercise-net exercise et income			86,756			2,190	2,190
ability upon IPO ommon stock warrant					1,272		1,272
r IPO, net of issuance ost of \$2,790 eclassification of warrant			7,762,500	8	94,660		94,668
onversion of preferred ock to common stock due IPO suance of common stock	(22,364,378)	(72,440)	22,364,378	22	72,418		72,440

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

49

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ 2.100	Φ (0.005)	¢ (11 (72)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,190	\$ (8,985)	\$ (11,672)	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used				
in) operating activities:			104	
Forgiveness of note receivable from stockholder	1 060	745	104	
Stock-based compensation expense	1,869	745	85 52	
Amortization of warrant costs to interest expense	149	143	52 511	
Revaluation of warrants to fair value	574	467	511	
Depreciation and amortization	6,221	5,009	3,308	
Other	(20)	10	81	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(2.520)	(2.412)	(2.004)	
Accounts receivable, net	(2,520)		(2,084)	
Inventories	(4,528)		30	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(322)		124	
Other assets	49	(101)	(39)	
Accounts payable	1,836	125	197	
Deferred revenue	825	408	220	
Accrued expenses and other current and non-current liabilities	(147)	732	1,128	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	6,176	(7,819)	(7,955)	
Cash flows used in investing activities:				
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,925)	(2,075)	(1,503)	
Acquisition of business			(1,105)	
Purchases of IP licenses and intangible assets	(847)			
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,772)	(2,075)	(2,608)	
Cash flows provided by financing activities:				
Proceeds from term loan financing		4,000		
Repayment of term loan financing	(4,000)	·		
Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock, net of issuance cost	(1,000)	8,965	604	
Proceeds from initial public offering, net of banker s discount and		0,700	00.	
commission	97,459			
Payments of initial public offering costs	(2,791)			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of options	1,092	1,361	407	
Principal payment of capital lease and technology license obligations	(3,846)	·	(998)	
Repurchases of shares of unvested common stock	(10)		(4)	
Repayment of receivable from stockholder	(10)	(23)	52	
· r · J		778		

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock in connection with warrant exercise

Net cash provided by financing activities		87,904	12,169		61	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		88,308 10,154		2,275 7,879		(10,502) 18,381
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	98,462	\$	10,154	\$	7,879
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		,		ŕ		,
Cash paid for interest	\$	473	\$	564	\$	131
Cash paid for taxes	\$	469	\$		\$	
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activities:						
Capital lease and technology license obligations	\$	9,812	\$	3,408	\$	1,414
Vesting of early exercised options	\$	110	\$	141	\$	197
Additions to property and equipment included in accounts payable and						
accrued expenses	\$	1,057	\$	486	\$	
Net exercise of common stock warrants	\$	462	\$		\$	
Conversion of mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock to						
common stock	\$	72,440	\$		\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

50

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Cavium Networks, Inc., the Company, was incorporated in the state of California on November 21, 2000 and was reincorporated in the state of Delaware effective February 6, 2007. The Company designs, develops and markets semiconductor processors for intelligent and secure networks.

Initial Public Offering

In May 2007, the Company completed its initial public offering, or IPO, of common stock in which it sold and issued 7,762,500 shares of common stock, including 1,012,500 shares of underwriters—over-allotment, at an issue price of \$13.50 per share. A total of \$104.8 million in gross proceeds was raised from the IPO, or approximately \$94.7 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$7.3 million and other offering costs of \$2.8 million. Upon the closing of the IPO, all shares of convertible preferred stock outstanding automatically converted into 22,364,378 shares of common stock, and 102,619 warrants to purchase mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock were converted into warrants to purchase common stock.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cavium Networks, Inc. and its wholly owned foreign subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in its consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash & Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original or remaining maturity of 90 days or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist primarily of money market instruments.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts by assessing individual accounts receivable over a specific age and amount, and all other balances on a pooled basis based on historical collection experience and economic risk assessment. The Company s allowance for doubtful accounts was \$38,000 and \$68,000 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Inventories

Inventories consist of work-in-process and finished goods. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (determined using the first-in, first-out method), or market value (estimated net realizable value). The Company writes down inventory by establishing inventory reserves based on aging and forecasted demand. These factors are impacted by market and economic conditions, technology changes, new product introductions and changes in strategic direction and require estimates that may include uncertain elements. Actual demand may differ from

51

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

forecasted demand and such differences may have a material effect on recorded inventory values. Inventory reserves, once established, are not reversed until the related inventories have been sold or scrapped.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of estimated useful lives or unexpired lease term. Additions and improvements that increase the value or extend the life of an asset are capitalized. Upon retirement or sale, the cost of assets disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is credited or charged to income. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

	Useful Live
Software and computer equipment	1 to 5 years
Test equipment	1 to 3 years
Furniture, office equipment and leasehold improvements	1 to 5 years

Estimated

The Company capitalizes the cost of fabrication masks that are reasonably expected to be used during production manufacturing. Such amounts are included within property and equipment and depreciated to cost of revenue generally over a period of twelve months. If the Company does not reasonably expect to use the fabrication mask during production manufacturing, the related mask costs are expensed to research and development in the period in which the costs are incurred. The Company has capitalized \$3,616,000, \$694,000 and none of mask costs in property and equipment for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets, including property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. According to the Company s accounting policy, when such indicators are present, if the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated from operations from those long-lived assets are less than the carrying value of those long-lived assets, the Company compares the fair value of the assets (estimated using discounted future net cash flows to be generated from the lowest common level of operations utilizing the assets) to the carrying value of the long-lived assets if the carrying value of the long-lived assets is greater than their fair value.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of certain of the Company s financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses and liabilities, approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature.

Concentration of Risk

The Company s products are currently manufactured, assembled and tested by third-party contractors in Asia. There are no long-term agreements with any of these contractors. A significant disruption in the operations of one or more of these contractors would impact the production of the Company s products for a substantial period of time, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable. The Company deposits cash and cash equivalents with credit worthy financial institutions. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents. Management believes that the financial institutions are reputable and, accordingly, minimal credit risk exists.

