

F5 NETWORKS INC
Form 10-K
November 23, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

(Mark One)

- p ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010**
- or**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to .**

Commission File Number 000-26041

F5 Networks, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

WASHINGTON

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

91-1714307

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

401 Elliott Ave West

Seattle, Washington 98119

(Address of principal executive offices)

(206) 272-5555

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, no par value

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common stock, no par value

NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated
filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting
company)

Smaller reporting
company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate market value of the Registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$4,894,123,041 based on the closing sales price of the Registrant's Common Stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on that date.

As of November 18, 2010, the number of shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding was 80,850,089.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Information required in response to Part III of this Form 10-K (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) is hereby incorporated by reference to the specified portions of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Shareholders Meeting for fiscal year 2010, which Definitive Proxy Statement shall be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Report relates.

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010**

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	18
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	25
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	25
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	25
<u>Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)</u>	26
PART II	
<u>Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	27
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	30
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	42
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	44
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	76
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	76
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	76
PART III	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	77
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	77
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	77
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	77
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	77
PART IV	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	77
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	78
<u>EX-10.17</u>	
<u>EX-21.1</u>	
<u>EX-23.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT
EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

Trademarks and Tradenames

F5, F5 Networks, F5 [DESIGN], F5 Management Pack, F5 WORLD, BIG-IP, CloudFucious, Data Manager, VIPRION, WA, WAN Optimization Module, WOM, APM, Application Security Manager, ASM, Local Traffic Manager, LTM, Global Traffic Manager, GTM, IBR, Link Controller, Enterprise Manager, Traffic Management Operating System, TMOS, WANJet, FirePass, WebAccelerator, TrafficShield, iControl, TCP Express, Fast Application Proxy, 3DNS, iRules, iRules on Demand, Packet Velocity, ZoneRunner, OneConnect, Ask F5, Intelligent Compression, Transparent Data Reduction, TDR, L7 Rate Shaping, LC, IPv6 Gateway, SSL Acceleration, Fast Cache, iHealth, Intelligent Browser Referencing, Message Security Module, PSM, MSM, Netcelera, Protocol Security Module, IT AGILITY. YOUR WAY., DEVCENTRAL, DEVCENTRAL (DESIGN), Edge Client, Edge Gateway, EM, IQUERY, Real Traffic Policy Builder, STRONGBOX, SYN Check, Access Policy Manager, Acopia, Acopia Networks, Advanced Client Authentication, Advanced Routing and ARX are trademarks or service marks of F5 Networks, Inc., or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and other countries. Any other trademarks, service marks and/or trade names appearing in this document are the property of their respective owners.

Unless the context otherwise requires, in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms F5 Networks, the Company, we, us, and our refer to F5 Networks, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Our fiscal year ends on September 30 and fiscal years are referred to by the calendar year in which they end. For example, fiscal year 2010 and fiscal 2010 refer to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations, strategies, intentions or other characterizations of future events or circumstances and are generally identified by the words expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on current information and expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors below and in other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We assume no obligation to revise or update any such forward-looking statements.

Item 1. Business

General

F5 Networks is a leading provider of technology that optimizes the delivery of network-based applications and the security, performance and availability of servers, data storage devices and other network resources. As strategic points of control within a network, our products collectively function as a dynamic control plane that simplifies the management of data center operations and delivery of services across diverse data center resources.

Founded in 1996, F5 Networks pioneered load-balancing technology that distributes internet traffic evenly across multiple servers, making them look like a single device. Today, our BIG-IP application delivery controllers sit in front of web and application servers, balancing traffic and performing compute-intensive functions such as encrypting and un-encrypting transmissions, screening traffic for security threats, maintaining open connections with servers, speeding the flow of traffic, managing access to applications and data and a variety of other functions that improve the performance, availability and security of applications. By offloading functions from servers, BIG-IP application delivery controllers make servers more efficient, reduce the number of servers needed to run specific applications and

reduce operating costs by simplifying the management of servers and applications. In virtual environments, this allows customers to increase the density of virtual servers running on physical servers and reduces the added complexity of managing a dynamic environment. BIG-IP application delivery controllers also support software modules that manage the flow of traffic between

Table of Contents

multiple data centers and across multiple service provider connections, ensuring that this traffic is always routed to the most available resource and enabling on-demand access to resources within and outside customer data centers. In addition, we offer complementary products that provide secure remote access to corporate networks and optimize the delivery of applications and data over wide-area networks.

The core of our application delivery controllers and related products is our full-proxy Traffic Management Operating System (TMOS) that enables these products to inspect and modify traffic flows to and from servers at network speed and supports a broad and growing array of functions that enhance the speed, performance and availability of applications. iRules, a scripting language based on TCL (Tool Command Language), is a unique feature of TMOS that enables customers and third parties to write customized rules to inspect and modify traffic. TMOS also supports a common software interface called iControl, which enables our products to communicate with one another and with third-party products, including custom and commercial enterprise applications. TMOS is designed to support the addition of new functionality as software modules and to exploit the performance-enhancing features of our purpose-built hardware platforms. Correspondingly, our hardware architecture integrates industry standard components with the unique features and characteristics of TMOS to deliver performance that is, we believe, demonstrably superior to competing products.

Just as our application delivery controllers make many servers look like one, ARX storage virtualization products sit in front of network attached storage (NAS), making multiple storage devices from different vendors look like a single device to the individual clients, servers and applications that use them. This frees users and storage administrators from the time-consuming task of mapping individual drives to specific clients and applications. In addition, ARX products simplify the migration of data between storage devices, the addition of new storage devices, and the distribution of data across tiers of storage that reflect the relative importance or immediacy of the data.

In connection with our products, we offer a broad range of services including consulting, training, installation, maintenance and other technical support services.

F5 Networks was incorporated on February 26, 1996 in the State of Washington. Our headquarters is in Seattle, Washington and our mailing address is 401 Elliott Avenue West, Seattle, Washington 98119. The telephone number at our executive offices is (206) 272-5555. We have subsidiaries or branch offices in Australia, Belgium, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Russia, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Taiwan, Thailand, United Arab Emirates and the United Kingdom. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports are available free of charge on our website, www.f5.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Industry Background

Growth and Evolution of IP-Based Infrastructures

Internet Protocol (IP) is a communications language used to transmit data over the Internet. Since the late 1990s, businesses have responded to the power, flexibility and efficiency of the Internet by replacing older data center architectures with IP-based infrastructures, deploying new IP-based applications and replacing or upgrading legacy applications with new IP-enabled versions. At the same time, organizations have become more geographically dispersed, and increasingly mobile workforces depend on access to corporate applications and data from remote locations and a variety of client devices such as mobile telephones, personal digital assistants and notebook computers.

More recently, companies and other large enterprises have responded to the global economic downturn by using technologies such as virtualization and the growing availability and sophistication of cloud computing to overhaul their computing infrastructures and make them more flexible and efficient. In the wake of reduced headcounts, many companies are also adding more intelligence in their networks to enable them to compete more effectively in an environment of reduced consumer spending. These efforts are reflected in the

Table of Contents

widespread trend toward data center consolidation that includes both a reduction in the number and size of data centers.

Meanwhile, the evolution and proliferation of mobile devices and applications, coupled with the global embrace of social media sites such as Facebook and Twitter, have challenged the abilities of content and service providers to keep up with growing demand.

Over the near term, we believe data center consolidation will continue to drive demand for intelligent technologies that enable companies and other organizations to be more efficient and competitive. Longer term, we believe that the growth of Internet usage, driven by new applications, the proliferation of social media sites, the growth of mobile data traffic and the adoption of on-demand usage and infrastructure models such as cloud computing, will continue to drive demand for more intelligent and dynamic IP networks.

In conjunction with the growth of Internet traffic, the proliferation of data, particularly unstructured data such as voice, video, images, email, spreadsheets and formatted text files, presents an enormous and increasing challenge to IT organizations. Along with the growing volume of unstructured data that is business-critical and must be retained and readily accessible to individuals and applications, new regulations mandate that company email, web pages and other files must be retained for extended periods of time. In response to this challenge, IT organizations spend an increasing amount of their budget on NAS and other types of storage systems.

Trend Towards Virtualization

From a broad perspective, the goal of IT organizations is to optimize the secure delivery of applications and data to users wherever they are and whenever they need them. To achieve this goal, organizations are embracing virtualization technologies that enable them to group or partition data center resources to meet user demand and reconfigure these virtual resources easily and quickly as demand changes. Server virtualization, which allows organizations to improve utilization of physical servers by partitioning them into multiple virtual servers, is well known and widely deployed. Application delivery controllers free up both physical and virtual server processing power by offloading common functions, such as encryption and compression from multiple physical or virtual servers, and dynamically manage the flow of traffic between users and both virtual and physical servers, making them look like a single resource to the user. Server virtualization puts additional pressure on storage resources by increasing the demand for storage capacity and the complexity of storing and retrieving files. Sitting in front of storage systems, file virtualization devices perform functions similar to application delivery controllers, presenting the appearance of a single resource to users and applications and dynamically managing the transfer of files between users, applications and multiple storage devices.

Application Delivery Networking

Internet traffic passing between client devices and servers is divided into discrete packets which travel by multiple routes to their destination where they are reassembled. The disassembly, routing, and reassembly of transmissions are relatively straightforward and require little intelligence. By contrast, application delivery networking managing, inspecting, modifying, redirecting and securing application traffic going to and from servers requires intelligent systems capable of performing a broad array of functions.

Basic application delivery networking (ADN) functions include load-balancing (distributing traffic across multiple servers while making them appear to be a single server) and health-checking (monitoring the performance of servers and applications to ensure that they are working properly before routing traffic to them). In addition, ADN encompasses a growing number of functions that have typically been performed by the server or the application itself, or by point solutions running on separate devices. These include:

SSL Acceleration using Secure Socket Layer (SSL) encryption to secure traffic between the server and the browser on an end user's client device;

Rate Shaping prioritizing transmissions according to preset rules that give precedence to different types of traffic;

Table of Contents

Compression reducing the volume of data transmitted to take maximum advantage of available bandwidth;

TCP Optimization improving server efficiency by maintaining an open connection with a server during interactive sessions;

IPv6 Translation enabling communication and interoperability between networked devices using IPv6, the newest version of the Internet Protocol, and those using the older version IPv4;

Application Security protecting critical web applications from attacks such as Google hacking, cross-site scripting, and parameter tampering;

Message Security filtering out spam and other unwanted email messages;

Remote Access providing remote users with secure, context-aware, policy controlled access to applications;

Access Policy Management managing access to web-based applications through a central, policy-based point of control;

Web Acceleration enhancing the performance of web applications over wide area networks by reducing latency, eliminating errors, and resolving other issues that slow delivery;

WAN Optimization improving the performance of applications accessed over wide area network links by reducing the number of round trips required and ensuring maximum use of available bandwidth;

Global Traffic Management ensuring high availability, maximum performance and global management for applications running across multiple, globally-dispersed data centers; and

Link Load Balancing monitoring availability and performance of multiple WAN connections and intelligently managing bi-directional traffic flows to ensure uninterrupted, optimized Internet access.

Since most large enterprises have hundreds if not thousands of servers and applications, it is not practical to replicate these functions on each server or build them into the applications themselves. Even if it were, maintenance costs would be prohibitive and the net result would be a negative impact on the overall performance of servers and applications. Deploying point solutions in the network eliminates those problems but creates a new set of challenges. Using point solutions from multiple vendors can create interoperability issues, and problems that do occur can be difficult to troubleshoot. From a security standpoint, it is also much more difficult to audit traffic passing through multiple devices. As a result, enterprise customers are increasingly demanding products that integrate ADN functions on a single platform.

File Virtualization

Along with other types of IP traffic, the volume of file-based information created and accessed by Internet users and network applications is growing rapidly. According to some estimates, the volume of unstructured files is expected to triple annually over the next several years. The challenge of storing and managing unstructured files is becoming increasingly costly and complex, and reducing the cost and complexity is quickly moving up the list of data center priorities.

In many large organizations whose employees are geographically dispersed, unstructured data is stored on local file servers, which are difficult to manage, costly to maintain and generally underutilized. Information on these devices is easy for local users to access but often inaccessible to others in the organization. To reduce the cost, complexity, and redundancy of dispersed file systems, many IT organizations are consolidating file storage on centralized NAS devices and other types of storage systems. Migrating and consolidating files is difficult and time-consuming, however, and centralized storage systems pose a different set of problems.

Centralized storage of files can slow access for remote users and applications, spurring interest in technology that can speed the transfer of files across wide area networks (WANs). In addition, only users and applications that are physically mapped to a specific drive can store and access data on that drive. As the drive

Table of Contents

fills up, files must be moved manually to a new drive and affected users and applications must be remapped to that drive. In large organizations, this often constitutes a round-the-clock chore for many highly-skilled employees.

Another major storage problem stems from the fact that all files are not created equal. Many businesses and other organizations have policies or other obligations to retain email and other files, increasing the volume of data to archive and, in some cases, keep indefinitely. Since it is unlikely that these files will be accessed frequently, if at all, in the course of normal business, it makes little sense to store them on expensive, high-performance systems designed to provide immediate access to business-critical information. As a result, IT organizations are beginning to deploy tiers of storage systems that match cost, capacity, and performance to the type of information being stored, how frequently it is accessed, and its relative importance to the business. Often, the most cost-effective solution is a combination of storage systems from different vendors, an approach that typically entails migrating huge amounts of data between incompatible devices. Once that is completed, organizations face the challenge of automating the tiering process and the management of aging files.

Whether or not they deploy tiered file systems, many organizations are beginning to address the mounting cost in time and resources of backing up data stored on employee desktops, local file servers, and other devices. According to some estimates, approximately 80% of the files organizations back up have not changed since the previous back-up. Worse yet, a large and growing percentage are music and video files, family photographs, and other personal files.

Responding to increasing demand from IT organizations, a number of storage vendors and a handful of other companies offer solutions that address some or all of these issues and can be loosely grouped under the heading of file virtualization. Collectively, these solutions encompass a variety of technological approaches designed to optimize and simplify the storage of unstructured data.

F5 Solutions

Application Delivery Networking

F5 Networks is a leading provider of application delivery networking products that ensure the security, optimization and availability of applications for any user, anywhere. We believe our products offer the most intelligent architecture and advanced functionality in the marketplace along with performance and flexibility that enable organizations to simplify management of their data center operations and integrate disparate resources to reduce operating costs and improve service to employees, customers and partners.

Software Based Products. From inception, we have been committed to the belief that the complexity of application-level processing requires the flexibility of a software-based solution. We believe our modular software architecture enables us to deliver the broadest range of integrated functionality in the market and facilitates the addition and integration of new functionality. We also believe that integrating our software with hardware components enables us to build products that deliver superior performance, functionality and flexibility at competitive prices. We have introduced virtual (software only) versions of BIG-IP LTM and FirePass to provide our customers with versions that complement our hardware based platforms.

Full Proxy Architecture. The core of our software technology is TMOS introduced in September 2004. We believe TMOS is a major enhancement of our previous technology that enables our products to deliver functionality that is superior on many levels to any other application delivery networking product in the market. With TMOS, our products can inspect, modify and direct both inbound and outbound traffic flows across multiple packets. This ability to manage application traffic to and from servers adds value to applications that pass through our devices in ways that are not possible with other application delivery networking solutions. In April 2009, we introduced a major upgrade (Version 10.0) of TMOS that includes more than 130 new features, more than 90 application-ready solution templates,

and a number of performance enhancements, all designed to leverage the advanced features and performance of our recently upgraded family of BIG-IP hardware platforms. Since then, we have introduced TMOS versions 10.1 and 10.2, which include support for clustered multiprocessing and many new features and functions specifically designed to optimize virtual data centers and enable on-demand access to internal and external resources.

Table of Contents

Modular Functionality. In addition to its full proxy architecture, TMOS is designed to facilitate the development and integration of application delivery networking functions as modules that can be added to BIG-IP's core functionality to keep pace with rapidly evolving customer needs. Feature modules that are available as add-ons to TMOS Version 10.0 include: Advanced Client Authentication, Advanced Routing, Fast Cache, Intelligent Compression, IPv6 Gateway, L7 Rate Shaping, Message Security Module, Protocol Security Module and SSL Acceleration. Product modules include: Local Traffic Manager, Application Security Manager (ASM), Global Traffic Manager (GTM), Link Controller, WebAccelerator, Edge Gateway, WAN Optimization Module and Access Policy Manager.

Application Awareness. The open architecture of TMOS includes an application programming interface (API) called iControl that allows our products to communicate with one another and with third-party software and devices. Through this unique feature, third-party applications and network devices can take an active role in shaping IP network traffic, directing traffic based on exact business requirements defined by our customers and solutions partners and tailored to specific applications. This application awareness capability is a key feature of our software-based products and further differentiates our solutions from those of our competitors.

Application-Specific Configurations. Developed and tested in collaboration with our solutions partners, Application Ready Solutions are configurations designed to optimize BIG-IP deployments for specific applications such as those provided by Oracle, Microsoft, Siebel, and SAP, as well as generic applications delivered via HTTP. With TMOS Version 10.0, we introduced templates that reduce the time and effort necessary to configure BIG-IP for a specific application. The configuration created is optimal for BIG-IP devices and the specific application for which the template was created and can be further customized for the specific conditions of an organization's unique infrastructure and environment. Like other configuration details of BIG-IP devices, these templates can easily be shared across multiple BIG-IP deployments.

Adaptive Intelligence. The full-proxy capabilities of TMOS enable it to inspect or read the entire contents of a transmission across multiple packets and identify specific elements of that transmission, including items such as names, dates, and any type of number or label. By taking advantage of our unique scripting capability, based on TCL, customers can use those elements as variables to create iRules that modify the content and direct the flow of traffic in ways tailored to the dynamic needs of their applications. iRules is a unique feature of TMOS that gives our products flexibility we believe is unmatched by competing products.

Integrated Application Layer Solutions. The combination of our full proxy architecture and enhanced iRules enables BIG-IP to intercept, inspect and act on the contents of traffic from virtually every type of IP-enabled application. In addition, the modularity of the TMOS architecture allows us to deliver tightly integrated solutions that secure, optimize and ensure the availability of applications and the networks they run on.

Data Solutions

F5's data solutions products address many of the problems associated with managing today's rapidly expanding file storage infrastructure. Our ARX product family of intelligent file virtualization solutions represents a unique set of capabilities that optimize the performance and utilization of NAS systems.

Non-disruptive Data Migration. ARX products automate the movement of files between heterogeneous storage devices without affecting access and without requiring client reconfiguration. Enterprises can perform seamless hardware & software upgrades on file storage platforms, server consolidation, even vendor switches, all during business hours.

Automated Storage Tiering. ARX products automate the movement of data between tiers of storage, and the placement of data on appropriate tiers of storage, irrespective of platform or vendor. Organizations can lower the cost

of storage and shrink backup and recovery windows by automatically placing data on appropriate storage devices without affecting access to the data.

Dynamic Load Balancing. ARX products dynamically distribute files across multiple file storage devices, eliminating hotspots or bottlenecks. Companies can improve application performance and increase productivity using the storage infrastructure that is already in place.

Table of Contents

Efficient Data Replication. ARX products provide the ability to replicate files between heterogeneous storage platforms for efficient and cost effective disaster recovery and centralized backup applications.

Centralized Data Management. Introduced in October 2008, Data Manager simplifies file virtualization deployments and helps organizations monitor multiple file storage resources through a centralized and extensible storage management platform. Data Manager can quickly identify areas within an organization's file storage resources that could be improved by file virtualization and gives customers the tools to create a more efficient and cost-effective storage infrastructure.

Strategy

Our objective is to lead the industry in delivering network architectures that integrate IP networks with applications and data, enabling the delivery of dynamic services in the data center. Those services can include orchestration of data center operations and resources, security, and optimization, as well as coordination of services spanning multiple data centers. Our products provide strategic points of control in the IT infrastructure that allow business policies to be implemented where information is exchanged. This enables organizations to respond quickly to changing business needs, improve costly and time consuming business processes and develop new sources of revenue through highly differentiated offerings. Key components of our strategy include:

Offering a complete, integrated application delivery product suite.

Since the introduction of our TMOS architecture for application delivery networking, we have developed TMOS-based versions of our own legacy products, such as GTM and Link Controller, and acquired technology, including ASM, WebAccelerator, WAN Optimization Module (WOM), Edge Gateway and Application Policy Manager (APM). All of these products are currently available as software modules on our BIG-IP family of application delivery controllers. We believe this approach sharply differentiates our products from our competitors offerings and provides customers with an expanding array of integrated application delivery networking solutions that can be customized to meet their specific needs.

Investing in technology to meet evolving customer needs.

We continue to invest in research and development to provide our customers with comprehensive, integrated solutions. In application delivery networking, our product development efforts leverage the unique attributes of our software-based platforms to deliver new features and functions that address the complex, changing needs of our customers. Our ongoing investments in ARX are aimed at providing data solutions for the complex challenge of efficiently storing and managing the huge and growing volume of unstructured files created by network users and applications. Although the bulk of our investment in application delivery and file virtualization technologies is software development, concurrent development of tightly integrated, high-performance hardware is a key part of our investment strategy. To ensure performance and cost competitiveness, we incorporate commodity components in all of our hardware products.

