MIZUHO FINANCIAL GROUP INC Form 6-K July 30, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER

PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16

UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the month of July 2015

Commission File Number 001-33098

Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.

(Translation of registrant s name into English)

5-5, Otemachi 1-chome

Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8176

Japan

(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F. Form 20-F x Form 40-F.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes " No x

If Yes is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b):82-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 30, 2015

Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Junichi Shinbo Name: Junichi Shinbo

Title: Managing Executive Officer / Group CFO

The following is an English translation of excerpt regarding Basel capital adequacy disclosure and relevant information released in our Japanese language disclosure material published in July 2015. The capital adequacy disclosure and other financial information included herein are based on Japanese GAAP pursuant to Japanese regulatory requirements.

In this report, we, us, and our refer to Mizuho Financial Group, Inc. and, unless the context indicates otherwise, its consolidated subsidiaries. Mizuho Financial Group refers to Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.

Status of Capital Adequacy

Capital adequacy ratio highlights	2
n Capital adequacy ratio highlights	
Status of Mizuho Financial Group s consolidated capital adequacy	3
 <u>n Scope of consolidation</u> (1) Scope of consolidation for calculating consolidated capital adequacy ratio 	3
n Composition of capital (2) Composition of capital, etc.	5
 <u>Risk-based capital</u> (3) Summary of approach to assessing capital adequacy (4) Required capital by portfolio classification 	13
n Credit risk (5) Credit risk management (6) Credit risk exposure, etc.	16
 <u>Methods for credit risk mitigation</u> (7) Risk management regarding credit risk mitigation (8) Credit risk mitigation by portfolio classification 	31
 <u>n</u> Counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions (9) Management of counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions (10) Status of counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions 	32
 n Securitization exposure (11) Summary of securitization exposure and its risk management (12) Accounting policies for securitization transactions (13) Quantitative disclosure items for securitization exposure 	34
n Market risk	54
n Operational risk	54
 n Equity exposure in banking book (14) Risk management related to equity exposure in banking book (15) Status of equity exposure in banking book 	54
n Composition of Leverage Ratio	56
n Indicators for assessing Global Systemically Important Banks (G-SIBs)	57
 <u>n</u> Compensation of directors, corporate auditors and employees (16) Qualitative disclosure 	58

(17) Quantitative disclosure items

Credit risk management	62
Market and liquidity risk management	64
Operational risk management	72

1

Capital adequacy ratio highlights

The Basel Framework, based on the International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: A Revised Framework issued by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, requires the disclosure of capital adequacy information to ensure the enhanced effectiveness of market discipline. Our disclosure is made under the Matters Separately Prescribed by the Commissioner of the Financial Services Agency Regarding Capital Adequacy Conditions, etc. pursuant to Article 19-2, Paragraph 1, Item 5, Subitem (d), etc. of the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Banking Law (Ministry of Finance Ordinance No. 10 of 1982) (the FSA Notice No. 7 of 2014, etc.).

With respect to the calculation of capital adequacy ratio, we have applied the international standard and adopted (a) the advanced internal ratings-based approach as a method to calculate the amount of credit risk weighted assets and (b) the advanced measurement approach as a method to calculate the amount equivalent to the operational risk.

n Capital adequacy ratio highlights

Mizuho Financial Group (Consolidated)

Tier 1 capital ratio

Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio

1		(Billions of yen)
	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Total capital ratio (International standard)	14.36%	14.58%
Tier 1 capital ratio	11.35%	11.50%
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio	8.80%	9.43%
Total capital	8,655.9	9,508.4
Tier 1 capital	6,844.7	7,500.3
Common equity Tier 1 capital	5,304.4	6,153.1
Risk weighted assets	60,274.0	65,191.9
Mizuho Bank (Consolidated)	As of	(Billions of yen)
	March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Total capital ratio (International standard)	15.48%	15.30%
Tier 1 capital ratio	12.35%	12.13%
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio	10.19%	10.42%
Total capital	8,180.6	8,753.5
Tier 1 capital	6,525.3	6,943.1
Common equity Tier 1 capital	5,386.5	5,965.7
Risk weighted assets	52,823.7	57,201.8
Mizuho Bank (Non-Consolidated)	As of March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015
Total capital ratio (International standard)	15.58%	15.35%

Table of Contents 6

12.29%

10.15%

12.01%

10.33%

Total capital	8,071.7	8,597.5
Tier 1 capital	6,369.6	6,727.5
Common equity Tier 1 capital	5,260.2	5,787.3
Risk weighted assets	51,803.7	55,981.4
Mizuho Trust & Banking (Consolidated)		
	As of	(Billions of yen)
	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Total capital ratio (International standard)	17.80%	19.21%
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.76%	16.68%
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio	14.76%	16.67%
Total capital	456.6	511.6
Tier 1 capital	378.7	444.4
Common equity Tier 1 capital	378.7	444.1
Risk weighted assets	2,564.6	2,663.4
Mizuho Trust & Banking (Non-consolidated)	As of	(Billions of yen)
	March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Total capital ratio (International standard)	17.79%	19.33%
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.76%	16.79%
Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio	14.76%	16.79%
Total capital	451.2	502.8
Tier 1 capital	374.5	436.7
Common equity Tier 1 capital	374.5	436.7
Risk weighted assets	2,536.1	2,600.9

Status of Mizuho Financial Group s consolidated capital adequacy

- n Scope of consolidation
- (1) Scope of consolidation for calculating consolidated capital adequacy ratio
- (A) Difference from the companies included in the scope of consolidation based on consolidation rules for preparation of consolidated financial statements (the scope of accounting consolidation)

None As of March 31, 2014 and 2015

(B) Number of consolidated subsidiaries

	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Consolidated subsidiaries	159	150

Our major consolidated subsidiaries are Mizuho Bank, Ltd., Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd. and Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd.

The following table sets forth information with respect to our principal consolidated subsidiaries as of March 31, 2015

			Proportion	
			of	Proportion of
			ownership	voting
Name	Country of organization	Main business	interest (%)	interest (%)
Domestic				
Mizuho Bank, Ltd.	Japan	Banking	100.0%	100.0%
Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd.	Japan	Trust and banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd.	Japan	Securities	95.8	95.8
Trust & Custody Services Bank, Ltd.	Japan	Trust and banking	54.0	54.0
Mizuho Asset Management Co., Ltd.	Japan	Investment management	98.7	98.7
Mizuho Research Institute Ltd.	Japan	Research and consulting	98.6	98.6
Mizuho Information & Research Institute Inc.	Japan	Information technology	91.5	91.5
Mizuho Financial Strategy Co., Ltd.	Japan	Consulting	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Private Wealth Management Co., Ltd.	Japan	Consulting	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Credit Guarantee Co., Ltd.	Japan	Credit guarantee	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Factors, Limited	Japan	Factoring	100.0	100.0
Shinko Asset Management Co., Ltd.	Japan	Investment management	94.3	94.8
Mizuho Trust Realty Company Limited	Japan	Real estate agency	86.7	76.9
Defined Contribution Plan Services Co., Ltd.	Japan	Pension plan- related		
		business	60.0	60.0
Mizuho-DL Financial Technology Co., Ltd. Japan	Japan	Application and	60.0	60.0

		Sophistication of Financial		
		Technology		
UC Card Co., Ltd.	Japan	Credit card	51.0	51.0
Mizuho Capital Co., Ltd.	Japan	Venture		
		capital	50.0	50.0
Overseas				
Mizuho International plc	U.K.	Securities and		
		banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Bank (China), Ltd.	China	Banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Securities Asia Limited	China	Securities	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Bank Nederland N.V.	Netherlands	Banking and		
		securities	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Securities USA Inc.	U.S.A.	Securities	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Trust & Banking (Luxembourg) S.A.	Luxembourg	Trust and		
		banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Bank (USA)	U.S.A.	Banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Bank (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	Trust and		
		banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Trust & Banking Co. (USA)	U.S.A.	Trust and		
		banking	100.0	100.0
Mizuho Capital Markets Corporation	U.S.A.	Derivatives	100.0	100.0
PT. Bank Mizuho Indonesia	Indonesia	Banking	99.0	99.0

(C) Corporations providing financial services for which Article 9 of the FSA Notice No. 20 is applicable

None as of March 31, 2014 and 2015.

(D) Companies that are in the bank holding company s corporate group but not included in the scope of accounting consolidation and companies that are not in the bank holding company s corporate group but included in the scope of accounting consolidation

None as of March 31, 2014 and 2015.

(E) Restrictions on transfer of funds or capital within the bank holding company s corporate group

None as of March 31, 2014 and 2015.

(F) Names of any other financial institutions, etc., classified as subsidiaries or other members of the bank holding company that are deficient in regulatory capital

None as of March 31, 2014 and 2015.

4

- n Composition of capital
- (2) Composition of capital, etc.
- (A) Composition of capital disclosure

Composition of capital disclosure (International standard)

		As of March 31, 2014 As of			Millions of yen) ch 31, 2015
			Amounts excluded		Amounts excluded
			under		under
			transitional		transitional
Basel III template		(4)	arrangements		arrangements
1 2	Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves	(1)			
1a+2-1c-26	Directly issued qualifying common share capital	5 274 725	,	5.017.701	,
1	plus related stock surplus and retained earnings	5,274,735	/	5,816,601	/
1a	of which: capital and stock surplus	3,051,830	/	3,152,290	/
2	of which: retained earnings	2,314,792	/	2,768,510	/
1c 26	of which: treasury stock (-)	3,874	/	3,616	/
26	of which: national specific regulatory adjustments	99.012	,	100 594	,
	(earnings to be distributed) (-) of which: other than above	88,012	/	100,584	/
1b		2 170	/	2 920	/
3	Subscription rights to common shares Accumulated other comprehensive income and	3,179	/	3,820	/
3	other disclosed reserves	156,219	624.876	811,982	1,217,973
5	Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and	130,219	024,870	811,982	1,217,973
3	held by third parties (amount allowed in group				
	CET1)	10,867	/	12,106	/
	Total of items included in common equity Tier 1	10,007	,	12,100	,
	capital: instruments and reserves subject to				
	phase-out arrangements	61,593	/	49,114	/
	of which: amount allowed in group CET1 capital	01,575	,	12,111	,
	subject to phase-out arrangements on common				
	share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by				
	third parties	61,593	/	49,114	/
6	Common equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and	01,000	•	.,,11.	,
_	reserves	(A) 5,506,594	1	6,693,624	/
Common equity	Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	(2)		0,000,000	
8+9	Total intangible assets (net of related tax liability,				
	excluding those relating to mortgage servicing				
	rights)	85,091	340,365	205,759	308,639
8	of which: goodwill (net of related tax liability,				
	including those equivalent)	24,554	98,219	42,919	64,378
9	of which: other intangibles other than goodwill				
	and mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax				
	liability)	60,536	242,145	162,840	244,261
10	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability				
	excluding those arising from temporary				
	differences (net of related tax liability)	5,773	23,092	4,559	6,839
11	Deferred gains or losses on derivatives under				
	hedge accounting	(1,335)	(5,342)	10,654	15,981

12	Shortfall of eligible provisions to expected losses	834	3,065	16,617	24,806
13	Securitization gain on sale	658	2,632	154	231
14	Gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk				
	on fair valued liabilities	281	1,125	456	685
15	Net defined benefit asset	53,235	212,941	201,673	302,509
16	Investments in own shares (excluding those				
	reported in the net assets section)	616	2,466	1,948	2,923
17	Reciprocal cross-holdings in common equity				
18	Investments in the capital of banking, financial				
	and insurance entities that are outside the scope of				
	regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short				
	positions, where the bank does not own more than				
	10% of the issued share capital (amount above the				
	10% threshold)	57,027	228,110	98,658	147,987
19+20+21	Amount exceeding the 10% threshold on specified				
	items				
19	of which: significant investments in the common				
	stock of financials				

	20	of which: mortgage servicing rights					
	21	of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)					
	22	Amount exceeding the 15% threshold on specified items					
	23	of which: significant investments in the common stock of financials					
	24	of which: mortgage servicing rights					
	25	of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary					
		differences (net of related tax liability)					
	27	Regulatory adjustments applied to common equity Tier 1					
		due to insufficient additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 to cover					
		deductions			/		/
	28	Common equity Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	(B)	202,182	/	540,483	/
Com	ımon equit	y Tier 1 capital (CET1)					
	29	Common equity Tier 1 capital (CET1) ((A)-(B))	(C)	5,304,412	1	6,153,141	/
Add	itional Tie	r 1 capital: instruments (3)					
30	31a	Directly issued qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus of which: classified as equity					
		under applicable accounting standards and the					
		breakdown			1		1
30	31b	Subscription rights to additional Tier 1 instruments			/		/
30	32	Directly issued qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments					
		plus related stock surplus of which: classified as					
20		liabilities under applicable accounting standards			/		/
30		Qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments plus related					
		stock surplus issued by special purpose vehicles and			,		,
	24.25	other equivalent entities			/		1
	34-35	Additional Tier 1 instruments issued by subsidiaries and		25 276	/	20.509	,
	33+35	held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1)		25,376	/	29,598	/
-	33+33	Eligible Tier 1 capital instruments subject to phase-out arrangements included in additional Tier 1 capital:					
		instruments		1,666,511	1	1,458,197	/
	33	of which: directly issued capital instruments subject to		1,000,511	,	1,430,177	,
	33	phase out from additional Tier 1		1,666,511	/	1,458,197	1
	35	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to		1,000,511	,	1,100,107	,
		phase out			/		/
		Total of items included in additional Tier 1 capital:			•		•
		instruments subject to phase-out arrangements		(50,810)	/	(24,272)	/
		of which: foreign currency translation adjustments		(50,810)	/	(24,272)	/
	36	Additional Tier 1 capital: instruments	(D)	1,641,076	/	1,463,523	/
Add	itional Tie	r 1 capital: regulatory adjustments					
	37	Investments in own additional Tier 1 instruments					
	38	Reciprocal cross-holdings in additional Tier 1					
		instruments					
	39	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and					
		insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory					
		consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the					
		bank does not own more than 10% of the issued					
		common share capital of the entity (amount above 10%					
		threshold)		327	1,311	377	566
	40	Significant investments in the capital of banking,					
		financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope					
		of regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short		64.6.5	04:	2 0.55.	
		positions)		21,049	84,199	50,301	75,451
		Total of items included in additional Tier 1 capital:					
		regulatory adjustments subject to phase-out		70.265		(5.434	
		arrangements		79,365	/	65,636	/

of which: goodwill equivalent	49,791	1	35,170	1
of which: intangible fixed assets recognized as a result				
of a merger	25,272	1	17,771	1
of which: capital increase due to securitization				
transactions	2,632	/	231	1
of which: 50% of excess of expected losses relative to				
eligible reserves by banks adopting internal				
ratings-based approach	1,668	/	12,462	/

42	Regulatory adjustments applied to additional Tier 1 due					
	to insufficient Tier 2 to cover deductions			/		/
43	Additional Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	(E)	100,742	/	116,315	/
	er 1 capital (AT1)					
44	Additional Tier 1 capital ((D)-(E))	(F)	1,540,334	1	1,347,208	/
Tier 1 capital	(T1 = CET1 + AT1)					
45	Tier 1 capital $(T1 = CET1 + AT1) ((C)+(F))$	(G)	6,844,746	/	7,500,349	1
Tier 2 capital	: instruments and provisions	(4)				
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related					
	stock surplus of which: classified as equity under					
	applicable accounting standards and the breakdown			/		/
46	Subscription rights to Tier 2 instruments			/		/
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related					
	stock surplus of which: classified as liabilities under					
	applicable accounting standards			/	150,000	/
46	Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus issued by					
	special purpose vehicles and other equivalent entities		154,380	/	180,405	/
48-49	Tier 2 instruments issued by subsidiaries and held by					
	third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)		8,161	/	9,250	/
47+49	Eligible Tier 2 capital instruments subject to phase-out					
	arrangements included in Tier 2: instruments and					
	provisions		1,349,648	/	1,108,804	/
47	of which: directly issued capital instruments subject to		1,0 1,0 10	,	1,100,00	,
.,	phase out from Tier 2		146,480	/	180,405	/
49	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to		110,100	,	100,103	,
12	phase out		1,203,167	/	928,399	/
50	Total of general allowance for loan losses and eligible		1,203,107	,	720,377	,
30	provisions included in Tier 2		7,051	/	4,621	/
50a	of which: general allowance for loan losses		7,051	,	4,621	/
50b	of which: eligible provisions		7,051	,	4,021	/
300	Total of items included in Tier 2 capital: instruments and			/		,
			474,042	,	720 780	,
	provisions subject to phase-out arrangements		/	/	730,789	/
	of which: 45% of unrealized gains on other securities		394,192	/	671,710	/
<i>E</i> 1	of which: 45% of revaluation reserve for land	(11)	79,849	/	59,079	/
51	Tier 2 capital: instruments and provisions	(H)	1,993,284	/	2,183,870	/
_	regulatory adjustments		4	16	1.600	2.520
52	Investments in own Tier 2 instruments		4	16	1,680	2,520
53	Reciprocal cross-holdings in Tier 2 instruments					
54	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and					
	insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory					
	consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the					
	bank does not own more than 10% of the issued common					
	share capital of the entity (amount above the 10%					
	threshold)		41,748	166,993	54,114	81,171
55	Significant investments in the capital banking, financial					
	and insurance entities that are outside the scope of					
	regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short positions)					
	Total of items included in Tier 2 capital: regulatory					
	adjustments subject to phase-out arrangements		140,287	/	119,954	/
	of which: investments in the capital banking, financial					
	and insurance entities		138,618	/	107,491	/
	of which: 50% of excess of expected losses relative to					
	eligible reserves by banks adopting internal ratings-based					
	approach		1,668	/	12,462	/
57	Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments	(I)	182,040	,	175,748	,
Tier 2 capital		(-)	-,0.0	,	2,	,
58	Tier 2 capital (T2) ((H)-(I))	(J)	1,811,244	1	2,008,122	/
30	1.01 2 capital (12) ((11) (1))	(3)	1,011,217		2,000,122	,

Total capital (TC = T1 + T2) 59 Total capital (TC = T1 + T2) ((G) + (J)) / (K) 8,655,990 9,508,471

7

Risk weigh	ted assets	(5)				
Misk weigh	Total of items included in risk weighted assets subject	(3)				
	to phase-out arrangements		979,439	/	858,200	/
	of which: intangible assets (net of related tax liability,		717,437	,	030,200	,
	excluding those relating to mortgage service rights)		216,873	/	226,489	/
	of which: deferred tax assets that rely on future		210,075	,	220,107	,
	profitability excluding those arising from temporary					
	differences (net of related tax liability)		23,092	/	6,839	/
	of which: net defined benefit asset		212,941	/	302,509	/
	of which: investments in the capital banking, financial		212,711	,	302,309	,
	and insurance entities		526,532	/	322,361	/
60	Risk weighted assets	(L)	60,274,087	/	65,191,951	/
	o (consolidated)	(—)	00,211,001		00,000,000	
61	Common equity Tier 1 capital ratio (consolidated)					
	((C)/(L))		8.80%	/	9.43%	/
62	Tier 1 capital ratio (consolidated) ((G)/(L))		11.35%	/	11.50%	/
63	Total capital ratio (consolidated) ((K)/(L))		14.36%	/	14.58%	/
	adjustments	(6)				
72	Non-significant investments in the capital of other					
	financials that are below the thresholds for deduction					
	(before risk weighting)		534,399	/	675,780	/
73	Significant investments in the common stock of					
	financials that are below the thresholds for deduction					
	(before risk weighting)		145,996	/	150,800	/
74	Mortgage servicing rights that are below the					
	thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)			/		/
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences					
	that are below the thresholds for deduction (before					
	risk weighting)		302,251	/	75,937	/
Provisions	included in Tier 2 capital: instruments and provisions	(7)				
76	Provisions (general allowance for loan losses)		7,051	/	4,621	/
77	Cap on inclusion of provisions (general allowance for					
	loan losses)		57,825	/	45,586	/
78	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of					
	exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach					
	(prior to application of cap) (if the amount is negative,					
-0	report as nil)			/		/
79	Cap for inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under		200.561	,	210.050	,
G	internal ratings-based approach	(0)	280,561	1	310,879	/
-	ruments subject to phase-out arrangements	(8)				
82	Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase-out		1.000.511	,	1 450 107	,
92	arrangements		1,666,511	/	1,458,197	1
83	Amount excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over					
	cap after redemptions and maturities) (if the amount is		264 972	,	257.005	,
0.1	negative, report as nil)		364,873	/	257,085	/
84	Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase-out arrangements		1 240 649	/	1,180,942	/
85	Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap		1,349,648		1,100,942	1
0.5	after redemptions and maturities) (if the amount is					
	negative, report as nil)		81,139	/		/
	negative, report as IIII)		01,137	,		,

Notes:

^{1.} The above figures are calculated based on International standard applied on a consolidated basis under the FSA Notice No. 20.

^{2.} In calculating the consolidated capital adequacy ratio, we underwent an examination following the procedures agreed with Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC, on the basis of Treatment in implementing examination by agreed-upon procedures for

calculating capital adequacy ratio (Industry Committee Practical Guideline No. 30 of the Japanese Institute of Certified Public Accountants). Note that this is not a part of the accounting audit performed on our consolidated financial statements. This consists of an examination under agreed-upon procedures performed by Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC on a portion of the internal control structure concerning the calculation of the capital adequacy ratio and a report of the results to us. As such, they do not represent an opinion regarding the capital adequacy ratio itself nor the internal controls related to the calculation of the capital adequacy ratio.

