

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC
Form 10-Q
July 24, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended **June 30, 2007**

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-8097

ENSCO International Incorporated

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

76-0232579

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

500 North Akard Street
Suite 4300

Dallas, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

75201-3331

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(214) 397-3000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o
No y

There were 147,416,893 shares of Common Stock, \$.10 par value, of the registrant outstanding as of July 20, 2007.

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	3
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> <u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> <u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
ITEM 2. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF</u> <u>FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	14
ITEM 3. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES</u> <u>ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	29
ITEM 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	29

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	30
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	31
ITEM 2. <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE</u> <u>OF PROCEEDS</u>	31
ITEM 4. <u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	32
ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	33

SIGNATURES

34

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties and are based on information as of the date of this report. We assume no obligation to update these statements based on developments or information received or discovered after the date of this report.

Forward-looking statements include words or phrases such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "could," "may," "might," "should," "will" and words and phrases of similar impact. The forward-looking statements include statements regarding:

- future operations, industry trends or conditions and the business environment,
- future levels of, or trends in, day rates, utilization, revenues, operating expenses, capital expenditures, insurance, financing and funding,
- the likely outcome of legal proceedings or claims,
- future construction (including construction in progress), enhancement, upgrade or repair of rigs,
- future mobilization, relocation or other movement of rigs, and
- future availability or suitability of rigs.

The forward-looking statements are made pursuant to safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Numerous factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including those described under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I, and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as updated in this report.

Table of Contents

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. *Financial Statements*

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
ENSCO International Incorporated:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of ENSCO International Incorporated and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2007, the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the related condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. These condensed consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of ENSCO International Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 22, 2007, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas

July 24, 2007

Table of Contents

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In millions, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	<u>June 30,</u>	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
OPERATING REVENUES	\$548.6	\$475.2
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Contract drilling	168.8	146.4
Depreciation and amortization	46.8	44.1
General and administrative	19.1	10.5
	234.7	201.0
OPERATING INCOME	313.9	274.2
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)		
Interest income	6.3	2.7
Interest expense, net	(.8)	(4.9)
Other, net	2.3	(1.2)
	7.8	(3.4)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	321.7	270.8
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		
Current income tax expense	68.1	78.9
Deferred income tax benefit	(.8)	(2.1)
	67.3	76.8
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	254.4	194.0
INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS, NET	--	.7
NET INCOME	\$254.4	\$194.7
EARNINGS PER SHARE - BASIC		
Continuing operations	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.27
Discontinued operations	--	.00
	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.27

Edgar Filing: ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended

EARNINGS PER SHARE - DILUTED

Continuing operations	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.26
Discontinued operations	--	.00
	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.27

WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING

Basic	147.6	152.9
Diluted	148.3	153.6

CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE \$.025 \$.025

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In millions, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2007	2006
OPERATING REVENUES	\$1,062.7	\$856.8
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Contract drilling	331.6	274.3
Depreciation and amortization	91.9	86.1
General and administrative	35.1	20.9
	458.6	381.3
OPERATING INCOME	604.1	475.5
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)		
Interest income	12.5	5.0
Interest expense, net	(1.9)	(9.1)
Other, net	6.8	(2.9)
	17.4	(7.0)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE	621.5	468.5
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES		
Current income tax expense	137.4	134.1
Deferred income tax benefit	(2.6)	(3.8)
	134.8	130.3
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	486.7	338.2
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		
Gain from discontinued operations, net	--	1.5
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net	--	4.2
	--	5.7
INCOME BEFORE CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE	486.7	343.9
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE FOR ADOPTION OF SFAS 123(R), NET	--	.6

Edgar Filing: ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended	
NET INCOME	\$ 486.7	\$ 344.5
<hr/>		
EARNINGS PER SHARE - BASIC		
Continuing operations	\$ 3.27	\$ 2.21
Discontinued operations	--	.04
Cumulative effect of accounting change	--	.00
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 3.27	\$ 2.25
<hr/>		
EARNINGS PER SHARE - DILUTED		
Continuing operations	\$ 3.26	\$ 2.20
Discontinued operations	--	.04
Cumulative effect of accounting change	--	.00
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 3.26	\$ 2.24
<hr/>		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING		
Basic	148.8	152.9
Diluted	149.4	153.6
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$.05	\$.05

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In millions, except par value amounts)**

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2006</u>
	(Unaudited)	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 547.0	\$ 565.8
Accounts receivable, net	445.3	338.8
Other	90.8	82.6
Total current assets	1,083.1	987.2
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, AT COST		
Less accumulated depreciation	4,405.4	4,129.5
Property and equipment, net	3,147.7	2,960.4
GOODWILL	336.2	336.2
OTHER ASSETS, NET	53.1	50.6
	\$ 4,620.1	\$4,334.4
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 18.8	\$ 12.4
Accrued liabilities	223.9	205.4
Current maturities of long-term debt	167.1	167.1
Total current liabilities	409.8	384.9
LONG-TERM DEBT	299.9	308.5
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	347.6	356.5
OTHER LIABILITIES	83.0	68.5
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 20.0 million shares authorized, none issued	--	--
Common stock, \$.10 par value, 250.0 million shares authorized,		

Edgar Filing: ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

	June 30,	December 31,
180.0 million and 178.7 million shares issued	18.0	17.9
Additional paid-in capital	1,675.5	1,621.3
Retained earnings	2,479.5	1,994.5
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3.1)	(5.5)
Treasury stock, at cost, 32.0 million shares and 26.9 million shares	(690.1)	(412.2)
Total stockholders' equity	3,479.8	3,216.0
	\$ 4,620.1	\$4,334.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In millions)
(Unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 486.7	\$ 344.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	91.9	86.1
Deferred income tax provision	(2.6)	(3.8)
Share-based compensation expense	24.3	9.0
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation	(4.7)	(1.6)
Amortization of other assets	3.1	3.2
Net loss on asset dispositions	.3	5.4
Income from discontinued operations, net	--	(1.5)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net	--	(4.2)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net	--	(.6)
Other	.4	.6
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Increase in accounts receivable	(106.5)	(101.2)
Increase in other assets	(12.0)	(5.6)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	6.4	(2.5)
Increase in accrued liabilities	45.1	30.1
	532.4	357.9
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to property and equipment	(290.3)	(283.3)
Net proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations	--	10.0
Proceeds from disposition of assets	3.2	1.9
	(287.1)	(271.4)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Reduction of long-term borrowings	(8.6)	(8.6)
Cash dividends paid	(7.5)	(7.7)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	25.3	20.7
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation	4.7	1.6
Repurchase of common stock	(272.4)	(52.2)
Other	(5.5)	(.5)
	(264.0)	(46.7)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(.1)	(.1)
Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations	--	1.6

Edgar Filing: ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended	
(DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(18.8)	41.3
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	565.8	268.5
<hr/>		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 547.0	\$ 309.8
<hr/>		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1 - Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements

We prepared the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of ENSCO International Incorporated and subsidiaries (the "Company") in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") included in the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The financial information included in this report is unaudited but, in our opinion, includes all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The December 31, 2006 consolidated balance sheet data were derived from audited financial statements, but do not include all disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation. The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements requires our management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the related revenues and expenses, and disclosure of gain and loss contingencies at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The financial data for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 included herein have been subjected to a limited review by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The accompanying independent registered public accounting firm's review report is not a report within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and the registered public accounting firm's liability under Section 11 does not extend to it.

