

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO  
Form 10-Q  
May 06, 2009

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED March 31, 2009  
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number	Registrants, State of Incorporation, Address, and Telephone Number	I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
001-09120	PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED (A New Jersey Corporation) 80 Park Plaza, P.O. Box 1171 Newark, New Jersey 07101-1171 973 430-7000 <a href="http://www.pseg.com">http://www.pseg.com</a>	22-2625848
001-34232	PSEG POWER LLC (A Delaware Limited Liability Company) 80 Park Plaza T25 Newark, New Jersey 07102-4194 973 430-7000 <a href="http://www.pseg.com">http://www.pseg.com</a>	22-3663480
001-00973	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY (A New Jersey Corporation) 80 Park Plaza, P.O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101-0570 973 430-7000 <a href="http://www.pseg.com">http://www.pseg.com</a>	22-1212800

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes S

No  Yes

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on their corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

*(Cover continued on next page)*

---

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

(Cover continued from previous page)

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated	Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> S	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> £
PSEG Power LLC	Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> S	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> £
Public Service Electric and Gas Company	Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> £	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> S	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> £

Indicate by check mark whether any of the registrants is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No  S

As of April 15, 2009, Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated had outstanding 505,985,764 shares of its sole class of Common Stock, without par value.

PSEG Power LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated and meets the conditions set forth in General Instruction H(1) (a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is filing its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the reduced disclosure format authorized by General Instruction H.

As of April 15, 2009, Public Service Electric and Gas Company had issued and outstanding 132,450,344 shares of Common Stock, without nominal or par value, all of which were privately held, beneficially and of record by Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated.

---

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u></b>	ii
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated</u>	1
<u>PSEG Power LLC</u>	5
<u>Public Service Electric and Gas Company</u>	8
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	12
<u>Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards</u>	13
<u>Note 3. Discontinued Operations and Dispositions</u>	15
<u>Note 4. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits (OPEB)</u>	16
<u>Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities</u>	17
<u>Note 6. Changes in Capitalization</u>	28
<u>Note 7. Financial Risk Management Activities</u>	28
<u>Note 8. Fair Value Measurements</u>	35
<u>Note 9. Other Income and Deductions</u>	40
<u>Note 10. Income Taxes</u>	40
<u>Note 11. Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax</u>	41
<u>Note 12. Earnings Per Share</u>	42
<u>Note 13. Financial Information by Business Segments</u>	43
<u>Note 14. Related-Party Transactions</u>	43
<u>Note 15. Guarantees of Debt</u>	46
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	48
<u>Overview of 2009 and Future Outlook</u>	48
<u>Results of Operations</u>	50
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	57
<u>Capital Requirements</u>	60
<u>Accounting Matters</u>	60
Item 3. <u>Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	60
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	62
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	63
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	63
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	63
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	64
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	64

Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	66
	<u>Signatures</u>	67

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain of the matters discussed in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Such statements are based on management's beliefs as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. When used herein, the words anticipate, intend, estimate, believe, expect, plan, hypothetical, potential, forecast, of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ are often presented with the forward-looking statements themselves. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements made by us herein are discussed in Item 1. Financial Statements Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and other factors discussed in filings we make with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These factors include, but are not limited to:

Adverse changes in energy industry, policies and regulation, including market structures and rules.

New energy legislation.

Any inability of our energy transmission and distribution businesses to obtain adequate and timely rate relief and regulatory approvals from federal and state regulators.

Changes in federal and/or state environmental regulations that could increase our costs or limit operations of our generating units.

Changes in nuclear regulation and/or developments in the nuclear power industry generally, that could limit operations of our nuclear generating units.

Actions or activities at one of our nuclear units that might adversely affect our ability to continue to operate that unit or other units at the same site.

Any inability to balance our energy obligations, available supply and trading risks.

Any deterioration in our credit quality.

Availability of capital and credit at reasonable pricing terms and our ability to meet cash needs.

Any inability to realize anticipated tax benefits or retain tax credits.

Increases in the cost of, or interruption in the supply of, fuel and other

commodities  
necessary to the  
operation of our  
generating units.

Delays or cost  
escalations in our  
construction and  
development  
activities.

Adverse  
investment  
performance of  
our  
decommissioning  
and defined  
benefit plan trust  
funds and changes  
in discount rates  
and funding  
requirements.

Changes in  
technology and/or  
increased  
customer  
conservation.

Additional information concerning these factors is set forth in Part II under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

All of the forward-looking statements made in this report are qualified by these cautionary statements and we cannot assure you that the results or developments anticipated by management will be realized, or even if realized, will have the expected consequences to, or effects on, us or our business prospects, financial condition or results of operations. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements in making any investment decision. Forward-looking statements made in this report only apply as of the date of this report. While we may elect to update forward-looking statements from time to time, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, even if internal estimates change, unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are intended to qualify for the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 3,921	\$ 3,792
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Energy Costs	2,068	2,119
Operation and Maintenance	675	627
Depreciation and Amortization	207	192
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	44	43
Total Operating Expenses	2,994	2,981
OPERATING INCOME	927	811
Income from Equity Method Investments	10	12
Other Income	71	93
Other Deductions	(115 )	(95 )
Interest Expense	(145 )	(153 )
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	748	668
Income Tax Expense	(304 )	(233 )
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	444	435
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax expense of \$6		13
NET INCOME	\$ 444	\$ 448
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING (THOUSANDS):		
BASIC	505,986	508,490
DILUTED	506,548	510,107
EARNINGS PER SHARE:		
BASIC		
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.86
NET INCOME	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.88

DILUTED			
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$	0.88	\$ 0.85
NET INCOME	\$	0.88	\$ 0.88
DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK	\$	0.3325	\$ 0.3225

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 1,232	\$ 321
Accounts Receivable, net of allowances of \$72 in 2009 and \$66 in 2008	1,285	1,398
Unbilled Revenues	521	454
Fuel	520	938
Materials and Supplies	326	317
Prepayments	81	150
Restricted Funds	15	118
Derivative Contracts	207	237
Other	91	66
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>4,278</b>	<b>3,999</b>
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>21,172</b>	<b>20,818</b>
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(6,531 )	(6,385 )
<b>Net Property, Plant and Equipment</b>	<b>14,641</b>	<b>14,433</b>
<b>NONCURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Regulatory Assets	6,236	6,352
Long-Term Investments	2,570	2,695
Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds	954	970
Other Special Funds	136	133
Goodwill and Other Intangibles	104	69
Derivative Contracts	155	160
Other	228	238
<b>Total Noncurrent Assets</b>	<b>10,383</b>	<b>10,617</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 29,302</b>	<b>\$ 29,049</b>

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



**PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$ 1,055	\$ 1,033
Commercial Paper and Loans		19
Accounts Payable	925	1,227
Derivative Contracts	374	356
Accrued Interest	160	99
Accrued Taxes	387	8
Clean Energy Program	145	142
Obligation to Return Cash Collateral	105	102
Other	447	424
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>3,598</b>	<b>3,410</b>
<b>NONCURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Deferred Income Taxes and Investment Tax Credits (ITC)	3,925	3,865
Regulatory Liabilities	415	355
Asset Retirement Obligations	586	576
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs	970	975
Accrued Pension Costs	962	1,196
Clean Energy Program	489	532
Environmental Costs	739	743
Derivative Contracts	135	164
Long-Term Accrued Taxes	1,200	1,241
Other	135	125
<b>Total Noncurrent Liabilities</b>	<b>9,556</b>	<b>9,772</b>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 5)</b>		
<b>CAPITALIZATION</b>		
<b>LONG-TERM DEBT</b>		
Long-Term Debt	6,521	6,621
Securitization Debt	1,297	1,342

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Project Level, Non-Recourse Debt	41	42
Total Long-Term Debt	7,859	8,005
SUBSIDIARY S PREFERRED STOCK WITHOUT MANDATORY REDEMPTION	80	80
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common Stock, no par, authorized 1,000,000,000 shares; issued, 2009 and 2008 533,556,660 shares	4,764	4,756
Treasury Stock, at cost, 2009 27,570,896 shares; 2008 27,538,762 shares	(583 )	(581 )
Retained Earnings	4,049	3,773
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(31 )	(177 )
Total Common Stockholders Equity	8,199	7,771
Noncontrolling Interest Equity Investments	10	11
Total Capitalization	16,148	15,867
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	\$ 29,302	\$ 29,049

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Income	\$ 444	\$ 448
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	207	193
Amortization of Nuclear Fuel	29	24
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes (Other than Leases) and ITC	19	3
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	87	42
Leveraged Lease Income, Adjusted for Rents Received and Deferred Taxes	(106 )	(26 )
Undistributed Earnings from Affiliates	(7 )	(21 )
Net Realized and Unrealized Gains on Energy Contracts and Other Derivatives	(48 )	(20 )
Over (Under) Recovery of Electric Energy Costs (BGS and NTC) and Gas Costs	60	(38 )
Over Recovery of Societal Benefits Charge (SBC)	44	31
Cost of Removal	(9 )	(9 )
Net Realized Losses and Expense from NDT Funds	39	8
Net Change in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities	927	400
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(281 )	(24 )
Other	(16 )	32
<b>Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>1,043</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(402 )	(323 )
Proceeds from the Sale of Capital Leases and Investments	140	40
Proceeds from NDT Funds Sales	559	623
Investment in NDT Funds	(568 )	(631 )
Restricted Funds	105	21
NDT Funds Interest and Dividends	10	11
Other	(9 )	(2 )
<b>Net Cash Used In Investing Activities</b>	<b>(165 )</b>	<b>(261 )</b>

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net Change in Commercial Paper and Loans	(19 )	63
Issuance of Long-Term Debt	209	300
Payment of Long-Term Debt	(10 )	(1,013 )
Payment of Non-Recourse Debt	(281 )	(13 )
Payment of Securitization Debt	(42 )	(40 )
Net Premium Paid on Early Extinguishment of Debt		(48 )
Cash Dividends Paid on Common Stock	(168 )	(164 )
Other	(2 )	4
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(313 )	(911 )
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	911	(129 )
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	321	380
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 1,232	\$ 251
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Income Taxes Paid	\$ 9	\$ 133
Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$ 76	\$ 89
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

**PSEG POWER LLC**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,375
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Energy Costs	1,462	1,589
Operation and Maintenance	258	239
Depreciation and Amortization	47	38
Total Operating Expenses	1,767	1,866
OPERATING INCOME	607	509
Other Income	70	86
Other Deductions	(110 )	(91 )
Interest Expense	(43 )	(42 )
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	524	462
Income Tax Expense	(206 )	(187 )
EARNINGS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED	\$ 318	\$ 275

See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PSEG POWER LLC**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 15	\$ 20
Accounts Receivable	360	472
Accounts Receivable - Affiliated Companies, net	486	732
Short-Term Loan to Affiliate	951	
Fuel	520	938
Materials and Supplies	237	233
Derivative Contracts	182	225
Restricted Funds	12	21
Prepayments	36	53
Other	19	11
 Total Current Assets	 2,818	 2,705
 <b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b>	 7,604	 7,441
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(2,040 )	(1,960 )
 Net Property, Plant and Equipment	 5,564	 5,481
 <b>NONCURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds	954	970
Goodwill	16	16
Other Intangibles	78	43
Other Special Funds	27	27
Derivative Contracts	140	143
Other	69	74
 Total Noncurrent Assets	 1,284	 1,273
 <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	 \$ 9,666	 \$ 9,459

**LIABILITIES AND MEMBER S EQUITY**

**CURRENT LIABILITIES**

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$	250	\$	250
Accounts Payable		500		752
Short-Term Loan from Affiliate				3
Derivative Contracts		359		338
Accrued Interest		84		35
Other		173		155
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>		<b>1,366</b>		<b>1,533</b>

**NONCURRENT LIABILITIES**

Deferred Income Taxes and Investment Tax Credits (ITC)		441		335
Asset Retirement Obligations		341		334
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs		121		118
Derivative Contracts		95		111
Accrued Pension Costs		303		374
Environmental Costs		54		54
Long-Term Accrued Taxes		17		16
Other		57		47
<b>Total Noncurrent Liabilities</b>		<b>1,429</b>		<b>1,389</b>

**COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 5)**

**LONG-TERM DEBT**

Total Long-Term Debt		2,862		2,653
----------------------	--	-------	--	-------

**MEMBER S EQUITY**

Contributed Capital		2,000		2,000
Basis Adjustment		(986 )		(986 )
Retained Earnings		2,981		2,988
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		14		(118 )
<b>Total Member s Equity</b>		<b>4,009</b>		<b>3,884</b>

<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBER S EQUITY</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>9,666</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>9,459</b>
--	-----------	--------------	-----------	--------------

See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PSEG POWER LLC**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Income	\$ 318	\$ 275
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	47	38
Amortization of Nuclear Fuel	29	24
Interest Accretion on Asset Retirement Obligations	7	6
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	14	19
Net Realized and Unrealized Gains on Energy Contracts and Other Derivatives	(53 )	(23 )
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	19	6
Net Realized Losses and Expense from NDT Funds	39	8
Net Change in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities:		
Fuel, Materials and Supplies	414	405
Margin Deposit Asset	7	(65 )
Margin Deposit Liability	151	
Accounts Receivable	218	7
Accounts Payable	(208 )	(12 )
Accounts Receivable/Payable-Affiliated Companies, net	325	189
Accrued Interest Payable	49	47
Other Current Assets and Liabilities	(37 )	(3 )
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(78 )	
Other	2	17
<b>Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities</b>	<b>1,263</b>	<b>938</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(207 )	(174 )
Short-Term Loan Affiliated Company, net	(951 )	(407 )
Proceeds from NDT Funds Sales	559	623
NDT Funds Interest and Dividends	10	11
Investment in NDT Funds	(568 )	(631 )
Restricted Funds	9	7
Other	(1 )	(6 )

Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(1,149 )	(577 )
---------------------------------------	----------	--------

**CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

Issuance of Recourse Long-Term Debt	209	
-------------------------------------	-----	--

Cash Dividend Paid	(325 )	(125 )
--------------------	--------	--------

Short-Term Loan Affiliated Company, net	(3 )	(238 )
---	------	--------

Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(119 )	(363 )
---------------------------------------	--------	--------

Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(5 )	(2 )
---	------	------

Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	20	11
--	----	----

Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 15	\$ 9
--	-------	------

**Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:**

Income Taxes Paid	\$ 1	\$ 19
-------------------	------	-------

Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$ 3	\$ 3
---	------	------

See disclosures regarding PSEG Power LLC included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

---

**PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 2,735	\$ 2,618
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Energy Costs	1,859	1,793
Operation and Maintenance	395	360
Depreciation and Amortization	149	143
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	44	43
Total Operating Expenses	2,447	2,339
OPERATING INCOME	288	279
Other Income	1	5
Other Deductions	(1)	(1)
Interest Expense	(79)	(81)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	209	202
Income Tax Expense	(85)	(65)
NET INCOME	124	137
Preferred Stock Dividends	(1)	(1)
EARNINGS AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED	\$ 123	\$ 136

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 45	\$ 91
Accounts Receivable, net of allowances of \$71 in 2009 and \$65 in 2008, respectively	928	909
Unbilled Revenues	521	454
Materials and Supplies	65	61
Prepayments	10	45
Restricted Funds	3	1
Derivative Contracts	1	
Deferred Income Taxes	54	52
Total Current Assets	1,627	1,613
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b>	12,453	12,258
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(4,184 )	(4,122 )
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	8,269	8,136
<b>NONCURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Regulatory Assets	6,236	6,352
Long-Term Investments	165	158
Other Special Funds	47	46
Other	100	101
Total Noncurrent Assets	6,548	6,657
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 16,444</b>	<b>\$ 16,406</b>

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Long-Term Debt Due Within One Year	\$ 550	\$ 248
Commercial Paper and Loans		19
Accounts Payable	322	336
Accounts Payable - Affiliated Companies, net	774	763
Accrued Interest	59	58
Accrued Taxes	46	3
Clean Energy Program	145	142
Derivative Contracts	15	14
Obligation to Return Cash Collateral	105	102
Other	282	227
 Total Current Liabilities	 2,298	 1,912
 <b>NONCURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	2,544	2,533
Other Postretirement Benefit (OPEB) Costs	804	813
Accrued Pension Costs	498	634
Regulatory Liabilities	415	355
Clean Energy Program	489	532
Environmental Costs	685	689
Asset Retirement Obligations	243	240
Derivative Contracts	40	53
Long-Term Accrued Taxes	85	82
Other	32	31
 Total Noncurrent Liabilities	 5,835	 5,962
 <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 5)</b>		
 <b>CAPITALIZATION</b>		
<b>LONG-TERM DEBT</b>		
Long-Term Debt	3,164	3,463

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Securitization Debt	1,297	1,342
Total Long-Term Debt	4,461	4,805
PREFERRED STOCK WITHOUT MANDATORY REDEMPTION, \$100 par value, 7,500,000 authorized; issued and outstanding, 2009 and 2008 795,234 shares		
	80	80
COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY		
Common Stock; 150,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding, 2009 and 2008 132,450,344 shares		
	892	892
Contributed Capital	170	170
Basis Adjustment	986	986
Retained Earnings	1,720	1,597
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	2	2
Total Common Stockholder s Equity	3,770	3,647
Total Capitalization	8,311	8,532
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	\$ 16,444	\$ 16,406

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Millions)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>For The Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net Income	\$ 124	\$ 137
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	149	143
Provision for Deferred Income Taxes and ITC	6	(13 )
Non-Cash Employee Benefit Plan Costs	59	33
Cost of Removal	(9 )	(9 )
Over Recovery of Electric Energy Costs (BGS and NTC)	20	15
Over (Under) Recovery of Gas Costs	40	(53 )
Over Recovery of SBC	44	31
Net Changes in Certain Current Assets and Liabilities:		
Accounts Receivable and Unbilled Revenues	(86 )	(130 )
Materials and Supplies	(4 )	(6 )
Prepayments	35	50
Accrued Taxes	43	37
Accrued Interest	1	(3 )
Accounts Payable	(14 )	(38 )
Accounts Receivable/Payable-Affiliated Companies, net	(62 )	(20 )
Obligation to Return Cash Collateral	3	23
Other Current Assets and Liabilities	51	75
Employee Benefit Plan Funding and Related Payments	(172 )	(19 )
Other	(12 )	8
 Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	 216	 261
 <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Additions to Property, Plant and Equipment	(194 )	(145 )
Other	(6 )	
 Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	 (200 )	 (145 )
 <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Net Change in Short-Term Debt	(19 )	63
Issuance of Long-Term Debt		300
Redemption of Long-Term Debt		(401 )
Redemption of Securitization Debt	(42 )	(40 )
Deferred Issuance Costs		(1 )
Preferred Stock Dividends	(1 )	(1 )
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(62 )	(80 )
Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents	(46 )	36
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	91	32
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 45	\$ 68

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Income Taxes Received	\$ (12 )	\$
Interest Paid, Net of Amounts Capitalized	\$ 75	\$ 81

See disclosures regarding Public Service Electric and Gas Company included in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated (PSEG), PSEG Power LLC (Power) and Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G). Information relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Power and PSE&G each is only responsible for information about itself and its subsidiaries.

**Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation**

**Organization**

PSEG is a holding company with a diversified business mix within the energy industry. Its operations are primarily in the Northeastern and Mid Atlantic United States and in other select markets. PSEG's four principal direct wholly owned subsidiaries are:

**PSEG Power  
LLC**

**(Power)** which is a multi-regional, wholesale energy supply company that integrates its generating asset operations and gas supply commitments with its wholesale energy, fuel supply, energy trading and marketing and risk management function through three principal direct wholly owned subsidiaries. Power's subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission  
(FERC), the  
Nuclear  
Regulatory  
Commission  
(NRC) and the  
states in which  
they operate.

**Public Service  
Electric and  
Gas Company  
(PSE&G)** which  
is an operating  
public utility  
engaged  
principally in  
the  
transmission of  
electricity and  
distribution of  
electricity and  
natural gas in  
certain areas of  
New Jersey.  
PSE&G is  
subject to  
regulation by  
the New Jersey  
Board of  
Public Utilities  
(BPU) and the  
FERC.

**PSEG Energy  
Holdings  
L.L.C.  
(Energy  
Holdings)** which  
owns and  
operates  
primarily  
domestic  
projects  
engaged in the  
generation of  
energy and has  
invested in  
energy-related  
leveraged  
leases through

its direct wholly owned subsidiaries. Energy Holdings subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the FERC and the states or countries in which they operate.

**PSEG Services Corporation (Services)** which provides management and administrative and general services to PSEG and its subsidiaries.

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The respective financial statements included herein have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) applicable to Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes) should be read in conjunction with, and update and supplement matters discussed in, PSEG's, Power's and PSE&G's respective Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial information furnished herein reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly state the results for the interim periods presented. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The year-end Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets were derived from the audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

#### **Reclassifications**

A reclassification was made to PSEG's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 to conform to the 2009 presentation. In accordance with the adoption of a new accounting standard in 2009, \$11 million of minority interests was reclassified from Other Noncurrent Liabilities to Noncontrolling Interests. See Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards for additional information.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards**

The following is a summary of new accounting guidance adopted in 2009 and guidance issued but not yet adopted that could impact our businesses. We do not anticipate that any of the guidance adopted in 2009 will have a material impact on our financial statements.

**Accounting standards adopted in 2009**

**Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141(R))**

changes financial  
accounting and  
reporting of  
business  
combination  
transactions

requires all assets  
acquired and  
liabilities assumed  
in a business  
combination to be  
measured at their  
acquisition date  
fair value, with  
limited exceptions

requires  
acquisition-related  
costs and certain  
restructuring costs  
to be recognized  
separately from the  
business  
combination

applies to all  
transactions and  
events in which an  
entity obtains  
control of one or  
more businesses of  
an acquiree.

We adopted SFAS 141(R) effective January 1, 2009. Any new business combination transactions will be accounted for under this guidance.

**SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51 (SFAS 160)**

changes the financial reporting relationship between a parent and non-controlling interests

requires all entities to report minority interests in subsidiaries as a separate component of equity in the consolidated financial statements

requires net income attributable to the non-controlling interest to be shown on the face of the income statement in addition to net income attributable to the controlling interest

applies prospectively, except for presentation and disclosure requirements, which are applied retrospectively.

We adopted SFAS 160 effective January 1, 2009 and revised our balance sheet presentation as required by the standard. The income statement impact is immaterial.

**SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161)**

requires an entity to disclose an understanding of:

i how and why it uses derivatives,

i how derivatives and related hedged items are accounted for, and

i the overall impact of derivatives on an entity's financial statements.

We adopted SFAS 161 effective January 1, 2009.

**Accounting standards to be adopted effective April 1, 2009**

**FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments (FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2)**

issued by the FASB in April 2009

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

revises recognition guidance in determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. A debt security is considered other-than-temporarily impaired if the fair value is less than the amortized cost, and in any of the following circumstances:

- i An entity has the intent to sell the security, or
- i it is more likely than not that an entity will be required to sell the security prior to the recovery of its amortized cost basis, and
- i an entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security

provides further guidance to

determine the amount of impairment to be recorded in earnings and/or other comprehensive income.

We are currently assessing the impact of this standard on our financial statements.

**FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1)**

issued by the FASB in April 2009

requires a publicly traded company to disclose in the notes to the financial statements

- i fair value of its financial instruments in interim and annual reporting periods, together with the related carrying amounts
- i methods and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value, and
- i changes in methods and

significant  
assumptions,  
if any.

Upon adoption, the standard will impact our interim financial statements by requiring additional fair value information.

**FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly (FSP FAS 157-4)**

issued by  
the FASB  
in April  
2009

provides  
guidance:

- j to determine if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, and
- j to estimate fair values, when transactions or quoted process are not determinative of fair value

requires  
management  
to use  
judgment to  
determine  
whether a  
market is  
distressed or  
not orderly,  
even if there  
has been a  
significant

decrease in  
the volume  
and level of  
activity for  
the asset or  
liability.

Upon adoption, we do not anticipate that this standard will have a material impact on our financial statements.

**Accounting standard to be adopted for 2009 year-end reporting**

**FSP FAS 132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits (FSP FAS 132(R)-1)**

issued by  
the FASB  
in  
December  
2008

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

requires additional disclosures about the fair value of plan assets of a defined benefit or other postretirement plan, including:

- i how investment allocation decisions are made by management,
- i major categories of plan assets,
- i significant concentrations of risk within plan assets, and
- i inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets and effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs on changes in plan assets for the period.

We are currently assessing the potential impact of this standard on our financial statements.

**Note 3. Discontinued Operations and Dispositions**

**Discontinued Operations**

**Bioenergie**

In November 2008, Energy Holdings sold its 85% ownership interest in Bioenergie for \$40 million. The sale resulted in an after-tax loss of \$15 million. Net cash proceeds, after realization of tax benefits, were approximately \$70 million.

Bioenergie's operating results for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, which were reclassified to Discontinued Operations, are summarized below:

	<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)
Operating Revenues	\$ 11
Income Before Income Taxes	\$ 1
Net Loss	\$ (1)

**SAESA Group**

In July 2008, Energy Holdings sold its investment in the SAESA Group for a total purchase price of \$1.3 billion, including the assumption of \$413 million of the consolidated debt of the group. The sale resulted in an after-tax gain of \$187 million. Net cash proceeds, after Chilean and U.S. taxes of \$269 million, were \$612 million.

SAESA Group's operating results for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, which were reclassified to Discontinued Operations, are summarized below:

	<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)
Operating Revenues	\$ 186
Income Before Income Taxes	\$ 20
Net Income	\$ 14

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Dispositions****PPN Power Generating Company Limited (PPN)**

In March 2009, Energy Holdings entered into an agreement to sell its 20% ownership interest in PPN, which owns and operates a 330 MW naphtha and natural gas-fired combined cycle plant in Tamil Nadu, India. The sale is expected to close in the second quarter. The sale price is expected to be approximately \$15 million, which is the book value of the investment as of March 31, 2009. This amount is included in Other Current Assets in PSEG's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

**Leveraged Leases**

In February 2009, Energy Holdings sold its interest in the Westland gas distribution facility leveraged lease and its interest in the Whitehorn gas turbine facility leveraged lease for an after-tax gain of \$8 million.

In January 2009, Energy Holdings sold its 51% interest in the EPZ Swentibold facility leveraged lease and its interest in the Dutch Rail Locomotives leveraged lease for an after-tax gain of \$4 million.

**Note 4. Pension and OPEB**

PSEG sponsors several qualified and nonqualified pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans covering PSEG's and its participating affiliates' current and former employees who meet certain eligibility criteria. The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit costs relating to all qualified and nonqualified pension and OPEB plans on an aggregate basis. OPEB costs are presented net of the federal subsidy expected for prescription drugs under the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003.

	<b>Pension Benefits</b>		<b>OPEB</b>	
	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		<b>Quarters Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)			
<b>Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost:</b>				
Service Cost	\$ 19	\$ 19	\$ 3	\$ 4
Interest Cost	59	57	18	18
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(54 )	(72 )	(3 )	(4 )
Amortization of Net Transition Obligation			7	7
Prior Service Cost	2	2	4	3
Actuarial Loss (Gain)	28	3	(1 )	
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 54	\$ 9	\$ 28	\$ 28
Effect of Regulatory Asset			5	5

<b>Total Benefit Expense, Including Effect of Regulatory Asset</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>
--	--------------	-------------	--------------	--------------

16

---

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Pension costs and OPEB costs for PSEG, Power and PSE&G are detailed as follows:

	<b>Pension Benefits</b>		<b>OPEB</b>	
	<b>Quarters Ended</b>		<b>Quarters Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>		<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)			
Power	\$ 16	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 3
PSE&G	30	4	29	29
Other	8	2	1	1
<b>Total Benefit Costs</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>	<b>\$ 9</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, PSEG contributed \$257 million of the approximately \$370 million it expects to contribute into its pension plans in the calendar year 2009. During the first quarter of 2009, PSEG contributed \$8 million of its \$11 million planned contribution for the calendar year 2009 into its postretirement healthcare plan.

#### **Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities**

##### **Guaranteed Obligations**

Power has unconditionally guaranteed payments by its subsidiaries in commodity-related transactions to support current exposure, interest and other costs on sums due and payable in the ordinary course of business. These guarantees are provided to counterparties in order to obtain credit. Under these agreements, guarantees cover lines of credit between entities and are often reciprocal in nature. The exposure between counterparties can move in either direction.

In order for Power to incur a liability for the face value of the outstanding guarantees, its subsidiaries would have to fully utilize the credit granted to them by every counterparty to whom Power has provided a guarantee and all of the related contracts would have to be out-of-the-money (if the contracts are terminated, Power would owe money to the counterparties). The probability of this is highly unlikely due to offsetting positions within the portfolio. For this reason, the current exposure at any point in time is a more meaningful representation of the potential liability under these guarantees. This current exposure consists of the net of accounts receivable and accounts payable and the forward value on open positions, less any margins posted.

Power is subject to counterparty collateral calls related to commodity contracts and is subject to certain creditworthiness standards as guarantor under performance guarantees of its subsidiaries. Changes in commodity prices can have a material impact on margin requirements under such contracts, which are posted and received primarily in the form of letters of credit. Power also routinely enters into futures and options transactions for electricity and natural gas as part of its operations. These futures contracts usually require a cash margin deposit with brokers, which can change based on market movement and in accordance with exchange rules.



**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

The face value of outstanding guarantees, current exposure and margin positions as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Face value of outstanding guarantees	\$ 2,041	\$ 1,856
Exposure under current guarantees	\$ 589	\$ 585
Letters of Credit Margin Posted	\$ 128	\$ 201
Letters of Credit Margin Received	\$ 258	\$ 250
Net Cash Received		
Counterparty Cash Margin Deposited	\$ 3	\$ 3
Counterparty Cash Margin (Received)	(232 )	(81 )
Net Broker Balance (Received) Deposited	(81 )	(74 )
 Total Net Cash Received	 \$ (310 )	 \$ (152 )

Power nets the fair value of cash collateral receivables and payables with the corresponding net energy contract balances. As a result, of the net cash received, Power has included \$282 million and \$112 million in its corresponding net derivative contract positions as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The remaining balance of net cash (received) deposited shown above is primarily included in Accounts Payable.

In the event of a deterioration of Power's credit rating to below investment grade, which would represent a two level downgrade from its current ratings, many of these agreements allow the counterparty to demand further performance assurance. As of March 31, 2009, if Power were to lose its investment grade rating, additional collateral of approximately \$1.2 billion could be required. As of March 31, 2009, there was \$2.7 billion of available liquidity under PSEG and Power's credit facilities that could be used to post collateral. In addition to amounts in the table above, Power had posted \$105 million and \$121 million in letters of credit as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, to support various other contractual and environmental obligations. The available liquidity as of March 31, 2009 does not include \$150 million under a bilateral credit facility that Power executed in April 2009 to replace a credit agreement that expired during March 2009.

## **Environmental Matters**

### **Passaic River**

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that a six-mile stretch of the Passaic River in the area of Newark, New Jersey is a facility within the meaning of that term under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and has undertaken a study of the river. The study area includes the entire 17-mile tidal reach of the lower Passaic River.

PSE&G and certain of its predecessors conducted operations at properties in this area. The properties included one operating electric generating station (Essex Site), which was transferred to Power, one former generating station and

four former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) sites. Power assumed any environmental liabilities of the Essex Site when it was transferred from PSE&G, and PSE&G obtained releases and indemnities for liabilities arising out of the former generating station when it was sold. The costs associated with the MGP Remediation Program have historically been recovered through the Societal Benefits Clause (SBC) charges to PSE&G ratepayers.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

The EPA has indicated that it believed hazardous substances had been released from the Essex Site and one of PSE&G's former MGP locations (Harrison Site), which also includes facilities for PSE&G's ongoing gas operations. In 2006, the EPA notified the potentially responsible parties (PRPs) that the cost of its study will greatly exceed its original estimated cost of \$20 million. 73 PRPs, including Power and PSE&G, have agreed to assume responsibility for the study and to divide the associated costs among themselves according to a mutually agreed-upon formula. The PRP group is presently executing the study. The percentage of costs allocable to Power and PSE&G has varied depending on the number of PRPs funding the study and currently is approximately 6% of the study cost, approximately 80% of which is attributable to PSE&G's former MGP sites and approximately 20% to Power's generating stations. Power has provided notice to insurers concerning this potential claim.

In 2007, the EPA released a draft focused feasibility study that proposes six options to address contamination cleanup in the lower eight miles of the Passaic River, with estimated costs ranging from \$900 million to \$2.3 billion, in addition to a "No Action" alternative. The work contemplated by the study is not subject to the cost sharing agreement discussed above. A revised focused feasibility study is expected to be released later in 2009.

In June 2008, an agreement was announced between the EPA and two PRPs for removal of a portion of the contaminated sediment in the Passaic River. The work will cost an estimated \$80 million. The two PRPs have reserved their rights to seek contribution for the removal costs from the other PRPs, including Power and PSE&G.

In 2005, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) filed suit against a PRP and related companies in New Jersey Superior Court seeking damages and reimbursement for costs expended by the State of New Jersey to address the effects on the Passaic River of the PRP's former operations which resulted in the discharge of hazardous substances. On February 4, 2009, third-party complaints were filed against some 320 third-party defendants, including Power and PSE&G, claiming that each of the third-party defendants is responsible for its proportionate share of the clean-up costs for the hazardous substances it discharged into the Passaic River and seeking statutory contribution and contribution under the New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Act (Spill Act) to recover past and future removal costs and damages.

In 2003, the NJDEP directed PSEG, PSE&G and 56 other PRPs to arrange for a natural resource damage assessment and interim compensatory restoration of natural resource injuries along the lower Passaic River and its tributaries pursuant to the Spill Act. The NJDEP alleged that hazardous substances had been discharged from the Essex Site and the Harrison Site. The NJDEP estimated the cost of interim natural resource injury restoration activities along the lower Passaic River at approximately \$950 million. In 2007, agencies of the United States Department of Commerce and the United States Department of the Interior sent a letter to PSE&G and other PRPs inviting participation in an assessment of injuries to natural resources that the agencies intended to perform. In November 2008, PSEG and a number of other companies agreed in an interim cooperative assessment agreement to pay an aggregate of \$1 million for past costs incurred by the Federal trustees and certain costs the trustees will incur going forward, and to work with the trustees for a 12-month period to explore whether some or all of the trustees' claims can be resolved in a cooperative fashion.

#### **Newark Bay Study Area**

The EPA established the Newark Bay Study Area, which it defined as Newark Bay and portions of the Hackensack River, the Arthur Kill and the Kill Van Kull. In August 2006, the EPA sent PSEG and 11 other entities notices that it considered each of the entities to be a PRP with respect to contamination in this area. The notice letter requested that the PRPs fund an EPA-approved study in the Newark Bay Study Area and encouraged the PRPs to contact Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC) to discuss participating in the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study that OCC is conducting. The notice stated the EPA's belief that hazardous substances were released from sites owned by PSEG

companies and located on the Hackensack

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

River, including two operating electric generating stations (Hudson and Kearny sites) and one former MGP site. PSEG is participating in and partially funding the study.

PSEG, Power and PSE&G cannot predict what further actions, if any, or the costs or the timing thereof, that may be required with respect to the Passaic River, Newark Bay Study Area or other natural resource damages claims; however, such costs could be material.

**MGP Remediation Program**

PSE&G is working with the NJDEP to assess, investigate and remediate environmental conditions at PSE&G's former MGP sites. To date, 38 sites requiring some level of remedial action have been identified. The NJDEP has also announced initiatives to accelerate the investigation and subsequent remediation of the riverbeds underlying surface water bodies that have been impacted by hazardous substances from adjoining sites. In 2005, the NJDEP initiated a program on the Delaware River aimed at identifying the 10 most significant sites for cleanup. One of the sites identified was PSE&G's former Camden Coke facility.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, PSE&G updated the estimated cost to remediate all MGP sites to completion and determined that the cost to completion could range between \$709 million and \$820 million from December 31, 2008 through 2021. Since no amount within the range was considered to be most likely, PSE&G recorded a liability of \$709 million as of December 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, PSE&G's remaining accrual was \$705 million. Of this amount, \$20 million was recorded in Other Current Liabilities and \$685 million was reflected as Environmental Costs in Noncurrent Liabilities. As such, PSE&G has recorded a \$705 million Regulatory Asset with respect to these costs.

**Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)/New Source Review (NSR)**

The PSD/NSR regulations, promulgated under the Clean Air Act, require major sources of certain air pollutants to obtain permits, install pollution control technology and obtain offsets, in some circumstances, when those sources undergo a major modification, as defined in the regulations. The federal government may order companies that are not in compliance with the PSD/NSR regulations to install the best available control technology at the affected plants and to pay monetary penalties ranging from \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day for each violation, depending upon when the alleged violation occurred.

In November 2006, Power reached an agreement with the EPA and the NJDEP to achieve emissions reductions targets at Power's Mercer, Hudson and Bergen generating stations. Under this agreement, Power is required to undertake a number of technology projects, plant modifications and operating procedure changes at Hudson and Mercer designed to meet targeted reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), particulate matter and mercury and to repower Bergen Unit 2 utilizing low-emission combined cycle combustion turbine technology.

Pursuant to this program, Power has installed selective catalytic reduction equipment at Mercer at a cost of \$118 million and baghouses were placed in service in December 2008, with costs as of March 31, 2009 of \$260 million. The cost of assets to be placed in service in order to implement the balance of the agreement is estimated at \$200 million to \$250 million for Mercer, to be completed by May 2010, and \$700 million to \$750 million for Hudson, to be completed by the end of 2010, of which \$334 million has been spent through March 31, 2009. All back end pollution control technology construction is expected to be completed by the end of 2010. Bergen Unit 2 was repowered in 2002 consistent with the consent decree.

On January 14, 2009, the EPA issued a notice of violation to Power and other owners of the Keystone coal-fired plant in Pennsylvania, alleging, among other things, that various capital improvement projects were made at the plant which

are considered modifications (or major modifications) causing significant net emission increases of PSD/NSR air pollutants, beginning in 1985 for Keystone Unit 1 and in 1984 for Keystone Unit 2. The notice of violation states that none of these modifications underwent PSD/NSR permitting process prior to being put into service, which the EPA alleges was required under the Clean Air

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Act. The notice of violation states that the EPA may issue an order requiring compliance with the relevant Clean Air Act provisions and may seek injunctive relief and/or civil penalties. Power owns approximately 23% of the plant. Power cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

**Mercury Regulation**

In March 2005, the EPA established a New Source Performance Standard limit for nickel emissions from oil-fired electric generating units and a cap-and-trade program for mercury emissions from coal-fired electric generating units. In February 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a decision rejecting the EPA's mercury emissions program and requiring the EPA to develop standards for mercury and nickel emissions that adhere to the Maximum Available Control Technology (MACT) provisions of the Clean Air Act. In October 2008, the EPA filed a petition with the U.S. Supreme Court to review the lower court's decision. On February 6, 2009, the EPA withdrew its petition with the U.S. Supreme Court, and indicated that it intended to move forward with a rule-making process to develop MACT standards consistent with the Court's ruling, although certain industry litigants pursued Supreme Court review of the lower court's decision. On February 23, 2009, the Supreme Court denied the petition. The full impact to PSEG of these developments is uncertain. It is expected that new MACT requirements will require more stringent control than the cap-and-trade program struck down by the D.C. Circuit Court; however, the costs of compliance with mercury MACT standards will have to be compared with the existing New Jersey and Connecticut mercury-control requirements, as described below.

Some uncertainty exists regarding the feasibility of achieving the reductions in mercury emissions required by the New Jersey regulations, discussed below. The estimated costs of technology believed to be capable of meeting these emissions limits at Power's coal-fired units in New Jersey and Pennsylvania have been incurred or are included in Power's capital expenditure forecast. Total estimated costs for each project are between \$150 million and \$200 million.

***New Jersey***

New Jersey regulations required coal-fired electric generating units to meet certain emissions limits or reduce mercury emissions by approximately 90% by December 15, 2007. Companies that are parties to multi-pollutant reduction agreements, such as Power, are permitted to postpone such reductions on half of their coal-fired electric generating capacity until December 15, 2012.

Power achieved the reductions required in 2007 through the installation of carbon injection technology and baghouses at both Mercer units and anticipates compliance with the remaining reductions required by December 2012 will be achieved through the installation of a baghouse at its Hudson plant by the end of 2010. The mercury-control technologies are part of Power's multi-pollutant reduction agreement that resolved issues arising out of the PSD/NSR air pollution control programs discussed above.

***Pennsylvania***

In February 2007, Pennsylvania finalized its state-specific requirements to reduce mercury emissions from coal-fired electric generating units. These requirements were more stringent than the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule (vacated by the court in February 2008) but not as stringent as would be required by a MACT process as required under a strict interpretation of the Clean Air Act. On January 30, 2009, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania struck down the rule, indicating that the rule violated Pennsylvania law because it is inconsistent with the Clean Air Act. The Commonwealth Court's decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. If the Commonwealth Court's decision were to be overturned and the above-mentioned requirements are upheld, the Keystone and Conemaugh generating stations would be positioned by 2010 to meet Phase I of the Pennsylvania mercury rule by

benefiting from reductions realized from the installation of planned or completed controls for compliance with SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> reductions. Power will evaluate Phase II of the mercury rule after a full evaluation of the Phase I reductions. If the Commonwealth Court's ruling is sustained, and the EPA undertakes a MACT process, it is

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

uncertain at this time whether the Keystone and Conemaugh generating stations will be able to achieve the necessary reductions with their respective currently planned capital expenditures.

**Emission Fees**

Section 185 of the Clean Air Act requires states (or in the absence of state action, the EPA) in severe and extreme non-attainment areas to adopt a penalty fee for major stationary sources if the area fails to attain the one-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) set by the EPA. In June 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ruled against the EPA, which had sought to vacate imposition of fees for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions because the one hour standard was superseded by an eight-hour standard. Power operates electric generation stations, major stationary sources, in the New Jersey-Connecticut severe non-attainment area that did not meet the required NAAQS by November 2007. Neither the EPA nor the states in the non-attainment areas in which Power operates have initiated any process for imposing fees in compliance with the court ruling; however, preliminary analysis suggests that penalty fees could be approximately \$6 million annually, which Power is currently accruing. This analysis could change if the EPA or the states issue additional guidance addressing the imposition of fees, or if Power is able to reduce its emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> in the future.

On January 9, 2009, the NJDEP provided notice that it is in the process of assessing fees under Section 185 for 2008 emissions. These fees are expected to be paid in 2010 after the NJDEP determines the need for statutory or regulatory changes.

**NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction**

In April 2009, the NJDEP finalized revisions to NO<sub>x</sub> emission control regulations that impose new NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction requirements and limits for New Jersey fossil fuel-fired electric generation units. The rule is expected to have a significant impact on Power's generation fleet, including the likely retirement of a significant portion of Power's units by April 30, 2015. The rule is expected to require the retirement of up to 102 combustion turbines (approximately 2,000 MW) and five older New Jersey steam electric generating units (approximately 800 MW). Power has been working with the NJDEP throughout the development of this rulemaking to minimize financial impact and to provide for transitional lead time for it to address the retirement of electric generation. Power cannot predict the financial impact resulting from compliance with this rulemaking.

**New Jersey Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA)**

Potential environmental liabilities related to the alleged discharge of hazardous substances at certain generating stations have been identified. In the second quarter of 1999, in anticipation of the transfer of PSE&G's generation-related assets to Power, a study was conducted pursuant to ISRA, which applied to the sale of certain assets. Power had a \$50 million liability as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, related to these obligations, which is included in Environmental Costs in Power's and PSEG's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Permit Renewals**

In June 2001, the NJDEP issued a renewed New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDDES) permit for Salem, expiring in July 2006, allowing for the continued operation of Salem with its existing cooling water intake system. In February 2006, Power filed with the NJDEP a renewal application prepared in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act's (FWPCA) Section 316(b) and the Phase II 316(b) rules, allowing Salem to continue operating under its existing NJPDDES permit until a new permit is issued.

Under these rules, Power had historically used restoration and/or a site-specific cost-benefit test in applications it had filed to renew the permits at its once-through cooled plants, including Salem, Hudson and Mercer. The Phase II Rule would also have been applicable to Bridgeport, and possibly Sewaren and

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

New Haven stations. In addition to the Salem renewal application, permit renewal applications have been submitted to the NJDEP for Hudson, and the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection for Bridgeport. A renewal application is expected to be filed for Sewaren later this year.

In January 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit issued a decision in litigation of the Phase II 316(b) regulations brought by several environmental groups, the Attorneys General of six Northeastern states, including New Jersey, the Utility Water Act Group and several of its members, including Power. In its ruling, the Court:

remanded  
major  
portions of  
the  
regulations  
and  
determined  
that Section  
316(b) of  
the FWPCA  
does not  
support the  
use of  
restoration  
and the  
site-specific  
cost-benefit  
test.

instructed  
the EPA to  
reconsider  
the  
definition of  
best  
technology  
available  
without  
comparing  
the costs of  
the best  
performing  
technology  
to its  
benefits.

In May 2007, Power and other industry petitioners filed a request for a rehearing with the Second Circuit Court, which was denied. The parties, including Power, requested U.S. Supreme Court review of the matter.

On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the Second Circuit's opinion, concluding that the EPA permissibly relied upon cost-benefit analysis in setting the national performance standards and in providing for cost-benefit variances from those standards as part of the Phase II regulations. The Supreme Court's decision became effective on April 27, 2009 and the matter was sent back to the Second Circuit for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion.

It is premature to determine when the Second Circuit will act on this ruling or its ultimate disposition of the case. However, because there were major portions of the Phase II regulations which were originally remanded by the Second Circuit that were not considered by the Supreme Court, the EPA will need to undertake a rulemaking in the future.

The Supreme Court's ruling allows the EPA to continue to use the site-specific cost-benefit test in determining best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. However, the results of further proceedings on this matter could have a material impact on our ability to renew permits at our larger once-through cooled plants, including Salem, Hudson, Mercer, Bridgeport and possibly Sewaren and New Haven, without making significant upgrades to our existing intake structures and cooling systems. The costs of those upgrades to one or more of our once-through cooled plants could be material and would require economic review to determine whether to continue operations at these facilities. For example, in Power's application to renew its Salem permit, filed with the NJDEP in February 2006, the costs estimated for adding cooling towers for Salem are approximately \$1 billion, of which Power's share would be approximately \$575 million. Currently, potential costs associated with any closed cycle cooling requirements are not included in Power's forecasted capital expenditures.

#### **Stormwater**

In October 2008, the NJDEP notified Power that it must apply for an individual stormwater discharge permit for its Hudson generating station. Hudson stores its coal in an open air pile and, as a result, it is exposed to precipitation. Discharge of stormwater from Hudson has been regulated pursuant to a Basic Industrial Stormwater General Permit, authorization of which has been previously approved by the NJDEP. The NJDEP has now determined that Hudson is no longer eligible to utilize this general permit, and must apply for an individual NJPDES permit for stormwater discharges. While the full extent of these requirements remains unclear, to the extent Power may be required to reduce or eliminate the exposure of coal to stormwater, or be required to construct technologies preventing the discharge of stormwater to surface water or groundwater, those costs could be material.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

## New Generation and Development

### Nuclear

Power has approved the expenditure of \$192 million for steam path retrofit and related upgrades at Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3. Completion of these upgrades is expected to result in an increase of Power's share of nominal capacity by 32 MW (14 MW at Unit 3 in 2011 and 18 MW at Unit 2 in 2012). Significant project expenditures will begin later in 2009 and continue through 2012.

### Connecticut

Power has been selected by the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control in a regulatory process to build 130 MW of gas-fired peaking capacity. Final approval has been received and construction is expected to commence June 2011. The project is expected to be in-service by June 2012. Power estimates the cost of these generating units to be \$130 million to \$140 million. Total capitalized expenditures to date are \$11 million which are included in Other Noncurrent Assets in Power's and PSEG's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### Basic Generation Service (BGS) and Basic Gas Supply Service (BGSS)

PSE&G obtains its electric supply requirements for customers who do not purchase electric supply from third-party suppliers through the annual New Jersey BGS auctions. Pursuant to applicable BPU rules, PSE&G enters into the Supplier Master Agreement (SMA) with the winners of these BGS auctions following the BPU's approval of the auction results. PSE&G has entered into contracts with Power, as well as with other winning BGS suppliers, to purchase BGS for PSE&G's load requirements. The winners of the auction (including Power) are responsible for fulfilling all the requirements of a PJM Interconnection L.L.C. (PJM) Load Serving Entity including the provision of capacity, energy, ancillary services, transmission and any other services required by PJM. BGS suppliers assume all volume risk and customer migration risk and must satisfy New Jersey's renewable portfolio standards.

Power seeks to mitigate volatility in its results by contracting in advance for the sale of most of its anticipated electric output as well as its anticipated fuel needs. As part of its objective, Power has entered into contracts to directly supply PSE&G and other New Jersey electric distribution companies (EDCs) with a portion of their respective BGS requirements through the New Jersey BGS auction process, described above. In addition to the BGS-related contracts, Power also enters into firm supply contracts with EDCs, as well as other firm sales and commitments.

PSE&G has contracted for its anticipated BGS-Fixed Price load, as follows:

	Auction Year			
	2006	2007	2008	2009
36-Month Terms Ending	May 2009	May 2010	May 2011	May 2012 (a)
Load (MW)	2,882	2,758	2,840	2,840
\$ per kWh	0.10251	0.09888	0.11150	0.10372

(a) Prices set in the February 2009 BGS Auction will become effective on June 1, 2009 when the 2006 Auction Year agreements expire.

PSE&G has a full requirements contract with Power to meet the gas supply requirements of PSE&G's gas customers. The contract extends through March 31, 2012, and year-to-year thereafter. Power has entered into hedges for a portion of these anticipated BGSS obligations, as permitted by the BPU. The BPU permits PSE&G to recover the cost of gas hedging up to 115 billion cubic feet or 80% of its residential gas supply annual requirements through the BGSS tariff. For additional information, see Note 14. Related-Party Transactions.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Minimum Fuel Purchase Requirements**

Power has various long-term fuel purchase commitments for coal and oil to support its fossil generation stations and for supply of nuclear fuel for the Salem and Hope Creek nuclear generating stations and for firm transportation and storage capacity for natural gas.

Power's various multi-year contracts for firm transportation and storage capacity for natural gas are primarily to meet its gas supply obligations to PSE&G. These purchase obligations are consistent with Power's strategy to enter into contracts for its fuel supply in comparable volumes to its sales contracts.

Power's strategy is to maintain certain levels of uranium concentrates and uranium hexafluoride in inventory and to make periodic purchases to support such levels. As such, the commitments referred to below include estimated quantities to be purchased that are in excess of contractual minimum quantities.

Power's nuclear fuel commitments cover approximately 100% of its estimated uranium, enrichment and fabrication requirements through 2011 and a portion for 2012 and 2013 at Salem, Hope Creek and Peach Bottom.

As of March 31, 2009, the total minimum purchase requirements included in these commitments are as follows:

<b>Fuel Type</b>	<b>Commitments through 2013</b>	<b>Power's share</b>
	(Millions)	
Nuclear Fuel		
Uranium	\$ 704	\$ 441
Enrichment	\$ 475	\$ 270
Fabrication	\$ 245	\$ 149
Natural Gas	\$ 910	\$ 910
Coal/Oil	\$ 955	\$ 955

Included in the \$955 million commitment for coal and oil above is \$457 million related to a certain coal contract under which Power can cancel tonnage at minimal cost.

Power has entered into gas supply option agreements for the anticipated fuel requirements at the PSEG Texas generation facilities to satisfy obligations under their forward energy sales contracts. As of March 31, 2009, Power's fuel purchase options totaled \$51 million under those agreements, which is not included in the above table.

PSEG Texas also has a contract for low BTU content gas commencing in late 2009 with a term of 15 years and a minimum volume of approximately 13 MMBtu's per year. The gas must meet an availability and quality specification. PSEG Texas also has the right to cancel delivery of the gas at a minimal cost.

**Regulatory Proceedings**

**Competition Act**

In April 2007, PSE&G and PSE&G Transition Funding LLC (Transition Funding) were served with a copy of a purported class action complaint (Complaint) in New Jersey Superior Court challenging the constitutional validity of certain stranded cost recovery provisions of the Competition Act, seeking injunctive relief against continued collection from PSE&G's electric customers of the Transition Bond Charge (TBC) of Transition Funding, as well as recovery of TBC amounts previously collected. Under New Jersey law, the Competition Act, enacted in 1999, is presumed constitutional.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

In July 2007, the plaintiff filed an amended Complaint to also seek injunctive relief from continued collection of related taxes as well as recovery of such taxes previously collected. In July 2007, PSE&G filed a motion to dismiss the amended Complaint, or, in the alternative, for summary judgment. In October 2007, PSE&G's and Transition Funding's motion to dismiss the amended Complaint was granted. In November 2007, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal with the Appellate Division of the New Jersey Superior Court. In February 2009, the New Jersey Appellate Division affirmed the decision of the lower court dismissing the case. The plaintiff has filed a petition for certification with the New Jersey Supreme Court requesting that the Appellate Division decision be overturned.

In July 2007, the same plaintiff also filed a petition with the BPU requesting review and adjustment to PSE&G's recovery of the same stranded cost charges. In September 2007, PSE&G filed a motion with the BPU to dismiss the petition, which remains pending.

**BPU Deferral Audit**

The BPU Energy and Audit Division conducts audits of deferred balances under various adjustment clauses. A draft Deferral Audit Phase II report relating to the 12-month period ended July 31, 2003 was released by the consultant to the BPU in April 2005.

That report, which addresses SBC, Market Transition Charge (MTC) and non-utility generation (NUG) deferred balances, found that the Phase II deferral balances complied in all material respects with applicable BPU Orders. It also noted that the BPU Staff had raised certain questions with respect to the reconciliation method PSE&G had employed in calculating the overrecovery of its MTC and other charges during the Phase I and Phase II four-year transition period. The matter was referred to the Office of Administrative Law. The amount in dispute is \$114 million, which if required to be refunded to customers with interest through March 2009, would be \$141 million.