8

(B) Explanation of (A) Composition of capital disclosure

Reconciliation between Consolidated balance sheet and items of consolidated balance sheet and Composition of capital disclosure

Part			(Millions of yen)			
Profession Pr		Consolidated b	•	R	eference # of Basel	
Idensity Marbal Name (A)						
CASSEST CASS	Items	-		Cross-		
Cash and due from banks	277.10					
CASSERS 20,010,276 29,096,166 Cash and due from banks 20,610,276 29,096,166 Call loans and bills purchased 467,758 444,115 Cash and due from banks 8,49,288 8,582,239 Caurantee deposits paid under securities borrowing transactions 5,010,740 4,059,340 Charlest Cash Cash Cash Cash Cash Cash Cash Cash					•	
Call loans and bills purchased 447,758 444,115 Receivables under resale agreements 8,349,528 8,582,239 Guarantee deposits paid under securities borrowing transactions 5,010,740 4,059,340 Other debt purchased 3,263,057 3,29,831 Trading assets 11,469,811 10,781,735 6-a Money held in trust 168,369 157,728 5-c Lours and bills discounted 69,301,405 73,415,170 6-c Foreign exchange assets 1,576,167 1,623,736 - Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,800,468 3,544,243 6-d Other assets 2,840,720 4,066,424 6-e Tangible fixed assets 331,501 657,556 2-a Net defined benefit asset 413,073 743,382 3 Deferred tax assets 104,909 36,938 4-a Customers: liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserve for possible losses on louns (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments	(Assets)			•		
Receivables under resale agreements	Cash and due from banks	20,610,276	29,096,166			
Guarantee deposits paid under securities borrowing transactions 5,010,740 4,059,340 Other debt purchased 3,263,057 3,239,831 Trading assets 114,09,811 10,781,735 6-a Money held in trust 168,369 157,728 8 Securities 43,997,517 43,278,733 2-b, 6-b Loans and bills discounted 69,301,405 73,415,170 6-c Foreign exchange assets 1,576,167 1,623,736 6-d Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,820,468 3,544,243 6-d Other assets 2,820,468 3,544,243 6-d Other assets 2,820,768 1,078,051 Intangible fixed assets 351,501 657,556 2-a Net defined benefit asset 413,073 743,382 3 3 Deferred tax assets 104,909 36,938 4-a Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserves for possible losses on lonas (616,307) (52,5486) Reserve for possible losses on investments<	Call loans and bills purchased	467,758	444,115			
Other debt purchased 3,263,057 3,239,831 Trading assets 11,469,811 10,781,735 6-a Money held in trust 168,369 157,728 Securities 43,997,517 43,278,733 2-b, 6-b Loans and bills discounted 69,301,405 73,415,170 6-c Foreign exchange assets 1,576,167 1,623,736 6-c Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,820,408 3,544,243 6-d Other assets 2,840,720 4,066,424 6-e Tangible fixed assets 925,266 1,078,051 1 Intangible fixed assets 114,073 743,382 3 Net defined benefit asset 413,073 743,382 3 Deferred tax assets 104,909 36,938 4-a Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749	Receivables under resale agreements	8,349,528	8,582,239			
Trading assets	Guarantee deposits paid under securities borrowing transactions	5,010,740	4,059,340			
Money held in trust 168,369 157,728 Securities 43,997,517 43,278,733 2-b, 6-b	Other debt purchased	3,263,057	3,239,831			
Securities 43,997,517 43,278,733 2-b, 6-b Loans and bills discounted 69,301,405 73,415,170 6-c Foreign exchange assets 1,576,167 1,623,736 Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,840,720 4,066,424 6-c Other assets 2,840,720 4,066,424 6-c Tangible fixed assets 531,501 657,556 2-a Net defined benefit asset 413,073 743,382 3 Deferred tax assets 104,909 36,938 4-a Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749 (Liabilities) 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 <td< td=""><td>Trading assets</td><td>11,469,811</td><td>10,781,735</td><td>6-a</td><td></td></td<>	Trading assets	11,469,811	10,781,735	6-a		
Loans and bills discounted 69,301,405 73,415,170 6-c	Money held in trust	168,369	157,728			
Foreign exchange assets	Securities	43,997,517	43,278,733	2-b, 6-b		
Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,820,468 3,544,243 6-d	Loans and bills discounted	69,301,405	73,415,170	6-c		
Derivatives other than for trading assets 2,820,468 3,544,243 6-d	Foreign exchange assets	1,576,167	1,623,736			
Tangible fixed assets 925,266 1,078,051	Derivatives other than for trading assets	2,820,468	3,544,243	6-d		
Intangible fixed assets	Other assets	2,840,720	4,066,424	6-e		
Net defined benefit asset 413,073 743,382 3 Deferred tax assets 104,909 36,938 4-a Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749 (Liabilities) Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 5 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 <td>Tangible fixed assets</td> <td>925,266</td> <td>1,078,051</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tangible fixed assets	925,266	1,078,051			
Deferred tax assets	Intangible fixed assets	531,501	657,556	2-a		
Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees 4,588,646 5,404,843 Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749 (Liabilities) Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,227 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Der	Net defined benefit asset	413,073	743,382	3		
Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749 (Liabilities) Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 3323,327 473,060 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 8-a Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 8-p Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,570,902	Deferred tax assets	104,909	36,938	4-a		
Reserves for possible losses on loans (616,307) (525,486) Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2) Total assets 175,822,885 189,684,749 (Liabilities) Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 3323,327 473,060 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 8-a Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 8-p Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,570,902	Customers liabilities for acceptances and guarantees					
Reserve for possible losses on investments (27) (2)		(616,307)				
CLiabilities Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 T,194,432 5,091,198 T,194,432 T,194,432						
CLiabilities Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 T,194,432 5,091,198 T,194,432 T,194,432		175 922 995	100 (04 740			
Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,793,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547<	Total assets	175,822,885	189,684,749			
Deposits 89,055,505 97,757,545 Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,793,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547<						
Negotiable certificates of deposit 12,755,776 15,694,906 Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 8-b Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 8 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 8 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 8 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,54						
Call money and bills sold 7,194,432 5,091,198 Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits						
Payables under repurchase agreements 16,797,803 19,612,120 Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Guarantee deposits received under securities lending transactions 6,085,331 2,245,639 Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Commercial paper 677,459 538,511 Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Trading liabilities 8,183,037 8,743,196 6-f Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851		, ,				
Borrowed money 7,838,357 7,195,869 8-a Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Foreign exchange liabilities 323,327 473,060 Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Short-term bonds 584,568 816,705 Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851				8-a		
Bonds and notes 5,245,743 6,013,731 8-b Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Due to trust accounts 1,300,655 1,780,768 Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851						
Derivatives other than for trading liabilities 3,004,497 3,474,332 6-g Other liabilities 3,570,902 4,261,955 Reserve for bonus payments 52,641 59,869 Net defined benefit liability 46,006 47,518 Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits 1,547 1,527 Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans 1,259 13 Reserve for contingencies 6,309 7,845 Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851	Bonds and notes			8-b		
Other liabilities3,570,9024,261,955Reserve for bonus payments52,64159,869Net defined benefit liability46,00647,518Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits1,5471,527Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans1,25913Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851						
Reserve for bonus payments52,64159,869Net defined benefit liability46,00647,518Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits1,5471,527Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans1,25913Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851	-			6-g		
Net defined benefit liability46,00647,518Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits1,5471,527Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans1,25913Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851						
Reserve for director and corporate auditor retirement benefits1,5471,527Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans1,25913Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851						
Reserve for possible losses on sales of loans1,25913Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851			47,518			
Reserve for contingencies6,3097,845Reserve for reimbursement of deposits16,45115,851			1,527			
Reserve for reimbursement of deposits 16,451 15,851			13			
			7,845			
Reserve for reimbursement of debentures 54,956 48,878		16,451	15,851			
	Reserve for reimbursement of debentures	54,956	48,878			

Reserves under special laws	1,273	1,607		
Deferred tax liabilities	50,783	524,321	4-b	
Deferred tax liabilities for revaluation reserve for land	81,060	72,392	4-c	
Acceptances and guarantees	4,588,646	5,404,843		
Total liabilities	167,518,336	179,884,211		
(Net assets)			_	
Common stock and preferred stock	2,254,972	2,255,404	1-a	
Capital surplus	1,109,508	1,110,006	1-b	
Retained earnings	2,315,608	2,769,371	1-c	
Treasury stock	(3,874)	(3,616)	1-d	
Total shareholders equity	5,676,215	6,131,166		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on other securities	733,522	1,737,348		
Deferred gains or losses on hedges	(6,677)	26,635	5	
Revaluation reserve for land	140,745	146,419		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(63,513)	(40,454)		
Remeasurements of defined benefit plans	(22,979)	160,005		
•				
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	781,096	2,029,955		3
Total accumulated only comprehensive income	701,070	2,029,933		5
Stock acquisition rights	3,179	3,820		1b
Minority interests	1,844,057	1,635,595	7	
•	, ,	, ,		
Total net assets	8,304,549	9,800,538		
	. ,	· · ·		
Total liabilities and net assets	175,822,885	189,684,749		

Note:

The regulatory scope of consolidation is the same as the accounting scope of consolidation.

Appended template

1. Shareholders equity

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
1-a	Common stock and preferred stock			Including eligible Tier 1 capital
				instruments subject to phase-out
		2,254,972	2,255,404	arrangements
1-b	Capital surplus			Including eligible Tier 1 capital instruments subject to phase-out
		1,109,508	1,110,006	arrangements
1-c	Retained earnings	2,315,608	2,769,371	
1-d	Treasury stock	(3,874)	(3,616)	
	Total shareholders equity	5,676,215	6,131,166	

(2) Composition of capital

		As of March	(Millions of yen) As of March	
Basel III template	Composition of capital disclosure	31, 2014	31, 2015	Remarks
template	Directly issued qualifying common share capital plus related stock surplus and retained earnings	5,362,748	5,917,185	Shareholders equity attributable to common shares (before adjusting national specific regulatory adjustments (earnings to be distributed))
1a	of which: capital and stock surplus	3,051,830	3,152,290	
2	of which: retained earnings	2,314,792	2,768,510	
1c	of which: treasury stock (-)	3,874	3,616	
	of which: other than above			
31a	Directly issued qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards and the breakdown			Shareholders equity attributable to preferred shares with a loss absorbency clause upon entering into effectively bankruptcy

2. Intangible fixed assets

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
2-a	Intangible fixed assets	531,501	657,556	
2-b	Securities	43,997,517	43,278,733	
	of which: share of goodwill of companies accounted for			Share of goodwill of companies
	using the equity method	60,535	48,680	accounted for using the equity method
	Income taxes related to above	(166,580)	(191,837)	

(2) Composition of capital

Basel III template	Composition of capital disclosure	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
8	Goodwill (net of related tax liability, including those			
	equivalent)	122,774	107,297	
9	Other intangibles other than goodwill and mortgage			
	servicing rights (net of related tax liability)	302,681	407,101	Software and other
	Mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability)			
20	Amount exceeding the 10% threshold on specified items			
24	Amount exceeding the 15% threshold on specified items			
74	Mortgage servicing rights that are below the thresholds			
	for deduction (before risk weighting)			

3. Net defined benefit asset

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

		As of	(Millions of yen) As of March	
Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	March 31, 2014	31, 2015	Remarks
3	Net defined benefit asset	413,073	743,382	
	Income taxes related to above	(146,897)	(239,199)	

(2) Composition of capital

			(Millions of yen)	
		As of	As of	
Basel III		March 31,	March 31,	
template	Composition of capital disclosure	2014	2015	Remarks
15	Net defined benefit asset	266,176	504,183	

4. Deferred tax assets

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

		As of March 31,	(Millions of yen) As of March 31,	
Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	2014	2015	Remarks
4-a	Deferred tax assets	104,909	36,938	
4-b	Deferred tax liabilities	50,783	524,321	
4-c	Deferred tax liabilities for revaluation reserve for land	81,060	72,392	
	Tax effects on intangible fixed assets	166,580	191,837	
	Tax effects on net defined benefit asset	146,897	239,199	

(2) Composition of capital

Basel III template	Composition of capital disclosure	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
10	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)	28,865	11,399	This item does not agree with the amount reported on the consolidated balance sheet due to offsetting of assets and liabilities.
	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)	302,251	75,937	This item does not agree with the amount reported on the consolidated balance sheet due to offsetting of assets and liabilities.
21 25	Amount exceeding the 10% threshold on specified items Amount exceeding the 15% threshold on specified items	,	,	

75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that		
	are below the thresholds for deduction (before risk		
	weighting)	302,251	75,937

5. Deferred gains or losses on derivatives under hedge accounting

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

		(Millions of yen)	
		As of	As of	
		March 31,	March 31,	
Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	2014	2015	Remarks
5	Deferred gains or losses on hedges	(6,677)	26,635	

(2) Composition of capital

			(Millions of yen)	
		As of	As of	
Basel III		March 31,	March 31,	
template	Composition of capital disclosure	2014	2015	Remarks
11	Deferred gains or losses on derivatives under hedge			
	accounting	(6,677)	26,635	

6. Items associated with investments in the capital of financial institutions

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
6-a	Trading assets			Including trading account securities and derivatives for
		11,469,811	10,781,735	trading assets
6-b	Securities	43,997,517	43,278,733	
6-c	Loans and bills discounted	69,301,405	73,415,170	Including subordinated loans
6-d	Derivatives other than for trading assets	2,820,468	3,544,243	
6-e	Other assets	2,840,720	4,066,424	Including money invested
6-f	Trading liabilities			Including trading account
	-	8,183,037	8,743,196	securities sold
6-g	Derivatives other than for trading liabilities	3,004,497	3,474,332	

(2) Composition of capital

			(Millions of yen)	
Basel III		As of March		
4	C	31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
template	Composition of capital disclosure			Remarks
16	Investments in own capital instruments Common equity Tier 1 capital	3,103 3,083	9,072 4,872	
		3,083	4,072	
37	Additional Tier 1 capital	20	4.200	
52	Tier 2 capital	20	4,200	
	Reciprocal cross-holdings in the capital of banking,			
17	financial and insurance entities			
17	Common equity Tier 1 capital			
38	Additional Tier 1 capital			
53	Tier 2 capital			
	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and			
	insurance entities that are outside the scope of			
	regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short			
	positions, where the bank does not own more than			
	10% of the issued share capital (amount above 10%			
	threshold)	1,029,919	1,058,656	
18	Common equity Tier 1 capital	285,138	246,646	
39	Additional Tier 1 capital	1,638	943	
54	Tier 2 capital	208,742	135,285	
72	Non-significant investments in the capital of other			
	financials that are below the thresholds for deduction			
	(before risk weighting)	534,399	675,780	
	Significant investments in the capital of banking,			
	financial and insurance entities that are outside the			
	scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short			
	positions	251,246	276,553	
19	Amount exceeding the 10% threshold on specified			
	items			
23	Amount exceeding the 15% threshold on specified			
	items			
40	Additional Tier 1 capital	105,249	125,753	
		,		

55	Tier 2 capital			
73	Significant investments in the common stock of			
	financials that are below the thresholds for deduction			
	(before risk weighting)	145,996	150,800	

7. Minority interests

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

			(Millions of yen)	
		As of		
		March 31,	As of March 31,	
Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	2014	2015	Remarks
7	Minority interests	1,844,057	1,635,595	

(2) Composition of capital

Basel III template	Composition of capital disclosure	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
5	Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1)	10,867	12,106	After reflecting amounts eligible for inclusion (minority interest after adjustments)
30-31ab-32	Qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus issued by special purpose vehicles and other equivalent entities			After reflecting amounts eligible for inclusion (minority interest after adjustments)
34-35	Additional Tier 1 instruments issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1)	25,376	29,598	After reflecting amounts eligible for inclusion (minority interest after adjustments)
46	Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus issued by special purpose vehicles and other equivalent entities	154,380	180,405	After reflecting amounts eligible for inclusion (minority interest after adjustments)
48-49	Tier 2 instruments issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)	8,161	9,250	After reflecting amounts eligible for inclusion (minority interest after adjustments)

8. Other capital instruments

(1) Consolidated balance sheet

			(Millions of yen)	
		As of	As of	
		March 31,	March 31,	
Ref.	Consolidated balance sheet items	2014	2015	Remarks
8-a	Borrowed money	7,838,357	7,195,869	
8-b	Bonds and notes	5,245,743	6,013,731	
	Total	13,084,101	13,209,601	

(2) Composition of capital

Base temp		Composition of capital disclosure	As of March 31, 2014	(Millions of yen) As of March 31, 2015	Remarks
32	2	Directly issued qualifying additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards			
40	5	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards		150,000	

Note:

Amounts in the Composition of capital disclosure are based on those before considering amounts under transitional arrangements and include Amounts excluded under transitional arrangements disclosed in (A) Composition of capital disclosure as well as amounts included as regulatory capital. In addition, items for regulatory purposes under transitional arrangements are excluded from this table.

n Risk-based capital

(3) Summary of approach to assessing capital adequacy

In order to ensure that risk-based capital is sufficiently maintained in light of the risk held by us, we regularly conduct the following assessment of capital adequacy in addition to adopting a suitable and effective capital adequacy monitoring structure.

Maintaining a sufficient BIS capital ratio

We confirm our maintenance of a high level of financial soundness by conducting regular evaluations to examine whether our risk-based capital is adequate in qualitative as well as quantitative terms, in light of our business plans and strategic targets to match the increase in risk-weighted assets acquired for growth, in addition to maintaining common equity Tier 1 capital ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio and total capital ratio that exceed the minimum requirements.

Balancing risk and capital

On the basis of the framework for allocating risk capital, after obtaining the clearest possible grasp of the group s overall risk exposure, we endeavor to control risk so as to keep it within the range of our business capacity by means of allocating capital that corresponds to the amount of risk to the business groups and units of our banking subsidiaries, etc., within the bounds of our capital, and we conduct regular assessments to ensure that a sufficient level of capital is maintained for our risk profile. When making these assessments, we estimate the impact of the stress on our capital from risk scenarios that are formulated based on external environment, risks inherent to our business portfolio, etc. and from scenarios such as the occurrence of historical stress events. In addition, we examine whether an appropriate return on risk is maintained in the assessments.

13

${\bf (4)} \ Required \ capital \ by \ portfolio \ classification$

	As of Ma	arch 31, 2014 Required capital	As of Ma EAD	(Billions of yen) arch 31, 2015 Required capital
Credit risk	180,860.3	5,114.7	195,982.4	5,456.6
Cituit lisk	100,000.3	3,117.7	173,702.4	3,430.0
Internal ratings-based approach	173,716.9	4,530.0	188,787.2	4,926.2
Corporate (except specialized lending)	59,100.4	2,360.0	68,175.7	2,434.9
Corporate (except specialized lending) Corporate (specialized lending)	2,977.2	258.1	3,574.5	323.9
Sovereign	77,644.8	82.2	77,681.9	92.8
Bank	6,511.3	148.5	7,239.8	155.8
Retail	13,531.9	587.7	13,346.9	546.0
Residential mortgage	10,366.2	380.4	10,173.4	344.5
Qualifying revolving loan	434.7	35.0	499.0	38.1
Other retail	2,730.9	172.2	2,674.4	163.3
Equities	4,299.9	485.9	5,628.1	695.3
PD/LGD approach	1,199.5	131.1	4,831.4	489.4
Market-based approach (simple risk weight method)	490.7	129.0	796.7	205.9
Market-based approach (internal models approach)	., .,	22,10	,,,,,,	
Transitional measure applied	2,609.6	225.7	n.a.	n.a.
Regarded-method exposure	1,761.0	344.2	2,073.3	382.1
Purchase receivables	1,935.4	60.0	5,111.1	140.1
Securitizations	3,038.7	46.6	3,340.6	24.0
Others	2,916.0	156.2	2,614.8	130.9
	,-		,	
Standardized approach	7,143.4	370.0	7,195.2	279.0
Sovereign	1,505.0	6.0	2,881.6	7.8
Bank	1,527.7	38.9	1,274.6	25.0
Corporate	3,619.6	272.4	2,447.9	183.7
Residential mortgage	2,017.0	272.1	2,117.5	103.7
Securitizations	20.6	9.9	21.9	9.5
Others	470.4	42.7	569.0	52.8
oulers	170.1	12.7	207.0	32.0
CVA risk	n.a.	195.8	n.a.	220.4
CVATISK	11.4.	175.0	II.a.	220.4
Control country related		10.7		21.0
Central counterparty-related	n.a.	18.7	n.a.	31.0
		222.7		255 0
Market risk	n.a.	233.5	n.a.	277.9
Standardized approach	n.a.	62.8	n.a.	78.8
Interest rate risk	n.a.	39.9	n.a.	42.3
Equities risk	n.a.	13.5	n.a.	22.9
Foreign exchange risk	n.a.	8.4	n.a.	10.3
Commodities risk	n.a.	0.9	n.a.	3.1
Option transactions	n.a.		n.a.	
Internal models approach	n.a.	170.6	n.a.	199.0
Operational risk	n.a.	262.9	n.a.	249.2
Advanced measurement approach	n.a.	230.9	n.a.	210.4
Basic indicator approach	n.a.	31.9	n.a.	38.8
11				

Total required capital (consolidated) n.a. 4,821.9 n.a. 5,215.3

Notes:

- 1. EAD: Exposure at default.
- 2. PD: Probability of default.
- 3. LGD: Loss given default.
- 4. Required capital: For credit risk, the sum of (i) 8% of credit risk-weighted assets and (ii) expected losses. For market risk, the market risk equivalent amount. For operational risk, the operational risk equivalent amount.
- 5. Total required capital (consolidated): 8% of the denominator of the capital adequacy ratio.
- 6. The major exposures included in each portfolio classification of internal ratings-based approach are as follows:

Corporate (excluding specialized Credits to corporations and sole proprietors (excluding credits to retail customers) lending)

Corporate (specialized lending)

Credits which limit interest and principal repayment sources to cash flow derived from specific real

estate, chattel, businesses, etc, including real estate non-recourse loan, ship finance and project

finance, etc.

Sovereign Credits to central governments, central banks and local governmental entities

Bank Credits to banks and securities companies, etc.

Retail Housing loans (residential mortgage), credit card loans (qualifying revolving retail loan) and other

individual consumer loans and loans to business enterprises with total credit amount of less than ¥100

million, etc. (other retail).

Equities Capital stock, preferred securities, perpetual subordinated debt, etc. (excluding trading assets)

The transitional measure (Article 13 of supplementary provision of the FSA Notice No. 20) applies to those held from September 30, 2004 or earlier in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014. Either the PD/LGD approach or the market-based approach applies in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 as

the transitional period ended.

14

Table of Contents

Regarded-method exposure Investment trusts and funds, etc.

Purchase receivables Receivables purchased from third parties excluding securities (excluding securitizations)

Securitizations Transactions in the form of non-recourse and having a senior/subordinated structure, etc. (excluding

specialized lending).