Enhancing channel sales and distribution model.

We continue to invest significant resources in developing and expanding our indirect sales and distribution channels by cultivating our relationships with our existing partners and actively developing new relationships. Our efforts to recruit new partners are aimed primarily at large value-added resellers, systems integrators, and industry-leading systems manufacturers.

Continuing to build and expand relationships with strategic technology partners.

To compete successfully against Cisco Systems, Inc. and other large competitors who have an established presence in our target accounts, we have developed strategic technology partnerships with enterprise software vendors, such as Microsoft, Oracle and SAP, who often have an established presence in those accounts. By taking advantage of our open application programming interface, called iControl, these vendors can equip their applications to control our products in the network, enhancing overall application performance. In return, they

Table of Contents

provide us significant leverage in the selling process by recommending our products to their customers. We have also worked closely with several of these vendors to develop configurations of our products, called Application Ready Solutions, that are specifically tuned to simplify deployment and optimize the performance of their applications. These solutions are available as templates which allow quick and easy configuration of our products for specific applications. We plan to continue building on our existing relationships and to extend our competitive edge by developing new relationships with other strategic partners.

Leveraging DevCentral, our online community of network architects and developers.

Customization of our products using iRules enhances their stickiness by allowing customers to solve problems in both their applications and their networks that would be difficult if not impossible to solve by other means. To promote the use of iRules, we host an online community where network architects and developers can discuss and share the ways in which they use iRules to solve problems and enhance the security, performance and availability of applications. A corollary benefit is that many of the iRules solutions posted by DevCentral participants have become standard features in new releases of TMOS.

Enhancing our brand.

We believe F5 has achieved industry-wide recognition as the leading provider of application delivery networking products that optimize the security, performance and availability of network applications, servers and storage systems. We plan to continue investing in programs to promote the F5 brand and make it synonymous with superior technology, high quality customer service, trusted advice and definitive business value.

Products

Our core technology is hardware and software for application delivery networking, including application security, secure remote access, policy management, WAN optimization and file virtualization.

Our principal products are systems that integrate our software with purpose-built hardware that incorporates commodity components. In addition, we recently introduced a virtual (software only) version of BIG-IP called BIG-IP LTM (VE) that is designed to run on servers and work in conjunction with our systems to provide more granular management of virtual servers and applications. Our BIG-IP product family, which represents the bulk of our sales, supports a growing number of features and functions available as software modules, standalone appliances, or both. GTM, Link Controller, ASM and WebAccelerator are available as both software modules and appliances. WOM and APM are available only as software modules, and Edge Gateway is sold only as a separate, stand-alone appliance.

BIG-IP Application Delivery Controllers

Products in our family of BIG-IP application delivery controllers all run TMOS and differ primarily in the hardware configurations that make up each system. Our current family of hardware platforms, designed to exploit the advanced features, functionality and performance of TMOS Version 10, includes BIG-IP 1600, BIG-IP 3600, BIG-IP 3900, BIG-IP 6900, BIG-IP 8900, BIG-IP 8950, BIG-IP 11050 and VIPRION, our chassis-based application delivery controller. In addition to local area traffic management, which is standard on every system, BIG-IP supports a growing number of add-on software products and features. Software products currently available for BIG-IP platforms include GTM, Link Controller, ASM, WebAccelerator, WOM and APM. Software features available on TMOS include Advanced Client Authentication, Advanced Routing, Fast Cache, Intelligent Compression, IPv6 Gateway, L7 Rate Shaping, Message Security Module, Protocol Security Module and SSL Acceleration.

VIPRION

Introduced in January 2008, VIPRION is our chassis-based application delivery controller that scales from one to four blades, each equipped with two dual-core processors. In January 2010 we introduced the PB 200 blade, equivalent in performance to a BIG-IP 8950 and double the performance of the previous blade.

Table of Contents

Using clustered multiprocessing, custom disaggregation ASICs and advanced software, VIPRION allows customers to add or remove blades without disrupting traffic and distributes traffic across all available processors, effectively creating a single virtual processor. VIPRION helps customers simplify their networks by offloading servers and consolidating devices, saving management costs as well as power, space, and cooling in the datacenter and by reducing the number of application delivery controllers they need to deliver even the most demanding applications. By offloading computationally intense processes, VIPRION can also significantly reduce the number of application servers needed.

FirePass

FirePass appliances provide SSL VPN access for remote users of IP networks and any applications connected to those networks from any standard Web browser on any device. The components of FirePass include a dynamic policy engine, which manages user authentication and authorization privileges, and special components that enable corporations to give remote users controlled access to the full array of applications and resources within the network. FirePass also supports Application Ready Access, providing full reverse-proxy services for market-leading application portals including those of SAP, Oracle, Microsoft, and others.

Currently, we sell three FirePass products: The FirePass 1200 appliance is designed for small to medium enterprises and branch offices and supports from 10 to 100 concurrent users. The FirePass 4100 controller is designed for medium size enterprises and, from a price/performance standpoint, is recommended for up to 500 concurrent users. The FirePass 4300 appliance is designed for medium to large enterprises and service providers and supports up to 2,000 concurrent users. In addition, we recently introduced FirePass Virtual Edition, which runs in a VMware ESXi 4.0 virtual environment and is designed for medium to large enterprises and service providers. It supports up to 2,000 concurrent users and offers a comprehensive virtual solution for secure, web-based remote access to corporate applications and desktops.

Application Security Manager (ASM)

ASM is a Web application firewall that provides comprehensive, proactive, application-layer protection against both generalized and targeted attacks. Available as a software module on BIG-IP LTM, ASM employs a positive security model (deny all unless allowed) combined with signature-based detection. As a result, ASM can prevent day-zero attacks in addition to known security threats. ASM is also available as a module or a stand-alone hardware platform.

WebAccelerator

WebAccelerator speeds web transactions by optimizing individual network object requests, connections, and end-to-end transactions from the browser through to databases. WebAccelerator enhances web application performance from any location, speeding up interactive performance, improving download times, utilizing bandwidth more efficiently, and dramatically reducing the cost and response time of delivering Web-enabled applications to distributed users where it is not possible to deploy an end point device. WebAccelerator devices can also be placed at key remote locations to provide acceleration to end-users above and beyond TCP optimizations and HTTP compression.

WebAccelerator is available as a software module on BIG-IP LTM or as a stand-alone appliance.

WAN Optimization Module (WOM)

BIG-IP's WAN Optimization Module integrates application delivery with WAN optimization technologies, enabling traditional acceleration functionality, such as SSL offloading, compression, caching, and traffic prioritization to

combine with optimization technologies like symmetric adaptive compression and application quality of service. As the foundation for site-to-site communication, iSessions, a basic feature of TMOS, allows any two BIG-IP devices to be deployed symmetrically, creating a secure site-to-site connection, improving transfer rates and bandwidth usage, and offloading applications for more efficient data center to data center WAN communication.

Table of Contents

WOM is available only as a software module on BIG-IP LTM.

Access Policy Manager (APM)

BIG-IP APM provides secure, granular, context-aware control of access to web applications while simplifying authentication, authorization, and accounting (AAA) management. An optional endpoint security service validates devices to protect organizations from viruses or malware infections, accidental data loss, and rogue device access.

With AAA control directly on the BIG-IP system, users can apply repeatable access policies across many applications and servers while gaining centralized visibility of your authorization infrastructure. APM's Visual Policy Editor makes it easy to create individual and group access policies for many different identities, locations, and web authentication environments. APM also provides dynamic access control by creating L4 and L7 access control lists based on user identity, IP address, and attributes such as group membership pulled from the standard directory services.

Edge Gateway

BIG-IP Edge Gateway is an advanced remote access product that provides context-aware, policy controlled, secure remote access to applications at LAN speed. Edge Gateway integrates SSL VPN remote access with application acceleration and optimization services at the edge of the network, combining remote access, access control, site-to-site security, and application acceleration all on one efficient, scalable, and cost-effective platform. An optional endpoint security service validates devices with policy to protect organizations from viruses or malware infections, accidental data loss, and rogue device access.

Edge Gateway is available only as a standalone solution on the BIG-IP 1600, 3600, 3900, 6900 and 8900 platforms.

Enterprise Manager

Enterprise Manager takes advantage of our iControl interface to provide a single, centralized management console for our ADN products. Enterprise Manager allows customers with dozens or hundreds of our products to discover and view those products in a single window, and to upgrade or modify the software on those products simultaneously. This lowers the cost and simplifies the task of deploying, managing and maintaining our products and reduces the likelihood of error when blanket changes are implemented.

Enterprise Manager 4000 is an appliance-based device, shipped on a dedicated, enterprise-grade platform.

ARX

The ARX product family is a series of high performance, enterprise-class intelligent file virtualization devices that dramatically simplify the management of file storage environments and lower total storage costs by automating data management tasks and eliminating the disruption associated with storage management operations. ARX can:

- reduce storage costs by matching the business value of data to the cost of storage;

- optimize backups by minimizing the backup of redundant data to reduce backup and recovery times, media consumption, and costs;

- maximize value of existing storage by improve utilization, reclaiming stranded capacity, and deferring additional storage purchases;

simplify management by performing storage provisioning and decommissioning without disrupting users;
improve flexibility and choice by moving data easily to any storage device or location.

Currently, the ARX series includes three products. ARX 500 and ARX 2000 are stand-alone devices that can support up to 600 and 6,000 users, respectively. ARX 4000 is a fixed form-factor device supporting 10 gigabit Ethernet, capable of managing more than 2 billion files, and able to support up to 12,000 users.

Table of Contents

Data Manager

Data Manager is a software product that interfaces directly with most file storage devices, including ARX file virtualization platforms. Data Manager gathers valuable file storage statistics and provides graphical reporting and trending functions to give users visibility into their constantly changing data storage environments, helping them respond to business needs and better plan for future growth. With Data Manager users can quickly identify areas within their file storage resources that could be improved by file virtualization and monitor multiple resources with a centralized, extensible storage management platform.

Enabling Technologies

iControl is an application programming interface that allows customers and independent software vendors to modify their programs to communicate with our products, eliminating the need for human involvement, lowering the cost of performing basic network functions and reducing the likelihood of error. Although we do not derive revenue from iControl itself, the sale of iControl-enabled applications by independent software vendors such as Microsoft and Oracle helps promote and often leads directly to sales of our other products.

iRules is a programming language embedded in our TMOS architecture that allows customers to customize the way BIG-IP application delivery controllers manipulate and manage any IP application traffic. iRules has an easy-to-learn scripting syntax that enables users to program BIG-IP application delivery controllers to intercept, inspect, transform, and direct inbound or outbound application traffic according to their specific needs.

Product Development

We believe our future success depends on our ability to maintain technology leadership by continuing to improve our products and by developing new products to meet the changing needs of our customers. Our product development group employs a standard process for the development, documentation and quality control of software and systems that is designed to meet these goals. This process includes working with our business development and marketing teams, product managers, customers and partners to identify new or improved solutions that meet the evolving needs of our addressable markets.

Our principal software engineering group is located in our headquarters in Seattle, Washington. Our core product development teams for FirePass, WOM and WebAccelerator are located in San Jose, California. Our core ASM product development team is located in Tel Aviv, Israel. Our ARX product development team is located in Lowell, Massachusetts. Our hardware engineering group is located in Spokane, Washington. In addition, we maintain a dedicated facility for product testing and quality control in Tomsk, Russia. Members of all these teams collaborate closely with one another to ensure the interoperability and performance of our hardware and software systems.

During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we had research and product development expenses of \$118.3 million, \$103.7 million, and \$103.4 million, respectively.

Customers

Our customers include a wide variety of enterprise customers and service providers among Fortune 1000 and Business Week Global 1000 companies, including those in technology, telecommunications, financial services, transportation, education, manufacturing and healthcare, along with government customers. In fiscal year 2010, international sales represented 41.4% of our net revenues. Refer to Note 12 of our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our revenues by geographic area.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing

Sales

We sell our products and services to large enterprise customers and service providers through a variety of channels, including distributors, value-added resellers (VARs) and systems integrators. A substantial amount of our revenue for fiscal year 2010 was derived from these channel sales. Our sales teams work closely with our channel partners and also sell our products and services directly to a limited number of major accounts.

F5 sales teams. Our inside sales team generates and qualifies leads for regional sales managers and helps manage accounts by serving as a liaison between the field and internal corporate resources. Our field sales personnel are located in major cities in four sales regions: the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and the Asia Pacific region (APAC). Field sales personnel work closely with our channel partners to assist them, as necessary, in the sale of our products and services to their customers. We also sell our products and services directly to a limited group of customers, primarily large enterprises, whose accounts are managed by our major account services team. Field systems engineers support our regional sales managers and channel partners by participating in joint sales calls and providing pre-sale technical resources as needed.

Distributors and VARs. Consistent with our goal of building a strong channel sales model, we have established relationships with a number of large national and international distributors, local and specialized distributors and VARs. We derive a majority of our product sales from these distributors and VARs.

Our agreements with these channel partners are not exclusive and do not prevent them from selling competitive products. These agreements typically have terms of one year with no obligation to renew, and typically do not provide for exclusive sales territories or minimum purchase requirements.

For fiscal year 2010, sales to two of our distributors, Avnet Technology Solutions and Tech Data, represented 14.5% and 10.2% of our total revenues, respectively. Our agreements with these distributors are standard, non-exclusive distribution agreements that renew automatically on an annual basis and can be terminated by either party with 30 days prior written notice. The agreements grant Avnet Technology Solutions and Tech Data the right to distribute our products to resellers in North America and certain other territories internationally, with no minimum purchase requirements.

Systems integrators. We also market our products through strategic relationships with systems integrators, including Dell Services, HP Enterprise Services and IBM Global Services, who include our products as core components of application or network-based solutions they deploy for their customers. In most cases, systems integrators do not directly purchase our products for resale to their customers. Instead they typically recommend our products as part of broader solutions, such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) or customer relationship management (CRM) solutions that incorporate our products for high availability and enhanced performance.

Marketing

Our marketing strategy is driven by the belief that our continued success depends on our ability to understand and anticipate the dynamic needs of our addressable markets and to develop valuable solutions that meet those needs. In line with this belief, our marketing organization works directly with customers, partners and our product development teams to identify and create innovative solutions to further enhance our leadership position. In addition, our business development team, which is a component of our marketing organization, closely monitors technology companies in adjacent and complementary markets for opportunities to acquire or partner with those whose solutions are complementary to ours and could enable us to expand our addressable market.

Another key component of our marketing strategy is to develop and expand our iControl partnerships. Working closely with our partners, we have developed solution sets called Application Ready Solutions that help ensure the successful deployment and delivery of their applications over the network. These Solutions have been integrated into TMOS Version 10.0 as templates that allow customers to configure our products

Table of Contents

quickly and easily to optimize the performance of specific applications from major software vendors such as Microsoft, Oracle and SAP.

To support the growing number of developers using our products, including network and application architects, we continue to promote and expand DevCentral, our on-line community website that provides technical resources to customers, prospects and partners wanting to extend and optimize F5 solutions using iRules. A key aspect of DevCentral is an on-line forum where developers as well as application and network architects discuss and share solutions they have written with iRules. At the end of fiscal year 2010, DevCentral had more than 70,000 registered members.

We also engage in a number of marketing programs and initiatives aimed at promoting our brand and creating market awareness of our technology and products. These include actively participating in industry trade shows and joint marketing events with channel and technology partners, and briefing industry analysts and members of the trade press on our latest products, business relationships and technology partnerships. In addition, we market our products to chief information officers and other information technology professionals through targeted advertising, direct mail and high-profile Web events.

Backlog

At the end of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we had product backlog of approximately \$50.3 million and \$35.5 million, respectively. Backlog represents orders confirmed with a purchase order for products to be shipped generally within 90 days to customers with approved credit status. Orders are subject to cancellation, rescheduling by customers or product specification changes by customers. Although we believe that the backlog orders are firm, purchase orders may be cancelled by the customer prior to shipment without significant penalty. For this reason, we believe that our product backlog at any given date is not a reliable indicator of future revenues.

Customer Service and Technical Support

We believe that our ability to provide consistent, high-quality customer service and technical support is a key factor in attracting and retaining large enterprise customers. Accordingly, we offer a broad range of support services that include installation, phone support, hardware repair and replacement, software updates, consulting and training services. We deliver these services directly to end users and also utilize a multi-tiered support model, leveraging the capabilities of our channel partners when applicable. Our technical support staff is strategically located in regional service centers to support our global customer base.

Prior to the installation of our products, our services personnel work with customers to analyze their network needs and determine the best way to deploy our products and configure product features and functions to meet those needs. Our services personnel also provide on-site installation and training services to help customers make optimal use of product features and functions.

Our customers typically purchase a one-year maintenance contract which entitles them to an array of services provided by our technical support team. Maintenance services provided under the contract include online updates, software error correction releases, hardware repair and replacement, and, in the majority of cases, round-the-clock call center support. Updates to our software are only available to customers with a current maintenance contract. Our technical support team also offers seminars and training classes for customers on the configuration and use of products, including local and wide area network system administration and management. In addition, we have a professional services team able to provide a full range of fee-based consulting services, including comprehensive network management, documentation and performance analysis, and capacity planning to assist in predicting future network requirements.

We also offer, as part of our maintenance service, an online, automated, self-help customer support function called Ask F5 that allows customers to answer many commonly asked questions without having to call our support desk. This allows the customer to rapidly address issues and questions, while significantly reducing the number of calls to our support desk. This enables us to provide comprehensive customer support while keeping our support-related expenses at a manageable, consistent level.

Table of Contents

Manufacturing

We outsource the manufacturing of our pre-configured hardware platforms to third party contract manufacturers for assembly according to our specifications.

We outsource the majority of our manufacturing to Tier 1 Electronic Manufacturing Services providers. Flextronics manufactures our ADC product line and Sanmina-SCI manufactures our ARX product line. The subcontracting activity at Flextronics and Sanmina-SCI encompasses prototype builds, full production and direct fulfillment. Our contract manufacturers perform the following activities on our behalf: material procurement, PCB assembly and test, final assembly, system test, quality control, direct shipment and warranty repairs. We provide a rolling forecast that allows our contract manufacturers to stock component parts and other materials, plan capacity and build finished goods inventory in anticipation of end user demand. Each of the contract manufacturers procures components in volumes consistent with our forecast, necessary to assemble the products and tests the products according to our specifications. Products are then shipped to our distributors, value-added resellers, or end users. Generally, we do not own the components. Title to the products transfers from the contract manufacturers to us and then to our customers upon delivery at a designated shipment location. If the components are unused or the products are not sold within specified periods of time, we may incur carrying charges or obsolete material charges for components that our contract manufacturers purchased to build products to meet our forecast or customer orders.

Hardware platforms for our products consist primarily of commodity parts and certain custom components designed and approved by our hardware engineering group. Most of our components are purchased from sources which we believe are readily available from other suppliers. However, several components used in the assembly of our products are purchased from a single or limited source.

During fiscal year 2010, we transitioned certain sub-assembly and testing processes of our BIG-IP products from Flextronics's facilities in Milpitas, California, to the Flextronics facility in ZhuHai, China. All sub-assemblies are configured and final tested at Flextronics's Milpitas, California for distribution to our end users.

Competition

The expanding capabilities of our product offerings have enabled us to address a growing array of opportunities, many of which are outside the bounds of the traditional Layer 4-7 market. Within what Gartner Group has defined as the Application Acceleration market, we compete in the Application Delivery Controller (ADC) market, which encompasses the traditional Layer 4-7 market, and, to a lesser extent, the WAN Optimization Controller (WOC) market. Over the next several years, we believe these two markets will merge as WAN optimization effectively becomes a feature of Application Delivery. Today, we offer WebAccelerator and WOM as software modules on most all BIG-IP products.

In fiscal year 2010, approximately 95% of our products and services were sold into the ADN market where our primary competitor is Cisco Systems, Inc. Other competitors in this market include Citrix Systems, Inc., Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., Radware Ltd, and a number of smaller competitors including A10 Networks, Array Networks, Inc., Barracuda Networks, Inc., Crescendo Networks, and Zeus Technology Ltd. In the adjacent WAN Optimization Controller market, we compete with Riverbed Technology, Inc., Juniper Networks, Inc., Blue Coat Systems, Inc., Cisco and Citrix. Currently, we do not compete in the branch office segment of the WOC market, which features products offered by Riverbed, Blue Coat, Cisco and others.

While file virtualization remains an early-stage market, we believe our ARX products are unique in terms of technology and functionality and are well positioned within this emerging market. Large storage vendors such as EMC Corporation offer competing products with overlapping functionality. However, we believe there are no

competing products that offer all of the features, functions and capabilities of our ARX products.