52

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A majority of the Company s accounts receivable are derived from revenue earned from customers headquartered in the United States. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers—financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral from its customers. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based upon the expected collectibility of accounts receivable. Summarized below are individual customers whose accounts receivable balances or revenues were 10% or higher of respective total consolidated amounts.

	Year En	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005			
Percentage of total revenue						
Customer A	18%	21%	19%			
Customer B	23	18	*			
Customer C	*	*	12			
Customer D	*	*	11			
All other customers	44	50	51			
Total	100%	100%	100%			

^{*} represent less than 10% of the revenue

	2007	2006
Percentage of gross accounts receivable:		
Customer E	23%	23%
Customer F	13	*
All other customers	64	73
Total	100%	100%

^{*} Less than 10% of the consolidated accounts receivable for the respective period end.

Intangible Assets

Prepaid technology licenses and acquired technologies, which includes technology acquired from other companies either as a result of acquisitions or licensing, are capitalized and amortized on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the technologies, which generally does not exceed three years. Technology licenses payable in installments are capitalized using the present value of the payments.

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenue primarily from sales of semiconductor products. The Company recognizes revenue from product sales when persuasive evidence of a binding arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies and significant uncertainties, and collection is probable. The fee is considered fixed or determinable at the execution of an agreement, based on specific products and quantities to be delivered at specified prices, which is often memorialized with a customer purchase order. Agreements with non-distributor customers do not include rights of return or acceptance provisions. The Company assesses the ability to collect from the Company s customers based on a number of factors, including credit worthiness and any past transaction history of the customers. If the customers were not deemed credit worthy, the Company would defer all revenue from the arrangement until payment is received and all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

53

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Shipping charges billed to customers are included in product revenue and the related shipping costs are included in cost of product revenue. The Company generally recognizes revenue at the time of shipment to the Company s customers. Revenue consists primarily of sales of the Company s products to networking Original Equipment Manufacturers, or OEMs, their contract manufacturers or to its distributors. Initial sales of the Company s products for a new design are usually made directly to networking OEMs as they design and develop their product. Once their design enters production, they often outsource their manufacturing to contract manufacturers that purchase the Company s products directly from the Company or from the Company s distributors.

Revenue is recognized upon shipment for sales to distributors with limited rights of returns and price protection if the Company concludes it can reasonably estimate the credits for returns and price adjustments issuable. The Company records an estimated allowance, at the time of shipment, based on the Company s historical patterns of returns and pricing credits of sales recognized upon shipment. The credits issued to distributors or other customers were not material in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Revenue and costs relating to sales to distributors are deferred if the Company grants more than limited rights of returns and price credits or if it cannot reasonably estimate the level of returns and credits issuable. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the Company signed a distribution agreement with Avnet, Inc. to distribute the Company s products primarily in the United States. Given the terms of the distribution agreement, for sales to Avnet revenue and costs will be deferred until products are sold by Avnet to their end customers. For the year ended December 31, 2007, less than 1% of the Company s net revenues were from products sold by Avnet. Revenue recognition depends on notification from the distributor that product has been sold to Avnet s end customers. Avnet reports to the Company, on at least a monthly basis, the product resale price, quantity and end customer shipment information, as well as inventory on hand. Reported distributor inventory on hand is reconciled monthly to the Company s deferred revenue balances. Deferred income on shipments to Avnet is included in deferred revenue and reflects the effects of any distributor price adjustments and the amount of gross margin expected to be realized when Avnet sells those products to their customers. Accounts receivable from Avnet is recognized and inventory is relieved when title to inventories transfers, which typically takes place at the time of shipment, which is the point in time at which the Company has a legal enforceable right to collection under normal payment terms.

The Company also derives revenue in the form of license and maintenance fees through licensing its software products. Revenue from such arrangements is recorded by applying the provisions of Statement of Position (SOP) No. 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SOP No. 98-9, *Modification of SOP No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Transactions*. Revenue from such arrangements totaled \$1,128,000, \$740,000 and \$181,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The value of any support services is recognized as services revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the related support period, which is typically one year.

The Company also enters into development agreements with some of its customers. Development revenue is recognized under the proportional performance method, with the associated costs included in cost of revenue. The Company estimates the proportional performance of the development contracts based on an analysis of progress toward completion. The Company periodically evaluates the actual status of each project to ensure that the estimates to complete each contract remain accurate. A provision for estimated losses on contracts is made in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. To date, the Company has not recorded any such losses. If the amount billed exceeds the amount of revenue recognized, the excess amount is recorded as deferred

revenue. Revenue recognized in any period is dependent on our progress toward completion of projects in progress. To the extent the Company is unable to estimate the proportional performance then the revenue is recognized on a completed contract basis. Revenue from such arrangements totaled \$3,019,000 for 2007 and none for previous years.

Total deferred revenue was \$1,666,000 and \$628,000 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which included deferred revenue associated with license and maintenance fees, development revenue and deferred income on shipment to Avnet.

54

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Warranty Accrual

The Company s products are subject to a one-year warranty period. The Company provides for the estimated future costs of replacement upon shipment of the product as cost of revenue. The warranty accrual is estimated based on historical claims compared to historical revenue. The following table presents a reconciliation of the Company s product warranty liability, which is included within accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets:

	As of December 31,						
	2	2007 2				2005	
		(I	n tho	ousands	;)		
Beginning balance	\$	161	\$	106	\$	30	
Accruals for warranties issued during the year		241		140		76	
Settlements made during the year		(141)		(85)			
Ending balance	\$	261	\$	161	\$	106	

Indemnities

In the ordinary course of business the Company enters into agreements with customers that include indemnity provisions. Based on historical experience and other available information the Company believes its exposure related to the above indemnity provisions were immaterial for each of the periods presented.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and primarily include personnel costs, prototype expenses, which include the cost of fabrication mask costs not reasonably expected to be used in production manufacturing, and allocated facilities costs as well as depreciation of equipment used in research and development.