Hearings before an administrative law judge (ALJ) were held in July 2008. In January 2009, the ALJ issued a decision which upheld PSE&G's central contention that the 2004 BPU Order approving the Phase I settlement resolved the issues being raised by the Staff and Advocate, and that these issues should not be subject to re-litigation in respect of the first three years of the transition period. The ALJ's decision stated that the BPU could elect to convene a separate proceeding to address the fourth and final year reconciliation of MTC recoveries. The amount in dispute with respect to this Phase II period is approximately \$50 million.

Exceptions to the ALJ's decision were filed on February 9, 2009. The BPU may choose to accept, modify or reject the ALJ's decision in reaching its final decision. A BPU decision is expected by June 1, 2009. We cannot predict the final outcome of this proceeding.

**New Jersey Clean Energy Program**

In the third quarter of 2008, the BPU approved funding requirements for each New Jersey utility applicable to its Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency programs for the years 2009 to 2012. The aggregate funding amount is \$1.2 billion for all years. PSE&G's share of the \$1.2 billion program is \$705 million. PSE&G has recorded a discounted liability of \$634 million as of March 31, 2009. Of this amount, \$145 million was recorded as a current liability and \$489 million as a noncurrent liability. The liability has been recorded with an offsetting Regulatory Asset, since the costs associated with this program are expected to be recovered from PSE&G ratepayers through the SBC.

**Leveraged Lease Investments**

In November 2006, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issued Revenue Agent's Reports with respect to its audit of PSEG's federal corporate income tax returns for tax years 1997 through 2000, which disallowed all deductions associated with certain lease transactions that are similar to a type that the IRS publicly announced its intention to challenge. In addition, the IRS Reports proposed a 20% penalty for substantial

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

understatement of tax liability. In February 2007, PSEG filed a protest of these findings with the Office of Appeals of the IRS.

In April 2008, the IRS issued its Revenue Agent's Report for tax years 2001 through 2003, which disallowed all deductions associated with lease transactions similar to those disallowed in its 1997 through 2000 Report. As in its prior report, the IRS proposed a 20% penalty. PSEG also filed a protest to this report with the Office of Appeals of the IRS.

As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, PSEG's total gross investment in such transactions was \$924 million and \$1 billion, respectively.

There are several tax cases involving other taxpayers with similar leveraged lease investments that are pending. To date, three cases have been decided at the trial court level, two of which were decided in favor of the government. An appeal of one of these decisions was recently affirmed. The third case involves a jury verdict that is currently being challenged by both parties on inconsistency grounds.

In August 2008, the IRS publicly announced that it was issuing letters to a number of taxpayers with these types of lease transactions containing a generic settlement offer. PSEG did not accept the IRS's settlement offer and will likely proceed to litigation.

**Earnings Impact**

Assuming all rental payments are made pursuant to the original lease agreement, and there are no changes in tax legislation and rates, the total cash and income included in a leveraged lease transaction will not change over the lease term. However, the timing of the cash flow can change due to changes in the timing of tax deductions. Changes in the timing of cash flows affect the overall return, or yield, that is recorded as income at a constant rate throughout the lease term. If there is a change in cash flow timing, pursuant to FSP 13-2, Accounting for a Change or Projected Change in the Timing of Cash Flows Relating to Income Taxes Generated by a Leveraged Lease Transaction, the lease must be recalculated from inception assuming the new lease yield. Differences between the current gross lease investment and the gross lease investment per the recalculated lease must be recognized immediately in income.

In the second quarter of 2008, PSEG recalculated its lease transactions, incorporating potential cash payments (discussed below) consistent with the FIN 48 reserve position, and recorded an after-tax charge of \$355 million. This charge was reflected as a reduction in Operating Revenues of \$485 million with a partially offsetting reduction in Income Tax Expense of \$130 million in PSEG's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The \$355 million is being recognized as income over the remaining term of the affected leases.

This represents PSEG's view of most of the financial statement exposure related to these lease transactions, although a total loss, consistent with the broad settlement offer recently proposed by the IRS, would result in an additional earnings charge of \$100 million to \$120 million.

**Cash Impact**

As of March 31, 2009, an aggregate \$1.2 billion would become currently payable if PSEG conceded 100% of deductions taken through that date. PSEG has deposited \$180 million with the IRS to defray potential interest costs associated with this disputed tax liability. In the event PSEG is successful in defense of its position, the deposit is fully refundable with interest.

These deposits reduce the \$1.2 billion cash exposure noted above to \$1 billion. As of March 31, 2009, penalties of \$152 million would also become payable if the IRS was successful in its deficiency claims against PSEG, and asserted and successfully litigated a case against PSEG regarding penalties. PSEG has not established a reserve for penalties because it believes it has strong defenses to the assertion of penalties under applicable law. Interest and penalty exposure grow at the rate of \$9 million per quarter during 2009.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Should PSEG lose its case in litigation, and the IRS is successful in a litigated case consistent with the positions it has taken in the generic settlement offer recently proposed, an additional \$130 million to \$150 million of tax would be due for tax positions through March 31, 2009.

PSEG currently anticipates that it will pay between \$230 million and \$370 million in tax, interest and penalties for the tax years 1997 through 2000 during the second half of 2009 and subsequently commence litigation to recover these amounts. Further it is possible that an additional payment of between \$270 million and \$550 million could be required in late 2009 for tax years 2001 through 2003 followed by further litigation to recover those taxes. These amounts are in addition to tax deposits already made.

The actions described above concerning the leveraged lease investments are not expected to violate any covenant or result in a default under either Energy Holdings' credit facility or Senior Notes indenture.

**Note 6. Changes in Capitalization**

The following capital transactions occurred in the first quarter of 2009:

**Power**

Converted  
\$44 million of  
4.00%  
Pollution  
Control  
Bonds to  
variable rate  
demand  
bonds backed  
by letters of  
credit.

Established a  
program for  
the issuance  
of up to \$500  
million of  
unsecured  
medium-term  
notes (MTNs)  
to retail  
investors in  
January.  
Under this  
program we

i issued  
\$161

million  
of 6.5%  
MTNs  
due  
January  
2014  
(callable  
in one  
year),  
and

i issued  
\$48  
million  
of 6%  
MTNs  
due  
January  
2013  
(callable  
in one  
year).

paid a  
cash  
dividend  
of \$325  
million  
to PSEG.

**PSE&G**

paid \$42  
million of  
Transition  
Funding s  
securitization  
debt.

**Energy Holdings**

Redeemed  
\$280 million  
of floating  
rate  
non-recourse  
project debt  
due on  
December  
31, 2009  
associated

with PSEG  
Texas.

Repurchased  
\$10 million  
of its 8.5%  
Senior Notes  
due 2011.

In April 2009, Power paid \$250 million of 3.75% Senior Notes at maturity.

#### **Note 7. Financial Risk Management Activities**

The operations of PSEG, Power and PSE&G are exposed to market risks from changes in commodity prices, interest rates and equity prices that could affect their results of operations and financial condition. Exposure to these risks is managed through normal operating and financing activities and, when appropriate, through hedging transactions. Hedging transactions use derivative instruments to create a relationship in which changes to the value of the assets, liabilities or anticipated transactions exposed to market risks are expected to be offset by changes in the value of these derivative instruments.

#### **Commodity Prices**

The availability and price of energy commodities are subject to fluctuations due to weather, environmental policies, changes in supply and demand, state and federal regulatory policies, market conditions, transmission availability and other events.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

Power and Energy Holdings use physical and financial transactions in the wholesale energy markets to mitigate the effects of adverse movements in fuel and electricity prices. Contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market in accordance with SFAS 133, with changes in fair value charged to the income statement. The fair value for the majority of these contracts is obtained from quoted market sources. Modeling techniques using assumptions reflective of current market rates, yield curves and forward prices are used to interpolate certain prices when no quoted market exists. The effect of using such modeling techniques is not material to Power's or Energy Holdings' financial statements.

**Cash Flow Hedges**

Power uses forward sale and purchase contracts, swaps, futures and firm transmission right contracts to hedge:

forecasted  
energy sales  
from its  
generation  
stations and  
the related  
load  
obligations;  
and

the price of  
fuel to meet  
its fuel  
purchase  
requirements.

Energy Holdings uses forward sale and purchase contracts and swaps to hedge:

forecasted  
energy  
sales from  
its Texas  
generation  
stations;  
and

to hedge  
the price of  
fuel for  
one of the  
Texas  
generation  
facilities.

These derivative transactions are designated and effective as cash flow hedges under SFAS 133. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the fair value and the impact on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

associated with these hedges was as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)	
<b>Power</b>		
Fair Values of Cash Flow Hedges	\$ 498	\$ 331 *
Impact on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (after tax)	\$ 300	\$ 176
<b>Energy Holdings</b>		
Fair Values of Cash Flow Hedges	\$	\$ 3
Impact on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (after tax)	\$ 12	\$ 2

\* Power's fair value of cash flow hedges of \$331 million at December 31, 2008 shown in the table above was corrected from \$320 million disclosed in our 2008 Form 10-K.

The expiration date of the longest-dated cash flow hedge at Power is in 2011. Power's after-tax unrealized gains on these derivatives that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the 12 months ending March 31, 2010 and March 31, 2011 are \$170 million and \$80 million, respectively. Ineffectiveness associated with these hedges, as defined in SFAS 133, was \$15 million at March 31, 2009.

The expiration date of the longest-dated cash flow hedge for Energy Holdings is in 2009. Therefore, substantially all of the after-tax unrealized gains on its commodity derivatives are expected to be reclassified to earnings during 2009. There was no ineffectiveness associated with these hedges.

### Trading Derivatives

In general, the main purpose of Power's wholesale marketing operation is to optimize the value of the output of the generating facilities via various products and services available in the markets we serve. Power does engage in some trading of electricity and energy-related products where such transactions are not



**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

associated with the output or fuel purchase requirements of our facilities. This trading consists mostly of load deals where we secure sales commitments with the intent to supply the energy services from purchases in the market rather than from our owned generation. Such trading activities represent less than one percent of Power's revenues.

**Other Derivatives**

Power and Energy Holdings enter into other contracts that are derivatives, but do not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting.

For Power, most of these contracts are used for fuel purchases for generation requirements and for electricity purchases for contractual sales obligations. A portion is also used in Power's Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds.

For Energy Holdings, these are electricity forward and capacity sale contracts entered into to sell a portion of the Texas facilities' capacity and gas purchase contracts to support the electricity forward sales contracts.

Changes in fair market value of these contracts are recorded in earnings. The fair value of these contracts as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)	
<b>Net Fair Value of Other Derivatives</b>		
Power	\$ 88	\$ 67 *
Energy Holdings	\$ 40	\$ 32

\* The net fair value of other derivatives related to energy contracts for Power of \$67 million at December 31, 2008 in the table above was corrected from \$(9) million disclosed in our 2008

Form 10-K.

### **Interest Rates**

PSEG, Power and PSE&G are subject to the risk of fluctuating interest rates in the normal course of business. Exposure to this risk is managed through the use of fixed and floating rate debt and interest rate derivatives.

### **Fair Value Hedges**

On April 1, 2009, PSEG's interest rate swap that had converted Power's \$250 million of 3.75% Senior Notes due April 2009 into variable-rate debt matured. The interest rate swap was designated and effective as a fair value hedge. The fair value changes of the interest rate swap were fully offset by the fair value changes in the underlying debt.

### **Cash Flow Hedges**

PSE&G and Energy Holdings use interest rate swaps and other derivatives, which are designated and effective as cash flow hedges to manage their exposure to the variability of cash flows, primarily related to variable-rate debt instruments. As of March 31, 2009, there was no hedge ineffectiveness associated with these hedges. The fair values of our interest rate derivatives were \$(1) million and \$(7) million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The AOCI related to interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges was \$(3) million and \$(6) million as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Fair Values of Derivative Instruments**

The following are the fair values of derivative instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

<b>Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments under SFAS 133</b>	<b>Derivatives in Asset Position as of March 31, 2009</b>		<b>Derivatives in Liability Position as of March 31, 2009</b>	
	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
		(Millions)		(Millions)
<b>PSEG</b>				
Interest Rate Swaps		\$	Derivative Contracts- Current Liabilities	\$ (1)
<b>PSEG &amp; Power (A)</b>				
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts- Current Assets	\$ 673	Derivative Contracts- Current Assets	\$ (336)
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts- Noncurrent Assets	538	Derivative Contracts- Noncurrent Assets	(271)
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts- Current Liabilities	108	Derivative Contracts- Current Liabilities	(176)
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts- Noncurrent Liabilities	43	Derivative Contracts- Noncurrent Liabilities	(81)
Margin Collateral		(362)		16
<b>Total PSEG &amp; Power</b>		<b>\$ 1,000</b>	<b>Total PSEG &amp; Power</b>	<b>\$ (848)</b>

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges in SFAS 133 Fair Value Hedging Relationships</b>	<b>Derivatives in Asset Position as of March 31, 2009</b>		<b>Derivatives in Liability Position as of March 31, 2009</b>	
	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
		(Millions)		(Millions)
<b>PSEG</b>				
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ 566	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ (481 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Assets	221	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Assets	(145 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Liabilities	502	Derivative Contracts-Current Liabilities	(905 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Liabilities	126	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Liabilities	(247 )
Margin Collateral		(40 )		105
Other Contracts	NDT Funds	127	NDT Funds	(19 )
<b>Total PSEG</b>		<b>\$ 1,502</b>	<b>Total PSEG</b>	<b>\$ (1,692 )</b>
<b>Power (A)</b>				
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ 540	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ (481 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Assets	206	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Assets	(145 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Liabilities	502	Derivative Contracts-Current Liabilities	(890 )
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Liabilities	126	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Liabilities	(207 )
Margin Collateral		(40 )		105
Other Contracts	NDT Funds	\$ 127	NDT Funds	\$ (19 )
<b>Total Power</b>		<b>\$ 1,461</b>	<b>Total Power</b>	<b>\$ (1,637 )</b>
<b>PSE&amp;G</b>				
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ 1	Derivative Contracts-Current Liabilities	\$ (15 )

Energy-Related Contracts			Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Liabilities	(40 )
<b>Total PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Total PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>\$ (55 )</b>
<b>Energy Holdings</b>				
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Current Assets	\$ 25		\$
Energy-Related Contracts	Derivative Contracts-Noncurrent Assets	15		
<b>Total Energy Holdings</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>40</b>		<b>\$</b>

(A) Energy-related contracts for Power are subject to master netting arrangements with the right of offset for certain counterparties. Contract amounts are shown gross in the above table and are not necessarily reflective of amounts presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The aggregate fair value of derivative contracts in a liability position as of March 31, 2009 that contain triggers for additional collateral is \$787 million. This potential additional collateral is included in the \$1.2 billion discussed in Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

The following shows the effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and on Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the quarter ended March 31, 2009:

<b>Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships</b>	<b>Amount of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)</b>	<b>Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income</b>	<b>Amount of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)</b>	<b>Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)</b>	<b>Amount of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)</b>
(Millions)					
<b>PSEG</b>					
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 382	Operating Revenue	\$ 156	Operating Revenue	\$ 8
Energy-Related Contracts	(28 )	Energy Costs	(26 )		
Interest Rate Swaps		Interest Expense	(4 )		
<b>Total PSEG</b>	<b>\$ 354</b>		<b>\$ 126</b>		<b>\$ 8</b>
<b>PSEG Power</b>					
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 354	Operating Revenue	\$ 142	Operating Revenue	\$ 8
Energy-Related Contracts	(21 )	Energy Costs	(19 )		
<b>Total Power</b>	<b>\$ 333</b>		<b>\$ 123</b>		<b>\$ 8</b>
<b>Energy Holdings</b>					
Energy-Related Contracts	\$ 28	Operating Revenue	\$ 14		\$
Energy-Related Contracts	(7 )	Energy Costs	(7 )		
Interest Rate Swaps		Interest Expense	(4 )		
	<b>\$ 21</b>		<b>\$ 3</b>		<b>\$</b>

**Total Energy  
Holdings**

The following reconciles the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for derivative activity included in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss of PSEG on a pre-tax and after-tax basis:

<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u>	<b>Pre-Tax</b>	<b>After-Tax</b>
	(Millions)	
<b>Balance as of December 31, 2008</b>	\$ 292	\$ 172
Gain Recognized in AOCI (Effective Portion)	354	211
Less: Gain Reclassified into Income (Effective Portion)	(126 )	(74 )
<b>Balance as of March 31, 2009</b>	<b>\$ 520</b>	<b>\$ 309</b>

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

The following shows the effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments or as normal purchases and sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2009:

<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges</b>	<b>Location of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives</b>	<b>Amount of Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Millions)</b>
<b>PSEG</b>		
Energy-Related Contracts	Operating Revenues	\$ 131
Energy-Related Contracts	Energy Costs	(87 )
Interest Rate Swaps	Interest Expense	(1 )
Derivatives in NDT Funds	Other Income	9
<b>Total PSEG</b>		<b>\$ 52</b>
<b>Power</b>		
Energy-Related Contracts	Operating Revenue	\$ 71
Energy-Related Contracts	Energy Costs	(75 )
Derivatives in NDT Funds	Other Income	9
<b>Total Power</b>		<b>\$ 5</b>
<b>Energy Holdings</b>		
Energy-Related Contracts	Operating Revenue	\$ 60
Energy-Related Contracts	Energy Costs	(12 )
Interest Rate Swap	Interest Expense	(1 )
<b>Total Energy Holdings</b>		<b>\$ 47</b>

Power's derivative contracts reflected in the preceding tables include contracts to hedge the purchase and sale of electricity and the purchase of fuel. Not all of these contracts qualify for hedge accounting. Most of those contracts are marked-to-market in accordance with SFAS 133. The tables above do not include contracts for which Power has elected the normal purchase/normal sales exemption under SFAS 133, such as its BGS contracts and certain other load-type contracts that it has with other utilities and companies with retail load.