7. EAD calculated using the standardized approach for credit risk represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs.

15

n Credit risk

(5) Credit risk management

Summary of credit risk management

See pages 62 to 63 for a summary of our credit risk management policies and procedures.

We apply the advanced internal ratings-based approach to calculate credit risk-weighted assets under Basel Framework. With regard to some business units or asset classes that are deemed to be immaterial for purposes of calculating credit risk-weighted assets, we apply the standardized approach. Meanwhile, we have applied the advanced internal ratings-based approach to Mizuho Bank Nederland N.V. since the end of March 2015.

We use our estimates of PD (probability of default) and LGD (loss given default) in calculating credit risk-weighted assets. In accordance with regulations, we estimate PD by using long-term averages of actual defaults, to which conservative adjustments are made, based on internal data, and make adjustments to LGD taking into account recessionary periods. We regularly perform verifications of PD and LGD through back testing and other methods. We also utilize these estimates for measuring credit risks for internal use, allocating risk capital and other purposes.

Status of portfolios to which the standardized approach is applied

Eligible external credit assessment institutions used for determining the risk weight for portfolios to which the standardized approach is applied are Rating and Investment Information, Inc. (R&I) in Japan and Standard & Poor s Ratings Services (S&P) overseas.

We apply a risk weight of 100% for all of our corporate exposure.

Summary of our internal rating system

See pages 62 to 63 for a summary of our internal rating system and rating assignment procedures.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the definition of obligor ratings.

Obligor ratings

Obligor ratings

(major category)	Definition of ratings	Classification
A1 A3	Obligors whose certainty of debt fulfillment is very high, hence their level of credit risk is excellent.	T
B1 B2	Obligors whose certainty of debt fulfillment poses no problems for the foreseeable future, hence their level of credit risk is sufficient.	Investment grade zone
C1 C3	Obligors whose certainty of debt fulfillment and their level of credit risk pose no problems for the foreseeable future.	
D1 D3	Obligors whose current certainty of debt fulfillment poses no problems, however, their resistance to future changes in business environment is low.	Non-investment grade zone
E1	Obligors who require close watching going forward because there are problems with their	
E2	borrowing conditions, such as reduced or suspended interest payments, problems with	
	fulfillment such as de facto postponements of principal or interest payments, or problems	
R*	with their financial positions as a result of their poor or unstable business conditions.	
F1	Obligors who are not yet bankrupt but are in financial difficulties and are deemed to be	Default
	very likely to go bankrupt in the future because they are finding it difficult to make	
	progress in implementing their management improvement plans (including obligors who	
	are receiving ongoing support from financial institutions).	
G1		

Obligors who have not yet gone legally or formally bankrupt but who are substantially bankrupt because they are in serious financial difficulties and are not deemed to be capable of restructuring.

H1 Obligors who have already gone bankrupt, from both a legal and/or formal perspective.

* Obligors who have loans in need of monitoring (restructured loans and loans past due for three months or more) out of the obligors who require close watching going forward

16

(6) Credit risk exposure, etc.

We exclude regarded-method exposure and securitization exposure from the amount of credit risk exposure.

The outstanding balance is based on exposure at default.

No significant difference exists between period-end credit risk position and the average credit risk position during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2014 and 2015.

Status of credit risk exposure

(A) Breakdown by geographical area

		A .	of Monch 21, 201	14	(Billions of yen)
	Loans, commitments		s of March 31, 201	14	
	other	anu			
	non-derivative				
	off-balance-sheet expos	sur&ecurities	Derivatives	Others	Total
Domestic	74,327.0	31,575.7	1,062.4	20,235.8	127,201.0
	,	,	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ
Overseas	24,724.6	9,530.9	1,996.2	5,464.1	41,716.0
Asia	7,108.6	1,697.0	211.9	1,662.1	10,679.8
Central and South America	2,460.0	133.0	136.1	633.5	3,362.9
North America	8,839.9	5,120.9	503.5	2,648.2	17,112.5
Eastern Europe	33.8	0,120.5	0.3	9.0	43.2
Western Europe	4,004.3	2,244.9	1.015.9	336.4	7,601.6
Other areas	2,277.8	335.0	128.4	174.5	2,915.8
	_,				_,,,
Total	99,051.6	41,106.7	3,058.7	25,699.9	168,917.1
Total	<i>>></i> ,051.0	41,100.7	3,020.7	25,055.5	100,717.1
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7,122.7
Exempt portion	11.a.	11.4.	11.a.	11.4.	7,122.7
					(Billions of yen)
		As	s of March 31, 201	15	(Billions of yen)
	Loans, commitment		s of March 31, 201	15	(Billions of yen)
	and		s of March 31, 201	15	(Billions of yen)
	and other		s of March 31, 201	15	(Billions of yen)
	and other non-derivative	ts	,		
Domestic	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expos	ts sur&ecurities	Derivatives	Others	Total
Domestic	and other non-derivative	ts	,		
	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expos 69,662.6	sur&ecurities 28,131.5	Derivatives 1,130.5	Others 25,941.1	Total 124,865.8
Overseas	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6	sur&ecurities 28,131.5	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3
Overseas Asia	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5	sur&curities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8
Overseas Asia Central and South America	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expos 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9	sur&curities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe Western Europe	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9 5,620.9	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3 1,267.6	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3 464.3	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6 9,178.3
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe Western Europe Other areas	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9 5,620.9 3,325.5	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7 1,825.4 509.1	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3 1,267.6 185.7	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3 464.3 220.0	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6 9,178.3 4,240.6
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe Western Europe	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9 5,620.9	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3 1,267.6	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3 464.3	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6 9,178.3
Overseas Asia Central and South America North America Eastern Europe Western Europe Other areas	and other non-derivative off-balance-sheet expose 69,662.6 37,120.2 9,175.5 3,157.2 15,445.9 394.9 5,620.9 3,325.5	sur Securities 28,131.5 11,831.1 2,076.8 162.9 7,256.7 1,825.4 509.1	Derivatives 1,130.5 2,545.7 319.9 141.2 629.6 1.3 1,267.6 185.7	Others 25,941.1 7,010.2 1,764.3 578.4 3,967.6 15.3 464.3 220.0	Total 124,865.8 58,507.3 13,336.8 4,039.9 27,299.9 411.6 9,178.3 4,240.6

Notes:

- 1. Exempt portion represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs, calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for the purpose of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.
- 2. Exposure to non-Japanese residents is included in Overseas.
- 3. Others include cash, deposits, call loans, other debt purchased, money held in trust, foreign exchange assets, other assets, etc.

17

(B) Breakdown by industry

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Loans, commitments

and other

non-derivative

non-derivative									
	off-balance-sheet exposur&ecurities		Derivatives	Others	Total				
Manufacturing	15,958.4	2,229.5	299.6	683.3	19,170.9				
Construction	1,327.2	163.0	7.8	45.4	1,543.4				
Real estate	7,191.9	503.8	56.8	17.4	7,770.1				
Service industries	3,841.7	398.9	73.0	45.3	4,358.9				
Wholesale and retail	7,838.4	676.6	137.8	1,080.1	9,733.0				
Finance and insurance	11,293.9	2,567.1	1859.8	1,857.7	17,578.8				
Individuals	12,004.9		0.2	11.3	12,016.5				
Other industries	19,534.6	8,647.9	617.4	7,013.7	35,813.7				
Japanese Government; Bank of Japan	20,060.3	25,919.4	6.0	14,945.4	60,931.3				
Total	99,051.6	41,106.7	3,058.7	25,699.9	168,917.1				
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7,122.7				

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

Loans, commitments and other

	non-derivative				
	off-balance-sheet exposur&curities		Derivatives	Others	Total
Manufacturing	19,834.1	2,653.2	511.1	672.0	23,670.5
Construction	1,470.3	194.8	14.2	61.6	1,741.0
Real estate	7,799.4	592.5	63.0	14.9	8,469.9
Service industries	4,625.9	459.4	78.9	46.6	5,210.8
Wholesale and retail	9,008.8	745.4	113.9	1,089.7	10,958.1
Finance and insurance	13,070.3	3,578.9	2,007.5	2,042.5	20,699.4
Individuals	11,949.5		0.2	10.6	11,960.3
Other industries	25,245.1	10,133.2	882.7	8,035.2	44,296.3
Japanese Government; Bank of Japan	13,779.1	21,605.0	4.3	20,977.8	56,366.4
Total	106,782.8	39,962.7	3,676.2	32,951.3	183,373.2
10001	100,702.0	55,502.1	2,370.2	02,001.0	100,070.2
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7,173.2

Notes:

- 1. Exempt portion represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs, calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for the purpose of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.
- 2. Others include cash, deposits, call loans, other debt purchased, money held in trust, foreign exchange assets, other assets, etc.

18

(C) Breakdown by residual contractual maturity

	Loans, commitmer and other		s of March 31, 20	14	(Billions of yen)
	non-derivative				
	off-balance-sheet expo	osur & ecurities	Derivatives	Others	Total
Less than one year	29,175.7	8,360.1	455.7	4,813.1	42,804.8
From one year to less than three years	14,691.3	11,291.2	1,448.3	537.8	27,968.7
From three years to less than five years	14,945.4	10,846.7	553.2	18.8	26,364.3
Five years or more	24,670.2	6,308.2	601.3	8.6	31,588.4
Other than above	15,568.8	4,300.3		20,321.4	40,190.7
Total	99,051.6	41,106.7	3,058.7	25,699.9	168,917.1
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7,122.7

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

Loans, commitments

and other

	non-derivative				
	off-balance-sheet expo	sur&ecurities	Derivatives	Others	Total
Less than one year	30,032.6	6,663.3	723.6	5,310.1	42,729.7
From one year to less than three years	18,221.8	10,934.4	1,645.7	604.0	31,406.1
From three years to less than five years	19,111.8	9,387.3	473.1	21.9	28,994.2
Five years or more	26,942.5	7,529.5	833.7	5.8	35,311.6
Other than above	12,474.0	5,448.0		27,009.4	44,931.4
Total	106,782.8	39,962.7	3,676.2	32,951.3	183,373.2
	,	,	.,.	, , ,	,.
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7,173.2

Notes:

- 1. Exempt portion represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs, calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for the purpose of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.
- 2. Others include cash, deposits, call loans, other debt purchased, money held in trust, foreign exchange assets, other assets, etc.

Status of exposure past due three months or more or in default

(D) Breakdown by geographical area

	Loans, commitmer other non-derivatiy	nts and	As of March 31, 20	14	(Billions of yen)
	off-balance-sheet ex		Derivatives	Others	Total
Domestic	1,017.8	14.1	7.8	33.4	1,073.3
Overseas	296.9	2.0	28.8	10.2	338.1
Asia	36.2	0.0	0.6	41.0	41.0
Central and South America	171.6	0.0	19.8	0.1	191.6
North America	1.2	2.0		2.1	5.3
Eastern Europe	4.3				4.3
Western Europe	66.7	0.0	8.3	3.8	78.9
Other areas	16.6			0.0	16.6
Total	1,314.7	16.2	36.7	43.7	1,411.4
	,				,
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	4.4

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

Loans, commitments and other

non-derivative off-balance-sheet exposur@ecurities **Derivatives** Total Others Domestic 24.6 1,178.0 1,124.1 24.2 4.9 1.9 6.9 349.1 381.6 Overseas 23.6 44.8 0.0 0.6 1.2 46.7 Asia 94.6 Central and South America 83.9 10.6 0.0 0.0 21.1 North America 0.9 2.0 16.2 1.9 Eastern Europe 6.0 0.1 6.1 Western Europe 72.1 0.0 11.4 3.5 87.0 Other areas 125.9 0.0 125.9 **Total** 1,473.3 26.2 28.5 31.5 1,559.6 **Exempt portion** 4.4 n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.

Notes:

- 1. Exempt portion represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs, calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for the purpose of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.
- 2. Exposure to non-Japanese residents is included in Overseas.

3. Others include deposits, call loans, other debt purchased, money held in trust, foreign exchange assets, other assets, etc.

20

(E) Breakdown by industry

	Loans, commitments and other non-derivative		As of March 31, 2014		(Billions of yen)
	off-balance-sheet exposur&	ecurities	Derivatives	Others	Total
Manufacturing	271.1	4.8	3.5	10.6	290.1
Construction	40.8	2.7	0.0	0.6	44.3
Real estate	144.1	3.5	0.0	0.9	148.6
Service industries	123.7	1.6	5.5	3.5	134.5
Wholesale and retail	196.9	1.2	2.3	17.3	217.9
Finance and insurance	21.0	1.4	2.9	4.1	29.5
Individuals	174.4			1.1	175.5
Other industries	342.5	0.7	22.3	5.1	370.7
Total	1,314.7	16.2	36.7	43.7	1,411.4
Exempt portion	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	4.4
	Loans, commitments		As of March 31, 2015		(Billions of yen)
	and other non-derivative	•.•	5	0.1	m
Manufacturing	off-balance-sheet exposures		Derivatives	Others	Total
Manufacturing Construction	516.7	15.9	3.5	6.2 0.6	542.3 28.0
	27.1	0.2	0.0		
Real estate	105.6	3.5	0.0	0.5	109.8

Notes:

Total

Service industries

Individuals

Other industries

Exempt portion

Wholesale and retail

Finance and insurance

1. Exempt portion represents the amount before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs, calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for the purpose of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.

99.7

212.9

73.4

126.1

311.5

1,473.3

n.a.

0.7

3.4

1.5

0.7

26.2

n.a.

8.0

0.7

0.0

16.2

28.5

n.a.

3.1

13.0

3.8

1.2

2.8

31.5

n.a.

111.7

230.0

78.8

127.3

331.4

1,559.6

4.4

2. Others include deposits, call loans, other debt purchased, money held in trust, foreign exchange assets, other assets, etc.

Status of reserves for possible losses on loans

The amounts associated with regarded-method exposure and securitization exposure are excluded.

(F) Fiscal year-end balances of reserves for possible losses on loans and changes during the fiscal year

(after partial direct write-offs)

	As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2015
General reserve for possible losses on loans		
Beginning balance	503.0	398.7
Increase during the fiscal year	398.7	344.4
Decrease during the fiscal year	503.0	398.7
Ending balance	398.7	344.4
Specific reserve for possible losses on loans Beginning balance Increase during the fiscal year Decrease during the fiscal year Ending balance Reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries Beginning balance	235.7 216.7 235.7 216.7	216.7 180.3 216.7 180.3
Increase during the fiscal year	0.7	0.6
Decrease during the fiscal year	1.0	0.7
Ending balance	0.7	0.6
Total Beginning balance	739.8	616.3
	616.3	525.4
Increase during the fiscal year Decrease during the fiscal year	739.8	616.3
Ending balance	616.3	525.4
Enumy varance	010.5	323.4

Note:

General reserve for possible losses on loans in the above table represents the amount recorded in our consolidated balance sheet, and the amounts associated with regarded-method exposure and securitization exposure are not excluded.

(G) Specific reserve for possible losses on loans by geographical area and industry

	As of March 31, 2013	As of March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) Change
Domestic	171.3	138.4	(32.9)
Manufacturing	35.1	30.7	(4.3)
Construction	7.0	7.5	0.4
Real estate	24.9	11.7	(13.1)
Service industries	12.3	12.0	(0.2)
Wholesale and retail	38.2	32.1	(6.1)
Finance and insurance	0.1	0.0	(0.1)
Individuals	41.8	35.9	(5.8)

Edgar Filing: MIZUHO FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 6-K

Other industries	11.6	8.1	(3.5)
Overseas	57.8	71.1	13.2
Exempt portion	6.4	7.1	0.7
Total	235.7	216.7	(18.9)

	. 636 1 21 2014	. 635 1 21 2015	(Billions of yen)
	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015	Change
Domestic	138.4	120.0	(18.3)
Manufacturing	30.7	29.5	(1.2)
Construction	7.5	5.5	(2.0)
Real estate	11.7	5.0	(6.7)
Service industries	12.0	11.4	(0.6)
Wholesale and retail	32.1	35.0	2.9
Finance and insurance	0.0	0.8	0.7
Individuals	35.9	25.6	(10.2)
Other industries	8.1	6.9	(1.2)
Overseas	71.1	49.9	(21.2)
Exempt portion	7.1	10.4	3.2
Total	216.7	180.3	(36.4)

Note:

Exempt portion represents the amount calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for purposes of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.

(H) Write-offs of loans by industry

		(Billions of yen)
	For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014	For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015
Manufacturing	2.8	3.6
Construction	0.4	0.3
Real estate	0.7	1.2
Service industries	1.6	1.3
Wholesale and retail	6.1	8.9
Finance and insurance	0.1	0.0
Individuals	7.6	6.2
Other industries	2.8	62.4
Exempt portion	0.0	0.2
Total	22.4	84.5

Notes:

- 1. The above table represents the breakdown of losses on write-offs of loans recorded in our consolidated statement of income after excluding the amounts associated with regarded-method exposure and securitization exposure.
- 2. Exempt portion represents the amount calculated using the standardized approach for business units and asset classes that are immaterial for purposes of calculating credit risk-weighted assets.
- 3. Other industries include overseas and non-Japanese resident portions.

Status of exposure to which the standardized approach is applied

(I) Exposure by risk weight category after applying credit risk mitigation

(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2014

Risk weight	On-balance sheet	Off-balance sheet	Total	With external rating
0%	623.3	729.4	1,352.8	129.3
10%	81.8	0.0	81.8	
20%	482.1	790.3	1,272.4	25.2
35%				
50%	63.9	3.8	67.8	36.0
100%	2,835.9	1,455.8	4,291.7	45.8
150%	0.0		0.0	
250%	55.9		55.9	
350%				
625%		0.0	0.0	
937.5%		0.0	0.0	
1,250%				
Total	4,143.2	2,979.5	7,122.7	236.4

(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015

Risk weight	On-balance sheet	Off-balance sheet	Total	With external rating
0%	2,013.6	583.3	2,597.0	76.5
10%	149.2		149.2	
20%	468.6	723.0	1,191.7	26.9
35%				
50%	85.0	13.1	98.1	45.3
100%	1,974.4	1,098.8	3,073.2	63.3
150%	0.1		0.1	
250%	63.6		63.6	
350%				
625%		0.0	0.0	
937.5%		0.0	0.0	
1,250%				
Total	4,754.7	2,418.5	7,173.2	212.1

Notes:

- 1. The amounts in the above table are before the deduction of specific reserve for possible losses on loans, reserve for possible losses on loans to restructuring countries and partial direct write-offs.
- 2. Off-balance-sheet exposure shows credit equivalent amount.

(J) Amount of exposure to which a risk weight of 1,250% is applied

		(Billions of yen)
	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Amount of exposure to which a risk weight of 1,250% is applied	7.5	6.1

Status of exposure to which the internal ratings-based approach is applied

(K) Specialized lending exposure under supervisory slotting criteria by risk weight category

		(Billions of yen)
Risk weight	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
50%	0.0	0.6
70%	23.1	37.7
90%	5.9	7.1
95%	70.6	94.3
115%	15.0	30.5
120%	23.6	
140%		
250%	90.8	24.3
Default	30.1	28.3
Total	259.4	223.2

(L) Equity exposure under simple risk weight method of market-based approach by risk weight category

		(Billions of yen)
Risk weight	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
300%	430.7	729.5
400%	59.9	67.2
Total	490.7	796.7

Note: Of the equity exposure under the simple risk weight method, a risk weight of 300% is applied for listed equities and 400% for unlisted equities.