Results of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that will be realized for the year ending December 31, 2007. It is recommended that these financial statements be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2006 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Note 2 - Earnings Per Share

For the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, there were no adjustments to net income for purposes of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share. The following is a reconciliation of the weighted average common shares used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations (in millions):

	<u>Three Months</u> <u>Ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six Months</u> <u>Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Weighted average common shares - basic	147.6	152.9	148.8	152.9
Potentially dilutive common shares:				
Non-vested share awards	.0	--	--	--
Share options	.7	.7	.6	.7
Weighted average common shares - diluted	148.3	153.6	149.4	153.6

Table of Contents

Options to purchase 495,000 shares and 688,000 shares of common stock in the second quarters of 2007 and 2006, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the options exceeded the average market price of the common stock for the respective periods. Options to purchase 503,000 shares and 688,000 shares of common stock in the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price of the options exceeded the average market price of the common stock for the respective periods.

Note 3 - Share-Based Employee Compensation

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, we granted 503,250 share options to our employees, officers and directors as annual equity awards made pursuant to our 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, and for equity awards granted to new or recently promoted employees. Share options granted to officers and employees generally become exercisable in 25% increments over a four-year period and, to the extent not exercised, expire on the seventh anniversary of the date of grant. Share options granted to non-employee directors are immediately exercisable and to the extent not exercised, expire on the seventh anniversary of the date of grant. The following table summarizes the value of share options granted during the three-month period ended June 30, 2007:

Weighted-average grant-date fair value of share options granted (per share)	\$ 20.52
Weighted-average exercise price of share options granted (per share)	\$ 60.68

The exercise price of share options granted during the period equals the market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The fair value of each option award was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted average assumptions for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007:

Risk-free interest rate	4.9%
Expected life (in years)	4.7
Expected volatility	29.8%
Dividend yield	.2%

Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our common stock over the period of time equivalent to the expected term of the options granted. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical exercise patterns over a period of time equivalent to the term of the options granted. We have not experienced significant differences in the historical exercise patterns among officers, employees and non-employee directors for them to be considered separately for valuation purposes. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield of U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues on the date of grant with a remaining term approximating the expected term of the options granted.

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, we granted 424,115 non-vested share awards to our employees, officers and directors for annual equity awards made pursuant to our 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, and for equity awards granted to new or recently promoted employees. Annual grants of non-vested share awards generally vest at a rate of 20% per year and grants of non-vested share awards to new or recently promoted employees generally vest at a rate of 10% or 20% per year, and have voting and dividend rights effective on the date of grant. Additionally during the second quarter of 2007, we granted 92,000 non-vested share awards in connection with a retirement agreement entered into in February of 2007 with our former CEO and non-executive Chairman of our Board of Directors, the cost of which was recognized through his May 22, 2007 retirement date. These awards will vest at a rate of one-third per year upon each of the first three anniversaries of the former CEO and Chairman's retirement date. Non-vested share awards are measured using the market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of non-vested share awards granted during the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 was \$60.41 per share.

Table of Contents**Note 4 - Stockholders' Equity**

On March 14, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of our outstanding common stock. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, we repurchased approximately 5.0 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$272.4 million (an average cost of \$54.53 per share). At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the outstanding shares of our common stock, net of treasury shares, were 148.0 million and 151.8 million, respectively.

Note 5 - Comprehensive Income

The components of our comprehensive income are as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Net income	\$254.4	\$194.7	\$486.7	\$344.5
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net change in fair value of derivatives	1.7	2.0	3.5	1.9
Reclassification of unrealized gains and losses on derivatives from other comprehensive income (loss) into net income	--	.3	(1.1)	1.5
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	1.7	2.3	2.4	3.4
Comprehensive income	\$256.1	\$197.0	\$489.1	\$347.9

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, is comprised of net unrealized losses on derivative instruments, net of tax. The estimated amount of net unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net of tax at June 30, 2007, that will be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months is as follows (in millions):

Net unrealized gains to be reclassified to contract drilling expense	\$ 4.1
Net unrealized losses to be reclassified to interest expense	(.9)
Net unrealized gains to be reclassified to earnings	\$ 3.2

Table of Contents

Note 6 - Income Taxes

We conduct operations, earn income and are subject to tax in the U.S. and numerous international countries. In many of the international jurisdictions where we operate, tax laws relating to the offshore drilling industry are not well developed and change frequently. Furthermore, in most of the tax jurisdictions where we operate we enter into transactions with affiliates or employ other tax planning strategies that generally are subject to complex tax regulations. As a result of the foregoing, the tax liabilities and benefits we recognize in our financial statements may differ from the tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in our tax returns.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). Under FIN 48, tax positions are evaluated for recognition using a more-likely-than-not threshold, and those tax positions requiring recognition are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. As a result of adopting FIN 48, we reported a \$5.8 million increase to our January 1, 2007, balance of retained earnings. On January 1, 2007, we had \$19.3 million of unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$16.0 million would impact our effective tax rate if recognized.

Interest and penalties relating to income taxes are included in current income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties at January 1, 2007, were \$9.6 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

Our U.S. tax returns for 2004 and subsequent years remain subject to examination by tax authorities. In our international tax jurisdictions, numerous tax years remain subject to examination by tax authorities, including tax returns for at least 2002 and subsequent years in all of our major international tax jurisdictions.

During the second quarter of 2007, the taxing authority in an international jurisdiction in which we operate issued a draft interpretation of certain tax laws that is inconsistent with a tax position we have taken and previously recognized approximately \$38.0 million of aggregate tax benefits during the current and previous years. We have evaluated the draft interpretation, considering the technical arguments supporting its conclusion, the circumstances of its issuance, its consistency with existing tax code and case law, professional guidance and other available qualitative information and concluded that our uncertain tax position in this jurisdiction continues to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109". However, it is reasonably possible that within the next twelve months the taxing authority's interpretation will be revised and re-issued, relevant legislation will be enacted or other related events will take place that cause us to re-evaluate our tax position.

Note 7 - Discontinued Operations

On December 27, 2006, we sold the ENSCO 25 platform rig for \$13.7 million and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$5.0 million. The operating results of ENSCO 25 have been reclassified as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006.

