

PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA  
Form 10-Q  
February 16, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number: 000-51214

Prudential Bancorp, Inc. of Pennsylvania  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Pennsylvania

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

68-0593604

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1834 Oregon Avenue

19145

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

(Zip Code)

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(215) 755-1500

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practical date: as of February 5, 2010, 10,331,866 shares were issued and outstanding.

---

PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE	
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements	
	Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009	2
	Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	3
	Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	4
	Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36
Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	36
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	37
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	37
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	38
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	38
Item 5.	Other Information	38
Item 6.	Exhibits	38
SIGNATURES		39



## PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and amounts due from depository institutions	\$ 6,759	\$ 4,088
Interest-bearing deposits	5,116	9,581
Total cash and cash equivalents	11,875	13,669
Investment and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity (estimated fair value—December 31, 2009, \$147,450; September 30, 2009, \$161,968)	148,271	160,126
Investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale (amortized cost—December 31, 2009, \$66,143; September 30, 2009, \$63,000)	65,081	62,407
Loans receivable—net of allowance for loan losses (December 31, 2009, \$2,867; September 30, 2009, \$2,732)	256,002	256,694
Accrued interest receivable:		
Loans receivable	1,429	1,419
Mortgage-backed securities	385	390
Investment securities	1,410	1,496
Real estate owned	4,059	3,622
Federal Home Loan Bank stock—at cost	3,545	3,545
Office properties and equipment—net	1,966	1,992
Bank owned life insurance	5,839	5,786
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,882	1,272
Deferred tax asset-net	2,475	2,343
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 506,219</b>	<b>\$ 514,761</b>

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## LIABILITIES:

Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 2,269	\$ 2,848
Interest-bearing	418,859	429,526
Total deposits	421,128	432,374
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	23,648	19,659
Accrued interest payable	755	3,463
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,810	1,214
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,417	1,703
Accrued dividend payable	516	491
Total liabilities	450,274	458,904

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 8)

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, issued 12,563,750; outstanding - 10,331,866 at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009	126	126
Additional paid-in capital	53,091	52,938
Unearned ESOP shares	(3,401 )	(3,457 )
Treasury stock, at cost: 2,231,884 shares at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009	(28,652 )	(28,652 )
Retained earnings	35,482	35,293
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(701 )	(391 )
Total stockholders' equity	55,945	55,857
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 506,219	\$ 514,761

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Amounts)	
<b>INTEREST INCOME:</b>		
Interest on loans	\$ 3,751	\$ 3,727
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	1,223	1,756
Interest and dividends on investments	1,492	1,744
Total interest income	6,466	7,227
<b>INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>		
Interest on deposits	2,272	3,159
Interest on borrowings	217	303
Total interest expense	2,489	3,462
NET INTEREST INCOME	3,977	3,765
PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	135	313
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	3,842	3,452
<b>NON-INTEREST INCOME (LOSS):</b>		
Fees and other service charges	125	125
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(294 )	(2,154 )
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes	90	-
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(204 )	(2,154 )
Other	98	82
Total non-interest income (loss)	19	(1,947 )
<b>NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:</b>		
Salaries and employee benefits	1,361	1,068
Data processing	138	165
Professional services	141	216
Office occupancy	93	95
Depreciation	87	84
Payroll taxes	67	63

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

Director compensation	62	58
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance	182	208
Other	422	497
Total non-interest expense	2,553	2,454
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	1,308	(949 )
INCOME TAXES:		
Current expense	594	503
Deferred expense (benefit)	28	(459 )
Total income tax expense	622	44
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 686	\$ (993 )
BASIC INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.09 )
DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.09 )

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



## PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Unearned ESOP Shares	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity	Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Dollars in Thousands except per share amounts)								
BALANCE, OCTOBER 1, 2009	\$ 126	\$ 52,938	\$(3,457 )	\$(28,652 )	\$35,293	\$ (391 )	\$ 55,857	
Comprehensive income:								
Net income					686		686	686
Net unrealized holding loss on available for sale securities arising during the period, net of income tax benefit of \$229						(445 )	(445 )	(445 )
Reclassification adjustment for other than temporary impairment recognized in earnings net of tax of \$69						135	135	135
Comprehensive income								\$ 376
Cash dividend declared (\$ .05 per share)					(497 )		(497 )	
Excess tax benefit from stock compensation		37				37		
Stock option expense		53					53	
		63					63	



Cash dividend declared (\$.05 per share)					(535 )		(535 )
--	--	--	--	--	--------	--	--------

ESOP shares committed to be released (5,655 shares)	-	(1 )	56	-	-	-	55
--	---	------	----	---	---	---	----

BALANCE, December 31, 2008	\$126	\$ 54,924	\$(3,624 )	\$(19,481)	\$35,504	\$ (1,189 )	\$ 66,260
-------------------------------	-------	-----------	------------	------------	----------	-------------	-----------

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

## PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 686	\$ (993 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	135	313
Depreciation	87	84
Net accretion of premiums/discounts	(81 )	(531 )
Net accretion of deferred loan fees and costs	(13 )	(31 )
Impairment charge on investment securities	204	2,154
Share-based compensation expense	153	-
Amortization of ESOP	56	55
Income from bank owned life insurance	(53 )	(53 )
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	28	(459 )
Excess tax benefit related to stock compensation	(37 )	-
Changes in assets and liabilities which used cash:		
Accrued interest receivable	81	(377 )
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(2,611 )	177
Accrued interest payable	(2,708 )	(2,527 )
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(285 )	(5,630 )
Net cash used in operating activities	(4,358 )	(7,818 )
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	(2,994 )	(6,997 )
Purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(5,935 )	(1,985 )
Loans originated or acquired	(11,167 )	(20,620 )
Principal collected on loans	11,300	10,457
Principal payments received on investment and mortgage-backed securities:		
held-to-maturity	15,861	16,630
available-for-sale	2,656	1,915
Acquisition of FHLB stock, net	-	(925 )
Purchases of equipment	(61 )	(1 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	9,660	(1,526 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net increase (decrease) in demand deposits, NOW accounts, and savings accounts	7,561	(735 )
Net (decrease) increase in certificates of deposit	(18,807 )	11,427
Net borrowings of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	3,989	990
Increase in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	596	584
Excess tax benefit related to stock compensation	37	-
Cash dividend paid	(472 )	(531 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(7,096 )	11,735
<b>NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(1,794 )</b>	<b>2,391</b>

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—Beginning of period	13,669	9,454
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of period	\$ 11,875	\$ 11,845
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Interest paid on deposits and advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	\$ 5,197	\$ 5,989
Income taxes paid	\$ 753	\$ 850
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH ITEMS:		
Real estate acquired in settlement of loans	\$ 437	\$ -

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of presentation –The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim information and therefore do not include all the information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations, changes in equity and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). However, all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements have been included. The results for the three months ended December 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, or any other period. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Prudential Bancorp, Inc. of Pennsylvania (the “Company”) and the accompanying notes thereto for the year ended September 30, 2009 included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates and assumptions in the Company’s consolidated financial statements are recorded in the allowance for loan losses, deferred income taxes, and the fair value measurement for investment securities available for sale. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Dividend Payable – On December 16, 2009, the Company’s Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$.05 on the common stock of the Company payable on January 25, 2010 to the shareholders of record at the close of business on January 11, 2010 which resulted in a payable of \$516,000 at December 31, 2009. A portion of the cash dividend was payable to Prudential Mutual Holding Company (the “MHC”) due to its ownership of shares of the Company’s common stock and totaled \$367,000.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan – The Company maintains an employee stock ownership plan (“ESOP”) for substantially all of its full-time employees. The ESOP purchased 452,295 shares of the Company’s common stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$4.5 million in fiscal 2005. Shares of the Company’s common stock purchased by the ESOP are held in a suspense account until released for allocation to participants. Shares are allocated to each eligible participant based on the ratio of each such participant’s compensation, as defined in the ESOP, to the total compensation of all eligible plan participants. As the unearned shares are released from the suspense account, the Company recognizes compensation expense equal to the fair value of the ESOP shares during the periods in which they become committed to be released. To the extent that the fair value of the ESOP shares released differs from the cost of such shares, the difference is charged or credited to equity as additional paid-in capital. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had allocated a total of 107,445 shares from the suspense account to participants. In addition, at such date the total number of shares of Company common stock held by the ESOP was 450,200. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized \$51,000 in compensation expense.