$(M)\ Portfolio\ by\ asset\ class\ and\ ratings\ segment\ (Corporate,\ etc.)$

(Billions o	f yen,	except	percentages)
-------------	--------	--------	--------------

							(Billions of	ı yen, except pe	rcentages)
					As of March 3	31, 2014			
	PD (EAD weighted	LGD (EAD weighted	EL default (EAD weighted	Risk weight (EAD weighted	EAD			Amount of	Weighted average of credit
	average)	average)	average)	average)	(Billions	On-balance	Off-balance		conversion
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	of yen)	sheet	sheet	commitments	
Corporate	2.45	35.48	n.a.	39.99	63,438.6	47,762.7	15,675.9	13,690.8	75.17
Investment grade zone	0.10	37.10	n.a.	26.08	42,215.3	29,168.8	13,046.5	11,656.6	75.13
Non-investment grade zone	2.45	31.69	n.a.	69.43	20,207.7	17,619.2	2,588.4	2,029.5	75.41
Default	100.00	43.22	40.77	32.55	1,015.6	974.6	41.0	4.7	75.00
Sovereign	0.01	38.23	n.a.	1.32	77,930.3	61,723.6	16,206.6	500.8	75.55
Investment grade zone	0.00	38.23	n.a.	1.22	77,845.4	61,642.8	16,202.5	498.8	75.55
Non-investment grade zone	1.68	37.00	n.a.	86.14	84.8	80.6	4.1	2.0	75.00
Default	100.00	57.72	53.15	60.49	0.0	0.0			
Bank	0.34	35.94	n.a.	26.53	6,540.7	3,811.0	2,729.7	397.8	75.00
Investment grade zone	0.09	35.88	n.a.	23.06	5,981.1	3,485.2	2,495.9	324.4	75.00
Non-investment grade zone	0.93	36.11	n.a.	64.10	547.7	317.0	230.7	73.4	75.00
Default	100.00	59.57	56.39	42.14	11.8	8.7	3.0		
Equity exposure under									
PD/LGD approach	0.43	90.00	n.a.	136.67	1,199.5	1,199.5			
Investment grade zone	0.07	90.00	n.a.	123.09	1,090.3	1,090.3			
Non-investment grade zone	1.94	90.00	n.a.	252.67	106.8	106.8			
Default	100.00	90.00	n.a.	1,192.50	2.2	2.2			
Total	1.06	37.37	n.a.	19.97	149,109.3	114,496.9	34,612.3	14,589.6	75.18
Investment grade zone	0.04	38.19	n.a.	11.55	127,132.2	95,387.3	31,744.9	12,479.9	75.14
Non-investment grade zone	2.40	32.12	n.a.	70.30	20,947.2	18,123.8	2,823.3	2,104.9	75.39
Default	100.00	43.52	40.95	35.23	1,029.8	985.7	44.0	4.7	75.00

(Billions of yen, except percentages)

					As of March 3	31, 2015			
	PD (EAD weighted average)	LGD (EAD weighted average)	EL default (EAD weighted average)	Risk weight (EAD weighted average)	EAD (Billions	On-balance	Off-balance	Amount of undrawn	Weighted average of credit conversion
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	of yen)	sheet	sheet	commitments	
Corporate	2.04	36.34	n.a.	36.84	76,322.9	54,372.9	21,950.0	21,339.9	74.99
Investment grade zone	0.09	37.76	n.a.	25.67	53,727.3	35,036.9	18,690.4	18,600.1	74.99
Non-investment grade zone	1.45	32.41	n.a.	64.92	21,403.3	18,186.8	3,216.5	2,735.3	75.00
Default	100.00	42.93	40.22	35.88	1,192.2	1,149.1	43.1	4.3	75.00
Sovereign	0.01	38.61	n.a.	1.50	77,968.1	64,807.8	13,160.3	953.3	75.00
Investment grade zone	0.00	38.61	n.a.	1.42	77,851.4	64,704.3	13,147.1	941.0	75.00
Non-investment grade zone	0.85	37.70	n.a.	59.14	116.6	103.4	13.1	12.2	75.00
Default	100.00	57.51	52.76	62.89	0.0	0.0			
Bank	0.20	36.25	n.a.	25.61	7,268.8	4,406.6	2,862.2	462.4	75.00
Investment grade zone	0.09	36.18	n.a.	22.11	6,620.6	3,968.7	2,651.9	375.6	75.00
Non-investment grade zone	0.81	36.62	n.a.	61.55	644.5	434.2	210.2	86.7	75.00
Default	100.00	97.29	95.04	29.81	3.6	3.6			
Equity exposure under									
PD/LGD approach	0.47	90.00	n.a.	126.62	4,831.4	4,691.1	140.2		
Investment grade zone	0.06	90.00	n.a.	115.13	4,502.9	4,362.7	140.2		
Non-investment grade zone	1.06	90.00	n.a.	235.79	311.8	311.8			
Default	100.00	90.00	n.a.	1,192.50	16.6	16.6			
Total	0.96	38.96	n.a.	22.40	166,391.4	128,278.5	38,112.9	22,755.6	74.99
Investment grade zone	0.04	39.80	n.a.	15.10	142,702.5	108,072.6	34,629.8	19,916.9	74.99
Non-investment grade zone	1.43	33.35	n.a.	67.17	22,476.3	19,036.3	3,439.9	2,834.3	75.00
Default	100.00	43.74	40.38	51.73	1,212.5	1,169.4	43.1	4.3	75.00

Notes:

- 1. Investment grade zone includes obligor ratings A1 through B2, non-investment grade zone includes C1 through E2 (excluding E2R), and default includes E2R through H1 (see page 16 for details of obligor ratings).
- 2. Corporate does not include specialized lending exposure under supervisory slotting criteria.
- 3. Each asset class includes purchased receivables.
- 4. The commitments that can be terminated at any time without condition or terminated automatically are not included in the amount of undrawn commitments and weighted average of credit conversion factor.
- 5. Regarding equity exposure under the PD/LGD approach, we recognize the risk-weighted assets by multiplying 1,250% by the expected loss (EL).

$(N)\ Portfolio\ by\ asset\ class\ and\ ratings\ segment\ (Retail)$

			EL	Risk	As of March 3	31, 2014	(Billions o	f yen, except pe	ercentages)
	PD (EAD weighted average)	(EAD weighted average)	(EAD weighted average)	weight (EAD weighted average)	EAD (Billions	On-balance	Off-balance	Amount of undrawn	Weighted average of credit conversion factor
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	of yen)	sheet	sheet	commitments	(%)
Residential mortgage	2.26	42.14	n.a.	33.23	10,366.2	10,153.8	212.3	7.8	75.00
Non-default	0.79	42.05	n.a.	33.31	10,212.8	10,004.3	208.4	7.8	75.00
Default	100.00	48.29	46.23	27.42	153.4	149.5	3.9		
Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	3.41	78.51	n.a.	67.66	434.7	288.1	146.6	1,507.9	9.72
Non-default	3.17	78.52	n.a.	67.72	433.7	287.3	146.4	1,506.1	9.72
Default	100.00	74.08	70.63	45.62	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.7	11.76
Other retail	4.95	53.78	n.a.	50.51	2,730.9	2,713.8	17.0	18.4	69.80
Non-default	1.69	54.01	n.a.	51.15	2,640.2	2,626.7	13.5	14.7	63.66
Default	100.00	47.17	44.77	31.80	90.6	87.0	3.5	3.6	94.41
Total	2.84	45.66	n.a.	37.82	13,531.9	13,155.8	376.1	1,534.2	10.78
Non-default	1.05	45.62	n.a.	37.98	13,286.8	12,918.4	368.4	1,528.7	10.58
Default	100.00	47.99	45.79	29.12	245.1	237.4	7.6	5.4	67.35
			EL	Risk weight	As of March 3	31, 2015	(Billions o	f yen, except pe	ercentages)
	PD (EAD	LGD (EAD	default (EAD	weight (EAD	As of March 3	31, 2015	(Billions o		Weighted average of
			default	weight		31, 2015 On-balance	(Billions o	f yen, except pe Amount of undrawn	Weighted average
	(EAD weighted	(EAD weighted average)	default (EAD weighted	weight (EAD weighted	EAD	On-balance sheet	Off-balance sheet	Amount of	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%)
Residential mortgage	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81	(EAD weighted average)	(EAD weighted average)	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1	Off-balance sheet 183.3	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25
Non-default	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37	(EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. n.a.	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6	Amount of undrawn	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%)
	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44	(EAD weighted average) (%) n.a.	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1	Off-balance sheet 183.3	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. 1.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. 45.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail) Non-default	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74 77.26 77.27	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. n.a. 45.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0 499.0 498.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3 333.5 332.7	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6 165.4 165.2	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. 45.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail) Non-default	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74 77.26 77.27	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. n.a. 45.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87 64.63 64.65 51.70	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0 499.0 498.0	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3 333.5 332.7	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6 165.4 165.2 0.2	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail) Non-default Default	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00 3.22 3.03 100.00	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74 77.26 77.27 73.17	default (EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. n.a. 45.33	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0 499.0 498.0 0.9	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3 333.5 332.7 0.7	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6 165.4 165.2	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9 1,562.1 1,560.4 1.6	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25
Non-default Default Qualifying revolving loan (retail) Non-default Default Other retail	(EAD weighted average) (%) 1.81 0.77 100.00 3.22 3.03 100.00 4.60	(EAD weighted average) (%) 41.44 41.37 47.74 77.26 77.27 73.17	(EAD weighted average) (%) n.a. n.a. 45.33 n.a. 69.25	weight (EAD weighted average) (%) 32.44 32.45 31.87 64.63 64.65 51.70	EAD (Billions of yen) 101,734.0 10,066.3 107.0 499.0 498.0 0.9	On-balance sheet 9,990.1 9,885.7 104.3 333.5 332.7 0.7	Off-balance sheet 183.3 180.6 2.6 165.4 165.2 0.2	Amount of undrawn commitments 8.9 8.9 1,562.1 1,560.4 1.6 17.5	Weighted average of credit conversion factor (%) 75.25 75.25

Non-default	1.03	45.13	n.a.	37.41	13,158.4	12,801.2	357.2	1,583.1	11.37
Default	100.00	46.86	44.35	33.32	188.4	181.8	6.5	5.5	70.16

Notes:

- 1. Each asset class includes purchased receivables.
- 2. The commitments that can be terminated at any time without condition or terminated automatically are not included in the amount of undrawn commitments and weighted average of credit conversion factor.

27

(O) Actual losses by asset class

(Billions of yen) For the period from April 1, 2013 through March 31, For the period from April 1, 2014 2014 through March 31, 2015

	Actual	tin ough March 31, 2013
	losses	Actual losses
Corporate	(41.2)	128.1
Sovereign	(13.5)	0
Bank	(1.2)	(1.2)
Residential mortgage	(3.2)	(0.7)
Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	0.4	2.2
Other retail	1.4	5.1
Total	(57.4)	133.6

Note:

Actual losses are the sum of the net increase (decrease) in the amount of partial direct write-offs, specific reserve for possible losses on loans and general reserve for possible losses on loans (for claims against special attention obligors or below), etc., as well as tax-qualified direct write-offs, losses from sales of non-performing loans, losses from debt forgiveness and losses from debt-equity swaps during the relevant period. Equity exposure under the PD/LGD approach is not included in the amount of actual losses.

The total amount of actual losses was increased by ¥191.0 billion from the previous period to ¥133.6 billion due to significant increase of losses from corporate exposure.

$\label{eq:comparison} \textbf{(P) Comparison of estimated and actual losses by asset class}$

	throug Estimat (expected	iod from April th March 31, 20 ed losses losses as of 31, 2007) After deduction of reserves	*	throug Estimat (expected	(Billion iod from April th March 31, 20 ed losses losses as of 31, 2008) After deduction of reserves	*
Corporate	1,086.0	217.0	74.6	1,121.0	350.0	345.3
Sovereign	5.4	(7.0)	0.0	1.3	(11.1)	0.0
Bank	6.4	2.6	(2.6)	2.9	2.5	28.6
Residential mortgage	78.2	6.8	5.1	86.6	22.7	17.2
Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	7.2	2.3	(0.1)	7.9	3.2	2.1
Other retail	52.9	8.8	(2.8)	51.9	16.4	3.8
Total	1,236.5	230.5	74.1	1,271.8	383.9	397.3

					(Billion	s of yen)
	throug Estimat (expected	riod from April gh March 31, 20 ged losses losses as of 31, 2009)		throug Estimat (expected	riod from April gh March 31, 20 ted losses losses as of 31, 2010)	,
		After			After	
		deduction of	Actual		deduction of	Actual
		reserves	losses		reserves	losses
Corporate	1,313.1	473.3	166.5	1,296.9	454.0	22.9
Sovereign	1.7	(10.8)	0.3	1.5	(11.4)	0.2
Bank	35.5	6.5	1.0	38.4	8.3	(0.4)
Residential mortgage	95.8	24.8	33.2	122.6	31.1	31.5
Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	10.3	3.8	0.2	10.2	3.5	1.4
Other retail	51.3	15.6	4.3	51.2	15.0	23.1
Total	1,508.0	513.3	205.8	1,521.1	500.6	78. 7

	throu	eriod from April ugh March 31, 20 ated losses	*		(Billion riod from April gh March 31, 20	,
	` •	d losses as of 1 31, 2011) After		(expected	ted losses losses as of 31, 2012) After	
		deduction			deduction	
		of reserves	Actual losses		of reserves	Actual losses
Corporate	989.6	373.2	29.2	828.0	272.6	75.6
Sovereign	1.3	(11.7)	0.2	3.1	(10.2)	0.2
Bank	31.9	4.1	(1.4)	18.4	6.3	(5.0)
Residential mortgage	150.4	43.2	(2.9)	134.8	41.6	(9.2)
Qualifying revolving loan (retail)	12.2	4.2	(0.7)	10.8	3.7	0.3

74.7

1.7

12.5

123.7

11.2

69.1

1,003.5

24.1

(11.9)

6.4

50.5

3.9

26.2

322.3

(13.5)

(1.2)

(3.2)

0.4

1.4

(57.4)

5.2

73.5

1.5

10.3

104.8

11.5

61.9

786.3

24.2

0.2

0.0

(1.2)

(0.7)

2.2

5.1

133.6

1.5

5.6

46.1

3.8

23.8

276.6

Total	1,260.3	437.2	29.5	1,068.8	338.4	62.1
		For the period from April 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014			(Billion	ns of yen)
	-				For the period from April 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015	
	Estimated		,14	Estimated losses		
	(expected lo	sses as of		(expected losses As of March 31, 2014)		
	March 31	, 2013)				
		After			After	
		deduction			deduction	
		of	Actual		of	Actual
		reserves	losses		reserves	losses
Corporate	785.1	246.9	(41.2)	596.0	195.5	128.1

Notes:

Total

Sovereign

Other retail

Residential mortgage

Qualifying revolving loan (retail)

Bank

Other retail

^{1.} Estimated losses after deduction of reserve are the amount after deductions of partial direct write-offs, specific reserves for possible losses on loans and general reserves for possible losses on loans (for claims against special attention obligors or below), etc., as of the beginning of each period. Equity exposure under the PD/LGD approach is not included in the amount of estimated losses.

2. Actual losses are the sum of the net increase (decrease) in the amount of partial direct write-offs, specific reserves for possible losses on loans and general reserves for possible losses on loans (for claims against special attention obligors or below), etc., as well as tax-qualified direct write-offs, losses from sales of non-performing loans, losses from debt forgiveness and losses from debt-equity swaps during the relevant period. Equity exposure under the PD/LGD approach is not included in the amount of actual losses.

30

n Methods for credit risk mitigation

(7) Risk management regarding credit risk mitigation

We obtain collateral and guarantees as a means of securing credit. In obtaining the collateral and guarantees, we evaluate the value of the collateral, guarantee performance capability of guarantor and legal enforceability, and we also conduct periodical subsequent re-evaluations. Furthermore, we monitor the state of concentration of collateral type and concentration of credit risks in individual companies, including indirect credit exposure such as guarantees.

When calculating the credit risk weighted assets for capital adequacy ratio regulations, the effect of credit risk mitigation through financial collateral (mainly deposits and securities), other collateral (mainly real estate) and guarantees by sovereign, banks or corporations above a certain credit rating is reflected.

For derivatives transactions and repurchase transactions, in cases in which a bilateral netting contract is valid in light of the legal system of the relevant jurisdiction, we take its effect into consideration.

(8) Credit risk mitigation by portfolio classification

The amounts of exposure to which the method of credit risk mitigation through collateral and guarantees is applied are as follows:

				(Bil	lions of yen)	
		As of March 31, 2014				
	Financial	Other		Credit		
	collateral	collateral	Guarantees	derivatives	Total	
Internal ratings-based approach	2,463.0	4,917.9	6,963.4	29.0	14,373.5	
Corporate	2,071.0	4,604.9	5,867.3	29.0	12,572.3	
Sovereign	2.5	16.8	282.5		301.9	
Bank	363.2	97.5	24.6		485.4	
Retail	26.1	198.6	789.0		1,013.8	
Residential mortgage			181.8		181.8	
Qualifying revolving loan			0.2		0.2	
Other retail	26.1	198.6	606.8		831.6	
Others						
Standardized approach	285.7	n.a.	53.8		339.5	
Sovereign	250.0	n.a.	53.8		303.8	
Bank	6.3	n.a.			6.3	
Corporate	29.4	n.a.			29.4	
Residential mortgage		n.a.				
Securitizations		n.a.				
Others		n.a.				
Total	2,748.8	4,917.9	7,017.2	29.0	14,713.1	

				(Bil	lions of yen)		
		As of March 31, 2015					
	Financial	Other Credit					
	collateral	collateral	Guarantees	derivatives	Total		
Internal ratings-based approach	2,103.9	5,157.4	8,183.5	43.7	15,488.7		
Corporate	1,715.0	4,769.8	6,763.0	43.7	13,291.7		
Sovereign	2.5	13.0	672.0		687.5		
Bank	359.0	157.2	47.7		564.0		

Edgar Filing: MIZUHO FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 6-K

Retail	27.4	217.3	700.6		945.4
Residential mortgage			154.7		154.7
Qualifying revolving loan			0.2		0.2
Other retail	27.4	217.3	545.6		790.4
Others					
Standardized approach	195.3	n.a.	104.6		300.0
Sovereign	180.0	n.a.	104.6		284.6
Bank	4.6	n.a.			4.6
Corporate	10.7	n.a.			10.7
Residential mortgage		n.a.			
Securitizations		n.a.			
Others		n.a.			
Total	2,299.3	5,157.4	8,288.2	43.7	15,788.8

n Counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions

(9) Management of counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions

In managing the risk pertaining to counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions, we generally allocate risk capital together with loans, etc. As to derivatives transactions with financial institutions, etc., we periodically, where necessary, deliver and receive collateral to and from the counterparty based on the replacement cost to mitigate credit risk (collateralized derivative transactions). In conducting such transactions, there is a risk in which we may be required to provide additional collateral in cases where our credit profile deteriorates. We calculate reserves for derivatives transactions by multiplying the same reserve ratio that we use for loans, etc., against the replacement cost.

(10) Status of counterparty risk in derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions

(A) Status of derivatives transactions and long-settlement transactions

Derivative transactions

		f March 31,			(Bi f March 31,	
	Gross replacement cost	Gross add-on	Credit equivalent amount	Gross replacement cost	Gross add-on	Credit equivalent amount
Current exposure method						
Foreign exchange-related transactions	1,636.4	2,377.2	4,013.6	2,867.1	2,812.2	5,679.3
Interest rate-related transactions	4,255.8	1,794.5	6,050.3	4,018.4	1,408.4	5,426.9
Gold-related transactions						
Equity-related transactions	119.8	84.4	204.2	102.6	78.8	181.5
Transactions related to precious metals (other than gold)	25.7	93.9	119.6	59.8	162.7	222.6
Other commodity-related transactions	382.9	1,279.8	1,662.7	1,001.3	1,313.3	2,314.7
Credit derivatives transactions	53.6	250.2	303.9	38.0	224.9	262.9
Subtotal (A)	6,474.4	5,880.1	12,354.6	8,087.5	6,000.5	14,088.0
Netting benefits by close-out netting settlement contracts (B)	n.a.	n.a.	7,578.6	n.a.	n.a.	8,533.5
Subtotal (C)=(A)+(B)	n.a.	n.a.	4,775.9	n.a.	n.a.	5,554.4
Effect of credit risk mitigation by collateral (D)	n.a.	n.a.	631.9	n.a.	n.a.	1,100.1
Total (C)+(D)	n.a.	n.a.	4,144.0	n.a.	n.a.	4,454.3
Standardized method			Credit equivalent amount			Credit equivalent amount
Total			193.0			311.6

Note: The current exposure method and standardized method are used as the method to calculate credit equivalent amounts. Long-settlement transactions

				(Bi	llions of yen)
As of	March 31,	2014	As of	March 31,	2015
Gross		Credit	Gross		Credit
replacement	Gross	equivalent	replacement	Gross	equivalent
cost	add-on	amount	cost	add-on	amount

Long-settlement transactions 5.0 2.3 7.4 0.9 2.9 3.8

Notes:

- 1. The current exposure method is used as the method to calculate credit equivalent amounts.
- 2. Neither the netting benefits by close-out netting settlement contracts nor the effect of credit risk mitigation by collateral applies to long-settlement transactions.

32

(B) Amounts of credit risk mitigation by type

		(Billions of yen)
	As of March 31,	2014f March 31, 2015
Financial collateral	17.3	8.7
Other collateral	29.9	26.3
Guarantees, others	19.3	23.6
Total	66.6	58.7

(C) Notional amount of credit derivatives subject to credit equivalent amount calculations

		(Billions of yer As of March 31, 2014-46f March 31, 20 Notional		
		amount	Notional amount	
Credit derivatives type:				
Credit default swap	Protection bought	2,169.2	1,957.9	
	Protection sold	2,018.6	1,983.9	
Total return swap	Protection bought			
·	Protection sold			
Total	Protection bought	2,169.2	1,957.9	
	Protection sold	2,018.6	1,983.9	

Note: Credit derivatives used for credit risk mitigation are as follows:

	(Billion As of March 31, 204 o f Marc	ns of yen) ch 31, 2015
Credit derivatives used for credit risk mitigation	79.5	60.9

n Securitization exposure

We classify transactions as securitization exposure based on two characteristics, non-recourse and senior/subordinated structure, pursuant to the definitions set forth in the FSA Notice No. 20, etc.; provided that the transactions do not include those which fall within specialized lending exposure.

(11) Summary of securitization exposure and its risk management

We are associated with securitization transactions from various purposes and positions through our banking book and trading book. Quantitative information related to (a) to (c) below is disclosed in (13) Quantitative disclosure items for securitization exposure, in accordance with the definitions set forth in the FSA Notice No. 20. Securitization exposures are separately disclosed for calculation of credit risk-weighted assets and market risk equivalent amounts.

The role of our securitization transactions

(a) Securitization of our assets (Securitization as originator)

For the purposes of mitigating credit risk and credit concentration risk, controlling economic capital and responding to the needs of our investors, etc., we engage in securitization transactions the underlying assets of which include mortgage loans and loans to our corporate customers. When conducting a securitization as an originator, we consider such transaction from various aspects, including the effects of reduction of economic capital and improvement of return on risk as well as the practical effects of risk transfers, and make a comprehensive judgment on structure and appropriateness of transaction.

(b) Securitization program (ABCP/ABL) sponsor

As a means of supporting our customers in their securitization of their account receivables and notes receivables, etc., we retain securitization exposure by providing asset-backed loans (ABLs, which are on-balance-sheet transactions), and providing asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) backup lines (off-balance-sheet transactions), as sponsor to special purpose companies (in the form of Cayman Islands Corporations, etc.). In such cases, in addition to gaining firm understanding of the actual risk profile through due diligence from the viewpoint of investors, we assign internal ratings and make evaluations by assessing such transactions and carefully managing the exposure together with other direct loan assets.

(c) Investment in alternative credit risk assets (Securitization transactions as investor)

We hold securitization products, such as ABS, CMBS, RMBS, and CDO, and resecuritization products, the underlying assets of which are mainly RMBS and CDO, etc., for the purpose of investing in alternative credit risk assets that are different from conventional credit risk assets in order to diversify our investment portfolio. The Risk Management Committee, etc. set limits on the amount of investment for securitization transactions as investor, and we maintain a stringent structure for management of such transactions. In addition, we implement stress tests based on scenarios under the market liquidity depletion and sharp price declines.

In addition, we undertake various securitization program arrangements such as ABL, ABCP and trust schemes, etc., as a means of financing for our customers. We endeavor to understand the actual risk profile, including the underlying assets, and to disclose appropriately the risks and terms of the program to the customers who invest in the product.

Furthermore, we actively act as servicer for securitization transactions, offer settlement account facilities (servicer cash advance) and provide interest rate swaps to securitization conduits.

None of our affiliated entities hold securitization products in which we are involved as originators or sponsors.