The principal competitive factors in the markets in which we compete include: product performance and features; customer support; brand recognition; the scope of distribution and sales channels; and pricing. Many of our competitors have a longer operating history and greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. These larger competitors also have a more extensive customer base and broader

Table of Contents

customer relationships, including relationships with many of our current and potential customers. In addition, many have large, well-established, worldwide customer support and professional services organizations and more extensive direct sales force and sales channels. Because of our relatively smaller size, market presence and resources, our larger competitors may be able to respond more quickly than we can to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. These companies may also adopt aggressive pricing policies to gain market share.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure to protect our intellectual property rights. We have obtained 69 patents in the United States, 8 foreign patents and have applications pending for various aspects of our technology. Our future success depends in part on our ability to protect our proprietary rights to the technologies used in our principal products. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use trade secrets or other information that we regard as proprietary. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights as fully as do the laws of the United States. Any issued patent may not preserve our proprietary position, and competitors or others may develop technologies similar to or superior to our technology. Our failure to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

In addition to our own proprietary software, we incorporate software licensed from several third-party sources into our products. These licenses generally renew automatically on an annual basis. We believe that alternative technologies for these licenses are available both domestically and internationally.

Employees

As of September 30, 2010, we had 2,012 full-time employees, including 509 in product development, 856 in sales and marketing, 421 in professional services and technical support and 226 in finance, administration and operations. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We have experienced no work stoppages and believe that our employee relations are good.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our executive officers as of November 23, 2010:

Name	Age	Position
John McAdam	59	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Mark Anderson	48	Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales
Jeffrey A. Christianson	53	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Edward J. Eames	52	Senior Vice President of Business Operations
Dan Matte	44	Senior Vice President of Marketing and Business Development
Andy Reinland	46	Senior Vice President and Chief Finance Officer
John Rodriguez	50	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
Karl Triebes	43	Senior Vice President of Product Development and Chief Technical Officer

John McAdam has served as our President, Chief Executive Officer and a director since July 2000. Prior to joining us, Mr. McAdam served as General Manager of the Web server sales business at International Business Machines

Corporation from September 1999 to July 2000. From January 1995 until August 1999, Mr. McAdam served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Sequent Computer Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of high-end open systems, which was sold to International Business Machines Corporation in September 1999. Mr. McAdam holds a B.S. in Computer Science from the University of Glasgow, Scotland.

Table of Contents

Mark Anderson has served as our Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales since October 2007. He joined F5 Networks in October 2004 as Vice President of North American Sales. Prior to joining F5, Mr. Anderson served as Executive Vice President of North American Sales at Lucent Technologies from 2003 to 2004. From 2002 through 2003, Mr. Anderson was Vice President of Business Development at RadioFrame Networks. From 1997 to 2001, he served as a Sales Director at Cisco Systems, Inc. From 1986 to 1996, he was Vice President of Western U.S. Sales at Comdisco. Mr. Anderson holds a B.A. in Business and Economics from York University in Toronto.

Jeffrey A. Christianson has served as our Senior Vice President and General Counsel of the Company since December 2006. From February 2000 to July 2006, Mr. Christianson was Sr. Vice President and General Counsel of Western Wireless Corporation, a wireless service provider. From March 1996 to January 2000, Mr. Christianson served as Sr. Vice President of Business Development, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary at Wizards of the Coast, Inc., a game and software company. From September 1992 to March 1996, he served as General Counsel and Secretary of Heart Technology, Inc., a medical device company. From September 1990 to September 1992, he was Vice-President and General Counsel of Spider Staging Corporation and Vice President of Administration and Corporate Counsel for Flow International Corporation after its acquisition of Spider Staging Corporation. Mr. Christianson holds a B.A. from Whitman College and a J.D. from the University of Washington, and serves on the Board of Overseers of Whitman College and the Board of Directors of the Humane Society for Seattle/King County.

Edward J. Eames has served as our Senior Vice President of Business Operations since January 2001 and as our Vice President of Professional Services from October 2000 to January 2001. From September 1999 to October 2000, Mr. Eames served as Vice President of e-Business Services for International Business Machines Corporation. From June 1992 to September 1999, Mr. Eames served as the European Services Director and the Worldwide Vice President of Customer Service for Sequent Computer Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of high-end open systems. Mr. Eames holds a Higher National Diploma in Business Studies from Bristol Polytechnic and in 1994 completed the Senior Executive Program at the London Business School.

Dan Matte has served as our Senior Vice President of Marketing since June 2004, and as Vice President of Product Marketing and Management from March 2002 through May 2004. He served as our Senior Director of Product Marketing and Management from February 2001 through February 2002. From March 1999 to February 2001, Mr. Matte served as our Director of Product Management. Mr. Matte joined F5 in February 1997. He holds a Bachelor of Commerce from Queens's University and an MBA from the University of British Columbia.

Andy Reinland has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Finance Officer since October 2005. Mr. Reinland joined F5 in 1998, serving as a senior financial analyst and, most recently, Vice President of Finance. Prior to joining F5, Mr. Reinland was Chief Financial Officer for RTIME, Inc., a developer of real-time 3D software for Internet applications, which was acquired by Sony. Mr. Reinland started his career in public accounting. Mr. Reinland holds a B.A. in Business from Washington State University.

John Rodriguez has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since October 2005. For SEC reporting purposes, Mr. Rodriguez is the principal financial officer. Rodriguez joined F5 in 2001 as Corporate Controller. His most recent position held was Vice President and Corporate Controller. Prior to F5, Mr. Rodriguez was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of CyberSafe, a security solutions company, and Senior Director of Finance and Operations at Mosaix, which was acquired by Lucent Technologies. Mr. Rodriguez started his career in public accounting. Mr. Rodriguez holds a B.A. in Business from the University of Washington.

Karl Triebes has served as our Senior Vice President of Product Development and Chief Technical Officer since August 2004. Prior to joining us, Mr. Triebes served as Chief Technology Officer and Vice President of Engineering of Foundry Networks, Inc. from January 2003 to August 2004. From June 2001 to January 2003, he served as Foundry's Vice President of Hardware Engineering. From May 2000 to June 2001, Mr. Triebes was Vice President of

Engineering at Alcatel U.S.A., a telecommunications company. From December 1999 to May 2000, he was Assistant Vice President of Newbridge Networks Corp., a networking company

Table of Contents

subsequently acquired by Alcatel. Mr. Triebes holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from San Diego State University.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information in this report, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating our company and its business.

Our quarterly and annual operating results may fluctuate in future periods, which may cause our stock price to fluctuate

Our quarterly and annual operating results have varied significantly in the past and could vary significantly in the future, which makes it difficult for us to predict our future operating results. Our operating results may fluctuate due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, including the changing and recently volatile U.S. and global economic environment, and any of which may cause our stock price to fluctuate. In particular, we anticipate that the size of customer orders may increase as we continue to focus on larger business accounts. A delay in the recognition of revenue, even from just one account, may have a significant negative impact on our results of operations for a given period. In the past, a majority of our sales have been realized near the end of a quarter. Accordingly, a delay in an anticipated sale past the end of a particular quarter may negatively impact our results of operations for that quarter, or in some cases, that fiscal year. Additionally, we have exposure to the credit risks of some of our customers and sub-tenants. Although we have programs in place that are designed to monitor and mitigate the associated risk, there can be no assurance that such programs will be effective in reducing our credit risks adequately. We monitor individual payment capability in granting credit arrangements, seek to limit the total credit to amounts we believe our customers can pay and maintain reserves we believe are adequate to cover exposure for potential losses. If there is a deterioration of a sub-tenant's or a major customer's creditworthiness or actual defaults are higher than expected, future losses, if incurred, could harm our business and have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Further, our operating results may be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors in future quarters or years. Our failure to meet these expectations will likely harm the market price of our common stock. Such a decline could occur, and has occurred in the past, even when we have met our publicly stated revenue and/or earnings guidance.

In addition to other risks listed in this Risk Factors section, factors that may affect our operating results include, but are not limited to:

fluctuations in demand for our products and services due to changing market conditions, pricing conditions, technology evolution, seasonality, or other changes in the global economic environment;

changes or fluctuations in sales and implementation cycles for our products and services;

reduced visibility into our customers' spending and implementation plans

reductions in customers' budgets for data center and other IT purchases or delays in these purchases;

fluctuations in our gross margins, including the factors described herein which may contribute to such fluctuations;

our ability to control costs, including operating expenses, the costs of hardware and software components, and other manufacturing costs;

our ability to develop, introduce and gain market acceptance of new products, technologies and services, and our success in new and evolving markets;

any significant changes in the competitive environment, including the entry of new competitors or the substantial discounting of products or services;

the timing and execution of product transitions or new product introductions, and related inventory costs;

Table of Contents

variations in sales channels, product costs, or mix of products sold;

our ability to establish and manage our distribution channels, and the effectiveness of any changes we make to our distribution model;

the ability of our contract manufacturers and suppliers to provide component parts, hardware platforms and other products in a timely manner;

benefits anticipated from our investments in sales, marketing, product development, manufacturing or other activities; and

changes in tax laws or regulations, or other accounting rules.

Our success depends on our timely development of new products and features, market acceptance of new product offerings and proper management of the timing of the life cycle of our products

The application delivery networking and file virtualization markets are characterized by rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions, changes in customer requirements and evolving industry standards. Our continued success depends on our ability to identify and develop new products and new features for our existing products to meet the demands of these changes, and the acceptance of those products and features by our existing and target customers. If we are unable to identify, develop and deploy new products and new product features on a timely basis, our business and results of operations may be harmed.

The current development cycle for our products is on average 12-24 months. The introduction of new products or product enhancements may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our current products, thereby offsetting the benefit of even a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new products. This could harm our operating results by decreasing sales, increasing our inventory levels of older products and exposing us to greater risk of product obsolescence. We have also experienced, and may in the future experience, delays in developing and releasing new products and product enhancements. This has led to, and may in the future lead to, delayed sales, increased expenses and lower quarterly revenue than anticipated. Also, in the development of our products, we have experienced delays in the prototyping of our products, which in turn has led to delays in product introductions. In addition, complexity and difficulties in managing product transitions at the end-of-life stage of a product can create excess inventory of components associated with the outgoing product that can lead to increased expenses. Any or all of the above problems could materially harm our business and results of operations.

Our success depends on sales and continued innovation of our Application Delivery Networking product lines

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, we derived approximately 95.4% of our net product revenues, or approximately 60.7% of our total net revenues, from sales of our Application Delivery Networking (ADN) product lines. We expect to continue to derive a significant portion of our net revenues from sales of our ADN products in the future. Implementation of our strategy depends upon these products being able to solve critical network availability and performance problems for our customers. If our ADN products are unable to solve these problems for our customers or if we are unable to sustain the high levels of innovation in our ADN product feature set needed to maintain leadership in what will continue to be a competitive market environment, our business and results of operations will be harmed.

We may not be able to compete effectively in the emerging application delivery networking and file virtualization markets

The markets we serve are new, rapidly evolving and highly competitive, and we expect competition to persist and intensify in the future. Our principal competitors in the application delivery networking market include Cisco Systems, Inc., Citrix Systems, Inc., Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. and Radware Ltd. In the adjacent WAN Optimization Controller market, we compete with Riverbed Technology, Inc., Juniper Networks, Inc., Blue Coat Systems, Inc., Cisco and Citrix. In the file virtualization market, we compete with

Table of Contents

EMC Corporation. We expect to continue to face additional competition as new participants enter our markets. As we continue to expand globally, we may see new competitors in different geographic regions. In addition, larger companies with significant resources, brand recognition, and sales channels may form alliances with or acquire competing application delivery networking solutions from other companies and emerge as significant competitors. Potential competitors may bundle their products or incorporate an Internet traffic management or security component into existing products in a manner that discourages users from purchasing our products. Any of these circumstances may limit our opportunities for growth and negatively impact our financial performance.

The average selling price of our products may decrease and our costs may increase, which may negatively impact gross profits

It is possible that the average selling prices of our products will decrease in the future in response to competitive pricing pressures, increased sales discounts, new product introductions by us or our competitors or other factors. Therefore, in order to maintain our gross profits, we must develop and introduce new products and product enhancements on a timely basis and continually reduce our product costs. Our failure to do so will cause our net revenue and gross profits to decline, which will harm our business and results of operations. In addition, we may experience substantial period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results due to the erosion of our average selling prices.

It is difficult to predict our future operating results because we have an unpredictable sales cycle

Our products have a lengthy sales cycle and the timing of our revenue is difficult to predict. Historically, our sales cycle has ranged from approximately two to three months and has tended to lengthen as we have increasingly focused our sales efforts on the enterprise market. Also, as our distribution strategy has evolved into more of a channel model, utilizing value-added resellers, distributors and systems integrators, the level of variability in the length of sales cycle across transactions has increased and made it more difficult to predict the timing of many of our sales transactions. Sales of our products require us to educate potential customers in their use and benefits. Sales of our products are subject to delays from the lengthy internal budgeting, approval and competitive evaluation processes that large corporations and governmental entities may require. For example, customers frequently begin by evaluating our products on a limited basis and devote time and resources to testing our products before they decide whether or not to purchase. Customers may also defer orders as a result of anticipated releases of new products or enhancements by our competitors or us. As a result, our products have an unpredictable sales cycle that contributes to the uncertainty of our future operating results.

Our business may be harmed if our contract manufacturers are not able to provide us with adequate supplies of our products or if a single source of hardware assembly is lost or impaired

We outsource the manufacturing of our hardware platforms to third party contract manufacturers who assemble these hardware platforms to our specifications. We have experienced minor delays in shipments from contract manufacturers in the past. However, if we experience major delays in the future or other problems, such as inferior quality and insufficient quantity of product, any one or a combination of these factors may harm our business and results of operations. The inability of our contract manufacturers to provide us with adequate supplies of our products or the loss of one or more of our contract manufacturers may cause a delay in our ability to fulfill orders while we obtain a replacement manufacturer and may harm our business and results of operations. In particular, we currently subcontract manufacturing of our application delivery networking products to a single contract manufacturer with whom we do not have a long-term contract. If our arrangement with this single source of hardware assembly was terminated or otherwise impaired, and we were not able to engage another contract manufacturer in a timely manner, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected.

If the demand for our products grows, we will need to increase our raw material and component purchases, contract manufacturing capacity and internal test and quality control functions. Any disruptions in

Table of Contents

product flow may limit our revenue, may harm our competitive position and may result in additional costs or cancellation of orders by our customers.

Our business could suffer if there are any interruptions or delays in the supply of hardware components from our third-party sources

We currently purchase several hardware components used in the assembly of our products from a number of single or limited sources. Lead times for these components vary significantly. The unavailability of suitable components, any interruption or delay in the supply of any of these hardware components or the inability to procure a similar component from alternate sources at acceptable prices within a reasonable time, may delay assembly and sales of our products and, hence, our revenues, and may harm our business and results of operations.

We are subject to governmental export and import controls that could subject us to liability or impair our ability to compete in international markets

Our products are subject to U.S. export controls and may be exported outside the U.S. only with the required level of export license or through an export license exception because we incorporate encryption technology into our products. In addition, various countries regulate the import of certain encryption technology and have enacted laws that could limit our ability to distribute our products or our customers' ability to implement our products in those countries. Changes in our products or changes in export and import regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in international markets, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products throughout their global systems or, in some cases, prevent the export or import of our products to certain countries altogether. Any change in export or import regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by such regulations, could result in decreased use of our products by, or in our decreased ability to export or sell our products to, existing or potential customers with international operations. For example, we will need to comply with Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive laws, which are being adopted by certain European Economic Area countries on a country-by-country basis. Failure to comply with these and similar laws on a timely basis, or at all, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Any decreased use of our products or limitation on our ability to export or sell our products would likely adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property and our products may infringe on the intellectual property rights of third parties

We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, and restrictions on disclosure of confidential and proprietary information to protect our intellectual property rights. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise obtain and use our products or technology. Monitoring unauthorized use of our products is difficult, and we cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent misappropriation of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States.

Our industry is characterized by the existence of a large number of patents and frequent claims and related litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. In the ordinary course of our business, we are involved in disputes and licensing discussions with others regarding their claimed proprietary rights and cannot assure you that we will always successfully defend ourselves against such claims. We expect that infringement claims may increase as the number of products and competitors in our market increases and overlaps occur. Also, as we have gained greater visibility, market exposure and competitive success, we face a higher risk of being the subject of intellectual property infringement claims. If we are found to infringe the proprietary rights of others, or if we otherwise settle such claims,

we could be compelled to pay damages or royalties and either obtain a license to those intellectual property rights or alter our products so that they no longer infringe upon such proprietary rights. Any license could be very expensive to obtain or may not be available at all. Similarly, changing our products or processes to avoid infringing upon the rights of others

Table of Contents

may be costly or impractical. In addition, we have initiated, and may in the future initiate, claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of our proprietary rights, or to determine the scope and validity of our proprietary rights or those of our competitors. Any of these claims, whether claims that we are infringing the proprietary rights of others, or vice versa, with or without merit, may be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel or require us to cease using infringing technology, develop non-infringing technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Further, our license agreements typically require us to indemnify our customers, distributors and resellers for infringement actions related to our technology, which could cause us to become involved in infringement claims made against our customers, distributors or resellers. Any of the above-described circumstances relating to intellectual property rights disputes could result in our business and results of operations being harmed.

Many of our products include intellectual property licensed from third parties. In the future, it may be necessary to renew licenses for third party intellectual property or obtain new licenses for other technology. These third party licenses may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. The inability to obtain certain licenses, or litigation regarding the interpretation or enforcement of license rights and related intellectual property issues, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Furthermore, we license some third party intellectual property on a non-exclusive basis and this may limit our ability to protect our intellectual property rights in our products.

We may not be able to sustain or develop new distribution relationships and a reduction or delay in sales to significant distribution partners could hurt our business

We sell our products and services through multiple distribution channels in the United States and internationally, including leading industry distributors, value-added resellers, systems integrators, service providers and other indirect channel partners. We have a limited number of agreements with companies in these channels, and we may not be able to increase our number of distribution relationships or maintain our existing relationships. Recruiting and retaining qualified channel partners and training them in our technologies requires significant time and resources. If we are unable to establish or maintain our indirect sales channels, our business and results of operations will be harmed. In addition, two worldwide distributors of our products accounted for 24.7% of our total net revenue for fiscal year 2010. One worldwide distributor of our products accounted for 15.4% of our total net revenue for fiscal year 2009. A substantial reduction or delay in sales of our products to these distribution partners, if not replaced by sales to other indirect channel partners and distributors, could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Undetected software or hardware errors may harm our business and results of operations

Our products may contain undetected errors or defects when first introduced or as new versions are released. We have experienced these errors or defects in the past in connection with new products and product upgrades. We expect that these errors or defects will be found from time to time in new or enhanced products after commencement of commercial shipments. These problems may cause us to incur significant warranty and repair costs, divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts and cause significant customer relations problems. We may also be subject to liability claims for damages related to product errors or defects. While we carry insurance policies covering this type of liability, these policies may not provide sufficient protection should a claim be asserted. A material product liability claim may harm our business and results of operations.

Our products must successfully operate with products from other vendors. As a result, when problems occur in a network, it may be difficult to identify the source of the problem. The occurrence of software or hardware problems, whether caused by our products or another vendor's products, may result in the delay or loss of market acceptance of our products. The occurrence of any of these problems may harm our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Adverse general economic conditions or reduced information technology spending may adversely impact our business

A substantial portion of our business depends on the demand for information technology by large enterprise customers and service providers, the overall economic health of our current and prospective customers and the continued growth and evolution of the Internet. International, national, regional and local economic conditions, such as recessionary economic cycles, protracted economic slowdown or further deterioration of the economy could adversely impact demand for our products. The purchase of our products is often discretionary and may involve a significant commitment of capital and other resources. Continued weak economic conditions or a reduction in information technology spending even if economic conditions improve would likely result in longer sales cycles and reduced product sales, each of which would adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our investments in auction rate securities are subject to risks that may cause losses and affect the liquidity of these investments

At September 30, 2010, the fair value of our AAA/A- (or equivalent) rated municipal auction rate securities (ARS) was approximately \$16.0 million. We may not be able to liquidate these ARS and realize their full carrying value unless the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, or the security otherwise matures. While we do not believe the decline in the carrying values of these municipal ARS is permanent, if the issuers of these securities are unable to successfully close future auctions and their credit ratings are lowered, we may be required to record future impairment charges related to these investments, which would harm our results of operations. We believe certain of these available-for-sale investments may remain illiquid for longer than twelve months and as a result, we have classified these investments as long-term as of September 30, 2010.

Our operating results are exposed to risks associated with international commerce

As our international sales increase, our operating results become more exposed to international operating risks. These risks include risks related to recessionary economic cycles or protracted slowdowns in economies outside the United States, foreign currency exchange rates, managing foreign sales offices, regulatory, political or economic conditions in specific countries, military conflict or terrorist activities, changes in laws and tariffs, inadequate protection of intellectual property rights in foreign countries, foreign regulatory requirements and natural disasters. All of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business. We intend to continue expanding into international markets. International sales represented 41.4% and 44.7% of our net revenues for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Changes in governmental regulations could negatively affect our revenues

Our products are subject to various regulations promulgated by the United States and various foreign governments including, but not limited to, environmental regulations and regulations implementing export license requirements and restrictions on the import or export of some technologies, especially encryption technology. Changes in governmental regulation and our inability or failure to obtain required approvals, permits or registrations could harm our international and domestic sales and adversely affect our revenues, business and operations.