The ENSCO 29 platform rig sustained substantial damage as a consequence of Hurricane Katrina in September 2005. On January 5, 2006, beneficial ownership of ENSCO 29 effectively transferred to our insurance underwriters when the rig was declared a constructive total loss under the terms of our insurance policies. Accordingly, we received the rig's net insured value of \$10.0 million and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$7.5 million, which is included in "Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net" in the condensed consolidated statement of income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006. The operating results of ENSCO 29 have been reclassified as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statement of income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations (in millions):

	Three Months Ended <u>June 30, 2006</u>	Six Months Ended <u>June 30, 2006</u>
Revenues	\$3.6	\$7.7
Operating expenses and other	2.5	5.4
<hr/>		
Operating income before income taxes	1.1	2.3
Income tax expense	(.4)	(.8)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net	--	4.2
<hr/>		
Income from discontinued operations	\$.7	\$5.7

There is no debt or interest expense allocated to our discontinued operations.

Note 8 - Contingencies

Following recent disclosures by other offshore oil service companies announcing internal investigations focusing on the legality of amounts paid to and by customs brokers in connection with temporary importation of rigs and vessels into Nigeria, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors and management have commenced an internal investigation of our payments to customs brokers relating to the temporary importation of ENSCO 100, our only rig operating offshore Nigeria. The purpose of our investigation is to determine whether any of the payments made to or by our customs brokers were inappropriate under the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"). Our Audit Committee has engaged Miller & Chevalier, a Washington, D.C. law firm with significant experience in investigating and advising upon FCPA matters, to assist the Audit Committee and management in the internal investigation.

As is customary for companies operating offshore Nigeria, we engaged independent customs brokers to process ENSCO 100 temporary importation permits and renewals thereof (including periodic exportation and re-entries). One of the customs brokers that our subsidiary in Nigeria used to obtain these permits and renewals also provided services to other offshore oil service companies that have commenced similar investigations.

Following consultation with outside legal counsel, notification to the Audit Committee, and notification to KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, we voluntarily notified the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Department of Justice that an internal investigation is underway and that we intend to cooperate fully with both agencies. The internal investigation has only recently commenced, and we are unable to predict whether either agency will initiate a separate investigation of this matter or, if an agency investigation is initiated, what potential corrective measures, sanctions or other remedies, if any, the agencies may seek against us or any of our employees.

This matter is not expected to have any material effect on or disrupt our current operations in Nigeria because ENSCO 100 is scheduled to complete its contract commitment and depart Nigeria before its temporary importation clearance expires on September 3, 2007. At this time, we cannot predict the effect of this matter upon any potential future operations in Nigeria.

Inasmuch as our internal investigation commenced only recently, we are unable to predict the outcome of the investigation or to determine whether or the extent to which we may be exposed to any resulting potential liability or significant additional expense.

Table of Contents

A portion of the ENSCO 29 platform drilling rig was lost over the side of a customer's platform during Hurricane Katrina in September 2005. Although beneficial ownership of ENSCO 29 was subsequently transferred to our insurance underwriters when the rig was a constructive total loss, management believes we may be contractually required to remove the ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris from the seabed and currently estimates that the removal cost could range from \$5.0 million to \$15.0 million. Our property insurance policies include coverage for ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs up to \$3.8 million. We also retain liability insurance policies that provide coverage for wreckage and debris removal costs in excess of the \$3.8 million coverage provided under the property insurance policies.

Our liability insurance underwriters have issued a reservation of rights letter raising issues regarding the applicability of coverage for the potential ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs. We contest any assertion that the ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal is not subject to coverage under the liability insurance policies and intend to pursue all available remedies in the event our liability insurance underwriters deny coverage. While we believe it is likely that any ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs incurred will be fully covered by insurance, a \$1.2 million provision, representing the portion of the \$5.0 million low range of the estimated removal cost we believe is subject to liability insurance coverage, was recognized during the third quarter of 2006.

In August 2004, we and certain current and former subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with numerous other third party companies as co-defendants, in three multi-party lawsuits filed in the Circuit Courts of Jones County (Second Judicial District) and Jasper County (First Judicial District), Mississippi. The lawsuits sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages on behalf of individuals alleging personal injury or death, primarily under the Jones Act, purportedly resulting from exposure to asbestos on drilling rigs and associated facilities during the period 1965 through 1986.

In compliance with the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure, as decided by the Mississippi Supreme Court in *Harold's Auto Parts, Inc. v. Flower Mangialardi*, 889 So. 2d 493 (Miss. 2004), the individual claimants in the original multi-party lawsuits whose claims were not dismissed were ordered to file either new or amended single plaintiff complaints, naming the specific defendant(s) against whom they intended to pursue claims. As a result, out of more than 600 initial pending claims, we have been named as a defendant by 62 individual plaintiffs. Of these claims, there are 60 claims or lawsuits pending in Mississippi state courts and two pending in the United States District Court as a result of their removal from state court.

We intend to vigorously defend against these claims and have filed responsive pleadings preserving all defenses and challenges to jurisdiction and venue. However, inasmuch as discovery is in the very early stages and available information regarding the nature of these claims is limited, we cannot reasonably determine if the claimants have valid claims under the Jones Act or estimate a range of potential liability exposure, if any. At present, none of the pending Mississippi asbestos lawsuits have been set for trial. Although we do not expect the final disposition of these lawsuits to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, operating results or cash flows, there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome of the lawsuits.

Legislation known as the U.K. Working Time Directive was introduced in August 2003 and may be applicable to our employees and employees of other drilling contractors that work offshore in U.K. territorial waters or in the U.K. sector of the North Sea. Certain trade unions representing offshore employees have claimed that drilling contractors are not in compliance with the U.K. Working Time Directive in respect of paid time off (vacation time) for employees working offshore on a rotational basis (generally equal time working and off) and the related issues are subject to pending or potential judicial, administrative and legislative review. Based on information currently available, we do not expect the resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

In addition to the foregoing, we and our subsidiaries are named defendants in certain other lawsuits incidental to our business and are involved from time to time as parties to governmental proceedings, including matters related to taxation, arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcome of lawsuits or other proceedings involving us and our subsidiaries cannot be predicted with certainty and the amount of any liability that could arise with respect to such lawsuits or other proceedings cannot be predicted accurately, management does not expect these matters will have a material effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

During the first six months of 2007, jackup rig demand continued to meet or exceed supply in all major geographical regions except the Gulf of Mexico, where there continued to be an excess supply of available jackup rigs due to a decline in shallow-water drilling activity over recent years. Major and large independent oil and gas companies continue to divert spending outside of the shallow waters of the Gulf in search of more productive oil and gas fields.

Demand for ultra-deepwater drilling rigs exceeds the available supply, both internationally and in the Gulf of Mexico. As oil and gas companies expand the focus of their deepwater projects with the current supply shortfall, it is expected that the global deepwater fleet will remain near full utilization for the next several years.