Overview of risk characteristics in securitization and monitoring system

In addition to price fluctuation and market liquidity risks, securitization and resecuritization products are exposed to risks related to default, recovery and granularity of underlying asset portfolio.

The structure of these products also contains risks related to the originators, the administrators, trustees and managers of the underlying assets.

To address these risks, we also analyze the structure in terms of the underlying assets and credit events. We monitor the ability, quality and operating performance of originators and managers in charge of controlling the underlying assets as well as covenant information and credit status of the parties related to the program.

In addition, for resecuritization products, we pay attention to the underlying assets of the underlying securitization products.

We also assign internal ratings to all products and review the rating at least once a year. If there is a change in the credit situations, we will review the internal rating as appropriate. As mentioned above, we have established a system to comprehensively understand the risk characteristics of securitization exposures and manage these exposures.

We conduct credit risk measurements on all credit transactions, including securitization transactions. Furthermore, we carry out periodic monitoring on investment amount and performance on securitization transactions and report the situations to our Risk Management Committee, etc.

34

Status of response to Basel Framework

In calculating credit risk-weighted assets of securitization exposure under the internal ratings-based approach, we apply the ratings-based approach (RBA) if the asset has a rating obtained from eligible external credit assessment institutions and apply the supervisory formula approach (SF) in other cases pursuant to the FSA Notice No. 20. We apply a risk weight of 1,250% under Basel III when neither RBA nor SF can be applied.

In addition, in calculating credit risk-weighted assets of securitization exposure under the standardized approach, we calculate based on risk weight according to ratings by eligible external credit assessment institutions and weighted average risk weight of underlying assets.

In terms of securitization exposure in our trading book that is subject to market risk regulations, we adopt the standardized measurement method and calculate market risk equivalent amounts in connection with the specific risks of securitization products based on risk weights according to ratings assigned by eligible external credit assessment institutions pursuant to the FSA Notice No. 20.

As for the eligible external credit assessment institutions, we refer to Rating and Investment Information, Inc. (R&I), Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR), Moody s Investors Service Inc. (Moody s), Standard & Poor s (S&P) and Fitch Ratings, Ltd. in determining securitization exposure risk weight (We do not separately designate eligible external credit assessment institutions for each type of securitization exposure).

(12) Accounting policies for securitization transactions

The point at which financial assets and liabilities relating to securitization transactions begin or cease to be recognized, their evaluation and accounting treatment are pursuant to Accounting Standards Relating to Financial Products (Business Accounting Standards No. 10), etc., and we applied (a) valuations obtained from brokers and others or (b) reasonably calculated prices based on the reasonable estimates of our management as fair value, unless it is deemed to be extremely difficult to determine the fair value. In deriving reasonably calculated prices based on the reasonable estimates of our management, we used the discounted cash flow method, and the price decision variables include default rates, recovery rates, pre-payment rates and discount rates.

Furthermore, we apply appropriate accounting treatment on compound financial products based on Report on Auditing Securitized Instruments , announced by the Japanese Institute of Certified Public Accountants on March 26, 2008.

35

(13) Quantitative disclosure items for securitization exposure

Securitization exposure as originator (for calculation of credit risk-weighted assets)

(A) Information by type of underlying assets

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2014

		Residential		Lease				
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total
Traditional securitizations								
Amount of underlying assets (a)		117.6						117.6
Default exposure		1.4						1.4
Losses during the fiscal year		0.3						0.3
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year								
Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year	r							
Securitization subject to early amortization treatment								
Synthetic securitizations								
Amount of underlying assets (b)					366.3			366.3
Default exposure								
Losses during the fiscal year								
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year					113.2			113.2
Total amount of underlying assets (a)+(b)		117.6			366.3			484.0

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2015

	Residential			Lease				
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total
Traditional securitizations					•			
Amount of underlying assets (a)		98.3						98.3
Default exposure		1.1						1.1
Losses during the fiscal year		0.1						0.1
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year								
Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year								
Securitization subject to early amortization treatment								
Synthetic securitizations								
Amount of underlying assets (b)					173.5			173.5
Default exposure								
Losses during the fiscal year								
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year								
Total amount of underlying assets (a)+(b)		98.3			173.5			271.8

Notes:

- 1. Items that refer to during the fiscal year show amounts accumulated during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014 and 2015.
- 2. Amount of underlying assets and Losses during the fiscal year include those related to, in addition to exposure originated by us, exposure to assets originated by other financial institutions if they are contained in the same securitization program.
- 3. Default exposure and Losses during the fiscal year with respect to synthetic securitization transactions are based on the definition of default as set forth in the respective transactions.

- 4. Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.
- 5. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.
- 6. The effects of risk mitigation, in the context of calculating capital adequacy ratio, of transfers (hedges) of risk through synthetic securitization transactions are reflected in Required capital of (B) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased.
- 7. Of the securitization exposure retained or purchased whose risk has been transferred (hedged) through securitization schemes, we have categorized securitization exposure as investor if the risk transfer (hedge) effects are not reflected in the calculation of capital adequacy ratio, following the definition for classification of securitization exposure set forth in the FSA Notice No. 20, etc.

36

Exposure intended to be securitized

(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2014 Residential Lease Credit mortgage Auto payment Real Securitization cards products Total loans loans receivables Corporate estate Exposure intended to be securitized (Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015 Residential Lease Securitization Credit mortgage Auto payment Real cards products Total loans loans receivables Corporate estate Exposure intended to be securitized

(B) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2014 Residential Lease Credit mortgage Auto payment Real Securitization Corporate Total cards loans receivables products loans estate On-balance sheet 34.5 364.2 398.8 Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet 2.0 2.0 Exposure on resecuritizations 34.5 366.3 400.8 **Total** Exposure on resecuritizations Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 1,250% is applied Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets 110.9 110.9 (Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015 Residential Lease Credit mortgage payment Auto Real Securitization cards loans loans receivables Corporate estate products **Total On-balance sheet** 32.6 168.7 201.4 Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet 4.8 4.8 Exposure on resecuritizations **Total** 32.6 173.5 206.2 Exposure on resecuritizations Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 1,250% is applied 121.5 121.5 Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets

Notes:

- 1. Classification based on type of underlying asset is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.
- 2. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.
- 3. Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets is classified based on the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.
- 4. Exposure on resecuritizations as of both March 31, 2014 and 2015 are classified following Article 1, Paragraph 2-2 of the FSA Notice No. 20 (hereinafter the same).

37

Exposure by risk weight category

ı)	į
	ı)

As	of	M	ar	ch	3	ι,	20)14	
----	----	---	----	----	---	----	----	-----	--

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	320.6		1.9		322.6	
Up to 50%	6.2				6.2	
Up to 100%	34.5				34.5	
Up to 250%	11.9				11.9	
Up to 650%	17.4				17.4	
Less than 1,250%	8.0		0.1		8.1	
1,250%						
Total	398.8		2.0		400.8	

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations		resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	145.3		4.5		149.9	
Up to 50%	41.4				41.4	
Up to 100%						
Up to 250%	4.0				4.0	
Up to 650%	3.2				3.2	
Less than 1,250%	7.3		0.2		7.6	
1,250%						
Total	201.4		4.8		206.2	

Amount of required capital by risk weight category

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Risk weight	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 20%	1.9	resecuritizations	0.0	resecuritizations	2.0	resecuritizations
Up to 50%	0.1		0.0		0.1	
Up to 100%	1.4				1.4	
Up to 250%	0.8				0.8	
Up to 650%	0.8				0.8	
Less than 1,250%	0.4				0.4	
1,250%						
Total	5.7		0.0		5.7	

(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations		resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	1.0		0.0		1.0	
Up to 50%	1.3				1.3	
Up to 100%						
Up to 250%	0.3				0.3	
Up to 650%						
Less than 1,250%	0.7		0.0		0.7	
1,250%						
Total	3.4		0.0		3.5	

Credit risk mitigation against exposure on resecuritizations

Risk weight	As of March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015
Up to 20%		
Up to 50%		
Up to 100%		
Up to 250%		
Up to 650%		
Over 650%		
Total		

Note:

The above table shows the exposure on resecuritizations based on the risk weight after taking into consideration the effect of method to mitigate credit risk.

38

Capital increase due to securitization transactions

(Billions of yen)

As	of	M	larcl	h 3	1,	20	14	ļ
----	----	---	-------	-----	----	----	----	---

		Residential		Lease					
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total	
Capital increase due to securitization transactions		1.7			•		•	1.7	

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

		Residential		Lease				
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	payment	C	Real	Securitization	T-4-1
	cards	loans	loans	receivables	Corporate	estate	products	Total
Capital increase due to securitization transactions		0.2			_		_	0.2

Securitization exposure as sponsor of securitization programs (ABCP/ABL) (for calculation of credit risk-weighted assets)

(C) Information by type of underlying assets

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year end	d, March 31	, 2014
-----------------------------------	-------------	--------

		Residential		Lease	Account			
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	payment	and note	Real		
	cards	loans	loans	receivables	receivables	estate	Others	Total
Amount of underlying assets	50.3		14.3	61.3	464.8		71.9	662.7
Default exposure					7.1			7.1
Estimated loss amount related to underlying								
assets during the fiscal year	1.1		0.1	0.0	8.9		0.7	11.0
Amount of exposures securitized during the								
fiscal year	296.4		316.4	646.1	3,308.2		723.3	5,290.6

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2015

		Residential		Lease	Account			
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	and note receivables	Real estate	Others	Total
Amount of underlying assets	139.0		21.9	50.2	477.0		102.6	790.9
Default exposure					6.2			6.2
Estimated loss amount related to underlying								
assets during the fiscal year	2.4		0.8	0.1	8.1		1.3	12.9
Amount of exposures securitized during the								
fiscal year	1,085.7		210.8	526.0	2,640.1		634.2	5,097.0

Notes:

- 1. Items that refer to during the fiscal year show amounts accumulated during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2014 and 2015.
- 2. Securitization exposure that is acquired in securitization of customer s claims other than as sponsor (in the form of asset-backed securities, trust beneficiary rights and other transferable instruments) is categorized as securitization exposure as investor.

- 3. The amount of default exposure is the amount of the underlying assets recognized as default in the calculation of capital adequacy ratio.
- 4. Estimated loss amount related to underlying assets is based on the amount of the underlying assets as of the relevant date and the following parameters that are used in the calculation of capital adequacy ratio:
 - parameters used in the calculation of required capital for an underlying asset when applying the supervisory formula (e.g., PD); and
 - with respect to underlying assets classified as securitization exposure, the conservative application of risk weights used in the ratings-based approach.
- 5. Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction. Transactions that are difficult to classify are included under Others.
- 6. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.

39

(D) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

				As of Mar	ch 31, 2014		(Billio	ons of yen)
		Residential		Lease	Account			
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	and note receivables	Real estate	Others	Total
On-balance sheet	35.8		13.0	61.3	471.2		70.2	651.6
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Off-balance sheet	75.4		46.6		111.8		2.2	236.1
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Total	111.2		59.7	61.3	583.0		72.4	887.8
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 1,250% is applied								
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets	58.3		56.6	11.7	257.1		60.6	444.4
							(Billio	ons of yen)
				As of Mar	ch 31, 2015			
		Residential		Lease	Account			
	Credit	Residential mortgage	Auto	Lease payment	Account and note	Real		
	cards		loans	payment receivables	and note receivables	Real estate	Others	Total
On-balance sheet		mortgage		payment	and note		Others 106.9	Total 802.1
Exposure on resecuritizations	cards 81.9	mortgage	loans 20.7	payment receivables	and note receivables 542.3		106.9	802.1
Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet	cards	mortgage	loans	payment receivables	and note receivables			
Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet Exposure on resecuritizations	cards 81.9 108.5	mortgage	loans 20.7 42.8	payment receivables 50.2	and note receivables 542.3		106.9 36.6	802.1 333.9
Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet Exposure on resecuritizations Total	cards 81.9	mortgage	loans 20.7	payment receivables	and note receivables 542.3		106.9	802.1
Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet Exposure on resecuritizations Total Exposure on resecuritizations	cards 81.9 108.5	mortgage	loans 20.7 42.8	payment receivables 50.2	and note receivables 542.3		106.9 36.6	802.1 333.9
Exposure on resecuritizations Off-balance sheet Exposure on resecuritizations Total	cards 81.9 108.5	mortgage	loans 20.7 42.8	payment receivables 50.2	and note receivables 542.3		106.9 36.6	802.1 333.9

Notes:

- 1. Securitization exposure retained or purchased includes unused portions of securitization programs that are subject to allocation of required capital.
- 2. Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction. Transactions that are difficult to classify are included under Others.
- 3. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.
- 4. The classification of transactions of which the underlying assets are overseas assets is conducted according to the principal underlying assets of each transaction.
- 5. Exposure on resecuritizations as of both March 31, 2014 and 2015 are classified following Article 1, Paragraph 2-2 of the FSA Notice No. 20 (hereinafter the same).

Exposure by risk weight category

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014	As of	Marc	h 31.	2014
----------------------	-------	------	-------	------

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	631.1		236.1		867.3	
Up to 50%	9.7				9.7	
Up to 100%	9.8				9.8	
Up to 250%	1.0				1.0	
Up to 650%						
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%						
Total	651.6		236.1		887.8	

651.6 236.1 887.8

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	782.9		333.9		1,116.8	
Up to 50%	14.9				14.9	
Up to 100%	2.5				2.5	
Up to 250%	1.7				1.7	
Up to 650%						
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%						
Total	802.1		333.9		1,136.0	

Amount of required capital by risk weight category

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Risk weight	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 20%	3.9		1.4		5.3	
Up to 50%	0.3				0.3	
Up to 100%	0.5				0.5	
Up to 250%	0.1				0.1	
Up to 650%						
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%						
Total	4.9		1.4		6.3	

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	• . • . •	Off-balance	on	m	on
	resecuritizations		resecuritizations		resecuritizations
4.5		1.9		6.4	
0.4				0.4	
0.1				0.1	
0.1				0.1	
5.2		1.0		7 1	
	0.1	4.5 0.4 0.1 0.1	4.5 1.9 0.4 0.1 0.1	4.5 1.9 0.4 0.1 0.1	4.5 1.9 6.4 0.4 0.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1

Credit risk mitigation against exposure on resecuritizations

		(Billions of yen)
Risk weight	As of March 31, 2014	As of March 31, 2015
Up to 20%		
Up to 50%		
Up to 100%		
Up to 250%		
Up to 650%		
Over 650%		

Total

Note:

The above table shows the exposure on resecuritizations based on the risk weight after taking into consideration the effect of method to mitigate credit risk.

Securitization exposure as investor (for calculation of credit risk-weighted assets)

(E) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

							(Billi	ons of yen)
	As of March 31, 2014							
		Residential		Lease				
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	payment		Real		
	cards	loans	loans	receivables	Corporate	estate	Others	Total
On-balance sheet	65.3	1,167.9	42.9	48.7	138.4	80.9	73.7	1,618.3
Exposure on resecuritizations		18.8			70.8			89.6
Off-balance sheet	22.4		116.2	6.8	2.8	0.3	3.6	152.3
Exposure on resecuritizations		0.3						0.3
Total	87.8	1,167.9	159.1	55.6	141.3	81.3	77.3	1,770.6
Exposure on resecuritizations		19.1			70.8			89.9
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight								
of 1,250% is applied		2.2	0.8	1.3		16.1	0.6	21.2
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas								
assets	77.0	56.7	107.4	38.0	141.2	23.2	8.1	451.9

(Billions of yen)

				As of Marc	11 31, 2013			
	Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	Lease payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Others	Total
On-balance sheet	41.3	948.0	236.8	9.2	241.3	31.2	255.8	1,763.7
Exposure on resecuritizations		9.7			11.9			21.7
Off-balance sheet	120.2		89.0		32.9	0.1	14.8	257.2
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Total	161.5	948.0	325.9	9.2	274.2	31.3	270.6	2,021.0
Exposure on resecuritizations		9.7			11.9			21.7
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk								
weight of 1,250% is applied		0.0				6.9		6.9
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas								
assets	156.5	0.0	288.2		274.2	0.5	216.4	936.1

Notes:

- 1. Subordinated contributions for managed collateralized loan obligations (CLO), etc., are included in the above table as exposure as investor even when the assets underlying those CLOs, etc., include exposures that were originated by us. Our subordinated contributions for those managed CLOs, etc. (a risk weight of 1,250% was applied for purpose of capital adequacy ratio calculation), were ¥0.8 billion as of March 31, 2014 and none as of March 31, 2015.
- 2. Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.

 Transactions that are difficult to classify are included under Others.
- 3. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.
- 4. The classification of transactions of which the underlying assets are overseas assets is conducted according to the principal underlying assets of each transaction.
- 5. Securitization exposure retained or purchased whose risk transfer (hedge) effects are reflected in the calculation of capital adequacy ratio is categorized as securitization exposure as originator.
- 6. Exposure on resecuritizations as of both March 31, 2014 and 2015 are classified following Article 1, Paragraph 2-2 of the FSA Notice No. 20 (hereinafter the same).

Exposure by risk weight category

(Billions of yen)

As of	March	31, 20	14	

As of March 31 2015

		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
Risk weight	On-balance sheet	on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	on resecuritizations	Total	on resecuritizations
Up to 20%	1,417.0	18.8	141.5	0.3	1,558.6	19.1
Up to 50%	153.0	70.8	6.8		159.8	70.8
Up to 100%	10.2				10.2	
Up to 250%	4.4				4.4	
Up to 650%	13.2		2.9		16.2	
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%	20.1		1.0		21.2	
Total	1,618.3	89.6	152.3	0.3	1,770.6	89.9

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
Risk weight	On-balance sheet	on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	on resecuritizations	Total	on resecuritizations
Up to 20%	1,700.6	9.7	254.0	resecuritizations	1,954.7	9.7
Up to 50%	39.4	11.9			39.4	11.9
Up to 100%	8.8				8.8	
Up to 250%						
Up to 650%	8.0		3.0		11.0	
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%	6.7		0.1		6.9	
Total	1,763.7	21.7	257.2		2,021.0	21.7

Amount of required capital by risk weight category

(Billions of yen)

						(Billions of yell)
			As of Mar	ch 31, 2014		
		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
	On-balance	on	Off-balance	on		on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	9.5	0.3	0.8		10.4	0.3
Up to 50%	4.3	2.0	0.1		4.4	2.0
Up to 100%	0.7				0.7	
Up to 250%	0.9				0.9	
Up to 650%	5.0		0.8		5.8	
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%	20.9		1.0		22.0	
Total	41.5	2.4	2.9		44.5	2.4

(Billions of yen)

Λc	ωf	Ma	rch	31	20	11

		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
	On-balance	on	Off-balance	on		on
Risk weight	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 20%	10.1	0.1	1.7		11.9	0.1
Up to 50%	1.0	0.3			1.0	0.3
Up to 100%	0.7				0.7	
Up to 250%						
Up to 650%	2.2		0.8		3.0	
Less than 1,250%						
1,250%	6.7		0.1		6.9	
Total	20.8	0.4	2.7		23.6	0.4

Credit risk mitigation against exposure on resecuritizations

Risk weight	As of March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015
Up to 20%		
Up to 50%	12.5	
Up to 100%		
Up to 250%		
Up to 650%		
Over 650%		
Total	12.5	

Note:

The above table shows the exposure on resecuritizations based on the risk weight after taking into consideration the effect of method to mitigate credit risk.

Securitization exposure as originator (for calculation of market risk equivalent amounts)

(F) Information by type of underlying assets

			As of, or	r for the fiscal	year ended, M	Iarch 31,	(Billions	of yen)
		Residential		Lease				
	Credit cards	mortgage loans	Auto loans	payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total
Traditional securitizations							Parada	
Amount of underlying assets (a)								
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal								
year								
Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal								
year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment								
Synthetic securitizations								
Amount of underlying assets (b)					10.0			10.0
Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal								
year								
Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal								
year								
Total amount of underlying assets (a)+(b)					10.0			10.0
			A C	f 4b - fl	d. d. M	(b 21 -	(Billions	of yen)
		D. 21. 22.1	As of, or	r for the fiscal	year ended, M	larch 31,		of yen)
		Residential		r for the fiscal Lease	year ended, M		2015	of yen)
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Traditional cognitizations	Credit cards			Lease	year ended, M Corporate		2015	of yen) Total
Traditional securitizations		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a)		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment Synthetic securitizations		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment Synthetic securitizations Amount of underlying assets (b) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment Synthetic securitizations Amount of underlying assets (b) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	
Amount of underlying assets (a) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year Gains and losses recognized on sales during the fiscal year Securitization subject to early amortization treatment Synthetic securitizations Amount of underlying assets (b) Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year		mortgage	Auto	Lease payment		Real	2015 Securitization	

Note:

Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction. **Exposure intended to be securitized**

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014 Corporate

Total

	Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	Lease payment receivables		Real estate	Securitization products	
Exposure intended to be securitized								
	Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	As of Ma Lease payment receivables	rch 31, 2015 Corporate	Real estate	(Billions of Securitization products	of yen) Total
Exposure intended to be securitized								

(G) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

(Billions of yen)

As	of	M	arc	h í	31,	20)14
----	----	---	-----	-----	-----	----	-----

	Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	Lease payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total
On-balance sheet					0.0		·	0.0
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Off-balance sheet								
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Total					0.0			0.0
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of								
100% is applied					0.0			0.0
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets					0.0			0.0

(Billions of yen)

As of March	21	2015

	AS 01 March 31, 2015							
	Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	Lease payment receivables	Corporate	Real estate	Securitization products	Total
On-balance sheet								
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Off-balance sheet								
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Total								
Exposure on resecuritizations								
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of								
100% is applied								

Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets

Notes:

- 1. Classification based on type of underlying asset is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.
- 2. Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets is classified based on the principal underlying asset type for each transaction.