Advertising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs were \$345,000, \$214,000 and \$125,000 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Operating Leases

The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The difference between rent expense and rent paid is recorded as accrued rent in accrued expenses and other current and non-current liabilities components of the consolidated balance sheets.

Income Taxes

The Company provides for deferred income taxes under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets, including those related to tax loss carryforwards and credits, and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when management cannot conclude that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset will be recovered.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock options using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, or

55

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

APB 25, and Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 44, *Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an Interpretation of APB No.* 25, and had adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, or SFAS 123, and SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*. In accordance with APB 25, the Company recognized no stock-based compensation expense for options granted to employees with an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R) using the prospective transition method, which requires it to apply the provisions of SFAS 123(R) only to awards granted, modified, repurchased or cancelled after the adoption date. Under this transition method, the Company s stock-based compensation expense recognized during the year-ended December 31, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value of stock option awards the Company grants or modifies on or after January 1, 2006. The Company recognizes this expense on a straight-line basis over the options vesting periods. The Company estimates the grant date fair value of stock option awards under the provisions of SFAS 123(R) using the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1,869,000, \$745,000, and \$85,000, respectively. In future periods, stock-based compensation expense may increase as the Company issues additional stock-based awards to continue to attract and retain key employees. SFAS 123(R) also requires that the Company recognize stock-based compensation expense only for the portion of stock options that are expected to vest, based on the Company s estimated forfeiture rate. If the actual number of future forfeitures differs from that estimated by management, the Company may be required to record adjustments to stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation arrangements with non-employees in accordance with SFAS 123 and Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services*, using a fair value approach. The fair value of the stock options granted to non-employees was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. This model utilizes the estimated fair value of the Company s common stock, the contractual term of the option, the expected volatility of the price of the Company s common stock, risk-free interest rates and the expected dividend yields of the Company s common stock. Stock-based compensation expense related to non-employees was \$114,000, \$70,000, \$62,000 and for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table presents the detail of stock-based compensation expense amounts included in the consolidated statement of operations for each of the last years presented:

	Y	Year Ended December 31				
	2	2007		006	2005	
	(In thousands))	
Cost of revenue	\$	43	\$	9	\$	
Research and development		805		396	10	
Sales, general and administrative		1,021 340		75		

Total stock-based compensation expense

\$ 1,869 \$ 745 \$ 85

The total stock-based compensation cost capitalized as part of inventory as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$3,000 and \$43,000, respectively.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes all changes in equity that are not the result of transactions with stockholders. For the year ended 2007, 2006 or 2005, there are no components of comprehensive income (loss)

56

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

which are excluded from the net loss and, therefore, no separate statement of comprehensive income (loss) has been presented.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle

On July 1, 2005, we adopted FASB Staff Position 150-5, *Issuer s Accounting under FASB Statement No. 150 for Freestanding Warrants and Other Similar Instruments on Shares That Are Redeemable*, or FSP 150-5. FSP 150-5 provides that the warrants we have issued to purchase shares of our convertible preferred stock are subject to the requirements in FSP 150-5, which requires us to classify these warrants as current liabilities and to adjust the value of these warrants to their fair value at the end of each reporting period. At the time of adoption, we recorded a charge in the amount of \$100,000 for the cumulative effect of this change in accounting principle, to reflect the estimated increase in fair value of these warrants as of that date. We recorded \$573,000, \$467,000 and \$411,000 warrant revaluation expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Upon our initial public offering in May 2007, all outstanding warrants to purchase mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock converted to warrants to purchase common stock. As a result, the aggregate fair value of these warrants has been reclassified from current liabilities to additional paid-in capital.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework and provides guidance regarding the methods used for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. On November 15, 2007, the FASB granted a one year deferral for non-financial assets and liabilities to comply with SFAS No. 157; however, the effective date for financial assets remains intact. We are currently assessing the impact, if any, of adopting SFAS No. 157 on our financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which permits entities to choose to measure eligible financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company plans to adopt this pronouncement in the first quarter of 2008 and is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement on the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), or SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*, which replaces SFAS No 141. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in the purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*, or SFAS No. 160. SFAS No. 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling or minority interest in a subsidiary is considered an ownership interest and, accordingly, requires all entities to report such interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of adopting this standard on the Company s financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

57

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

The Company calculates net income (loss) per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128 (SFAS 128), *Earnings Per Share*. Under SFAS 128, basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period (excluding shares subject to repurchase). Diluted net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common and potentially dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive securities, composed of incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and common stock subject to repurchase, are included in diluted net income per share for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table sets forth the computation of income (loss) per share:

		Year Ended December 31,			31,		
			2007		2006 housands)	2005
Net income (loss)		\$	2,190	\$	(8,985)	\$	(11,672)
Weighted average common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of employee stock plans	basic		29,006 3,426		8,066		7,319
Weighted average common shares outstanding	diluted		32,432		8,066		7,319
Basic net income (loss) per share		\$	0.08	\$	(1.11)	\$	(1.59)
Diluted net income (loss) per share		\$	0.07	\$	(1.11)	\$	(1.59)

The following weighted average outstanding options, common stock subject to repurchase and convertible preferred stock were excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per common share for the periods presented because including them would have had an antidilutive effect:

	Year	r Ended Decem	ber 31,
	2007	2005	
		(In thousands	5)
Options to purchase common stock and common stock subject to repurchase	701	5,094	3,533
Convertible preferred stock (as converted basis)		22,364	21,091
Convertible stock warrants (as converted basis)		103	316

3. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (determined using the first-in, first-out method), or market value (estimated net realizable value) and are comprised of the following:

		2007	eember 31, 2006 usands)
Work-in-process Finished goods		\$ 8,092 1,481	\$ 2,069 2,937
		\$ 9,573	\$ 5,006
	58		

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net, consist of the following:

	As of Dece 2007 (In thou		2006
	(III tilou	Sanc	15)
Test equipment	\$ 5,587	\$	1,418
Software and computer equipment	12,738		7,178
Furniture, office equipment and leasehold improvements	57		40
	18,382		8,636
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(6,774)		(3,596)
	\$ 11,608	\$	5,040