Overall, the global supply of available rigs is anticipated to remain tight throughout 2007 as the fundamentals for oil and gas drilling are expected to remain favorable. There are, however, over 110 new jackup and semisubmersible rigs reported to be on order and scheduled for delivery starting in late 2007 through the end of 2010. For additional information concerning the potential risks and uncertainties these new drilling rigs may have on our industry, global supply, day rates and utilization, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Asia Pacific

During 2006, demand for jackup rigs in most of the Asia Pacific region markets exceeded the supply of available rigs. As a result, jackup rig utilization levels remained high and day rates continued to increase. During the first six months of 2007, demand for drilling rigs remained strong and drilling contractors continued to experience high day rates and utilization. Jackup rig drilling contracts in the Asia Pacific region historically have been for substantially longer durations than those in other geographical regions. Since day rates for such contracts normally are fixed, or fixed subject to adjustment for variations in the contractor's costs, our Asia Pacific operations generally are not subject to the same level of day rate volatility as regions where shorter term contracts are more prevalent.

Europe/Africa

Our Europe/Africa offshore drilling operations are mainly conducted in northern Europe (North Sea) where moderate duration jackup rig contracts are prevalent. During 2006, a strong backlog of firm commitments and options in northern Europe resulted in little or no availability of jackup rigs. This caused demand to exceed the supply of available rigs, resulting in a substantial increase in day rates from the prior year. During the first two quarters of 2007, jackup rig day rates and utilization levels remained strong and there appears to be very limited rig availability during the remainder of 2007.

North and South America

Our North and South America offshore drilling operations are mainly conducted in the Gulf of Mexico. The U.S. natural gas market and trends in oil and gas company spending largely determine offshore drilling industry conditions and demand for rigs in this region. Gulf of Mexico jackup rig contracts are normally entered into for relatively short durations and day rates are adjusted to current market rates upon contract renewal. Gulf of Mexico rigs may remain contracted with the same customer for several years, but the contractual day rates are periodically renegotiated by reference to prevailing market day rates and adjusted accordingly.

Table of Contents

During the first five months of 2006, jackup rig day rates in the Gulf of Mexico experienced a fairly rapid increase due to the decreased supply of available rigs in the region resulting from the departure of rigs contracted to work in international waters. However, the impact of the decreased supply of available jackup rigs was more than offset by a decrease in demand that began late in the second quarter of 2006, as oil and gas companies were reluctant to start new projects in view of the upcoming hurricane season. Additionally, a decrease in the price of natural gas, increased insurance costs, and the limited availability of hurricane risk insurance coverage resulting in uninsured exposure, also made this region less attractive to oil and gas companies. As a result, jackup rig day rates began to moderate late in the second quarter of 2006 and remained under pressure during the rest of the year.

By the end of the second quarter of 2007, demand for jackup rigs in the Gulf of Mexico appeared to have stabilized, although average day rates softened during the second quarter as a result of competition for work among drilling contractors particularly related to smaller premium jackup rigs. Rates for jackup rigs remain at higher levels in most international markets due to the current shortage of available rigs. We anticipate additional jackup rigs may depart the U.S. Gulf of Mexico for longer term international contract opportunities which, in turn, will help bring Gulf of Mexico rig supply more into balance with demand.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following analysis highlights our consolidated operating results (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues	\$548.6	\$475.2	\$1,062.7	\$856.8
Operating expenses				
Contract drilling	168.8	146.4	331.6	274.3
Depreciation and amortization	46.8	44.1	91.9	86.1
General and administrative	19.1	10.5	35.1	20.9
Operating income	313.9	274.2	604.1	475.5
Other income (expense), net	7.8	(3.4)	17.4	(7.0)
Provision for income taxes	67.3	76.8	134.8	130.3
Income from continuing operations	254.4	194.0	486.7	338.2
Income from discontinued operations, net	--	.7	--	5.7
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net	--	--	--	.6
Net income	\$254.4	\$194.7	\$ 486.7	\$344.5

For the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, revenues increased by \$73.4 million, or 15%, and operating income increased by \$39.7 million, or 14%, from the prior year quarter. The increases were primarily due to improved average day rates of our jackup rigs in the Europe/Africa and Asia Pacific regions, partially offset by a reduction of average day rates and utilization of our Gulf of Mexico jackup rigs.

Table of Contents

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, revenues increased by \$205.9 million, or 24%, and operating income increased by \$128.6 million, or 27%, from the prior year period. The increases were primarily due to improved average day rates of our jackup rigs in the Europe/Africa and Asia Pacific regions, partially offset by a reduction of average day rates and utilization of our Gulf of Mexico jackup rigs. Detailed explanations of our operating results for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, including discussions of revenues and contract drilling expense based on geographical location and type of rig, are set forth below.

Revenue and Contract Drilling Expense

The following is an analysis of our revenues, contract drilling expense, rig utilization and average day rates from continuing operations (in millions, except utilization and day rates):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Revenues				
Jackup rigs:				
Asia Pacific	\$223.6	\$139.5	\$ 422.4	\$255.8
Europe/Africa	173.3	121.9	321.5	226.0
North and South America	129.2	191.7	273.4	338.7
<hr/>				
Total jackup rigs	526.1	453.1	1,017.3	820.5
Semisubmersible rig - North America	18.0	17.0	35.7	26.1
Barge rig - Asia Pacific	4.5	5.1	9.7	10.2
<hr/>				
Total	\$548.6	\$475.2	\$1,062.7	\$856.8

Contract Drilling Expense

Jackup rigs:				
Asia Pacific	\$ 63.5	\$ 55.9	\$ 124.4	\$ 99.7
Europe/Africa	52.3	35.3	100.0	74.4
North and South America	43.9	45.5	88.7	81.9
<hr/>				
Total jackup rigs	159.7	136.7	313.1	256.0
Semisubmersible rig - North America	6.6	6.9	12.7	12.7
Barge rig - Asia Pacific	2.5	2.8	5.8	5.6
<hr/>				
Total	\$168.8	\$146.4	\$ 331.6	\$274.3

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Rig Utilization⁽¹⁾				
Jackup rigs:				
Asia Pacific	99%	98%	99%	97%
Europe/Africa	100%	100%	98%	100%
North and South America	82%	95%	84%	88%
<hr/>				
Total jackup rigs	93%	97%	93%	94%
Semisubmersible rig - North America	97%	98%	97%	79%
Barge rig - Asia Pacific	80%	96%	90%	98%
<hr/>				
Total	93%	97%	93%	94%

Average Day Rates:⁽²⁾

Jackup rigs:				
Asia Pacific	\$134,929	\$ 88,351	\$127,839	\$ 84,518
Europe/Africa	195,211	144,510	189,208	139,548
North and South America	113,696	129,664	115,846	123,322
<hr/>				
Total jackup rigs	142,895	114,289	138,077	109,085
Semisubmersible rig - North America	200,188	187,296	197,977	187,873
Barge rig - Asia Pacific	65,788	57,109	59,948	56,479
<hr/>				
Total	\$143,153	\$116,690	\$137,984	\$111,146

(1) Rig utilization is derived by dividing the number of days under contract, including days associated with compensated mobilizations, by the number of days in the period.