The following reflects the gross volume, on an absolute value basis, of derivatives as of March 31, 2009:

<b>Type</b>	<b>Notional</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>PSEG</b>	<b>Power</b> (Millions)	<b>PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>Energy Holdings</b>
Natural Gas	Dth	1,200		947	253	
Electricity	MWh	138		138		
Capacity	MW days	2		2		
FTRs	MWh	7		7		
Emissions Allowances	Tons	1		1		
Oil	Barrels	2		2		
Foreign Currency Option	Indian Rupees	800				800
Interest Rate Swaps	US Dollars	290	250		40	
			34			

---

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 8. Fair Value Measurements**

Effective January 1, 2008, PSEG, Power and PSE&G adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), except for non-financial assets and liabilities as described in FSP FAS 157-2. PSEG, Power and PSE&G adopted SFAS 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data obtained from independent sources and those based on an entity's own assumptions. The hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to fair value measurement into three levels:

Level 1 measurements utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that PSEG, Power and PSE&G have the ability to access. These consist primarily of listed equity securities, exchange traded derivatives and certain U.S. government treasury securities.

Level 2 measurements include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and other observable inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. These consist primarily of non-exchange traded derivatives such as forward contracts or options and most fixed income securities.

Level 3 measurements use unobservable inputs for assets or liabilities, based on the best information available and might include an entity's own data and assumptions. In some valuations, the inputs used may fall into different levels of the hierarchy. In these cases, the financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. These consist mainly of various financial transmission rights, other longer term capacity and transportation contracts and certain commingled securities.

In addition to establishing a measurement framework, SFAS 157 nullifies the guidance of EITF 02-3, Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities, which did not allow an entity to recognize an unrealized gain or loss at the inception of a derivative instrument unless the fair value of that instrument was obtained from a quoted market price in an active market or was otherwise evidenced by comparison to other observable current market transactions or based on a valuation technique incorporating observable market data.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

The following tables present information about PSEG's, Power's, and PSE&G's respective assets and (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, including the fair value measurements and the levels of inputs used in determining those fair values. Amounts shown for PSEG include the amounts shown for Power and PSE&G.

**Recurring Fair Value Measurements as of March 31, 2009**

Description	Total	Cash Collateral Netting (E)	Quoted Market Prices of Identical Assets (Level 1) (Millions)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>PSEG</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ 362	\$ (402 )	\$	\$ 546	\$ 218
NDT Funds (C)	\$ 954	\$	\$ 366	\$ 566	\$ 22
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$ 136	\$	\$ 8	\$ 113	\$ 15
Other Long-Term Investments (D)	\$ 1	\$	\$ 1	\$	\$
<i>Liabilities:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ (509 )	\$ 120	\$	\$ (577 )	\$ (52 )
Interest Rate Swaps (B)	\$ (1 )	\$	\$	\$ (1 )	\$
<b>Power</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ 322	\$ (402 )	\$	\$ 547	\$ 177
NDT Funds (C)	\$ 954	\$	\$ 366	\$ 566	\$ 22
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$ 27	\$	\$ 2	\$ 22	\$ 3
<i>Liabilities:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ (454 )	\$ 120	\$	\$ (577 )	\$ 3
<b>PSE&amp;G</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					

Derivative Contracts:

Energy-Related Contracts

(A)	\$	1	\$	\$	\$	\$	1
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$	47	\$	\$	3	\$	39
						\$	5

**Liabilities:**

Energy-Related Contracts

(A)	\$	(55)	\$	\$	\$	\$	(55)
-----	----	------	----	----	----	----	------

36

---

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Recurring Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2008**

Description	Total	Cash Collateral Netting (E)	Quoted Market Prices of Identical Assets (Level 1)  (Millions)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>PSEG</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ 399	\$ (154 )	\$	\$ 439 *	\$ 114 *
NDT Funds (C)	\$ 970	\$	\$ 413	\$ 516	\$ 41
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$ 133	\$	\$ 9	\$ 110	\$ 14
Other Long-Term Investments (D)	\$ 1	\$	\$ 1	\$	\$
<i>Liabilities:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ (510 )	\$ 42	\$	\$ (470 )*	\$ (82 )*
Interest Rate Swaps (B)	\$ (10 )	\$	\$	\$ (10 )	\$
<b>Power</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ 368	\$ (154 )	\$	\$ 450 *	\$ 72 *
NDT Funds (C)	\$ 970	\$	\$ 413	\$ 516	\$ 41
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$ 27	\$	\$ 2	\$ 22	\$ 3
<i>Liabilities:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ (449 )	\$ 42	\$	\$ (480 )*	\$ (11 )*
<b>PSE&amp;G</b>					
<i>Assets:</i>					
Derivative Contracts:					
Energy-Related Contracts					
(A)	\$ 2	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2
Rabbi Trusts (C)	\$ 46	\$	\$ 3	\$ 38	\$ 5
<i>Liabilities:</i>					

Derivative Contracts:

Energy-Related Contracts

(A)	\$	(66)	\$	\$	\$	\$	(66)
Interest Rate Swaps (B)	\$	(1)	\$	\$	\$	(1)	\$

\* The amounts shown in energy-related contract assets and liabilities in the table above have been corrected from such amounts shown in our 2008 Form 10-K to reflect a \$22 million increase in the Level 2 net liability and corresponding increase in the Level 3 net asset.

(A) Whenever possible, fair values for energy related contracts are obtained from quoted market sources in active markets. When this pricing is unavailable, contracts are valued using broker or dealer quotes or auction prices (primarily Level 2).

For energy related

contracts which include more complex agreements where limited observable inputs or pricing information is available, modeling techniques are employed using assumptions reflective of contractual terms, current market rates, forward price curves, discount rates and risk factors, as applicable (primarily Level 3).

- (B) Interest rate swaps are valued using quoted prices on commonly quoted intervals, which are interpolated for periods different than the quoted intervals, as inputs to a market valuation model. Market inputs can generally be verified and model selection does not involve

significant  
management  
judgment.

- (C) The NDT Funds and the Rabbi Trusts maintain investments in various equity and fixed income securities classified as available for sale. These securities are valued using quoted market prices,

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. All fair value measurements for the fund securities are provided by the trustees of these funds. Most equity securities are priced utilizing the principal market close price or in some cases midpoint, bid or ask price (primarily Level 1). Fixed income securities are priced using an evaluated pricing approach or the most recent exchange or quoted bid (primarily Level 2). Short-term investments are valued based upon internal matrices using observable market prices or market parameters such as time-to-maturity, coupon rate, quality rating and current yield (primarily Level 2). Certain commingled cash equivalents included in temporary investment funds are measured with significant unobservable inputs and internal assumptions (primarily Level 3).

- (D) Other long-term investments consist of equity securities and are valued using a market based approach based on quoted market prices.
  
- (E) Cash collateral netting represents collateral amounts netted against derivative assets and liabilities as permitted under FIN 39-1.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of Level 3 derivative contracts and securities follows:

**Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis  
for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009**

Description	Balance as of January 1, 2009	Total Gains or (Losses) Realized/Unrealized		Purchases and (Sales) and Settlements	Balance March 31, 2009
		Included in Income (A)	Included in Regulatory Assets/ Liabilities (B) (Millions)		
<b>PSEG</b>					
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 32	\$ 131	\$ 10	\$ (7)	\$ 166
NDT Funds	\$ 41	\$	\$	\$ (19)	\$ 22
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 14	\$	\$	\$ 1	\$ 15
<b>Power</b>					
Net Derivative Assets	\$ 61	\$ 126	\$	\$ (7)	\$ 180
NDT Funds	\$ 41	\$	\$	\$ (19)	\$ 22
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$	\$	\$	\$ 3
<b>PSE&amp;G</b>					
Net Derivative Liabilities	\$ (64)	\$	\$ 10	\$	\$ (54)
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 5	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5

(A) PSEG's gains and losses are mainly attributable to changes in derivative assets and liabilities of which \$102 million is included in Operating Revenues and \$29 million is included in Other Comprehensive Income. Of the \$102 million in Operating Revenues, \$5 million (unrealized) is

at PSEG Texas  
and \$ 97 million  
(unrealized) is  
at Power. The  
\$29 million  
included in  
Other  
Comprehensive  
Income is at  
Power.

- (B) Mainly includes  
losses on  
PSE&G s  
derivative  
contracts that  
are not included  
in either  
earnings or  
Other  
Comprehensive  
Income, as they  
are deferred as a  
Regulatory  
Asset and are  
expected to be  
recovered from  
PSE&G s  
customers.

As of March 31, 2009, PSEG carried approximately \$943 million of net assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, of which approximately \$203 million were measured using unobservable inputs

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

and classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. These Level 3 net assets represent less than 1% of PSEG's total assets.

**Changes in Level 3 Assets and (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis  
for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2008**

Description	Balance as of January 1, 2008	Total Gains or (Losses) Realized/Unrealized		Purchases/ (Sales) and Settlements	Balance March 31, 2008
		Included in Income (A)	Included in Regulatory Assets/ Liabilities (B) (Millions)		
<b>PSEG</b>					
Net Derivative Assets/(Liabilities)	\$ (11 )	\$ 18	\$ (22 )	\$ 9	\$ (6 )
NDT Funds	\$ 27	\$ (1 )	\$	\$ 1	\$ 27
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 16	\$	\$	\$ (2 )	\$ 14
<b>Power</b>					
Net Derivative Assets/(Liabilities)	\$ 10	\$ (15 )	\$	\$ 9	\$ 4
NDT Funds	\$ 27	\$ (1 )	\$	\$ 1	\$ 27
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 3	\$	\$	\$	\$ 3
<b>PSE&amp;G</b>					
Net Derivative Assets/(Liabilities)	\$ (49 )	\$	\$ (22 )	\$	\$ (71 )
Rabbi Trust Funds	\$ 6	\$	\$	\$ (1 )	\$ 5

(A) PSEG's gains and losses are mainly attributable to changes in derivative assets and liabilities of which \$22 million is included in Operating Revenues and \$(4) million is included in Other

Comprehensive  
Income. Of the  
\$22 million in  
Operating  
Revenues, \$33  
million  
(unrealized) is  
at PSEG Texas  
and \$(11)  
million (of  
which \$(10) is  
unrealized) is at  
Power. The \$(4)  
million included  
in Other  
Comprehensive  
Income is at  
Power.

- (B) Mainly includes  
losses on  
PSE&G s  
derivative  
contracts that  
are not included  
in either  
earnings or  
Other  
Comprehensive  
Income, as they  
are deferred as a  
Regulatory  
Asset and are  
expected to be  
recovered from  
PSE&G s  
customers.

As of March 31, 2008, PSEG carried approximately \$911 million of net assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, of which approximately \$35 million are measured using unobservable inputs and classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. These Level 3 net assets represent less than 1% of PSEG s total assets.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 9. Other Income and Deductions**

<b>Other Income:</b>	<b>Power</b>	<b>PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>Other (A)</b>	<b>Consolidated Total</b>
			(Millions)	
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009</b>				
NDT Fund Realized Gains	\$ 50	\$	\$	\$ 50
NDT Interest, Dividend and Other Income	17			17
Other Interest and Dividend Income	3		(1)	2
Other		1	1	2
<b>Total Other Income</b>	<b>\$ 70</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 71</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008</b>				
NDT Fund Realized Gains	\$ 75	\$	\$	\$ 75
NDT Interest, Dividend and Other Income	8			8
Other Interest and Dividend Income	2	2	1	5
Other	1	3	1	5
<b>Total Other Income</b>	<b>\$ 86</b>	<b>\$ 5</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ 93</b>
<b>Other Deductions:</b>				
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009</b>				
NDT Fund Realized Losses and Expenses	\$ 46	\$	\$	\$ 46
Loss on Disposition of Assets	4			4
Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investments	60			60
Other		1	4	5
<b>Total Other Deductions</b>	<b>\$ 110</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 4</b>	<b>\$ 115</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008</b>				
NDT Fund Realized Losses and Expenses	\$ 53	\$	\$	\$ 53
Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investments	38			38
Other		1	3	4

<b>Total Other Deductions</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>95</b>
-------------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

(A) Other primarily consists of activity at PSEG (as parent company), Energy Holdings, Services and intercompany eliminations.

**Note 10. Income Taxes**

PSEG's effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was 40.6% as compared to 34.9% for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the absence of tax benefits, accrued in 2008, applicable to an IRS refund claim and the sale of leveraged lease assets in 2009.

Power's effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was 39.3% as compared to 40.5% for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. The decrease in the effective tax rate was due to primarily due to lower earnings in the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Funds and increased benefits of a manufacturing deduction under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

PSE&G's effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was 40.7% as compared to 32.2% for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the absence of tax benefits, accrued in 2008, applicable to an IRS refund claim.

PSEG, Power and PSE&G have \$1,359 million, \$17 million and \$26 million, respectively of unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2009 which have not materially changed since December 31, 2008.

It is reasonably possible that the total unrecognized tax benefits (including interest) at PSEG will decrease by approximately \$168 million within the next 12 months due to either agreement with various taxing authorities upon audit or the expiration of the Statute of Limitations. This amount includes a \$13 million decrease for Power, a \$7 million decrease for PSE&G, a \$25 million decrease for Services, a \$128 million decrease for Energy Holdings and a \$5 million increase for PSEG.

**Note 11. Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax**

	<b>Power (A)</b>	<b>PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>Other (B)</b>	<b>Consolidated Total</b>
	(Millions)			
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009:</b>				
Net Income	\$ 318	\$ 124	\$ 2	\$ 444
Other Comprehensive Income	132		14	146
Comprehensive Income	<b>\$ 450</b>	<b>\$ 124</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>	<b>\$ 590</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008:</b>				
Net Income	\$ 275	\$ 137	\$ 36	\$ 448
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	(272 )		52	(220 )
Comprehensive Income	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>\$ 137</b>	<b>\$ 88</b>	<b>\$ 228</b>

(A) Changes at Power primarily relate to changes in SFAS 133 unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts that

qualify for hedge accounting in 2009 and 2008, as detailed below.

- (B) Other consists of activity at PSEG (as parent company), Energy Holdings, Services and intercompany eliminations.

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):**

	<b>Balance as of December 31, 2008</b>	<b>Power</b>	<b>PSE&amp;G (Millions)</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Balance as of March 31, 2009</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009:</b>					
Derivative Contracts	\$ 172	\$ 124	\$	\$ 13	\$ 309
Pension and OPEB Plans	(371 )	6			(365 )
NDT Funds	18	2			20
Other	4			1	5
	<b>\$ (177 )</b>	<b>\$ 132</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 14</b>	<b>\$ (31 )</b>

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

	<b>Balance as of December 31, 2007</b>	<b>Power</b>	<b>PSE&amp;G (Millions)</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Balance as of March 31, 2008</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008:</b>					
Derivative Contracts	\$ (259 )	\$ (242 )	\$	\$ (4 )	\$ (505 )
Pension and OPEB Plans	(167 )				(167 )
Currency Translation Adjustment	107			56	163
NDT Funds	97	(30 )			67
Other	6				6
	<b>\$ (216 )</b>	<b>\$ (272 )</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 52</b>	<b>\$ (436 )</b>

**Note 12. Earnings Per Share (EPS)**

Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing Net Income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, including shares issuable upon exercise of stock options outstanding or vesting of restricted stock awards granted under PSEG's stock compensation plans and upon payment of performance share units or restricted stock units. The following table shows the effect of these stock options, restricted stock awards, performance share units and restricted stock units on the weighted average number of shares outstanding used in calculating diluted EPS:

	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>			
	<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>	
	<b>Basic</b>	<b>Diluted</b>	<b>Basic</b>	<b>Diluted</b>
<b>EPS Numerator:</b>				
<b>Earnings (Millions)</b>				
Continuing Operations	\$ 444	\$ 444	\$ 435	\$ 435
Discontinued Operations			13	13
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 444</b>	<b>\$ 444</b>	<b>\$ 448</b>	<b>\$ 448</b>
<b>EPS Denominator (Thousands):</b>				
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	505,986	505,986	508,490	508,490
Effect of Stock Options		192		539
Effect of Stock Performance Share Units		334		965
Effect of Restricted Stock				19

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Effect of Restricted Stock Units			36		94
<b>Total Shares</b>	<b>505,986</b>	<b>506,548</b>	<b>508,490</b>	<b>510,107</b>	
<b>EPS:</b>					
Continuing Operations	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.85	
Discontinued Operations			0.02	0.03	
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	<b>\$ 0.88</b>	

Dividend payments on common stock for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$0.3325 and \$0.3225 per share, respectively, and totaled \$168 million and \$164 million, respectively.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 13. Financial Information by Business Segments**

	<b>Power</b>	<b>PSE&amp;G</b>	<b>Energy Holdings (Millions)</b>	<b>Other (A)</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009:</b>					
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,735	\$ 135	\$ (1,323 )	\$ 3,921
Net Income (Loss)	318	124	7	(5 )	444
Preferred Securities Dividends		(1 )		1	
Segment Earnings (Loss)	318	123	7	(4 )	444
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	207	194	3	(2 )	402
<b>As of March 31, 2009:</b>					
Total Assets	\$ 9,666	\$ 16,444	\$ 3,919	\$ (727 )	\$ 29,302
Investments in Equity Method Subsidiaries	\$ 37	\$	\$ 186	\$	\$ 223
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008:</b>					
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 2,375	\$ 2,618	\$ 131	\$ (1,332 )	\$ 3,792
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	275	137	29	(6 )	435
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax			13		13
Net Income (Loss)	275	137	42	(6 )	448
Preferred Securities Dividends		(1 )		1	
Segment Earnings (Loss)	275	136	42	(5 )	448
Gross Additions to Long-Lived Assets	\$ 174	\$ 145	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 323
<b>As of December 31, 2008:</b>					
Total Assets	\$ 9,459	\$ 16,406	\$ 4,256	\$ (1,072 )	\$ 29,049
Investments in Equity Method Subsidiaries	\$ 35	\$	\$ 180	\$	\$ 215

(A) PSEG's other activities include amounts applicable to PSEG (as parent company), Services and intercompany eliminations, primarily relating to intercompany transactions between Power and PSE&G. No gains or losses are recorded on any intercompany transactions; rather, all intercompany transactions are priced in accordance with applicable regulations, including affiliate pricing rules, or at cost or, in the case of the BGS and BGSS contracts between Power and PSE&G, at rates prescribed by the BPU. For a further discussion of the intercompany transactions

between  
Power and  
PSE&G, see  
Note 14.  
Related-Party  
Transactions.  
The net losses  
primarily  
relate to  
financing and  
certain  
administrative  
and general  
costs.