Share-Based Compensation – The Company accounts for stock-based compensation issued to employees, and where appropriate non-employees, at fair value. Under fair value provisions, stock-based compensation cost is

measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the appropriate vesting period using the straight-line method. The amount of stock-based compensation recognized at any date must at least equal the portion of the grant date fair value of the award that is vested at that date and as a result it may be necessary to recognize the expense using a ratable method. Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the date of grant requires judgment, including estimating the expected term of the stock options and the expected volatility of the Company's stock. In addition, judgment is required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates or different key assumptions were used, it could have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Dividends with respect to non-vested share awards are held by the Company's Recognition and Retention Plan ("Plan") Trust (the "Trust") for the benefit of the recipients and will be paid out proportionately by the Trust to the recipients of stock awards granted pursuant to the Plan as soon as practicable after the stock awards are earned.

Treasury Stock – Stock held in treasury by the Company is accounted for using the cost method, which treats stock held in treasury as a reduction to total stockholders' equity. On January 21, 2009, the Company announced its seventh stock repurchase program to repurchase up to 198,000 shares or approximately 5% of the Company's outstanding common stock held by shareholders other than the MHC. As of December 31, 2009, there were 20,000 shares remaining to be purchased under this program. The average cost per share of the approximately 1.5 million shares which have been repurchased by the Company was \$12.84 for purchases through December 31, 2009. The repurchased shares are available for general corporate purposes. In addition, the MHC announced on December 16, 2009 that its Board of Directors approved its third stock purchase plan to purchase up to 50,000 shares of Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2009, the MHC had purchased 427,500 shares at an average cost of \$11.19 per share.

Comprehensive Income (Loss) —The Company presents in the unaudited consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income those amounts arising from transactions and other events which currently are excluded from the statements of operations and are recorded directly to stockholders' equity. For the three months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the only components of comprehensive income were net income (loss), unrealized holding gains and losses, net of income tax expense and benefit, on available for sale securities and reclassifications related to realized loss due to other than temporary impairment, net of tax.

FHLB Stock – Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") stock is classified as a restricted equity security because ownership is restricted and there is not an established market for its resale. FHLB stock is carried at cost and is evaluated for impairment when certain conditions warrant further consideration. While the FHLB has recognized losses in recent periods, it is currently not probable that the Company will not realize its cost basis as the FHLB has maintained capital levels in excess of regulatory requirements. Management concluded that no impairment existed as of December 31, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements – In June 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-01, Topic 105 - Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The Codification is the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification does not change current GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Company adopted this standard for the annual reporting period ended September 30, 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued an accounting standard related to fair value measurements, which was effective for the Company on October 1, 2008. This standard defined fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value, and expanded disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. On October 1, 2008, the Company adopted this accounting standard related to fair value measurements for the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities. The Company deferred adoption of this accounting standard related to fair value measurements for the Company's nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for those items recognized or disclosed at fair value on an annual or more frequently recurring basis, until October 1, 2010. The adoption of this accounting standard related to fair value measurements for the Company's nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities had no impact on retained earnings and did not have a material impact on the Company's statements of income and condition. This accounting standard was subsequently codified into ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The adoption of this standard is did



not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06 that describes amendments that require some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in ASC Topic 820-10. The Board's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. The amendments are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard related to the accounting for transfers of financial assets, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009, and interim periods within those fiscal years. This standard enhances reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This standard eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity" and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. This standard also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. This accounting standard was subsequently codified into ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance impacting ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This ASC provides additional guidance in determining fair values when there is no active market or where the price inputs being used represent distressed sales. It reaffirms the need to use judgment to ascertain if a formerly active market has become inactive and in determining fair values when markets have become inactive. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance impacting ASC 825-10-50, Financial Instruments, which relates to fair value disclosures for any financial instruments that are not currently reflected on the balance sheet of companies at fair value. This guidance amended existing GAAP to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company has presented the necessary disclosures in Note 9 herein.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance impacting ASC 320-10, Investments — Debt and Equity Securities, which provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has presented the necessary disclosures in Note 3 herein.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-05, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) – Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value. This ASU provides amendments for fair value measurements of liabilities. It provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value using one or more techniques. ASU 2009-05 also clarifies that when estimating a fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability. ASU 2009-05 was adopted effective October 1, 2009. This standard did not have a specific impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, and disclosures.



In June 2008, the FASB issued accounting guidance related to determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities, which is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. This guidance clarified that instruments granted in share-based payment transactions can be participating securities prior to the requisite service having been rendered. A basic principle of this guidance is that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and are to be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. All prior-period earnings per share data presented (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) are required to be adjusted retrospectively to conform with this guidance. This accounting guidance was subsequently codified into ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

## 2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of any treasury shares, during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of any treasury shares, after consideration of the potential dilutive effect of common stock equivalents ("CSEs"), based upon the treasury stock method using an average market price for the period.

The calculated basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Quarter Ended December 31,			
	2009		2008	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	(Dollars in Thousands Except Per Share Data)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 686	\$ 686	\$ (993 )	\$ (993 )
Weighted average shares outstanding	9,873,428	9,873,428	10,814,956	10,814,956
Effect of common stock equivalents	-	187,566	-	-
Adjusted weighted average shares used in earnings per share computation	\$ 9,873,428	\$ 10,060,994	\$ 10,814,956	\$ 10,814,956
Income (loss) per share - basic and diluted	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.07	\$ (0.09 )	\$ (0.09 )

## 3. INVESTMENT AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of investment and mortgage-backed securities, with gross unrealized gains and losses, are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	December 31, 2009		Fair Value
		Gross Unrealized Gains (Dollars in Thousands)	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Securities held to maturity:				
U.S. Government agency obligations	\$ 114,923	\$ 281	\$ (2,364 )	\$ 112,840
Municipal obligations	1,620	3	-	1,623
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	31,728	1,259	-	32,987
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 148,271	\$ 1,543	\$ (2,364 )	\$ 147,450
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government agency obligations	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ (65 )	\$ 1,935
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	54,471	1,644	(488 )	55,627
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	9,656	52	(2,228 )	7,480
Total debt securities	66,127	1,696	(2,781 )	65,042
FHLMC preferred stock	16	23	-	39
Total securities available for sale	\$ 66,143	\$ 1,719	\$ (2,781 )	\$ 65,081
September 30, 2009				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (Dollars in Thousands)	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities Held to Maturity:				
U.S. Government agency obligations	\$ 123,923	\$ 881	\$ (645 )	\$ 124,159
Municipal obligations	1,970	6	-	1,976
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	34,233	1,600	-	35,833
Total securities held to maturity	\$ 160,126	\$ 2,487	\$ (645 )	\$ 161,968
Securities Available for Sale:				
U.S. Government agency obligations	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ (18 )	\$ 1,982
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	50,659	2,009	(57 )	52,611
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	10,325	6	(2,564 )	7,767
Total debt securities	62,984	2,015	(2,639 )	62,360

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

FHLMC preferred stock	16	31	-	47
Total securities available for sale	\$ 63,000	\$ 2,046	\$ (2,639 )	\$ 62,407

10

---

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and related fair values of the Company's investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous loss position at December 31, 2009:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(Dollars in Thousands)						
<b>Securities Held to Maturity:</b>						
U.S. Government agency obligations	\$ (2,364 )	\$ 96,567	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,364 )	\$ 96,567
Total securities held to maturity	(2,364 )	96,567	-	-	(2,364 )	96,567
<b>Securities Available for Sale:</b>						
U.S. Government agency obligations	-	-	(65 )	1,935	(65 )	1,935
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	(477 )	17,690	(11 )	388	(488 )	18,078
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	(847 )	968	(1,381 )	4,339	(2,228 )	5,307
Total securities available for sale	(1,324 )	18,658	(1,457 )	6,662	(2,781 )	25,320
Total	\$ (3,688 )	\$ 115,225	\$ (1,457 )	\$ 6,662	\$ (5,145 )	\$ 121,887

All equity securities, municipal bonds and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity were in an unrealized gain position as of December 31, 2009.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and related fair values of the Company's investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous loss position at September 30, 2009:

	Less than 12 months		More than 12 months		Total	
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(Dollars in Thousands)						
<b>Securities Held to Maturity:</b>						
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ (643 )	\$ 52,854	\$ (2 )	\$ 1,993	\$ (645 )	\$ 54,847

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

Total securities held to maturity	(643 )	52,854	(2 )	1,993	(645 )	54,847
Securities Available for Sale:						
U.S. Government and agency obligations	-	-	(18 )	1,982	(18 )	1,982
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	(48 )	2,886	(9 )	400	(57 )	3,286
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	(1,310 )	2,757	(1,254 )	4,381	(2,564 )	7,138
Total securities available for sale	(1,358 )	5,643	(1,281 )	6,763	(2,639 )	12,406
Total	\$ (2,001 )	\$ 58,497	\$ (1,283 )	\$ 8,756	\$ (3,284 )	\$ 67,253

All equity securities, municipal bonds and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity were in an unrealized gain position as of September 30, 2009.