(2) Average day rates are derived by dividing contract drilling revenue by the aggregate number of contract days, adjusted to exclude certain types of non-recurring reimbursable revenue and lump sum revenue and contract days associated with certain mobilizations, demobilizations, shipyard contracts and standby contracts.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of our offshore drilling rigs by location:

	Number of Rigs	
	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2006</u>
Jackup rigs:		
Asia Pacific ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	19	17
Europe/Africa ⁽³⁾	10	9
North and South America ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	15	17
Under construction ⁽²⁾	--	1
Total jackup rigs	44	44
Semisubmersible rigs:		
North America	1	1
Under construction	4	2
Total semisubmersible rigs	5	3
Barge rig - Asia Pacific	1	1
Total⁽⁴⁾	50	48

(1) During the third quarter of 2006, we mobilized ENSCO 84 from the Gulf of Mexico to Qatar.

(2) Upon completion of its construction in the first quarter of 2007, we accepted delivery of ENSCO 108, an ultra-high specification jackup rig that commenced drilling operations offshore Indonesia.

(3) During the second quarter of 2007, we mobilized ENSCO 105 from the Gulf of Mexico to Tunisia.

(4) The total number of rigs excludes rigs reclassified as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Asia Pacific Jackup Rigs

Second quarter 2007 revenues for the Asia Pacific jackup rigs increased by \$84.1 million, or 60%, as compared to the prior year quarter. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 53% increase in average day rates and the increased size of the Asia Pacific fleet. The increase in average day rates resulted from stronger demand due to higher levels of spending by oil and gas companies coupled with limited rig availability in the region. Contract drilling expense increased by \$7.6 million, or 14%, as compared to the prior year quarter due to the increased size of the fleet as well as higher personnel costs and repair and maintenance expense. The increase in contract drilling expense was partially offset by a \$3.0 million estimated loss recognized in the prior year quarter related to damage sustained by ENSCO 107 while pre-loading on a drilling location offshore Vietnam.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, revenues for the Asia Pacific jackup rigs increased by \$166.6 million, or 65%, as compared to the prior year period. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 51% increase in average day rates and the increased size of the Asia Pacific fleet. The increase in average day rates resulted from stronger demand and limited rig availability as noted above. Contract drilling expense increased by \$24.7 million, or 25%, as compared to the prior year period due partially to the increase in the size of the fleet as well as increased personnel costs, mobilization expense, and repair and maintenance expense. The increased costs were partially offset by the aforementioned \$3.0 million loss provision related to ENSCO 107 in 2006.

Europe/Africa Jackup Rigs

Second quarter 2007 revenues for the Europe/Africa jackup rigs increased by \$51.4 million, or 42%, as compared to the prior year quarter. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 35% increase in average day rates and, to a lesser extent, the addition of ENSCO 105 to the Europe/Africa jackup fleet in April of 2007, which provided \$9.9 million of revenue in the current quarter. The improvement in average day rates was attributable to improved demand resulting from increased spending by oil and gas companies. Contract drilling expense increased by \$17.0 million, or 48%, from the prior year quarter due primarily to the addition of ENSCO 105, which added \$5.7 million of expense in the current quarter, and to increased personnel costs, reimbursable expenses, and repair and maintenance expense, all of which were partially offset by a reduction in mobilization expense.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, revenues for the Europe/Africa jackup rigs increased by \$95.5 million, or 42%, from the prior year period. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to a 36% increase in the average day rates, and to a lesser extent, the addition of ENSCO 105 to the Europe/Africa jackup fleet in April 2007. The improvement in average day rates was attributable to improved demand resulting from increased spending by oil and gas companies. Contract drilling expense increased by \$25.6 million, or 34%, from the prior year period due to the addition of ENSCO 105 and to increased personnel costs, reimbursable expenses, and repair and maintenance expense, all of which were partially offset by a reduction in mobilization expense.

Table of Contents

North and South America Jackup Rigs

Second quarter 2007 revenues for the North and South America jackup rigs decreased by \$62.5 million, or 33%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease in revenues was partially due to the reduced size of the North and South America jackup fleet as two rigs were relocated from the Gulf of Mexico during the first quarter of 2007 and the third quarter of 2006. The two jackup rigs provided \$23.5 million of revenue during the second quarter of 2006. The decrease in revenues also was due to a decrease in utilization to 82% in 2007 from 95% in 2006 and a 12% decrease in average day rates. The decrease in utilization and average day rates was primarily attributable to a decrease in demand by oil and gas companies as they have reduced shallow water spending in this region. The decrease in utilization also resulted from an increase in the amount of time rigs spent in shipyards during the current year quarter as compared to the prior year quarter. Second quarter 2007 contract drilling expense decreased by \$1.6 million, or 4%, compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease in contract drilling expense resulted from the reduction in fleet size and was partially offset by increased expenses relating to personnel, insurance, and repair and maintenance.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, revenues for the North and South America jackup rigs decreased by \$65.3 million, or 19%, compared to the prior year period. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to the reduced size of the fleet as noted above, which caused a reduction of \$38.7 million of revenue, in addition to a decrease in utilization to 84% in 2007 from 88% in 2006 and a 6% decrease in average day rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, contract drilling expense increased by \$6.8 million, or 8%, compared to the prior year period. The increase in contract drilling expense was primarily attributable to increased personnel, insurance, and repair and maintenance expenses, partially offset by a decrease attributable to the reduced size of the fleet.

North America Semisubmersible Rig

Second quarter 2007 revenues for ENSCO 7500 increased by \$1.0 million, or 6%, and contract drilling expense decreased by \$300,000 or 4%, as compared to the prior year second quarter. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 7% increase in the average day rate. The decrease in contract drilling expense is primarily due to a reduction of repair and maintenance expense partially offset by increased personnel costs.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, revenues for ENSCO 7500 increased \$9.6 million, or 37%, primarily due to a 5% increase in the average day rate and an increase in utilization to 97% in 2007 from 79% in 2006 as ENSCO 7500 was idle for approximately one month in the prior year while undergoing minor enhancement and preparatory work for its current contract. There was no change in contract drilling expense as increased personnel and insurance costs were offset by a reduction of repair and maintenance expense.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$2.7 million, or 6%, as compared to the prior year quarter. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation associated with ENSCO 108, which was placed into service in April 2007, and capital enhancement and upgrade projects completed subsequent to the second quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$5.8 million, or 7%, as compared to the corresponding prior year period. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation associated with ENSCO 108 and ENSCO 107, which were placed into service in April 2007 and March 2006, respectively, and capital enhancement and upgrade projects completed subsequent to the second quarter of 2006.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$8.6 million, or 82%, as compared to the prior year quarter. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$6.8 million expense we incurred during the quarter in connection with a retirement agreement entered into in February of 2007 with our former CEO and non-executive Chairman of our Board of Directors. The increase is also attributable to a general increase in salary and share-based employee compensation expense as compared to the prior year quarter.