**Note 14. Related-Party Transactions**

The following discussion relates to intercompany transactions, which are eliminated during the PSEG consolidation process in accordance with GAAP.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Power**

The financials statements for Power include transactions with related parties presented as follows:

<b>Related Party Transactions</b>	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Revenue from Affiliates:		
Billings to PSE&G through BGSS (A)	\$ 970	\$ 1,050
Billings to PSE&G through BGS (A)	344	272
<b>Total Revenue from Affiliates</b>	<b>\$ 1,314</b>	<b>\$ 1,322</b>
Expense Billings from Affiliates:		
Administrative Billings from Services (B)	\$ (40 )	\$ (41 )
<b>Total Expense Billings from Affiliates</b>	<b>\$ (40 )</b>	<b>\$ (41 )</b>

<b>Related Party Balances</b>	<b>March 31,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Receivables from PSE&G Related to Gas Supply Hedges for BGSS (A)	\$ 399	\$ 319
Receivables from PSE&G through BGS and BGSS Contracts (A)	345	475
Administrative Billings Payable to Services (B)	(24 )	(26 )
Tax Sharing Payable to PSEG (C)	(230 )	(36 )
Amounts Payable to Energy Holdings	(3 )	
Amounts PSEG Paid on Power s Behalf	(1 )	
<b>Accounts Receivable Affiliated Companies, net</b>	<b>\$ 486</b>	<b>\$ 732</b>
<b>Short-Term Loan to Affiliate (Demand Note Receivable from PSEG) (D)</b>	<b>\$ 951</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Short-Term Loan from Affiliate (Demand Note Payable to PSEG) (D)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (3 )</b>
<b>Working Capital Advances to Services (E)</b>	<b>\$ 17</b>	<b>\$ 17</b>
<b>Long-Term Accrued Taxes Payable (C)</b>	<b>\$ (17 )</b>	<b>\$ (16 )</b>

**PSE&G**

The financials statements for PSE&G include transactions with related parties presented as follows:

<b>Related Party Transactions</b>	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Expense Billings from Affiliates:		
Billings from Power through BGSS (A)	\$ (970 )	\$ (1,050 )
Billings from Power through BGS (A)	(344 )	(272 )
Administrative Billings from Services (B)	(66 )	(65 )
<b>Total Expense Billings from Affiliates</b>	<b>\$ (1,380 )</b>	<b>\$ (1,387 )</b>

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

<b>Related Party Balances</b>	<b>March 31, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Payable to Power Related to Gas Supply Hedges for BGSS (A)	\$ (399 )	\$ (319 )
Payable to Power through BGS and BGSS Contracts (A)	(345 )	(475 )
Administrative Billings Payable to Services (B)	(41 )	(54 )
Tax Sharing Receivable from (Payable to) PSEG (C)	(49 )	21
Current Unrecognized Tax Receivable from PSEG (C)	59	55
Amounts Collected by PSEG on behalf of PSE&G	1	9
<b>Accounts Payable Affiliated Companies, net</b>	<b>\$ (774 )</b>	<b>\$ (763 )</b>
<b>Working Capital Advances to Services (E)</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>
<b>Long-Term Accrued Taxes Payable (C)</b>	<b>\$ (85 )</b>	<b>\$ (82 )</b>

(A) PSE&G has entered into a requirements contract with Power under which Power provides the gas supply services needed to meet PSE&G's BGSS and other contractual requirements through March 31, 2012 and year-to-year thereafter. Power has also entered into contracts to supply energy, capacity and ancillary services to

PSE&G  
through the  
BGS auction  
process.

- (B) Services provides and bills administrative services to Power and PSE&G. In addition, Power and PSE&G have other payables to Services, including amounts related to certain common costs, such as pension and OPEB costs, which Services pays on behalf of each of the operating companies. Power and PSE&G believe that the costs of services provided by Services approximate market value for such services.
- (C) PSEG and its subsidiaries adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007, which prescribes a model for how

a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that it has taken or expects to take on a tax return.

(D) Short-term loans are for short-term needs. Interest Income and Interest Expense relating to these short term funding activities were immaterial.

(E) Power and PSE&G have advanced working capital to Services. The amounts are included in Other Noncurrent Assets on Power s and PSE&G s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 15. Guarantees of Debt**

Each series of Power's Senior Notes, Pollution Control Notes and revolving Letters of Credit are fully and unconditionally and jointly and severally guaranteed by PSEG Fossil LLC (Fossil), PSEG Nuclear LLC (Nuclear), and PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC (ER&T). The following table presents condensed financial information for the guarantor subsidiaries, as well as Power's non-guarantor subsidiaries.

	<b>Power</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Other Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	<b>Consolidated Total</b>
	(Millions)				
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009</b>					
Operating Revenues	\$	\$ 2,660	\$ 30	\$ (316 )	\$ 2,374
Operating Expenses	3	2,050	30	(316 )	1,767
Operating Income	(3 )	610			607
Equity Earnings (Losses) of Subsidiaries	332	(6 )		(326 )	
Other Income	23	82		(35 )	70
Other Deductions		(110 )			(110 )
Interest Expense	(53 )	(17 )	(8 )	35	(43 )
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	19	(227 )	2		(206 )
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b>	<b>\$ 318</b>	<b>\$ 332</b>	<b>\$ (6 )</b>	<b>\$ (326 )</b>	<b>\$ 318</b>
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2009</b>					
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	\$ 415	\$ 1,267	\$ (6 )	\$ (413 )	\$ 1,263
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	\$ (91 )	\$ (1,175 )	\$	\$ 117	\$ (1,149 )
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities	\$ (325 )	\$ (97 )	\$ 6	\$ 297	\$ (119 )
<b>Quarter Ended March 31, 2008</b>					
Operating Revenues	\$	\$ 2,627	\$ 27	\$ (279 )	\$ 2,375

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Operating Expenses	2	2,117	27	(280)	1,866
Operating Income (Loss)	(2)	510		1	509
Equity Earnings (Losses) of Subsidiaries	281	(10)		(271)	
Other Income	39	101		(54)	86
Other Deductions		(91)			(91)
Interest Expense	(53)	(28)	(15)	54	(42)
Income Tax Benefit (Expense)	10	(201)	5	(1)	(187)
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b>	<b>\$ 275</b>	<b>\$ 281</b>	<b>\$ (10)</b>	<b>\$ (271)</b>	<b>\$ 275</b>

**Quarter Ended  
March 31, 2008**

Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities	\$ (848)	\$ 856	\$ (26)	\$ 956	\$ 938
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	\$ 973	\$ (806)	\$ (2)	\$ (742)	\$ (577)
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities	\$ (125)	\$ (52)	\$ 28	\$ (214)	\$ (363)

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

	<b>Power</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Other Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Consolidating Adjustments</b>	<b>Consolidated Total</b>
			(Millions)		
<b>March 31, 2009:</b>					
Current Assets	\$ 2,470	\$ 6,004	\$ 442	\$ (6,098 )	\$ 2,818
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	50	4,599	916	(1 )	5,564
Investment in Subsidiaries	4,966	378		(5,344 )	
Noncurrent Assets	243	1,175	53	(187 )	1,284
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 7,729</b>	<b>\$ 12,156</b>	<b>\$ 1,411</b>	<b>\$ (11,630 )</b>	<b>\$ 9,666</b>
Current Liabilities	\$ 391	\$ 6,154	\$ 920	\$ (6,099 )	\$ 1,366
Noncurrent Liabilities	466	1,037	112	(186 )	1,429
Long-Term Debt	2,862				2,862
Member s Equity	4,010	4,965	379	(5,345 )	4,009
<b>Total Liabilities and Member s Equity</b>	<b>\$ 7,729</b>	<b>\$ 12,156</b>	<b>\$ 1,411</b>	<b>\$ (11,630 )</b>	<b>\$ 9,666</b>
<b>December 31, 2008:</b>					
Current Assets	\$ 2,395	\$ 5,507	\$ 439	\$ (5,636 )	\$ 2,705
Property, Plant and Equipment, net	44	4,513	924		5,481
Investment in Subsidiaries	4,758	384		(5,142 )	
Noncurrent Assets	244	1,166	50	(187 )	1,273
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 7,441</b>	<b>\$ 11,570</b>	<b>\$ 1,413</b>	<b>\$ (10,965 )</b>	<b>\$ 9,459</b>
Current Liabilities	\$ 371	\$ 5,880	\$ 919	\$ (5,637 )	\$ 1,533
Noncurrent Liabilities	532	935	109	(187 )	1,389
Long-Term Debt	2,653				2,653
Member s Equity	3,885	4,755	385	(5,141 )	3,884
<b>Total Liabilities and Member s Equity</b>	<b>\$ 7,441</b>	<b>\$ 11,570</b>	<b>\$ 1,413</b>	<b>\$ (10,965 )</b>	<b>\$ 9,459</b>



**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (MD&A)**

This combined MD&A is separately filed by PSEG, Power and PSE&G. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Power and PSE&G each make representations only as to itself and make no representations whatsoever as to any other company.

PSEG's business consists of three reportable segments, which are:

**Power**, our wholesale energy supply company that integrates its generating asset operations with its wholesale energy, fuel supply, energy trading and marketing and risk management activities primarily in the Northeast and Mid Atlantic U.S.;

**PSE&G**, our public utility company which provides transmission and distribution of electricity and gas in New Jersey; and

**Energy Holdings**, which owns our other generation assets and holds other

energy-related  
investments.

## **OVERVIEW OF 2009 AND FUTURE OUTLOOK**

Our business discussion in Part I Item 1 Business of our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K provides a review of the regions and markets where we operate and compete, as well as our strategy for conducting our businesses within these markets, focusing on operational excellence, financial strength and making disciplined investments. The following discussion supplements that discussion and the discussion included in the Overview of 2008 and Future Outlook provided in Item 7 in our Form 10-K by describing significant events and business developments that have occurred during 2009 and any changes to the key factors that will drive our future performance. The following discussion refers to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Statements) and the Related Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes). This information should be read in conjunction with such Statements, Notes and the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### **Operational Excellence**

Our generating assets continued to perform with strong operations in the first quarter of 2009. Our nuclear capacity factor for the first quarter 2009 was slightly higher than in the comparable period in 2008. Our fossil fleet also performed well in the first quarter 2009, although generation volumes were negatively impacted by lower electric prices than in the recent past, and the general economic slowdown. The largest reduction in volume was at our coal units which are burning higher-priced coal in 2009 than in 2008. Continued lower electric prices and recessionary conditions could prolong this trend for the foreseeable near-term future. Our hedging strategy has resulted in higher average prices than a year ago, thus largely offsetting our reduced generation. The increase in prices was due to comparably higher-priced contracts that replaced older, lower-priced contracts, such as the 2005 Basic Generation Service (BGS) auction contracts which expired in May 2008, that were replaced with higher priced contracts.

Our utility operations experienced a 2% decline in total electric volumes and 3% increase in total gas volumes in the first quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Residential sales contribute approximately 45% of our electric margin and 75% of our gas margin. In the Commercial and Industrial Segments, billings to customers are not based on total energy consumption as measured by kilowatt-hours or deka-therms, but are based on fixed, monthly demand charges that are set by the highest electric and gas demand for an hour period during the previous 12-month period or, in the case of some electric rates, by the peak demand during the current month. Therefore, any changes in energy usage over comparative periods may not impact sales margin.

During 2008 and the first quarter of 2009, we undertook a project to update our customer service system. In April 2009 our customer service system was fully integrated into our utility operations.

During the quarter there were also two significant regulatory developments that we believe have the potential to positively impact future operations.

On March 26, 2009, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issued an order regarding PJM's Reliability Pricing Model (RPM). The effect of this order upon our generation fleet in PJM is generally positive, particularly the increase in the cost of new entry value which more accurately reflects construction and equipment costs. This should incent both new build and continued operation of existing facilities. For additional information, see Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court concluded that the U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA) permissibly relied upon cost-benefit analysis in setting the national performance standards and in providing for cost-benefit variances from those standards as part of the Phase II Section 316(b) regulations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This is important to us in that it allows the EPA to continue to use the site-specific cost-benefit test in determining best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact. For additional information, see Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

There continue to be significant developments addressing the need to promote clean and renewable energy, energy efficiency and the reduction of greenhouse gases which may impact our operations in the future as new rules and regulations are adopted.

In April 2009  
the EPA

released a proposed finding under the Clean Air Act concluding that CO<sub>2</sub> is one type of six specific greenhouse gases which cause or contribute to the climate change problem and constitute air pollution which endangers both public health and welfare. If applied to fossil fuel generation facilities additional regulation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions could impact our operations, ability to renew permits and licenses, and could result in material compliance costs.

Legislation has been introduced in Congress to promote clean energy, energy efficiency, and reduce greenhouse gases. The bill sets forth major initiatives which include establishing a national

renewable energy standard. This would provide for setting maximum pollution levels and creating a market mechanism for the sale and purchase of pollution allowances (cap-and-trade programs). While the proposed regulation would not eliminate individual state-level renewable portfolio standards, it could reduce or eliminate regional inconsistencies in environmental regulations.

### **Financial Strength**

In 2009, we have continued to focus on managing costs while maintaining our safety and reliability standards and believe that our financial position remains strong.

Our businesses continue to generate strong cash from operations in 2009. In addition, Power established a program for the issuance of up to \$500 million of unsecured medium-term notes (MTNs) to retail investors in January and to date has issued \$209 million under this program. We used these funds, cash from operations and cash on hand to:

contribute \$257 million of the approximately \$370 million we expect to contribute into our pension

plans in 2009,

pay \$250  
million of  
Power s 3.75%  
Senior Notes  
at maturity,

redeem \$280  
million of  
non-recourse  
debt at our  
Texas plants at  
the end of  
February and

repurchase \$10  
million of  
Energy  
Holdings  
remaining  
Senior Notes.

In addition, the Board of Directors has approved an increase in the quarterly dividends from \$0.3225 per share to \$0.3325 per share for the first and second quarters of 2009 with an indicated annual dividend of \$1.33 per share. This increase is consistent with maintaining our target payout ratio of 40% to 50% of Operating Earnings.

## Disciplined Investment

During 2009, we expect to continue to pursue investments focusing on areas that complement our existing businesses and provide prudent growth opportunities. These areas include responding to climate change and continuing to improve environmental performance, upgrading critical energy infrastructure and providing new energy supplies.

During 2009:

We were assigned construction and operating responsibility for two additional 500 kV transmission lines in New Jersey. The first line would run from Branchburg to Roseland and the second from Roseland to Hudson. These lines are still in the design phase.

We obtained incentive rate approval from FERC for our portion of a 500 kV transmission line that may extend to Lower Alloways Creek Township, New Jersey. We will be responsible for constructing and operating a portion of this line,

known as the Mid-Atlantic Pathway Project (MAPP). Receipt of incentive rates is contingent upon our portion of the MAPP project being approved by PJM as a Regional Transmission Expansion Plan (RTEP) project.

We requested approval from the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (BPU) for a new solar loan program, called Solar Loan II . Under Solar Loan II, we would help finance the installation of an additional 40 MW of solar-powered generating systems in our electric service territory. Any remaining financing capacity from our current solar loan program would be rolled into the new program.

The BPU approved our Capital Economic Stimulus Program. Under this program, we anticipate accelerating \$694 million of capital infrastructure investments through our utility for electric and gas programs in New Jersey over a 24-month period. The goal of the program is to help improve New Jersey's economy through the creation of new jobs while enhancing our utility's infrastructure. The program provides for a charge for immediate recovery of a return on the program expenditures plus depreciation of the assets which will be adjusted each January.

There is no guarantee that these or future initiatives will be achieved since many issues need to be favorably resolved, such as system reliability concerns, regulatory approvals and funding of construction or development costs.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The results for us and our subsidiaries for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below:

<b>Earnings (Losses)</b>	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Power	\$ 318	\$ 275
PSE&G	124	137
Energy Holdings	7	29
Other	(5 )	(6 )
<b>PSEG Income from Continuing Operations</b>	<b>\$ 444</b>	<b>\$ 435</b>
Income from Discontinued Operations		13
<b>PSEG Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 444</b>	<b>\$ 448</b>

<b>Earnings Per Share (Diluted)</b>	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>PSEG Income from Continuing Operations</b>	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.85
Income from Discontinued Operations		0.03
<b>PSEG Net Income</b>	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.88

Our results include the following after-tax impacts of mark-to-market (MTM) activity:

<b>Non-Trading Mark-to-Market After Tax</b>	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	(Millions)	
Power	\$ (18 )	\$ 3
Energy Holdings	3	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (15 )</b>	<b>\$ 5</b>

The quarter-over-quarter increase in our Income from Continuing Operations reflects the following large drivers:

Improved earnings at Power due to higher prices realized under sales contracts partially offset by lower volumes and losses related to the Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT) Funds, and

the absence of tax benefits taken in 2008 at PSE&G and Energy Holdings related to an IRS refund claim and other tax items.

**PSEG**

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Our results of operations are primarily comprised of the results of operations of our operating subsidiaries, Power, PSE&G and Energy Holdings, excluding changes related to intercompany transactions, which are eliminated in consolidation. We also include certain financing costs, donations and general and administrative costs at the parent company. For additional information on intercompany transactions, see Note 14. Related-Party Transactions. For an explanation of the variances, see the discussions for Power, PSE&G and Energy Holdings that follow the table below.