Management has reviewed its investment securities and determined that for the three months ended December 31, 2009 unrealized losses of \$294,000 on a pre-tax basis for certain securities in the non-agency mortgage-backed portfolio classified as available for sale were deemed other than temporary.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The Company determines whether the unrealized losses are temporary. The evaluation is based upon factors such as the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral, if applicable, and the continuing performance of the securities. Management also evaluates other facts and circumstances that may be indicative of an OTTI condition. This includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the type of security, length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and near-term prospects of the issuer.

The Company assesses whether the credit loss existed by considering whether (1) the Company has the intent to sell the security, (2) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, or (3) it does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company bifurcates the OTTI impact on impaired securities where impairment in value was deemed to be other than temporary between the component representing credit loss and the component representing loss related to other factors. The portion of the fair value decline attributable to credit loss must be recognized through a charge to earnings. Credit component is determined by comparing the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the rate in effect before recognizing any OTTI with the amortized cost basis of the debt security. The Company uses the cash flow expected to be realized from the security, which includes assumptions about interest rates, timing and severity of defaults, estimates of potential recoveries, the cash flow distribution from the bond indenture and other factors, then applies a discount rate equal to the effective yield of the security. The difference between the present value of the expected cash flows and the amortized book value is considered a credit loss. The fair market value of the security is determined using the same expected cash flows; the discount rate is a rate the Company determines from open market and other sources as appropriate for the security. The difference between the fair market value and the security’s remaining amortized cost is recognized in other comprehensive income.

The following is a rollforward for the three months ended December 31, 2009 of the amounts recognized in earnings related to credit losses on securities which the Company has recorded other than temporary impairment charges through earnings and other comprehensive income.

		(Dollars in thousands)
Credit component of OTTI as of October 1, 2009	\$	2,859
Additions for credit-related OTTI charges on previously unimpaired securities		5
Additional increases as a result of impairment charges recognized on investments for which an OTTI was previously recognized		199
Credit component of OTTI as of December 31, 2009	\$	3,063

United States Treasury and Government Sponsored Enterprise and Agency Notes - The Company’s investments in the preceding table in United States Government sponsored enterprise notes consist of debt obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“FHLMC”), Federal National

Mortgage Association (“FNMA”), and Federal Farm Credit System (“FFCS”). FHLB debt securities are rated by both Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s. All long-term debt issued by the FHLB banks is rated Aaa by Moody’s and AAA by Standard and Poor’s. All short-term debt is rated “Prime-1” by Moody’s and A-1+ by Standard & Poor’s. FNMA and FHLMC senior debt securities are also currently rated “Aaa” by Moody’s, short-term debt is rated “Prime-1”, subordinated debt is rated “Aa2” and preferred stock ratings are currently “Aa3” with “Stable” outlooks. Farm Credit Designated Bonds are high credit quality, liquid and callable securities. The securities are Aaa rated by Moody’s, AAA by Standard & Poor’s, and AAA by Fitch. At December 31, 2009, securities in a gross unrealized loss for less than twelve months consist of 55 securities having an aggregate depreciation of 2.4% from the Company’s amortized cost basis. Securities in a gross unrealized loss for more than twelve months consisted of two securities having an aggregate depreciation of 1.8% from the Company’s amortized cost basis. The unrealized losses on these debt securities relates principally to the changes in market interest rates and a lack of liquidity currently in the financial markets and are not as a result of projected shortfall of cash flows. In addition, the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities. As such, the Company anticipates it will recover the entire amortized cost basis of the securities. As a result, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2009.

State and Municipal Obligations – The municipal bonds consist of obligations of entities located in Pennsylvania. None of the municipal bonds were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2009.

US Agency Issued Mortgage-Backed Securities - At December 31, 2009, the gross unrealized loss in U.S. agency issued mortgage-backed securities in the category of less than 12 months was \$477,000 or 2.6% from the Company's amortized cost basis and consisted of 13 securities. The gross unrealized loss in the category of more than 12 months was \$11,000 or 2.9% of the Company's amortized cost basis and consisted of three securities. These securities represent asset-backed issues that are issued or guaranteed by a U.S. Government sponsored agency or carry the full faith and credit of the United States through a government agency and are currently rated AAA by at least one bond credit rating agency. In September 2008, the U.S. Department of the Treasury announced the establishment of the Government-Sponsored Enterprise Credit Facility to ensure credit availability to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Treasury also entered into senior preferred stock purchase agreements, which ensure that each entity maintains a positive net worth and effectively support the holders of debt and mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Agreements enhance market stability by providing additional security to debt holders, senior and subordinated, thereby alleviating the concern of the credit driven impairment of the securities. The unrealized loss on these debt securities relates principally to the changes in market interest rates and a lack of liquidity currently in the financial markets and are not as a result of projected shortfall in cash flows. In addition, the Company does not intend to sell the securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities. As such, the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the securities. As a result, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2009.

Non-Agency Issued Mortgage-Backed Securities and Collateralized Mortgage Obligations - This portfolio was acquired through the redemption-in-kind of a mutual fund during 2008 and includes 72 collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMO") and mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") securities issued by large commercial financial institutions. For the three months ended December 31, 2009 management recognized an other than temporary impairment charge related to a portion of the portfolio securities in the amount of \$294,000 on a pre-tax basis due to the fact that, in management's judgment, the credit quality of the collateral pool underlying such securities had deteriorated during the most recent quarter to the point that full recovery of the entire amortized cost of the investment was considered to be uncertain. This portfolio consists primarily of the securities with underlying collateral of Alt-A loans and those collateralized by home equity lines of credit and other receivables as well as whole loans with more significant exposure to the declining markets accountable for the balance of the other than temporary impairment charges. Of the portfolio, 79% or \$5.9 million is collateralized by adjustable-rate whole loans, 4.0% or \$296,000 is collateralized by Alternative A-paper (Alt-A) mortgages, with remainder of the securities collateralized by the home equity lines of credit and other receivables. For the overall portfolio of the securities, the Company's exposure to the declining real estate markets such as California and Florida is approximately 49%. Consequently, an other-than-temporary impairment charge was deemed to be warranted as of December 31, 2009. Of the recorded charge, a total of \$204,000 was concluded to be credit related and recognized currently in earnings and \$90,000 was concluded to be attributable to other factors and recognized in other comprehensive income.

As of December 31, 2009, with the exception of securities discussed above, there are no securities for which the Company currently believes it is not probable that it will collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the investment. Management concluded that an other-than-temporary impairment did not exist and the decline in value was attributed to the illiquidity in the financial markets. In addition, the Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell these securities.



The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	December 31, 2009			
	Held to Maturity		Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Due within one year	\$2,000	\$2,027	\$-	\$-
Due after one through five years	440	440	-	-
Due after five through ten years	37,672	37,259	-	-
Due after ten years	76,431	74,737	2,000	1,935
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$116,543</b>	<b>\$114,463</b>	<b>\$2,000</b>	<b>\$1,935</b>

The maturity table above excludes MBS because the contractual maturities are not indicative of actual maturities due to significant prepayments.

4. LOANS RECEIVABLE

Loans receivable consist of the following:

	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
One-to-four family residential	\$ 199,868	\$ 201,396
Multi-family residential	6,969	4,178
Commercial real estate	20,441	19,907
Construction and land development	35,165	36,764
Commercial business	2,088	2,232
Consumer	575	586
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>265,106</b>	<b>265,063</b>
Undisbursed portion of loans-in-process	(6,878 )	(6,281 )
Deferred loan costs, net	641	644
Allowance for loan losses	(2,867 )	(2,732 )
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 256,002</b>	<b>\$ 256,694</b>

The following schedule summarizes the changes in the allowance for loan losses:

	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 2,732	\$ 1,591
Provision for loan losses	135	313
Charge-offs	-	-
Recoveries	-	-
Balance, end of period	\$ 2,867	\$ 1,904

The Company established a provision for loan losses of \$135,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$313,000 for the comparable quarter in 2008. The larger provision for the 2008 period primarily related to a 40-unit condominium project in which another bank acted as the lead lender that had experienced payment delinquencies and the estimated net realizable value of the collateral was less than the loan balance. The loan was subsequently transferred to real estate owned during the second fiscal quarter of 2009. At December 31, 2009, the Company's non-performing assets totaled \$6.8 million or 1.3% of total assets. The non-performing assets consisted of one construction loan totaling \$640,000, three commercial real estate loans totaling \$790,000, 11 one-to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$1.4 million and four real estate owned properties totaling \$4.1 million. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$2.9 million, or 1.1% of total loans and 103.4% of non-performing loans at December 31, 2009. At September 30, 2009, the Company's non-performing assets totaled \$5.6 million or 1.1% of total assets. At September 30, 2009, non-performing assets consisted of two commercial real estate loans totaling \$491,000, one construction loan totaling \$640,000, ten one-to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$851,000 and three real estate owned ("REO") properties totaling \$3.6 million. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$2.7 million, or 1.0% of total loans, and 137.8% of non-performing loans at September 31, 2009.