General and administrative expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$14.2 million, or 68%, as compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$10.7 million expense we incurred during the period in connection with the retirement agreement noted above and to a general increase in salary and share-based employee compensation expense as compared to the prior year period. These increases were partially offset by a one-time discretionary \$1.1 million cash contribution made during the first quarter of 2006 to the ENSCO 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan account of our new Chief Executive Officer for pension and other benefits forfeited under his previous employment.

Other Income (Expense)

The following is an analysis of other income (expense) (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Interest income	\$ 6.3	\$ 2.7	\$ 12.5	\$ 5.0
Interest expense, net:				
Interest expense	(8.2)	(9.5)	(16.8)	(18.2)
Capitalized interest	7.4	4.6	14.9	9.1
	(.8)	(4.9)	(1.9)	(9.1)
Other, net	2.3	(1.2)	6.8	(2.9)
	\$ 7.8	\$(3.4)	\$ 17.4	\$ (7.0)

Interest income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 increased as compared to the corresponding prior year periods due to higher average interest rates and an increase in cash balances invested. Interest expense decreased during the same periods due primarily to a decrease in outstanding debt. Capitalized interest for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 increased as compared to the corresponding prior year periods due to an increase in the amount invested in new rig construction projects.

Table of Contents

Other, net, in the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 includes net foreign currency translation gains of \$1.6 million and a \$2.7 million, respectively. Other, net, in the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 also includes a \$3.1 million net gain resulting from the settlement of litigation we initiated in relation to a non-operational dispute with a third party service provider. Other, net, in the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 includes net foreign currency translation losses of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes

The income tax provision for the three-month period ended June 30, 2007 decreased by \$9.5 million as compared to the prior year quarter. The decrease was attributable to a reduction in the effective tax rate due primarily to an increase in the relative portion of our earnings generated by foreign subsidiaries whose earnings are being permanently reinvested and taxed at lower rates. Additionally, the current quarter and prior year quarter effective tax rates were impacted by a \$2.3 million net benefit and \$4.6 million net expense, respectively, attributable to the resolution of certain tax issues relating to prior periods.

The provision for income taxes for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 increased by \$4.5 million as compared to the prior year period. The increase was attributable to increased profitability, partially offset by a reduction in the effective tax rate due to an increase in our earnings generated by foreign subsidiaries as noted above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Although our business is very cyclical, we historically have relied on our cash flow from continuing operations to meet liquidity needs and fund the majority of our cash requirements. Our management believes we have maintained a strong financial position through the disciplined and conservative use of debt. A substantial amount of our cash flow is invested in the expansion and enhancement of our fleet of drilling rigs.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, our primary sources of cash included \$532.4 million generated from continuing operations and \$25.3 million from the exercise of share options. Our primary use of cash for the same period included \$290.3 million for the construction, enhancement and other improvement of drilling rigs and \$272.4 million for the repurchase of common stock.

Table of Contents

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, our primary sources of cash included \$357.9 million generated from continuing operations, \$10.0 million from the disposal of discontinued operations and \$20.7 million from the exercise of share options. Our primary use of cash for the same period consisted of \$283.3 million for the construction, enhancement and other improvement of drilling rigs and \$52.2 million for the repurchase of common stock.

Detailed explanations of our liquidity and capital resources for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, are set forth below.

Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

Our cash flow from continuing operations and capital expenditures on continuing operations are as follows (in millions):

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Cash flow from continuing operations	\$532.4	\$357.9
Capital expenditures on continuing operations		
New construction	\$216.3	\$181.3
Enhancements	31.9	67.2
Minor upgrades and improvements	42.1	34.8
	\$290.3	\$283.3

Cash flow from our continuing operations increased by \$174.5 million, or 49%, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior year period. The increase resulted primarily from a \$196.7 million increase in cash receipts from drilling services and an \$18.2 million decrease in cash payments related to income taxes offset by a \$55.9 million increase in cash payments related to contract drilling expenses.

On June 7, 2007, we entered into an agreement with Keppel FELS Limited ("KFELS") in Singapore to construct ENSCO 8503 for a total project construction cost of approximately \$427.0 million, with delivery expected in the third quarter of 2010. ENSCO 8503 is our fourth ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig in the ENSCO 8500 Series™. The first three ENSCO 8500 Series™ rigs (ENSCO 8500, ENSCO 8501 and ENSCO 8502) are under construction by KFELS with expected deliveries in the second quarter of 2008, first quarter of 2009 and fourth quarter of 2009 respectively. The ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 are subject to long term drilling contracts of four years and three and one-half years, respectively.

Table of Contents

On March 30, 2007, we accepted delivery of ENSCO 108, an ultra-high specification jackup rig, and made the final construction installment payment of \$23.4 million in April of 2007.

We currently expect that capital expenditures in 2007 will include approximately \$80.0 million for minor rig upgrades and improvements, \$80.0 million for rig enhancement projects and approximately \$390.0 million for new rig construction, which includes progress payments on the four ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs under construction and the final payment on ENSCO 108. Depending on market conditions and opportunities, we may also make capital expenditures to construct or acquire additional rigs.

Contractual Obligations

On June 7, 2007, we entered into an agreement to construct ENSCO 8503 for a total contractual commitment of approximately \$403.4 million. Of this amount, we project that \$86.0 million is due in 2007, an aggregate \$198.9 million is due in the years 2008 and 2009, and \$118.5 million is due in the year 2010. We expect to fund this commitment from our existing cash and cash equivalents and future operating cash flow.

The contractual obligations disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, did not include unrecognized tax benefits. We adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109," on January 1, 2007 and had \$19.3 million of unrecognized tax benefits upon adoption. Substantially all of our unrecognized tax benefits relate to uncertain tax positions that are not currently under review by taxing authorities and we are therefore unable to specify the future periods in which we may be obligated to settle such amounts.

Financing and Capital Resources

Our long-term debt, total capital and long-term debt to total capital ratios are summarized below (in millions, except percentages):

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2006</u>
Long-term debt	\$ 299.9	\$ 308.5
Total capital*	3,779.7	3,524.5
Long-term debt to total capital	7.9%	8.8%

*Total capital includes long-term debt plus stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

We have \$150.0 million of outstanding notes that are classified in "Current maturities of long-term debt" on our June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, consolidated balance sheets because the notes mature in November 2007. Accordingly, these obligations are not included in our long-term debt, total capital and long-term debt to total capital ratios above.

On March 14, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of our outstanding common stock. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2007, we repurchased approximately 5.0 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$272.4 million (an average cost of \$54.53 per share). Since the program's inception in March of 2006, we have repurchased approximately 8.5 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$432.4 million (an average cost of \$51.13 per share).

Liquidity

The Company's liquidity position is summarized in the table below (in millions, except ratios):

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2007</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2006</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$547.0	\$565.8
Working capital	673.3	602.3
Current ratio	2.6	2.6

We expect to fund our short-term liquidity needs, including contractual obligations, anticipated capital expenditures and stock repurchases, as well as any working capital requirements, from our cash and cash equivalents and operating cash flow.