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$4,754,000, \$2,192,000 and \$842,000 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Capital leases which are time-based subscription design tools are included in property and equipment, and they were \$7,873,000 and \$3,623,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Amortization expense related to assets recorded under capital lease was \$1,645,000, \$1,033,000 and \$153,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

5. Intangible Assets, net

Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	As of Deco 2007 (In thou	2006
Developed technology Technology license	\$ 3,343 9,205	\$ 3,343 5,544
Less: accumulated amortization	12,548	8,887
Developed technology Technology license	(3,235) (5,217)	(2,375) (4,610)

\$ 4,096 \$ 1,902

Amortization expenses were \$1,467,000, \$2,817,000 and \$2,466,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The weighted-average remaining estimated lives for intangible assets are approximately 0.3 year for developed technology and 2.2 years for technology license. The weighted average remaining estimated life of intangible assets in total is approximately 2.1 years. Future amortization expenses are estimated to be \$1,009,000 for 2008, \$1,530,000 for 2009, \$1,250,000 for 2010, and \$307,000 thereafter.

59

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Accrued expenses and other current liabilities

	20	of Dec 007 (In tho	2	2006
Accrued compensation and related benefit	\$ 1	1,010	\$	851
Accrued warranty		261		161
Refundable deposits related to unvested employee stock option exercises		70		173
Professional fees		285		583
Income tax payable		231		558
Other		396		527
	\$ 2	2,253	\$	2,853

7. Notes Payable

In October 2005, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement with Silicon Valley Bank to provide a revolving line of credit for \$6.0 million collateralized by eligible receivables and all of the Company s other assets except intellectual property. Borrowings under the revolving line of credit bore interest at the bank s prime rate plus an applicable margin based on certain financial ratios of the Company at the borrowing date. The applicable rate of interest under the revolving line of credit was 10.5% as of December 31, 2006. On January 25, 2007, the Company entered into a loan modification agreement that extended the term of the existing revolving line of credit through July 4, 2008. The Company cancelled the line of credit in connection with its initial public offering in May 2007.

In October 2005, the Company also entered into a term loan and security agreement, the Term Loan, with Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Venture Lending that provided a \$4.0 million term loan line of credit. The Term Loan was secured by all of the Company s other assets except intellectual property. Upon entering into the Term Loan, the Company issued warrants to purchase a total of 27,500 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock at a price of \$6.58 per share.

In June 2006, the Company borrowed \$4.0 million against the Term Loan. Concurrently, the Company issued additional warrants to purchase 27,500 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock at a price of \$6.58 per share. On October 24, 2006 the Company entered into the First Amendment to the Term Loan. The Term Loan carried a fixed interest rate of 10.5% as of December 31, 2006. The Company repaid the Term Loan on May 3, 2007.

All warrants to purchase Series D convertible preferred stock were converted to warrants to purchase common stock as detailed in Note 8.

60

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders Equity (Deficit)

Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock

At the closing of the IPO in May 2007, all outstanding mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock converted to common stock with a conversion ratio of 1 to 1. The following table sets forth the information about the mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock:

2007 (In t	31, thousa	2006 ands,
\$	\$	7,773
		16,534
		17,973
		20155
		30,157
\$	\$	72,437
	2007 (In texcept	2007 (In thousa except shares) \$ \$

Warrants

Pursuant to the amendment with Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Venture Lending, and upon closing of the company s IPO in May 2007, all of the outstanding warrants to purchase mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock converted to warrants to purchase common stock. The following table sets forth the warrant liability that was reclassified to additional paid-in-capital.

	Number		Estimated Fair
Series of	of Shares		Value Reclassified to
			Additional
Convertible	Subject to	Exercise	Paid-in-capital

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

Preferred Stock	Warrants	Issue Date	F	Price		Upon IPO	Expiration Date
В	47,619	November 1, 2002	\$	2.10	\$	568,000	October 31, 2012
D	27,500	October 6, 2005	Ф	6.58	Ф	352,000	October 5, 2015
D	27,500	June 19, 2006		6.58		352,000	October 5, 2015
	102,619				\$	1,272,000	

On May 1, 2007, the Company signed an amendment with Silicon Valley Bank who held warrants to purchase 47,619 shares of Series B preferred stock and 17,187 shares of Series D preferred stock to convert the warrants to common stock warrant. As a result, the fair value of the warrants at the time of the conversion was \$745,000. The remaining warrants to purchase Series D preferred stock were automatically converted to common stock warrants at the closing of the IPO on May 7, 2007. The fair value of the warrants at the conversion was \$527,000.

On July 12, 2007, Gold Hill Venture Lending net exercised the warrant to purchase 37,813 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.58 per share. The Company issued an aggregate of 28,239 shares of common stock. No cash was paid to the Company for such issuance of the common stock.

61

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On October 4, 2007, Silicon Valley Bank net exercised warrants to purchase 17,187 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.58 per share and 47,619 shares at an exercise price of \$2.10 per share. The Company issued an aggregate of 58,517 shares of common stock. No cash was paid to the Company for such issuance of the common stock.

In addition, 181 shares of warrants to purchase Series B preferred stock were exercised in January 2007 and the remaining 176 shares of warrants to purchase Series B preferred stock expired on February 15, 2007. Total outstanding shares of warrants were none and 102,976 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Common Stock

In May 2007, the Company completed its IPO in which the Company sold and issued 7,762,500 shares of common stock, including 1,012,500 shares of the underwriters—over-allotment, at an issue price of \$13.50 per share. The Company received gross proceeds of \$104.8 million from the IPO, or approximately \$94.7 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$7.3 million and other offering costs of \$2.8 million. Upon the closing of the IPO, all shares of mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock outstanding automatically converted into 22,364,378 shares of common stock.

Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2006	9,365,600
Common stock issued in IPO	7,762,500
Conversion of mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred stock to common stock	22,364,378
Common stock issued in connection with exercises of stock options	742,712
Common stock issued in connection with the common stock warrant exercises	86,756
Repurchases of shares of unvested common stock	(14,585)

Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2007 40,307,361

Stock Options and Unvested Common Stock

Upon completion of its IPO in May 2007, the Company adopted the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2007 Plan, which reserved 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The number of shares of the common stock reserved for issuance will automatically increase on January 1st, from January 1, 2008 through January 1, 2017, by the lesser of (i) 5% of the total number of shares of the common stock outstanding on the applicable January 1st date or (ii) 5,000,000 shares. The board of directors may also act, prior to the first day of any fiscal year, to increase the number of shares as the board of directors shall determine, which number shall be less than each of (i) and (ii). The maximum number of shares that may be issued pursuant to the exercise of incentive stock options under the 2007 Plan is equal to 10,000,000 shares. The 2007 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards, and other forms of equity compensation (collectively, stock awards), and performance cash awards, all of which may be granted to employees (including officers), directors, and consultants or affiliates. Awards granted under the 2007 Plan vest at the rate specified by the plan administrator, typically with 1/8th of the shares vesting six months after the date of grant and 1/48th of the shares vesting monthly thereafter over the next three and one half years. The term of awards

expires ten years from the date of grant. As of December 31, 2007, 197,700 shares have been granted under the 2007 Plan.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company s 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2001 Plan, reserved 590,220 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance to employees, officers, consultants and advisors of the Company. Options granted under the 2001 Plan were either incentive stock options or non-statutory stock options as determined by the Company s board of directors. Options granted under the 2001 Plan vest at the rate specified by the plan administrator, typically with 1/8th of the shares vesting six months after the date of grant and 1/48th of the shares vesting monthly thereafter over the next three and one half years to four and one half years. The term of

62

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

option expires ten years from the date of grant. In 2007 prior to the Company s IPO, 361,375 shares had been granted under the 2001 Plan.

Under the Company s 2001 Plan, certain employees have the right to early-exercise unvested stock options, subject to rights held by the Company to repurchase unvested shares in the event of voluntary or involuntary termination. For options granted prior to March 2005, the Company has the right to repurchase any such shares at the shares original purchase price. For options granted after March 2005, the Company has the right to repurchase such shares at the lower of market value or the original purchase price.

For those options granted prior to March 2005, in accordance with EITF 00-23, *Working Group Work Plan Issues Related to the Accounting for Stock Compensation under APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and FASB Interpretation No. 44 Accounting for Certain Transactions involving Stock Compensation,* the Company accounts for cash received in consideration for the early-exercise of unvested stock options as a current liability, included as a component of accrued liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. For those shares issued in connection with options granted prior to March 2005, there were 123,895 and 377,030 unvested shares outstanding as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$70,000 and \$173,000 related liabilities, respectively.

Detail related to activity of unvested shares of common stock is as follows:

	Number of Unvested Shares Outstanding	Weighted-Average Exercise/Purchase Price
Balance as of December 31, 2004	1,335,113	\$ 0.34
Issued	454,791	0.86
Vested	(708,289)	0.35
Repurchased	(18,645)	0.21
Balance as of December 31, 2005	1,062,970	0.56
Issued	400,023	2.95
Vested	(558,184)	0.60
Repurchased	(32,599)	0.62
Balance as of December 31, 2006	872,210	1.63
Issued	80,397	5.64
Vested	(501,360)	1.59
Repurchased	(14,585)	0.71
Balance as of December 31, 2007	436,662	2.45

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Detail related to stock option activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares Outstanding	Wei	ghted-Average Exercise Price
Balance at December 31, 2004	1,326,061	\$	0.30
Options granted	1,754,366		1.05
Options exercised	(524,021)		0.80
Options cancelled and forfeited	(86,236)		0.42
Balance as of December 31, 2005	2,470,170		0.72
Options granted	2,434,750		3.42
Options exercised	(602,634)		2.26
Options cancelled and forfeited	(80,882)		1.57
Balance as of December 31, 2006	4,221,404		2.05
Options granted	546,575		15.31
Options exercised	(742,212)		1.97
Options cancelled and forfeited	(105,766)		3.93
Balance as of December 31, 2007	3,920,001		3.86

The total intrinsic value for options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$15.2 million, representing the difference between the estimated fair value of the Company s common stock at the date of exercise and the exercise price paid.

The following table summarizes information about all stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

	Outs	standing Optio Weighted	ns	Exercisable	e Op	tions	
Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Average Remaining Contractual Term	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Ay Ex	eighted verage xercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$ 0.19- 0.80 1.02- 1.50 3.04- 3.74 5.42- 8.52	544,722 1,080,161 1,624,072 486,346	6.15 7.52 8.14 9.08	\$ 0.29 1.04 3.07 7.36	436,729 614,487 536,441 95,599	\$ \$ \$	0.28 1.03 3.07 6.97	
27.00-31.54	184,700	9.66	28.57	13,173	\$	27.17	

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

\$ 0.19-31.54	3,920,001	7.93	3.86	1,696,429	\$ 2.02	\$ 76,	133,920
Exercisable	1,696,429	7.46	2.02			35,0	685,604
Vested and expected to vest	3,621,177	7.90	3.79			70,	557,025

The fair value of each employee option grant for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 under SFAS 123(R) was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions.

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Ended De	Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006		
Risk-free interest rate	3.29%-4.72%	4.36%-5.23%		
Expected life	4-6 years	4-5 years		
Dividend yield	None	None		
Volatility	55%-56%	50%-60%		

The Company determined that it was not practical to calculate the historical volatility of its share price since the Company s securities were not publicly traded prior to May 2007 and therefore, there is no readily determinable market value for its stock; it has limited information on its own past volatility; and the Company is a high-growth technology company whose future operating results are not comparable to its prior operating results. Therefore, the Company estimated its expected volatility based on reported market value data for a group of publicly traded companies, which it selected from certain market indices, that the Company believed was relatively comparable after consideration of their size, stage of life cycle, profitability, growth, and risk and return on investment. The expected term represents the period that stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of our stock-based awards. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we used the simplified method of determining the expected term as permitted by the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, Year-End Help for Expensing Employee Stock Options.