Changes in financial accounting standards may cause adverse unexpected revenue fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations

A change in accounting policies can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New pronouncements and varying interpretations of existing pronouncements have occurred with frequency and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules, or changes to

the interpretations of existing rules, could lead to changes in our accounting practices, and such changes could adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions present many risks and we may not realize the financial and strategic goals that are contemplated at the time of the transaction

With respect to our past acquisitions, as well as any other future acquisitions we may undertake, we may find that the acquired businesses, products or technologies do not further our business strategy as expected, that we paid more than what the assets are later worth or that economic conditions change, all of which may generate future impairment charges. Our acquisitions may be viewed negatively by customers, financial markets or investors. There may be difficulty integrating the operations and personnel of the acquired business, and we may have difficulty retaining the key personnel of the acquired business. We may have difficulty in integrating the acquired technologies or products with our existing product lines. Our ongoing business and management's attention may be disrupted or diverted by transition or integration issues and the complexity of managing geographically and culturally diverse locations. We may have difficulty maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies across locations. We may experience significant problems or liabilities associated with product quality, technology and other matters.

Our inability to successfully operate and integrate newly-acquired businesses appropriately, effectively and in a timely manner, or to retain key personnel of any acquired business, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to take advantage of further growth in demand for integrated traffic management and security solutions and other advances in technology, as well as on our revenues, gross margins and expenses.

Our success depends on our key personnel and our ability to attract and retain qualified sales and marketing, operations, product development and professional services personnel

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our key management, product development, sales, marketing and finance personnel, many of whom may be difficult to replace. The complexity of our application delivery networking products and their integration into existing networks and ongoing support, as well as the sophistication of our sales and marketing effort, requires us to retain highly trained professional services, customer support and sales personnel. Competition for qualified professional services, customer support and sales personnel in our industry is intense because of the limited number of people available with the necessary technical skills and understanding of our products. Our ability to retain and hire these personnel may be adversely affected by volatility or reductions in the price of our common stock, since these employees are generally granted restricted stock units. The loss of services of any of our key personnel, the inability to retain and attract qualified personnel in the future or delays in hiring qualified personnel may harm our business and results of operations.

We face litigation risks

We are a party to lawsuits in the normal course of our business. Litigation in general, and intellectual property and securities litigation in particular, can be expensive, lengthy and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict. Responding to lawsuits has been, and will likely continue to be, expensive and time-consuming for us. An unfavorable resolution of these lawsuits could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our historical stock option practices and the restatement of our prior financial statements have exposed us to greater risks associated with litigation. Beginning in May 2006 several derivative actions were filed against certain current and former directors and officers (as discussed further in Part II, Item 8, Note 8, "Commitments and Contingencies Litigation") based on allegations relating to our historical stock option practices. We cannot assure you that this current litigation will result in the same conclusions reached by the special committee of outside directors formed by our Board of Directors to conduct a review of our stock option practices (the "Special Committee"). Furthermore, if we are subject to adverse findings in any of these matters, we could be required to pay damages or penalties or have other remedies imposed upon us which could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us

Our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, of those shares without any further vote or action by the shareholders. The rights of the holders of common stock may be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of preferred stock may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of our company without further action by our shareholders and may adversely affect the voting and other rights of the holders of common stock. Further, certain provisions of our bylaws, including a provision limiting the ability of shareholders to raise matters at a meeting of shareholders without giving advance notice, may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or management of our company, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. In addition, our articles of incorporation provide for a staggered board, which may make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our Board of Directors. Similarly, state anti-takeover laws in the State of Washington related to corporate takeovers may prevent or delay a change of control of our company.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

Not applicable.

Item 2. *Properties*

We lease our principal administrative, sales, marketing, research and development facilities, which are located in Seattle, Washington and consist of approximately 190,000 square feet. In April 2010, we amended and restated the lease agreement for the three buildings that serve as our corporate headquarters. The lease commenced in April, July and August of 2010 for various sections of the first building; and August 2010 for the second and third buildings. The lease for all three buildings will expire in 2022 with an option for renewal. In October 2006, we entered into an agreement to lease a building adjacent to the three buildings that serve as our corporate headquarters. This lease will expire in 2018. During 2008, we entered into two separate sublease agreements to sublease approximately 112,500 square feet of this building. One sublease will expire in 2013. In March 2010, we amended the second sublease, which expanded the subleased space by approximately 11,700 square feet and extended the term of the sublease to 2018.

We believe that our existing properties are in good condition and suitable for the conduct of our business. We also lease office space for our product development personnel in Spokane, Washington, San Jose, California, Lowell, Massachusetts, Israel, and Russia and for our sales and support personnel in Illinois, Washington D.C., New York, Hong Kong, Singapore, China, Taiwan, Thailand, India, United Arab Emirates, Indonesia, Malaysia, South Korea, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, Germany, France, Belgium, Spain, Italy, Netherlands and the United Kingdom. We believe that our future growth can be accommodated by our current facilities or by leasing additional space if necessary.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Derivative Suits. Beginning on or about May 24, 2006, several derivative actions were filed against certain of our current and former directors and officers. These derivative lawsuits were filed in: (1) the Superior Court of King County, Washington, as In re F5 Networks, Inc. State Court Derivative Litigation (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), which consolidates Adams v. Amdahl, et al. (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), Wright v. Amdahl, et al. (Case No. 06-2-19159-5 SEA), and Sommer v. McAdam, et al. (Case No. 06-2-26248-4 SEA) (the State Court Derivative Litigation); and (2) in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, as In re F5 Networks, Inc. Derivative Litigation, Master File No. C06-0794RSL, which consolidates Hutton v. McAdam, et al. (Case

No. 06-794RSL), Locals 302 and 612 of the International Union of Operating Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Trust v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C06-1057RSL), and Easton v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C06-1145RSL) (the Federal Court Derivative Litigation). On August 2, 2007, another derivative lawsuit, Barone v. McAdam et al. (Case No. C07-1200P) was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. The Barone lawsuit was designated a related case to the Federal Court Derivative

Table of Contents

Litigation on September 4, 2007. The complaints generally allege that certain of our current and former directors and officers, including, in general, each of our current outside directors (other than Deborah L. Bevier, Scott Thompson and John Chapple who joined the Board of Directors in July 2006, January 2008 and September 2010, respectively) breached their fiduciary duties to the Company by engaging in alleged wrongful conduct concerning the manipulation of certain stock option grant dates. We are named solely as a nominal defendant against whom the plaintiffs seek no recovery.

On September 24, 2010, the Company entered into a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation") in connection with the Federal Court Derivative Litigation. On October 21, 2010, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington issued an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement resolving the claims asserted by the plaintiffs against the individual defendants. A hearing to determine whether the Court should issue an order finally approving the proposed settlement has been scheduled for January 6, 2011. Effectiveness of the settlement of the Federal Court Derivative Litigation is conditioned on dismissal of the State Court Derivative Litigation. A copy of the Stipulation may be found under the "About F5-Investor Relations-Corporate Governance" section of our website, www.f5.com.

SEC and Department of Justice Inquiries. We previously received notice from both the SEC and the Department of Justice that they were conducting informal inquiries into our historical stock option practices, and we have fully cooperated with both agencies. In January 2010, we received notice from the SEC that the investigation concerning our historical stock option practices has been completed and that no enforcement action has been recommended. We currently believe that the Department of Justice will take no further action in connection with its inquiry into our historical stock option practices.

We are not aware of any additional pending legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition. We may in the future be party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that we allegedly infringe upon third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Market Prices of Common Stock**

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol FFIV. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

	Fiscal Year 2010		Fiscal Year 2009	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 53.59	\$ 37.93	\$ 26.12	\$ 17.75
Second Quarter	\$ 65.10	\$ 47.11	\$ 24.55	\$ 18.41
Third Quarter	\$ 77.10	\$ 60.50	\$ 36.28	\$ 20.51
Fourth Quarter	\$ 107.30	\$ 66.79	\$ 40.17	\$ 32.47

The last reported sales price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on November 18, 2010 was \$120.51.

As of November 18, 2010, there were approximately 72 holders of record of our common stock. As many of our shares of common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of beneficial holders of our common stock represented by these record holders.

Dividend Policy

Our policy has been to retain cash to fund future growth. Accordingly, we have not paid dividends and do not anticipate declaring dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Unregistered Securities Sold in 2010

We did not sell any unregistered shares of our common stock during the fiscal year 2010.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On October 22, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors approved a program to repurchase up to an additional \$200 million of our outstanding common stock. Acquisitions for the share repurchase program will be made from time to time in private transactions or open market purchases as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. The program may be discontinued at any time. As of November 18, 2010 we had repurchased and retired 4,605,827 shares at an average price of \$37.15 per share under the program.

Table of Contents

Shares repurchased and retired as of November 18, 2010 are as follows (in thousands, except shares and per share data):

		Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased per the Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan
October 1, 2008	October 31, 2008		\$		\$ 200,000
November 1, 2008	November 30, 2008	543,100	\$ 22.87	543,100	\$ 187,553
December 1, 2008	December 31, 2008	329,920	\$ 22.84	329,920	\$ 180,000
January 1, 2009	January 31, 2009		\$		\$ 180,000
February 1, 2009	February 28, 2009	636,895	\$ 21.34	636,895	\$ 166,377
March 1, 2009	March 31, 2009	703,811	\$ 19.58	703,811	\$ 152,563
April 1, 2009	April 30, 2009		\$		\$ 152,563
May 1, 2009	May 31, 2009		\$		\$ 152,563
June 1, 2009	June 30, 2009	463,900	\$ 34.17	463,900	\$ 136,689
July 1, 2009	July 31, 2009	146,700	\$ 35.51	146,700	\$ 131,473
August 1, 2009	August 31, 2009	320,700	\$ 36.01	320,700	\$ 119,907
September 1, 2009	September 30, 2009	199,021	\$ 36.85	199,021	\$ 112,564
October 1, 2009	October 31, 2009		\$		\$ 112,564
November 1, 2009	November 30, 2009	177,950	\$ 47.36	177,950	\$ 104,128
December 1, 2009	December 31, 2009	131,210	\$ 49.98	131,210	\$ 97,564
January 1, 2010	January 31, 2010		\$		\$ 97,564
February 1, 2010	February 28, 2010	212,430	\$ 51.07	212,430	\$ 86,703
March 1, 2010	March 31, 2010	152,528	\$ 59.87	152,528	\$ 77,563
April 1, 2010	April 30, 2010	23,100	\$ 70.56	23,100	\$ 75,932
May 1, 2010	May 31, 2010	176,365	\$ 68.23	176,365	\$ 63,890
June 1, 2010	June 30, 2010	91,562	\$ 69.04	91,562	\$ 57,564
July 1, 2010	July 31, 2010	5,000	\$ 85.81	5,000	\$ 57,135
August 1, 2010	August 31, 2010	140,935	\$ 87.12	140,935	\$ 44,850
September 1, 2010	September 30, 2010	77,225	\$ 94.30	77,225	\$ 37,564
October 1, 2010	October 31, 2010		\$		\$ 37,564
November 1, 2010	November 18, 2010	73,475	\$ 120.84	73,475	\$ 28,682

On October 26, 2010, we announced that our Board of Directors approved a new program to repurchase up to an additional \$200 million of our outstanding common stock. Acquisitions for the share repurchase program will be made

from time to time in private transactions or open market purchases as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. The program may be discontinued at any time.

Table of Contents

Performance Measurement Comparison of Shareholder Return

The following graph compares the annual percentage change in the cumulative total return on shares of our common stock, the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Nasdaq Computer Index for the period commencing September 30, 2005, and ending September 30, 2010.

**Comparison of Cumulative Total Return
On Investment Since September 30, 2005***

The Company's closing stock price on September 30, 2010, the last trading day of the Company's 2010 fiscal year, was \$103.81 per share.

- * Assumes that \$100 was invested September 30, 2005 in shares of Common Stock and in each index, and that all dividends were reinvested. Shareholder returns over the indicated period should not be considered indicative of future shareholder returns.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated historical financial data are derived from our audited financial statements. The consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are derived from our audited financial statements and related notes that are included elsewhere in this report. The consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are derived from our audited financial statements and related notes which are not included in this report. The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements, including the notes thereto, and

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included elsewhere in this report.

	Years Ended September 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data(5)					
Net revenues					
Products	\$ 561,142	\$ 406,529	\$ 452,929	\$ 392,921	\$ 304,878
Services	320,830	246,550	197,244	132,746	89,171
Total	881,972	653,079	650,173	525,667	394,049
Cost of net revenues					
Products	113,834	95,209	102,400	84,094	63,619
Services	58,118	47,517	46,618	34,230	24,534
Total	171,952	142,726	149,018	118,324	88,153
Gross profit	710,020	510,353	501,155	407,343	305,896
Operating expenses					
Sales and marketing	293,201	225,193	237,175	175,555	127,478
Research and development	118,314	103,664	103,394	69,030	49,171
General and administrative	68,503	55,243	56,001	49,256	39,109
In-process research and development(1)				14,000	
Loss on facility exit and sublease(2)			5,271		
Restructuring charges(3)		4,329			
Total	480,018	388,429	401,841	307,841	215,758
Income from operations	230,002	121,924	99,314	99,502	90,138
Other income, net	7,625	9,724	18,950	28,191	17,431
Income before income taxes	237,627	131,648	118,264	127,693	107,569
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	86,474	40,113	43,933	50,693	41,564

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Net income	\$	151,153	\$	91,535	\$	74,331	\$	77,000	\$	66,005
Net income per share basic(4)	\$	1.90	\$	1.16	\$	0.90	\$	0.93	\$	0.82
Weighted average shares basic(4)		79,609		78,842		82,290		83,205		80,278
Net income per share diluted(4)	\$	1.86	\$	1.14	\$	0.89	\$	0.90	\$	0.80
Weighted average shares diluted(4)		81,049		80,073		83,428		85,137		83,020

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data(5)

Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$	428,496	\$	317,128	\$	190,186	\$	258,465	\$	374,173
Restricted cash(6)		195		2,729		2,748		3,959		3,929
Long-term investments		433,570		257,294		261,086		216,366		118,003
Total assets		1,362,192		1,068,645		939,223		944,288		729,511
Long-term liabilities		71,409		46,611		34,143		20,301		13,416
Total shareholders equity		1,003,698		799,020		718,259		770,577		616,458

Table of Contents

- (1) In-process research and development (IPR&D) expense represents the amount of IPR&D that we acquired in the Acopia Networks, Inc. (Acopia) acquisition.
- (2) Loss on facility exit and sublease expense represents a charge related to the closure of our office space in Bellevue, Washington and the consolidation of our corporate headquarters in Seattle, Washington.
- (3) Restructuring charges represent the expense related to the consolidation of facilities, accelerated depreciation on tenant improvements, and a reduction in workforce that took place in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 as part of a comprehensive restructuring program.
- (4) Share and per share amounts have been adjusted as appropriate to reflect a two-for-one stock-split effective August 2007.
- (5) In our Form 10-K/A No. 2 (filed on December 12, 2006), we restated our consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003, and the selected consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended September 30, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001. In addition, we restated our consolidated financial statements for the quarters ended December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for the quarters ended December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006, each of which was filed on December 13, 2006. All financial information included in this annual report on Form 10-K reflects our restatement.
- (6) Restricted cash represents escrow accounts established in connection with lease agreements for our facilities.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations, strategies, intentions or other characterizations of future events or circumstances and are generally identified by the words expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on current information and expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors herein and in other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We assume no obligation to revise or update any such forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a global provider of appliances consisting of software and hardware and services that help companies efficiently and securely manage the delivery, optimization and security of application and data traffic on Internet-based networks, and to optimize the performance and utilization of data storage infrastructure and other network resources. We market and sell our products primarily through multiple indirect sales channels in the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and the Asia Pacific region (APAC). Enterprise customers (Fortune 1000 or Business Week Global 1000 companies) in the technology, telecommunications, financial services, transportation, education, manufacturing and health care industries, along with government customers, continue to make up the largest percentage of our customer base.

Our management team monitors and analyzes a number of key performance indicators in order to manage our business and evaluate our financial and operating performance. Those indicators include:

Revenues. The majority of our revenues are derived from sales of our Application Delivery Networking (ADN) products and related software modules; BIG-IP Local Traffic Manager, BIG-IP Global Traffic Manager, BIG-IP Link Controller, BIG-IP Application Security Manager, BIG-IP Edge Gateway, BIG-IP WAN Optimization module, BIG-IP Access Policy Manager, and WebAccelerator; FirePass SSL VPN appliance; and our ARX file virtualization products. We also derive revenues from the sales of

Table of Contents

services including annual maintenance contracts, training and consulting services. We carefully monitor the sales mix of our revenues within each reporting period. We believe customer acceptance rates of our new products and feature enhancements are indicators of future trends. We also consider overall revenue concentration by customer and by geographic region as additional indicators of current and future trends.

Cost of revenues and gross margins. We strive to control our cost of revenues and thereby maintain our gross margins. Significant items impacting cost of revenues are hardware costs paid to our contract manufacturers, third-party software license fees, amortization of developed technology and personnel and overhead expenses. Our margins have remained relatively stable; however, factors such as sales price, product mix, inventory obsolescence, returns, component price increases and warranty costs could significantly impact our gross margins from quarter to quarter and represent significant indicators we monitor on a regular basis.

Operating expenses. Operating expenses are substantially driven by personnel and related overhead expenses. Existing headcount and future hiring plans are the predominant factors in analyzing and forecasting future operating expense trends. Other significant operating expenses that we monitor include marketing and promotions, travel, professional fees, computer costs related to the development of new products, facilities and depreciation expenses.

Liquidity and cash flows. Our financial condition remains strong with significant cash and investments and no long term debt. The increase in cash and investments for fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to cash provided by operating activities of \$313.6 million. This increase was partially offset by \$75.0 million of cash used to repurchase outstanding common stock under our share repurchase program in fiscal year 2010. Going forward, we believe the primary driver of cash flows will be net income from operations. Capital expenditures for fiscal year 2010 were comprised primarily of information technology infrastructure and equipment to support the growth of our core business activities. We will continue to evaluate possible acquisitions of, or investments in businesses, products, or technologies that we believe are strategic, which may require the use of cash.

Balance sheet. We view cash, short-term and long-term investments, deferred revenue, accounts receivable balances and day's sales outstanding as important indicators of our financial health. Deferred revenues continued to increase in fiscal 2010 due to growth in the amount of annual maintenance contracts purchased on new products and maintenance renewal contracts related to our existing product installation base. Our day's sales outstanding for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 was 40.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. We sell products through distributors, resellers, and directly to end users. We recognize product revenue upon shipment, net of estimated returns, provided that collection is determined to be reasonably assured and no significant performance obligations remain. In certain regions where we do not have the ability to reasonably estimate returns, we defer revenue on sales to our distributors until they have received information from

the channel partner indicating that the distributor has sold the product to its customer. Payment terms to domestic customers are generally net 30 days to net 45 days. Payment terms to international customers range from net 30 days to net 120 days based on normal and customary trade practices

Table of Contents

in the individual markets. We offer extended payment terms to certain customers, in which case, revenue is recognized when payments are due.

Whenever product, training services and post-contract customer support (PCS) elements are sold together, a portion of the sales price is allocated to each element based on their respective fair values as determined when the individual elements are sold separately. Where fair value of certain elements is not available, we recognize revenue on the residual method based on the fair value of undelivered elements. Revenues from the sale of product are recognized when the product has been shipped and the customer is obligated to pay for the product. When rights of return are present and we cannot estimate returns, we recognize revenue when such rights of return lapse. Revenues for PCS are recognized on a straight-line basis over the service contract term. PCS includes a limited period of telephone support updates, repair or replacement of any failed product or component that fails during the term of the agreement, bug fixes and rights to upgrades, when and if available. Consulting services are customarily billed at fixed hourly rates, plus out-of-pocket expenses, and revenues are recognized when the consulting has been completed. Training revenue is recognized when the training has been completed.

FASB ASC Topic 985-605-25, *Software, Revenue Recognition, Multiple-Element Arrangements*, (ASC 985-605-25), as amended, requires revenue earned on software arrangements involving multiple elements to be allocated to each element based on the relative fair values of those elements. The fair value of an element must be based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE). We establish VSOE for our products, training services, PCS and consulting services based on the sales price charged for each element when sold separately. The sales price is discounted from the applicable list price based on various factors including the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region and program level. Our list prices are generally not fair value as discounts may be given based on the factors enumerated above. We believe that the fair value of our consulting services is represented by the billable consulting rate per hour, based on the rates we charge customers when they purchase standalone consulting services. The price of consulting services is not based on the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region or program level.

We use historical sales transactions to determine whether VSOE can be established for each of the elements. In most instances, VSOE of fair value is the sales price of actual standalone (unbundled) transactions within the past 12 month period that are priced within a reasonable range, which we have determined to be plus or minus 15% of the median sales price of each respective price list.

VSOE of PCS is based on standalone sales since we do not provide stated renewal rates to our customers. In accordance with our PCS pricing practice (supported by standalone renewal sales), renewal contracts are priced as a percentage of the undiscounted product list price. The PCS renewal percentages may vary, depending on the type and length of PCS purchased. We offer standard and premium PCS, and the term generally ranges from one to three years. We employ a bell-shaped-curve approach in evaluating VSOE of fair value of PCS. Under this approach, we consider VSOE of the fair value of PCS to exist when a substantial majority of our standalone PCS sales fall within a narrow range of pricing.