Exposure by risk capital charge category

			As of M	arch 31, 2014		(Billions of yen)
Risk capital charge	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%	0.0				0.0	
Total	0.0				0.0	
			As of Ma	arch 31, 2015		(Billions of yen)
		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
	On-balance	on	Off-balance	on		on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%						

Total

Amount of required capital by risk capital charge category

	As of March 31, 2014								
Risk capital charge	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations			
Up to 1.6%									
Up to 4%									
Up to 8%									
Up to 20%									
Up to 52%									
Less than 100%									
100%	0.0				0.0				
Total	0.0				0.0				

As of March 31, 2015

(Billions of yen)

1-balance	on	Off-balance	on		Exposure on
sheet r	esecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations

Total

Subject to Comprehensive Risk Measure

(Billions of yen)
As of March 31, 2014
Securitizations Resecuritizations

Total amount of securitization exposure

Total amount of required capital Subject to Comprehensive Risk Measure

(Billions of yen)
As of March 31, 2015
Securitizations Resecuritizations

Total amount of securitization exposure

Total amount of required capital

Capital increase due to securitization transactions

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Residential _{Lease} Credit mortgage Auto payment Real Securitization cards loans loans receivables Corporate estate products Total

Capital increase due to securitization transactions

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

Residential

Credit mortgage Auto payment cards loans loans receivables Corporate

Real Securitization ate estate products Total

Capital increase due to securitization transactions

Securitization exposure as sponsor of securitization programs (ABCP/ABL) (for calculation of market risk equivalent amounts)

(H) Information by type of underlying assets

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2014

Account

Real

Residential Lease and Credit mortgage Auto payment note

cards loans loans receivables receivables estate

Others T

Total

Amount of underlying assets

Estimated loss amount related to underlying assets during

the fiscal year

Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year

(Billions of yen)

As of, or for the fiscal year ended, March 31, 2015

			Account			
Resi	dential	Lease	and			
Credit mo	rtgage Auto	payment	note	Real		
cards lo	oans loans	receivables	receivables	estate	Others	Total

Amount of underlying assets

Estimated loss amount related to underlying assets during the fiscal year

Amount of exposures securitized during the fiscal year

(I) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

Exposure on resecuritizations

Exposure on resecuritizations

Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 100% is

Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets

Total

			As of Marc	ch 31, 2014		(Billions	of yen)
Credit cards	Residential mortgage loans	Auto loans	Lease payment receivables	Account and note receivables	Real estate	Others	Total
Credit	Residential	Auto	As of March 31, 2015 Lease Account		Raal	(Billions	of yen)
cards	loans	loans			estate	Others	Total
	cards	Credit mortgage cards loans Residential Credit mortgage	Credit mortgage cards loans loans Residential Credit mortgage Auto	Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment receivables As of Marc Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment receivables	Credit mortgage cards loans loans receivables receivables As of March 31, 2015 Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment and note receivables As of March 31, 2015 Lease Account payment and note	Residential Credit mortgage cards loans loans receivables receivables receivables estate As of March 31, 2015 Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment and note and note receivables receivables receivables estate As of March 31, 2015 Lease Account Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment and note Real	Residential Credit mortgage cards loans loans loans receivables receivables receivables receivables (Billions Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment and note estate Others As of March 31, 2015 As of March 31, 2015 Residential Credit mortgage Auto payment and note Real

50

Exposure by risk capital charge category

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Risk capital charge	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%						

Total

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

Risk capital charge	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%						

Total

Amount of required capital by risk capital charge category

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2014

Risk capital charge	On-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Off-balance sheet	Exposure on resecuritizations	Total	Exposure on resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%						

Total

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance	Exposure on	Off-balance	Exposure on		Exposure on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations		resecuritizations	Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%						
Up to 4%						
Up to 8%						
Up to 20%						
Up to 52%						
Less than 100%						
100%						

Total

Securitization exposure as investor (for calculation of market risk equivalent amounts)

(J) Information of securitization exposure retained or purchased

Exposure by type of underlying asset

				As of March 31, 2014			(Billions of yen)		
		Residential		Lease					
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	payment		Real			
	Cards	loans	loans	receivables	-	estate	Others	Total	
On-balance sheet	17.6	4.8	5.5		0.2	3.9	1.8	33.9	
Exposure on resecuritizations							0.1	0.1	
Off-balance sheet									
Exposure on resecuritizations									
Total	17.6	4.8	5.5		0.2	3.9	1.8	33.9	
Exposure on resecuritizations							0.1	0.1	
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 100%									
is applied		3.1			0.2	0.4	0.1	4.0	
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets	17.6	4.6	5.5		0.0	2.1	0.8	30.7	
							(Billions	of yen)	
				As of March	31, 2015				
		Residential		Lease					
	Credit	mortgage	Auto	payment		Real			
	Cards	loans	loans	receivables	Corporate	estate	Others	Total	
On-balance sheet	0.2	2.5	12.2		1.0	3.8	9.3	29.2	
Exposure on resecuritizations							2.7	2.7	
Off-balance sheet									
Exposure on resecuritizations									
Total	0.2	2.5	12.2		1.0	3.8	9.3	29.2	
Exposure on resecuritizations							2.7	2.7	
Exposure on securitizations to which a risk weight of 100%									
is applied		2.3			0.0	0.2	0.1	2.6	
Exposure whose underlying assets are overseas assets	0.2	2.2	12.2		1.0	2.0	9.2	27.1	

Notes:

- 1. Classification based on type of underlying assets is conducted according to the principal underlying asset type for each transaction. Transactions that are difficult to classify are included under Others.
- 2. Credit cards include shopping credit receivables, card loans, etc.
- 3. The classification of transactions of which the underlying assets are overseas assets is conducted according to the principal underlying assets of each transaction.
- 4. Exposure on resecuritizations are classified following Article 1, Paragraph 2-2 of the FSA Notice No. 20 (hereinafter the same).

Exposure by risk capital charge category

	(Billions of yen))
634 1 34 3044		

As of March 31, 2014

	On-balance	Exposure on Off-balar	Exposure nce on	Exposure on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations sheet	resecuritizations Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%	24.6		24.6	
Up to 4%	1.2		1.2	
Up to 8%	3.8		3.8	
Up to 20%				
Up to 52%	0.1		0.1	
Less than 100%				
100%	4.0	0.1	4.0	0.1
Total	33.9	0.1	33.9	0.1

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance	Exposure e on Off-balance		Exposure on	Exposure on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%	19.6			19.6	
Up to 4%	1.7	0.9		1.7	0.9
Up to 8%	3.7	0.5		3.7	0.5
Up to 20%					
Up to 52%	1.4	1.0		1.4	1.0
Less than 100%					
100%	2.6	0.1		2.6	0.1
Total	29.2	2.7		29.2	2.7

Amount of required capital by risk capital charge category

(Billions	of	yen)	
-----------	----	------	--

As of	March	31, 2014
-------	-------	----------

	On-balance	-	f-balance	Exposure on	Exposure on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations	sheet	resecuritizations Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%	0.3			0.3	
Up to 4%	0.0			0.0	
Up to 8%	0.3			0.3	
Up to 20%					
Up to 52%	0.0			0.0	
Less than 100%					
100%	4.0	0.1		4.0	0.1
Total	4.8	0.1		4.8	0.1

(Billions of yen)

As of March 31, 2015

	On-balance			Exposure on
Risk capital charge	sheet	resecuritizations sheet	resecuritizations Total	resecuritizations
Up to 1.6%	0.3		0.3	
Up to 4%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Up to 8%	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
Up to 20%				
Up to 52%	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5
Less than 100%				
100%	2.6	0.1	2.6	0.1
Total	4.0	0.7	4.0	0.7

Subject to Comprehensive Risk Measure

(Billions of yen)
As of March 31, 2014
Securitization Resecuritiation

Total amount of securitization exposure

Total amount of required capital

(Billions of yen)
As of March 31, 2015
Securitization Resecuritiation

92

Total amount of securitization exposure

Total amount of required capital

n Market risk

See pages 64 to 73 for information regarding market risk.

n Operational risk

See pages 73 to 76 for information regarding operational risk.

n Equity exposure in banking book

(14) Risk management related to equity exposure in banking book

With regard to equities in our banking book, we manage default risk through our credit risk management structure and price fluctuation risk through our market risk management structure.

With regard to subsidiaries and related companies in which we invest, we manage their risks on a consolidated basis, and manage them appropriately in accordance with their management classification.

In addition, securities, a part of equity exposure, are valued as follows: Japanese stocks with quoted market prices are valued based on the average quoted market price over the month preceding the consolidated balance sheet date; other securities which have readily determinable fair values are valued at the quoted market price if available, or otherwise based on their reasonable value at the consolidated balance sheet date (cost of securities sold is calculated primarily by the moving average method); and other securities the fair values of which are extremely difficult to determine are stated at acquisition cost or amortized cost and determined by the moving average method.

(15) Status of equity exposure in banking book

(A) Amounts stated in consolidated balance sheet

	As of Marc	h 31, 2014	(Bil As of Marc	llions of yen) th 31, 2015
	Consolidated balance sheet	Fair value	Consolidated balance sheet	Fair value
Exposure of listed stock, etc.	amount 3,479.2	3,616.0	amount 4,579.9	4,711.1
Other equity exposure	362.7	n.a.	306.1	n.a.
Total	3,841.9	n.a.	4,886.1	n.a.

Note: The above figures include only Japanese and foreign stocks.

(B) Gains and losses on sales related to equity exposure

					(Billions of yen)
	For the Fise	For the Fiscal year ended March 31, 2014			Fiscal year ended Mai	rch 31, 2015
	Gains and losses		(Gains and losses	5	
	on			on		
	sales	Gains on sales	Losses on sales	sales	Gains on sales	Losses on sales
Sale of equity exposure	81.5	87.4	5.9	143.7	152.0	8.3

Note: The above figures represent gains and losses on sales of stocks in our consolidated statement of income.

(C) Gains and losses from write-offs related to equity exposure

		(Billions of yen)
	For the Fiscal year ended March 31, 2014	For the Fiscal year ended March 31, 2015
	Gains	
	and	
	losses	
	from	
	write-offs	Gains and losses from write-offs
Write-offs of equity exposure	(5.3)	(5.3)

Note: The above figures represent gains and losses on devaluation of stocks in our consolidated statement of income.

(D) Unrealized gains and losses recognized in the consolidated balance sheet and not recognized in the consolidated statement of income

	As o	As of March 31, 2014			As of March 31, 2015		
	Net unrealized	Unrealized		Net unrealized	Unrealized	Unrealized	
	gains	gains	losses	gains	gains	losses	
Equity exposure	1,241.4	1,332.3	90.8	2,331.7	2,360.4	28.6	

(Billions of yen)

Note: The above figures include only Japanese and foreign stocks.

(E) Unrealized gains and losses not recognized in the consolidated balance sheet or in the consolidated statement of income

		As of March 31, 2	014	(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015			
		Unrealized	Unrealized		Unrealized	Unrealized	
	Net	gains	losses	Net	gains	losses	
Equity exposure	136.8	145.2	8.3	131.1	139.1	8.0	

Note: The above figures include only Japanese and foreign stocks.

(F) Equities exposure by portfolio classification

	As of March 31, 2014	(Billions of yen) As of March 31, 2015
PD/LGD approach	1,199.5	4,831.4
Market-based approach (simple risk weight method)	490.7	796.7
Market-based approach (internal models approach)		
Transitional measure applied	2,609.6	n.a.
Total	4,299.9	5,628.1

n Composition of Leverage Ratio

(Millions of yen)

	sponding e # on				•
		Corresponding			
disc tem	sel III closure aplate	line # on Basel III disclosure template			
	ble 2)	(Table 1)	Item	(1)	As of March 31, 2015
	ance sheet	exposures		(1)	161 621 157
1	1	1	On-balance sheet exposures before deducting adjustment items		161,631,157
1	1a 1b	1 2	Total assets reported in the consolidated balance sheet		189,684,749
1	10	2	The amount of assets of subsidiaries that are not included in the scope of the leverage ratio on a consolidated basis (-)		
1	1c	7	The amount of assets of subsidiaries that are included in the scope of the leverage ratio on a consolidated basis (except those included in the total assets reported in the consolidated balance sheet)		
1	1d	3	The amount of assets that are deducted from the total assets reported in		
			the consolidated balance sheet (except adjustment items) (-)		28,053,591
	2	7	The amount of adjustment items pertaining to Tier1 capital (-)		645,302
	3		Total on-balance sheet exposures	(a)	160,985,855
-		d to derivative trans		(2)	2012 702
	4		Replacement cost associated with derivatives transactions, etc.		2,942,592
	5		Add-on amount associated with derivatives transactions, etc.		5,912,591
			The amount of receivables arising from providing cash margin in relation		722 102
	4		to derivatives transactions, etc.		723,193
	6		The amount of receivables arising from providing cash margin, provided where deducted from the consolidated balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting framework		144,073
,	7		The amount of deductions of receivables (out of those arising from providing cash variation margin) (-)		144,073
	8		The amount of client-cleared trade exposures for which a bank holding company acting as a clearing member is not obliged to make any indemnification (-)		1
9	9		Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives		2,446,530
	10		The amount of deductions from effective notional amount of written		2 162 010
	11	4	credit derivatives (-)	(L)	2,163,910
	11 ires related	4 d to repo transaction	Total exposures related to derivative transactions	(b) (3)	10,005,070
_	12	i to repo transactio	The amount of assets related to repo transactions, etc	(3)	12,641,579
	13		The amount of deductions from the assets above (line 12) (-)		5,486,696
	14		The exposures for counterparty credit risk for repo transactions, etc		328,632
	15		The exposures for agent repo transactions		1
	16	5	Total exposures related to repo transactions, etc.	(c)	7,483,516
		d to off-balance she		(4)	7,100,010
-	17		Notional amount of off-balance sheet transactions	(-)	46,576,954
	18		The amount of adjustments for conversion in relation to off-balance sheet		
	19	6	transactions (-)	(4)	29,687,744
		6 a consolidated bas	Total exposures related to off-balance sheet transactions	(d)	16,889,209
	ge rano on 20	i a consolidated bas	The amount of capital (Tier1 capital)	(e)	7,500,349
	21	8	Total exposures ((a)+(b)+(c)+(d))	(f)	195,363,652
	22	O	Leverage ratio on a consolidated basis $((e)/(f))$	(1)	3.83%
•			Leverage ratio on a consolidated basis ((c)/(1))		3.63 /6

56

 $n \ \textbf{Indicators for assessing Global Systemically Important Banks} \ \textbf{(G-SIBs)}$

		(1	Billions of yen)
Item		As of	As of
No.	Description	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2015
1	Total exposures	192,609.0	215,213.7
	(= a + b + c + d):		
	a. Counterparty exposure of derivatives contracts		
	b. Gross value of securities financing transactions (SFTs) and counterparty exposure of SFTs		
	c. Other assets (other than assets specifically identified above and regulatory adjustments to Tier 1 and		
	CET1 capital under the fully phased-in Basel III framework)		
	d. Notional amount of off-balance sheet items (other than derivatives contracts and SFTs)		
2	Intra-financial system assets	8,643.1	10,080.9
	(= a + b + c + d):		
	a. Funds deposited with or lent to other financial institutions and undrawn committed lines extended to		
	other financial institutions		
	h Halding of a conject a constant and have also fine a circle at the stanting (Nats 1)		
	b. Holdings of securities issued by other financial institutions (Note 1)		
	c. Net positive current exposure of SFTs with other financial institutions		
	d. Over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives with other financial institutions that have a net positive fair		
2	value	12 506 1	21 244 4
3	Intra-financial system liabilities($=a + b + c$):	12,596.1	21,344.4
	a. Deposits due to, and undrawn committed lines obtained from, other financial institutions		
	LATA COST OF THE COST		
	b. Net negative current exposure of SFTs with other financial institutions		
	c. OTC derivatives with other financial institutions that have a net negative fair value		
4	Securities outstanding(Note 1)	24,210.5	28,259.0
5	Assets under custody	144,710.9	138,937.1
6	Notional amount of OTC derivatives	1,058,347.6	1,115,373.0
7	Held-for-trading(HFT) securities and available-for-sale(AFS) securities, excluding HFT and AFS	9,578.8	10,234.5
8	securities that meet the definition of Level 1 assets and Level 2 assets with haircuts (Note 2) Level 3 assets (Note 3)	1,482.2	1,416.7
9	Cross-jurisdictional claims	35,165.0	44,114.4
10	Cross-jurisdictional liabilities	22,889.7	31,864,2
		For the fiscal year ended	For the fiscal year ended
Item		March 31,	March 31,
No.	Description	2014	2015
11	Payments (settled through the BOJ-NET, the Japanese Banks Payment Clearing Network and other	6,029,806.1	6,782,567.1
	similar settlement systems, excluding intragroup payments)		

12 Underwritten transactions in debt and equity markets (Note 4)

7,131.7

9,226.0

Notes:

- 1. Securities refer to secured debt securities, senior unsecured debt securities, subordinated debt securities, commercial paper, certificate of deposits, and common equities.
- 2. Level 1 and Level 2 assets with haircuts are defined in the Basel III Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR).
- 3. The amount is calculated in accordance with the U.S.GAAP.
- 4. This refers to underwriting of securities defined in article 2 paragraph 8 item 6 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act.

57

- n Compensation of directors, corporate auditors and employees
- (16) Qualitative disclosure
- (A) State of the organizational system relating to compensation of Subject directors, corporate auditors and employees of Mizuho group
- 1. Scope of Subject directors, corporate auditors and employees

Subject directors, etc., and Subject employees, etc., subject to disclosure as provided for in the FSA Notice (collectively, Subject directors, corporate auditors and employees) are as follows:

(1) Scope of Subject directors, etc.

Subject directors, etc., are directors, executive officers as defined in the Companies Act and corporate auditors of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc. (MHFG). Outside directors and outside corporate auditors are excluded.

(2) Scope of Subject employees, etc.

Subject employees, etc., who are subject to disclosure are executive officers as defined in our internal regulations and employees of MHFG and directors, corporate auditors and employees of its major consolidated subsidiaries, who are persons who receive large amounts of compensation and materially affect the operation of business or the state of assets of Mizuho group or its major consolidated subsidiaries.

- (a) Scope of Major consolidated subsidiaries
- A Major consolidated subsidiary is a consolidated subsidiary, etc., (i) whose total assets as a percentage of consolidated total assets exceeds 2% of a bank holding company or bank and (ii) who materially affects the management of our group. Specifically, those are Mizuho Bank, Ltd. (MHBK), Mizuho Bank (USA) and other subsidiaries who conduct banking business similar to a branch of MHBK, Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd. (MHTB), Mizuho Securities Co., Ltd. (MHSC), Mizuho Securities USA Inc. and Mizuho International Plc.
- (b) Scope of Persons who receive large amounts of compensation
- A Person who receives large amounts of compensation refers to a person who receives compensation that exceeds the base amount from MHFG or its major consolidated subsidiaries. The base amount at MHFG has been set at ¥50 million. Such base amount has been set based on the average amounts of the compensation of directors, executive officers as defined in the Companies Act and corporate auditors of MHFG, MHBK and MHTB for the last three fiscal years (excluding persons who resigned or retired during each of such fiscal years), taking into account fluctuations in amounts of compensation over past fiscal years. The preceding base amount has been adopted as the common base in order to unify the selection criteria of persons who receive large amounts of compensation at each of the companies in this paragraph.

- (c) Scope of Persons who materially affect the operation of business or the state of assets of Mizuho group
- A Person who materially affects the operation of business or the state of assets of Mizuho group means a person who exerts significant influence on the operation of the business of MHFG or a major consolidated subsidiary through his or her work in conducting transactions or management, or who materially affects the state of assets by incurring losses on transactions. Specifically, it includes executive officers of MHFG and directors, corporate auditors, executive officers, and market department employees of major consolidated subsidiaries.
- 2. Decisions on compensation of Subject directors, corporate auditors, and employees
- (1) Decisions on compensation of subject directors, etc.

MHFG has transformed into a Company with Three Committees from a Company with Board of Corporate Auditors in June 2014, and has established the Compensation Committee as a statutory committee.

Prior to the transformation in June 2014, the Compensation Committee, which advised the board of directors of MHFG, was composed of three outside directors and one in-house director (the President & CEO of MHFG). The committee discussed, among other matters, basic policies to determine the compensation of directors and executive officers, the compensation system, and the amounts of compensation of MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC, and the president & CEO of MHFG reported to the board of directors of MHFG and notified each of the above companies of the results of the committee s discussions. Based on the results of discussions by the Compensation Committee of MHFG, each of the above companies, including MHFG, decided its own policies in determining compensation for directors and executive officers as defined in our internal regulations, compensation systems, and amounts of compensation.

After the transformation into a Company with Three Committees, the chairman of the statutory Compensation Committee shall be an outside director, and in principle its members shall be appointed from among the outside directors (or at least non-executive directors) in order to ensure objectivity and transparency in director and executive officer compensation. In 2014, all four members of the Compensation Committee, including the chairman, were outside directors. The Compensation Committee shall determine the basic policy and compensation system for directors and executive officers of MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC, determine the compensation for each individual director and executive officer of MHFG, and exercise approval rights in MHFG for compensation for each individual director of MHBK, MHTB, and MHSC.

In addition, the president of each of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC determines the amount of compensation for each executive officer of its own.

The compensation of corporate auditors is decided through discussion among corporate auditors within the scope of the total amount of corporate auditors compensation decided at our ordinary general meeting of shareholders.

(2) Decisions on compensation of Subject employees, etc.,

Matters relating to executive officers as defined in the internal regulations of MHFG and directors, corporate auditors and executive officers of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC are as set out in (1) Decisions on compensation of subject directors and corporate auditors.

58

The compensation of subject employees, etc., is decided and paid in accordance with the salary and bonus system established by MHFG and the major consolidated subsidiaries. Such system is designed and put into writing by the human resources departments of MHFG and the major consolidated subsidiaries which are independent of departments furthering business. In terms of the compensation of overseas employees, each overseas office or operation determines its own compensation policy based on local laws and regulations as well as employment relationships.

3. Decisions on compensation of employees in risk management departments, compliance departments and internal audit departments

The compensation of employees in risk management departments, compliance departments and internal audit departments is decided in accordance with the salary and bonus system, and specific payment amounts are conclusively determined in accordance with employee performance evaluations made by the relevant department and the human resources department, independent from departments furthering business. Each employee of the risk management departments, the compliance departments and the internal audit departments sets their own items for evaluation in the employee performance evaluations, subject to the approval of their superiors. The degree to which the items are fulfilled is evaluated taking into account the degree of the employee s contribution to risk-management, compliance and internal audit.