An impaired loan generally is one for which it is probable, based on current information, that the lender will not collect all the amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan. Large groups of smaller balance, homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Loans collectively evaluated for impairment include smaller balance commercial real estate loans, residential real estate loans and consumer loans. These loans are evaluated as a group because they have similar characteristics and performance experience. Larger commercial real estate, construction and commercial business loans are individually evaluated for impairment.

As of December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, the recorded investment in loans that are considered to be impaired was as follows:

	December	
	31, 2009	September 30, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Impaired loans with related allowance	\$ 1,669	\$ 1,661
Impaired loans without related allowance	\$ -	\$ -
Related allowance for loan losses	\$ 950	\$ 873

Other data for impaired loans for the three months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follow:



	For the Three Months Ended	
	December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Average impaired loans	\$ 1,665	\$ 4,169
Interest income recognized on impaired loans	\$ 8	\$ -

## 5. DEPOSITS

Deposits consist of the following major classifications:

	December 31, 2009		September 30, 2009	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Money market deposit accounts	\$ 79,015	18.8 %	\$ 75,349	17.4 %
NOW accounts	31,488	7.5	29,869	6.9
Passbook, club and statement savings	69,245	16.4	66,968	15.5
Certificates maturing in six months or less	104,305	24.8	120,636	27.9
Certificates maturing in more than six months	137,075	32.5	139,552	32.3
Total	\$ 421,128	100.0 %	\$ 432,374	100.0 %

Certificates of \$100,000 and over totaled \$81.1 million as of December 31, 2009 and \$91.9 million as of September 30, 2009.



## 6. INCOME TAXES

Items that gave rise to significant portions of deferred income taxes are as follows:

	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities	\$ 361	\$ 201
Deposit premium	155	167
Allowance for loan losses	1,020	974
Real estate owned expenses	475	469
Nonaccrual interest	19	15
Accrued vacation	47	44
Capital loss carryforward	1,873	1,873
Impairment loss	1,432	1,363
Split dollar life insurance	83	84
Post-retirement benefits	152	154
Employee benefit plans	289	246
Total deferred tax assets	5,906	5,590
Valuation allowance	(2,728)	(2,551)
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	3,178	3,039
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property	482	480
Mortgage servicing rights	3	4
Deferred loan fees	218	212
Total deferred tax liabilities	703	696
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 2,475	\$ 2,343

The Company establishes a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when management believes that the deferred tax assets are not likely to be realized either through a carry back to taxable income in prior years, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, and, to a lesser extent, future taxable income. The tax deduction generated by the redemption of the shares of the mutual fund and the subsequent impairment charge on the assets acquired through the redemption in kind are considered to be capital losses and can only be utilized to the extent of capital gains over a five year period, resulting in the establishment of a valuation allowance for the carryforward period which expires beginning in 2013. The valuation allowance totaled \$2.7 million at December 31, 2009. The gross deferred asset related to impairment losses increased by \$69,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2009 while the corresponding valuation allowance increased by \$177,000, resulting in additional income tax expense of \$108,000 corresponding to the decrease in value of available for sale MBS which may be sold in the future to generate capital gains.

There is currently no liability for uncertain tax positions and no known unrecognized tax benefits. The Company recognizes, when applicable, interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes in the Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Operations. During 2009, the Internal Revenue Service concluded an audit of the Company's tax returns for the year ended September 30, 2007 in which there

was no change necessary to the Company's tax liability. The Company's federal and state income tax returns for taxable years through September 30, 2005 have been closed for purposes of examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue.

## 7. STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company maintains a Recognition and Retention Plan (“RRP”) which is administered by a committee of the Board of Directors. The RRP provides for the grant of shares of common stock of the Company to certain officers, employees and directors of the Company. In order to fund the grant of shares under the RRP, the RRP Trust purchased 226,148 shares of the Company’s common stock in the open market for approximately \$2.5 million, at an average price per share of \$10.85. The Company made sufficient contributions to the RRP Trust to fund these purchases. No additional purchases are expected to be made by the RRP Trust under this plan. Grants covering 173,228 shares were awarded as part of the RRP, the remaining shares in the RRP Trust will be available for future awards. Shares subject to awards under the RRP will generally vest at the rate of 20% per year over five years. As of December 31, 2009, no awards had become fully or partially vested and no shares were forfeited.

Compensation expense related to the shares subject to awards granted to date is recognized ratably over the five-year vesting period in an amount which totals the share price at the grant date. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, approximately \$95,000 was recognized in compensation expense for the RRP. A tax benefit of \$32,000 was recognized during the three months ended December 31, 2009. There was no compensation expense recognized related to the RRP during the comparable period in 2008. At December 31, 2009, approximately \$1.5 million in additional compensation expense for the shares awarded related to the RRP remained unrecognized.

A summary of the Company’s non-vested stock award activity for the three months ended December 31, 2009 is presented in the following table:

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2009	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested stock awards at beginning of period	173,228	\$ 11.17
Issued	-	-
Vested	-	-
Nonvested stock awards at the end of the period	173,228	\$ 11.17

The Company also maintains a Stock Option Plan. The Stock Option Plan authorizes the grant of stock options to officers, employees and directors of the Company to acquire shares of common stock with an exercise price at least equal to the market value of the common stock on the grant date. Options will generally become vested and exercisable at the rate of 20% per year over five years and are generally exercisable for a period of ten years after the grant date. A total of 565,369 shares of common stock are available for future issuance pursuant to the Stock Option Plan. There were 315,194 incentive stock options and 113,072 non-qualified stock options awarded under the plan. As of December 31, 2009, no options were vested or had been forfeited.

A summary of the status of the Company’s stock options under the Stock Option Plan as of December 31, 2009 and changes during the three month period ended December 31, 2009 are presented below:

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2009	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of period	428,266	\$ 11.17
Granted	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Forfeited	-	-
Outstanding at the end of the period	428,266	\$ 11.17
Exercisable at the end of the period	-	\$ -

The weighted average remaining contractual term was approximately 9 years for options outstanding as of December 31, 2009. No options were exercisable as of December 31, 2009.

The estimated fair value of options granted during fiscal 2009 was \$2.81 per share. The fair value was estimated on the date of grant in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used:

	December 31, 2009	
Dividend yield	1.79	%
Expected volatility	27.94	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.96	%
Expected life of options	6.5	years

During the three months ended December 31, 2009, \$59,000 was recognized in compensation expense for the Stock Option Plan. A tax benefit of \$6,000 was recognized during the three months ended December 31, 2009. There was no compensation expense recognized related to the Stock Option Plan during the comparable period in 2008. At December 31, 2009, approximately \$946,000 in additional compensation expense for awarded options remained unrecognized. The weighted average period over which this expense will be recognized is approximately 4 years.

## 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$10.6 million in outstanding commitments to originate fixed and variable-rate loans with market interest rates ranging from 5.00% to 7.25%. At September 30, 2009, the Company had \$11.0 million in outstanding commitments to originate fixed and variable-rate loans with market interest rates ranging from 5.50% to 6.50%.

The aggregate undisbursed portion of loans-in-process amounted to \$6.9 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009.

The Company also had commitments under unused lines of credit of \$7.2 million and \$7.7 million at December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, respectively, and letters of credit outstanding of \$621,000 at both December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009.

Among the Company's contingent liabilities are exposures to limited recourse arrangements with respect to the Company's sales of whole loans and participation interests. At December 31, 2009, the exposure, which represents a portion of credit risk associated with the interests sold, amounted to \$64,000. This exposure is for the life of the related loans and payables, on our proportionate share, as actual losses are incurred.

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. Management of the Company, based on discussions with litigation counsel, believes that such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, operations or cash flows of the Company. There can be no assurance that any of the outstanding legal proceedings to which the Company is a party will not be decided adversely to the Company's interests and have a material adverse effect on the financial condition and operations of the Company.