	Quarters Ended March 31,		Increase/ (Decrease)	
	2009	2008	2009 vs 2008	
	(Millions)		(Millions)	%
Operating Revenues	\$ 3,921	\$ 3,792	\$ 129	3
Energy Costs	2,068	2,119	(51 )	(2 )
Operation and Maintenance	675	627	48	8
Depreciation and Amortization	207	192	15	8
Income from Equity Method Investments	10	12	(2 )	(17 )
Other Income and Deductions	(44 )	(2 )	42	N/A
Interest Expense	(145 )	(153 )	(8 )	(5 )
Income Tax Expense	(304 )	(233 )	71	30
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax		13	(13 )	(100 )
	51			

**Power**

	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009 vs 2008</b>
	<b>(Millions)</b>		<b>(Millions)</b>
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 318	\$ 275	\$ 43
Net Income	\$ 318	\$ 275	\$ 43

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the primary reasons for the \$43 million increase in Income from Continuing Operations were:

higher prices  
and sales  
volumes on  
BGS  
contracts  
supported by  
lower  
generation  
costs,

improved  
margins on a  
reduced sales  
volume  
under the  
BGSS  
contract, and

higher  
trading  
gains,

partially  
offset by  
higher  
maintenance  
costs and net  
losses on  
investments  
in the NDT  
Funds.

The increase  
also included  
the  
recognition

of  
 non-trading  
 MTM losses  
 of \$18  
 million,  
 after-tax, in  
 2009 as  
 compared to  
 \$3 million of  
 after-tax  
 MTM gains  
 in 2008.

The quarter-over-quarter details for these variances are discussed below:

	Quarters Ended		Increase/ (Decrease)	
	2009	2008	2009 vs 2008	
	(Millions)		(Millions)	%
Operating Revenues	\$ 2,374	\$ 2,375	\$ (1 )	
Energy Costs	1,462	1,589	(127 )	(8 )
Operation and Maintenance	258	239	19	8
Depreciation and Amortization	47	38	9	24
Other Income and Deductions	(40 )	(5 )	35	N/A
Interest Expense	(43 )	(42 )	1	2
Income Tax Expense	(206 )	(187 )	19	10

**For the quarter ended March 31, 2009 as compared to 2008**

**Operating Revenues** decreased \$1 million due to:

**Generation**  
 revenues  
 increased  
 \$59 million  
 due to

i a net  
 increase of  
 \$88  
 million  
 from  
 higher  
 prices on a  
 higher  
 volume of  
 BGS  
 contracts

modestly  
offset by  
the  
expiration  
of several  
contracts  
in May  
2008, and

i higher  
revenues  
of \$19  
million  
due to  
several  
new  
wholesale  
contracts  
that were  
entered  
into in late  
2008 and  
early 2009,

i partially  
offset by  
lower  
revenues  
of \$41  
million  
resulting  
from lower  
volumes of  
generation  
being sold  
at lower  
prices.

***Gas***

***Supply***

revenues  
decreased  
\$76  
million

i including a  
net decrease  
of \$8 million  
resulting  
from sales  
under the

BGSS  
contract,  
comprised of  
\$46 million  
from lower  
average gas  
prices in  
2009 net of  
gains on  
financial  
hedging  
transactions,  
partly offset  
by higher  
sales  
volumes of  
\$38 million  
due to colder  
winter  
temperatures  
in 2009, and

i a net decrease of \$68 million due to lower prices on a reduced sales volume to third party customers.

***Trading***

revenues increased \$16 million principally due to premiums received on the termination of certain trades and gains on electric-related contracts.

**Operating Expenses**

***Energy***

***Costs***

represent the cost of generation, which includes fuel purchases for generation as well as purchased energy in the market, and gas purchases to meet Power s obligation under its BGSS contract

with  
PSE&G.  
Energy  
Costs  
decreased  
by \$127  
million due  
to:

i **Generation costs**

decreased by  
\$39 million  
due to \$98  
million of  
lower fuel  
costs,  
primarily  
reflecting  
lower  
volumes of  
natural gas  
and coal  
purchases  
and lower  
average  
natural gas  
prices, partly  
offset by net  
losses of \$51  
million from  
financial  
hedging  
transactions.

i **Gas costs**  
decreased  
\$88 million,  
reflecting net  
decreases of  
\$9 million  
and \$75  
million  
related to  
Power's  
obligations  
under the  
BGSS  
contract and  
sales to third  
party

customers,  
respectively,  
reflecting  
lower  
inventory  
costs  
partially  
offset by  
higher  
volumes.

***Operation  
and  
Maintenance***  
increased \$19  
million  
primarily due  
to

i a net  
increase of  
\$8 million  
due to higher  
planned  
maintenance  
costs at our  
fossil  
stations,  
primarily  
Keystone,  
Bergen and  
Linden, and

i an increase  
of \$9 million  
primarily  
related to a  
planned  
outage at  
Hope Creek.

***Depreciation  
and  
Amortization***  
increased \$9  
million due to

i an increase  
of \$4 million  
resulting  
from a larger

depreciable  
nuclear asset  
base in  
2009,  
principally  
due to  
depreciation  
of the Salem  
2 steam  
generator  
replacement  
being placed  
into service  
in May  
2008, and a  
higher  
depreciable  
fossil asset  
base in  
2009, and

i an increase  
of \$4 million  
due to  
pollution  
control  
equipment  
being placed  
into service  
in December  
2008 at our  
Mercer 1  
and 2  
generating  
facilities.

**Other Income and Deductions** decreased \$35 million due to

higher charges of \$22  
million (\$60 million  
in 2009 versus \$38  
million in 2008) for  
other-than-temporary  
impairments related  
to the NDT Fund  
securities,

net realized losses of  
\$17 million on the  
NDT Fund securities,  
and

a \$4 million write-off  
of obsolete  
pollution-control  
equipment,

partially offset by an  
increase of \$7 million  
in net unrealized  
gains on NDT Fund  
derivative  
instruments.

**Interest Expense** experienced no material change.

**Income Tax Expense** increased \$19 million in 2009 primarily due to

an increase of  
\$25 million  
due to higher  
pre-tax  
income,

partially offset  
by a reduction  
of \$3 million  
due to lower  
earnings from  
the NDT  
Funds, and

a reduction of  
\$2 million due  
to increased  
benefits from a  
manufacturing  
deduction  
under the  
American Jobs  
Creation Act  
of 2004.

**PSE&G**

	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009 vs 2008</b>
	(Millions)		
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 124	\$ 137	\$ (13 )
Net Income	\$ 124	\$ 137	\$ (13 )

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the primary reasons for the \$13 million decrease in Income from Continuing Operations were:

higher taxes  
as a result of  
tax benefits  
recorded in  
2008 related  
to an IRS  
refund claim  
and other tax  
items, and

increased  
Operation and  
Maintenance  
expense and  
depreciation,  
offset by

higher margin  
revenues due  
to favorable  
weather and a  
Transmission  
formula rate  
increase.

The quarter-over-quarter details for these variances are discussed below.

	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009 vs 2008</b>	
	(Millions)		(Millions)	%
Operating Revenues	\$ 2,735	\$ 2,618	\$ 117	4
Energy Costs	1,859	1,793	66	4
Operation and Maintenance	395	360	35	10

Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

Depreciation and Amortization	149	143	6	4
Other Income and Deductions		4	(4)	(100)
Interest Expense	(79)	(81)	(2)	(2)
Income Tax Expense	(85)	(65)	20	31

**For the quarter ended March 31, 2009 as compared to 2008**

**Operating Revenues** increased \$117 million primarily due to

*Commodity*

related  
revenues  
increased  
\$65 million  
due to

i increased  
electric  
revenues of  
\$75 million  
primarily  
due to \$97  
million in  
higher BGS  
and  
Non-Utility  
Generation  
(NGC)  
revenues  
(higher  
prices of  
\$129  
million  
offset by  
decreased  
sales of \$32  
million),  
offset by  
\$22 million  
in lower  
non-utility  
generation  
(NUG)  
revenues,  
primarily  
due to lower  
prices, and

j decreased  
gas

revenues of  
\$10 million  
due to \$48  
million in  
decreased  
BGSS  
prices offset  
by \$38  
million in  
higher sales  
due to  
weather.

***Delivery***

revenues  
increased  
\$52  
million  
due to

i increased gas  
revenues of  
\$30 million  
due to \$15  
million of  
higher sales  
due to  
favorable  
weather and  
\$15 million  
due to higher  
SBC  
revenues, and

j increased  
electric  
revenues of  
\$22 million  
due to \$14  
million for  
SBC  
revenues, \$7  
million for  
net  
transmission  
rate increases,  
\$4 million for  
a  
securitization  
transition  
charge rate

increase,  
offset by \$3  
million in  
decreased  
distribution  
sales and  
demands due  
to economic  
conditions.  
PSE&G  
retains no  
margins from  
SBC or STC  
collections as  
the revenues  
are offset in  
operating  
expenses  
below.

**Operating Expenses**

***Energy  
Costs***

increased  
\$66  
million  
due to

- i increased electric costs of \$75 million due to \$120 million or 16% in higher prices for BGS and NUG purchases offset by \$45 million or 6% in lower BGS and NUG volumes due to economic conditions, offset by

- j decreased gas costs of \$10 million due to \$48 million or 5% lower prices offset by \$38 million or 4% in higher sales volumes due to favorable weather.

***Operation  
and***

***Maintenance***

increased \$35 million primarily due to

i increases in SBC expenses of \$30 million, and

i \$11 million of higher labor and benefits, primarily increased pension expense,

i partially offset by lower materials usage of \$4 million, and

i lower injuries and damages of \$2 million.

***Depreciation and***

***Amortization***

increased \$6 million due to

i increases of \$4 million due to additional plant in service, and

i increases of  
\$3 million for  
amortization  
of regulatory  
assets,

i partially  
offset by \$1  
million in  
capitalized  
depreciation  
and software  
amortization.

**Other Income and Deductions** decreased \$4 million due to

\$3 million in  
lower  
investment  
income due  
to current  
market  
conditions,  
and

\$1 million  
reduction in  
income tax  
gross-ups on  
contributions  
in aid of  
construction  
(CIAC).  
CIAC is  
taxable and  
PSE&G  
recognizes  
the gross-up  
as income  
when  
collected.

**Interest Expense** experienced no material change.

**Income Tax Expense** increased \$20 million primarily due to

\$3  
million  
on  
higher

pre-tax  
income,  
and

\$18  
million  
in tax  
benefits  
taken in  
2008  
related  
to an  
IRS  
refund  
claim.

**Energy Holdings**

	<b>Quarters Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009 vs 2008</b>
	(Millions)		(Millions)
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 7	\$ 29	\$ (22 )
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax		13	(13 )
Net Income	\$ 7	\$ 42	\$ (35 )

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the primary reasons for the \$22 million decrease in Income from Continuing Operations were:

lower tax  
benefits as  
a result of  
the  
absence of  
benefits  
recorded in  
2008  
related to  
an IRS  
refund  
claim,

lower  
generation  
revenues,  
and



lower leveraged lease revenues primarily due to the tax reserve taken in mid-2008 and the sale of leveraged lease assets,

partially offset by gains on sales and terminations of leveraged lease assets, and

lower interest expense due to debt retirement.

The quarter-over-quarter details for these variances are discussed below:

	Quarters Ended March 31,		Increase/ (Decrease)	
	2009	2008	2009 vs 2008	
	(Millions)		(Millions)	%
Operating Revenues	\$ 135	\$ 131	\$ 4	3
Energy Costs	69	68	1	1
Operation and Maintenance	30	35	(5)	(14)
Depreciation and Amortization	7	7		
Income from Equity Method Investments	10	12	(2)	(17)
Other Income and Deductions	3	3		
Interest Expense	(19)	(23)	(4)	(17)
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	(16)	16	32	N/A
Income from Discontinued Operations, net of Tax		13	(13)	(100)

**For the quarters ended March 31, 2009 as compared to 2008**

**Operating Revenues** increased \$4 million due to

a gain of \$23 million on the sales and terminations of leveraged lease assets in the first quarter,

partially offset by lower leveraged lease revenues of \$13 million, primarily due to the tax reserve taken in mid-2008, and the sale of leveraged lease assets, and

a decrease of \$6 million in generation revenues due to lower electricity prices, partially offset by an increase in electricity sales and higher unrealized MTM gains.

**Operating Expenses**

*Energy Costs* experienced no material change.

***Operation  
and  
Maintenance***

decreased \$5 million primarily due to

j a decrease of \$3 million in administrative costs due to the closure of our administrative office in Texas, and

j a decrease of \$2 million in outside service costs.

**Income from Equity Method Investments** decreased \$2 million primarily due to lower income from GWF Energy Expansion due to the absence of the sale of water rights in 2008.

**Interest Expense** decreased \$4 million primarily due to lower debt balances.

**Income Tax Expense** increased \$32 million due to

an increase of \$23 million due to the absence of tax benefits recorded in 2008 associated with an IRS claim, and

an increase of \$11 million as a result of the sale of

leveraged  
lease  
assets in  
2009,

partially  
offset by a  
reduction  
of \$2  
million  
due to  
other  
credits.

### **Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax**

During 2008, we sold our investments in SAESA Group and Bioenergie. Income from Discontinued Operations relating to these investments for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 totaled \$13 million. See Note 3. Discontinued Operations and Dispositions for additional information.

### **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The following discussion of our liquidity and capital resources is on a consolidated basis, noting the uses and contributions, where material, of our three direct operating subsidiaries.

#### **Operating Cash Flows**

Our operating cash flows combined with cash on hand and financing activities are expected to be sufficient to fund capital expenditures and shareholder dividend payments.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, our operating cash flow increased by \$346 million as compared to the same quarter in 2008. The net change was due to net changes from our subsidiaries as discussed below.

#### **Power**

Power's operating cash flow increased \$325 million from \$938 million to \$1,263 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily resulting from an increase of \$223 million in net cash collateral receipts and an increase of \$211 million from net collections of counterparty receivables, partially offset by contributions of \$78 million to the employee pension plan in 2009.

#### **PSE&G**

PSE&G's operating cash flow decreased \$45 million from \$261 million to \$216 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to \$153 million in increased pension fund contributions. This was offset by \$111 million in higher recovery of regulatory assets.

#### **Energy Holdings**

Energy Holdings' operating cash flow increased \$96 million from \$(138) million to \$(42) million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to tax payments made in 2008 related to the sales of certain equity method investments.

#### **Short-Term Liquidity**

We have been managing our liquidity to assure that we continue to have sufficient access to cash to operate our businesses in the event the capital markets do not allow for near-term financing at reasonable terms. We are also closely monitoring the financial condition and concentration of lenders in our bank facilities. There is no provision in any of the credit facilities that would require other lenders in the facility to assume loan commitments of any financial institution that fails to meet its loan commitments. As of March 31, 2009, no single institution represents more than 11% of the commitments in our credit facilities.

We continually monitor our liquidity and seek to add capacity as needed to meet our liquidity requirements. Each of our credit facilities is restricted as to availability and use to the specific companies as listed below;



Edgar Filing: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO - Form 10-Q

however, if necessary, the PSEG facilities can also be used to support our subsidiaries liquidity needs. Our total credit facilities and available liquidity as of March 31, 2009 were as follows:

Company/Facility	Total Facility	March 31, 2009		Expiration Date	Primary Purpose
		Usage (Millions)	Available Liquidity		
<b>PSEG:</b>					
5-year Credit Facility (A)	\$ 1,000	\$ 13 (B)	\$ 987	Dec 2012	CP Support/Funding/ Letters of Credit
Bilateral Credit Facility	100		100	June 2009	CP Support/Funding
Uncommitted Bilateral Agreement	N/A		N/A	N/A	Funding
<b>Total PSEG</b>	\$ 1,100	\$ 13	\$ 1,087		
<b>Power:</b>					
5-year Credit Facility (A)	\$ 1,600	\$ 192 (B)	\$ 1,408	Dec 2012	Funding/Letters of Credit
Bilateral Credit Facility	100		100	June 2009	Funding/Letters of Credit
Bilateral Credit Facility	100	24 (B)	76	March 2010	Funding/Letters of Credit
Bilateral Credit Facility	50		50	Sep 2009	Funding
<b>Total Power</b>	\$ 1,850	\$ 216	\$ 1,634		
<b>PSE&amp;G:</b>					
5-year Credit Facility (A)	\$ 600	\$	\$ 600	June 2012	CP Support/Funding/ Letters of Credit
Uncommitted Bilateral Agreement	N/A		N/A	N/A	Funding
<b>Total PSE&amp;G</b>	\$ 600	\$	\$ 600		
<b>Energy Holdings</b>					
5-year Credit Facility	\$ 136	\$ 3 (B)	\$ 133	June 2010	Funding/Letters of Credit
<b>Total</b>	\$ 3,686	\$ 232	\$ 3,454		

(A)

In December 2011, facilities reduce by \$47 million, \$75 million, and \$28 million for PSEG, Power and PSE&G, respectively.

- (B) These amounts relate to letters of credit outstanding.

On April 3, 2009, Power executed a \$150 million bilateral credit agreement to replace a \$150 million credit agreement that expired during March 2009. The new credit agreement is available for funding and the issuance of letters of credit and expires on September 30, 2009.

In the second and third quarters of 2009, \$250 million of bilateral credit facilities at PSEG and Power are scheduled to expire. While we expect to request renewal of each of these facilities, no assurances can be given that such facilities will be renewed or renewed on comparable terms.

### **Long-Term Debt Financing**

In February 2009, Energy Holdings redeemed the remaining \$280 million outstanding non-recourse project debt associated with the assets of PSEG Texas. The debt was scheduled to mature on December 31, 2009. PSEG and PSE&G have \$249 million and \$60 million, respectively, of debt maturities upcoming in 2009, excluding securitized and nonrecourse debt. These maturities will occur in late May 2009 for PSE&G and during the third and fourth quarters for PSEG. We believe that we will be able to refinance or retire these obligations assuming continued access to the capital markets. For a discussion of our long-term debt transactions during 2009, see Note 6. Changes in Capitalization.

## Common Stock Dividends and Repurchases

Dividend payments on common stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 were \$0.3325 per share and totaled \$168 million. Dividend payments on common stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 were \$0.3225 per share and totaled \$164 million.

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$750 million of our common stock to be executed over 18 months beginning August 1, 2008. We are not obligated to acquire any specific number of shares and may suspend or terminate share repurchases at any time. We repurchased 2,382,200 shares of our common stock for \$92 million under this authorization through September 30, 2008. No repurchases have been made since that date.