We have established and regularly validate the VSOE of fair value for elements in our multiple element arrangements. We account for taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities on a net basis and excluded from revenues.

Reserve for Doubtful Accounts. Estimates are used in determining our allowance for doubtful accounts and are based upon an assessment of selected accounts and as a percentage of our remaining accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these percentages, we evaluate historical write-offs, current trends in the credit quality of our customer base, as well as changes in the credit policies. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and do not require any collateral. If there is deterioration of a major customer's credit worthiness or actual defaults are higher than our historical experience, our allowance for doubtful accounts may not be sufficient.

Reserve for Product Returns. In some instances, product revenue from distributors is subject to agreements allowing rights of return. Product returns are estimated based on historical experience and are recorded at the time revenues are recognized. Accordingly, we reduce recognized revenue for estimated future

Table of Contents

returns at the time revenue is recorded. When rights of return are present and we cannot estimate returns, revenue is recognized when such rights lapse. The estimates for returns are adjusted periodically based upon changes in historical rates of returns and other related factors. It is possible that these estimates will change in the future or that the actual amounts could vary from our estimates.

Accounting for Income Taxes. We utilize the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Accordingly, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate as part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure, including assessing the risks associated with tax audits, together with assessing temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Due to the evolving nature and complexity of tax rules combined with the large number of jurisdictions in which we operate, it is possible that our estimates of our tax liability could change in the future, which may result in additional tax liabilities and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for stock-based compensation using the straight-line attribution method for recognizing compensation expense over the requisite service period of the related award. We recognized \$70.8 million and \$56.1 million of stock-based compensation expense for the years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, there was \$98.4 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, the majority of which will be recognized over the next two years. Going forward, stock-based compensation expenses may increase as we issue additional equity-based awards to continue to attract and retain key employees.

We issue incentive awards to our employees through stock-based compensation consisting of restricted stock units (RSUs). The value of RSUs is determined using the fair value method, which in this case, is based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. No stock options were granted in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

We recognize compensation expense for only the portion of RSUs that are expected to vest. Therefore, we apply estimated forfeiture rates that are derived from historical employee termination behavior. Based on historical differences with forfeitures of stock-based awards granted to our executive officers and Board of Directors versus grants awarded to all other employees, we developed separate forfeiture expectations for these two groups. In fiscal year 2010, the estimated forfeiture rate for grants awarded to our executive officers and Board of Directors was approximately 3% and the estimated forfeiture rate for grants awarded to all other employees was approximately 10%. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to stock-based compensation expense may be required in future periods.

We recognize compensation costs for awards with performance conditions when we conclude it is probable that the performance condition will be achieved. We reassess the probability of vesting at each balance sheet date and adjust compensation costs based on our probability assessment.

Common stock repurchase. On October 22, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors approved a program to repurchase up to an additional \$200 million of our outstanding common stock. On October 26, 2010, we announced that our Board of Directors approved a new program to repurchase up to an additional \$200 million of our outstanding common stock. As of November 18, 2010, we had repurchased and retired 4,605,827 shares at an average price of \$37.15 per share.

Goodwill and intangible assets. We have goodwill and intangible assets on our balance sheet related to acquisitions. Intangible assets are carried and reported at acquisition cost, net of accumulated amortization subsequent to acquisition. Intangible assets are amortized over the estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to five years. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate impairment might

exist. Projected undiscounted net cash flows expected to be derived from the use of those assets are compared to the respective net carrying amounts to determine whether any impairment exists. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets.

The determination of the net carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets and the extent to which, if any, there is impairment are dependent on material estimates and judgments on our part, including the useful

Table of Contents

life over which the intangible assets are to be amortized, and the estimates of the value of future net cash flows, which are based upon further estimates of future revenues, expenses and operating margins. We review our goodwill annually for impairment in the second fiscal quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. The first step of the test identifies whether potential impairment may have occurred, while the second step of the test measures the amount of the impairment, if any. Impairment is recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its fair value. In March 2010, we completed our annual impairment test and concluded that there was no impairment of goodwill. We have considered the assumptions used in the test and noted that no reasonably possible changes would reduce the fair value of the reporting unit to such a level that would cause an impairment charge. Additionally, we considered potential impairment indicators at September 30, 2010 and noted no indicators of impairment.

Investments. Our investments are diversified among high-credit quality debt securities in accordance with our investment policy. We classify our investments as available-for-sale, which are reported at fair market value with the related unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in stockholders equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value of these investments judged to be other than temporary are included in other income (expense). To date, we have not deemed it necessary to record any charges related to other-than-temporary declines in the estimated fair values of our marketable debt securities. However, the fair value of our investments is subject to volatility. Declines in the fair value of our investments judged to be other than temporary could adversely affect our future operating results.

Our investments also include auction rate securities (ARS) that are classified as available-for-sale. ARS are reported at fair market value with the related unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in stockholders equity. We believe these investments may remain illiquid for longer than twelve months and as a result, we have classified these investments as long-term as of September 30, 2010. We used the income approach to determine the fair value of our ARS using a discounted cash flow analysis. The assumptions we used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include estimates for interest rates; estimates for discount rates using yields of comparable traded instruments adjusted for illiquidity and other risk factors, amount of cash flows and expected holding periods for the ARS.

Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except for percentages)		
Net Revenues			
Products	\$ 561,142	\$ 406,529	\$ 452,929
Services	320,830	246,550	197,244
Total	\$ 881,972	\$ 653,079	\$ 650,173
Percentage of net revenues			
Products	63.6%	62.2%	69.7%
Services	36.4	37.8	30.3

Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
-------	--------	--------	--------

Net Revenues. Total net revenues increased 35.0% in fiscal year 2010 from the prior year, compared to only a slight increase in fiscal year 2009 from fiscal year 2008. Overall revenue growth for the year ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to increased product and service revenues as a result of our increased installed base of products and increased demand for our core ADN products, including application security and WAN optimization products. International revenues represented 41.4%, 44.7% and 42.5% of net revenues in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We expect international sales will continue to represent a

Table of Contents

significant portion of net revenues, although we cannot provide assurance that international revenues as a percentage of net revenues will remain at current levels.

Net product revenues increased 38.0% in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009 and decreased 10.2% in fiscal year 2009 as compared to fiscal year 2008. The increase of \$154.6 million in net product sales for fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to growth in the volume of product sales of our ADN products of \$154.8, partially offset by a decrease in sales of our FirePass products of \$2.6 million. In addition, net product revenue from our ARX file virtualization products increased \$2.3 million in fiscal year 2010. The decrease of \$46.4 million in net product sales for fiscal year 2009 was primarily due to a \$36.1 million reduction in the volume of sales of our ADN products, a \$5.4 million reduction in the sales of our ARX file virtualization products, and a \$4.9 million reduction in the volume of sales of our FirePass products, as compared to the prior year. Sales of our ADN products represented 95.4%, 93.7% and 92.0% of total product revenues in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net service revenues increased 30.1% in fiscal year 2010 from fiscal year 2009 and increased 25.0% in fiscal year 2009 as compared to fiscal year 2008. The increases in service revenue were the result of increased purchases or renewals of maintenance contracts driven by additions to our installed base of products.

Avnet Technology Solutions, one of our worldwide distributors, accounted for 14.5%, 15.4% and 14.0% of our total net revenues in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Tech Data, another worldwide distributor, accounted for 10.2% of our total net revenues in fiscal year 2010. Ingram Micro, Inc., another worldwide distributor, accounted for 10.5% of our total net revenues in fiscal year 2008. Avnet Technology Solutions, Tech Data and Ingram Micro, Inc. accounted for 13.2%, 11.8% and 13.2% of our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2010, respectively. Avnet Technology Solutions and Ingram Micro, Inc. accounted for 11.6% and 10.7% of our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2009, respectively. Avnet Technology Solutions accounted for 10.5% of our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2008. No other distributors accounted for more than 10% of total net revenue or receivables for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except for percentages)		
Cost of net revenues and Gross margin			
Products	\$ 113,834	\$ 95,209	\$ 102,400
Services	58,118	47,517	46,618
Total	171,952	142,726	149,018
Gross margin	\$ 710,020	\$ 510,353	\$ 501,155
Cost of net revenues and Gross margin (as a percentage of related net revenue)			
Products	20.3%	23.4%	22.6%
Services	18.1	19.3	23.6
Total	19.5	21.9	22.9
Gross margin	80.5%	78.1%	77.1%

Cost of Net Product Revenues. Cost of net product revenues consist of finished products purchased from our contract manufacturers, manufacturing overhead, freight, warranty, provisions for excess and obsolete inventory and amortization expenses in connection with developed technology from acquisitions. Product cost increased to \$113.8 million in fiscal year 2010 from \$95.2 million in fiscal year 2009. The year over year increase was primarily due to the higher volume of units shipped and an increase in warranty expense, partially offset by a decrease in expense related to obsolete inventory. Product cost as a percentage of related net revenue decreased to 20.3% in fiscal year 2010 from 23.4% in fiscal year 2009 primarily due to reduced manufacturing costs and decreased indirect product costs. In fiscal year 2009, the year over year decrease from \$102.4 million in fiscal year 2008 was primarily due to a reduction in the volume of units shipped.

Table of Contents

Cost of Net Service Revenues. Cost of net service revenues consist of the salaries and related benefits of our professional services staff, travel, facilities and depreciation expenses. Cost of net service revenues as a percentage of net service revenues decreased to 18.1% in fiscal year 2010 from 19.3% in fiscal year 2009 and 23.6% in fiscal year 2008 primarily due to the scalability of our existing customer support infrastructure and increased revenue from maintenance contracts. Professional services headcount at the end of fiscal year 2010 increased to 421 from 330 at the end of fiscal year 2009 and 323 at the end of fiscal year 2008. In addition, cost of net service revenues included stock-based compensation expense of \$6.0 million, \$4.8 million and \$4.0 million for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except for percentages)		
Operating expenses			
Sales and marketing	\$ 293,201	\$ 225,193	\$ 237,175
Research and development	118,314	103,664	103,394
General and administrative	68,503	55,243	56,001
Loss on facility exit and sublease			5,271
Restructuring charges		4,329	
Total	\$ 480,018	\$ 388,429	\$ 401,841
Operating expenses (as a percentage of net revenue)			
Sales and marketing	33.2%	34.5%	36.5%
Research and development	13.4	15.9	15.9
General and administrative	7.8	8.4	8.6
Loss on facility exit and sublease			0.8
Restructuring charges		0.7	
Total	54.4%	59.5%	61.8%

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist of salaries, commissions and related benefits of our sales and marketing staff, the costs of our marketing programs, including public relations, advertising and trade shows, travel, facilities, and depreciation expenses. Sales and marketing expense increased 30.2% in fiscal year 2010 as compared to a year over year decrease of 5.1% in fiscal year 2009 and a year over year increase of 35.1% in fiscal year 2008. The increase in sales and marketing expense for fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to an increase in commissions and personnel costs of \$43.9 million, compared to the prior year. The increase in sales and marketing expense for fiscal year 2010 was also due to an increase of \$9.2 million in marketing promotions and initiatives aimed at promoting our brand and creating market awareness of our technology and our products. The fiscal year 2009 decrease over the prior year was primarily due to cost reduction initiatives we implemented in response to the slowing economic environment and a \$6.9 million decrease in commissions expense corresponding to the decrease in product revenue for fiscal year 2009, compared to the prior year. Sales and marketing headcount at the end of fiscal 2010 increased to 856 from 696 at the end of fiscal 2009 and 716 at the end of fiscal 2008. Sales and marketing expense included stock-based compensation charges of \$27.3 million, \$22.6 million and \$24.1 million for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses consist of the salaries and related benefits for our product development personnel, prototype materials and other expenses related to the development of new and improved products, facilities and depreciation expenses. Research and development expense increased 14.1% in fiscal year 2010, compared to the prior year. The increase in research and development expense for fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to increased personnel costs of \$7.1 million, which is consistent with the increase in revenue for the corresponding period. In addition, research and development expense included a year over year increase in computer equipment and software costs of \$3.8 million to support the development of new and improved products. In fiscal year 2009, research and

Table of Contents

development expenses remained relatively consistent with the prior year as compared to a year over year increase of 49.8% in fiscal year 2008. The increase in research and development expense for fiscal year 2008 was primarily due to increased personnel costs of \$19.7 million, which is consistent with the increased revenue for the corresponding period. Research and development headcount at the end of fiscal 2010 increased to 509 from 430 at the end of fiscal 2009 and 460 at the end of fiscal 2008. Research and development expense included stock-based compensation charges of \$19.4 million, \$16.7 million and \$16.3 million for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We expect research and development expenses to remain consistent as a percentage of net revenue in the foreseeable future.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist of the salaries, benefits and related costs of our executive, finance, information technology, human resource and legal personnel, third-party professional service fees, bad debt charges, facilities and depreciation expenses. General and administrative expense increased 24.0% in fiscal year 2010, compared to the prior year. The increase in general and administrative expense was primarily due to an increase of \$4.1 million in personnel costs for the year ended September 30, 2010, compared to the prior year. General and administrative expenses remained relatively consistent in fiscal year 2009 as compared with a year over year increase of 13.7% in fiscal year 2008. The increase in fiscal year 2008 of \$6.7 million was due primarily to an increase of \$3.2 million in stock-based compensation charges and an increase of \$3.5 million in salary and benefit expenses. General and administrative headcount at the end of fiscal 2010 increased to 226 from 190 at the end of fiscal 2009 and 195 at the end of fiscal 2008. General and administrative expense included stock-based compensation charges of \$17.0 million, \$11.6 million and \$15.9 million for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Loss on Facility Exit and Sublease. During 2008, we exited a research and development facility in Bellevue, Washington for which there was remaining operating lease obligations through 2014. In addition, during this period we consolidated our corporate headquarters, partially subleasing the building located at 333 Elliott Avenue West in Seattle, Washington for which there were remaining operating lease obligations through 2018. As a result of the expected loss on the facility exit and sublease agreements, we recorded a charge of \$5.3 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008.

Restructuring. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 we implemented a comprehensive restructuring program as part of an overall initiative to reduce certain operating expenses. Restructuring actions included the consolidation of facilities, accelerated depreciation on tenant improvements and a reduction in workforce. In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded restructuring expenses of \$4.3 million, which included a \$2.1 million charge for severance and related costs and a \$2.2 million charge for the exit of certain offices worldwide. All accrued restructuring costs had been incurred as of September 30, 2010.

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except for percentages)		
Other Income and Income Taxes			
Income from operations	\$ 230,002	\$ 121,924	\$ 99,314
Other income, net	7,625	9,724	18,950
Income before income taxes	237,627	131,648	118,264
Provision for income taxes	86,474	40,113	43,933
Net income	\$ 151,153	\$ 91,535	\$ 74,331

Other Income and Income Taxes (as percentage of net revenue)

Income from operations	26.1%	18.7%	15.3%
Other income, net	0.8	1.5	2.9
Income before income taxes	26.9	20.2	18.2
Provision for income taxes	9.8	6.2	6.8
Net income	17.1%	14.0%	11.4%

Table of Contents

Other Income, Net. Other income, net, consists primarily of interest income and foreign currency transaction gains and losses. Other income, net decreased 21.6% in fiscal year 2010, as compared to fiscal year 2009 and decreased 48.7% in fiscal year 2009 as compared to fiscal year 2008. Interest income was \$8.1 million, \$9.9 million and \$17.5 million for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in other income, net for fiscal year 2010 as compared to fiscal year 2009 was primarily due to decreased interest income of \$1.8 million, partially offset by increased foreign currency transaction gains of \$1.0 million. The decrease in interest income for fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to a decline in interest rates for the year. The decrease in other income, net for fiscal year 2009 as compared to fiscal year 2008 was primarily due to a decline in interest rates for the year which decreased interest income by \$7.6 million and decreased foreign currency transaction gains of \$1.5 million.

Provision for Income Taxes. We recorded a 36.4% provision for income taxes for fiscal year 2010 compared to 30.5% in fiscal year 2009 and 37.1% in fiscal year 2008. The increase in the effective tax rate from fiscal year 2009 to fiscal year 2010 is largely attributable to the expiration of the federal research and development credit at December 31, 2009 and a favorable adjustment related to equity awards in a major foreign tax jurisdiction which was reflected in the effective tax rate for fiscal year 2009.

At September 30, 2010, we did not have a valuation allowance on any of our deferred tax assets in any of the jurisdictions in which we operate because we believe that the assets are more likely than not to be realized. In making this determination we have considered projected future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the appropriateness of a valuation allowance. Our net deferred tax assets as of fiscal year end 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$46.6 million, \$57.0 million and \$52.8 million, respectively. Our worldwide effective tax rate may fluctuate based on a number of factors, including variations in projected taxable income in our various geographic locations in which we operate, changes in the valuation of our net deferred tax assets, resolution of potential exposures, tax positions taken on tax returns filed in the various geographic locations in which we operate, and the introduction of new accounting standards or changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof in the various geographic locations in which we operate. The federal credit for increasing research activities expired at December 31, 2009. If the credit is reinstated in fiscal year 2011, the reinstatement may have a material impact on our worldwide effective tax rate for fiscal year 2011. We have recorded liabilities to address potential tax exposures related to business and income tax positions we have taken that could be challenged by taxing authorities. The ultimate resolution of these potential exposures may be greater or less than the liabilities recorded which could result in an adjustment to our future tax expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have funded our operations with our cash balances, cash generated from operations and proceeds from public offerings of our securities.

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Liquidity and Capital Resources			
Cash and cash equivalents and investments	\$ 862,066	\$ 574,422	\$ 451,272
Cash provided by operating activities	313,612	201,981	193,692
Cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(238,223)	(99,109)	13,710
Cash used in financing activities	(16,798)	(70,706)	(181,719)

Cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term investments totaled \$862.1 million as of September 30, 2010 compared to \$574.4 million as of September 30, 2009, representing an increase of \$287.6 million. The increase was primarily due to cash provided by operating activities of \$313.6 million for fiscal year 2010, compared to \$202.0 million for fiscal year 2009, which was partially offset by \$75.0 million of additional cash required for the repurchase of outstanding common stock under our share repurchase program in fiscal 2010. In fiscal year 2009, the increase over the previous year was primarily due to cash provided by operating activities of \$202.0 million, compared to \$193.7 million for fiscal year 2008, which was

Table of Contents

partially offset by \$87.4 million of additional cash required for the repurchase of outstanding common stock under our share repurchase program in fiscal 2009.

At September 30, 2010, we held \$16.0 million in fair value of tax-exempt ARS, which are variable-rate debt securities and have a long-term maturity with the interest rates being reset through Dutch auctions that are typically held every 7, 28 or 35 days. The securities have historically traded at par and are callable at par at the option of the issuer. Interest is typically paid at the end of each auction period or semi-annually. We limit our investments in ARS to securities that carry a AAA/A- (or equivalent) rating from recognized rating agencies and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. At the time of initial investment and at the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, all of our ARS were in compliance with our investment policy.

Beginning in February 2008, auctions failed for approximately \$53.4 million in par value of municipal ARS we held because sell orders exceeded buy orders. When these auctions failed to clear, higher interest rates for those securities went into effect. However, the funds associated with these failed auctions will not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process or the security matures.

We have no reason to believe that any of the underlying issuers of our ARS are presently at risk of default. The underlying assets of the municipal ARS we hold, including the securities for which auctions have failed, are generally student loans which are guaranteed by the U.S. government. Through September 30, 2010, we have continued to receive interest payments on the ARS in accordance with their terms. We believe we will be able to liquidate our investments without significant loss primarily due to the government guarantee of the underlying securities. However, due to uncertainty in the ARS market, we believe certain of these available-for-sale investments may remain illiquid for longer than twelve months and as a result, we have classified \$19.0 million (par value) of our ARS as long-term as of September 30, 2010.

In October 2008, we entered into an agreement (the Agreement) with UBS whereby UBS would purchase eligible ARS it sold to us prior to February 13, 2008. Under the terms of the Agreement, and at our discretion, UBS will purchase eligible ARS from us at par value (put option) during the period of June 30, 2010 through July 2, 2012. Prior to September 30, 2010, UBS purchased all of the eligible ARS we held for their par value of \$34.4 million.

Cash provided by operating activities during fiscal year 2010 was \$313.6 million compared to \$202.0 million in fiscal year 2009 and \$193.7 million in fiscal year 2008. Cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from cash generated from net income, after adjusting for non-cash charges such as stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization charges and changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$238.2 million for fiscal year 2010, compared to cash used in investing activities of \$99.1 million for fiscal year 2009 and cash provided by investing activities of \$13.7 million for fiscal year 2008. The cash used in investing activities in fiscal year 2010 was primarily the result of the purchase of investments and capital expenditures related to maintaining our operations worldwide partially offset by the sale and maturity of investments. The cash used in investing activities in fiscal year 2009 was primarily the result of the purchase of investments and capital expenditures related to maintaining our operations worldwide partially offset by the sale and maturity of investments. The cash provided by investing activities in fiscal year 2008 was primarily the result of the sale and maturity of investments partially offset by the purchase of investments.