## 9. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessarily required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value.

Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

	December 31, 2009		September 30, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,875	\$ 11,875	\$ 13,669	\$ 13,669
Investment and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	148,271	147,450	160,126	161,968
Investment securities and mortgage-backed securities available for sale	65,081	65,081	62,407	62,407
Loans receivable, net	256,002	260,487	256,694	262,000
Accrued interest receivable:				
Loans receivable	1,429	1,429	1,419	1,419
Mortgage-backed securities	385	385	390	390
Investment securities	1,410	1,410	1,496	1,496
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
NOW accounts	31,488	31,488	29,869	29,869
Money market deposit accounts	79,015	79,015	75,349	75,349
Passbook, club and statement savings accounts	69,245	69,245	66,968	66,968
Certificates of deposit	241,380	247,258	260,188	266,192
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	23,648	24,100	19,659	20,294
Accrued interest payable	755	755	3,463	3,463

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**—For cash and cash equivalents, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Investments and Mortgage-Backed Securities**—The fair value of investment securities and mortgage-backed securities is based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes, and prices obtained from independent pricing services

that may be derivable from observable and unobservable market inputs.

**Loans Receivable**—The fair value of loans is estimated based on present value using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

**Accrued Interest Receivable** – For accrued interest receivable, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) Stock—Although FHLB stock is an equity interest in an FHLB, it is carried at cost because it does not have a readily determinable fair value as its ownership is restricted and it lacks a market. The estimated fair value approximates the carrying amount.

NOW Accounts, Money Market Deposit Accounts, Passbook Accounts, Club Accounts, Statement Savings Accounts, and Certificates of Deposit—The fair value of passbook accounts, club accounts, statement savings accounts, NOW accounts, and money market deposit accounts is the amount reported in the financial statements. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on a present value estimate using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturity.

Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank—The fair value of advances from FHLB is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date.

Accrued Interest Payable – For accrued interest payable, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Letters of Credit—The majority of the Bank’s commitments to extend credit and letters of credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because commitments to extend credit and letters of credit are generally unassignable by either the Bank or the borrower, they only have value to the Bank and the borrower. The estimated fair value approximates the recorded deferred fee amounts, which are not significant.

## 10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009, respectively. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

The Company adopted FASB ASC Topic 820 “Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures” effective October 1, 2008, which provides a frame work for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting procedures. FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

The three broad levels defined by FASB ASC Topic 820 hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.



Those assets which will continue to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Category Used for Fair Value Measurement			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Assets:				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ -	\$ 1,935	\$ -	\$ 1,935
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies		55,627		55,627
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	-	7,402	78	7,480
FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock	39	-	-	39
Total	\$ 39	\$ 64,964	\$ 78	\$ 65,081

Those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009 were as follows:

	Category Used for Fair Value Measurement			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Assets:				
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Government and agency obligations	\$ -	\$ 1,982	\$ -	\$ 1,982
Mortgage-backed securities - U.S. Government agencies	-	52,611	-	52,611
Mortgage-backed securities - Non-agency	-	7,685	82	7,767
FNMA and FHLMC preferred stock	47	-	-	47
Total	\$ 47	\$ 62,278	\$ 82	\$ 62,407

As a result of general market conditions and the illiquidity in the market for certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities, management deemed it necessary to classify certain securities as Level 3. These securities were priced by a third party specialist utilizing recent prices for similar securities as inputs in the standard discounted cash flow model, adjusted for assumptions unobservable in the market.

The following provides details of the fair value measurement activity for Level 3 of the three months ended December 31, 2009:

	Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Non-agency mortgage- backed securities (Dollars in Thousands)
Balance, October 1, 2009:	\$ 82
Total losses, realized/unrealized Included in earnings	(1)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss	6
Purchases, maturities, prepayments and calls, net	(9)
Transfers from Level 3, net	-
Balance, December 31, 2009:	\$ 78

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The Company measures impaired loans and loans or bank properties transferred into real estate owned at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

#### Impaired Loans

The Company considers loans to be impaired when it becomes probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Under ASC 310-10-35 Receivables-Subsequent Measurement, collateral dependent impaired loans are based on the fair value of the collateral which is based on appraisals. In some cases, adjustments are made to the appraised values for various factors including age of the appraisal, age of the comparables included in the appraisal, and known changes in the market and in the collateral. These adjustments are based upon unobservable inputs, and therefore, the fair value measurement has been categorized as a Level 2 measurement. Specific reserves were calculated for impaired loans with carrying amounts totaling \$1.7 million at December 31, 2009. The collateral underlying these loans had a fair value of \$719,000, resulting in specific reserves in the allowance for loan losses of \$950,000.

#### Transfer of Impaired Loans into Real Estate Owned

Once an asset is determined to be uncollectible, the underlying collateral is repossessed and reclassified to foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets. These assets are carried at lower of cost or fair value of the collateral, less cost to sell. In some cases, adjustments are made to the appraised values for various factors including age of the appraisal, age of the comparables included in the appraisal, and known changes in the market and in the collateral. These adjustments are based upon unobservable inputs, and therefore, the fair value measurement has been categorized as a Level 2 measurement.

#### Summary of Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

At December 31,  
2009

Total

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Impaired loans	\$ -	\$ 719	\$ -	\$ 719
Real estate owned	-	4,059	-	\$ 4,059
Total	\$ -	\$ 4,778	\$ -	\$ 4,778

23

---

	At September 30, 2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Impaired loans	\$ -	\$ 788	\$ -	\$ 788
Real estate owned	-	3,622	-	\$ 3,622
Total	\$ -	\$ 4,410	\$ -	\$ 4,410

## 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company assessed events that occurred subsequent to December 31, 2009 through February 16, 2010 for potential recognition and disclosure in the consolidated financial statements. No events have occurred that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements which were issued on February 16, 2010.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009.

**Overview.** Prudential Bancorp, Inc. of Pennsylvania (the "Company") was formed by Prudential Savings Bank (the "Bank") in connection with the Bank's reorganization into the mutual holding company form of organization. The Company's results of operations are primarily dependent on the results of the Bank, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company's results of operations depend to a large extent on net interest income, which primarily is the difference between the income earned on its loan and securities portfolios and the cost of funds, which is the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by our provisions for loan losses, non-interest income (which includes impairment charges) and non-interest expense. Non-interest expense principally consists of salaries and employee benefits, office occupancy, depreciation, data processing expense, payroll taxes and other expense. Our results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities. Future changes in applicable laws, regulations or government policies may materially impact our financial condition and results of operations. The Bank is subject to regulation by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking (the "Department"). The Bank's main office is in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, with six additional banking offices located in Philadelphia and Delaware Counties in Pennsylvania. The Bank's primary business consists of attracting deposits from the general public and using those funds together with borrowings to originate loans and to invest primarily in U.S. Government and agency securities and mortgage-backed securities. In November 2005, the Bank formed PSB Delaware, Inc., a Delaware corporation, as a subsidiary of the Bank. In March 2006, all mortgage-backed securities owned by the Company were transferred to PSB Delaware, Inc. PSB Delaware, Inc.'s activities are included as part of the consolidated financial statements.

**Critical Accounting Policies.** In reviewing and understanding financial information for the Company, you are encouraged to read and understand the significant accounting policies used in preparing our financial statements. These policies are described in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009. The accounting and financial reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and to general practices within the banking industry. The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and

disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Management evaluates these estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. The following accounting policies comprise those that management believes are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results. These policies require numerous estimates or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or may be subject to variations which may significantly affect our reported results and financial condition for the period or in future periods.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries are added to the allowance. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to provide for estimated losses and impairment based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risk in the loan portfolio. Loan impairment is evaluated based on the fair value of collateral or estimated net realizable value. It is the policy of management to provide for losses on unidentified loans in its portfolio in addition to classified loans.