The estimated weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$9.62 and \$2.12, respectively.

As of December 30, 2007, there was \$6.0 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options granted under the company s 2001 and 2007 Plans. The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.47 years.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards

The company began issuing restricted stock units, or RSUs, in the fourth quarter of 2007. Shares are issued on the date the restricted stock units vest, and the fair value of the underlying stock on the dates of grant is recognized as stock-based compensation over a three-year vesting period. Below is the information as of December 31, 2007:

	Weighted-	Weighted-	
Number of	Average	Average	
Unvested	Grant Date	Remaining	Aggregate
	Fair		
Shares	Market	Contractual	Fair
Outstanding	Value		Value(1)

For the Year

			Term (years)	
Non-vested at December 31, 2006	\$			
Granted	12,500	31.54		
Issued and released	(500)			\$ 27.20
Cancelled/Forfeited				
Balance as of December 31, 2007	12,000		1.28	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the value of Cavium stock on the date that the restricted stock units vest.

The total intrinsic value of the RSUs issued as of December 31, 2007 was \$276,000, representing the closing price of the Company s stock on December 31, 2007, multiplied by the number of non-vested RSUs expected to vest as of December 31, 2007.

Share-based compensation related to the RSUs is calculated based on the market price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The weighted average estimated values of restricted stock unit grants, as well as

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the weighted average assumptions that were used in calculating the fair value during 2007, were based on estimates at the date of grant, as follows:

Estimated values

Risk-free interest rate

Dividend yield

\$ 31.54

3.29%

0%

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$336,000 of unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock units granted under the Company s 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.83 years.

9. Income Taxes

Prior to December 31, 2007 the Company had incurred operating losses and, accordingly, had not recorded a provision for income taxes. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company reported \$142,000 and \$560,000, respectively, of income tax expense. The provision at December 31, 2006 was primarily related to alternative minimum tax on profit in connection with establishing the international business structure. The provision at December 31, 2007 was primarily due to the federal alternative minimum tax on profits in the Company s United States profits adjusted by certain non-deductible items, international taxes and state income taxes.

The domestic and foreign components of loss before income tax expense and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	(In	2006 thousands)		2005
Domestic Foreign	\$ 11,037 (8,705)	\$	17,877 (26,302)	\$	(11,572)
	\$ 2,332	\$	(8,425)	\$	(11,572)

The difference between the provision for income that would be derived by applying the statutory rate to income before tax for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the provision actually recorded was due to the benefit from net operating loss carryforwards.

Income tax expense consists of the following:

Year Ended December 31,

2007

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

		2007 2006 200 (In thousands)			2005	
Domestic Foreign		\$	97 45	\$	560	\$
		\$	142	\$	560	\$
	66					

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company s effective tax rate differs from the United States federal statutory rate as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	
Income tax at statutory rate	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%	
State taxes, net of federal benefit	1.1			
Alternative minimum tax	2.5	6.6		
Change in valuation allowance	(31.4)	(34.0)	(34.0)	
Other	(0.1)			
Total	6.1%	6.6%	%	

The tax effects of the temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	200	of December 31, 7 2006 In thousands)
Deferred tax assets, non-current:		
Tax credits	\$ 5,	987 \$ 4,155
Net operating loss carryforwards	3,	092 12,736
Capitalized research and development		115 170
Depreciation and amortization	2,	834 1,087
Other	1,	108 930
Gross deferred tax assets	13,	136 19,078
Less: valuation allowance	(13,	136) (19,078)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had total net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of \$26.3 million and \$44.6 million, respectively. If not utilized, these net federal and state operating loss carryforwards will expire beginning in 2022 and 2012, respectively. The Company is tracking the portion of its deferred tax assets attributable to stock option benefits in a separate memo account pursuant to SFAS No. 123R. Therefore, these amounts are no longer included in the Company s gross or net deferred tax assets. Pursuant to SFAS No. 123R, footnote, 82, the stock option benefits of approximately \$5.3 million will only be recorded to equity when they reduce cash taxes payable. The Company also had federal and other state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.8 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The federal and other state tax credit carryforwards will expire commencing 2021 and 2018, respectively, except for the California research tax credits which carry forward indefinitely. The Company also has federal alternative minimum tax credits of

approximately \$0.6 million, which have no expiration date. Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, the Company s net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance decreased by \$5.9 million and \$4.4 million for the periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The provision for income taxes could be favorably impacted during 2008, or future years, if the Company s results of operations and forecasts of future profitability improve significantly to indicate that the deferred tax assets will be realized. Such a change in expectations could result in a material change to its valuation allowance assessment and the resulting income tax provision.

The Company has reviewed whether the utilization of its net operating losses and research credits were subject to a substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. The Company does not expect the disclosed net operating losses and research credits carryovers to expire before the statute lapses.

67

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiary of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are considered to be indefinitely reinvested and, accordingly, no provisions for federal and state income taxes have been provided thereon. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to both U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to various foreign countries.

The Company adopted FASB Interpretation 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, or FIN 48, on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize any adjustment to the liability for uncertain tax positions and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the consolidated balance sheet. As of the date of adoption, the Company recorded a \$3.3 million reduction to deferred tax assets for unrecognized tax benefits, all of which is currently offset by a full valuation allowance and therefore did not record any adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings on the balance sheet.