Cash used in financing activities was \$16.8 million for fiscal year 2010, compared to cash used in financing activities of \$70.7 million for fiscal year 2009 and cash used in financing activities of \$181.7 million for fiscal year 2008. Cash used in financing activities for fiscal 2010 included \$75.0 million to repurchase common stock under our share repurchase program, which was partially offset by cash received from the exercise of employee stock options and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan of \$31.7 million and tax benefits related to share-based

compensation of \$26.5 million. Cash used in financing activities for fiscal 2009 included \$87.4 million to repurchase common stock under our share repurchase program, which was partially offset by the exercise of employee stock options and purchases under our employee stock purchase plan. Cash used in financing activities for fiscal 2008 included \$200 million to

Table of Contents

repurchase common stock under a previous share repurchase program, which was partially offset by the exercise of employee stock options and purchases under our employee stock purchase plan.

Based on our current operating and capital expenditure forecasts, we believe that our existing cash and investment balances, excluding ARS, together with cash generated from operations should be sufficient to meet our operating requirements for the foreseeable future. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of revenue growth, the expansion of our sales and marketing activities, the timing and extent of expansion into new territories, the timing of introductions of new products and enhancements of existing products, and the continuing market acceptance of our products.

Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual payment obligations and commitments as of September 30, 2010:

	Payment Obligations by Year					Thereafter	Total
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
	(In thousands)						
Operating leases	\$ 16,661	\$ 16,423	\$ 15,439	\$ 15,027	\$ 14,409	\$ 73,780	\$ 151,739
Purchase obligations	14,302						14,302
Total	\$ 30,963	\$ 16,423	\$ 15,439	\$ 15,027	\$ 14,409	\$ 73,780	\$ 166,041

We lease our facilities under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2022.

Purchase obligations are comprised of purchase commitments with our contract manufacturers. The agreement with our primary contract manufacturer allows them to procure component inventory on our behalf based on our production forecast. We are obligated to purchase component inventory that the contract manufacturer procures in accordance with the forecast, unless cancellation is given within applicable lead times.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 810-10, *Consolidation – Overall* (ASC 810-10), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. We adopted ASC 810-10 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. The adoption of this statement did not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, (amendments to FASB ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*) (ASU 2009-13) and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements*, (amendments to FASB ASC Topic 985, *Software*) (ASU 2009-14). ASU 2009-13 requires entities to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices of the delivered goods and services based on a selling price hierarchy. The amendments eliminate the residual method of revenue allocation and require revenue to be allocated using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-14 removes tangible products from the scope of software revenue guidance and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are covered by the scope of the software revenue guidance. ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 should be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We adopted ASU 2009-13 and ASU

2009-14 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. The adoption of these statements did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 increases disclosures to include transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and clarifies inputs, valuation techniques and level of disaggregation to be disclosed. We adopted ASU 2010-06 in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010. The

Table of Contents

adoption of this statement did not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk*

Interest Rate Risk. Our cash equivalents consist of high-quality securities, as specified in our investment policy guidelines. The policy limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issue or issuer to a maximum of 5% of the total portfolio with the exception of U.S. treasury securities, commercial paper and money market funds, which are exempt from size limitation. The policy requires investments in securities that mature in three years or less, with the average maturity being no greater than one and a half years. These securities are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if interest rates increase. A decrease of one percent in the average interest rate would have resulted in a decrease of approximately \$4.8 million in our interest income for the fiscal year 2010.

	Three Months or Less	Three Months to One Year	Maturing in Greater Than One Year	Total	Fair Value
(In thousands, except for percentages)					
September 30, 2010					
Included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 26,987	\$	\$	\$ 26,987	\$ 26,987
Weighted average interest rate	0.1%				
Included in short-term investments	\$ 43,970	\$ 215,772	\$	\$ 259,742	\$ 259,742
Weighted average interest rates	1.4%	1.6%			
Included in long-term investments	\$	\$	\$ 433,570	\$ 433,570	\$ 433,570
Weighted average interest rates			1.4%		
September 30, 2009					
Included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,790	\$	\$	\$ 19,790	\$ 19,790
Weighted average interest rate	1.0%				
Included in short-term investments	\$ 59,981	\$ 146,310	\$	\$ 206,291	\$ 206,291
Weighted average interest rates	3.1%	3.0%			
Included in long-term investments	\$	\$	\$ 257,294	\$ 257,294	\$ 257,294
Weighted average interest rates			2.8%		
September 30, 2008					
Included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,570	\$	\$	\$ 16,570	\$ 16,570
Weighted average interest rate	3.3%				
Included in short-term investments	\$ 28,019	\$ 83,864	\$	\$ 111,883	\$ 111,883
Weighted average interest rates	5.6%	4.4%			
Included in long-term investments	\$	\$	\$ 261,086	\$ 261,086	\$ 261,086
Weighted average interest rates			4.6%		

At September 30, 2010, the fair value of our AAA/A- (or equivalent) rated municipal ARS was approximately \$16.0 million. ARS are collateralized long-term debt instruments that provide liquidity through a Dutch auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, typically every 7, 28 or 35 days. Beginning in February 2008, auctions failed for approximately \$53.4 million in par value of municipal ARS we held because sell orders exceeded buy orders. When these auctions failed to clear, higher interest rates for those securities went into effect. However, the funds associated with these failed auctions will not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, or the security matures. The underlying assets of the municipal ARS we hold, including the securities for which auctions have failed, are generally student loans which are guaranteed by the U.S. government. Based on our expected operating cash flows and our other sources of cash, we do not believe that any reduction in liquidity of our municipal ARS will have a material impact on our overall ability to meet our

Table of Contents

liquidity needs. We have no intent to sell, won't be required to sell, and believe we will hold these securities until recovery. We believe certain of these available-for-sale investments may remain illiquid for longer than twelve months and as a result, we have classified \$19.0 million (par value) of securities as long-term as of September 30, 2010.

Foreign Currency Risk. The majority of our sales and expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars and as a result, we have not experienced significant foreign currency transaction gains and losses to date. While we have conducted some transactions in foreign currencies during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 and expect to continue to do so, we do not anticipate that foreign currency transaction gains or losses will be significant at our current level of operations. However, as we continue to expand our operations internationally, transaction gains or losses may become significant in the future. We have not engaged in foreign currency hedging to date. However, we may do so in the future.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	45
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	46
<u>Consolidated Income Statements</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	49
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	50

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
of F5 Networks, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of F5 Networks, Inc. and its subsidiaries at September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Seattle, WA
November 23, 2010

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30,	
	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 168,754	\$ 110,837
Short-term investments	259,742	206,291
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$4,319 and \$3,651	112,132	106,973
Inventories	18,815	13,819
Deferred tax assets	8,767	8,010
Other current assets	37,745	22,252
Total current assets	605,955	468,182
Restricted cash	195	2,729
Property and equipment, net	34,157	39,371
Long-term investments	433,570	257,294
Deferred tax assets	37,864	49,018
Goodwill	234,700	231,883
Other assets, net	15,751	20,168
Total assets	\$ 1,362,192	\$ 1,068,645
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 21,180	\$ 18,891
Accrued liabilities	61,768	53,232
Deferred revenue	204,137	150,891
Total current liabilities	287,085	223,014
Other long-term liabilities	16,153	14,373
Deferred revenue, long-term	55,256	32,238
Total long-term liabilities	71,409	46,611
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding		
Common stock, no par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 80,355 and 78,325 shares issued and outstanding	517,215	462,786
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,241)	(2,337)

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Retained earnings	489,724	338,571
Total shareholders' equity	1,003,698	799,020
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,362,192	\$ 1,068,645

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Net revenues			
Products	\$ 561,142	\$ 406,529	\$ 452,929
Services	320,830	246,550	197,244
Total	881,972	653,079	650,173
Cost of net revenues			
Products	113,834	95,209	102,400
Services	58,118	47,517	46,618
Total	171,952	142,726	149,018
Gross profit	710,020	510,353	501,155
Operating expenses			
Sales and marketing	293,201	225,193	237,175
Research and development	118,314	103,664	103,394
General and administrative	68,503	55,243	56,001
Loss on facility exit and sublease			5,271
Restructuring charges		4,329	
Total	480,018	388,429	401,841
Income from operations	230,002	121,924	99,314
Other income, net	7,625	9,724	18,950
Income before income taxes	237,627	131,648	118,264
Provision for income taxes	86,474	40,113	43,933
Net income	\$ 151,153	\$ 91,535	\$ 74,331
Net income per share basic	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.90
Weighted average shares basic	79,609	78,842	82,290
Net income per share diluted	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.14	\$ 0.89
Weighted average shares diluted	81,049	80,073	83,428

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) (In thousands)	Retained Earnings	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, September 30, 2007	84,379	\$ 598,436	\$ (564)	\$ 172,705	\$ 770,577
Exercise of employee stock options	664	7,794			7,794
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan	473	10,708			10,708
Issuance of restricted stock	1,284				
Repurchase of common stock	(7,706)	(200,000)			(200,000)
Tax loss from employee stock transactions		(221)			(221)
Stock-based compensation		60,582			60,582
Net income				74,331	
Foreign currency translation adjustment			(1,614)		
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax			(3,898)		
Comprehensive income					68,819
Balance, September 30, 2008	79,094	\$ 477,299	\$ (6,076)	\$ 247,036	\$ 718,259
Exercise of employee stock options	498	7,243			7,243
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan	561	11,574			11,574
Issuance of restricted stock	1,516				
Repurchase of common stock	(3,344)	(87,436)			(87,436)
Tax loss from employee stock transactions		(1,958)			(1,958)
Stock-based compensation		56,064			56,064
Net income				91,535	
Foreign currency translation adjustment			387		
Unrealized gain on securities, net of tax			3,352		
Comprehensive income					95,274
Balance, September 30, 2009	78,325	\$ 462,786	\$ (2,337)	\$ 338,571	\$ 799,020
Exercise of employee stock options	911	17,618			17,618
Issuance of stock under employee stock purchase plan	458	13,936			13,936
Issuance of restricted stock	1,849				

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Repurchase of common stock	(1,188)	(75,000)				(75,000)
Tax benefit from employee stock transactions		27,102				27,102
Stock-based compensation		70,773				70,773
Net income				151,153		
Foreign currency translation adjustment			(771)			
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax			(133)			
Comprehensive income						150,249
Balance, September 30, 2010	80,355	\$ 517,215	\$ (3,241)	\$ 489,724	\$ 1,003,698	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 151,153	\$ 91,535	\$ 74,331
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Realized (gain) loss on disposition of assets and investments	(125)	(9)	58
Stock-based compensation	70,773	56,064	60,582
Provisions for doubtful accounts and sales returns	1,206	2,638	2,749
Depreciation and amortization	23,833	26,407	23,623
Deferred income taxes	8,243	(6,057)	(5,606)
Gain on auction rate securities put option	(1,491)	(3,901)	
Loss on trading auction rate securities	1,491	3,901	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of amounts acquired:			
Accounts receivable	(6,365)	(12,555)	(7,940)
Inventories	(4,996)	(3,671)	523
Other current assets	(17,064)	(523)	428
Other assets	(1,466)	(226)	(3,544)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	12,157	10,248	4,006
Deferred revenue	76,263	38,130	44,482
Net cash provided by operating activities	313,612	201,981	193,692
Investing activities			
Purchases of investments	(877,003)	(414,857)	(494,082)
Sales and maturities of investments	648,875	328,110	535,494
Receipt of restricted cash	2,530	13	1,216
Acquisition of intangible assets		(706)	
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired			(995)
Purchases of property and equipment	(12,625)	(11,669)	(27,923)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(238,223)	(99,109)	13,710
Financing activities			
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	26,532	(1,958)	(221)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and purchases of stock under employee stock purchase plan	31,670	18,688	18,502
Repurchase of common stock	(75,000)	(87,436)	(200,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	(16,798)	(70,706)	(181,719)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	58,591	32,166	25,683

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(674)	368	(1,676)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	110,837	78,303	54,296
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 168,754	\$ 110,837	\$ 78,303
Supplemental Information			
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 67,120	\$ 48,586	\$ 48,804

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

F5 Networks, Inc. (the Company) provides products and services to help companies manage their Internet Protocol (IP) traffic and file storage infrastructure efficiently and securely. The Company's application delivery networking products improve the performance, availability and security of applications on Internet-based networks. Internet traffic between network-based applications and clients passes through these devices where the content is inspected to ensure that it is safe and modified as necessary to ensure that it is delivered securely and in a way that optimizes the performance of both the network and the applications. The Company's storage virtualization products simplify and reduce the cost of managing files and file storage devices, and ensure fast, secure, easy access to files for users and applications. The Company also offers a broad range of services that include consulting, training, maintenance and other technical support services.

Accounting Principles

The Company's consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP).

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used in accounting for revenue recognition, reserves for doubtful accounts, product returns, obsolete and excess inventory, valuation allowances on deferred tax assets and purchase price allocations. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company invests its cash and cash equivalents in deposits with four major financial institutions, which, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on its cash and cash equivalents.

Investments

The Company classifies the majority of its investment securities as available-for-sale. Investment securities, consisting of corporate and municipal bonds and notes and United States government securities, are reported at fair value with the related unrealized gains and losses included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in

shareholders' equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value of securities judged to be other than temporary are included in other income (expense). The cost of investments for purposes of computing realized and unrealized gains and losses is based on the specific identification method. Investments in securities with maturities of less than one year or where management's intent is to use the investments to fund current operations are classified as short-term investments. Investments with maturities of greater than one year, as well as certain auction rate securities (ARS) that the Company believes it will not be able to liquidate in the next twelve months, are classified as long-term investments.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company has ARS that are classified as available-for-sale securities and are reported as long-term. The Company has no intent to sell, won't be required to sell, and believes it will hold these securities until recovery. The Company uses the income approach to estimate the fair value of ARS. The assumptions the Company used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include estimates for interest rates; estimates for discount rates using yields of comparable traded instruments adjusted for illiquidity and other risk factors, amount of anticipated future cash flows and expected holding periods for the ARS.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company extends credit to customers and is therefore subject to credit risk. The Company performs initial and ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and does not require collateral. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to account for potential bad debts. Estimates are used in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts and are based upon an assessment of selected accounts and as a percentage of remaining accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these percentages, the Company evaluates historical write-offs, and current trends in customer credit quality, as well as changes in credit policies. At September 30, 2010, Avnet Technology Solutions, Ingram Micro, Inc. and Tech Data accounted for 13.2%, 13.2% and 11.8% of the Company's accounts receivable, respectively. At September 30, 2009, Avnet Technology Solutions and Ingram Micro, Inc. accounted for 11.6% and 10.7% of the Company's accounts receivable, respectively.

The Company maintains its cash and investment balances with high credit quality financial institutions. Included within the Company's investment portfolio are investments in ARS. The Company's ARS investments are currently not liquid as a result of continued auction failures. If the issuers are not able to meet their payment obligations or if the Company sells its ARS investments before they recover, the Company may lose some or all of its principal invested or may be required to further reduce the carrying value.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Short-term and long-term investments are recorded at fair value as the underlying securities are classified as available-for-sale with any unrealized gain or loss being recorded to other comprehensive income. The fair value for securities held is determined using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency with the exception of ARS, which fair market value is estimated using a discounted cash flow model.

Inventories

The Company outsources the manufacturing of its pre-configured hardware platforms to contract manufacturers, who assemble each product to the Company's specifications. As protection against component shortages and to provide replacement parts for its service teams, the Company also stocks limited supplies of certain key product components. The Company reduces inventory to net realizable value based on excess and obsolete inventories determined primarily by historical usage and forecasted demand. Inventories consist of hardware and related component parts and are recorded at the lower of cost or market (as determined by the first-in, first-out method).

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Finished goods	\$ 14,949	\$ 8,326
Raw materials	3,866	5,493
	\$ 18,815	\$ 13,819

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Restricted Cash***

For fiscal year 2009, restricted cash primarily represented escrow accounts established in connection with lease agreements for the Company's corporate headquarters and, to a lesser extent, the Company's international facilities. Under the terms of the lease for the Company's corporate headquarters, the amount required to be held in escrow was reduced and eventually eliminated at various dates throughout the duration of the lease term. As of September 30, 2010, the Company was no longer subject to escrow requirements in connection with its corporate headquarters.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment are provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from two to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs is charged to expense as incurred and expenditures for major improvements are capitalized at cost. Gains or losses on the disposition of assets are reflected in the income statements at the time of disposal.

Property and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Computer equipment	\$ 59,557	\$ 54,974
Office furniture and equipment	9,793	9,467
Leasehold improvements	36,462	35,092
	105,812	99,533
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(71,655)	(60,162)
	\$ 34,157	\$ 39,371

Depreciation and amortization expense totaled approximately \$17.8 million, \$18.4 million, and \$16.3 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired as of the acquisition date. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests when impairment indicators are identified, and goodwill is written down when impaired. Goodwill was recorded in connection with the acquisition of Acopia Networks, Inc. in fiscal year 2007, Swan Labs, Inc. in fiscal year 2006, MagniFire Websystems, Inc. in fiscal year 2004 and uRoam, Inc. in fiscal year 2003.

The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment test during the second fiscal quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. The first step of the test identifies whether potential impairment may have occurred, while the second step of the test measures the amount of the impairment, if any. Impairment is recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its fair value. For its annual goodwill impairment analysis, the Company operates under one reporting unit. The Company determined the fair value of its reporting unit based on the Company's enterprise value. In March 2010, the Company completed its annual impairment test and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill. The Company also considered potential impairment indicators at September 30, 2010 and noted no indicators of impairment.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Other Assets

Other assets primarily consist of software development costs, acquired and developed technology and customer relationships.

Software development costs are charged to research and development expense in the period incurred until technological feasibility is established. Thereafter, until the product is released for sale, software development costs are capitalized and reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value of each product. Capitalized software development costs are amortized over the remaining estimated economic life of the product. The establishment of technological feasibility and the ongoing assessment of recoverability of costs require considerable judgment by the Company with respect to certain internal and external factors, including, but not limited to, anticipated future gross product revenues, estimated economic life and changes in hardware and software technology. The Company did not capitalize any software development costs in fiscal years 2010 and 2009. During fiscal year 2008, the Company capitalized \$1.7 million of software development costs. Amortization expense related to capitalized software development was \$421,000, \$421,000, and \$202,000 for fiscal years 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively and has been recorded as additional cost of product revenues.

Acquired and developed technology and customer relationship assets are recorded at cost and amortized over their estimated useful lives of five years. The estimated useful life of these assets is assessed and evaluated for reasonableness periodically. Acquired technology of \$15.0 million in fiscal 2007 and \$8.0 million in fiscal 2006 was recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Acopia and Swan Labs, respectively. Amortization expense related to acquired technology, which is charged to cost of product revenues, totaled \$4.6 million, \$5.3 million and \$6.1 million during the fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Amortization expense of all other intangible assets, including customer relationships, patents and trademarks was approximately \$1.0 million during each of the fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. When such events occur, management determines whether there has been impairment by comparing the anticipated undiscounted net future cash flows to the related asset's carrying value. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. No impairment of long-lived assets was noted as of and for the year ended September 30, 2010.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's products are integrated with software that is essential to the functionality of the equipment. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the accounting guidance for software products.

The Company sells products through distributors, resellers, and directly to end users. The Company recognizes product revenue upon shipment, net of estimated returns, provided that collection is determined to be reasonably assured and no significant performance obligations remain. In certain regions where the Company does not have the ability to reasonably estimate returns, the Company defers revenue on sales to its distributors until they have received information from the channel partner indicating that the distributor has sold the product to its customer. Payment

terms to domestic customers are generally net 30 days to net 45 days. Payment terms to international customers range from net 30 days to net 120 days based on normal and customary trade practices in the individual markets. The Company offers extended payment terms to certain customers, in which case, revenue is recognized when payments are due.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Whenever product, training services and post-contract customer support (PCS) elements are sold together, a portion of the sales price is allocated to each element based on their respective fair values as determined when the individual elements are sold separately. Where fair value of certain elements is not available, the Company recognizes revenue on the residual method based on the fair value of undelivered elements. Revenues from the sale of product are recognized when the product has been shipped and the customer is obligated to pay for the product. When rights of return are present and the Company cannot estimate returns, it recognizes revenue when such rights of return lapse. Revenues for PCS are recognized on a straight-line basis over the service contract term. PCS includes a limited period of telephone support updates, repair or replacement of any failed product or component that fails during the term of the agreement, bug fixes and rights to upgrades, when and if available. Consulting services are customarily billed at fixed hourly rates, plus out-of-pocket expenses, and revenues are recognized when the consulting has been completed. Training revenue is recognized when the training has been completed.