Management monitors its allowance for loan losses at least quarterly and makes adjustments to the allowance through the provision for loan losses as economic conditions and other pertinent factors indicate. The quarterly review and adjustment of the qualitative factors employed in the allowance methodology and the updating of historic loss experience allow for timely reaction to emerging conditions and trends. In this context, a series of qualitative factors are used in a methodology as a measurement of how current circumstances are affecting the loan portfolio. Included in these qualitative factors are:

- Levels of past due, classified and non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and modifications
- Nature and volume of loans
- Changes in lending policies and procedures, underwriting standards, collections, charge-offs and recoveries and for commercial loans, the level of loans being approved with exceptions to lending policy
- Experience, ability and depth of management and staff
- National and local economic and business conditions, including various market segments
- Quality of the Company's loan review system and degree of Board oversight
- Concentrations of credit and changes in levels of such concentrations
- Effect of external factors on the level of estimated credit losses in the current portfolio

In determining the allowance for loan losses, management has established both specific and general pooled allowances. Values assigned to the qualitative factors and those developed from historic loss experience provide a dynamic basis for the calculation of reserve factors for both pass-rated loans (general pooled allowance) and those criticized and classified loans. The amount of the specific allowance is determined through a loan-by-loan analysis of certain large dollar commercial loans. Loans not individually reviewed are evaluated as a group using reserve factor percentages based on historic loss experience and the qualitative factors described above. In determining the appropriate level of the general pooled allowance, management makes estimates based on internal risk ratings, which take into account such factors as debt service coverage, loan-to-value ratios, and external factors. Estimates are periodically measured against actual loss experience.

This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including, among others, exposure at default, the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, value of collateral, estimated losses on our commercial, construction and residential loan portfolios and historical loss experience. All of these estimates may be susceptible to significant change.

While management uses the best information available to make loan loss allowance evaluations, adjustments to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic and other conditions or changes in accounting guidance. Historically, our estimates of the allowance for loan loss have not required significant adjustments from management's initial estimates. In addition, the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as an integral part of their examination processes, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation may require the recognition of adjustments to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations. To the extent that actual outcomes differ from management's estimates, additional provisions to the allowance for loan losses may be required that would adversely impact earnings in future periods.



Investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows and are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. At March 31, 2009, the Company's investment in certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities were shifted from a Level 2 market value measurement to a Level 3 market value measurement. This Level 3 market value measurement included an internally developed discounted cash flow model combined with using market data points of similar securities with comparable credit ratings in addition to market yield curves with similar maturities in determining the discount rate.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The Company determines whether the unrealized losses are temporary in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP"). The evaluation is based upon factors such as the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral, if applicable, and the continuing performance of the securities. In addition the Company also considers the likelihood that the security will be required to be sold by a regulatory agency, our internal intent not to dispose of the security prior to maturity and whether the entire cost basis of the security is expected to be recovered. In determining whether the cost basis will be recovered, management evaluates other facts and circumstances that may be indicative of an other-than-temporary impairment condition. This includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the type of security, length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and near-term prospects of the issuer.

In addition, certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The Company measures impaired loans, FHLB stock and loans or bank properties transferred into real estate owned at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Valuation techniques and models utilized for measuring financial assets and liabilities are reviewed and validated by the Company at least quarterly.

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company records deferred income taxes that reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Management exercises significant judgment in the evaluation of the amount and timing of the recognition of the resulting tax assets and liabilities. The judgments and estimates required for the evaluation are updated based upon changes in business factors and the tax laws. If actual results differ from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating the amount and timing of tax recognized, there can be no assurance that additional expenses will not be required in future periods.

In evaluating our ability to recover deferred tax assets, we consider all available positive and negative evidence, including our past operating results and our forecast of future taxable income. In determining future taxable income, we make assumptions for the amount of taxable income, the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require us to make judgments about our future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates we use to manage our business. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record an additional valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. An increase in the valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period and could have a significant impact on our future earnings.

U.S. GAAP prescribes a minimum probability threshold that a tax position must meet before a financial statement benefit is recognized. The Company recognizes, when applicable, interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax



benefits in the provision for income taxes in the consolidated income statement. Assessment of uncertain tax positions requires careful consideration of the technical merits of a position based on management's analysis of tax regulations and interpretations. Significant judgment may be involved in the assessment of the tax position.

Forward-looking Statements. In addition to historical information, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain “forward-looking statements” based on management’s current expectations. The Company’s actual results could differ materially, as such term is defined in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, from management’s expectations. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding management’s current intentions, beliefs or expectations as well as the assumptions on which such statements are based. These forward-looking statements are subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are not subject to the Company’s control. You are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause future results to vary from current management expectations include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government, changes in tax policies, rates and regulations of federal, state and local tax authorities, changes in interest rates, deposit flows, the cost of funds, demand for loan products, demand for financial services, competition, changes in the quality or composition of the Company’s loan and investment portfolios, changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines and other economic, competitive, governmental and technological factors affecting the Company’s operations, markets, products, services and fees.

The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to future operating results that occur subsequent to the date such forward-looking statements are made unless required by law or regulations.

Market Overview. The continued turbulence in the economy and the current financial crisis, which began in mid-2007, resulting in housing-related credit decline, combined with a capital markets liquidity crisis that has affected the liquidity and valuation of many investment vehicles, remains a concern for the Company. The severity of the downturn in the economic conditions deteriorated into a recession during 2008 which has continued through calendar 2009. One of the primary concerns for the Company is the slump in the housing market. While the Philadelphia area has not suffered wholesale declines in the value of residential real estate as have other areas of the country, this downturn has rippled through many parts of the economy, including construction lending and lending to contractors. Such conditions increase our exposure to the risk of non-performance in our construction and commercial loan portfolios. The Company continues to focus on the credit quality of its customers – closely monitoring the financial status of borrowers throughout the Company’s markets, gathering information, working on early detection of potential problems, taking pre-emptive steps where necessary and performing the analysis required to maintain adequate reserves. These declines in real estate market values has also been a factor in determining the necessity to increase our allowance for loan losses through increased loan loss provisions.

The decline in real estate market values and the increase in defaults on the underlying collateral have caused illiquidity in the financial markets which has led to the devaluation of certain non-agency securities. The Company continues to be impacted by continued pressure in the capital markets with respect to the value of our non-agency mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, leading to the determination that the declines in the fair value were other-than-temporary resulting in the occurrence of other-than-temporary impairment charges.

Despite the current market and economic conditions, the Company continues to maintain a strong capital position.

The following discussion provides further details on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at and for the periods ended December 31, 2009.

#### COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

At December 31, 2009, the Company's total assets were \$506.2 million, a decrease of \$8.5 million from \$514.8 million at September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to net decreases during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 in the investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolio of \$9.2 million. As certain government agency securities were called, the proceeds were used to fund the outflow of deposits. Partially offsetting the decrease was a mandated \$2.5 million prepayment of FDIC insurance premiums during December 2009 which was not applicable to the September 30, 2009 period.

Total liabilities decreased \$8.6 million to \$450.3 million at December 31, 2009 from \$458.9 million at September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily the result of an \$11.2 million decrease in deposits, primarily in certificates of deposit. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of \$4.0 million to partially fund the net outflow of deposits. Certificates of deposit decreased as bank deposit products became less desirable due to decreases in market interest rates and our decision not to actively compete for higher cost certificate accounts.

Stockholders' equity increased by \$88,000 to \$55.9 million at December 31, 2009. The largest component of changes in equity during the quarter ended December 31, 2009 was net income of \$686,000, partially offset by dividends of \$497,000.

#### COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

**Net income.** The Company reported net income of \$686,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 as compared to a net loss of \$993,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. The improved results of operations for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, were primarily due to reduced non-interest expenses in the 2009 period as compared to a \$2.2 million non-cash other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") charge related to certain of the nonagency mortgage-backed securities received as a result of the redemption in kind of a mutual fund during the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

**Net interest income.** Net interest income increased \$212,000 or 5.6% to \$4.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to \$3.8 million for the same three month period in 2008. The increase was due to a \$973,000 or 28.1% decrease in interest expense partially offset by a \$761,000, or 10.5% decrease in interest income. The decrease in interest expense resulted primarily from a 102 basis point decrease to 2.25% in the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, reflecting the decrease in market rates of interest during the year, partially offset by an \$18.8 million or 4.4% increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities, primarily in certificates of deposit, for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in interest income resulted primarily from a 66 basis point decline in the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets reflecting the effects of declines in market rates of interest in the 2009 period, partially offset by a \$2.3 million or 0.5% increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets for the three months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008.

For the quarter ended December 31, 2009, the net interest margin was 3.30%, as compared to 3.14% for the same period in 2008. The increase in the net interest margin for the 2009 period was primarily due to the large decrease in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities reflecting declines in market rates of interest which were more rapidly reflected in the cost of funds due to a greater interest sensitivity of such liabilities.

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, and Yields Earned and Rates Paid. The following table shows for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, and the net interest margin. Average yields and rates have been annualized. Tax-exempt income and yields have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis. All average balances are based on monthly balances. Management does not believe that the monthly averages differ significantly from what the daily averages would be.