The Company s practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. As of December 31 2007, the Company had \$9,600 in accrued interest and/or penalties.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the unrecognized tax benefits:

	Total (In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$	3,264		
Gross increases related to prior years tax positions		40		
Gross increases related to current year tax positions		270		
Settlements				
Expiration of the statute of limitations for the assessment of taxes				
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$	3,574		

Included in the unrecognized tax benefits of \$3.6 million at December 31, 2007 was \$0.2 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would reduce the Company s annual effective tax rate. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

The Company is in the process of expanding its international operations and staff to better support its expansion into international markets. This expansion includes the implementation of an international structure that includes, among other things, a research and development cost-sharing arrangement, certain licenses and other contractual arrangements between the Company and its wholly-owned domestic and foreign subsidiaries. The Company's foreign subsidiaries have acquired certain rights to sell the existing intellectual property and intellectual property that will be developed or licensed in the future. The existing rights were transferred for an initial payment. As a result of these changes and an expanding customer base in Asia, the Company expects that an increasing percentage of its consolidated pre-tax income will be derived from, and reinvested in, its Asian operations. The Company anticipates that this pre-tax income will be subject to foreign tax at relatively lower tax rates when compared to the United States

federal statutory tax rate and as a consequence, the Company s effective income tax rate is expected to be lower than the United States federal statutory rate.

The Company s major tax jurisdictions are the United States federal government and the state of California. The Company files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction, the state of California and various state and foreign tax jurisdictions in which it has a subsidiary or branch operation. The United States federal corporation income tax returns beginning with the 2000 tax year remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS. The California corporation income tax returns beginning with the 2000 tax year remain subject to examination by the California Franchise Tax Board. There are no on-going tax audits in the major tax jurisdictions.

68

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Retirement Plan

The Company has established a defined contribution savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. This plan covers substantially all employees who meet minimum age and service requirements and allows participants to defer a portion of their annual compensation on a pre-tax basis. Company contributions to the plan may be made at the discretion of the board of directors. Through December 31, 2007, the Company has not made any contributions to the plan.

11. Segment Information

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company is organized as, and operates in, one reportable segment: the development and sale of semiconductor processor solutions for next-generation intelligent networking equipment. The chief operating decision-maker is the Chief Executive Officer. The Company s Chief Executive Officer reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by information about revenue by customer and geographic region, for purposes of evaluating financial performance and allocating resources. The Company and its Chief Executive Officer evaluate performance based primarily on revenue to the customers and in the geographic locations in which the Company operates. Revenue is attributed by geographic location based on the bill-to location of customer. The Company s long-lived assets are primarily located in the United States of America and not allocated to any specific region. Therefore, geographic information is presented only for total revenue.

The following table is based on the geographic location of the original equipment manufacturers or the distributors who purchased the Company s products. For sales to the distributors, their geographic location may be different from the geographic locations of the ultimate end users. Sales by geography for the periods indicated were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2007 (I		2006 (In thousands)	2005		
United States	\$	32,748	\$ 19,483	\$ 10,292		
Taiwan		10,500	7,403	3,085		
China		3,367	2,290	1,865		
Japan		2,732	2,612	3,517		
Other countries		4,856	2,417	618		
Total	\$	54,203	\$ 34,205	\$ 19,377		

12. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases its facilities under non-cancelable operating leases, which contain renewal options and escalation clauses, and expire through May 2012. The Company also acquires certain assets under capital leases.

69

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Minimum commitments under non-cancelable capital and operating lease agreements as of December 31, 2007 were as follows:

	Capital Lease and Technology License Obligations			erating Leases usands)	Total	
2008	\$	4,969	\$	1,266	\$	10,932
2009		2,596		1,065		3,661
2010		1,774		1,110		2,884
2011				843		843
2012				199		199
Thereafter						
		9,339	\$	4,483	\$	18,519
Less: Interest component		(809)				
Present value of minimum lease payment		8,530				
Less: current portion		(4,471)				
Long-term portion of obligations	\$	4,059				

Rent expense incurred under operating leases was \$997,000, \$797,000 and \$589,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company is not currently a party to any legal proceedings that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

The technology license obligations include future cash payments payable primarily for license agreements with outside vendors. One of the license agreements is with Cadence Design Systems for its electronic design automation software which is used in the design of the Company's products. The term of the license is two years which will expire in June 2009. The second license agreement is with MIPS Technologies, Inc. which includes a non-exclusive, non-transferable right to develop multiple licensed MIPS cores that implement the MIPS architecture. This second license agreement required the Company to pay \$1.9 million after the completion of its IPO for an automatic two-year extension of the license. The term of the license after the two-year extension is seven years and will expire in December 2010. As of December 31, 2007, \$475,000 was paid, and the balance is accrued within capital lease and technology license obligations on consolidated balance sheets.

The Company also has a purchase agreement with Synopsys Inc. to purchase certain intellectual property which is to be used for the Company s future products. The Company has an agreement to pay \$1.15 million over the two-year period for various intellectual properties. The agreement will expire in October 2008. \$815,000 has been paid to purchase certain intellectual property as of December 31, 2007.

In October 2007, the Company signed a license agreement with Synopsys for certain design tools totaling \$7.0 million, with 12 installment payments. The term of the license is three years which will expire in October 2010. The present value of the installment payments has been capitalized and is amortized over three years, and included within capital lease and technology license obligations on consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2007, \$541,000 was paid.

70

CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC.

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

Description	Balance at Charges to Beginning Cost and of Period Expenses Deductions (In thousands)		Balance at End of Period				
Year ended December 31, 2007							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	68	\$		\$ (30)	\$	38
Allowance for customer returns		34		434	(329)		139
Income tax valuation allowance]	19,078			(5,942)		13,136
Year ended December 31, 2006							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	73	\$	55	\$ (60)	\$	68
Allowance for customer returns		37		123	(126)		34
Income tax valuation allowance	2	23,474			(4,396)		19,078
Year ended December 31, 2005							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	59	\$	14	\$	\$	73
Allowance for customer returns		58		183	(204)		37
Income tax valuation allowance	1	18,228		5,246	. ,		23,474

All other schedules are omitted because they are inapplicable or the requested information is shown in the consolidated financial statements of the registrant or related notes thereto.

71

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As a newly public company and under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission we are not required to include Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting or an attestation report of an Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K since we intend to file our definitive proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information to be included in the proxy statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item with respect to directors and executive officers may be found under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I, Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in the section entitled Proposal 1 Election of Directors appearing in the Proxy Statement. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

The information required by this Item with respect to our audit committee and audit committee financial expert may be found in the section entitled Proposal 1 Election of Directors Audit Committee appearing in the Proxy Statement. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

The information required by this Item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and our code of ethics may be found in the sections entitled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and Proposal 1 Election of Directors Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, respectively, appearing in the Proxy Statement. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item is included in our proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders under the sections entitled Executive Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and Compensation Committee Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

72

Table of Contents

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item relating to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is included in our proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders under the section entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and is incorporated herein by reference.