FASB ASC Topic 985-605-25, *Software, Revenue Recognition, Multiple Elements*, (ASC 985-605-25), as amended, requires revenue earned on software arrangements involving multiple elements to be allocated to each element based on the relative fair values of those elements. The fair value of an element must be based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE). The Company establishes VSOE for its products, training services, PCS and consulting services based on the sales price charged for each element when sold separately. The sales price is discounted from the applicable list price based on various factors including the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region and program level. The Company's list prices are generally not fair value as discounts may be given based on the factors enumerated above. The Company believes that the fair value of its consulting services is represented by the billable consulting rate per hour, based on the rates they charge customers when they purchase standalone consulting services. The price of consulting services is not based on the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region or program level.

The Company uses historical sales transactions to determine whether VSOE can be established for each of the elements. In most instances, VSOE of fair value is the sales price of actual standalone (unbundled) transactions within the past 12 month period that are priced within a reasonable range, which the Company has determined to be plus or minus 15% of the median sales price of each respective price list.

VSOE of PCS is based on standalone sales since the Company does not provide stated renewal rates to its customers. In accordance with the Company's PCS pricing practice (supported by standalone renewal sales), renewal contracts are priced as a percentage of the undiscounted product list price. The PCS renewal percentages may vary, depending on the type and length of PCS purchased. The Company offers standard and premium PCS, and the term generally ranges from one to three years. The Company employs a bell-shaped-curve approach in evaluating VSOE of fair value of PCS. Under this approach, the Company considers VSOE of the fair value of PCS to exist when a substantial majority of its standalone PCS sales fall within a narrow range of pricing.

The Company has established and regularly validates the VSOE of fair value for elements in its multiple element arrangements. The Company accounts for taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities on a net basis and excluded from revenues.

Shipping and Handling

Shipping and handling fees charged to the Company's customers are recognized as product revenue in the period shipped and the related costs for providing these services are recorded as a cost of sale.

Guarantees and Product Warranties

In the normal course of business to facilitate sales of its products, the Company indemnifies other parties, including customers, resellers, lessors, and parties to other transactions with the Company, with respect to

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its officers and directors, and the Company's bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to the Company's agents. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement.

The Company offers warranties of one year for hardware for those customers without service contracts, with the option of purchasing additional warranty coverage in yearly increments. The Company accrues for warranty costs as part of its cost of sales based on associated material product costs and technical support labor costs. Accrued warranty costs as of September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were not considered material.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist of salaries and related benefits of product development personnel, prototype materials and expenses related to the development of new and improved products, and an allocation of facilities and depreciation expense. Research and development expenses are reflected in the statements of income as incurred.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. The Company incurred \$2.1 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million in advertising costs during the fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The realization of deferred tax assets is based on historical tax positions and estimates of future taxable income. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company began assessing whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits to be recognized in the financial statements from such a position is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The new guidance also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures.

Foreign Currency

The functional currency for the Company's foreign subsidiaries is the local currency in which the respective entity is located, with the exception of F5 Networks, Ltd., in the United Kingdom that uses the U.S. dollar as its functional currency. An entity's functional currency is determined by the currency of the economic environment in which the majority of cash is generated and expended by the entity. The financial statements of all majority-owned subsidiaries and related entities, with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, have been translated into U.S. dollars. All assets and liabilities of the respective entities are

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

translated at year-end exchange rates and all revenues and expenses are translated at average rates during the respective period. Translation adjustments are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are a result of the effect of exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency, including U.S. dollars. Gains and losses on those foreign currency transactions are included in determining net income or loss for the period of exchange. The net effect of foreign currency gains and losses was not significant during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Segments

Management has determined that the Company was organized as, and operated in, one reportable operating segment for fiscal year 2010 and prior years: the development, marketing and sale of application delivery networking products that optimize the security, performance and availability of network applications, servers and storage systems.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation using the straight-line attribution method for recognizing compensation expense. The Company recognized \$70.8 million, \$56.1 million and \$60.6 million of stock-based compensation expense for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, there was \$98.4 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, the majority of which will be recognized over the next two years. Going forward, stock-based compensation expenses may increase as the Company issues additional equity-based awards to continue to attract and retain key employees.

The Company issues incentive awards to its employees through stock-based compensation consisting of restricted stock units (RSUs). On August 2, 2010, the Company awarded approximately 910,000 RSUs to employees and executive officers pursuant to the Company's annual equity and retention awards programs. The value of RSUs is determined using the fair value method, which in this case, is based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. No stock options were granted in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008. In determining the fair value of shares issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model that employs the following key assumptions.

	Employee Stock Purchase Plan Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	0.25%	0.31%	1.73%
Expected dividend			
Expected term	0.5 years	0.5 years	0.5 years
Expected volatility	41.04%	47.00%	65.91%

The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The Company does not anticipate declaring dividends in the foreseeable future. Expected volatility is based on the annualized daily historical

volatility of the Company's stock price commensurate with the expected life of the ESPP option. Expected term of the ESPP option is based on an offering period of six months. The assumptions above are based on management's best estimates at that time, which impact the fair value of the ESPP option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology and, ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the ESPP option.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of restricted stock units that are expected to vest. Therefore, the Company applies estimated forfeiture rates that are derived from historical employee termination behavior. Based on historical differences with forfeitures of stock-based awards granted to the Company's executive officers and Board of Directors versus grants awarded to all other employees, the Company has developed separate forfeiture expectations for these two groups. The estimated forfeiture rate for grants awarded to the Company's executive officers and Board of Directors was approximately 3% and the estimated forfeiture rate for grants awarded to all other employees was approximately 10% in fiscal 2010. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods.

In August 2010, the Company granted 181,334 and 83,000 RSUs to certain current executive officers as part of the annual equity and retention awards programs, respectively. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs granted as part of the annual equity awards program vest in equal quarterly increments over three years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on August 1, 2013.

One-sixth of the annual equity awards RSU grant, or a portion thereof, is subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2011. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold. The remaining 33.33% of this annual equity awards RSU grant shall be subject to performance based vesting for each of the four quarter periods beginning with the third quarters of fiscal years 2011 and 2012 (16.66% in each period). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will set applicable performance targets and vesting formulas for each of these periods. All RSUs granted as part of the retention awards program fully vest on August 1, 2013.

In August 2009, the Company granted 420,000 RSUs to certain current executive officers. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs granted at such time vest in equal quarterly increments over two years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on August 1, 2011. Twenty-five percent of the RSU grant, or a portion thereof, was subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010 and the remaining twenty-five percent is subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2011. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold.

In August 2008, the Company granted 383,400 RSUs to certain current executive officers. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs granted at such time vest in equal quarterly increments over two years, until such portion of the grant was fully vested on August 1, 2010. Twenty-five percent of the RSU grant, or a portion thereof, was subject to the Company achieving specified percentage increases in total revenue during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, relative to the same periods in fiscal years 2007 and 2008. Approximately half of this twenty-five percent was earned in fiscal year 2009. The remaining

twenty-five percent was subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

through the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, as set by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. This twenty-five percent was fully earned in fiscal year 2010.

The Company recognizes compensation costs for awards with performance conditions when it concludes it is probable that the performance condition will be achieved. The Company reassesses the probability of vesting at each balance sheet date and adjusts compensation costs based on the probability assessment.

Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Numerator			
Net income	\$ 151,153	\$ 91,535	\$ 74,331
Denominator			
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic	79,609	78,842	82,290
Dilutive effect of common shares from stock options and restricted stock units	1,440	1,231	1,138
Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted	81,049	80,073	83,428
Basic net income per share	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.90
Diluted net income per share	\$ 1.86	\$ 1.14	\$ 0.89

An immaterial amount of common shares potentially issuable from stock options for the year ended September 30, 2010, are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price was greater than the average market price of common stock for the respective period. Approximately 0.4 million and 0.6 million of common shares potentially issuable from stock options for the years ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price was greater than the average market price of common stock for the respective period.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC 810-10, *Consolidation – Overall* (ASC 810-10), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a

subsidiary. The Company adopted ASC 810-10 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. The adoption of this statement did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, (amendments to FASB ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*) (ASU 2009-13) and ASU 2009-14, *Certain Arrangements That Include Software Elements*, (amendments to FASB ASC Topic 985, *Software*) (ASU 2009-14). ASU 2009-13 requires entities to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices of the delivered goods and services based on a selling price hierarchy. The amendments eliminate the residual

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

method of revenue allocation and require revenue to be allocated using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-14 removes tangible products from the scope of software revenue guidance and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are covered by the scope of the software revenue guidance. ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 should be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. The adoption of these statements did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 increases disclosures to include transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and clarifies inputs, valuation techniques and level of disaggregation to be disclosed. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010. The adoption of this statement did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements and disclosure under GAAP, the Company determines fair value using a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity, and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and expands disclosure about fair value measurements.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, essentially the exit price.

The levels of fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data available. These inputs reflect management's assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Level 1 investments are valued based on quoted market prices in active markets and include the Company's cash equivalent investments. Level 2 investments, which include investments that are valued based on quoted prices in markets that are not active, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency, include the Company's certificates of deposit, corporate bonds and notes, municipal bonds and notes and U.S. government securities. Fair values for the Company's level 2 investments are based on similar assets without applying significant judgments. In addition, all of the Company's level 2 investments have a sufficient level of trading volume to demonstrate that the fair values used are appropriate for these investments.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. However, the determination of what constitutes observable requires significant judgment by the Company. The Company considers observable data to be market data which is readily available, regularly distributed or updated, reliable and verifiable, not proprietary, and provided by independent sources that are actively involved in the relevant market.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements at September 30, 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using					Fair Value at September 30, 2010
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Securities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
Cash equivalents	\$ 26,987	\$	\$		\$ 26,987
Short-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities corporate bonds and notes		120,124			120,124
Available-for-sale securities municipal bonds and notes		77,063			77,063
Available-for-sale securities U.S. government securities		62,555			62,555
Long-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities corporate bonds and notes		174,053			174,053
Available-for-sale securities municipal bonds and notes		22,094			22,094
Available-for-sale securities U.S. government securities		221,380			221,380
Available-for-sale securities auction rate securities			16,043		16,043
Total	\$ 26,987	\$ 677,269	\$ 16,043	\$	\$ 720,299

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements at September 30, 2009, were as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			Fair Value at
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Securities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	September 30, 2009
Cash equivalents	\$ 19,789	\$	\$	\$ 19,789
Short-term investments				
Available-for-sale securities certificates of deposit		3,122		3,122
Available-for-sale securities corporate bonds and notes		34,524		34,524
Available-for-sale securities municipal bonds and notes		107,345		107,345
Available-for-sale securities U.S. government securities		36,741		36,741
Trading securities auction rate securities			24,559	24,559
Long-term investments				
Available-for-sale securities corporate bonds and notes		48,678		48,678
Available-for-sale securities municipal bonds and notes		72,979		72,979
Available-for-sale securities U.S. government securities		120,092		120,092
Available-for-sale securities auction rate securities			15,545	15,545
Put option			1,491	1,491
Total	\$ 19,789	\$ 423,481	\$ 41,595	\$ 484,865

Due to the auction failures of the Company's auction rate securities (ARS) that began in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, there are still no quoted prices in active markets for similar assets as of September 30, 2010. Therefore, the Company has classified its ARS as level 3 financial assets. The following table provides a reconciliation between the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the tables above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 41,595	\$ 47,522
Total losses realized or unrealized:		
Included in earnings (other income, net)	1,491	1,091
Included in other comprehensive income	498	(1,309)
Recognition of put option to earnings	(1,491)	1,491
Settlements	(26,050)	(7,200)
Transfers into and/or out of level 3		
Balance, end of period	\$ 16,043	\$ 41,595
Gains (losses) attributable to assets still held as of the end of the period	498	(1,309)

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Financial assets are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable or there is limited market activity such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. Level 3 investment securities primarily include certain ARS for which there was a decrease in the observation of market pricing. At September 30, 2010, the values of these securities were estimated primarily using discounted cash flow analysis that incorporated transaction details such as contractual terms, maturity, timing and amount of future cash flows, as well as assumptions about liquidity and credit valuation adjustments of marketplace participants at September 30, 2010.

The Company adopted the fair value hierarchy for financial assets and liabilities on October 1, 2008, the first day of fiscal year 2009. On October 1, 2009, the first day of fiscal year 2010, the Company applied the fair value hierarchy to all non-financial assets and liabilities. The adoption did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements. The Company's non-financial assets and liabilities, which include goodwill, intangible assets, and long-lived assets, are not required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis. These non-financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis when there is an indicator of impairment, and they are recorded at fair value only when impairment is recognized. The Company reviews goodwill and intangible assets for impairment annually, during the second quarter of each fiscal year, or as circumstances indicate the possibility of impairment. The Company monitors the carrying value of long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying amount may not be recoverable. During the year ended September 30, 2010, the Company did not recognize any impairment charges related to goodwill, intangible assets, or long-lived assets.

3. Short-Term and Long-Term Investments

Short-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2010				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 119,829	\$ 318	\$ (23)	\$ 120,124
Municipal bonds and notes	76,886	182	(5)	77,063
U.S. government securities	62,390	165		62,555
	\$ 259,105	\$ 665	\$ (28)	\$ 259,742

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2009				

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Certificates of deposit	\$ 3,120	\$ 2	\$	\$ 3,122
Corporate bonds and notes	34,325	201	(2)	34,524
Municipal bonds and notes	106,491	854		107,345
Auction rate securities	24,559			24,559
U.S. government securities	36,646	96	(1)	36,741
	\$ 205,141	\$ 1,153	\$ (3)	\$ 206,291

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Long-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2010				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 172,493	\$ 1,582	\$ (22)	\$ 174,053
Municipal bonds and notes	22,045	67	(18)	22,094
Auction rate securities	19,000		(2,957)	16,043
U.S. government securities	221,262	200	(82)	221,380
	\$ 434,800	\$ 1,849	\$ (3,079)	\$ 433,570

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2009				
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 48,194	\$ 508	\$ (24)	\$ 48,678
Municipal bonds and notes	72,202	777		72,979
Auction rate securities	19,000		(3,455)	15,545
U.S. government securities	119,447	649	(4)	120,092
	\$ 258,843	\$ 1,934	\$ (3,483)	\$ 257,294

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities at September 30, 2010, by contractual years-to-maturity, are presented below (in thousands):

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 259,105	\$ 259,742
Over one year	434,800	433,570
	\$ 693,905	\$ 693,312

The cost or amortized cost values of the Company's ARS include \$19.0 million of available-for-sale securities as of September 30, 2010 and \$19.0 million of available-for-sale securities and \$24.6 million of trading investment securities as of September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
September 30, 2010						
Corporate bonds and notes	\$ 51,981	\$ (45)	\$	\$	\$ 51,981	\$ (45)
Municipal bonds and notes	9,691	(23)			9,691	(23)
Auction rate securities			16,043	(2,957)	16,043	(2,957)
U.S. government securities	96,927	(82)			96,927	(82)
Total	\$ 158,599	\$ (150)	\$ 16,043	\$ (2,957)	\$ 174,642	\$ (3,107)

The Company invests in securities that are rated investment grade or better. The unrealized losses on investments for fiscal year 2010 were primarily caused by reductions in the values of the ARS due to the illiquid markets and were partially offset by unrealized gains related to interest rate decreases.

ARS are variable-rate debt securities. The Company limits its investments in ARS to securities that carry an AAA/A- (or equivalent) rating from recognized rating agencies and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. At the time of the Company's initial investment and at the date of this report, all ARS were in compliance with the Company's investment policy. In the past, the auction process allowed investors to obtain immediate liquidity if so desired by selling the securities at their face amounts. Liquidity for these securities has historically been provided by an auction process that resets interest rates on these investments on average every 7-35 days. However, as has been reported in the financial press, the disruptions in the credit markets adversely affected the auction market for these types of securities.

Beginning in February 2008, auctions failed for approximately \$53.4 million in par value of municipal ARS the Company held because sell orders exceeded buy orders. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside the auction process or the security otherwise matures.

In October 2008, the Company entered into an agreement (the Agreement) with UBS whereby UBS would purchase eligible ARS it sold to the Company prior to February 13, 2008. Under the terms of the Agreement, and at the Company's discretion, UBS will purchase eligible ARS from the Company at par value (put option) during the period of June 30, 2010 through July 2, 2012. As of September 30, 2010, UBS has purchased all of the eligible ARS the Company held for par value of \$34.4 million.

4. Business Combinations

The Company's previous acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The total purchase price was allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over those fair values was recorded as goodwill. The fair value assigned to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed was based on estimates and assumptions provided by management, and other information compiled by management, including independent valuations, prepared by valuation specialists that utilized established valuation techniques appropriate for the technology industry. Goodwill was not amortized but instead is tested for impairment at least annually.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****5. Balance Sheet Details***Other Assets*

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Acquired and developed technology and software development cost	\$ 6,374	\$ 11,393
Deposits and other	9,377	8,775
	\$ 15,751	\$ 20,168

Amortization expense related to other assets was approximately \$6.0 million, \$6.7 million, and \$7.3 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	2010			2009		
	Gross		Net	Gross		Net
	Carrying	Accumulated	Carrying	Carrying	Accumulated	Carrying
	Amount	Amortization	Amount	Amount	Amortization	Amount
Acquired and developed technology and software development cost	\$ 33,474	\$ (27,100)	\$ 6,374	\$ 33,474	\$ (22,081)	\$ 11,393
Customer relationships	2,699	(1,894)	805	2,699	(1,354)	1,345
Patents and trademarks	2,964	(1,832)	1,132	2,964	(1,459)	1,505
Trade names	200	(123)	77	200	(83)	117
Non-compete covenants	200	(200)		200	(139)	61
	\$ 39,537	\$ (31,149)	\$ 8,388	\$ 39,537	\$ (25,116)	\$ 14,421

Estimated amortization expense for intangible assets for the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 4,188
2012	\$ 3,613

2013	\$ 118
2014	\$ 51
2015	\$ 51
	\$ 8,021

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)***Accrued Liabilities*

Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Payroll and benefits	\$ 40,904	\$ 33,302
Sales and marketing	2,522	1,768
Restructuring		478
Income tax accruals	2,458	8,230
Other	15,884	9,454
	\$ 61,768	\$ 53,232

Other Long Term Liabilities

Other long term liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Income tax accrual	\$ 7,029	\$ 6,050
Deferred rent and other	9,124	8,323
	\$ 16,153	\$ 14,373

6. Income Taxes

The United States and international components of income before income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States	\$ 225,698	\$ 128,537	\$ 109,344
International	11,929	3,111	8,920
	\$ 237,627	\$ 131,648	\$ 118,264

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The provision for income taxes (benefit) consists of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Current			
U.S. federal	\$ 79,802	\$ 41,948	\$ 45,820
State	4,722	1,631	1,718
Foreign	3,230	1,790	2,489
Total	87,754	45,369	50,027
Deferred			
U.S. federal	(2,049)	(3,317)	(5,783)
State	(1,091)	25	(331)
Foreign	1,860	(1,964)	20
Total	(1,280)	(5,256)	(6,094)
	\$ 86,474	\$ 40,113	\$ 43,933

The effective tax rate differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income tax provision at statutory rate	\$ 83,170	\$ 46,075	\$ 41,393
State taxes, net of federal benefit	2,871	2,121	2,187
Impact of foreign income taxes	915	(1,262)	(696)
Research and development and other credits	(2,124)	(5,954)	(1,709)
Domestic manufacturing deduction	(3,766)	(3,346)	(2,326)
Impact of stock compensation	2,825	2,411	4,491
Other	2,583	68	593
	\$ 86,474	\$ 40,113	\$ 43,933

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tax effects of the temporary differences that give rise to the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carry-forwards	\$ 5,672	\$ 27,853
Allowance for doubtful accounts	1,644	1,581
Accrued compensation and benefits	4,003	3,399
Inventories and related reserves	2,063	1,871
Other accruals and reserves	30,336	22,469
Depreciation	5,239	3,169
Tax credit carry-forwards	4,065	4,296
	53,022	64,638
Deferred tax liabilities		
Purchased intangibles and other	(6,391)	(7,610)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 46,631	\$ 57,028

At September 30, 2010, the Company had U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$16.1 million. All U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards relate to entities acquired by the Company and are limited in use by I.R.C. Sec. 382. At September 30, 2010, the Company had federal research and development credit carry-forwards of approximately \$2.4 million which, if not utilized, will begin to expire in 2022. The aforementioned credit carry-forwards relate to entities acquired by the Company and are limited in use under I.R.C. Sec. 383. The Company also had state research and development and investment credit carry-forwards of approximately \$2.9 million, some of which if not utilized, may begin to expire in fiscal year 2024. The deferred tax asset related to net operating loss carry-forwards at September 30, 2010 decreased significantly compared to September 30, 2009 as a result of the Company's increased utilization of federal net operating losses for fiscal year 2010 and prior years.

United States income and foreign withholding taxes have not been provided on approximately \$13.4 million of undistributed earnings from the Company's international subsidiaries. The Company has not recognized a deferred tax liability for the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries because the Company currently does not expect to remit those earnings in the foreseeable future. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries is not practicable because such liability, if any, is dependent on circumstances existing if and when remittance occurs.

The increase in effective tax rate in fiscal year 2010 over fiscal year 2009 was primarily due to the expiration of the federal research and development credit at December 31, 2009 and a favorable adjustment related to equity awards in a major foreign tax jurisdiction which was reflected in the effective tax rate for fiscal year 2009.