	Three Months Ended December 31,					
	2009		2008		Average	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Interest-earning assets:						
Investment securities	\$ 126,535	\$ 1,490	4.71 %	\$ 130,742	\$ 1,727	5.28 %
Mortgage-backed securities	94,671	1,223	5.17	92,025	1,756	7.63
Loans receivable(1)	256,079	3,751	5.86	249,564	3,727	5.97
Other interest-earning assets (2)	5,100	2	0.16	7,743	17	0.88
Total interest-earning assets	482,385	6,466	5.36	480,074	7,227	6.02
Cash and non-interest-bearing balances	8,010			3,538		
Other non-interest-earning assets	18,180			14,008		
Total assets	\$ 508,575			\$ 497,620		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings accounts	\$ 67,997	327	1.92	\$ 65,007	442	2.72
Money market deposit and NOW accounts	106,462	293	1.10	92,465	606	2.62
Certificates of deposit	246,200	1,650	2.68	221,264	2,109	3.81
Total deposits	420,659	2,270	2.16	378,736	3,157	3.33
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	20,043	217	4.33	43,064	303	2.81
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,476	2	0.54	1,589	2	0.50
Total interest-bearing liabilities	442,178	2,489	2.25	423,389	3,462	3.27
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:						
Non-interest-bearing demand accounts	3,047			4,016		
Other liabilities	7,449			2,907		
Total liabilities	452,674			430,312		

Edgar Filing: PRUDENTIAL BANCORP INC OF PENNSYLVANIA - Form 10-Q

Stockholders' equity	55,901		67,308	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 508,575		\$ 497,620	
Net interest-earning assets	\$ 40,207		\$ 56,685	
Net interest income;				
interest rate spread	\$ 3,977	3.11 %	\$ 3,765	2.75 %
Net interest margin(3)		3.30 %		3.14 %
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities		109.09 %		113.39 %

- 
- (1) Includes non-accrual loans. Calculated net of unamortized deferred fees, undisbursed portion of loans-in-process and allowance for loan losses.
- (2) Yield decreased substantially due to declining federal reserve overnight investment rates during the 2009 period as compared to the 2008 period.
- (3) Equals net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Provisions for loan losses. The allowance is maintained at a level sufficient to provide for estimated probable losses in the loan portfolio at each reporting date. At least quarterly, management performs an analysis to identify the inherent risk of loss in the Company's loan portfolio. This analysis includes a qualitative evaluation of concentrations of credit, past loss experience, current economic conditions, amount and composition of the loan portfolio (including loans being specifically monitored by management), estimated fair value of underlying collateral, delinquencies, and other factors.

Our methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance establishes both specific and general pooled allocations of the allowance. To determine the adequacy of the allowance and the need for potential changes to the allowance, we conduct a formal analysis quarterly to assess the risk within the loan portfolio. This assessment includes analyses of historical performance, past due trends, the level of nonperforming loans, reviews of certain impaired loans, loan activity since the last quarter, consideration of current economic conditions, and other pertinent information. Loans are assigned ratings, either individually for larger credits or in homogeneous pools, based on an internally developed grading system. The resulting conclusions are reviewed and approved by senior management.

The Company established a provision for loan losses of \$135,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$313,000 for the comparable quarter in 2008. The larger provision for the 2008 period primarily related to a 40-unit condominium project, in which another bank acted as the lead lender, that had experienced payment delinquencies and the estimated net realizable value of the collateral was less than the loan balance. The loan was subsequently transferred to real estate owned during the second fiscal quarter of 2009. At December 31, 2009, the Company's non-performing assets totaled \$6.8 million or 1.3% of total assets. The non-performing assets consisted of one construction loan totaling \$640,000, three commercial real estate loans totaling \$790,000, 11 one-to four-family residential mortgage loans totaling \$1.4 million and four real estate owned properties totaling \$4.1 million. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$2.9 million, or 1.1% of total loans and 103.4% of non-performing loans at December 31, 2009.

Non-interest income (loss). Non-interest income amounted to \$19,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2009, compared with a loss of \$2.0 million for the same period in 2008. The loss experienced in the 2008 period was due to OTTI charges arising from the Company's investment in a mutual fund and the subsequent redemption in kind of such investment. The decline in the amount of losses recognized between the 2008 and 2009 periods reflected the decline in the amount of the OTTI charges from \$2.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2008 to \$204,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2009 related to the non-agency mortgage-backed securities acquired as part of the June 2008 redemption in kind of the investment in the mutual fund.

Non-interest expenses. For the quarter ended December 31, 2009, non-interest expense increased \$99,000 compared to the same period in 2008. The increase for the three month period was primarily due to expenses of \$154,000 related to stock benefit plans which were not incurred in the 2008 period and pension contributions of \$66,000 in excess of the amount recognized in the comparable period in 2008. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$75,000 in professional services expenses in December 31, 2009 from the comparable period in 2008.

Income tax expense. The Company recognized income tax expense for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 of \$622,000 compared to income tax expense of \$44,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2008. The increase in income tax expense was primarily attributable to the recognition of income before taxes during the three months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to a loss before taxes in the comparable period in 2008. Also contributing to the increase was a \$108,000 increase in the valuation allowance for a deferred tax asset related to a capital loss carryforward.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company's liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents, is a product of its operating, investing and financing activities. Our primary sources of funds are from deposits, scheduled principal and interest payments on loans, loan prepayments and the maturity of loans, mortgage-backed securities and other investments, and other funds provided from operations. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities and maturing investment securities are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan and securities prepayments can be greatly influenced by market rates of interest, economic conditions and competition. We also maintain excess funds in short-term, interest-bearing assets that provide additional liquidity. At December 31, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents amounted to \$11.9 million. In addition, our available for sale investment and mortgage-backed securities amounted to an aggregate of \$65.1 million at such date.

We use our liquidity to fund existing and future loan commitments, to fund maturing certificates of deposit and demand deposit withdrawals, to invest in other interest-earning assets, and to meet operating expenses. At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$10.6 million in outstanding commitments to originate fixed and variable-rate loans, not including loans in process. The Company also had commitments under unused lines of credit of \$7.2 million and letters of credit outstanding of \$621,000 at December 31, 2009. Certificates of deposit at December 31, 2009 maturing in one year or less totaled \$151.2 million. Based upon historical experience, we anticipate that a significant portion of the maturing certificates of deposit will be redeposited with us.

In addition to cash flows from loan and securities payments and prepayments as well as from sales of available for sale securities, we have significant borrowing capacity available to fund liquidity needs should the need arise. Our borrowings consist solely of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, of which we are a member. Under terms of the collateral agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank, we pledge residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities as well as our stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank as collateral for such advances. However, use of FHLB advances has been modest. At December 31, 2009, we had \$23.6 million in outstanding FHLB advances and had the ability to obtain an additional \$132.4 million in FHLB advances.

We anticipate that we will continue to have sufficient funds and alternative funding sources to meet our current commitments.



The following table summarizes the Company's and Bank's regulatory capital ratios as of December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009 and compares them to current regulatory guidelines.

	Actual Ratio	Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes	To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions
December 31, 2009:			
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)			
The Company	11.14%	4.0%	N/A
The Bank	10.15%	4.0%	5.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)			
The Company	24.68%	4.0%	N/A
The Bank	22.49%	4.0%	6.0%
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)			
The Company	25.93%	8.0%	N/A
The Bank	23.74%	8.0%	10.0%
September 30, 2009:			
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)			
The Company	10.86%	4.0%	N/A
The Bank	9.99%	4.0%	5.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets)			
The Company	24.59%	4.0%	N/A
The Bank	22.61%	4.0%	6.0%
Total capital (to risk weighted assets)			
The Company	25.79%	8.0%	N/A
The Bank	23.81%	8.0%	10.0%

#### IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The financial statements, accompanying notes, and related financial data of the Company presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Unlike most industrial companies, substantially all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as

the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation to a larger extent than interest rates. In the current interest rate environment, liquidity and the maturity structure of the Company's assets and liabilities are critical to the maintenance of acceptable performance levels.

**How We Manage Market Risk.** Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. Our market risk arises primarily from the interest rate risk which is inherent in our lending, investment and deposit gathering activities. To that end, management actively monitors and manages interest rate risk exposure. In addition to market risk, our primary risk is credit risk on our loan portfolio. We attempt to manage credit risk through our loan underwriting and oversight policies.