We expect to fund our long-term liquidity needs, including contractual obligations and anticipated capital expenditures, from our cash and cash equivalents, operating cash flow and, if necessary, from funds borrowed under our \$350.0 million credit facility (which remains undrawn since inception), or borrowings under other future financing arrangements.

We historically have funded the majority of our liquidity from operating cash flow. We anticipate a substantial amount of our cash flow in the near to intermediate-term will continue to be invested in the expansion of our deepwater drilling fleet. While future operating cash flow cannot be accurately predicted, based on our contractual backlog and current industry conditions, our management believes our long-term liquidity will continue to be funded primarily by operating cash flow.

Table of Contents

MARKET RISK

We have net assets and liabilities denominated in numerous foreign currencies and use various methods to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. We predominantly structure our contracts in U.S. dollars, which significantly reduces the portion of our cash flows and assets denominated in foreign currencies. We also employ various strategies, including the use of derivative instruments, to match foreign currency denominated assets with equal or near equal amounts of foreign currency denominated liabilities, thereby minimizing exposure to earnings fluctuations caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We also utilize derivative instruments to hedge forecasted foreign currency denominated transactions. At June 30, 2007, we had contracts outstanding to exchange an aggregate \$264.8 million U.S. dollars for various foreign currencies, all of which mature during the next eighteen months. If we were to incur a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates, the net unrealized loss associated with our foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and related foreign currency exchange contracts as of June 30, 2007 would approximate \$17.5 million.

We utilize derivative instruments and undertake foreign currency hedging activities in accordance with our established policies for the management of market risk. We do not enter into derivative instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. We believe that our use of derivative instruments and related hedging activities do not expose us to any material interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk, credit risk or any other material market rate or price risk.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of our financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires our management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our significant accounting policies are included in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. These policies, along with our underlying assumptions and judgments made in their application, have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. We identify our most critical accounting policies as those that are the most pervasive and important to the portrayal of our financial position and operating results, and that require the most difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments by our management regarding estimates in matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting policies are those related to property and equipment, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, and income taxes.

Property and Equipment

At June 30, 2007, the carrying value of our property and equipment totaled \$3,147.7 million, which represents 68% of total assets. This carrying value reflects the application of our property and equipment accounting policies, which incorporate our management's estimates, assumptions and judgments relative to the capitalized costs, useful lives and salvage values of our rigs.

Table of Contents

We develop and apply property and equipment accounting policies that are designed to appropriately and consistently capitalize those costs incurred to enhance, improve and extend the useful lives of our assets and expense those costs incurred to repair or maintain the existing condition or useful lives of our assets. The development and application of such policies requires judgment and assumptions by our management relative to the nature of, and benefits from, expenditures on our assets. We establish property and equipment accounting policies that are designed to depreciate or amortize our assets over their estimated useful lives. The assumptions and judgments used by our management in determining the estimated useful lives of our property and equipment reflect both historical experience and expectations regarding future operations, utilization and performance of our assets. The use of different estimates, assumptions and judgments in the establishment of our property and equipment accounting policies, especially those involving the useful lives of our rigs, would likely result in materially different carrying values of assets and operating results.

For additional information concerning the useful lives of our drilling rigs, including an analysis of the impact of various changes in useful life assumptions, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Goodwill

We evaluate the carrying value of our property and equipment, primarily our drilling rigs, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such rigs may not be recoverable. Generally, extended periods of idle time and/or inability to contract rigs at economical rates are an indication that a rig may be impaired. However, the offshore drilling industry is highly cyclical and it is not unusual for rigs to be unutilized or underutilized for significant periods of time and subsequently resume full or near full utilization when business cycles change. Likewise, during periods of supply and demand imbalance, rigs are frequently contracted at or near cash break-even rates for extended periods of time until demand comes back into balance with supply. Impairment situations may arise with respect to specific individual rigs, groups of rigs, such as a specific type of drilling rig, or rigs in a certain geographic location. Our rigs are mobile and may generally be moved from markets with excess supply, if economically feasible. Our jackup rigs and semisubmersible rigs are suited for, and accessible to, broad and numerous markets throughout the world. However, there are fewer economically feasible markets available to our barge rig.

We test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that a potential impairment exists. The goodwill impairment test requires us to identify reporting units and estimate the fair value of those units as of the testing date. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, its goodwill is considered not impaired. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, we estimate the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to such excess. In the event we dispose of drilling rig operations that constitute a business, goodwill would be allocated in the determination of gain or loss on sale. Based on our goodwill impairment analysis performed as of December 31, 2006, there was no impairment of goodwill.

Asset impairment evaluations are, by nature, highly subjective. In most instances they involve expectations of future cash flows to be generated by our drilling rigs, and are based on our management's assumptions and judgments regarding future industry conditions and operations, as well as our management's estimates of future expected utilization, contract rates, expense levels and capital requirements of our drilling rigs. The estimates, assumptions and judgments used by our management in the application of our asset impairment policies reflect both historical experience and an assessment of current operational, industry, economic and political environments. The use of different estimates, assumptions, judgments and expectations regarding future industry conditions and operations would likely result in materially different carrying values of assets and operating results.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We conduct operations and earn income in numerous international countries and are subject to the laws of tax jurisdictions within those countries, as well as U.S. federal and state tax laws. At June 30, 2007, we had a \$336.8 million net deferred income tax liability, a \$64.8 million liability for income taxes currently payable and a \$20.5 million liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

The carrying values of deferred income tax assets and liabilities reflect the application of our income tax accounting policies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS 109"), and are based on our management's assumptions and estimates regarding future operating results and levels of taxable income, as well as our management's judgments regarding the interpretation of the provisions of SFAS 109. Carryforwards and tax credits are assessed for realization as a reduction of future taxable income by using a more-likely-than-not determination. A U.S. deferred tax liability has not been recognized for undistributed earnings of our non-U.S. subsidiaries because it is not practicable to estimate. Should we elect to make a distribution of these earnings, or be deemed to have made a distribution of them through application of various provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, we may be subject to additional U.S. income taxes.

The carrying values of liabilities for income taxes currently payable and unrecognized tax benefits are based on management's interpretation of applicable tax laws, and incorporate management's assumptions and judgments regarding the use of tax planning strategies in various taxing jurisdictions. The use of different estimates, assumptions and judgments in connection with accounting for income taxes, especially those involving tax planning strategies, may result in materially different carrying values of income tax assets and liabilities and operating results.