The Company recognizes the financial statement impact of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest impact that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	2010	2009	2008
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 5,841	\$ 4,075	\$ 3,810
Gross increases related to prior period tax positions	442	642	
Gross increases related to current period tax positions	432	1,124	265
Reductions due to lapses of statute of limitations	(147)		
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,568	\$ 5,841	\$ 4,075

The Company recognizes interest and, if applicable, penalties (not included in the unrecognized tax benefits table above) for any uncertain tax positions. This interest and penalty expense will be a component of income tax expense. In the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 the Company accrued approximately \$390,000, \$193,000 and \$146,000, respectively, of interest expense related to its liability for unrecognized tax benefits. No penalties were recognized in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 or accrued for at September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

All unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. The Company does not anticipate that total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change within the next twelve months.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as the income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company has concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for fiscal years through September 30, 2006. Major jurisdictions where there are wholly owned subsidiaries of F5 Networks, Inc. which require income tax filings include the United Kingdom, Japan, Australia and Germany. Periods open for review by local taxing authorities are fiscal years 2008, 2009, 2006 and 2005 for the United Kingdom, Japan, Australia and Germany, respectively. Within the next four fiscal quarters, the statute of limitations will begin to close on the fiscal years ended 2006 and 2007 tax returns filed in various states and the fiscal year ended 2007 federal income tax return.

7. Shareholders' Equity***Common Stock******Equity Incentive Plans***

The majority of awards consist of restricted stock units and to a lesser degree, stock options. Employees vest in restricted stock units and stock options ratably over the corresponding service term, generally one to four years. The Company's stock options expire 10 years from the date of grant. Restricted stock units are payable in shares of the Company's common stock as the periodic vesting requirements are satisfied. The value of a restricted stock unit is based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The value of restricted stock units is determined using the intrinsic value method and is based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Alternatively, the Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of its stock options. Compensation expense related to restricted stock units

and stock options is recognized over the vesting period. The Company has adopted a number of stock-based compensation plans as discussed below.

1998 Equity Incentive Plan. In November 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 1998 Plan, which provided for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses for employees and other service providers. The 1998 Plan expired on November 11, 2008 and no shares remain available for awards under the 1998 Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, all outstanding and unvested options or stock awards under the 1998 Plan will vest at the rate of 50%, unless assumed or substituted by the acquiring entity. During the fiscal years 2010 and 2009,

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the Company issued no stock options, stock purchase awards or stock bonuses under this plan. As of September 30, 2010, there were options to purchase 182,065 shares outstanding under the 1998 Plan.

1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In May 1999, the board of directors approved the adoption of the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. A total of 6,000,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Employee Stock Purchase Plan permits eligible employees to acquire shares of the Company's common stock through periodic payroll deductions of up to 15% of base compensation. No employee may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of stock, determined at the fair market value of the shares at the time such option is granted, in one calendar year. The Employee Stock Purchase Plan has been implemented in a series of offering periods, each 6 months in duration. The price at which the common stock may be purchased is 85% of the lesser of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the first day of the applicable offering period or on the last day of the respective purchase period. As of September 30, 2010 there were 1,974,462 shares available for awards under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

2000 Equity Incentive Plan. In July 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Employee Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2000 Plan, which provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified stock options, stock purchase awards and stock bonuses for non-executive employees and other service providers. A total of 7,000,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, all outstanding and unvested options or stock awards under the 2000 Plan will vest at the rate of 50%, unless assumed or substituted by the acquiring entity. As of September 30, 2010, there were options to purchase 214,442 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the 2000 Plan. The Company terminated the 2000 Plan effective November 1, 2008 and no additional shares may be issued from the 2000 Plan.

Acquisition Incentive Plans. In connection with the Company's acquisition of Acopia, the Company assumed the Acopia 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, or the Acopia Plan. Unvested options to acquire Acopia's common stock were converted into options to acquire the Company's common stock in connection with the acquisition. A total of 2,230,703 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the Acopia Plan. The plan provides for discretionary grants of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock-based awards to persons who were employees, officers, directors, consultants or advisors to Acopia on or prior to September 12, 2007. During the fiscal year 2010, the Company issued no stock options or restricted stock units under the Acopia Plan. As of September 30, 2010, there were options to purchase 46,829 shares outstanding and no shares available for awards under the Acopia Plan. The Company terminated the Acopia Plan effective November 1, 2008 and no additional shares may be issued from the Acopia Plan.

2005 Equity Incentive Plan. In December 2004, the Company adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2005 Plan, which provides for discretionary grants of non-statutory stock options and stock units for employees, including officers, and other service providers. A total of 12,400,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan. Upon certain changes in control of the Company, all outstanding and unvested options or stock awards under the 2005 Plan will vest at the rate of 50%, unless assumed or substituted by the acquiring entity. During the fiscal year 2010, the Company issued no stock options and 994,439 restricted stock units under the 2005 Plan. As of September 30, 2010, there were options to purchase 30,000 shares outstanding and 4,289,200 shares available for new awards under the 2005 Plan.

A majority of the restricted stock units granted in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 vest quarterly over a two-year period. The restricted stock units were granted during fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 with a per-share weighted

average fair value of \$86.32, \$36.31 and \$30.47, respectively. The fair value of restricted stock vested during fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$116.4 million, \$41.0 million and \$36.5 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of restricted stock unit activity under the 2005 Plan is as follows:

	Outstanding Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance, September 30, 2009	2,806,259	\$ 35.51
Units granted	994,439	86.32
Units vested	(1,725,354)	33.55
Units cancelled	(90,019)	38.54
Balance, September 30, 2010	1,985,325	\$ 62.52

A summary of stock option activity under all of the Company's plans is as follows:

	Options Outstanding Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
Balance, September 30, 2009	1,418,991	\$ 17.99
Options exercised	(911,014)	19.34
Options cancelled	(24,937)	55.61
Balance, September 30, 2010	483,040	\$ 13.51

No stock options were granted in fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$36.6 million, \$8.4 million and \$10.2 million, respectively.

	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1)
Number of Shares			

(In thousands)

Stock options outstanding	483,040	3.30	\$	13.51	\$	43,616
Exercisable	470,690	3.21	\$	12.97	\$	42,755
Vested and expected to vest	482,832	3.30	\$	13.51	\$	43,602

(1) Aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the fair value of the Company's common stock underlying these options at September 30, 2010 and the related exercise prices.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of September 30, 2010, equity based awards (including stock options and restricted stock units) are available for future issuance as follows:

	Awards Available for Grant
Balance, September 30, 2009	5,193,620
Granted	(994,439)
Cancelled	138,144
Additional shares reserved (terminated), net	(48,125)
Balance, September 30, 2010	4,289,200

8. Commitments and Contingencies*Operating Leases*

The majority of the Company's operating lease payments relate to the Company's three building corporate headquarters in Seattle, Washington. The lease for all three buildings was amended and restated in April of 2010. This lease will now expire in 2022 with an option for renewal. One of the buildings has been partially subleased through 2012. The Company also leases additional office space for product development and sales and support personnel in the United States and internationally.

In October 2006, the Company entered into an agreement to lease a total of approximately 137,000 square feet of office space in a building known as 333 Elliott West, which is adjacent to the three buildings that serve as the Company's corporate headquarters. The lease expires in 2018. During 2008, the Company entered into two separate sublease agreements to sublease approximately 112,500 square feet of building 333 Elliott West. One sublease will expire in 2013. In March 2010, the Company amended the second sublease, which expanded the subleased space by approximately 11,700 square feet and extended the term of the sublease to 2018.

Future minimum operating lease payments, net of sublease income, are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Lease Payments	Sublease Income	Net Lease Payments
2011	16,661	7,161	9,500
2012	16,423	6,824	9,599
2013	15,439	3,274	12,165
2014	15,027	339	14,688
2015	14,409	85	14,324

Thereafter	73,780	73,780
	\$ 151,739	\$ 17,683 \$ 134,056

Rent expense under non-cancelable operating leases amounted to approximately \$17.5 million, \$15.6 million, and \$15.8 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Purchase Obligations

Purchase obligations are comprised of purchase commitments with the Company's contract manufacturers. The agreement with the Company's primary contract manufacturer allows them to procure component inventory on the Company's behalf based on the Company's production forecast. The Company is obligated to purchase component inventory that the contract manufacturer procures in accordance with the forecast, unless

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

cancellation is given within applicable lead times. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's purchase obligations were \$14.3 million.

Litigation

Derivative Suits. Beginning on or about May 24, 2006, several derivative actions were filed against certain of the Company's current and former directors and officers. These derivative lawsuits were filed in: (1) the Superior Court of King County, Washington, as *In re F5 Networks, Inc. State Court Derivative Litigation* (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), which consolidates *Adams v. Amdahl, et al.* (Case No. 06-2-17195-1 SEA), *Wright v. Amdahl, et al.* (Case No. 06-2-19159-5 SEA), and *Sommer v. McAdam, et al.* (Case No. 06-2-26248-4 SEA) (the "State Court Derivative Litigation"); and (2) in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, as *In re F5 Networks, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. C06-0794RSL, which consolidates *Hutton v. McAdam, et al.* (Case No. 06-794RSL), *Locals 302 and 612 of the International Union of Operating Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Trust v. McAdam et al.* (Case No. C06-1057RSL), and *Easton v. McAdam et al.* (Case No. C06-1145RSL) (the "Federal Court Derivative Litigation"). On August 2, 2007, another derivative lawsuit, *Barone v. McAdam et al.* (Case No. C07-1200P) was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. The Barone lawsuit was designated a related case to the Federal Court Derivative Litigation on September 4, 2007. The complaints generally allege that certain of the Company's current and former directors and officers, including, in general, each of the Company's current outside directors (other than Deborah L. Bevier, Scott Thompson, and John Chapple who joined the Board of Directors in July 2006, January 2008, and September 2010, respectively) breached their fiduciary duties to the Company by engaging in alleged wrongful conduct concerning the manipulation of certain stock option grant dates.

On September 24, 2010, the Company entered into a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation") in connection with the Federal Court Derivative Litigation. On October 21, 2010, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington issued an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement resolving the claims asserted by the plaintiffs against the individual defendants. A hearing to determine whether the Court should issue an order finally approving the proposed settlement has been scheduled for January 6, 2011. Effectiveness of the settlement of the Federal Court Derivative Litigation is conditioned on dismissal of the State Court Derivative Litigation. A copy of the Stipulation may be found under the "About F5-Investor Relations-Corporate Governance" section of the Company's website, www.f5.com.

SEC and Department of Justice Inquiries. The Company previously received notice from both the SEC and the Department of Justice that they were conducting informal inquiries into the Company's historical stock option practices, and has fully cooperated with both agencies. In January 2010, the Company received notice from the SEC that the investigation concerning the Company's historical stock option practices has been completed and that no enforcement action has been recommended. The Company currently believes that the Department of Justice will take no further action in connection with its inquiry into the Company's historical stock option practices.

The Company is not aware of any additional pending legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results, or financial condition. The Company may in the future be party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that we allegedly infringe upon third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

9. Restructuring Charges

In January 2009, the Company initiated a restructuring plan to reduce its operating expenses which included the consolidation of facilities, accelerated depreciation on tenant improvements and a reduction in workforce. These initiatives are intended to conserve or generate cash in response to the uncertainties

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

associated with the recent deterioration in the global economy. As a result of these initiatives, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$4.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. All accrued restructuring costs had been incurred as of September 30, 2010.

10. Facility Exit and Sublease Agreements

During fiscal year 2008, the Company exited a research and development facility in Bellevue, Washington for which it has remaining operating lease obligations through 2014. In addition, the Company consolidated its corporate headquarters, partially subleasing the building located at 333 Elliott Avenue West in Seattle, Washington for which it has remaining operating lease obligations through 2018. As a result of the expected loss on the facility exit and sublease agreements, the Company recorded a charge of \$5.3 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008.

11. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a 401(k) savings plan whereby eligible employees may voluntarily contribute a percentage of their compensation. The Company may, at its discretion, match a portion of the employees' eligible contributions. Contributions by the Company to the plan during the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were approximately \$3.8 million, \$3.3 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. Contributions made by the Company vest over four years.

12. Geographic Sales and Significant Customers

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company does business in four main geographic regions: the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and the Asia Pacific region (APAC). The Company's chief operating decision-making group reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis accompanied by information about revenues by geographic region. The Company's foreign offices conduct sales, marketing and support activities. Revenues are attributed by geographic location based on the location of the customer. The Company's assets are primarily located in the United States and not allocated to any specific region. Therefore, geographic information is presented only for net revenue.

The following presents revenues by geographic region (in thousands):

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Americas	\$ 517,269	\$ 361,230	\$ 373,906
EMEA	201,259	150,776	138,810
Japan	59,151	56,792	58,736
Asia Pacific	104,293	84,281	78,721
	\$ 881,972	\$ 653,079	\$ 650,173

Net revenues from international customers are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars and totaled \$364.7 million, \$291.8 million, and \$276.3 million for the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. One worldwide distributor accounted for 14.5%, 15.4% and 14.0% of total net revenue for the fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Another worldwide distributor accounted for 10.2% of total net revenue for fiscal year 2010. Another worldwide distributor accounted for 10.5% of total net revenue for fiscal year 2008.

Table of Contents**F5 NETWORKS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****13. Quarterly Results of Operations**

The following presents the Company's unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight quarters ended September 30, 2010. The information should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. This unaudited information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that were considered necessary for a fair statement of the Company's operating results for the quarters presented.

	Sept. 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	March 31, 2010	Three Months Ended		June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
				Dec. 31, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009			
	(Unaudited and in thousands)							
Net revenues								
Products	\$ 164,972	\$ 147,393	\$ 129,559	\$ 119,218	\$ 108,880	\$ 95,619	\$ 94,135	\$ 107,895
Services	89,302	83,081	76,509	71,938	66,250	62,612	60,014	57,674
Total	254,274	230,474	206,068	191,156	175,130	158,231	154,149	165,569
Cost of net revenues								
Products	31,045	29,328	27,419	26,042	24,294	21,955	25,037	23,923
Services	15,783	15,251	13,997	13,087	12,162	11,710	11,545	12,100
Total	46,828	44,579	41,416	39,129	36,456	33,665	36,582	36,023
Gross profit	207,446	185,895	164,652	152,027	138,674	124,566	117,567	129,546
Operating expenses								
Sales and marketing	80,696	77,219	69,644	65,642	58,395	55,427	51,933	59,438
Research and development	31,571	30,889	29,134	26,720	25,515	25,070	25,977	27,102
General and administrative	18,876	17,658	16,016	15,953	14,619	12,764	12,055	15,805
Restructuring charges							4,329	
Total operating expenses	131,143	125,766	114,794	108,315	98,529	93,261	94,294	102,345
Income from operations	76,303	60,129	49,858	43,712	40,145	31,305	23,273	27,201
Other income, net	68	3,561	2,291	1,705	1,682	3,027	2,136	2,879
Income before income taxes	76,371	63,690	52,149	45,417	41,827	34,332	25,409	30,080

Provision (benefit) for income taxes	28,136	23,195	19,005	16,138	13,477	11,556	6,423	8,657
Net income	\$ 48,235	\$ 40,495	\$ 33,144	\$ 29,279	\$ 28,350	\$ 22,776	\$ 18,986	\$ 21,423
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27
Weighted average shares basic	80,268	79,864	79,394	78,906	78,499	78,603	78,925	79,337
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27
Weighted average shares diluted	81,253	81,031	80,737	80,333	79,613	79,612	79,570	80,003

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) that are designed to ensure that required information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required timeframe, as specified in the rules set forth by the Securities Exchange Commission. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2010 and, based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2010.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on the results of this assessment and on those criteria, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2010.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, as part of their audit, has issued its attestation report, included herein, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the fourth fiscal quarter, there were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

Certain information required by this item regarding the Company's directors and executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to the sections entitled Board of Directors, Nominees and Continuing Directors, Corporate Governance, Committees of the Board, Audit Committee, and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and Director Nomination, and Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement that will be furnished to the SEC no later than January 28, 2011 (the Proxy Statement). Additional information regarding the Company's directors and executive officers is set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled Executive Compensation and Corporate Governance, Committees of the Board, Compensation Committee, and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation Committee Report, in the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters*

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, in the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled Board of Directors, Director Independence, and Corporate Governance, Related Person Transactions Policy and Procedures, and Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions, in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the section entitled Executive Compensation Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures, and Annual Independence Determination, in the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

(a) Documents filed as part of this report are as follows:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. Financial Statement Schedule:

Financial statement schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes hereto.

3. *Exhibits:*

The required exhibits are included at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are described in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the first exhibit.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

F5 Networks, Inc.

By: /s/ JOHN MCADAM
John McAdam
Chief Executive Officer and President

Dated: November 23, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

	Signature	Title	Date
By:	/s/ JOHN MCADAM John McAdam	Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director (principal executive officer)	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ JOHN RODRIGUEZ John Rodriguez	Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ A. GARY AMES A. Gary Ames	Director	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ DEBORAH L. BEVIER Deborah L. Bevier	Director	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ JOHN CHAPPLE John Chapple	Director	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ KARL D. GUELICH Karl D. Guelich	Director	November 23, 2010
By:	/s/ ALAN J. HIGGINSON	Director	November 23, 2010

Alan J. Higginson

By: /s/ SCOTT THOMPSON

Director

November 23, 2010

Scott Thompson

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of May 31, 2004, by and among the Registrant, Fire5, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, MagniFire Websystems, Inc., and Lucent Venture Partners III LLC(1)
2.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated September 6, 2005, among the Registrant, Sparrow Acquisition Corp., Swan Labs Corporation and the other parties referred to therein.(2)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated August 6, 2007, among the Registrant, Checkmate Acquisition Corp., Acopia Networks, Inc. and Charles River Ventures, LLC.(18)
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant(3)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant(3)
3.3	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of F5 Networks, Inc.(22)
3.4	Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of F5 Networks, Inc.(23)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate(3)
10.1	Amended and Restated Office Lease Agreement dated April 3, 2000, between the Registrant and 401 Elliott West LLC(4)
10.2	Sublease Agreement dated March 30, 2001 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(5)
10.3	uRoam Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan(6) §
10.4	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each of its directors and certain of its officers(3) §
10.5	1998 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended(7) §
10.6	Form of Option Agreement under the 1998 Equity Incentive Plan(3) §
10.7	Amended and Restated Directors Nonqualified Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.8	Form of Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated Directors Nonqualified Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.9	Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.10	Form of Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.11	1999 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.12	Form of Option Agreement under 1999 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan(3) §
10.13	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between John McAdam and the Registrant dated July 24, 2000(8) §
10.14	2000 Employee Equity Incentive Plan(9) §
10.15	Form of Option Agreement under the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan(10) §
10.16	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between M. Thomas Hull and the Registrant dated October 20, 2003(11) §
10.17*	1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended September 2010 §
10.18	MagniFire Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan(13) §
10.19	NonQualified Stock Option Agreement between Karl Triebes and the Registrant dated August 16, 2004(13)
10.20	Incentive Compensation Plan for Executive Officers(13) §
10.21	2005 Equity Incentive Plan(14) §
10.22	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (with acceleration upon change of control)(15) §
10.23	Form of Restricted Stock Unit agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (no acceleration upon change of control)(15) §

10.24	Amendment to F5 Networks, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Award Agreement, dated March 8, 2006, between the Registrant and John Rodriguez(16) §
-------	---

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.25	Amendment to F5 Networks, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Award Agreement, dated March 8, 2006, between the Registrant and Andy Reinland(16) §
10.27	Office Lease Agreement with Selig Real Estate Holdings IIX, L.L.C. dated October 31, 2006(17)
10.28	First Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated April 13, 2001 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(19)
10.29	Second Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated March 6, 2002 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(19)
10.30	Third Amendment to Sublease Agreement dated as of December 22, 2005 between the Registrant and Cell Therapeutics, Inc.(19)
10.31	Assumed Acopia Networks, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan(20) §
10.32	Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan(20) §
10.33	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan (with acceleration upon change of control)(21) §
10.34	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Acopia Acquisition Equity Incentive Plan (no acceleration upon change of control)(21) §
10.35	Form of Change of Control Agreement between F5 Networks, Inc. and each of John McAdam, John Rodriguez, Karl Triebes, Edward J. Eames, Dan Matte and certain other executive officers(24)
10.36	2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended January 2009(25)
10.37	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan as amended (with acceleration upon change of control) as revised July 2009(26)
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1*	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or Prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

§ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

(1)

Edgar Filing: F5 NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 31, 2004 and filed with the SEC on June 2, 2004.

(2) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 2005 and filed with the SEC on October 5, 2005.

(3) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-75817.

80

Table of Contents

- (4) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-109895.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-104169.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-51878.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2001.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-112022.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 8, 2006 and filed with the SEC on March 10, 2006.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 31, 2006 and filed with the SEC on November 3, 2006.
- (18) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 6, 2007 and filed with the SEC on August 8, 2007.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2006.
- (20) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-146195.
- (21) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2007.
- (22) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008.
- (23) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2008.
- (24) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 29, 2009 and filed with the SEC on May 4, 2009.
- (25) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.
- (26) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