The principal objective of our interest rate risk management function is to evaluate the interest rate risk embedded in certain balance sheet accounts, determine the level of risk appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives, and manage the risk consistent with approved guidelines. We seek to manage our exposure to risks from changes in interest rates while at the same time trying to improve our net interest spread. We monitor interest rate risk as such risk relates to our operating strategies. We have established an Asset/Liability Committee which is comprised of our President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Lending Officer, Treasurer and Controller. The Asset/Liability Committee meets on a regular basis and is responsible for reviewing our asset/liability policies and interest rate risk position. Both the extent and direction of shifts in interest rates are uncertainties that could have a negative impact on future earnings.

In recent years, we primarily have reduced our exposure in callable agency bonds and increased our portfolio of agency issued mortgage-backed securities. However, notwithstanding the foregoing steps, we remain subject to a significant level of interest rate risk in a low interest rate environment due to the high proportion of our loan portfolio that consists of fixed-rate loans as well as our decision to invest a significant amount of our assets in long-term, fixed-rate investment and mortgage-backed securities.

**Gap Analysis.** The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are “interest rate sensitive” and by monitoring a Company’s interest rate sensitivity “gap.” An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets maturing or repricing within a specific time period and the amount of interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within that same time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to affect adversely net interest income while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income. Conversely, during a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income while a positive gap would tend to affect adversely net interest income.

The following table sets forth the amounts of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2009, which we expect, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in each of the future time periods shown (the “GAP Table”). Except as stated below, the amounts of assets and liabilities shown which reprice or mature during a particular period were determined in accordance with the earlier of term to repricing or the contractual maturity of the asset or liability. The table sets forth an approximation of the projected repricing of assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009, on the basis of contractual maturities, anticipated prepayments, and scheduled rate adjustments within a three-month period and subsequent selected time intervals. The loan amounts in the table reflect principal balances expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of contractual amortization and anticipated prepayments of adjustable-rate loans and fixed-rate loans, and as a result of contractual rate adjustments on adjustable-rate loans. Annual prepayment rates for adjustable-rate and fixed-rate single-family and multi-family residential and commercial mortgage loans are assumed to range from 8.1% to 27.0%. The annual prepayment rate for mortgage-backed securities is assumed to range from 0.5% to 79.5%. Money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and interest-bearing checking accounts are assumed to have annual rates of withdrawal, or “decay rates,” based on information from the FDIC. For savings accounts and checking accounts, the decay rates are 60% in one to three years, 20% in three to five years and 20% in five to 10 years. For money market accounts, the decay rates are 50% in

three to 12 months and 50% in 13 to 36 months.

	3 Months or Less	More than 3 Months to 1 Year	More than 1 Year to 3 Years	More than 3 Years to 5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total Amount
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Interest-earning assets(1):						
Investment and mortgage-backed securities(2)	\$ 11,077	\$ 20,607	\$ 22,936	\$ 14,301	\$ 145,494	\$ 214,415
Loans receivable(3)	30,931	59,365	81,994	42,964	42,974	258,228
Other interest-earning assets(4)	8,661					8,661
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 50,669	\$ 79,972	\$ 104,930	\$ 57,265	\$ 188,468	\$ 481,304
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings accounts	\$ 133	\$ 145	\$ 41,661	\$ 13,887	\$ 13,887	\$ 69,713
Money market deposit and NOW accounts		39,508	56,758	5,750	5,750	107,766
Certificates of deposit	60,076	91,078	66,772	23,454		241,380
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	10,028	13,085	195		340	23,648
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,810	-	-	-	-	1,810
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 72,047	\$ 143,816	\$ 165,386	\$ 43,091	\$ 19,977	\$ 444,317
Interest-earning assets less interest-bearing liabilities	(\$ 21,378)	(\$ 63,844)	(\$ 60,456)	\$ 14,174	\$ 168,491	\$ 36,987
Cumulative interest-rate sensitivity gap (5)	(\$ 21,378)	(\$ 85,222)	(\$ 145,678)	(\$ 131,504)	\$ 36,987	
Cumulative interest-rate gap as a percentage of total assets at December 31, 2009	-4.15%	-16.56%	-28.30%	-25.55%	7.19%	
Cumulative interest-earning assets as a percentage of cumulative interest-bearing	70.33%	60.52%	61.79%	69.01%	108.32%	

liabilities at December  
31, 2009

- (1) Interest-earning assets are included in the period in which the balances are expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of anticipated prepayments, scheduled rate adjustments and contractual maturities.
- (2) For purposes of the gap analysis, investment securities are stated at amortized cost.
- (3) For purposes of the gap analysis, loans receivable includes non-performing loans and is gross of the allowance for loan losses and unamortized deferred loan fees, but net of the undisbursed portion of loans-in-process.
- (4) Includes FHLB stock.
- (5) Cumulative interest-rate sensitivity gap represents the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing table. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate loans, have features which restrict changes in interest rates both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Finally, the ability of many borrowers to service their adjustable-rate loans may be adversely affected in the event of an interest rate increase.

Net Portfolio Value Analysis. Our interest rate sensitivity also is monitored by management through the use of a model which generates estimates of the changes in our net portfolio value (“NPV”) over a range of interest rate scenarios. NPV is the present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts. The NPV ratio, under any interest rate scenario, is defined as the NPV in that scenario divided by the market value of assets in the same scenario. The “Sensitivity Measure” is the decline in the NPV ratio, in basis points, caused by a 2% increase or decrease in rates, whichever produces a larger decline. The following table sets forth our NPV as of December 31, 2009 and reflects the changes to NPV as a result of immediate and sustained changes in interest rates as indicated.

Change in Interest Rates In Basis Points (Rate Shock)	Net Portfolio Value			NPV as % of Portfolio Value of Assets	
	Amount	\$ Change	% Change	NPV Ratio	Change
(Dollars in Thousands)					
300	\$ 10,840	\$ (50,619)	(82.36)%	2.45%	(9.58)%
200	25,995	(35,464)	(57.70)%	5.61%	(6.42)%
100	43,698	(17,761)	(28.90)%	8.97%	(3.06)%
Static	61,459	-	-	12.03%	-
(100)	68,945	7,486	12.18%	13.14%	1.11%
(200)	69,656	8,197	13.34%	13.15%	1.12%
(300)	72,603	11,144	18.13%	13.59%	1.56%

At December 31, 2009, the Company’s NPV was \$61.5 million or 12.03% of the market value of assets. Following a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, the Company’s “post shock” NPV would be \$26.0 million or 5.61% of the market value of assets. The change in the NPV ratio or Company’s sensitivity measure was a decline of 642 basis points.

At September 30, 2009, the Company’s NPV was \$62.1 million or 11.90% of the market value of assets. Following a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, the Company’s “post shock” NPV would be \$27.2 million or 5.72% of the market value of assets. The change in the NPV ratio or Company’s sensitivity measure was a decline of 618 basis points.

As is the case with the GAP Table, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodology used in the above interest rate risk measurements. Modeling changes in NPV requires the making of certain assumptions which may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the models presented assume that the composition of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and also assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration to maturity or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the NPV model provides an indication of interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such model is not intended to and does not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on net interest income and will differ from actual results.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable.

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations and are operating in an effective manner.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

No material changes in the matters previously disclosed in Item 3 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 has occurred.

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. Management of the Company, based on discussions with litigation counsel, does not believe that such proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or operations of the Company. There can be no assurance that any of the outstanding legal proceedings to which the Company is a party will not be decided adversely to the Company's interests and have a material adverse effect on the financial condition and operations of the Company.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not applicable

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not applicable

(b) Not applicable

(c) There were no repurchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2009.

The MHC's purchases of the Company's common stock made during the quarter are set forth in the following table:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs
October 1 – October 31, 2009	8,500	\$ 10.12	8,500	64,648
November 1 – November 30, 2009	6,400	10.02	6,400	58,248
December 1 - December 31, 2009	17,048	9.83	17,048	41,200
Total	31,948	\$ 9.94	31,948	41,200

---

Notes to the table:

- (1) On January 21, 2009, the MHC announced its second stock purchase plan (“Second Plan”) to purchase up to 198,000 shares of the Company’s common stock, or approximately 5% of the Company’s common stock held by shareholders other than the MHC.
- (2) The Second Plan was completed on December 2, 2009.
- (3) The MHC announced on December 16, 2009 that its Board of Directors approved its third stock purchase plan to purchase up to 50,000 shares of the Company’s common stock

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.0	Section 1350 Certifications

38

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PRUDENTIAL BANCORP, INC. OF PENNSYLVANIA

Date: February 16, 2010

By: /s/ Thomas A.  
Vento  
Thomas A. Vento  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 16, 2010

By: /s/ Joseph R.  
Corrato  
Joseph R. Corrato  
Executive Vice President and Chief  
Financial Officer