The information required by this item with respect to securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders under the section entitled Equity Compensation Plan Information and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item is included in our proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders under the sections entitled Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Proposal 1 Election of Directors and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in our proxy statement for our 2008 annual meeting of stockholders under the section entitled Proposal 2 Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

a. The following documents are filed as part of this report:

Financial Statements:

 See Index to Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

 Financial Statement Schedule:

 Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserve

 Exhibits:

 The exhibits listed in the accompanying index to exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents 142

73

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 10, 2008.

Cavium Networks, Inc.

By /s/ Syed Ali

Syed Ali

President and Chief Executive Officer

By /s/ Arthur Chadwick

Arthur Chadwick Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Syed Ali	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (<i>Principal Executive Officer</i>)	March 10, 2008
Syed Ali		
/s/ Arthur Chadwick Arthur Chadwick	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration (<i>Principal Financial and Accounting Officer</i>)	March 10, 2008
/s/ Kris Chellam Kris Chellam	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ John Jarve John Jarve	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ Anthony Pantuso Anthony Pantuso	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ C.N. Reddy C.N. Reddy	Director	March 10, 2008
/s/ Anthony Thornley Anthony Thornley	Director	March 10, 2008

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit		Schedule/	Incorporated b File	y Reference	Filing
Number	Description	Form	Number	Exhibit	Date
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of	S-1/A	333-140660	3.3	4/13/2007
2.0	Incorporation of the Registrant	0.1/4	222 140660	2.5	4/12/2007
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant	S-1/A	333-140660	3.5	4/13/2007
4.1	Reference is made to exhibits 3.1 and 3.2	G 1/1	222 140660	4.0	112 112 00 7
4.2	Form of the Registrant s Common Stock Certificate	S-1/A	333-140660	4.2	4/24/2007
4.3	Third Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, dated December 8, 2004, as amended on October 25, 2005 and August 9, 2006, by and among the Registrant and certain of its security holders	S-1	333-140660	4.3	2/13/2007
10.1	Form of Indemnity Agreement to be entered into between the Registrant and its directors and officers	S-1	333-140660	10.1	2/13/2007
10.2	2001 Stock Incentive Plan and forms of agreements thereunder	S-1	333-140660	10.2	2/13/2007
10.3	2007 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1	333-140660	10.3	2/13/2007
10.4	Form of Option Agreement, Form of Option Grant Notice and Form of Exercise Notice under 2007 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1	333-140660	10.4	2/13/2007
10.5	Executive Employment Agreement, dated January 2, 2001, between the Registrant and Syed Ali	S-1	333-140660	10.5	2/13/2007
10.6	Employment Offer Letter, dated December 27, 2004, between the Registrant and Arthur Chadwick	S-1	333-140660	10.6	2/13/2007
10.7	Employment Offer Letter, dated January 22, 2001, between the Registrant and Anil K. Jain	S-1	333-140660	10.7	2/13/2007
10.8	Employment Offer Letter, dated May 6, 2003, between the Registrant and Rajiv Khemani	S-1	333-140660	10.8	2/13/2007
10.9	Letter Agreement, dated November 4, 2005, between the Registrant and Kris Chellam	S-1	333-140660	10.9	2/13/2007
10.10	Letter Agreement, dated September 1, 2006, between the Registrant and Anthony Thornley	S-1	333-140660	10.10	2/13/2007
10.11	Lease Agreement, dated April 15, 2005, between the Registrant and MB Technology Park, LLC	S-1	333-140660	10.11	2/13/2007
10.12*					

Edgar Filing: CAVIUM NETWORKS, INC. - Form 10-K

	Lease Agreement Amendment, dated March 6 2008, between the Registrant and MB Technology Park, LLC				
10.13	Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 6, 2005, between the Registrant and	S-1	333-140660	10.12	2/13/2007
	Silicon Valley Bank				
10.14	Loan Modification Agreement, dated	S-1	333-140660	10.13	2/13/2007
	January 3, 2007, between the Registrant and				
	Silicon Valley Bank				
10.15	Second Loan Modification Agreement, dated	S-1	333-140660	10.14	2/13/2007
	January 25, 2007, between the Registrant				
	and Silicon Valley Bank.				
10.16	Term Loan and Security Agreement, dated	S-1	333-140660	10.15	2/13/2007
	October 6, 2005, between the Registrant,				
	Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Lending				
	03, L.P.				

Exhibit Number	Description	Schedule/ Form	Incorporated by File Number	y Reference Exhibit	Filing Date
10.17	First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 24, 2006, between the Registrant, Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Lending 03, L.P.	S-1	333-140660	10.16	2/13/2007
10.18	Form of Warrant to Purchase Shares of Series B preferred stock	S-1	333-140660	10.17	2/13/2007
10.19	Form of Warrant to Purchase Shares of Series D preferred stock	S-1	333-140660	10.18	2/13/2007
10.20	Consent, Assignment, Assumption and Amendment Agreement, dated February 5, 2007, between the Registrant, Silicon Valley Bank and Gold Hill Lending 03, L.P.	S-1/A	333-140660	10.19	3/16/2007
10.21	Letter Agreement, dated March 15, 2007, between the Registrant and AVM Capital, L.P.	S-1/A	333-140660	10.20	3/16/2007
#10.22	Master Technology License Agreement, dated December 30, 2003, between the Registrant and MIPS Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	333-140660	10.21	4/6/2007
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant				
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm				
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Syed B. Ali, President and Chief Executive Officer				
31.2*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Arthur D. Chadwick, Chief Financial Officer				
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Syed B. Ali, President and Chief Executive Officer and Arthur D. Chadwick, Chief Financial Officer				

^{*} Filed herewith

[#] Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.