On April 21, 2009, our Board of Directors approved a common stock dividend of \$0.3325 per share for the second quarter of 2009. This reflects an indicated annual dividend rate of \$1.33 per share. We expect to continue to pay cash dividends on our common stock; however, the declaration and payment of future dividends to holders of our common stock will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including our financial condition, earnings, capital requirements of our business, alternate investment opportunities, legal requirements, regulatory constraints, industry practice and other factors that the Board of Directors deems relevant.

## Credit Ratings

If the rating agencies lower or withdraw our credit ratings, such revisions may adversely affect the market price of our securities and serve to materially increase our cost of capital and limit access to capital. Outlooks assigned to ratings are as follows: stable, negative (Neg) or positive (Pos). There is no assurance that the ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be revised by the rating agencies, if, in their respective judgments, circumstances warrant. Each rating given by an agency should be evaluated independently of the other agencies ratings. The ratings should not be construed as an indication to buy, hold or sell any security. In March 2009, S&P affirmed the ratings and outlooks of PSEG, PSE&G, Power and Energy Holdings.

	Moody s(A)	S&P(B)	Fitch(C)
<b>PSEG:</b>			
Outlook	Stable	Stable	Stable
Commercial Paper	P2	A2	F2
<b>Power:</b>			
Outlook	Stable	Stable	Stable
Senior Notes	Baa1	BBB	BBB+
<b>PSE&amp;G:</b>			
Outlook	Stable	Stable	Stable
Mortgage Bonds	A3	A	A
Preferred Securities	Baa3	BB+	BBB+
Commercial Paper	P2	A2	F2

(A) Moody s ratings range from Aaa (highest) to C

(lowest)  
for  
long-term  
securities  
and P1  
(highest)  
to NP  
(lowest)  
for  
short-term  
securities.

(B) S&P  
ratings  
range from  
AAA  
(highest)  
to D  
(lowest)  
for  
long-term  
securities  
and A1  
(highest)  
to D  
(lowest)  
for  
short-term  
securities.

(C) Fitch  
ratings  
range from  
AAA  
(highest)  
to D  
(lowest)  
for  
long-term  
securities  
and F1  
(highest)  
to D  
(lowest)  
for  
short-term  
securities.

## **CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS**

We expect that the majority of funding for our capital requirements over the next three years will come from internally generated funds. The balance will be provided by the issuance of debt at the respective subsidiary or project level and by equity contributions from us to our subsidiaries.

PSE&G's projected construction and investment expenditures through 2011 are expected to increase by \$778 million as compared to amounts previously reported, primarily due to \$694 million of spending accelerated from later years under the Capital Economic Stimulus Program approved by the BPU in April 2009. These expenditures will be financed by a combination of external capital and internally generated funds.

Other than this increase at PSE&G, our projected construction and investment expenditures through 2011 are consistent with the amounts disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

### **Power**

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Power made \$162 million of capital expenditures (excluding \$45 million for nuclear fuel), primarily related to various projects at Fossil and Nuclear. For additional information regarding current projects, see Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

### **PSE&G**

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, PSE&G made \$194 million of capital expenditures, primarily for reliability of transmission and distribution systems. The \$194 million does not include expenditures for cost of removal, net of salvage, of \$9 million, which are included in operating cash flows.

## **ACCOUNTING MATTERS**

For information related to recent accounting matters, see Note 2. Recent Accounting Standards.

## **ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The market risk inherent in our market-risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in commodity prices, equity security prices and interest rates as discussed in the notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. It is our policy to use derivatives to manage risk consistent with business plans and prudent practices. We have a Risk Management Committee comprised of executive officers who utilize a risk oversight function to ensure compliance with our corporate policies and risk management practices.

Additionally, we are exposed to counterparty credit losses in the event of non-performance or non-payment. We have a credit management process, which is used to assess, monitor and mitigate counterparty exposure. In the event of non-performance or non-payment by a major counterparty, there may be a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or net cash flows.

### **Commodity Contracts**

The availability and price of energy-related commodities are subject to fluctuations from factors such as weather, environmental policies, changes in supply and demand, state and federal regulatory policies, market rules and other events. To reduce price risk caused by market fluctuations, we enter into supply contracts and derivative contracts, including forwards, futures, swaps and options with approved counterparties. These contracts, in conjunction with demand obligations, help reduce risk and optimize the value of owned electric generation capacity.

**Value-at-Risk (VaR) Models**

We use VaR models to assess the market risk of our commodity businesses. The portfolio VaR model includes our owned generation and physical contracts, as well as fixed price sales requirements, load requirements and financial derivative instruments. VaR represents the potential gains or losses, under normal

market conditions, for instruments or portfolios due to changes in market factors, for a specified time period and confidence level. We estimate VaR across our commodity businesses.

We manage our exposure at the portfolio level, which consists of owned generation, load-serving contracts (both gas and electric), fuel supply contracts and energy derivatives designed to manage the risk around generation and load. While we manage our risk at the portfolio level, we also monitor separately the risk of our trading activities and hedges. Non-trading mark-to-market (MTM) VaR consists of MTM derivatives that are economic hedges, some of which qualify for hedge accounting. The MTM derivatives that are not hedges are included in the trading VaR.

The VaR models used are variance/covariance models adjusted for the change of positions with a 95% confidence level and a one-day holding period for the MTM trading and non-trading activities and a 95% confidence level with a one-week holding period for the portfolio VaR. The models assume no new positions throughout the holding periods; however, we actively manage our portfolio.

Increased trading activities during 2009 have led to a higher VaR as compared to December 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2009, trading VaR was \$2 million. As of December 31, 2008, trading VaR was \$1 million.

<b>For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2009</b>	<b>Trading VaR</b>	<b>MTM VaR Non-Trading</b>
	(Millions)	
<i>95% confidence level, Loss could exceed VaR one day in 20 days:</i>		
Period End	\$ 2	\$ 31
Average for the Period	\$ 1	\$ 33
High	\$ 2	\$ 49
Low	\$ *	\$ 23
<i>99% confidence level, Loss could exceed VaR one day in 200 days:</i>		
Period End	\$ 3	\$ 48
Average for the Period	\$ 1	\$ 52
High	\$ 3	\$ 77
Low	\$ 1	\$ 36

\* less  
than \$1  
million

### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that we would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. We have established credit policies that we believe significantly minimize credit risk. These policies include an evaluation of potential counterparties' financial condition (including credit rating), collateral requirements under certain circumstances and the use of standardized agreements, which allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with a single counterparty.

In the event of non-performance or non-payment by a major counterparty, there may be a material adverse impact on Power's financial condition, results of operations or net cash flows. As of March 31, 2009, 98% of the credit exposure (MTM plus net receivables and payables, less cash collateral) for Power's operations was with investment grade

counterparties.

The following table provides information on Power's credit exposure, net of collateral, as of March 31, 2009. Credit exposure is defined as any positive results of netting accounts receivable/accounts payable and the forward value on open positions. It further delineates that exposure by the credit rating of the

counterparties and provides guidance on the concentration of credit risk to individual counterparties and an indication of the maturity of a company's credit risk by credit rating of the counterparties.

**Schedule of Credit Risk Exposure on Energy Contracts Net  
Assets as of March 31, 2009**

Rating	Current Exposure	Securities Held as Collateral (Millions)	Net Exposure	Number of Counterparties >10%	Net Exposure of Counterparties >10% (Millions)
Investment Grade External Rating	\$ 1,543	\$ 402	\$ 1,366	2 (A)	\$ 935
Non-Investment Grade External Rating	5	6			
Investment Grade No External Rating	7	1	7		
Non-Investment Grade No External Rating	22	22	9		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,577</b>	<b>\$ 431</b>	<b>\$ 1,382</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$ 935</b>

(A) PSE&G is a counterparty with net exposure of \$769 million. The remaining net exposure of \$166 million is with a nonaffiliated power purchaser which is a regulated investment grade counterparty.

The net exposure listed above, in some cases, will not be the difference between the current exposure and the collateral held. A counterparty may have posted more cash collateral than the outstanding exposure, in which case there would not be exposure. When letters of credit have been posted as collateral, the exposure amount is not reduced, but the exposure amount is transferred to the rating of the issuing bank. As of March 31, 2009, Power had 148 active counterparties.

## **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We have established and maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported and is accumulated and communicated to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of each respective company, as appropriate, by others within the entities to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We have established a disclosure committee which includes several key management employees and which reports directly to the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer of each respective company. The committee monitors and evaluates the effectiveness of these disclosure controls and procedures. The Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer of each company have evaluated the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures and, based on this evaluation, have concluded that disclosure controls and procedures at each respective company were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of the end of the period covered by the report.

### **Internal Controls**

We continually review our disclosure controls and procedures and make changes, as necessary, to ensure the quality of our financial reporting. There have been no changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the first quarter of 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, each registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are party to various lawsuits and regulatory matters in the ordinary course of business. For information regarding material legal proceedings, including updates to information reported under Item 3 of Part I of the respective 2008 Annual Reports on Form 10-K of PSEG, Power and PSE&G, see Note 5. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities and Item 5. Other Information, Regulatory Issues.

**RPM Model**

***PJM FERC Filing to Prospectively Change Elements of RPM and FERC Order on PJM Filing***

***2008 Form 10-K, Page 43.*** PJM submitted a filing at FERC seeking to implement certain prospective changes to the RPM model. Issues in this proceeding included:

the cost of  
new entry  
(CONE),

integration of  
transmission  
upgrades into  
RPM  
modeling,

recognition of  
locational  
capacity  
value,

participation  
in RPM by  
demand-side  
and energy  
efficiency  
resources,  
penalties for  
deficiencies  
and  
unavailability  
of capacity  
resources, and

the  
calculation of  
avoided cost  
and long-term  
contracting to  
encourage

new entry.

On March 26, 2009, the FERC issued an order accepting various parts and rejecting others, including retaining CONE values and reducing RPM auction requirements to encourage participation. While we believe that the order is generally positive, we sought rehearing of this order for further adjustments to PJM's filing.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are no additional Risk Factors to be added to those disclosed in Part I Item 1A of our 2008 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$750 million of our Common Stock to be executed over 18 months beginning August 1, 2008. We are not obligated to acquire any specific number of shares and may suspend or terminate the share repurchases at any time. As of March 31, 2009, 2,382,200 shares were repurchased at a total price of \$92 million.

2009		Total Number of Shares Purchased (A)	Average Price Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan (Millions)
January 1	January 31	10,285	\$ 29.12	N/A	\$ 658
February 1	February 28	50,000	\$ 32.97	N/A	\$ 658
March 1	March 31		\$	N/A	\$ 658

(A) Represents repurchase of shares in the open market to satisfy obligations under various equity compensation award programs.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on April 21, 2009. Proxies for the meeting were solicited pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Act of 1934. There was no solicitation of proxies in opposition to management's nominees as listed in the proxy statement and all of management's nominees were elected to the Board of Directors. Details of the voting are provided below:

	Votes For	Votes Withheld	
<b>Proposal 1:</b>			
<b>Election of Directors</b>			
<b>Terms expiring in 2010</b>			
Albert R. Gamper, Jr.	425,996,198	9,094,619	
Conrad K. Harper	426,808,991	8,281,826	
Shirley Ann Jackson	414,567,330	20,523,487	
David Lilley	430,440,419	4,650,398	
Thomas A. Renyi	425,916,757	9,174,060	
Hak Cheol Shin	430,216,359	4,874,458	
	Votes For	Votes Against	Abstentions
<b>Proposal 2:</b>			
Ratification of Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditor	429,071,580	4,454,930	1,564,306

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Certain information reported under the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K is updated below. Additionally, certain information is provided for new matters that have arisen subsequent to the filing of the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. References are to the related pages on the Form 10-K as printed and distributed.

**FEDERAL REGULATION****Transmission Expansion**

**2008 Form 10-K, Page 19.** PJM has approved the construction of a 500 kV transmission line running from Virginia through Maryland and Delaware and is still considering approval of the portion terminating in Lower Alloways Creek Township, New Jersey. We will be responsible for constructing and operating a portion of this line, known as the Mid-Atlantic Pathway Project (MAPP), if its portion of the line is approved. In March 2009, we obtained from FERC approval of a 150 basis point ROE adder for this project (yielding a ROE of 13.18%), 100% recovery of abandonment costs and the ability to transfer the project to an affiliate. Receipt of incentive rates is contingent upon our portion of the MAPP project being approved by PJM as a RTEP project.

In December 2008, PJM approved another transmission project, including two additional 500 kV transmission lines, and has assigned construction responsibility to PSE&G. The first line would run from Branchburg to Roseland, and the second from Roseland to Hudson. These lines are still in the design phase.

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Congestion Study National Interest Electric Transmission Corridors and FERC Back-Stop Siting Authority**

**2008 Form 10-K, Page 20.** In October 2007, the DOE acted to designate transmission corridors within these critical congestion areas. One of the designated corridors is the Mid-Atlantic Area National Corridor. Thus, entities seeking to build transmission within the Mid-Atlantic Area Corridor, which includes New Jersey, most of Pennsylvania and New York, may be able to use the FERC's back-stop siting authority in the future under certain circumstances, if necessary, to site transmission, including with respect to the Susquehanna-Roseland line. On February 18, 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit narrowed the scope of the FERC's back-stop siting authority. FERC has sought reconsideration of this Court of Appeals decision.

## STATE REGULATION

### Energy Supply

#### BGSS

**2008 Form 10-K, Page 23.** In May 2008, PSE&G requested an increase in annual BGSS revenue of \$376 million, excluding Sales and Use Tax, to be effective October 1, 2008. Since that time, due to the significant downward trend in wholesale natural gas prices, we filed three revisions to the BGSS increase, a revised Stipulation (increase of 14% or \$267 million), a BGSS self-implementing decrease (5% or approximately \$108 million) and a second BGSS self-implementing decrease (7% or approximately \$145 million). The increase in the BGSS-Residential Service Gas (RSG) rate became effective on October 3, 2008 and the decreases became effective on January 1, 2009 and March 1, 2009, respectively.

### Energy Policy

#### Solar Initiatives

**2008 Form 10-K, Page 23.** We are investing approximately \$105 million over two years in a pilot program to help finance the installation of 30 MW of solar systems throughout our utility's electric service area by providing loans to customers for the installation of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems on their premises. As of April 24, 2009, we have provided approximately \$9 million in loans for approximately 2 MW of solar systems.

In February 2009, we filed a new solar initiative with the BPU called the Solar 4 All Program. Through this program, we would invest approximately \$773 million to develop 120 MW of solar PV systems over a five-year horizon. The program consists of a centralized PV system (35MW), solar systems installed on distribution system poles (40MW), roof-mounted systems installed on local government buildings in our electric service territory (43MW) and roof-mounted solar systems installed in New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency affordable housing communities (2MW). This program remains under review by the BPU.

On March 31, 2009, we also filed a new solar loan program, called "Solar Loan II", with the BPU. This program is modeled on the original solar loan pilot program discussed above. Under Solar Loan II, we would help finance the installation of an additional 40 MW of solar systems in our electric service territory. Any remaining financing and capacity from the original solar loan program would be rolled into the new program.

#### Capital Economic Stimulus Infrastructure Program

**2008 Form 10-K, Page 25.** On January 21, 2009, we filed for approval of a capital economic stimulus infrastructure investment program. Under this initiative, we proposed to undertake \$698 million of capital infrastructure investments for electric and gas programs over a 24 month period. The goal of these accelerated capital investments is to help improve the State's economy through the creation of new jobs. This filing was made in response to the Governor of New Jersey's proposal to help revive the economy through job growth and capital spending.

The BPU approved a settlement agreement on April 16, 2009 which identified 38 qualifying projects totaling \$694 million. These projects are expected to create more than 900 new jobs. On April 28, 2009, we received the BPU's written order which was effective May 1, 2009.

Under the program new Capital Adjustment Charges (CAC) will provide for immediate recovery of a return on program expenditures plus depreciation of the assets. The CAC's will be adjusted each January based on forecasted program expenditures and will be subject to deferred accounting. The rates are subject to annual adjustments based on actual expenditures and actual general and economic market conditions.

**Susquehanna-Roseland BPU Petition**

*2008 Form 10-K, Page 25.* In January 2009, we filed a Petition with the BPU seeking authorization from the BPU to construct the New Jersey portion of the Susquehanna-Roseland line. The New Jersey portion of the line spans approximately 45 miles and crosses through 16 municipalities. The Petition seeks a finding from the BPU that municipal land use and zoning ordinances of these municipalities do not apply to this line. A procedural schedule has been established, under which the BPU expects to issue a decision in December 2009. We are also in the process of seeking to obtain all necessary environmental permits for the project.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

A listing of exhibits being filed with this document is as follows:

**a. PSEG:**

- Exhibit 10: Employment Agreement with Caroline Dorsa dated March 11, 2009, as amended April 24, 2009
- Exhibit 12: Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- Exhibit 31: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1934 Act)
- Exhibit 31.1: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the 1934 Act
- Exhibit 32: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code
- Exhibit 32.1: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code

**b. Power:**

- Exhibit 10: Employment Agreement with Caroline Dorsa dated March 11, 2009, as amended April 24, 2009
- Exhibit 12.1: Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- Exhibit 31.2: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the 1934 Act
- Exhibit 31.3: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the 1934 Act
- Exhibit 32.2: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code
- Exhibit 32.3: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code

**c. PSE&G:**

- Exhibit 10: Employment Agreement with Caroline Dorsa dated March 11, 2009, as amended April 24, 2009
- Exhibit 12.2: Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- Exhibit 12.3: Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges Plus Preferred Securities Dividend Requirements
- Exhibit 31.4: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the 1934 Act
- Exhibit 31.5: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the 1934 Act
- Exhibit 32.4: Certification by Ralph Izzo Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code
- Exhibit 32.5: Certification by Caroline Dorsa Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE GROUP INCORPORATED**

(Registrant)

By:           /s/ DEREK M. DIRISIO          

Derek M. DiRisio  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: May 5, 2009

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

**PSEG POWER LLC**

(Registrant)

By:           /s/ DEREK M. DIRISIO          

Derek M. DiRisio  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: May 5, 2009

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

**PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY**

(Registrant)

By:           /s/ DEREK M. DIRISIO          

Derek M. DiRisio  
Vice President and Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: May 5, 2009