4. Total amount of compensation paid to members of the compensation committee and number of meetings held by the Compensation Committee

Company with Three Company with Three

Committees Committees 1 6

Note: The total amount of compensation is not set out above as it is not possible to separately calculate the amounts that are paid as consideration for the execution of duties by the compensation committee.

(B) Evaluating the appropriateness of the design and operation of the system relating to compensation of subject directors, corporate auditors, and employees of Mizuho group

- 1. Policies relating to compensation
- (1) Policies relating to compensation of subject directors, executive officers and corporate auditors

 The Mizuho Financial Group Compensation Policy sets out the policy concerning the determination of compensation for each individual director, executive officer as defined in the Companies Act and executive officer as defined in the internal regulations (directors, etc.) of MHFG MHBK, MHTB and MHSC.
 - a. Purpose of the Policy

Executive compensation for MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC pursuant to such policy aims to function as incentive and compensation for each officer to exercise the designated function to the fullest with respect to striving to realize management with consideration to value creation for various stakeholders and improve corporate value through continuous and stable corporate growth based on our basic management policies under our Corporate Identity.

b. Basic Policy

The basic policy with respect to the determination concerning the individual compensation of directors, etc., of MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC is set forth below:

(i) The executive compensation shall be based on the function and responsibility assigned to and the performance of each of the Directors, etc. (ii) The executive compensation shall give consideration to improving corporate value and creating value for various stakeholders over the medium to long term. (iii) The executive compensation shall reflect the management environment and business performance of our group. (iv) The executive compensation shall enable compensation for securing expert personnel such as professionals with a competitive edge in the market. (v) The compensation system and standards shall be reevaluated based on such factors as the economic and social conditions and survey data with respect to management compensation provided by external specialized organizations. (vi) Regulations and guidelines, etc., concerning executive compensation, both in Japan and overseas, shall be complied with. Compensation System I. The compensation system for executive officers (including executive officers who are directors), executive officers as defined in our internal regulations, and directors and executive officers of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC responsible for business execution (Officers Responsible for Business Execution) shall be separate from that for the non-executive directors of MHFG and the directors of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC responsible for management supervision (Non-Executive Officers Responsible for Management Supervision). II. The basic compensation system for Officers Responsible for Business Execution shall consist of basic salaries in the form of fixed compensation as well as variable compensation consisting of performance payments and performance-based stock compensation. (i) The basic salaries shall factor in each officer s function and responsibility in addition to the standard amount for each position. (ii) The performance payments shall be monetary made as officers incentive to achieve the annual budget and as compensation for their achievement. The payment thereof shall reflect each officer s performance in addition to the standard amount for each position. (iii) The variable compensation intended for professional personnel, etc., appointed from outside the group shall be individually designed based on the duties and characteristics of business responsibilities and market values, etc., of each officer, a system which enables certain amount or a portion of deferred payments and non-monetary payments such as stock, as well as a decrease or forfeiture (clawback) of the deferred amount depending on the performance, etc., of the company and such

personnel.

Table of Contents

103

Table of Contents

- III. The compensation for Non-Executive Officers Responsible for Management Supervision, in principle, shall be in the form of fixed compensation from the perspective of ensuring the effectiveness of the supervisory function and shall consist of basic salaries and stock compensations.
- (i) The basic salaries shall factor in each officer s function and responsibilities in addition to the standard amount for each of the full-time and part-time non-executive officers.
- (ii) The stock compensation shall be paid to full-time internal directors excluding outside directors in accordance with the standard amount based on each position. However, the payment standards shall not fluctuate depending on each officer s level of performance.

d. Compensation Determination Process

- I. The Compensation Committee shall determine the determination policy of executive compensation for MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC. In addition, the Compensation Committee shall determine the compensation for each individual director and executive officer of MHFG and approve at MHFG the compensation of each individual director of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC.
- II. The President & Executive Officer, pursuant to this policy and regulations and detailed rules, etc., shall determine the compensation for each executive officer, as defined in our internal regulations, of MHFG and approve at MHFG the compensation of each individual executive officer of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC.
- III. All members of the Compensation Committee shall be appointed from among outside directors (or at least non-executive directors) and the chairman thereof shall be an outside director.
- IV. The Compensation Committee, where necessary, may have officers who are not members of the committee (including officers of MHBK, MHTB and MHSC) such as the President & Executive Officer and external experts, etc., attend its meetings and request their opinion.

The compensation of corporate auditors is discussed and decided among the corporate auditors themselves within the scope of the total amount of corporate auditors compensation decided at our ordinary general meeting of shareholders.

(2) Policies relating to compensation of subject employees, etc.

The policies relating to compensation for executive officers as defined in our internal regulations of MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC are also the same as the policies described in (1) *Policies relating to compensation of subject directors and corporate auditors* above.

Compensation for other employees, etc., is determined in accordance with their duties and responsibilities. Some bonuses that are linked to performance are determined after comprehensively evaluating the employee s contribution to business, including any qualitative contributions to the organization, in a manner that does not place an excessive emphasis on results.

2. The effect of the overall level of compensation, etc., on equity capital

The Compensation Committee of MHFG receives reports on the amount of compensation paid to directors and executive officers of MHFG, MHBK, MHTB and MHSC in the previous fiscal year and confirms that there is no material effect on the Mizuho group s performance or the adequacy of equity capital.

(C) Compatibility between system for compensation of subject directors, corporate auditors, and employees of Mizuho group and risk management and matters relating to linking compensation with performance

The variable compensation intended for professional personnel, etc., appointed from outside the group shall be individually designed based on the duties and characteristics of business responsibilities and market values, etc., of each officer, a system which enables certain amount or a portion of deferred payments and non-monetary payments such as stock, as well as a decrease or forfeiture (clawback) of the deferred amount depending on the performance, etc., of the company and such personnel.

There is no system of performance-linked compensation for corporate auditors.

60

(17) Quantitative disclosure items

(A) Matters relating to types and total amounts of, and payment method for, compensation of subject directors, corporate auditors, and employees of Mizuho group

Total amount of the compensation, etc., of subject directors, corporate auditors and employees

(Millions of yen)

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015

	Number of persons	Total amount of compensation	Total amount of fixed compensation	Base compensation	Stock compensation- type stock options	Others
Subject directors and corporate auditors (excluding outside directors and outside corporate	•	·	·	·	·	
auditors)	15	873	854	591	262	1
Subject employees, etc.	234	19,941	9,175	7,910	565	698
Overseas employees, etc.	192	17,106	7,120	6,348	74	697

(Millions of ven)

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015

	1 Otal					
	amount					
	of					
	variable	Base			Retirement	
	compensation	compensation	Bonus	Others	benefits	Others
Subject directors and corporate auditors (excluding outside						
directors and outside corporate auditors)					18	
Subject employees, etc.	10,291		10,287	3		471
Overseas employees, etc.	9,579		9,575	3		406

Total

Notes:

- 1. Amounts of compensation of subject directors and corporate auditors include amounts of compensation received for duties performed as a director or corporate auditor of a major consolidated subsidiary.
- 2. Total amount of fixed compensation includes ¥827 million of deferred compensation that arose during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 (subject directors and corporate auditors: ¥262 million; subject employees, etc.: ¥565 million). The amount of fixed compensation deferred prior to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 and paid during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 was ¥406 million (subject directors and corporate auditors: ¥227 million; subject employees, etc.: ¥178 million) and the outstanding amount of deferred fixed compensation as of March 31, 2015 was ¥2,050 million (subject directors and corporate auditors: ¥598 million; subject employees, etc.: ¥1,451 million).
- 3. Total amount of variable compensation includes \(\frac{4}{2}\),591 million of deferred compensation that arose during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, all of which was for Subject Employees, etc., and could in the future be taken back in the event of poor business results or other detrimental factors. The amount of variable compensation deferred prior to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 and paid during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 was \(\frac{4}{9}\)98 million (subject employees, etc.: \(\frac{4}{9}\)98 million) and the outstanding amount of deferred variable compensation as of March 31, 2015 was \(\frac{4}{3}\),887 million (subject employees, etc.: \(\frac{4}{3}\)3,887 million).
- 4. Total amount of compensation for subject employees, etc., includes ¥170 million of allowance for termination and resignation (number of subject persons: 3), multi-year guarantees of bonus of ¥284 million (number of subject persons: 4), retirement allowance of

¥10 million (number of subject persons: 1) and signing bonus of ¥244 million (number of subject persons: 16).

5. The exercise periods of the stock compensation-type stock options (stock acquisition rights) are as set out below. Under the stock option agreements, exercise of the options is postponed, even during the exercise period, until the time of retirement of the director or employee.

First Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Second Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Third Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Fourth Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Fifth Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Sixth Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Seventh Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.
Seventh Series of Stock Acquisition Rights of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc.

Exercise Period

from February 17, 2009 to February 16, 2029

from September 28, 2009 to September 25, 2029

from August 27, 2010 to August 26, 2030

from December 9, 2011 to December 8, 2031

from September 3, 2012 to August 31, 2032

from February 18, 2014 to February 17, 2034

from December 2, 2014 to December 1, 2034

61

(B) Other relevant matters relating to our system of compensation for subject directors, corporate auditors and employees of Mizuho group

Not applicable, other than those covered in the above.

Credit Risk Management

We define credit risk as the Mizuho group s exposure to the risk of losses that may be incurred due to a decline in, or total loss of, the value of assets (including off-balance-sheet instruments), as a result of deterioration in obligors—financial position. We have established the methods and structures necessary for grasping and managing credit risk, which has become increasingly complex due to financial deregulation, internationalization and the growing sophistication of transactions. Mizuho Financial Group manages credit risk for the Mizuho group as a whole. More specifically, we have adopted two different but mutually complementary approaches in credit risk management. The first approach is credit management, in which we manage the process for each individual transaction and individual obligor from execution until collection, based on our assessment of the credit quality of the customer. Through this process, we curb losses in the case of a credit event. The second is credit portfolio management, in which we utilize statistical methods to assess the potential for losses related to credit risk. Through this process, we identify credit risks and respond appropriately.

Credit Risk Management Structure

Credit Risk Management of the Mizuho Group

Our board of directors determines the Mizuho group s key matters pertaining to credit risk management. In addition, the portfolio management committee of Mizuho Financial Group discusses and coordinates the basic policies in connection with credit risk management and matters in connection with overall credit portfolio management and credit risk monitoring for the Mizuho group. Under the control of the Chief Risk Officer of Mizuho Financial Group, the Risk Management Division and the Credit Risk Management Division jointly monitor, analyze and submit suggestions concerning credit risk and formulate and execute plans in connection with basic matters pertaining to credit risk management.

Credit Risk Management at Our Principal Banking Subsidiaries and Other Core Group Companies

Our principal banking subsidiaries and other core group companies manage their credit risk according to the scale and nature of their exposures in line with basic policies set forth by Mizuho Financial Group. The board of directors of each company determines key matters pertaining to credit risk management. Their respective business policy committees are responsible for discussing and coordinating overall management of their individual credit portfolios and transaction policies towards obligors. The Chief Risk Officer of each principal banking subsidiary and core group company is responsible for matters relating to planning and implementing credit risk management. The credit risk management division of each principal banking subsidiary is responsible for planning and administering credit risk management and conducting credit risk measuring and monitoring, and such division regularly presents reports regarding its risk management situation to Mizuho Financial Group. Each credit division determines policies and approves/disapproves individual transactions in terms of credit review, credit management and collection from customers in accordance with the lines of authority set forth by each principal banking subsidiary. In addition each of our principal banking subsidiaries has established internal audit divisions that are independent of the business divisions in order to ensure appropriate credit risk management.

Individual Credit Management

Credit codes

The basic code of conduct for all of our officers and employees engaged in the credit business is set forth in our credit code. Seeking to fulfill the bank s public and social role, our basic policy for credit business is determined in light of fundamental principles focusing on public welfare, safety, growth and profitability.

Internal rating system

One of the most important elements of the risk management infrastructure of our principal banking subsidiaries is the use of an internal rating system that consists of credit ratings and pool allocations. Credit ratings consist of obligor ratings which represent the level of credit risk of the obligor, and transaction ratings which represent the possibility of ultimately incurring losses related to each individual claim by taking into consideration the nature of any collateral or guarantee and the seniority of the claim. In principle, obligor ratings apply to all obligors and are

subject to regular reviews at least once a year to reflect promptly the fiscal period end financial results of the obligors, as well as special reviews as required whenever a obligor s credit standing changes. This enables our principal banking subsidiaries to monitor both individual obligors and the status of the overall portfolio in a timely fashion. Because we consider obligor ratings to be an initial phase of the self-assessment process regarding the quality of our loans and off-balance-sheet instruments, such obligor ratings are closely linked to the obligor classifications and are an integral part of the process for determining the provision for loan losses and charge-offs in our self-assessment of loans and off-balance-sheet instruments.

62

Pool allocations are applied to small claims that are less than a specified amount by pooling customers and claims with similar risk characteristics and assessing and managing the risk for each such pool. We efficiently manage credit risk and credit screening by dispersing a sufficient number of small claims within each pool. We generally review the appropriateness and effectiveness of our approach to obligor ratings and pool allocations once a year in accordance with predetermined procedures.

Self-assessment, provision for loan losses and off-balance-sheet instruments and charge-offs

We conduct self-assessment of assets to ascertain the status of assets both as an integral part of credit risk management and in preparation for appropriate accounting treatment, including provision for loan losses and off-balance-sheet instruments and charge-offs. During the process of self-assessment, obligors are categorized into certain groups taking into consideration their financial condition and their ability to make payments, and credit ratings are assigned to all obligors, in principle, to reflect the extent of their credit risks. The related assets are then categorized into certain classes based on the risk of impairment. This process allows us to identify and control the actual quality of assets and determine the appropriate accounting treatment, including provision for loan losses and off-balance-sheet instruments and charge-offs. Specifically, the credit risk management division of each of our principal subsidiaries is responsible for the overall control of the self-assessment of assets of the respective banking subsidiaries, cooperating with the administrative divisions specified for each type of asset, including loan portfolios and securities, in executing and managing self-assessments.

Credit review

Prevention of new impaired loans through routine credit management is important in maintaining the quality of our overall loan assets. Credit review involves analysis and screening of each potential transaction within the relevant business division. In case the screening exceeds the authority of the division, the credit division at headquarters carries out the review. The credit division has specialist departments for different industries, business sizes and regions, carries out timely and specialized examinations based on the characteristics of the customer and its market, and provides appropriate advice to the business division. In addition, in the case of obligors with low credit ratings and high downside risks, the business division and credit division jointly clarify their credit policy and in appropriate cases assist obligors at an early stage in working towards credit soundness.

Collection and disposal of impaired loans

With respect to collection and disposal of impaired loans, our specialist unit maintains central control and pursues corporate restructuring or collection efforts, as appropriate, toward taking the impaired loans off-balance. Specifically, we believe that supporting the restructuring efforts of corporations is an important role for financial institutions, and we support corporations undergoing restructuring by reviewing business plans, advising on restructuring methods and utilizing corporate restructuring schemes such as divestitures and mergers and acquisitions, taking advantage of our group-wide resources. These efforts have been steadily producing satisfactory results. In addition, we work on final disposal of impaired loans efficiently and swiftly by conducting bulk sales and by utilizing Mizuho Servicing Co., Ltd., our subsidiary that specializes in performing debt collection services for our group companies. In the case of debt forgiveness, we examine the borrower's condition carefully and make a determination based on the possible impact on the interests of shareholders and depositors. We provide debt forgiveness as per industry guidelines that are shared among members of the Japanese Bankers Association.

Portfolio Management

Risk Measurement

We use statistical methods to manage the possibility of losses by measuring the expected average loss for a one-year risk horizon (Expected Loss) and the maximum loss within a certain confidence interval (credit VaR). The difference between expected loss and credit VaR is measured as the credit risk amount (Unexpected Loss).

In establishing transaction spread guidelines for credit transactions, we aim to ensure an appropriate return from the transaction in light of the level of risk by utilizing credit cost data as a reference. Also, we monitor our credit portfolio from various perspectives and set guidelines noted below so that losses incurred through a hypothetical realization of the full credit VaR would be within the amount of risk capital and loan loss reserves.

Risk Control Methods

We recognize two types of risk arising from allowing unexpected loss to become too large. One type is credit concentration risk, which stems from granting excessive credit to certain individual counterparties or corporate groups. The other type is chain-reaction default risk, which arises

from granting excessive credit to certain, areas, industrial sectors and other groupings. We make appropriate management to control these risks in line with our specific guidelines for each. The individual risk management divisions of our principal banking subsidiaries are responsible for monitoring adherence to these guidelines and reporting to their respective business policy committees.

63

Market and Liquidity Risk Management

We define market risk as the risk of losses incurred by the group due to fluctuations in interest rates, stock prices and foreign exchange rates. Our definition includes the risk of losses incurred when it becomes impossible to execute transactions in the market because of market confusion or losses arising from transactions at prices that are significantly less favorable than usual. We define liquidity risk as the risk of losses arising from funding difficulties due to a deterioration in our financial position that makes it difficult for us to raise necessary funds or that forces us to raise funds at significantly higher interest rates than usual. Mizuho Financial Group manages market and liquidity risk for the Mizuho group as a whole.

The following diagram shows our risk management structure:

Market Risk Management Structure

Market Risk Management of the Mizuho Group

Our board of directors determines basic matters pertaining to market risk management policies. The Risk Management Committee of Mizuho Financial Group broadly discusses and coordinates matters relating to basic policies in connection with market risk management, market risk operations and market risk monitoring. The Group Chief Risk Officer of Mizuho Financial Group is responsible for matters relating to market risk management planning and operations.

The Risk Management Division of Mizuho Financial Group is responsible for monitoring market risk, reports and analyses, proposals, setting limits and guidelines, and formulating and implementing plans relating to market risk management. The Risk Management Division assesses and manages the overall market risk of the Mizuho group. It also receives reports from our principal banking subsidiaries and other core group companies on their market risk management that enable it to obtain a solid grasp of the risk situation, submitting reports to the President & Group CEO on a daily basis and to our Board of Directors and the Executive Management Committee of Mizuho Financial Group on a regular basis.

64

To manage market risk, we set limits that correspond to risk capital allocations according to the risk profiles of our principal banking subsidiaries and other core group companies and thereby prevent market risk from exceeding our ability to withstand losses based on our financial strength represented by capital, etc. The amount of risk capital allocated to market risk corresponds to VaR and additional costs that may arise in order to close relevant positions. For trading and banking activities, we set limits for VaR and for losses. For banking activities, we set position limits based on interest rate sensitivity as needed.

These limits are discussed and coordinated by the Risk Management Committee, discussed further by the Executive Management Committee, then determined by the President & Group CEO. Various factors are taken into account including business strategies, historical limit usage ratios, risk-bearing capacity (profits, total capital and risk management systems), profit targets and the market liquidity of the products involved.

Market Risk Management at Our Principal Banking Subsidiaries and Other Core Group Companies

Our principal banking subsidiaries, which account for most of the Mizuho group s exposure to market risk, have formulated their basic policies in line with the basic policies determined by Mizuho Financial Group. Their Boards of Directors determine important matters relating to market risk management while their Chief Executive Officers are responsible for controlling market risk. Their respective business policy committees, including their ALM & Market Risk Management Committees, are responsible for overall discussion and coordination of market risk management. Specifically, these committees discuss and coordinate matters relating to basic asset and liability management policies, risk planning and market risk management. The Chief Risk Officer of each subsidiary is responsible for matters pertaining to planning and implementing market risk management. Based on a common Mizuho group risk capital allocation framework, the above-mentioned companies manage market risk by setting limits according to the risk capital allocated to market risk by Mizuho Financial Group.

These companies have established specialized company-wide market risk management divisions to provide integrated monitoring of market risk, submit reports, analyses and proposals, set limits and formulate and implement plans relating to market risk management. The risk management divisions of each company submit reports on the status of market risk management to their respective Chief Executive Officers and top management on a daily basis, and to their Board of Directors and Executive Management Committee on a regular basis. They also provide regular reports to Mizuho Financial Group. To provide a system of mutual checks and balances in market operations, they have established middle offices specializing in risk management that are independent of their front offices, which engage in market transactions, and their back offices, which are responsible for book entries and settlements. When VaR is not adequate to control risk, the middle offices manage risk using additional risk indices, carry out stress tests and set stop loss limits as needed. They monitor their market liquidity risk for individual financial products in the market while taking turnover and other factors into consideration.

Value-at-Risk

We use the value-at-risk (the VaR) method, supplemented with stress testing, as our principal tool to measure market risk. The VaR method measures the maximum possible loss that could be incurred due to market movements within a certain time period (or holding period) and degree of probability (or confidence interval).

Trading Activities

VaR related to our trading activities is based on the following:

historical observation period of one year.

variance co-variance model for linear risk and Monte-Carlo simulation for non-linear risk, which are simply aggregated to determine total risk;

confidence interval: one-tailed 99.0%;

holding period of one day; and

The following tables show the VaR related to our trading activities by risk category for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015 and as of March 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015:

	Fiscal y	ear end	led Marc	h 31, 201	.3	A	s of
Risk category	Daily average	Max	imum	Min	imum	March	31, 2013
			(in b	illions of	yen)		
Interest rate	¥ 1.6	¥	2.2	¥	1.1	¥	1.2
Foreign exchange	2.3		3.4		1.1		2.7
Equities	0.5		0.9		0.1		0.4
Commodities	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total	¥ 3.4	¥	4.6	¥	2.6	¥	3.5

Table of Contents

	Fiscal y	Fiscal year ended March 31, 2014					As of
Risk category	Daily average	Max	imum (in b	Mini oillions of	nimum March 31 f yen)		n 31, 2014
Interest rate	¥ 2.0	¥	2.7	¥	1.5	¥	1.6
Foreign exchange	4.1		5.6		1.1		4.8
Equities	1.0		3.1		0.2		0.4
Commodities	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total	¥ 5.7	¥	7.4	¥	3.3	¥	5.4

	Fiscal year ended March 31, 2015					A	s of
Risk category	Daily average	Max	imum (in b	Min illions of	imum yen)	March	31, 2015
Interest rate	¥ 1.8	¥	2.6	¥	1.4	¥	1.5
Foreign exchange	3.0		5.8		1.6		5.6
Equities	0.5		1.3		0.2		0.3
Commodities	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total	¥ 4.4	¥	7.1	¥	3.1	¥	6.5

The following graph shows VaR figures of our trading activities for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015:

The following table shows VaR figures of our trading activities for the fiscal years indicated:

	Fiscal years ended March 31,				
	2013	2014	2015	Change	
	(in billions of yen, except number of case				
As of fiscal year end	¥ 3.5	¥ 5.4	¥ 6.5	¥ 1.1	
Maximum	4.6	7.4	7.1	(0.3)	
Minimum	2.6	3.3	3.1	(0.2)	
Average	3.4	5.7	4.4	(1.2)	
The number of cases where losses exceeded VaR	1	0	2	2	

Non-trading Activities

The VaR related to our banking activities is based on the same conditions as those of trading activities, but the holding period is one month.