We operate in many international jurisdictions where tax laws relating to the offshore drilling industry are not well developed. In jurisdictions where available statutory law and regulations are incomplete or underdeveloped, we obtain professional guidance and consider existing industry practices before utilizing tax planning strategies and meeting our tax obligations. Tax returns are routinely subject to audit in most jurisdictions and tax liabilities are frequently finalized through a negotiation process. While we historically have not experienced significant adjustments to previously recognized tax assets and liabilities as a result of finalizing tax returns, there can be no assurance that significant adjustments will not arise in the future. In addition, there are several factors that could cause the future level of uncertainty relating to our tax liabilities to increase, including the following:

During recent years the portion of our overall operations conducted in international tax jurisdictions has been increasing and we currently anticipate this trend will continue.

In order to utilize tax planning strategies and conduct international operations efficiently, our subsidiaries frequently enter into transactions with affiliates which generally are subject to complex tax regulations and frequently are reviewed by taxing authorities.

We may conduct future operations in certain tax jurisdictions where tax laws are not well developed and it may be difficult to secure adequate professional guidance.

Tax laws, regulations, agreements and treaties change frequently, requiring us to modify existing tax strategies to conform to such changes.

Table of Contents

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure certain financial assets and liabilities and other eligible items at fair value, which are not otherwise currently required to be measured at fair value. Under SFAS 159, the decision to measure items at fair value is made at specified election dates on an irrevocable instrument-by-instrument basis. Entities electing the fair value option would be required to recognize changes in fair value in earnings and to expense upfront cost and fees associated with the item for which the fair value option is elected. If we elect the fair value option provided for in this standard, we would adopt SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008. We have not yet determined whether we will elect the option provided for in this standard, or the impact that the elective adoption may have on our consolidated financial position, operating results or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, provides a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and expands the disclosures required for fair value measurements. SFAS 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require fair value measurements; it does not require any new fair value measurements. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect this statement will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Information required under Item 3. has been incorporated into "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Market Risk".

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures*

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), are effective.

During the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2007, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

In August 2004, we and certain current and former subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with numerous other third party companies as co-defendants, in three multi-party lawsuits filed in the Circuit Courts of Jones County (Second Judicial District) and Jasper County (First Judicial District), Mississippi. The lawsuits sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages on behalf of individuals alleging personal injury or death, primarily under the Jones Act, purportedly resulting from exposure to asbestos on drilling rigs and associated facilities during the period 1965 through 1986.

In compliance with the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure, as decided by the Mississippi Supreme Court in *Harold's Auto Parts, Inc. v. Flower Mangialardi*, 889 So. 2d 493 (Miss. 2004), the individual claimants in the original multi-party lawsuits whose claims were not dismissed were ordered to file either new or amended single plaintiff complaints, naming the specific defendant(s) against whom they intended to pursue claims. As a result, out of more than 600 initial pending claims, we have been named as a defendant by 62 individual plaintiffs. Of these claims, there are 60 claims or lawsuits pending in Mississippi state courts and two pending in the United States District Court as a result of their removal from state court.

We intend to vigorously defend against these claims and have filed responsive pleadings preserving all defenses and challenges to jurisdiction and venue. However, inasmuch as discovery is in the very early stages and available information regarding the nature of these claims is limited, we cannot reasonably determine if the claimants have valid claims under the Jones Act or estimate a range of potential liability exposure, if any. At present, none of the pending Mississippi asbestos lawsuits have been set for trial. Although we do not expect the final disposition of these lawsuits to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, operating results or cash flows, there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome of the lawsuits.

In addition to the foregoing, we and our subsidiaries are named defendants in certain other lawsuits incidental to our business and are involved from time to time as parties to governmental proceedings, including matters related to taxation, all arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcome of lawsuits or other proceedings involving us and our subsidiaries cannot be predicted with certainty and the amount of any liability that could arise with respect to such lawsuits or other proceedings cannot be predicted accurately, management does not expect these matters to have a material effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There are numerous factors that affect our business and the results of our operations, many of which are beyond our control. In addition to the other information set forth in this quarterly report, you should carefully read and consider "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I, and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, which contain a description of significant factors that might cause the actual results of operations in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or desired. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Following is a summary of our repurchases of common stock during the three month period ended June 30, 2007:

<u>Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>				
<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under Plans or Programs</u>
April 1 - April 30	830,000	\$54.97	830,000	\$166,743,000
May 1 - May 31	945,843	58.23	880,000	\$115,602,000
June 1 - June 30	808,372	61.10	785,800	\$ 67,577,000
Total	2,584,215	\$58.08	2,495,800	

On March 14, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of our outstanding common stock. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, we repurchased 2,495,800 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$144.8 million (an average cost of \$58.02 per share). Additionally, we repurchased 88,415 shares at an average cost of \$59.92 per share during the three-month period ended June 30, 2007, from employees in connection with the settlement of tax withholding obligations arising from the vesting of share awards.

Table of Contents**Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

We held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 22, 2007 (the "2007 Annual Meeting"). There were 149,872,259 shares of our common stock entitled to vote at the 2007 Annual Meeting based on the March 26, 2007 record date, of which 129,692,578 shares, or approximately 87%, were present and voting in person or by proxy at the 2007 Annual Meeting. The following matters, detailed descriptions of which were contained in our proxy statement dated March 27, 2007, were voted on at the 2007 Annual Meeting:

- (i) Election of Class III Directors, each for a three-year term:

	<u>Votes For</u>	<u>Votes Against</u>	<u>Votes Abstain</u>
David M. Carmichael	128,263,359	513,662	915,556
Thomas L. Kelly II	127,446,995	1,348,936	896,647
Rita M. Rodriguez	128,259,837	527,371	905,369

The terms of the following directors continued after the meeting: Gerald W. Haddock, Morton H. Meyerson, Daniel W. Rabun, Paul E. Rowsey, III and Joel V. Staff.

- (ii) Ratification of the Audit Committee's appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent accountants for 2007:

<u>Votes For</u>	<u>Votes Against</u>	<u>Votes Abstain</u>
128,089,388	784,805	818,385

There are no broker non-votes for this item.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on March 21, 2005, File No. 1-08097).
3.2	Revised and Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective November 9, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2004, File No. 1-08097).
4.1	Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 1) for the year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 1-08097).
4.2	Indenture, dated November 20, 1997, between the Company and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 24, 1997, File No. 1-08097).
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture, dated November 20, 1997, between the Company and Bankers Trust Company, as trustee, supplementing the Indenture dated as of November 20, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 24, 1997, File No. 1-08097).
4.4	Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 24, 1997, File No. 1-08097).
4.5	Form of Debenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 24, 1997, File No. 1-08097).
10.1	Tax Payment Compensatory Agreement dated May 30, 2007 between the ENSCO International Incorporated and Paul Mars (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 1, 2007, File No. 1-08097).
*15.1	Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information.
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Registrant Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Registrant Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Registrant Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Registrant Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

**Furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENSCO INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED

Date: July 24, 2007

/s/ J. W. SWENT

J. W. Swent
Senior Vice President -
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ H. E. MALONE, JR.

H. E. Malone, Jr.
Vice President - Finance

/s/ DAVID A. ARMOUR

David A. Armour
Controller