The graph below shows the VaR related to our banking activities excluding our strategically-held equity portfolio for the year ended March 31, 2015:

The following table shows the VaR figures relating to our banking activities for the fiscal years indicated:

		Fiscal years ended March 31,					
	2013	2014	2015	Ch	nange		
		(in billions of yen)					
As of fiscal year end	¥ 215.9	¥ 281.7	¥ 325.6	¥	43.8		
Maximum	297.9	300.7	349.0		48.3		
Minimum	213.3	186.8	265.0		78.2		
Average	246.3	253.5	307.9		54.4		

VaR is a commonly used market risk management technique. However, VaR models have the following shortcomings:

By its nature as a statistical approach, VaR estimates possible losses over a certain period at a particular confidence level using past market movement data. Past market movement, however, is not necessarily a good indicator of future events, particularly potential future events that are extreme in nature.

VaR may underestimate the probability of extreme market movements.

The use of a 99.0% confidence level does not take account of, nor makes any statement about, any losses that might occur beyond this confidence level.

VaR does not capture all complex effects of various risk factors on the value of positions and portfolios and could underestimate potential losses.

67

We also conduct interest sensitivity analyses of interest risk, our main source of market risk. The following table shows sensitivity to yen interest risk in our banking activities as of the dates indicated. Interest rate sensitivity (10 BPV) shows how much net present value varies when interest rates rise by 10 basis points (0.1%), and it explains the impact of interest rate movements on net present value when short- and long-term interest rates behave differently.

	As of March 31,				
	2013	2014	2015	Cha	ange
	(in b	illions of	yen)		
Up to one year	¥ (7)	¥ (2)	¥ (1)	¥	1
From one to five years	(56)	(47)	(35)		11
Over five years	(35)	(12)	(14)		(2)
Total	¥ (99)	¥ (62)	¥ (51)	¥	11

Stressed Value-at-Risk

The stressed value-at-risk (stressed VaR) measurement is based on a continuous 12-month period of significant financial stress.

Stressed VaR related to our trading activities is based on the following:

variance co-variance model for linear risk and Monte-Carlo simulation for non-linear risk, which are simply aggregated to determine total risk;

confidence interval: one-tailed 99.0%;

holding period of one day; and

historical observation period of one year of significant financial stress.

68

The following table shows stressed VaR figures of our trading activities for the fiscal years indicated:

	F	Fiscal years ended March 31,			
	2013	2014 (in billio	2015 ons of ven)	Ch	ange
As of fiscal year end	¥ 9.2	¥ 12.6	¥ 20.2	¥	7.5
Maximum	12.0	15.3	24.8		9.5
Minimum	5.0	8.3	8.5		0.2
Average	8.0	11.6	13.2		1.5

Strategically-held Equity Portfolio Management Activities

We take the market risk management approach with use of VaR and risk indices for strategically-held equity portfolio management activities as well as for trading activities and non-trading activities. The risk index for strategically-held equity portfolio management for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, consisting of the sensitivity of the strategically-held equity portfolio to a 1% change in the equity index of TOPIX, was ¥37.4 billion.

Back Testing and Stress Testing

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of market risk measurements calculated using the value-at-risk method, we carry out regular back tests to compare value-at-risk with assumptive profits and losses. Assumptive profits and losses accounts for general market risk. The graph below shows daily value-at-risk of trading activities for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 and the corresponding paired distribution of profits and losses. We had two cases where losses exceeded value-at-risk during the period. In addition, we conduct evaluations of the assumptions related to the value-at-risk models. Based on the number of times losses exceeded VaR through back testing and the results of the evaluation of the model assumptions, we will make adjustments to the models as appropriate. Changes to fundamental portions of the VaR models are subject to the approval of our Group Chief Risk Officer.

Note: We conduct our back testing and assess the number of cases where losses exceed VaR based on a 250 business day year. The expected average number of instances where one-day trading losses exceeded VaR at the 99% confidence level is 2.5.

69

Because the value-at-risk method is based on statistical assumptions, we conduct stress testing to simulate the levels of losses that could be incurred in cases where the market moves suddenly to levels that exceed these assumptions. The stress testing methods we use include the calculation of losses on the basis of the largest fluctuations occurring over a period of more than five years and the calculation of losses based on market fluctuations occurring during historical market events. In addition, we conduct stress testing based on a sharp drop in the price of securitization and other products due to diminished market liquidity. The table below shows the assumed maximum loss results of stress testing in trading activities using the methods described above:

Assumed maximum loss results		rch 31, 2015 ons of yen)
Assumed maximum loss result calculated by stress testing (holding period: one month)	¥	69.2
Assumed maximum loss result calculated by stress testing based on a sharp drop in the price of securitization		
and other products due to diminished market liquidity (holding period: one year)	¥	9.0
Outlier Criteria		

As part of the capital adequacy requirements under the Basel Regulatory Framework, the losses arising from a banking book in hypothetical interest rate shock scenarios under certain stress conditions are calculated and compared with Broadly-defined capital. If the interest rate risk of the banking book leads to an economic value decline of more than 20% of Broadly-defined capital, we will be deemed an outlier and may be required to reduce the banking book risk or adopt other responses. We measure losses arising from our banking book each month as a part of our stress tests.

The table below shows the results of calculations of losses in the banking book in cases where interest rate fluctuations occur under stress conditions. The results of calculations of losses in the banking book show that they are 5.5% of broadly-defined capital. Because the amount of risk on the banking book is therefore well under the 20% threshold and within controllable limits, we do not fall under the outlier category. The loss ratio to capital increased from the previous fiscal year due mainly to the increase of interest rate risk.

Results of calculations under the outlier framework	Amount of loss (in bill	(dly-defined capital en, except per	Loss ratio to capital centages)
As of March 31, 2013	¥ 499.1	¥	8,344.5	5.9%
As of March 31, 2014	386.6		8,655.9	4.4
As of March 31, 2015	529.2		9,508.4	5.5
Effect of yen interest rate	77.9			
Effect of dollar interest rate	364.7			
Effect of euro interest rate	69.3			

Notes:

- (1) In the above results of calculations of losses, a part of demand deposits without fixed intervals for amending applicable interest rates is deemed core deposits and is treated accordingly in the calculation.
- (2) For the interest rate shock scenario used in connection with the above figures, we generate annual rate fluctuation data for five years derived from daily raw historical interest rate data of the past six years and then apply the actual fluctuation data, which show a rise in interest rates, at a 99.0% confidence level to the shock scenario.

Market Risk Equivalent

In order to calculate the amount of capital necessary to meet the capital requirements relating to market risk (the market risk equivalent), we apply internal models to calculate general market risk (risks related to factors that apply generally to the market, e.g., interest rates, foreign exchange rates) and the standardized measurement method to calculate specific risks (risks other than general market risk, e.g., credit quality and market liquidity of an individual security or instrument). In addition, our internal models are applied to trading transactions with market liquidity based on the relevant holding period.

Under the internal models, the market risk equivalent is expressed as the sum of;

The higher of (i) VaR on the calculation date and (ii) the average of VaR for the preceding 60 business days (including the calculation date) multiplied by a multiplication factor ranging from 3.00 to 4.00 that is determined based on the number of times VaR is exceeded upon back testing; and

The higher of (i) stressed VaR on the calculation date and (ii) the average of stressed VaR for the preceding 60 business days (including the calculation date) multiplied by the same multiplication factor as used in the bullet point above.

70

The following table shows total market risk equivalent as of the dates indicated calculated using the standardized measurement method and internal models:

	As of Ma 2014 (in billion	2015	Change
Calculated using standardized measurement method Calculated using internal models	¥ 62.8 170.6	¥ 78.8 199.0	¥ 15.9 28.4
Total market risk equivalent	¥ 233.5	¥ 277.9	¥ 44.3

Note:

VaR and stressed VaR used to calculate market risk equivalent is based on the following:

variance co-variance model for linear risk and Monte-Carlo simulation for non-linear risk, which are simply aggregated to determine total risk;

confidence interval: one-tailed 99.0%;

holding period of 10 days; and

historical observation period of one year.

Liquidity Risk Management Structure

Liquidity Risk Management of the Mizuho Group

Our Board of Directors determines basic matters pertaining to liquidity risk management policies. The Risk Management Committee of Mizuho Financial Group broadly discusses and coordinates matters relating to basic policies in connection with liquidity risk management, operations, monitoring and proposes responses to emergencies such as sudden market changes.

The Group Chief Risk Officer of Mizuho Financial Group is responsible for matters relating to liquidity risk management planning and operations. The Risk Management Division of Mizuho Financial Group is responsible for monitoring liquidity risk, reports and analyses, proposals, and formulating and implementing plans relating to liquidity risk management.

In addition, the Group Chief Financial Officer of Mizuho Financial Group is additionally responsible for matters relating to planning and running cash flow management operations, and the Financial Planning Division is responsible for monitoring and adjusting the cash flow management situation and for planning and implementing cash flow management to maintain appropriate funding liquidity. Reports on the liquidity risk management are submitted to the Risk Management Committee, the Executive Management Committee and the President & Group CEO on a regular basis.

To manage liquidity risk, we use indices pertaining to cash flow, such as limits on funds raised in the market. Limits on liquidity risk set for Japanese yen and foreign currencies taking into account characteristics and strategies of each principal banking subsidiary, are discussed and coordinated by the Risk Management Committee, discussed further by the Executive Management Committee and determined by the President & Group CEO. In addition, limits on liquidity risk are set for each currency at principal banking subsidiaries.

We have established a group-wide framework of liquidity risk stage such as Normal, Anxious and Crisis, which reflects funding conditions. In addition, we set Early Warning Indicators (EWIs) and monitor on a daily basis to manage liquidity conditions. As EWIs, we select stock prices, credit ratings, amount of liquidity reserve assets such as Japanese government bonds, our funding situations and so on.

We have established a liquidity contingency funding plan for emergency situations which are deemed to fall into the Anxious or Crisis . In emergency situations, we will consider measures such as a reduction in the amount of investments made, an expansion of funding from financial markets and deposits, the sale of investment securities and borrowings from the central bank.

In order to evaluate the sufficiency of liquidity reserve assets and the effectiveness of liquidity contingency funding plan, we conduct stress testing under market-wide, idiosyncratic and combined scenario. Furthermore, we utilizing stress testing for evaluate appropriateness of our annual funding plan.

Liquidity Risk Management at Our Principal Banking Subsidiaries and Other Core Group Companies

Mizuho Bank, Mizuho Trust & Banking and Mizuho Securities have formulated their basic policies in line with the basic policies determined by Mizuho Financial Group. Their Boards of Directors determine important matters relating to liquidity risk management while their Chief Executive Officers are responsible for controlling liquidity risk. Their respective business policy committees, including their ALM & Market Risk Management Committees, are responsible for overall discussion and coordination of liquidity risk management. Specifically, these committees discuss and coordinate matters relating to risk planning, cash flow management planning and proposes responses to emergencies such as sudden market changes. The Chief Risk Officer is responsible for matters relating to liquidity risk management planning and operations and the senior executives of the asset and liability management and trading units are responsible for matters pertaining to planning and conducting cash flow management.

71

The methodologies used for ensuring precise control of liquidity risk include the formulation of management indices pertaining to cash flow, such as limits on funds raised in the market. As with Mizuho Financial Group, the above-mentioned companies have established liquidity risk stage, such as Normal to Anxious and Crisis, which reflects funding conditions and have established liquidity contingency funding plan for emergency situations which are deemed to fall into the Anxious or Crisis categories.

Each subsidiary has adopted stringent controls that call for the submission of reports on liquidity risk management and cash flow management to the ALM & Market Risk Management Committee and other business policy committees, the Executive Management Committee and the Chief Executive Officer of each subsidiary.

Operational Risk Management

We define operational risk as the risk of loss that we may incur resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. We recognize that operational risk includes information technology risk, operations risk, legal risk, human resources risk, tangible asset risk, regulatory change risk and reputational risk. We have determined risk management policies concerning risk management structures and methods for each kind of risk. Mizuho Bank, Mizuho Trust & Banking, Mizuho Securities and Trust & Custody Services Bank respectively manage operational risk in an appropriate manner pursuant to risk management policies determined by Mizuho Financial Group.

Mizuho Financial Group, Mizuho Bank, Mizuho Trust & Banking, Mizuho Securities and Trust & Custody Services Bank share common rules for data gathering, and we measure operational risk on a regular basis, taking into account possible future loss events and the changes in the business environment and internal management.

We have established and are strengthening management methods and systems to appropriately identify, assess, measure, monitor and control the operational risks which arise from the growing sophistication and diversification of financial operations and developments relating to information technology by utilizing control self-assessments and improving measurement methods.

Definition of Risks and Risk Management Methods

As shown in the table below, we have defined each component of operational risk and we apply appropriate risk management methods in accordance with the scale and nature of each risk.

Information Technology Risk	Definition Risk that customers may suffer service disruptions, or that customers or the group may incur losses arising from system defects such as failures, faults, or incompleteness in computer operations, or illegal or unauthorized use of computer systems.	Principal Risk Management Methods Identify and evaluate the risk by setting specific standards that need to be complied with and implementing measures tailored based on evaluation results to reduce the risk. Ensure ongoing project management in systems development and quality control. Strengthen security to prevent information leaks.
		Improve effectiveness of emergency responses by improving backup systems and holding drills.
Operations Risk	Risk that customers may suffer service disruptions, as well as the risk that customers or	Establish clearly defined procedures for handling operations.
	the group may incur losses because senior executives or employees fail to fulfill their tasks properly, cause accidents or otherwise act improperly.	Periodically check the status of operational processes.
		Conduct training and development programs by headquarters.

Introduce information technology, office automation and centralization for operations.

Improve the effectiveness of emergency responses by holding drills.

Review and confirm legal issues, including the legality of material decisions, agreements and external documents, etc.

Collect and distribute legal information and conduct internal training programs.

Analyze and manage issues related to lawsuits.

Conduct employee satisfaction surveys.

Understand the status of vacation days taken by personnel.

Understand the status of voluntary resignations.

Legal Risk

Risk that the group may incur losses due to violation of laws and regulations, breach of contract, entering into improper contracts or other legal factors.

Human Resources Risk

Risk that the group may incur losses due to drain or loss of personnel, deterioration of morale, inadequate development of human resources, inappropriate working schedule, inappropriate working and safety environment, inequality or inequity in human resource management or discriminatory conduct.

Table of Contents 125

72

Definition

Principal Risk Management Methods

Tangible Asset Risk

Risk that the group may incur losses from damage to tangible assets or a decline in the quality of working environment as a result of disasters, criminal actions or defects in asset maintenance.

Manage the planning and implementation of construction projects related to the repair and replacement of facilities.

Regulatory Change Risk

Risk that the group may incur losses due to changes in various regulations or systems, such as those related to law, taxation and accounting.

Identify and evaluate the status of damage to tangible assets caused by natural disasters, etc., and respond appropriately to such damage.

Understand important changes in regulations or systems that have significant influence on our business operations or financial condition in a timely and accurate manner.

Analyze degree of influence of regulatory changes and establish countermeasures.

Continuously monitor our regulatory change risk management mentioned above.

Reputational Risk

Risk that the group may incur losses due to damage to our credibility or the value of the learn about, or the media reports on, various adverse events, including actual materialization of risks or false rumors.

Establish framework to identify and manage, on an integrated basis, information that may have a serious Mizuho brand when market participants or othersimpact on group management and respond to such risk in a manner appropriate to its scale and nature.

> Swiftly identify rumors and devise appropriate responses depending on the urgency and possible impact of the situation to minimize possible losses.

We also recognize and manage Information Security Risk and Compliance Risk, which constitute a combination of more than one of the above components of operational risk, as operational risk.

Measurement of operational risk equivalent

Implementation of the AMA

We have adopted the AMA from September 30, 2009, for the calculation of operational risk equivalent in association with capital adequacy ratios based on Basel II. However, we use the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA) for entities that are deemed to be less important in the measurement of operational risk equivalent and for entities that are preparing to implement the AMA. The measurement results under the AMA are used not only as the operational risk equivalent in the calculation of capital adequacy ratios but also as Operational VAR for internal risk management purposes for implementing action plans to reduce operational risk, etc.

Outline of the AMA

Outline of measurement system

We have established the model by taking account of four elements: internal loss data; external loss data; scenario analysis and business environment; and internal control factors (BEICFs). A statistical approach (one year holding period / one-tailed 99.9 percentile confidence interval) is taken for the calculation of operational risk equivalent, employing both internal loss data (i.e., actually experienced operational loss events) and scenario data to reflect unexperienced potential future loss events in the measurement.

In the measurement of operational risk equivalent as of March 31, 2015, we did not exclude expected losses and also did not recognize the risk mitigating impact of insurance. In addition, we did not take into account the events related to credit risk in measuring operational risk equivalent.

Outline of measurement model

Operational risk equivalent is calculated as a simple sum of those related to the seven loss event types defined by Basel II, large-scale natural disasters and litigation. In the measurement of operational risk equivalent as of March 31, 2015, we did not reflect the correlation effects among operational risk related to each of the seven loss event types.

Operational risk by the loss event type

Loss Distribution (Compound Poisson Distribution) Approach (LDA) is adopted for the calculation of operational risk. LDA is based on the assumption that Poisson Distribution applies to the occurrence frequency of operational risk events, and loss severity is expressed through a separate distribution. Operational risk is calculated for each of the seven loss event types employing both internal loss data, based on our actual experience as operational loss events and scenario data. Scenario data, expressed as numerical values of occurrence frequency and loss severity, reflects external loss data and BEICFs, in order to estimate unexperienced potential future loss events (of low frequency and high severity).

73

Frequency Distribution and Severity Distribution are estimated employing the above mentioned internal loss data and scenario data, and Monte-Carlo simulations are then applied to these distributions to measure operational risk. The detailed steps of creation of scenario data are explained later in Scenario Analysis.

Estimation of Frequency Distribution and Loss Severity Distribution

Frequency Distribution is estimated by applying information on occurrence frequency of both internal loss data and scenario data to Poisson Distribution. Loss Severity Distribution is generated as the result of combining, through a statistical approach (Extreme Value Theory), of the actual distribution for the low severity distribution portion created by internal loss data and another loss distribution (Log-normal Distribution or Generalized Pareto Distribution) for the high severity distribution portion created by scenario data.

Operational risk of large-scale natural disasters

Monte-Carlo simulation is applied to the datasets expressed as a combination of the probability of occurrence of large-scale natural disasters and the probable loss amount in case of such occurrence, as opposed to estimating Frequency Distribution and Loss Severity Distribution.

Operational risk of litigation

Each litigation is converted into data according to the profile of the individual litigation to which Monte-Carlo simulation is applied, as opposed to estimating Frequency Distribution and Loss Severity Distribution. In the measurement process, we assume that final decisions will be made on all litigation within one year.

Verification

We confirm the appropriateness of the measurement model by verifying it, in principle, semi-annually.

Scenario analysis

Outline of scenario analysis

In the process of scenario analysis, scenario data is created as numerical values of occurrence frequency and loss severity reflecting external loss data and BEICFs, in order to estimate unexperienced potential future operational risk events (of low frequency and high severity).

As for external loss data, we refer to data publicly reported by domestic and overseas media, and such data are reflected in the estimation of occurrence frequency and loss severity distribution in the process of scenario analysis. In addition, BEICFs are utilized as indices to adjust occurrence frequency and loss severity distribution in the process of scenario analysis.

We categorize scenario analysis into four approaches in accordance with the characteristics of each loss event type and risk management structures.

Approach Loss event type(s) to be applied

A Internal fraud / External fraud / Clients, products and business practices / Execution, delivery and process management

B Employment practices and workplace safety

C Damage to physical assets

D Business disruption and system failure

At Mizuho Financial Group, loss event types to which Approach A is applied account for a considerable amount of operational risk. The detailed process of Approach A is explained below as a typical example of scenario analysis.

Setting units for scenario analysis

In order to ensure completeness and sufficiency, we set units that are commonly applied across group entities that adopt AMA (the Group Entities) by referencing and categorizing risk scenarios recognized through control self-assessment, internal loss data of the Group Entities and external loss data, etc. Then each of the Group Entities selects the unit on which scenario analysis is conducted from the units established on a groupwide basis in accordance with its business activities and operational risk profile.

Estimation of occurrence frequency

Basic occurrence frequency (once a year) is calculated for each scenario analysis unit. If a certain scenario analysis unit has relevant internal loss data of a pre-determined threshold amount or above, its basic occurrence frequency is calculated based on such data, and if not, the basic occurrence frequency (the occurrence frequency per year of losses at or above a pre-determined threshold) is calculated with reference to the situation of occurrence of internal loss data of less than the threshold amount and/or external loss data. The basic occurrence frequency is then adjusted within a pre-determined range for the purpose of reflecting the most recent BEICFs to determine the final occurrence frequency.

74

Table of Contents

Estimation of loss severity distribution

In order to estimate loss severity distribution, we use a pre-determined series of severity ranges. Basic loss severity distribution is calculated for each scenario analysis unit as an occurrence ratio (in percentile figures) of loss at each severity range when losses at or above a pre-determined threshold occurred, with reference to transaction amount data, external loss data, etc. Then the basic severity distribution is adjusted, if necessary, from the viewpoint of statistical data processing to determine the final loss severity distribution.

Creation of scenario data

For each scenario analysis unit, scenario data is generated as a series of combinations of occurrence frequency per year at each severity range, based on the final occurrence frequency and the final loss severity distribution.

75